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ABSTRACT
As the pump-and-dump schemes (P&Ds) proliferate in the cryptocurrency market, it becomes imperative to detect such fraudulent activities in advance, to inform potentially susceptible investors before they become victims.

In this paper, we focus on the target coin prediction task, i.e., to predict the pump probability of all coins listed in the target exchange before a pump. We conduct a comprehensive study of the latest P&Ds, investigate 709 events organized in Telegram channels from Jan. 2019 to Jan. 2022, and unearth some abnormal yet interesting patterns of P&Ds. Empirical analysis demonstrates that pumped coins exhibit intra-channel homogeneity and inter-channel heterogeneity, which inspires us to develop a novel sequence-based neural network named SNN. Specifically, SNN encodes each channel’s pump history as a sequence representation via a positional attention mechanism, which filters useful information and alleviates the noise introduced when the sequence length is long. We also identify and address the coin-side cold-start problem in a practical setting. Extensive experiments show a lift of 1.6% AUC and 41.0% Hit Ratio@3 brought by our method, making it well-suited for real-world application. As a side contribution, we release the source code of our entire data science pipeline on GitHub1, along with the dataset tailored for studying the latest P&Ds.

1 INTRODUCTION
Pump-and-dump (P&D) is a manipulative scheme that attempt to boost an asset price before selling the cheaply purchased assets at a higher price. Although it originates from the stock market[23], the burgeoning popularity of cryptocurrencies[5, 10, 24] has resulted in the proliferation of P&Ds within the industry. The event of organizing cryptocurrency P&Ds mainly took place in encrypted messaging platforms such as Telegram. Organizers create pump channels to attract speculators, where only organizers can post messages for other members to read. For a planned pump, the organizer posts an announcement several days in advance, which clearly states the target date, time, and exchange. As the time approaches the pump time, the organizers use a countdown strategy to remind members intermittently, not disclosing the target coin until the scheduled time. As demonstrated in Figure 1, a P&D coordinated by the Telegram channel "Binance Trading Signals" (a channel with more than 300,000 subscribers) took place in Binance on August 22, 2021, at 17:00 UTC. After the coin NAS was disclosed, the price inflated immediately and reached 250% in one minute, and then dropped shortly afterward. Although the whole process lasted only five minutes, a staggering wealth of around 27 million dollars was transferred between the participants.

1https://github.com/Bayi-Hu/Pump-and-Dump-Detection-on-Cryptocurrency

Figure 1: The $NAS pump-and-dump coordinated by the Telegram channel "Binance Trading Signals".

As P&Ds occur frequently and attract more public attention, it becomes imperative to detect such fraudulent activities[13, 27] and inform potentially susceptible investors before they become victims. Existing efforts[14–16, 20] mainly fall into the category of P&D post-detection, which aims to detect whether a P&D has happened or is happening. However, we argue that this paradigm fails to meet the practical needs since the coin price can easily reach its peak in less than one minute after a P&D begins, thus leaving no time to alert investors. In this paper, we focus on the target coin prediction task, i.e., to predict the pump likelihood of all coins listed in the exchange one hour before a P&D begins, given the scheduled time and target exchange announced in advance. The target coin prediction task is a challenging and interesting data science problem. First, in order to achieve accurate prediction, we rely on heterogeneous data sources, including structure data such as the historical statistics for trading price and volume, and text data that collected from Telegram. Different data sources requires different tools and algorithms for extracting the useful and timely information for the target coin prediction task. Second, we need to have an efficient data science pipeline in order to timely alert the potential coins targeted by P&Ds.

To this end, we propose an efficient data science pipeline and present it in Figure 2, which mainly consists of two parts: data collection and target coin prediction. The data collection module aims to find out historical P&Ds as many as possible. It explores pump channels based on verified seed pump channels, gathers tremendous text messages from Telegram, and identifies useful pump messages by keyword filtering strategy and effective machine learning algorithms. The data collection module works in an offline manner, maintains a P&D dataset, and updates it on a regular basis. The target coin prediction module aims to make effective and efficient predictions given the pump messages identified in the data collection stage. It collects multi-modal features from heterogeneous data sources, including market statistics for trading price and volume, and coin id embeddings pre-trained on a large Telegram corpus. Taking the above as the input, the prediction model outputs the pump probability of all coins one hour before the scheduled pump time.
Figure 2: The data science pipeline that consists of two parts: data collection and target coin prediction.

time. The target coin prediction module can achieve a real-time response to ensure the timeliness of the whole pipeline.

Empirically, our data pipeline filters 709 P&Ds out of 4,674,822 Telegram messages posted from Jan. 2019 to Jan. 2022. In order to unearth some useful signals and characteristics of P&Ds to improve our prediction model, we present a comprehensive study and discover that: 1) Coins with middle-cap value and high discussion degree in social media and forums are more likely to be targeted, indicating that the choice of the coin is well thought out; 2) The buy-in behavior of organizers starts within 60 hours before the scheduled pump time, and the pre-pump phenomenon confirms the existence of the hierarchy of Telegram channels. Precursors like the boost in price and trading volume caused by insiders (organizers and VIP members) can be a powerful signal for detecting P&D coins in advance; 3) Coins pumped by the same channel exhibit homogeneity, not only in terms of statistics like market cap, but also in semantics. In contrast, different channels exhibit heterogeneity in selection tactics.

Analysis above sheds light on the design of our prediction method. There exists one recent work focusing on target coin prediction[26], which regards P&Ds as isolated, unrelated events. Nevertheless, according to our analysis, there is some relationship between coins pumped by the same channel, i.e., a channel’s pump history represents a specific selection pattern, which somehow reflects the future target coin. Motivated by this, for each sample, we construct its channel’s pump history as a sequence, and extract a sequence embedding to represent its selection pattern by an elaborately designed sequence-based deep neural network (SNN). A positional attention mechanism is proposed to filter useful information out of the sequence and alleviate the noise introduced when the sequence length is quite long. We also present a solution for addressing the coin-side cold-start problem in a practical setting. To our best knowledge, the deep-learning technique is introduced in this task for the first time. We conduct comprehensive experiments on a real-world dataset, and observe a lift of 1.6% AUC and 41.0% HR@3, showing the effectiveness of our method. The overall method achieves 0.943 AUC, 43.0% Hit Ratio@3, and 53.2% Hit Ratio@5, suggesting our method is well-suited for the real-world application.

Contributions: To summarize, the contributions are as follows:

- **Pipeline.** We build a data science pipeline that extracts useful and timely information from heterogeneous data sources, to support effective and efficient target coin prediction.
- **Analysis.** We present a comprehensive study on the latest P&Ds, and uncover some interesting characteristics of P&Ds such as intra-channel homogeneity and inter-channel heterogeneity.
- **Model.** We propose the SNN model for target coin prediction for the first time, which significantly improves the performance of the task. We also firstly identify and address the coin-side cold-start problem in a practical setting.

Additionally, we open-source the entire data science pipeline to facilitate the community’s research on the latest P&Ds.

2 A TYPICAL PUMP-AND-DUMP PROCESS

**Set up:** A successful pump requires a large number of participants to buy the same cryptocoins at the same time. To this end, the organizer would construct a public channel, which is a specific form of group chat that only allows the organizer to post messages. Telegram is the most popular choice due to its encryption and anonymity. Then the organizer recruits as many subscribers as possible by posting invitation links on social media or forums like Reddit and Twitter, and other pump channels for advertisement. Moreover, we also notice that many pump organizers create another private VIP channels, which only accept paid members to subscribe. In return, VIP members will be informed of the target coin name minutes or even hours before the coin name is released on the public channel.

**Pump Announcement:** When the public channel gets enough members, the pump organizer releases a pump announcement several days before the official pump time. The announcement usually includes three important information: the precise time to initiate the pump, the target exchange, and the pairing coin. Then as the named pump time approaches, organizers keep reminding members of the pump event by counting down. The counting frequency gradually increases from once a day to once a few hours, and then once a few minutes before the pump time. During this process, the organizers advise members to transfer sufficient pairing coin into
the target exchange and post some "pump rules" like "Buy fast," "Do not sell immediately," "Spread the word out over the social media to make pump last as long as possible," etc.

**Pre-pump:** As mentioned above, VIP members know the target coin name in advance, so they can buy coins at a lower price and wait to sell them at a higher price at the pump time. If VIP members buy a large volume of coins in a short time, it can lead to a price hike before the pump time, which we call "pre-pump."

**Pump:** Upon just a few minutes before the pre-arranged pump time, the organizers will end the countdown with a typical message like: "The next message will be the coin name!" And then, the coin name will be posted at the pump time, generally the uppercasename symbol of the coin, like 'FIC'. Then the coin price surges immediately just in one minute, and sometimes it can reach several times the original price.

**Dump:** Though the organizer urges members to hold the coin and do not sell it immediately, participants all know that the price will immediately get down, and they do not want to sell later than others. So just a few moments after the price reaches its peak, it starts to drop, which in turn leads to more participants panic-selling. Eventually, the coin price will bounce back to the original value before the P&D or even lower.

**Post-pump Review:** Usually, within a few hours after the P&D, the organizer reviews the pump results in the channel, which mainly includes the trading volume, the start price, and the peak price, to tout how much the coin price was increased by the pump. We also notice that some organizers did not post the review as the pump did not reach their expected levels.

### 3 DATA COLLECTION

#### 3.1 Channel Exploration

We focus on exploring the pump channels in Telegram, as it is the biggest platform for P&Ds to thrive[21, 26]. Specifically, we adopt the snowball strategy[21] to explore as many channels as possible, starting from a known seed of pump channels. This is based on an observation that channel admins post invitation links across other channels to attract more subscribers to participate in P&Ds. We collect 1,142 original channels from PumpOlymp[3], a website that provides verified P&D channels in Telegram. Then we use the Telethon API[4] to check their status. Among those channels, nearly half (564/1,142) have been deleted due to inactivity for over 6 months. We retrieve messages and extract the invitation links from the left 578 channels. As a result, we get 750 new invitation links following this strategy. After filtering out the expired links and links to group chats or individuals, we get 137 channels that are still inactive and not duplicated with the seed channels. We retrieve a total of 4,674,822 messages that are posted on these 715 channels between Jan. 1, 2019, and Jan. 13, 2021.

#### 3.2 Pump Message Detection

The amount of data we collect is tremendous that manual annotated becomes prohibitively expensive and time-consuming. Generally speaking, most of the Telegram messages are about cryptocurrency-related news, buy/sell signals, and advertisements that are not related to P&Ds. Fortunately, most of the pump-relevant messages follow certain specific patterns and can be easily recognized, making them detectable by the machine learning methods.

### Table 1: Pump Message Detection Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LR</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workflow of our pump message detection is presented in Figure 2: Firstly, we use a keyword matching strategy to increase the proportion of pump messages: if a message mentions any coin name or exchange name or keywords such as "pump," "target," "hold," "sell," etc., then it is reserved, otherwise is filtered out. This simple but useful strategy selects 2,193,549 messages out of 4,674,822 messages. Secondly, we randomly label 5,050 messages as pump and not-pump messages. Positive samples include pump announcements, pump countdowns, target coin releases, and post-pump reviews. We remove punctuations, stop words, URLs, and emojis in the message and represent each message as a TF-IDF vector. We use 70% labeled samples to train the Random Forest (RF) and the Logistic Regression (LR) model, then test them on the 30% left samples. The results are presented in Table 1, where precision, recall, and F1 score are calculated based on a relatively low threshold of 0.2 to identify as many pump messages as possible. Considering a single pump message is not enough to give out the complete information of a P&D, we aggregate messages from the same channel into sessions if the time interval between two adjacent messages is less than 24 hours. In this case, a session is the minimum time unit for a channel to hold a P&D, and we assume that a P&D occurs at most once in a session (which is verified by us manually). Finally, we get 1,335 P&D samples out of 2,006 sessions based on the 37,525 pump messages identified by the RF model, and present the statistics in Table 2, where a sample is presented in a quintuple form, i.e., (channel_id, timestamp, exchange, pairing coin, target coin).

### 4 PUMP-AND-DUMP SCHEMES ANALYSIS

We conduct a series of analysis studies in coin, event, and channel levels to answer the following research questions:

- **Q1:** What kind of coins are vulnerable to the P&D?
- **Q2:** Can P&Ds be pre-detected in advance?
- **Q3:** Do the selection strategies vary from channel to channel?

#### 4.1 Coin-Level Analysis (Q1)

To investigate Q1, we use the CoinGecko[2] API to retrieve coins’ historical daily information like market capitalization, volume data, and social media indices, etc. For coins that are pumped, we collect the data from three days before the pump time, as the data is more stable before P&Ds. For a fair comparison, we randomly retrieve historical data of the top 4000 coins ranked on the CoinGecko web from Jan. 1, 2019, to Jan. 13, 2021. Note that almost all pumped coins are ranked above 4000. Figure 3 demonstrates the distributions of pumped coins and the top 4000 coins on different feature fields. Due to the space limitation, we only report four features, i.e., the market cap, Alexa rank (an index represents the global web popularity), the number of Reddit subscribers, and the number of Twitter followers. From Figure 3(a) and (b), we observe that the distributions of market cap and Alexa rank of pumped coins are...
closest to that of top1001-2000 coins, which indicates that P&D organizers prefer to target middle-cap coins. This is because it is much more difficult to pump up the prices of large-cap coins[17, 26], especially for pump channels with a small number of participants. Meanwhile, organizers also seldom pick coins with low market cap and web popularity (Alexa rank), which normally reflects low trading liquidity. An extreme case is if the market is frozen, there will be no other traders being attracted to get involved in the pump, so wealth transfer will only happen inside the group, which can make common members unwilling to participate in the pump since in this case, they most likely lose money. Another observation comes from From Figure 3(c) and (d), where the number distributions of Reddit subscribers and Twitter followers are close to that of top1-1000 coins but with more minor variances, suggesting that pumped coins have the highest discussions on social media. According to our statistics, the probability of a coin being pumped again is 60.1%, so even though we collect the data three days before the pump time, the pumped coins might have already been pumped. After being firstly pumped, they were widely discussed on social media, and some members of the pump group will spread misinformation about the coin to lure potential victims.

4.2 Event-Level Analysis (Q2)

Drift of Event Distribution by Exchange: Among the 709 P&Ds in our dataset, 62.8% (445) took place in Binance, 20.6% (146) in Yobit, 8.7%(62) in Hotbit and 3.0%(21) in Kucoin, which differs significantly from previous literature: Xu et al.[26] report 51% of P&Ds took place in Cryptopia, 27% in Yobit, and only 17% in Binance from October 2018 to February 2019; Li et al.[17] report 52.6% of P&Ds happened in Bittrex, 32.2% in Yobit, and only 15.2% in Binance from May 2017 to August 2018. It is noteworthy that the ratio of P&Ds happened in Binance drastically increased from (15.2% to 62.8%) during the past few years. We identify reasons leading to this change: the two most obvious reasons are Binance banned P&Ds in Nov. 2017[6] and Cryptopia fell into liquidation after a severe hack in Jan. 2019[7]. In addition, the reversal in the ratio of P&Ds on Binance and Yobit is also noteworthy. Binance was established in 2017, and the number of coins was relatively small at the beginning. According to statistics[8], there were 150 coins and 382 pairs in Jun. 2018, rising to 363 coins and 1,428 pairs by Mar. 2022. In comparison,

Yobit was established much earlier in 2014. In June 2018, Yobit had 1,128 coins and 6,652 pairs, yet now it is down to 445 coins and 3,589 pairs, a drop of more than 50% in the number of coins. The advantages of Binance in terms of coin number, user volume, and commissions have made it become the preferred exchange for pump organizers. The distribution drift has also brought about a change in the pattern of P&D attacks. Previous studies find that the average return rate of attacks on Binance is relatively the lowest, about 29% of Yobit [17, 26], involving an average of 1.42 channels per attack. Since the trading volume on Binance is much larger than on other exchanges, it requires more participants for a successful P&D. In comparison, we observe in our dataset that each P&D in Binance involves 2.25 channels on average, suggesting that pump organizers improve return rates by federating multiple channels.

Precursors to P&Ds: As described in Section 2, after a organizer decides which coin to pump, he/she gradually buys in and releases the coin name in the private channel to let VIP members buy. Finally, the coin name is announced on the public channel, and numerous common members pump the coin price “to the moon.” Before the scheduled pump time, the purchase behavior of organizer and VIP members may cause a significant market movement for a middle-cap coin. To study the precursors to P&Ds, we plot the time series of price and trading volume 72 hours before and 24 hours after the pump time in Figure 4(a) and (b). The time series are averaged from 445 P&Ds that paired BTC and occurred in Binance. For each P&D, we choose the earliest announce time in multiple channels as the pump time, and retrieve historical OHLCV (open, high, low, close, volume) data minute by minute via Binance API[1]. The most obvious observation is that the coin price gradually increases even tens of hours prior to the pump time. From Figure 4(b), we observe the start point of buy-in is about 57 hours before the pump time, and before that point, the averaging trading volume stays at a relatively low level. Correspondingly, the average coin price in Figure 4(a) gradually starts to rise. We also notice that several hikes appear from 48 hours to 1 hour before the pump time, which are very similar to the largest hike of trading volume around the pump time. We conjecture these hikes are very likely caused by the pre-pump,
which is that VIP members buy a large number of coins in a short time. Figure 4(d) gives a verified pre-pump event in a VIP channel that happened 5 hours before the pump time. The boost in price and trading volume can be a powerful signal for us to detect P&Ds in advance. For example, we calculate the average returns within the time window from \(x + 1\) hours to 1 hour before the pump time (\(x = 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72\)). In comparison, we randomly select coins and timestamps to calculate statistics in the same way. As shown in Figure 4(c), we notice that the highest average return reaches 9.5% when \(x = 60\), and the average returns of randomly selected coins are nearly zero.

### 4.3 Channel-Level Analysis (Q3)

To answer Q3, we investigate coin selection strategies of different channels w.r.t several characteristics. Due to the space limitation, we only report three features. Figure 5(a) presents a scatter plot of pumped coin’s market cap across different channels. Each dot in the figure represents a pumped coin. The X-axis is the channel index, and the Y-axis is the market capitalization value. Although the market cap of most of the coins falls into the range of 10^0 to 10^1, for a given channel, coins’ market cap is not evenly scattered but exhibits homogeneity in a particular range. This observation indicates the variety in coin selection strategies among different channels. The reason behind this is simple: The success of a P&D heavily relies on the participation of channel members. A bigger channel generally has more choices for coins to pump and tends to target bigger coins to generate higher trading volume, which is difficult to pump for those small channels. Figure 5(b) and (c) present Alexa rank and number of Reddit subscribers of pumped coins, which show similar aggregation effects as Figure 5(a), indicating that selection strategies vary across different channels.

**Semantic Similarity:** Besides verifying a channel’s selection strategy exhibiting the aggregation effects on numeric features, we further study whether coins pumped by the same channel tend to share similar semantics. Specifically, we pre-train SkipGram[19] embeddings on a huge cryptocurrency-related corpus and use the embedding of the coin symbol to represent that coin. Figure 6 shows cosine similarity distributions of coin pairs selected under three strategies: 1. select from the same channel’s pump history; 2. select from the pumped coins; 3. select from all coins randomly. Obviously, the semantic similarity distribution of coins pumped by the same channel exhibit not only an aggregation effect (i.e., with a minor variance), but also a significantly higher average score (0.92), compared to that of pairs selected from pumped coins (0.80) and all coins (0.72). This empirical study further proves that a channel has its distinct coin selection pattern.

**Summary:** We summarize the key findings:

- **A1:** Coins with middle-cap value and high discussion degree in social media and forums are more likely to be targeted.
- **A2:** P&Ds are predictable as the purchase behavior of organizers and VIP members can cause significant market movement before the scheduled pump time.
- **A3:** A channel has its distinct coin selection strategy, which varies from other channels. Coins selected by the same channel show homogeneity in statistics and semantics.

### 5 TARGET COIN PREDICTION

Based on observations in the previous section, we present a sequence-based neural network to leverage the relationship between a channel’s historical pumped coins and the target coin. We also identify and address the coin-side cold-start problem to further improve the performance.

#### 5.1 Feature Generation

The features used in our approach can be divided into three categories: channel, target coin, and sequence. For target coin features, we use both stable statistics collected three days before (e.g., market_cap, Alex_rank, etc.), and market movement signals 1 hour prior to the pump time. Different ranges of time windows (\(x = [1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 60, 72]\)) are used to calculate statistics such as price, returns vary from lengths of time. For sequence features, we aggregate historically pumped coins based on channel id and sort them according to pump time to construct the sequence. Basic features like coin_id and historical statistics are incorporated for each pumped coin in the sequence. For each sample, we constrain the maximum length to \(N\) to unify the sequence length, i.e., if a sequence is longer than \(N\), we truncate its length to \(N\); If a sequence is shorter than \(N\), we pad its length to \(N\) with the null value, which will be masked in the calculation.

#### 5.2 SNN: Sequence-Based DNN

The overall structure of the proposed SNN is demonstrated in Figure 7. The key idea is to generate a refined sequence embedding
to represent the channel’s coin selection pattern. Specifically, SNN mainly consists of several parts:

**Embedding Layer:** The input of SNN contains categorical id features, e.g., channel id and coin id. These id features cannot be directly input into the model because of their high dimensionality. In this case, we adopt embedding techniques to embed the sparse features into low-dimensional dense vectors, which significantly eases computing. To reduce the redundancy of parameters, we make the sequence coin id and target coin id share the same latent space. The generated embeddings are concatenated with other numeric features together to generate the overall features for the channel, coins in sequence, and target coin. The channel embedding \( h_c \) and target coin embedding \( h_t \) can be formalized as:

\[
h_c = h_1^c \oplus h_2^c \oplus ... \oplus h_N^c
\]

(1)

\[
h_t = h_1^t \oplus h_2^t \oplus ... \oplus h_N^t
\]

(2)

where \( h_i^j \) and \( h_t^j \) are the \( j \)-th feature field attached to \( h_c \) and \( h_t \), respectively. \( \oplus \) is the concatenation operator, \( X \) and \( Y \) are the number of feature fields for channel and target coin. The representation of the \( i \)-th coin in sequence can be formalized as:

\[
h_i = h_i^c \oplus h_i^t \oplus ... \oplus h_i^K, \quad i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}
\]

(3)

where \( h_i^j \) denotes the \( j \)-th feature field attached to \( h_i \) and \( K \) is the number of feature fields for coin in sequence.

**Positional Attention:** It is intuitive to believe that the closer the two pump events occur, the higher the degree of correlation between them, i.e., the order of a pumped coin in sequence impacts the final results. In the NLP field, Transformer[25] uses positional encoding to make the model aware of the order of a token in a sentence. Different from that, we propose a positional attention mechanism to further refine the input coin embedding. We generate a positional attention vector \( p_i \) for coin embedding \( h_i \) as follows:

\[
p_i = (e_i^1, e_i^2, ..., e_i^K), \quad i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}
\]

(4)

where \( e_i^j \) is the attention weights corresponds to the \( j \)-th feature field of \( h_i \), and \( a_i^j \) is a learned parameter during training. We use \( e_i^{j'} \) instead of \( a_i^j \) to ensure that the value is greater than 0. The reason to independently assign an attention score to each feature field is that attention distributions of a field across positions may be different from another feature field.

For the \( j \)-th feature field of \( i \)-th position \( h_i^j \), we normalize its corresponding attention score \( e_i^{j'} \) across all the positions of the sequence, and multiply the normalized attention score with \( h_i^j \):

\[
h_i^j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N e_i^{j'}, h_i^j}{\sum_{i=1}^N e_i^{j'}}
\]

(5)

\[
h_i^j = h_i^1 \oplus h_i^2 \oplus ... \oplus h_i^K
\]

(6)

where \( \sum_{i=1}^N e_i^{j'} \) is used to normalize the weights related to the \( j \)-th feature fields across all the positions. We obtain the final sequence representation \( h_s \) by concatenating representations of all feature fields as in Eq. 6.

**MLP:** The embedding and attention layers are mainly based on linear projections. We adopt several fully connected layers and activation functions to endow the model with non-linearity and interactions between different feature dimensions. The output \( \hat{y} \) represents the predicted pump probability:

\[
\hat{y} = MLP(h_c \oplus h_t \oplus h_s)
\]

(7)

**Loss:** The objective function used in SNN is the negative log-likelihood function defined as:

\[
L = -\frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{(y, \hat{y}) \in D} (y \log \hat{y} + (1 - y) \log (1 - \hat{y}))
\]

(8)

where \( D \) is the training set, and \( y \in \{0, 1\} \) is the ground-truth label that denotes whether it is a P&D or not.

### 5.3 Coin-Side Cold-Start Problem

To be consistent with the real-world application, i.e., to predict the future target coins, we test our method on a testing set where the samples are collected later than the training set. This strategy also prevents future information leakage as sequences of some latter samples may include label information of some former samples. Under such a setting, we observe that the coin-side cold-start problem occurs in an end-to-end training manner. Similar to the item-side cold start problem in recommendation field[12], the coin-side cold-start problem occurs in two situations: 1. coins pumped in the testing set are never pumped in the training set; 2. coins in the testing set are never exist in the training set. Coins falling into one of these cases can make the model hard to classify them correctly. The underlying reason is that model cannot generate robust id representations for these coins. Figure 8(a) shows the distributions of embedding \( f_1 \) norm of positive and negative coins in the training set, where two distributions have a pronounced difference; Figure 8(b) illustrates the distributions of embedding \( f_1 \) norm of four types of coins in the testing set. \( Untrain \) denotes the coins never exist in the training set, thus their id embeddings still remain the same as random initialization. \( Positive 1 \) represents the positive coins that are pumped in the training set, and \( Positive 2 \) is the distribution of positive coins that have never been pumped in the training set, which shows a similar distribution as the negative coins. The \( Untrain \) and \( Positive 2 \) distributions correspond to the two situations mentioned above and are the primary factors leading to the coin-side cold-start problem.
to the DNN, SNN\textsubscript{V} and SNN just the same architecture, i.e., a three-layer MLP with 128, 64, and 32 hidden units based on empirical hyper-parameter tuning.

**Evaluation Metrics:** AUC is a widely-used metric that evaluates classification performance and is not affected by the distribution skew. Furthermore, we employ a metric Hit Ratio (HR@k) to characterize model performance at the list level. Specifically, we rank a positive sample and its corresponding negative samples as a list according to pump probabilities predicted by the model and use HR@k to indicate whether the ground truth coin is included in the top k samples. The reported HR@k is averaged across all the lists with k = 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 30. HR@k is well suited to evaluate a model in a real-world setting.

### 6.2 Overall Performance Comparison

Table 4 summarizes the results of all methods on the testing set. The last column is the improvements of SNN relative to its base DNN model. From the table, it can be observed that: Due to the extreme category imbalance, the random selection strategy is very ineffective, with only 1.6% hit ratio of selecting 5 coins among all listed coins, indicating the difficulty of this prediction task. Among all the baseline methods, deep learning methods (e.g., DNN, SNN\textsubscript{V}, SNN) outperforms non-deep learning models. The main improvement of DNN comes from its superior representative ability for high-dimensional features like channel id and coin id. Among deep learning baselines, we observe that SNN\textsubscript{V} outperforms DNN in terms of all evaluation metrics. The main improvement of SNN\textsubscript{V} is that it models channels’ pump history in a sequential way. This observation verifies that considering sequential information is beneficial for improving performances in target coin prediction. Furthermore, SNN performs distinctly better than SNN\textsubscript{V}, suggesting that positional attention is a more powerful tool for sequential modeling. According to the results, it is obvious that SNN performs best among all methods in terms of all evaluation metrics. It gains 1.6% AUC, 41.0% HR@3, 29.2% HR@5, and 19.7% HR@10 improvements against its base DNN model. The HR@5 of 49.5% indicates that SNN can achieve a relatively high probability when only select the top-5 coins predicted, making it suitable for the real-world application.

### 6.3 Impact of Sequence

**Impact of Maximum Length:** We study how the sequence length affects the prediction performance. Figure 9 shows AUC and HR@3, HR@5 for SNN and SNN\textsubscript{V} with the maximum length N varying
from 0 to 40 while keeping other optimal hyper-parameters unchanged. When \( N \) equals 0, both SNN and SNN\(_N\) degenerate into DNN. The most obvious observation from these sub-figures is that the performance of two models reach peaks when \( N = 20 \) and then start to decrease when \( N \) continuously increases, suggesting that incorporating coin information that far away from the pump time may introduce noise. The second observation is that SNN decays significantly slower than SNN\(_N\), which indicates that the positional attention can effectively filter useful information, making SNN more robust in tackling noise.

**Impact of Position p:** To reveal meaningful patterns of different positions in the sequence, we visualize part of the original attention matrix \((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_N)\), \( N = 10 \), where \( \alpha_i = (\alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^i, ..., \alpha_N^i)^T \). The heat-map is shown in Figure 10, where the Y-axis is the feature field, and the X-axis is the position in the sequence, 1 is the closet position. The lower the position, the closer it to the pump time. The most obvious observation is that the attention scores of lower positions are generally larger than that of higher positions, which indicates that the contributions of most recent P&Ds are more significant than P&Ds happened long-ago. (For a channel, the time interval between 10 P&Ds usually takes 1-2 months.) This observation is also consistent with the conclusion drawn from Figure 9.

### 6.4 Coin Embedding Test

We investigate the improvement by solving the coin-side cold-start problem. Table 5 summarizes the results of six approaches on the testing set. E2E denotes a DNN model that only takes coin id as input, CW and SG are the same DNN model trained on CBoW and SkipGram embedding, respectively. SNN\(_N\) and SNN\(_S\) share the same architecture of SNN but the original coin id embeddings are replaced with CBoW and SkipGram embedding, respectively. It is not surprising to see E2E gives the worst performance since it suffers from the cold-start problem. In comparison, CW and SG both show much superior performance, especially SG achieves the AUC value of 0.852, suggesting that pre-trained embedding can solve the cold-start problem. This conclusion is also confirmed by the observation that SNN\(_N\) and SNN\(_S\) achieve positive lift against the original SNN.

### Table 5: Coin Embedding Test on Testing Set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>E2E</th>
<th>CBoW</th>
<th>SG</th>
<th>SNN</th>
<th>SNN(_N)</th>
<th>SNN(_S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR@1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR@3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR@5</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>0.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR@10</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR@20</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR@30</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>0.770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7 RELATED WORK

**Anatomy:** Kamps et al.[14] first introduce cryptocurrency P&D and define its life cycle as accumulation, pump, and dump, and distinguish it from traditional P&Ds in the stock market. Following this setting, Xu et al.[26] present a comprehensive analysis of 412 P&Ds that occurred during Jun. 2018 and Feb. 2019, emphasizing on describing how a P&D activity is coordinated on Telegram. Li et al.[17] study P&Ds from a statistical perspective and point out the detrimental influence of P&Ds on the development of the cryptocurrency market. Some characteristics of P&Ds are also revealed and confirmed by the subsequent studies[9, 11, 16]. In addition, some works study P&Ds from a perspective of social media: Nizzoli et al.[22] highlight the vast presence of Twitter bots and their key part in spreading the invitation links of pump channels in Telegram. Similar results revealed by [22] show the widespread misinformation from Telegram channels to mainstream social medias.

**P&D Post-detection:** This task targets to detect whether a P&D has happened or is happening, given the statistics like price, trading volume, or signals from external sources, like social media. Kamps et al.[14] conduct anomaly detection on the moving averages of price and trading volume data. La et al.[15, 16] improve this method by introducing much more fine-grained features, like *market buy orders*. Besides only based on market statistics data, Mirtaheri et al.[20] take into account the statistics of tweets and users that mentioned cryptocurrency to make the detection. Even though some of the approaches[15, 16] argue they can achieve real-time response, the post-detection paradigm fails to meet the practical needs since the coin price can easily reach its peak in less than one minute after a P&D begins.

**Target Coin Prediction:** Xu et al.[26] firstly introduce this task and use a RF model to predict the target coin, treating P&Ds as isolated, unrelated events and not solve the cold-start problem. Compared with them, our work takes more in-depth analysis and more advanced learning models, which significantly outperforms theirs[26].

### 8 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present a novel data science pipeline to tackle the problem of target coin prediction. The pipeline effectively identifies 709 P&Ds from millions of Telegram messages and extracts valuable and timely information from heterogeneous data sources to support efficient target coin prediction. In the analysis, we discover some interesting phenomena, such as pumped coins exhibiting intra-channel homogeneity and inter-channel heterogeneity, which motivates us to propose SNN to exploit this part of information. Consequently, our method significantly improves over the base model, suggesting the proposed pipeline is well-suited for real-world application.
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