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Abstract—Generalized Reed-Solomon and extended generalized Reed-Solomon (abbreviation to GRS and EGRS) codes are the most well-known family of MDS codes with wide applications in coding theory and practice. Let \( F_q \) be the \( q \) elements finite field, where \( q \) is the power of a prime. For a linear code \( C \) over \( F_q \), we prove that \( C \) is a GRS code if and only if \( C \) is an EGRS code.

Index Terms—Generalized Reed-Solomon codes; Extended generalized Reed-Solomon codes; Equivalence

I. INTRODUCTION

Let \( F_q \) be the \( q \) elements finite field, where \( q \) is the power of a prime. An \([n, k, d]\) linear code \( C \) over \( F_q \) is a \( k \)-dimensional subspace of \( F_q^n \) with minimum (Hamming) distance \( d \) and length \( n \). If the parameters reach the Singleton bound, namely, \( d = n - k + 1 \), then \( C \) is maximum distance separable (in short, MDS). MDS codes have various interesting properties and wide applications both in theoretical and practice, such as, combinatorial designs, finite geometry, data storage and coding for distributed storage systems. The most two well-known family of MDS codes are that of GRS and EGRS codes, which not only have nice theoretic properties, but also have been extensively applied in engineering due to their easy encoding and efficient decoding algorithm. Similarly, self-dual or small hull codes are another interesting class of linear codes due to their nice algebraic structure, their wide applications in cryptography (in particular secret sharing) and constructions of quantum MDS codes.

Therefore, the constructions of the self-dual, or small hull MDS codes have attracted a lot of attention in recent years. There are a lot of work for these MDS codes via generalized Reed-Solomon (GRS) codes and their extended codes, with length \( 2 \leq n \leq q \), we prove that \( C \) is a GRS code if and only if \( C \) is an EGRS code. This result implies that there is unnecessary to distinguish the GRS code and the EGRS code when the length less than or equal to \( q \).

II. MAIN RESULT

In the following, we review the definitions of the GRS code and the EGRS code, respectively.
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Definition 1 ([12], Ch. 10, §8 & Ch. 11, §5): For \( k, n, \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( 1 \leq k \leq n \leq q \), let \( v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in (F_q^*)^n \), \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in F_q^n \) with \( \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \) (\( i \neq j \)) and \( \gamma_k = \{ f(x) \mid f(x) \in F_q[x] \text{ and } \deg f(x) \leq k - 1 \} \). Then the GRS code is defined as

\[ \mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) = \{ (v_1 f(\alpha_1), \ldots, v_n f(\alpha_n)) \mid f(x) \in \gamma_k \} . \]

The EGRS code is defined as

\[ \mathcal{E} \mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) = \{ (v_1 f(\alpha_1), \ldots, v_n f(\alpha_n), f_{k-1}) \mid f(x) \in \gamma_k \} , \]

where \( f_{k-1} \) is a coefficient of \( x^{k-1} \) in \( f(x) \).

Before giving the main result, we fix the following two notations.

\[ \mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k,n}(\alpha) = \mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k,n}(\alpha, 1, \ldots, 1) \]

\[ \mathcal{E} \mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k,n}(\alpha) = \mathcal{E} \mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k,n}(\alpha, 1, \ldots, 1) \]

The following theorem presents the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1: GRS = EGRS.

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in the next section.

Remark 1: It follows from Theorem 1 that the GRS code and the EGRS code are equivalent to each other when length \( 2 \leq n \leq q \), which implies that many known results of GRS codes are always suitable for EGRS codes directly.

III. PROOFS

The following lemma that will be used to prove that \( GRS \subseteq EGRS \).

Lemma 1: For \( k, n, \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( 1 \leq k \leq n \leq q \), let \( a \in F_q \), \( \lambda \in F_q^* \), \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in F_q^n \) with \( \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \) (\( i \neq j \)) and \( v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in (F_q^*)^n \). If \( \beta = (\alpha_1 - a, \ldots, \alpha_n - a) \) and \( w = (\lambda v_1, \ldots, \lambda v_n) \), then

\[ \mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) = \mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k,n}(\beta, w) . \]

Proof. For any \( (v_1 f(\alpha_1), \ldots, v_n f(\alpha_n)) \in \mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) \) with \( f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i x^i \in F_q[x] \), let \( g(x) = \lambda^{-1} f(x + a) \), then \( g(x) \in F_q[x] \) with \( \deg g(x) \leq k - 1 \). And then, for any \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), we have

\[ v_i f(\alpha_i) = \lambda v_i (\lambda^{-1} f((\alpha_i - a) + a)) = \lambda v_i g(\alpha_i - a) , \]

that is

\[ (v_1 f(\alpha_1), \ldots, v_n f(\alpha_n)) = (\lambda v_1 g(\alpha_1 - a), \ldots, \lambda v_n g(\alpha_n - a)) \]
which leads
\[ \text{GRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) \subseteq \text{GRS}_{k,n}(\beta, w). \]

Note that
\[ \dim(\text{GRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v)) = \dim(\text{GRS}_{k,n}(\beta, w)), \]
thus
\[ \text{GRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) = \text{GRS}_{k,n}(\beta, w). \]

Remark 2: By taking \( a = \alpha_n \) and \( \lambda = v_n^{-1} \) in Lemma \( \square \) then \( \alpha_n - a = 0 \) and \( \lambda v_n = 1 \), thus for any GRS code \( \text{GRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) \), we can always assume \( \alpha_n = 0 \) and \( v_n = 1 \) without loss of generality.

Now, \( \text{GRS} \subseteq \text{EGRS} \) and \( \text{EGRS} \subseteq \text{GRS} \) are given in Lemmas \( \square \) and \( \square \) respectively.

Lemma 2: \( \text{GRS} \subseteq \text{EGRS} \).

Proof. By Remark 2 for any \( C \in \text{GRS} \), there exists a \( 2 \leq n \leq q \), \( v = (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}) \in (F_q^*)^n \) and \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, 0) \in F_q^n \) with \( \alpha_i \neq 0 \), \( \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \) \( (i \neq j) \) such that \( C = \text{GRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) \). Now we find some \( \beta, w \in F_{q^n} \) such that
\[ \text{GRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) = \text{EGRS}_{k,n-1}(\beta, w). \quad (1) \]

By \( \alpha_i \neq 0 \) \( (i = 1, \ldots, n-1) \), we can choose
\[ \beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}) = (\alpha_1^{-1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}^{-1}) \]
and
\[ w = (w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1}) = (v_1^{-1} \alpha_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{-1} \alpha_{n-1}). \]

It is easy to see that \( \beta_i \neq \beta_j \) \( (i \neq j) \) and \( w \in (F_q^*)^{n-1} \). Now we show that \( \square \) holds.

For any \( (v_1 f(\alpha_1), \ldots, v_n f(\alpha_n), f(0)) \in \text{GRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) \) with \( f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i x^i \in F_q[x] \), let
\[ g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i x^{k-1-i}. \]

It is easy to see that \( g(x) \in F_q[x] \) with \( \deg g(x) \leq k - 1 \). Furthermore,
\[ w_{n+1} g(\beta_{n+1}) = g(0) = f_{k-1}, \]
and for any \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \)
\[ w_j g(\beta_j) = v_j \alpha_j^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i \alpha_j^{i-(k-1)} = v_j f(\alpha_j). \]

Thus
\[ (v_1 f(\alpha_1), \ldots, v_n f(\alpha_n), f(0)) = (w_{n+1} g(\beta_{n+1}), w_n g(\beta_n), w_{n-1} g(\beta_{n-1}), f_{k-1}), \]
which leads
\[ \text{GRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) \subseteq \text{EGRS}_{k,n-1}(\beta, w). \]

Note that
\[ \dim(\text{GRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v)) = \dim(\text{EGRS}_{k,n-1}(\beta, w)), \]
thus \( \square \) holds.

Lemma 3: \( \text{EGRS} \subseteq \text{GRS} \).

Proof. For any \( C \in \text{EGRS} \), there exists a \( n \leq q - 1 \), \( v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in (F_q^*)^n \) and \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in F_q^n \) with \( \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \) \( (i \neq j) \) such that \( C = \text{EGRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) \). Now we find a \( \beta, w \in F_{q^{n+1}} \) such that
\[ \text{GRS}_{k,n+1}(\beta, w) = \text{EGRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v). \quad (2) \]

By \( n \leq q - 1 \), we know that \( A = F_q \setminus \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \) is not empty, by choosing \( \gamma \in A \) arbitrarily, let
\[ \beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n, \beta_{n+1}) = ((\alpha_1 - \gamma)^{-1}, \ldots, (\alpha_n - \gamma)^{-1}, 0) \]
and
\[ w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n, w_{n+1}) = (v_1(\alpha_1 - \gamma)^{k-1}, \ldots, v_n(\alpha_n - \gamma)^{k-1}, 1). \]

It is easy to see that \( \beta_i \neq \beta_j \) \( (i \neq j) \) and \( w \in (F_q^*)^{n+1} \). Now we show that \( \square \) holds.

For any \( (v_1 f(\alpha_1), \ldots, v_n f(\alpha_n), f_{k-1}) \in \text{EGRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) \) with \( f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i x^i \in F_q[x] \), let
\[ g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i (1 + \gamma x)^i x^{k-1-i}. \]

It is easy to see that \( g(x) \in F_q[x] \) with \( \deg g(x) \leq k - 1 \). Furthermore,
\[ w_{n+1} g(\beta_{n+1}) = g(0) = f_{k-1}, \]
and for any \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), we have
\[ w_j g(\beta_j) = v_j(\alpha_j - \gamma)^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i (1 + \gamma(\alpha_j - \gamma)^{-1})^i (\alpha_j - \gamma)^{-i-1} = v_j f(\alpha_j), \]
that is
\[ (w_{n+1} g(\beta_1), \ldots, w_n g(\beta_n), w_{n+1} g(\beta_{n+1})) = (v_1 f(\alpha_1), \ldots, v_n f(\alpha_n), f_{k-1}). \]

which leads
\[ \text{EGRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v) \subseteq \text{GRS}_{k,n+1}(\beta, w). \]

Note that
\[ \dim(\text{EGRS}_{k,n}(\alpha, v)) = \dim(\text{GRS}_{k,n+1}(\beta, w)), \]
thus \( \square \) holds.

Proof of Theorem \( \square \). The Theorem \( \square \) follows from Lemmas \( \square \) and \( \square \) directly.
**IV. CONCLUSION**

In this letter, for a linear code $C$ with length $2 \leq n \leq q$, we prove that $C$ is a GRS code if and only if $C$ is a EGRS code.
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