

# A NOTE ON GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS VALUED IN REDUCTIVE GROUPS WITH OPEN IMAGE

SHIANG TANG

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G$  be a split reductive group with  $\dim Z(G) \leq 1$ . We show that for any prime  $p$  that is large enough relative to  $G$ , there is a finitely ramified Galois representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  with open image. We also show that for any given integer  $e$ , if the index of irregularity of  $p$  is at most  $e$  and if  $p$  is large enough relative to  $G$  and  $e$ , then there is a Galois representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  ramified only at  $p$  with open image, generalizing a theorem of Ray [7]. The first type of Galois representation is constructed by lifting a suitable Galois representation into  $G(\mathbf{F}_p)$  using a lifting theorem of Fakhruddin–Khare–Patrikis [4], and the second type of Galois representation is constructed using a variant of the argument in Ray’s work [7].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Galois representations arise naturally in algebraic number theory, for example, the  $p$ -adic Tate module of a rational elliptic curve  $E$  gives rise to a continuous representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , where  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ . Moreover, by a result of Serre,  $\rho$  has open-image when  $E$  is non-CM. Such a Galois representation is part of the rich theory of *geometric Galois representations* (in the sense of Fontaine–Mazur). On the other hand, one does not expect Galois representations  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  with open image that comes from the cohomology of algebraic varieties or automorphic forms (see, for example [10, Example 1.4]). Thus, for a given reductive algebraic group  $G$ , it is natural to ask if there is a continuous geometric Galois representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  with open image. Several people have constructed examples of this kind (notably for exceptional algebraic groups), for example, [14] and [15]. On the other hand, for groups like  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ , it appears to be extremely difficult to disprove the existence of geometric Galois representations with open image. However, if one allows non-geometric Galois representations, a uniform answer can be obtained:

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 2.7). *Let  $G$  be a split reductive group with  $\dim Z(G) \leq 1$ . Assume that  $p$  is large enough relative to  $G$ .<sup>1</sup> Then there is a finitely ramified continuous representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  with open image.*

A similar but weaker result has been obtained in [10], where the author proves the existence of Galois representations  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$  with *Zariski-dense image*. Note that for a  $p$ -adic field  $E$ , a compact, Zariski-dense subgroup of  $G(E)$  needs not to be open, unless  $G$  is semisimple and  $E = \mathbf{Q}_p$ . On the other hand, working with  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  (instead of its algebraic closure) imposes a condition on the center of  $G$  coming from the structure of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}$ , see Proposition 2.9. We prove

---

*E-mail address:* shiangtang1989@gmail.com.

<sup>1</sup>This constant can be made effective, see Remark 2.8.

the above theorem by lifting a suitable mod  $p$  Galois representation  $\bar{\rho}: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{F}_p)$  that has no local obstructions using a lifting theorem of Fakhruddin–Khare–Patrikis [4, Theorem 6.21] (and the lifts produced by loc. cit. automatically have open image). Note that we have no control over the ramification loci of the lift  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  due to the nature of the Ramakrishna style lifting argument in loc. cit. In contrast, the next theorem produces open-image Galois representations that ramify only at  $p$ , assuming a more restrictive condition on  $p$ . Let  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}}$  be the Galois group of the maximal extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  that is unramified away from  $p$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Theorem 3.13). *Let  $G$  be a split reductive group with  $\dim Z(G) \leq 1$ . Let  $e \geq 0$  and let  $p$  be a prime number that is large enough relative to  $G$  and  $e$  whose index of irregularity is at most  $e$ .<sup>2</sup> Then there is a continuous representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  with open image.*

This generalizes a theorem of Ray [7], which studies the  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  case. Following the argument in loc. cit., we lift a mod  $p$  Galois representation valued in a maximal torus of  $G$  constructed from the mod  $p$  cyclotomic character with *no global obstructions*, which demands certain conditions on the  $p$ -part of the class group of  $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)$ . On the other hand, to ensure that the image of the lift is open, one modifies any  $\mathbf{Z}/p^2$ -lift  $\rho_2: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}/p^2)$  by an appropriate cocycle so that its image satisfies a group-theoretic condition expressed in terms of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (Lemma 3.8), which guarantees that any  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -lift of  $\rho_2$  has open image.

A similar result of Cornut and Ray [3] constructs continuous representations  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  with open image for simple adjoint groups  $G$  and *regular primes*  $p$  using a completely different method, generalizing work of Greenberg [6]. On the other hand, Maire [5] constructs continuous representations  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{2,p\}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  with open image for every prime  $p \geq 3$  (with no regularity condition imposed).

*Remark 1.3.* If the numerators of the Bernoulli numbers are uniformly random modulo odd primes, then the natural density of primes with index of irregularity  $r$  should be  $e^{-1/2} \frac{1}{2^r r!}$  (see, for example, [1]). In particular, the density of primes with index of irregularity at most  $r$  should be at least  $1 - e^{-1/2} \frac{1}{2^r}$ , which approaches 1 rapidly as  $r$  increases.

*Remark 1.4.* Observe that the above theorems imply the existence of open image Galois representations of  $\Gamma_F$  for any number field  $F$ . In fact, if  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  has open image, then  $\rho(\Gamma_F)$ , being a closed subgroup of finite index, is open.

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Yves Cornulier, Sean Cotner, and Christian Maire for helpful conversations and comments, and thank the anonymous referee for a careful reading and many comments that have helped us clarify the exposition.

**1.1. Notation.** Let  $G$  be a split connected reductive group with derived subgroup  $G^{der}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}^{der}$ ) be a Lie algebra of  $G$  (resp.  $G^{der}$ ). When there is no chance of confusion, we will abuse notation and write  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}^{der}$ ) for  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{F}_p$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}^{der} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{F}_p$ ).

Let  $F$  be a number field. We write  $\chi$  for the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character and  $\bar{\chi}$  for its mod  $p$  reduction. Let  $\Gamma_F := \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$  denote the absolute Galois group of  $F$ . For any finite set

<sup>2</sup>See Theorem 3.13 for the precise statement on  $p$ .

of primes  $S$  of  $F$ , let  $\Gamma_{F,S}$  denote  $\text{Gal}(F(S)/F)$ , where  $F(S)$  is the maximal extension of  $F$  inside  $\overline{F}$  that is unramified outside the primes in  $S$ .

Given a homomorphism  $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow H$  some groups  $\Gamma$  and  $H$ , and an  $H$ -module  $V$ , we will write  $\rho(V)$  for the associated  $\Gamma$ -module (we will apply this with  $V$  the adjoint representation of an algebraic group).

## 2. FINITELY RAMIFIED GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS WITH OPEN IMAGE

**2.1. Coxeter elements.** In this section, we review the notion of Coxeter elements, for more details, see [2, §10.1]. Let  $G$  be a split *simple, simply-connected* group with root system  $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ . Let  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \subset \Phi$  be a set of simple roots. We write  $W = W(G, T) = N_G(T)/T$  for the Weyl group of  $G$ . We call an element  $w \in W$  a *Coxeter element* if it is conjugate in  $W$  to an element of the form  $w_{\alpha_1} \cdots w_{\alpha_r}$ , where  $w_{\alpha_i}$  is the simple reflection corresponding to  $\alpha_i$ . There is a unique conjugacy class of Coxeter elements in  $W$ . Their common order  $h$  is called the *Coxeter number* of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $w$  be a Coxeter element. Then there is an element  $\tilde{w} \in N_G(T)(\mathbf{Z})$  lifting  $w$ . Its order  $\tilde{h}$  depends only on  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By [12], there is a finite subgroup  $\mathcal{T} \subset N_G(T)(\mathbf{Z})$  which is isomorphic to the extension of  $W(G, T)$  by a subgroup of  $T(\mathbf{Z})$ . In particular, any element in  $W$  lifts to a finite order element in  $N_G(T)(\mathbf{Z})$ . That the order of  $\tilde{w}$  depends only on  $G$  follows from [2, Proposition 10.2, (iii)].  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ . Assume that  $p > 2h - 2$ . Let  $\Gamma \subset G(k)$  be a subgroup. Assume that*

- (1)  $\Gamma \subset N_G(T)(k)$ .
- (2) *The image of  $\Gamma$  in  $W(G, T)$  is the cyclic group generated by a Coxeter element.*

*Then  $\Gamma$  is  $G$ -irreducible, i.e.  $\Gamma$  is not contained in any proper parabolic subgroup of  $G(\bar{k})$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the proof of [2, Proposition 10.7, (i)].  $\square$

**2.2. Lifting Galois representations.** In this section, we assume that  $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$  is a direct product of simple, simply connected groups. For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $T_i$  be a maximal torus of  $G_i$  and let  $T = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_n$ . For each  $i$ , let  $\tilde{w}_i \in N_{G_i}(T_i)(\mathbf{Z})$  be as in Lemma 2.1. Write  $\tilde{h}_i$  for its order.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{G} \subset N_G(T)(\mathbf{F}_p)$  be the group generated by  $T(\mathbf{F}_p)$  and the elements  $\tilde{w}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Assume that  $p > c(\tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_n)$  (a constant depending only on  $\tilde{h}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Then there is a finite Galois extension  $M/\mathbf{Q}$  whose Galois group is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{G}$  in which  $p$  is unramified.*

*Proof.* This is an easy consequence of [10, Theorem 2.5]. Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be the group generated by  $\tilde{w}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a quotient of the semidirect product of  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $T(\mathbf{F}_p)$ . For each  $i$ , let  $p_i$  be an odd prime for which  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\tilde{h}_i}$ , and let  $K_i$  be the fixed field of the

unique subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p_i})/\mathbf{Q})$  of index  $\tilde{h}_i$ , and let  $K = K_1 \cdots K_n$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q}) \cong \prod_i \mathbf{Z}/\tilde{h}_i \cong \mathfrak{H}$  as long as the primes  $p_i$  are chosen to be distinct. Let  $c(\tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_n)$  be the smallest possible value of  $\max\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ . Then loc. cit. implies that if  $p$  is unramified in  $K$  (in particular, if  $p > c(\tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_n)$ ), then there is a number field  $M$  with claimed properties.  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.* It is possible to work out an explicit bound for  $p$  in Proposition 2.3. In fact, [13] shows that the least prime congruent to 1 modulo  $n$  is at most  $2^{\phi(n)+1} - 1$ .

Let  $\bar{\rho}: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{F}_p)$  be the mod  $p$  Galois representation associated to the extension  $M/\mathbf{Q}$  constructed above.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Assume that  $p$  is greater than  $\max\{2h_i - 2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ ,  $\max\{\tilde{h}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ ,  $c(\tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_n)$ , and  $c_G$ , where  $c_G$  is a constant depending only on  $G$  in [4, Theorem 6.11]. Then  $\bar{\rho}$  lifts to a finitely ramified continuous representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  whose image contains  $\widehat{G}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ .*

*Proof.* We apply [4, Theorem 6.21]. We will check its assumptions and explain why the lift can be chosen to have  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -coefficients. First note that the field  $\tilde{F}$  in the statement of loc. cit. equals  $\mathbf{Q}$  (since  $G$  is connected) and  $[\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p) : \mathbf{Q}] = p - 1$ . The first item of loc. cit. holds since the projection of  $\mathfrak{G}$  to  $G_i(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$  is  $G_i$ -irreducible by Proposition 2.2. We claim that for all finite primes  $v$ , there exists a formally smooth deformation condition for  $\bar{\rho}|_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_v}}$ . In fact, for  $v = p$ ,  $H^2(\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})) = H^0(\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})(1)) \subset H^0(I_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})(1)) = 0$ , where the first equality follows from local duality, and the last equality follows from the fact that  $\bar{\rho}(I_{\mathbf{Q}_p}) = 1$  (which holds since  $p$  is unramified in the fixed field of  $\bar{\rho}$ ). For  $v \neq p$ , since  $\bar{\rho}(I_{\mathbf{Q}_v}) \subset \mathfrak{G}$  is prime to  $p$  (by the construction of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ), we can take the (formally smooth) minimal prime to  $p$  deformation condition for  $\bar{\rho}|_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_v}}$  (see [14, §4.4]). Thus, the second item of [4, Theorem 6.21] holds. By loc. cit.,  $\bar{\rho}$  lifts to a finitely ramified representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathcal{O})$  whose image contains  $\widehat{G}(\mathcal{O})$  for a finite extension  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Lastly, note that we may take  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{Z}_p$  in our case since a formally smooth deformation condition exists at every finite place, see the third item of [4, Remark 1.3].  $\square$

### 2.3. The general case.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\tilde{G}$  and  $G$  be algebraic groups defined over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and let  $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be an isogeny. Then the induced map  $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is open.*

*Proof.* First note that if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a submersion of (real or  $p$ -adic) manifolds, then  $f$  is open by the local structure theorem for submersions (see for example, [8, Part II, Ch. III, Section 10]). Moreover, the algebraic and analytic differentials are compatible, so if  $X, Y$  are varieties over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  with  $\dim X \geq \dim Y$  and if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a smooth morphism, then the induced map  $X(\mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow Y(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is a submersion, and hence open. Now if  $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  is an isogeny of algebraic groups defined over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , then it is smooth, so  $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is open by the above.  $\square$

We thank Sean Cotner for pointing out the above lemma.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be a split reductive group with  $\dim Z(G) \leq 1$ . Assume that  $p$  is large enough relative to  $G$ . Then there is a finitely ramified continuous representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  with open image.*

*Proof.* First assume that  $G$  is semisimple, so there are simple, simply-connected groups  $G_1, \dots, G_n$ , equipped with an isogeny  $\tilde{G} = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n \rightarrow G$ . Construct  $\bar{\rho}: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \tilde{G}(\mathbf{F}_p)$  as in the previous section and apply Proposition 2.5, we obtain a finitely ramified representation  $\tilde{\rho}: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  with open image. Projecting down to  $G$ , we obtain a Galois representation with open image by Lemma 2.6. If  $G$  is reductive with  $\dim Z(G) = 1$ , there is a canonical isogeny  $G^{der} \times Z(G)^0 \rightarrow G$ . The above gives an open image Galois representation into  $G^{der}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . On the other hand, the cyclotomic character  $\chi: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},p} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Z}_p) = Z(G)^0(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  has open image. Thus by Lemma 2.6, their product gives a Galois representation into  $G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  with open image.  $\square$

*Remark 2.8.* The lower bound for  $p$  in Theorem 2.7 can be made effective: by its proof, this bound is the maximum of the four constants in Proposition 2.5 associated to the simply-connected cover of  $G$ . By Remark 2.4, the constant  $c(\tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_n)$  can be bounded by an explicit formula, and by [4, Remark 6.17], the constant  $c_G$  can be made effective as well.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $G$  be a split reductive group. Suppose that there exists a continuous representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  with open image. Then  $\dim Z(G) \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S(G) = G/G^{der}$ . It is a split torus with  $\dim Z(G) = \dim S(G) =: r$ . Since  $\rho$  has open image, so does  $\rho \pmod{G^{der}}: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow S(G)(\mathbf{Z}_p) = \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Z}_p)^r$ . In fact,  $\text{Im } \rho \cap Z(G)^0(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is an open subgroup of  $Z(G)^0(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , which maps to an open subgroup of  $S(G)^0(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  under the canonical isogeny of tori  $Z(G)^0 \rightarrow S(G)^0$  by Lemma 2.6. Since  $\mathbf{Q}$  has a unique  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension, this forces  $r$  to be at most 1.  $\square$

### 3. GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS RAMIFIED AT ONE PRIME WITH OPEN IMAGE

Suppose that  $G$  is a split reductive group. Given a continuous representation  $\bar{\rho}: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{F}_p)$ . Suppose that  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is a continuous lift of  $\bar{\rho}$ . For  $m \geq 1$ , set  $\rho_m$  to be the mod- $p^m$  reduction of  $\rho \pmod{p^m}$ .

The following fact is standard, see [11, §3.5]:

**Lemma 3.1.** *There is a natural group isomorphism*

$$\exp: \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} p^m \mathbf{Z}/p^{m+1} \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(G(\mathbf{Z}/p^{m+1}) \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}/p^m))$$

**Definition 3.2.** For  $m \geq 1$ , set  $\Phi_m(\rho) := \rho_{m+1}(\ker \rho_m) \subset G(\mathbf{Z}/p^{m+1})$ .

The following lemma follows immediately from the above, we omit the proof.

**Lemma 3.3.**  *$\Phi_m(\rho)$  may be identified as a submodule of  $\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})$ : for  $g \in \ker \rho_m$ ,  $\rho_{m+1}(g) = \exp(p^m v)$  for a unique  $v \in \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})$ , and we identify  $\rho_{m+1}(g)$  with this  $v$ .*

**Lemma 3.4.** *With the identification in Lemma 3.3, for  $l, m \geq 1$ ,  $[\Phi_l(\rho), \Phi_m(\rho)] \subset \Phi_{l+m}(\rho)$ , where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is the Lie bracket of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a faithful representation  $i: G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n$  defined over  $\mathbf{Z}$  for some integer  $n$ , which induces a map on the Lie algebras as well. Note that  $i\Phi_m(\rho) = \Phi_m(i\rho)$ . It follows that  $i[\Phi_l(\rho), \Phi_m(\rho)] = [i\Phi_l(\rho), i\Phi_m(\rho)] = [\Phi_l(i\rho), \Phi_m(i\rho)] \subset \Phi_{l+m}(i\rho) = i\Phi_{l+m}(\rho)$  where the  $\subset$  in the middle follows from [7, Lemma 2.8]. So  $[\Phi_l(\rho), \Phi_m(\rho)] \subset \Phi_{l+m}(\rho)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}, \{p\}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  be a continuous representation lifting  $\bar{\rho}$ . Let  $m \geq 1$  be such that  $\Phi_m(\rho)$  contains  $\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}^{der})$ . Then we have*

- (1)  $\Phi_k(\rho)$  contains  $\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}^{der})$  for  $k$  divisible by  $m$ .
- (2) The image of  $\rho$  contains  $\mathcal{U}_m := \ker G^{der}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow G^{der}(\mathbf{Z}_p/p^m)$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from the identity  $[\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}^{der}), \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}^{der})] = \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}^{der})$  and Lemma 3.4. Let  $H$  be the image of  $\rho$ . (1) implies that for infinitely many  $k$ ,  $H \pmod{p^k}$  contains  $\mathcal{U}_m \pmod{p^k}$ . If moreover,  $k \geq N_1$ , then we can apply the lemma below (with  $P = H \cap \mathcal{U}_m$ ) to conclude that that  $H$  contains  $\mathcal{U}_m$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer. There is an integer  $N_1 \geq m$  depending only on  $m$  and  $G^{der}$  such that if  $k \geq N_1$ , any closed subgroup  $P$  of  $\mathcal{U}_m$  whose reduction modulo  $p^k$  equals  $\ker G^{der}(\mathbf{Z}_p/p^k) \rightarrow G^{der}(\mathbf{Z}_p/p^m)$  must in fact equal  $\mathcal{U}_m$ .*

*Proof.* This is essentially [4, Lemma 6.15], which proves the case when  $m = 1$ . The argument trivially generalizes to arbitrary  $m$ .  $\square$

For the rest of this section, we fix a maximal split torus  $T$  of  $G$ . Let  $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$  be the associated root system. Fix a set of simple roots  $\Delta \subset \Phi$ . Let  $\Phi^+$  denote the corresponding set of positive roots. For any  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , write  $\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} n_\beta \beta$  and define the height function

$$\mathrm{ht}(\alpha) := \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} n_\beta.$$

The following proposition is well-known, see [9, Chapter 1].

**Proposition 3.7.** *There exist elements  $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{t} = \mathrm{Lie} T$  and  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^{der} = \mathrm{Lie} G^{der}$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , such that the elements  $H_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and  $X_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi$  form an integral basis for  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfying the relations below:*

- $[H_\alpha, H_\beta] = 0$ .
- $[H_\beta, X_\alpha] = \alpha(H_\beta)X_\alpha$  with  $\alpha(H_\beta) \in \mathbf{Z}$ .
- $[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] = H_\alpha$ , and  $H_\alpha$  is an integral combination of the  $H_\beta$  with  $\beta \in \Delta$ .
- $[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = N_{\alpha, \beta}X_{\alpha+\beta}$  with  $N_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$  if  $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$ .
- $[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = 0$  if  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta \notin \Phi$ .

Such a basis is called a **Chevalley basis**. It is unique up to sign changes and automorphisms. Moreover, the constant  $n_{\alpha,\beta} := |N_{\alpha,\beta}|$  can be described purely in terms of the root system  $\Phi$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  be a continuous representation lifting  $\bar{\rho}$ . Assume that  $p$  is larger than  $n_{\alpha,\beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ . Assume that  $\Phi_1(\rho)$  contains an element  $H \in \mathfrak{t} \subset \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $\alpha(H)$  is a nonzero element in  $\mathbf{F}_p$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . Furthermore, assume that it contains  $X_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$  with  $\text{ht}(\alpha)$  odd. Then we have*

- (1)  $\Phi_4(\rho)$  contains  $\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}^{der})$ .
- (2) The image of  $\rho$  contains  $\mathcal{U}_4$ .

*Proof.* In the course of the proof, we will use Lemma 3.4 several times without reference. Let  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$  be a root with  $\text{ht}(\alpha) = n$  even. Write  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$  for some  $\beta \in \Delta$  and  $\gamma \in \Phi^+$ . Then  $\text{ht}(\beta) = 1$  and  $\text{ht}(\gamma) = n - 1$ , both are odd. The relation  $N_{\beta,\gamma}X_{\beta+\gamma} = [X_\beta, X_\gamma]$  and the assumption on  $p$  imply that  $X_\alpha = X_{\beta+\gamma} \in \Phi_2(\rho)$ . A similar argument gives that  $X_\alpha \in \Phi_2(\rho)$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi^-$  with even height. Now let  $\alpha \in \Phi$  be a root with  $\text{ht}(\alpha)$  odd. The relation  $[H, X_\alpha] = \alpha(H)X_\alpha$  and the assumption on  $H$  imply that  $X_\alpha \in \Phi_2(\rho)$ .

Since  $[\Phi_1(\rho), \Phi_2(\rho)] \subset \Phi_3(\rho)$ , the relation  $[H, X_\alpha] = \alpha(H)X_\alpha$  implies that  $X_\alpha \in \Phi_3(\rho)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . One more iteration of the same kind implies that  $X_\alpha \in \Phi_4(\rho)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . That  $[\Phi_2(\rho), \Phi_2(\rho)] \subset \Phi_4(\rho)$  and the relation  $[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] = H_\alpha$  imply that  $H_\alpha \in \Phi_4(\rho)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . Thus,  $\Phi_4(\rho)$  contains  $\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}^{der})$ . The previous lemma now implies our claims.  $\square$

Let  $\lambda \in X_*(T)$  be a cocharacter. We will impose conditions on  $\lambda$  later. Let

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: X^*(T) \times X_*(T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{G}_m, \mathbf{G}_m) = \mathbf{Z}$$

denote the canonical pairing. Let  $\bar{\rho}: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}} \rightarrow T(\mathbf{F}_p)$  be  $\lambda \circ \bar{\chi}$  (recall that  $\bar{\chi}$  is the mod  $p$  cyclotomic character).

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the mod  $p$  class group of  $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Cl}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p$ . It has a natural action of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)/\mathbf{Q})$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  decomposes into eigenspaces

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq p-2} \mathcal{C}(\bar{\chi}^i)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\chi}^i) = \{x \in \mathcal{C} \mid g \cdot x = \bar{\chi}^i(g)x\}$ .

**Definition 3.9.** The index of irregularity  $e_p$  of a prime  $p$  is the number of eigenspaces  $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\chi}^i)$  which are nonzero. If  $e_p = 0$ , we say  $p$  is regular.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\rho}$  be as above. Assume that*

- (1)  $p$  is larger than  $n_{\alpha,\beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ .
- (2)  $0 < |\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle| < p - 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ .
- (3)  $\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle$  is odd for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .
- (4) The characters  $\bar{\chi}^{\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$  are all distinct and are not equal to  $\bar{\chi}$ .
- (5)  $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\chi}^{p - \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle}) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ .

Then  $\bar{\rho}$  admits a continuous lift  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  whose image contains  $\mathcal{U}_4$ .

*Proof.* We follow the argument of [7, Theorem 3.3] closely. First, we have  $H^2(\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}}, \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$ . This follows from the assumptions that  $\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)} \neq \bar{\chi}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\chi}^{p-(\alpha,\lambda)}) = 0$ , and the local and global duality theorems. We refer the reader to the first part of the proof of [7, Theorem 3.3] for details (the argument in loc. cit. is for  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  but it trivially generalizes to  $G$ ).

Let  $\chi_2$  be  $\chi \bmod p^2$  and let  $\rho'_2 := \lambda \circ \chi_2$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Phi$  be a root with odd height. Then by assumption,  $\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle$  is odd, and hence  $H^0(\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_\infty}, \mathbf{F}_p(\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)})) = 0$  and  $H^0(\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}}, \mathbf{F}_p(\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)})) = 0$ . By the previous paragraph,  $H^2(\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}}, \mathbf{F}_p(\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)})) = 0$ . It follows from the global Euler characteristic formula that  $H^1(\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}}, \mathbf{F}_p(\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)}))$  is 1-dimensional. Let  $f_\alpha$  be a generator of  $H^1(\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}}, \mathbf{F}_p(\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)}))$  and let  $F \in H^1(\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}}, \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}))$  be the sum of all  $f_\alpha$  with  $\alpha$  ranging over roots in  $\Phi$  with odd height. Let

$$\rho_2 := \exp(pF) \cdot \rho'_2 = \exp(pF) \cdot (\lambda \circ \chi_2).$$

As  $H^2(\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}}, \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$ ,  $\rho_2$  lifts to a characteristic zero representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ .

We want to show that the image of  $\rho$  contains  $\mathcal{U}_4$ . By Lemma 3.8, it suffices to show that  $\Phi_1(\rho)$  contains

- $X_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$  with  $\mathrm{ht}(\alpha)$  odd,
- an element  $H \in \mathfrak{t}$  such that  $\alpha(H)$  is a nonzero element in  $\mathbf{F}_p$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ .

Since the image of  $\bar{\rho}$  is prime to  $p$ , any Galois submodule  $M$  of  $\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})$  decomposes into

$$M = M_1 \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} M_{\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)}} \right)$$

where  $M_1$  is the  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -invariant submodule and  $M_{\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)}}$  is the  $\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)}$ -eigenspace. Since the characters  $\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$  are all distinct and are nontrivial (by assumption), the above decomposition makes sense and  $M_{\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)}}$ , if nonzero, is the 1-dimensional space generated by  $X_\alpha$ . Note that as  $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)/\mathbf{Q}), \mathbf{F}_p(\bar{\chi}^{(\alpha,\lambda)})) = 0$ , it follows from the inflation-restriction sequence that for any root  $\alpha$  with odd height, the restriction of  $f_\alpha$  to  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)}$  is nonzero. Hence, there exists  $g \in \ker \bar{\rho}$  such that  $f_\alpha(g) \neq 0$ , and so the element  $\rho_2(g) \in \Phi_1(\rho)$  has nonzero  $X_\alpha$ -component. It follows from the above decomposition with  $M = \Phi_1(\rho)$  that  $X_\alpha \in \Phi_1(\rho)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$  with odd height.

Finally, note that the cyclotomic character  $\chi$  induces an isomorphism  $\chi: \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)) \xrightarrow{\sim} 1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)}$  be chosen such that  $\chi(\gamma) = 1 + p$ . Then  $\rho_2(\gamma) = \exp(pF(\gamma)) \cdot \lambda(\chi_2(\gamma)) = \exp(pF(\gamma)) \cdot \lambda(1 + p) \in \Phi_1(\rho)$ . Let  $H \in \mathfrak{t} \subset \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the element such that  $\exp(pH) = \lambda(1 + p)$ . Then  $H \in \Phi_1(\rho)$  and for any root  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha(H) = \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle$  is nonzero mod  $p$  by Assumption (2).  $\square$

Let  $r$  be the rank of  $\Phi$  and let  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ . Let  $c_1, \dots, c_r$  be positive integers such that  $\tilde{\alpha} = \sum c_i \alpha_i$  is the highest root. Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$  be cocharacters such that  $\langle \alpha_i, \lambda_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ .

**Definition 3.11.** Define an strictly increasing sequence of integers  $N_0, N_1, N_2, N_3, \dots$  as follows:  $N_0 = 1$ ,

$$N_{k+1} = c_1(N_k^* + 2) + c_2(N_k^* + 4) + \dots + c_r(N_k^* + 2r)$$



where  $N_k^* = N_k$  if  $N_k$  is odd, and  $N_k^* = N_k + 1$  if  $N_k$  is even.

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $e \geq 0$  and let  $p$  be a prime number such that*

- (1)  *$p$  is larger than  $n_{\alpha,\beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ .*
- (2)  *$p > 1 + 2N_{e+1}$ .*
- (3) *The index of irregularity  $e_p$  is at most  $e$ .*

*Then there is a continuous representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  whose image contains  $\mathcal{U}_4$ .*

*Proof.* We only need to show that for any prime  $p$  satisfying the above conditions, there is a cocharacter  $\lambda$  satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 3.10. Let  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}/(p-1)$  be defined by  $n \in A$  if and only if at least one of  $\mathcal{C}(\overline{\chi}^{p+n})$  or  $\mathcal{C}(\overline{\chi}^{p-n})$  is nonzero. Then since  $e_p \leq e$ , we have  $|A| \leq 2e$ . It suffices to show that there is a cocharacter  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda$  satisfies Theorem 3.10, (2)-(4) and  $\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \notin A$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ . We may assume that  $e_p = e \geq 1$  and write the least positive representatives of the elements in  $A$  in ascending order

$$0 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_e \leq p-1-a_e < \cdots < p-1-a_1 \leq p-1.$$

We prove by induction that if  $p > 1 + 2N_{e+1}$ , then there exists a cocharacter  $\lambda$  such that

- For  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , let  $x_j := \langle \alpha_j, \lambda \rangle$ . Then  $x_j > 1$  is odd and  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an arithmetic progression with a common difference of 2.
- $S := \{\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle | \alpha \in \Phi^+\}$  falls in between  $a_i$  and  $a_{i+1}$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq e-1$  (set  $a_0 = 0$ ) or in between  $a_e$  and  $p-1-a_e$ .
- Moreover,  $S$  can be made so that  $\max S < a_e$  unless  $a_i \leq N_i$  for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq e$ .

Granted this, it clearly implies Theorem 3.10, (2)-(5), and so if we further require  $p$  to be larger than  $n_{\alpha,\beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ , then we obtain a desired lift.

It remains to prove the claim. First suppose that  $e = 1$  and  $p > 1 + 2N_2$ . If  $a_1 > N_1 = 3c_1 + 5c_2 + \cdots + (2r+1)c_r$ , we take  $\lambda = 3\lambda_1 + 5\lambda_2 + \cdots + (2r+1)\lambda_r$ , then since  $\tilde{\alpha} = c_1\alpha_1 + c_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + c_r\alpha_r$  is the highest root, for any  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ ,  $0 < \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \leq \langle \tilde{\alpha}, \lambda \rangle = N_1 < a_1$ . If  $a_1 \leq N_1$ , we take  $\lambda = (N_1^* + 2)\lambda_1 + \cdots + (N_1^* + 2r)\lambda_r$ , then for any  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ ,  $a_1 \leq N_1 < \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \leq \langle \tilde{\alpha}, \lambda \rangle = N_2 < p-1-N_1 \leq p-1-a_1$ , where the second last inequality holds since  $p > 1 + 2N_2 > 1 + N_1 + N_2$ . Thus the claim holds for  $e = 1$ . Assume the claim holds for  $e$ , and consider a sequence

$$(0 \leq) a_1 < \cdots < a_e < a_{e+1} \leq p-1-a_{e+1} < \cdots < p-1-a_1 (\leq p-1).$$

Let  $p$  be a prime with  $p > 1 + 2N_{e+2}$ . If at least one of the  $a_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq e$  is greater than  $N_i$ , the induction hypothesis (applied to the sequence with the  $a_{e+1}$  terms removed) implies that there is a cocharacter  $\lambda$  satisfying the properties in the claim with  $\max S < a_e$  and we are done. (Note that without the condition that  $\max S < a_e$ , the  $S$  provided by induction could intersect with  $\{a_{e+1}, p-1-a_{e+1}\}$ .) If  $a_i \leq N_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq e$  but  $a_{e+1} > N_{e+1}$ , we take  $\lambda = (N_e^* + 2)\lambda_1 + \cdots + (N_e^* + 2r)\lambda_r$ , then for any  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ ,  $a_e \leq N_e < \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \leq \langle \tilde{\alpha}, \lambda \rangle = N_{e+1} < a_{e+1}$  and we are done. If  $a_i \leq N_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq e+1$ , we take  $\lambda = (N_{e+1}^* + 2)\lambda_1 + \cdots + (N_{e+1}^* + 2r)\lambda_r$ , then for any  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ ,  $a_{e+1} \leq N_{e+1} < \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \leq \langle \tilde{\alpha}, \lambda \rangle = N_{e+2} < p-1-N_{e+1} \leq p-1-a_{e+1}$ ,

where the second last inequality holds since  $p > 1 + 2N_{e+2} > 1 + N_{e+1} + N_{e+2}$ . Thus the claim holds for  $e + 1$  in all cases.  $\square$

Finally, we obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $G$  be a split reductive group with  $\dim Z(G) \leq 1$ . Let  $e \geq 0$  and let  $p$  be a prime number such that*

- (1)  *$p$  is larger than  $n_{\alpha,\beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ , where  $n_{\alpha,\beta}$  is defined in Proposition 3.7.*
- (2)  *$p > 1 + 2N_{e+1}$ , where  $N_{\bullet}$  is defined in Definition 3.11.*
- (3) *The index of irregularity  $e_p$  is at most  $e$ , where  $e_p$  is defined in Definition 3.9.*

*Then there is a continuous representation  $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q},\{p\}} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  with open image.*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is semisimple, this follows immediately from Corollary 3.12. If  $G$  is reductive with  $\dim Z(G) = 1$ , the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.7 gives us the desired representation.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Buhler, J. P., R. E. Crandall, and R. W. Sompolski. *Irregular primes to one million*. mathematics of computation 59.200 (1992): 717-722.
- [2] Boeckle, Gebhard, Michael Harris, Chandrashekhara Khare, and Jack A. Thorne.  *$\hat{G}$ -local systems on smooth projective curves are potentially automorphic*. Acta Mathematica 223, no. 1 (2019): 1-111.
- [3] Cornut, Christophe, and Jishnu Ray. *Generators of the pro- $p$  Iwahori and Galois representations*. International Journal of Number Theory 14.01 (2018): 37-53.
- [4] Fakhruddin, Najmuddin, Chandrashekhara Khare, and Stefan Patrikis. *Relative deformation theory, relative Selmer groups, and lifting irreducible Galois representations*. Duke Math. J. 170(16): 3505-3599 (1 November 2021).
- [5] Maire, Christian. *On Galois representations with large image*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.02505 (2021).
- [6] Greenberg, Ralph. *Galois representations with open image*. Annales mathématiques du Québec 40.1 (2016): 83-119.
- [7] Ray, Anwesh. *Constructing Galois representations ramified at one prime*. Journal of Number Theory 222, 168-180.
- [8] Serre, Jean-Pierre. *Lie algebras and Lie groups: 1964 lectures given at Harvard University*. Springer, 2009.
- [9] Steinberg, Robert. *Lectures on Chevalley groups*. Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson. ULECT 66, AMS 2016.
- [10] Tang, Shiang. *Algebraic monodromy groups of  $l$ -adic representations of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$* , Algebra Number Theory 13 (2019): 1353-1394.

- [11] Tilouine, Jacques. *Deformations of Galois representations and Hecke algebras*. Published for The Mehta Research Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, Allahabad; by Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 1996. MR 1643682 (99i:11038)
- [12] Tits, Jacques. *Normalisateurs de tores I. Groupes de Coxeter étendus*. *Journal of algebra* 4.1 (1966): 96-116.
- [13] Thangadurai, Ravindranathan, and Akshaa Vatwani. *The least prime congruent to one modulo  $n$* . *The American Mathematical Monthly* 118, no. 8 (2011): 737-742.
- [14] Patrikis, Stefan. *Deformations of Galois representations and exceptional monodromy*. *Inventiones mathematicae* 205.2 (2016): 269-336.
- [15] Yun, Zhiwei. *Motives with exceptional Galois groups and the inverse Galois problem*. *Inventiones mathematicae* 196.2 (2014): 267-337.