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Abstract—We describe a rational approach to reduce the computational and communication complexities of lossless point-to-point compression for computation with side information. The traditional method relies on building a characteristic graph with vertices representing the source symbols and with edges that assign a source symbol to a collection of independent sets to be distinguished for the exact recovery of the function. Our approach uses fractional coloring for a b-fold coloring of characteristic graphs to provide a linear programming relaxation to the traditional coloring method and achieving coding at a fine-grained granularity. We derive the fundamental lower bound for compression, given by the fractional characteristic graph entropy, through generalizing the notion of Körner’s graph entropy. We demonstrate the coding gains of fractional coloring over traditional coloring via a computation example. We conjecture that the integrality gap between fractional coloring and traditional coloring approaches the smallest b that attains the fractional chromatic number to losslessly represent the independent sets for a given characteristic graph, up to a linear scaling which is a function of the fractional chromatic number.

I. INTRODUCTION

We consider the problem of point-to-point compression for computing a function with decoder side information. Traditionally, this problem is referred to as Körner’s graph coloring problem [1]. This problem stems from source coding (compression), which has been the subject of extensive study in information theory dating back to the seminal work of Shannon [2], and its many extensions.

A. Coding for Compression

In the traditional compression approach, for a point to point compression of a source variable $X_1$ drawn from distribution $P_{X_1}$, the source coding theorem, as demonstrated by Shannon [2], states that in the limit, as the length of a stream of independent and identically-distributed (i.i.d.) random variable data tends to infinity, the best rate of compression of $X_1$ (quantified in the average number of bits per symbol) is the Shannon entropy of the source, $H(X_1) = \mathbb{E}[-\log P_{X_1}(X_1)]$.

A natural extension of the source coding theorem for networked settings is the problem of distributed compression. The problem of distributed lossless compression dates back to the seminal work of Slepian and Wolf [3], instantiated by random binning of the typical source sequences [4]. For concreteness, consider two random variables $X_1$ and $X_2$, jointly distributed according to $P_{X_1,X_2}$. Given two sequences $X_1^n = (X_{11}, X_{12}, \ldots, X_{1n})$ and $X_2^n = (X_{21}, X_{22}, \ldots, X_{2n})$ drawn i.i.d. from $P_{X_1,X_2}$, Slepian-Wolf Theorem gives a theoretical bound for the lossless coding rate of distributed coding [3]: To recover a joint source $(X_1^n, X_2^n)$ drawn from $P_{X_1,X_2}$ at a receiver that has access to side information $Y_2^n$, it is both necessary and sufficient to encode the source $X_1^n$ up to the rate $H(X_1|X_2)$ [3]. Slepian-Wolf problem is a special case of the general distributed function compression problem.

Practical schemes for Slepian-Wolf compression have been proposed by several authors, including [5]–[8]. The generalization of the distributed compression scheme of Slepian-Wolf to trees and to networks beyond depth one uses random linear coding, as shown by Ho et al. in [8]. Distributed communication has also been considered in [8], and by Ahlswede et al. [9] and Yeung [10] for multicasting under general network settings via random linear network coding.

B. Coding for Functional Compression

Distributed compression of source variables for the purpose of computing a deterministic function across a network, is referred to as distributed functional compression. To that end, since the pioneering work of Slepian-Wolf [3], different techniques have been explored, e.g., computation with decoder side information and functional distortion criterion in Wyner-Ziv settings [11], compression for multiple descriptions of functions [12], special functions such as addition [13], and multiplication with side information [14]. Function-oriented recovery, or compression of $f(X_1, X_2)$ is better understood through the lens of characteristic graph-entropy $H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1)$ [1], which quantifies the minimum number of bits required to represent a function of random variables. This notion was initially devised by Körner [1] for point-to-point compression.

The zero-error side information problem and the rate regions for the functional compression problem have been investigated by Witsenhausen [15], along with the formal introduction of the characteristic graph of $X_1$, $X_2$ and $f$, by Orlitsky-Roche [16] when one source is fully available at the receiver via conditional graph entropy $H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2)$, and for restricted and unrestricted inputs by Alon-Orlitsky [17]. The problem of distributed lossless compression has been studied by Doshi et al. [18], and also extended to tree networks (Feizi-Médard [19] and Doshi et al. [20]) via generalizing distributed compression (Slepian-Wolf [3]) to distributed functional compression.

The requirement for structured coding for computing. For distributed compression of a general function, trimming of (independently encoded) Slepian–Wolf partitions may not be feasible. In other instances, good computation codes may only achieve marginal gains in computing capacity over separation-based codes (see e.g., [21] and [19]) at the expense of a significant computation burden on the encoders and decoders. There also exist approaches to compression of graphical data.
proofs of functional compression are by means of coloring to [1], [16]–[18], [26]–[28] and the references therein. The existing graph entropy-based approaches, we refer the reader to [1], [16]–[18], [26]–[28] and the references therein. Hence, designing efficient function-oriented codebooks requires a different vision to alleviate the redundancy of data-oriented encoding.

The need for constructive techniques to compression. For existing graph entropy-based approaches, we refer the reader to [1], [16]–[18], [26]–[28] and the references therein. The proofs of functional compression are by means of coloring characteristics graphs of functions but provide no natural constructive approach to instantiate functional compression. Our contributions in this paper are summarized as follows:

- In Sect. II, we provide a relaxation to the traditional graph coloring approach (NP-hard) for functional compression. This is possible through fractional coloring, by generalizing traditional graph coloring that assigns one color per vertex. An \( a : b \) fractional graph coloring assigns \( b \) colors out of a total of \( a \) available colors to each vertex of a graph such that adjacent vertices have disjoint colors.

- In Sect. III, we provide a binary code construction for fractional coloring, and determine the cost of encoding.

- We introduce the concept of the fractional chromatic entropy and characterize it in Prop 1 exploiting Han’s theorem [29], which states that the average entropy decreases monotonically in the size of the subset. In Prop. 2, we derive the analytical expression for the fractional graph entropy, \( H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \), that gives the achievable rate for functional compression with side information.

- Sect. IV presents our main results providing a rate bound on functional compression using fractional coloring. Exploiting several properties of fractional chromatic number, we state that \( H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \) lower bounds \( H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \) (Lemma 1). This new notion provides a refinement in coloring such that on average less colors are spent and the communication complexity is reduced.

- Sect. V provides several bounds on the integrality gap between fractional and traditional colorings. To contrast the potential rate savings of our approach over traditional coloring, we show that the integrality gap is a monotonically increasing function of the source sequence length \( n \) (Lemma 2), and approximate the integrality gap, and conjecture that (Conj. 1) it scales linearly with \( b \), given a valid \( b \)-fold coloring. Hence, our approach yields a reduced communication complexity by a factor of \( b \) (up to a linear scaling), in the number of communication or exchanged bits [30], [31], versus the traditional approach.

II. Problem Setup

We consider the problem of lossless distributed functional compression with side information introduced in [16] (via generalizing [11] using a characteristic graph approach). The encoder has source \( X_1 \). The decoder has source \( X_2 \), which is not accessible at the encoder side. Given two statistically dependent i.i.d. finite-alphabet random sequences \( X_1^n \) and \( X_2^n \), our goal is to give a theoretical bound for the lossless coding rate to encode \( X_1 \) for computing a function \( f(X_1, X_2) \) to achieve arbitrarily small error probability for long sequences. Both the encoder and the decoder knows the function. In [16] and its extensions, this problem, for the zero-error setting, has been tackled using a traditional coloring approach and it has been proven that a zero-error compression up to a rate \( H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \) is possible when \( X_2 \) is available at the receiver.

A. Traditional Coloring of Characteristic Graphs

Let \( G_{X_1} \) be the characteristic graph the encoder builds for computing the function \( f(X_1, X_2) \), determined as function of \( X_1, X_2 \). The characteristic graph is denoted by \( G_{X_1} = (V_{G_{X_1}}, E_{G_{X_1}}) \), where \( V_{G_{X_1}} = X_1 \) and an edge \( (x_1^1, x_2^1) \in E_{G_{X_1}} \) if and only if there exists a \( x_2^1 \in X_2 \) such that \( p(x_1^1, x_2^1) \cdot p(x_1^2, x_2^2) > 0 \) and \( f(x_1^1, x_2^1) \neq f(x_1^2, x_2^2) \). We assign different colors (codes) to connected vertices, which corresponds a graph coloring. Vertices that are not connected to each other can be assigned to the same or different colors. In this paper, we only consider vertex colorings. A valid coloring of a graph \( G_{X_1} \) is such that each vertex of \( G_{X_1} \) is assigned a color such that adjacent vertices receive disjoint colors.

To better motivate our approach and demonstrate the achievable description lengths, we illustrate the relevance of characteristic graph in compression via the following example.

Example 1. A characteristic graph and its entropy. Random variables \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) are over the alphabet \( X = \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\} \). The joint distribution has ordered entries:

\[
P_{X_1, X_2} = \begin{bmatrix}
0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0.1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.1 \\
0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 0.1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( X_1 \) is uniformly distributed, and \( f(X_1, X_2) = X_1 + X_2 \) such that \( G_{X_1} \) denotes the characteristic graph the encoder builds, where \( V_{G_{X_1}} = X \), and \( E_{G_{X_1}} = \{(-2, -1), (-2, 0), (0, 1), (1, 2), (2, -1)\} \). A valid coloring of \( G_{X_1} \), denoted by \( c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1) \) and shown in Fig. 1 (Left), has a distribution \( P(c_1) = P(c_2) = 0.4 \) and \( P(c_3) = 0.2 \) over \{\( c_1, c_2, c_3 \)\}. This yields an entropy \( H(c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1)) \approx 1.52 \).

Next, we encode a source sequence with length two, \( X_1^2 = (X_{11}, X_{12}) \), which can take 25 values \{\( (-2, -2), (-2, -1), (-2, 0), \ldots, (2, 2) \)\}. To construct the characteristic graph for \( X_1^2 \), i.e., the second power graph \( G_{X_1^2} \), we connect two vertices if at least one of the coordinates are connected in \( G_{X_1} \). It is possible to color \( G_{X_1^2} \) using 8 colors. The entropy of this coloring satisfies

\[
\frac{1}{2} H(c_{G_{X_1^2}}) \approx 1.48 < H(c_{G_{X_1}}) \approx 1.52 < H(X_1) \approx 2.32.
\]
Körner showed in [1] that, in the limit of large
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Definition 1. (Chromatic entropy [17]) The chromatic entropy
of a graph \(G_{X_1}\) is defined as
\[
H^X_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) = \min_{c_{G_{X_1}}} H^X(c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1)),
\]
where
where \(H^X(c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1))\) = \(\{H(c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1)) : c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1)\text{ is a valid coloring of }G_{X_1}\|X_2\}\) is the set of chromatic entropies over the set of valid colorings of \(G_{X_1}\).

The chromatic number \(\chi(G_{X_1})\) of a graph \(G_{X_1}\) is the minimum number of colours needed to colour the vertices in such a way that no two adjacent vertices have the same colour.

Let \(G_{X_1}^n = (V^X_{X_1}, E^X_{X_1})\) be the \(n\)-th power of a graph \(G_{X_1}\), such that \(V^X_{X_1} = X^n_1\) and \((x_1^i, x_1^j) \in E^X_{X_1}\), where \(x_1^i = (x_1^{i_1}, x_1^{i_2}, \ldots, x_1^{i_n})\) and similarly for \(x_1^j\), when there exists at least one coordinate \(i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\) such that \((x_1^{i_1}, x_1^{i_2}) \in E_{X_1}\). We denote a coloring of \(G_{X_1}^n\) by \(c_{G_{X_1}^n}(X_1)\).

Körner showed in [1] that, in the limit of large \(n\), the chromatic entropy and the graph entropy are related as
\[
H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \min_{c_{G_{X_1}^n}} H(c_{G_{X_1}^n}(X_1))|X_2). \tag{3}
\]
The entropy of \(c_{G_{X_1}^n}(X_1)\) characterizes the minimal description length needed to reconstruct with fidelity \(f(X_1, X_2)\) [1]. The case of the identity function yields a complete graph.

B. Fractional Coloring of Characteristic Graphs

Fractional graph coloring is a natural extension of traditional coloring, where each vertex is assigned a set of colors and the adjacent vertices have disjoint sets. Traditional graph coloring problems may not be amenable to a linear programming approach. Solving (3) is equivalent to determining a coloring random variable which minimizes the entropy. However, finding the minimum entropy coloring of \(G_{X_1}\) is an NP-hard problem [32]. To solve the coloring problem losslessly in polynomial time, we exploit the fractional coloring relaxation.

Definition 2 (Scheinerman and Ullman [25]). A valid \(b\)-fold coloring is an assignment of sets of size \(b\) to vertices such that adjacent vertices receive disjoint sets of colors. A valid \(a : b\) coloring is a valid \(b\)-fold coloring out of \(a\) available colors. The notation \(\chi_b(G)\) represents the \(b\)-fold chromatic number of graph \(G\) that is the least \(a\) such that an \(a : b\) coloring exists.

Fig. 2. The second power graph \(G_{X_1}^2\) for Example 1, where \(a = 8\) is the minimum number of colors for which an \(a : 1\) coloring is possible.

The chromatic number \(\chi(G)\) is subadditive, i.e., \(\chi_{a+b}(G) \leq \chi_a(G) + \chi_b(G)\). If \(g : \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{R}\) is subadditive and \(g(b) \geq 0\) for all \(b\), then from the sub-additivity lemma [25], the limit \(\lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{g(b)}{b}\) exists and is equal to the infimum of \(\frac{g(b)}{b}\) (\(b \in \mathbb{Z}^+\)).

Definition 3. The fractional chromatic number is defined as
\[
\chi_f(G) := \lim \inf_{b \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{\chi_b(G)}{b} \right\} = \inf_{b} \frac{\chi_b(G)}{b}, \tag{4}
\]
where the existence of this limit follows from the sub-additivity of \(b\)-fold colorings, and the sub-additivity lemma [25].

From a probabilistic perspective, \(\chi_f(G)\) represents the smallest \(k\) for which there is a distribution over the independent sets (an independent set is a set of vertices in a graph, no two of which are adjacent) of \(G\) such that for each vertex \(v\), given an independent set \(I\) drawn from the distribution, \(P(v \in I) \geq \frac{1}{k}\). Let \(I(G)\) be the set of all independent sets of \(G\), and \(\mathcal{I}(G, x)\) be the set of all those independent sets which include vertex \(x\), and \(x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+\) for each independent set \(I\). Then, the fractional chromatic number \(\chi_f(G)\) can be obtained as a solution of the following linear program [25]:
\[
\chi_f(G) = \min_{x_1} \left\{ \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(G)} x_1 : \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(G, x)} x_1 \geq 1, x_1 \geq 0 \right\}. \tag{5}
\]
This relaxation transforms traditional coloring, which is an integer programming problem (NP-complete), into a fractional coloring problem. We illustrate fractional coloring for Example 1 in Fig. 1 (right). A 5:2 coloring achieves the optimal solution of (5), where \(|I(G)| = 10\), with 5 of those sets have cardinality 2, and 5 sets have size 1, and \(|\mathcal{I}(G, x)| = 3\) such that \(x_1 = 0.5\) for sets of size 2 and \(x_1 = 0\) for the sets with size 1. Hence, \(\chi_f(G_{X_1}) = 5\) and from (4) \(\chi_f(G_{X_1}) = 2.5\).

Example 1 indicates that assigning colors to sufficiently large power graphs, we can compress \(X_1\) more. A 5 : 2 coloring yields \(H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) = 1.16\), providing a saving of 0.36 bits over \(H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) = 1.52\). We sketch the traditional coloring for \(G_{X_1}^2\) in Fig. 2. The distribution of colors satisfies \(P(c_k) = 0.16\, \forall k \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}\) and \(P(c_k) = 0.08\, \forall k \in \{6, 7\}\) and \(P(c_8) = 0.04\). Hence, \(H^X_{G_{X_1}^2}(X_1|X_2) = 1.44\). For a 13 : 2 coloring (Fig. 3), the colors satisfy \(P(c_k) = 0.08\, \forall k \in \{1, \ldots, 12\}\) and \(P(c_{13}) = 0.04\). Hence, \(H^X_{G_{X_1}^2}(X_1|X_2) = 0.92\), i.e., 0.52 bits of savings from \(H^X_{G_{X_1}^2}(X_1|X_2)\).
III. CODE CONSTRUCTION FOR FRACTIONAL COLORING

We devise binary codes for computing $f(X_1, X_2) = X_1 + X_2$ in Example 1 using the colorings in Figures 2-3 to demonstrate the performance of fractional coloring. For each scenario, we consider decodings for specific encoder realizations for $n = 2$ rather than listing all 25 possible pairs.

a) Traditional coloring: $n = 2$, $b = 1$.

**Encoder.** As shown in Fig. 2, $a = 8$, and the color distribution is $P(c_k) = 0.16$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$, $P(c_k) = 0.08$, $k \in \{6, 7\}$, and $P(c_8) = 0.04$. For the given $P(c_k)$, the encoder devises binary codewords as $\text{Codes}(2, 1) = \{00, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111, 0100, 0101\}$, and Kraft’s inequality holds with equality. The average code length is 2.96 bits.

**Decoder.** The decoder is given the Color-Function mappings. With 2 received codes, the decoder can recover 2 function outcomes. If decoder gets the codes 00 and 101, it maps 00 to color Blue (which models the source subset $\{2, 2\}$ mapped to a unique function outcome) and maps 101 to Magenta (modeling $\{-2, 2\}$). For the given joint distribution in (1) and for computing the function $f(X_1, X_2) = X_1 + X_2$ for $i = 1, 2$, assume that the information source variable satisfies $X_{21} = -1$ and $X_{22} = 1$. Then, the colors Blue and Magenta identify the outcomes $-2-1 = -3$ and $2+1 = 3$, respectively.

This encoding needs 1.48 bits per function outcome.

b) Fractional coloring: $n = 2$, $b = 2$.

**Encoder.** As shown in Fig. 3, $a = 13$. The bi-colors (19 unique pairs) yield $P(c_k) = 0.08$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}$, $P(c_k) = 0.04$, $k \in \{7, \ldots, 19\}$. Given $P(c_k)$, $\text{Codes}(2, 2) = \{0001, 0010, 0011, 0101, 0110, 1001, 1001, 1011, 1110, 1111, 00000, 00001, 00002, 00010, 00011, 10000, 10001\}$, where Kraft’s inequality holds with equality. The average code length of this scheme is 4.24 bits.

**Decoder.** The decoder needs to be told it decodes $b = 2$ colors from $n = 2$ transmissions and given the ColorPair-Function mappings. Hence, the receiver has a finer-grained granularity information compared to traditional coloring. If decoder gets the codes 0011 and 0111, it maps 0011 to the bi-color BlueGreen (where Blue models the source subset $\{-2, 2\}$ mapped to a unique function outcome and Green models the source subset $\{0, 2\}$). Both are valid independent sets and each element in the independent set can yield the same function outcome depending on the value of $X_2$ and maps 0111 to the bi-color MagentaDarkgreen (where Magenta models source subset $\{-2, 2\}$ mapped to a unique function outcome and Darkgreen models $\{-2, 2\}$).

With 2 received codes and given $X_2$, the decoder identifies 2 bi-colors, i.e., BlueGreen and MagentaDarkgreen. For the given joint distribution in (1) and for computing $f(X_{1i}, X_{2j}) = X_{1i} + X_{2j}$ for $i = 1, 2$, assume $X_{21} = -1$ and $X_{22} = 1$. Then, the bi-color BlueGreen specifies the outcome pair $(-2 - 1, 0 - 1) = (-3, -1)$, and the bi-color MagentaDarkgreen specifies the pair $(2 + 1, 1 + 1) = (3, 2)$. Hence, the decoder can recover 4 function outcomes. Fractional coloring requires $n \geq 2$ as it uses $X_{21}$ and $X_{22}$, which does not permit the encoder to send 2 codewords for $n = 1$.

This encoding needs 1.06 bits per function outcome.

IV. FRACTIONAL CHROMATIC ENTROPY

We next formalize the notion of fractional chromatic entropy of a set of valid fractional colorings via extending Defn. 1.

**Definition 4.** (Fractional chromatic entropy.) $c_{G_X}^b(X_1)$ is a valid a : $b$ fractional coloring of $G_X$ if it assigns $b$ colors out of a total of $a$ available colors to each $V_X$, such that adjacent vertices have disjoint colors. We define $H^X_i(c_{G_X}^b(X_1)) = \{H(c_{G_X}^b(X_1)) : c_{G_X}^b(X_1) is a valid a:b coloring of G_X\}$ to be the collection of fractional chromatic entropies over the set of all valid a : $b$ colorings of $G_X$, given $X_2$.

**Proposition 1.** The fractional chromatic entropy of a characteristic graph $G_{X_i}$, denoted by $H_{G_{X_i}}^X(X_1|X_2)$, is given as

$$H_{G_{X_i}}^X(X_1|X_2) = \inf_b \min_{c_{G_X}^b(X_1)} H^X_i(c_{G_X}^b(X_1)) .$$

**Proof.** Let $(Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n)$ be a collection of random variables. For every $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, denote by $Z(S)$ the subset $\{Z_i : i \in S\}$. From [33, Ch. 16.5] the average entropy in bits per symbol of a randomly drawn $b$-element subset of $Z(S)$ is

$$\left(\frac{1}{b}\right) \sum_{S : |S| = b} H(Z(S)) .$$

Let $G_{X_1}(S) = \{G_{X_i} : i \in S\}$ be an $b = |S|$-tuple of graphs where each $G_{X_i}$ is a replica of $G_{X_1}$. We jointly color $G_{X_1}(S)_i$ such that $c_{G_{X_1}(S)}(X_1(S)) = c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1(S))$. Using a color in total and i.i.d. valid colorings across disjoint $S$, the entropy of a randomly drawn $b$-element subset of colorings is

$$H(c_{G_{X_1}(S)}(X_1(S))|X_2) = H(c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1)|X_2) ,$$

where $c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1)$ is a valid coloring of $G_{X_1}(S)$, and equivalently $c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1)$ is a valid $a:b$ coloring of $G_{X_1}$.

The average entropy decreases monotonically in the size of the subset (Han [29]). As $b$ increases the rate of functional compression via fractional coloring decreases. The minimum entropy of a fractional coloring can be found by minimizing across all valid $a : b$ colorings of $G_{X_1}$. Observing from (4) that $\chi_f(G_{X_1}) = \lim_{b \to \infty} \chi_0(G_{X_1})/b$ and (8), we obtain (6).

To visualize the rate given in Prop. 1, consider Example 1. The $5 : 2$ coloring distribution satisfies $P(c_1) = P(c_2) = 2/5$ and $P(c_3) = 1/5$ and $P(c_4) = P(c_5) = 2/5$ (the others are repeated in $G_{X_1}$ and $G_{X_2}$). The distribution of $c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1(S))$ across 2 graphs yields $H(c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1(S)))/2 = 1.16 < H(c_{G_{X_1}}(X_1(S)) \approx 1.52$.

The following is an intuitive result due to a finer-grained granularity that the fractional graph coloring provides. Its proof follows from combining the definition in (6) and (2).

**Corollary 1.** The fractional chromatic entropy of a graph $G_{X_1}$ and chromatic entropy satisfy the following relation:

$$H_{G_{X_1}}^X(X_1|X_2) \leq H_{G_{X_1}}^X(X_1),$$

where $\chi_f$ is the fractional chromatic number of $G_{X_1}$. 

Using (6) and (10) we can derive the fractional graph entropy, a natural generalization of the graph entropy. Prop. 2 is derived from Prop. 1 and (10), and we skip its proof.

**Proposition 2.** The fractional graph entropy is given as

\[ H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \inf_{b \in \mathcal{E}_{G_{X_1}}} \min \{ H(c^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1)) : c^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1) \text{ is a valid } a:b \text{ coloring of } G_{X_1} \} , \]

where \( c^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1) \) is a fractional coloring variable that assigns \( b \) colors to each vertex of \( G_{X_1} \) out of \( a \geq b \) available colors.

**Lemma 1.** The following relation holds for the fractional graph entropy and graph entropy:

\[ H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \leq H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) . \]  

**Proof.** We use an important result that ties the fractional chromatic number to the n-th power of a graph.

The following relation between the n-th power of G and the fractional chromatic number holds [25, Corollary 3.4.3]:

\[ \chi_f(G) = \inf \sqrt[n]{\chi(G^n)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\chi(G^n)} . \]  

The relation (13) implies that \( \chi(G^n) \approx \chi_f(G)^n \) as \( n \to \infty \).

It also holds from [25, Corollary 3.4.2] that

\[ \chi_f(G^n) = \chi_f(G)^n . \]  

Hence, we infer for the m-th power of G that

\[ \chi_f(G^{m^n}) = \chi_f(G)^{m^n} \]  

\[ \chi_f(G^{m^n}) = \chi_f(G)^{m^n} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\chi(G^n)}^m . \]

The fractional coloring requires \( \log \chi_f(G^n) \) bits which is less than \( \log \chi(G^n) \) bits as required by the traditional coloring. \qed

**V. CODING GAINS OF FRACTIONAL COLORING**

We denote the integrality gap (IG), i.e., ratio of the solutions of the traditional zero-error coloring versus the fractional coloring problems for encoding \( G_{X_1} \), by \( I_{G_n} \). It is given as

\[ I_{G_n} = H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) / H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) . \]

From Lemma 1, it is immediate that the integrality gap is lower bounded as \( I_{G_n} \geq 1 \) for \( n \geq 1 \).

**Lemma 2.** \( I_{G_n} \) is an increasing function of \( n \).

**Proof.** We can rewrite \( I_{G_n} \) as follows:

\[ I_{G_n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) - H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \]

We let \( a_k = H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) - H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \) and \( b_k = H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) - H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \) which both decrease in \( k \) because the sequences \( H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \) and \( H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \) are increasing and concave in the sense of decreasing slope, which can be shown using Han’s theorem [29]. Furthermore, \( a_k \geq b_k \) for \( k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Due to fractional coloring \( b_k \) decreases with a higher rate versus \( a_k \). We infer that \( 1 \leq a_{k_1} \leq a_{k_2} \leq \cdots \leq a_n \).

Using this relation, we can show that

\[ a_{k_1} = a_{k_1} + a_{k_2} \leq \cdots \leq a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n = I_{G_n} . \]

Hence, \( I_{G_n} \) is an increasing function of \( n \). \qed

In Example 1, \( I_{G_1} = \frac{1.5}{1.5} = 1.31, I_{G_2} = \frac{1.44}{1.92} = 0.75 \), and \( I_{G_n} \) is higher for \( n > 2 \) (Lemma 2). The gain is due to cross-coding across graphs (Prop. 1). For the identity function, the \( G_{X_1} \) is complete, \( b^c = 1 \), and fractional coloring does not have savings. Significant gains are possible for sparse graphs.

For a valid ab coloring of \( G_{X_1} \), let \( b_{G_{X_1}} \) be the smallest \( b \) that achieves (6). The following conjecture implies theoretically that the achievable \( I_{G_{X_1}} \) is lower bounded by \( b_{G_{X_1}}^{\text{opt}} \) (up to a scaling), for a valid \( b_{G_{X_1}} \)-fold coloring that is determined by the function to be computed and its n-th power graph \( G_{X_1} \).

**Conjecture 1.** Under the assumption that the colorings of \( G_{X_1} \) are uniform, the fractional coloring scheme attains

\[ I_{G_n} \geq b_{G_{X_1}}^{\text{opt}} \cdot \frac{\log \chi_f(G_{X_1})}{\log b_{G_{X_1}}^{\text{opt}}} . \]

**Proof.** Let \( b_{G_{X_1}}^{\text{opt}} \) be the smallest \( b \) such that (4) holds. Then,

\[ I_{G_n} \geq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \chi_f(G_{X_1}) \]

\[ = b_{G_{X_1}}^{\text{opt}} \cdot \frac{\log \chi_f(G_{X_1})}{\log b_{G_{X_1}}^{\text{opt}}} . \]

where (15) follows from using (4) and (13), and (16) is due to (14). Employing the relations \( \chi_f(G_{X_1}) = \chi(G_{X_1})^{\text{opt}} \), (14), which yields \( \chi_f(G_{X_1}) = \chi(G_{X_1})^{\text{opt}} \), and \( \chi(G_{X_1})^{\text{opt}} \geq \chi(G_{X_1})^{\text{opt}} \), we obtain \( b_{G_{X_1}}^{\text{opt}} \leq (b_{G_{X_1}}^{\text{opt}})^n \), which yields (17). \qed

Quantifying and upper bounding the IG in the limit of large \( n \), i.e., the ratio of the bits required by fractional compression, \( H^f_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \), to \( H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1|X_2) \), is left as future work.

**General discussion and future directions.** Fractional coloring exploits the possibility of cross-coding between graphs and provides coding gains (at infinite as well as at finite source sequence lengths). This approach provides a reduced communication complexity versus traditional coloring via decreasing the number of bits to send roughly from \( \log \chi \) to \( \log \chi_f \).

There are several implementation bottlenecks. First, while fractional coloring is a less combinatorial problem than traditional coloring and accepts a linear programming solution (solvable in polynomial time), finding an independent set is strongly NP-hard [34]. Hence, the relaxation is in the class of NP-hard problems. This issue can be alleviated via using fractional edge coloring (versus fractional vertex coloring) for which a polynomial-time solution exists [25]. Another issue is that the characteristic graph approach is concerned with zero-error compression. Therefore, a possible generalization includes weighted graphs to capture the distortion for the computation tasks, which is left as future work.