

On the value-distribution of the logarithms of symmetric square L -functions in the level aspect

Philippe Lebacque, Kohji Matsumoto and Yumiko Umegaki

Abstract

We consider the value distribution of logarithms of symmetric square L -functions associated with newforms of even weight and prime power level at $s = \sigma > 1/2$. We prove that certain averages of those values can be written as integrals involving a density function which is related with the Sato-Tate measure. Moreover, we discuss the case of symmetric power L -functions.

1 Introduction and the statement of main results

The prototype of the theory of M -functions is the limit theorem of Bohr and Jessen [1] for the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$, where $s = \sigma + i\tau \in \mathbb{C}$. Let R be a rectangle in the complex plane with the edges parallel to the axes. Let $\sigma > 1/2$, and for any $T > 0$, let $L_\sigma(T, R)$ be the 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure of the set $\{\tau \in [0, T] \mid \log \zeta(\sigma + i\tau) \in R\}$. Then the Bohr-Jessen theorem asserts the existence of a continuous non-negative function $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(w, \zeta)$ defined on \mathbb{C} , for which

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_\sigma(T, R)}{T} = \int_R \mathcal{M}_\sigma(w, \zeta) \frac{dudv}{2\pi} \quad (1)$$

holds with $w = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}$. Here, the left-hand side is the average of $\log \zeta(s)$ in the τ -aspect.

Analogous results for Dirichlet L -functions $L(s, \chi)$ (and more generally, L -functions over number fields and function fields) were discussed much later by Ihara [6] and a series of joint papers by Ihara and the second-named author [7] [8] [9] [10]. Their results assert the existence of a continuous non-negative function $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(w, L)$ for which formulas of the form

$$\text{Avg}_\chi \Phi(\log L(s, \chi)) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(w, L) \Phi(w) \frac{dudv}{2\pi} \quad (2)$$

are valid, where Φ is a certain test function and Avg_χ means a certain average with respect to χ . The functions M_σ appearing on the right-hand sides of

(1) and (2) are now called the M -functions associated with $\log \zeta(s)$ and with $\log L(s, \chi)$, respectively.

After those studies, several mathematicians tried to obtain the same type of formulas for other zeta and L -functions. In particular, M -functions associated with automorphic L -functions have been studied in recent years.

This direction of research was first cultivated by two papers published in 2018. The level and the modulus aspects of automorphic L -functions were discussed by [12], while the difference of logarithms of two symmetric power L -functions were treated in [14].

As for the τ -aspect, formulas analogous to (1) were obtained in [15] for automorphic L -functions, and in [16] for symmetric power L -functions. Mine's article [17] is also to be mentioned, in which a more general framework is treated.

Recently, Mine [18] obtained a quite satisfactory analogue of (2) for automorphic L -functions in the level aspect.

The aim of the present paper is to obtain an analogue of (2) for symmetric power L -functions, under certain analytical conditions, in the level aspect. We will show the full analogue of (2) only in the case of symmetric square L -functions, but we will also deduce a corollary in a very special case for general symmetric power L -functions.

In order to state the results, now we prepare the notations. Let f be a primitive form of weight k and level N , which means that it is a normalized common Hecke-eigen newform of weight k for $\Gamma_0(N)$. We denote by $S_k(N)$ the set of all cusp forms of weight k and level N . We have a Fourier expansion of f at infinity of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{(k-1)/2} e^{2\pi i n z},$$

where $\lambda_f(1) = 1$ and the Fourier coefficients $\lambda_f(n)$ are real numbers. We consider the L -function

$$L(f, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^s}$$

associated with f where $s = \sigma + i\tau \in \mathbb{C}$. This is absolutely convergent when $\sigma > 1$, and can be continued to the whole of \mathbb{C} as an entire function.

We denote by \mathbb{P} the set of all prime numbers. For N , let \mathbb{P}_N be the set of primes which do not divide N . We know that $L(f, s)$ ($\sigma > 1$) has the Euler product expansion

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, s) &= \prod_{p|N} (1 - \lambda_f(p)p^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}_N} (1 - \lambda_f(p)p^{-s} + p^{-2s})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{p|N} (1 - \lambda_f(p)p^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}_N} (1 - \alpha_f(p)p^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \beta_f(p)p^{-s})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_f(p)$ and $\beta_f(p)$ satisfy $\alpha_f(p) + \beta_f(p) = \lambda_f(p)$ and $|\alpha_f(p)| = |\beta_f(p)| = 1$, and they are the complex conjugate of each other. This Euler product is deduced

from the relations

$$\lambda_f(p^\ell) = \begin{cases} \lambda_f^\ell(p) & p \mid N, \\ \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} \alpha_f^{\ell-h}(p) \beta_f^h(p) & p \nmid N. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

From this relations, we see that $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq d(n)$, where $d(n)$ is the number of divisors of n . Further, for $p \mid N$, we have $\lambda_f^2(p) = p^{-1}$ if the p -component of N is just p , and $\lambda_f(p) = 0$ if $p^2 \mid N$ (see Miyake [19]).

For any positive integer r and $\sigma > 1$, we denote the (partial) r th symmetric power L -function by

$$L_{\mathbb{P}_N}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}_N} \prod_{h=0}^r (1 - \alpha_f^{r-h}(p) \beta_f^h(p) p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

When $r = 1$, this is nothing but the partial L -function

$$L_{\mathbb{P}_N}(f, s) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}_N} (1 - \alpha_f(p) p^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \beta_f(p) p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Let

$$\log L_{\mathbb{P}_N}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) = - \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}_N} \sum_{h=0}^r \text{Log}(1 - \alpha_f^{r-h}(p) \beta_f^h(p) p^{-s}),$$

where Log means the principal branch.

We assume the following (see [16]).

Assumption (Analytical conditions). *For any primitive form $f \in S_k(N)$, $L_{\mathbb{P}_N}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s)$ can be analytically continued to an entire function. There are predicted local factors $L_p(\text{Sym}_f^r, s)$ for $p \mid N$ and $L_{\mathbb{P}_N}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s)$ satisfies the functional equation*

$$\Lambda(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) = \varepsilon_{r,f} \Lambda(\text{Sym}_f^r, 1 - s), \quad (4)$$

where $|\varepsilon_{r,f}| = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) &= q_{r,f}^{s/2} \tilde{\Gamma}_r(s) L(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) \\ L(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) &= L_{\mathbb{P}_N}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) \prod_{p \mid N} L_p(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) \end{aligned}$$

with the conductor $q_{r,f}$ and the ‘‘gamma factor’’ $\tilde{\Gamma}_r(s)$. Here, the gamma factor is written by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_r(s) = \pi^{-(r+1)s/2} \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{s + \kappa_{j,r}}{2}\right), \quad (5)$$

where $\kappa_{j,r} \in \mathbb{R}$, and each local factor for $p|N$ is written as

$$L_p(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) = (1 - \lambda_{p,r,f} p^{-s})^{-1}, \quad |\lambda_{p,r,f}| \leq p^{-r/2} \quad (6)$$

(see Cogdell and Michel [2], Moreno and Shahidi [20], Rouse [21], and Rouse and Thorner [22]). Moreover, it satisfies a estimate

$$|L(\text{Sym}_f^r, s)| \ll_r N(|t| + 2) \quad (1/2 < \sigma \leq 2), \quad (7)$$

where \ll stands for the Vinogradov symbol, the same as Landau's O -symbol. (The suffix here means that the constant implied by \ll depends on r . In what follows, similarly, the implied constants do not depend on parameters which are not written explicitly, unless otherwise indicated.)

Remark 1. It is known that the statements in the above assumption are true for $r = 1$ (classical), or for $r = 2$ and N is square-free (Shimura [23], Gelbart and Jacquet [4]).

In the present paper, we further assume the generalized Riemann hypothesis.

Assumption (GRH). Let f be a primitive form of weight k with $2 \leq k < 12$ or $k = 14$ and level q^m , where q is a prime number. The L -functions $L(\text{Sym}_f^r, s)$ satisfies the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis which means that $L(\text{Sym}_f^r, s)$ has no zero in the strip $1/2 < \sigma \leq 1$.

We consider the primitive forms $f \in S_k(q^m)$, where q is a prime number and m is a positive integer. Our aim is to study certain averages of the value of

$$\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) = \log L_{\mathbb{P}_q^m}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)$$

for $\sigma > 1/2$. Because of Assumption (GRH), there is no problem how to choose the branch of the logarithm. Let Ψ be a \mathbb{C} -valued function defined on \mathbb{R} . We consider the average

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Avg} \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) \\ &= \lim_{q^m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{C_k(1 - C_q(m))} \sum_{\substack{f \in S_k(q^m) \\ f: \text{primitive form}}} \frac{1}{\langle f, f \rangle} \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)), \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

where \langle, \rangle denotes the Petersson inner product,

$$C_k = \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}, \quad C_q(m) = \begin{cases} 0 & m = 1, \\ q(q^2 - 1)^{-1} & m = 2, \\ q^{-1} & m \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

and the symbol $q^m \rightarrow \infty$ means that (i) m tends to ∞ while primes q are bounded, or (ii) q tends to ∞ while m are bounded. We abbreviate the right-hand side of (8) as

$$\lim_{q^m \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)).$$

Let

$$\rho = \begin{cases} [r/2] & r \text{ is odd} \\ [r/2] - 1 & r \text{ is even} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{r-1}{2} & r \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{r}{2} - 1 & r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Our ultimate objective is to construct the “ M -function” $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^\rho, u)$ which satisfies

$$\text{Avg}\Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^\rho, u) \Psi(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad (9)$$

for any function $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of exponential growth under the GRH. This type of result was established in [9, Theorem 4] for Dirichlet L -functions of global fields. We may expect that the same type of result would hold for symmetric power L -functions.

In the present paper we will establish the following theorem, which treats the cases $r = 1$ or 2 which means $\rho = 0$.

Theorem 1. *Let k an even integer which satisfies $2 \leq k < 12$ or $k = 14$. For $r = 1, 2$, we suppose Assumption (Analytical conditions) and Assumption (GRH). Then, for $\sigma > 1/2$, there exists a function $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which can be explicitly constructed, and for which the formula*

$$\text{Avg}\Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) \Psi(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad (r = 1, 2) \quad (10)$$

holds for the function $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is a bounded continuous function or a compactly supported Riemann integrable function.

Remark 2. The function $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ tends to 0 as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$ (Proposition 3 (3) in Section 3). In particular, if $\sigma > 1$, then $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ is compactly supported, and hence (10) is valid for any continuous Ψ .

We will prove Theorem 1 and Remark 2 at the end of Section 4. The main point of this theorem is the case $r = 2$, that is the case of symmetric square L -functions. The case $r = 1$ is nothing but the usual L -function attached to f , and in this case Mine [18] proved a stronger unconditional result. Nevertheless we include the case $r = 1$ in the theorem, because it can be treated in parallel with the case $r = 2$, and also, it is necessary to prove the next corollary. Moreover, it is to be stressed that the same “ M -function” $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ appears in (10) for both the cases $r = 1$ and $r = 2$.

Corollary 1. *Let k an even integer which satisfies $2 \leq k < 12$ or $k = 14$. Let r be any positive integer. Suppose Assumption (Analytical conditions) and Assumption (GRH). Let $\Psi_1(x) = cx$ with a constant c . If [14, Theorem 1.5] and the above Theorem 1 are valid for $\Psi = \Psi_1$ (see Remark 3 below), then, for $\sigma > 1/2$, there exists a function $\mathcal{M}_\sigma^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which can be explicitly constructed, and for which the formula*

$$\text{Avg}\Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) \Psi_1(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \rho \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma^*(u) \Psi_1(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

holds.

Remark 3. In the above corollary we assume that Theorem 1 and [14, Theorem 1.5] are valid for $\Psi = \Psi_1$. Because of Remark 2 (and the corresponding fact for [14, Theorem 1.5]), this assumption is indeed true for $\sigma > 1$. When $1/2 < \sigma \leq 1$, we cannot prove this assumption at present, but it is plausible in view of the results in [9].

Remark 4. Corollary 1 suggests that the M -function $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^\rho, u)$ for $\rho \geq 1$ may be given by

$$\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^\rho, u) = \frac{1}{\rho + 1} (\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) + \rho \mathcal{M}_\sigma^*(u)).$$

Remark 5. In [8] and [14], the function Ψ was assumed to be a bounded continuous function or a compactly supported characteristic function. But the second-named author [13] noticed that “compactly supported characteristic function” should be replaced by “compactly supported Riemann integrable function”.

We mention a corollary of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. For other averages

$$\text{Avg}_m \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) = \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(X)} \sum_{\substack{q \leq X \\ q: \text{prime} \\ m: \text{fixed}}} \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma))$$

where $\pi(X)$ denotes the number of prime numbers not larger than X , and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Avg}^* \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) \\ &= \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi^*(X)} \sum_{\substack{q: \text{prime} \\ q^m \leq X}} \sum_{1 \leq m} \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi^*(X)$ denotes the number of all pairs (q, m) of a prime number q and a positive integer m with $q^m \leq X$. Theorem 1 imply the following corollary. The proof is same as that in [14].

Corollary 2. *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Avg}_m \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) = \text{Avg}^* \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) \\ &= \text{Avg} \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)). \end{aligned}$$

2 Preparation

In the proof of our main Theorem 1, we will use the following formula ((11) below) for a prime number q , which was shown by the third-named author [5, Lemma 3], using Petersson’s formula.

When $2 \leq k < 12$ or $k = 14$, for the primitive form f of weight k and level q^m , we have

$$\sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \lambda_f(n) = \delta_{1,n} + \begin{cases} O(n^{(k-1)/2} q^{-k+1/2}) & m = 1, \\ O(n^{(k-1)/2} q^{m(-k+1/2)} q^{k-3/2}) & m \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $\delta_{1,n} = 1$ if $n = 1$ and 0 otherwise.

Remark 6. The implied constants on the right-hand side depends on k , but in the present paper we restrict k to $2 \leq k < 12$ or $k = 14$, so we may say that the implied constants above are absolute. This restriction of the range of k is coming from the matter how to construct the basis of the space of old forms in [5] (see [5, Remarks 1 and 4]).

We denote the error term in (11) by $n^{(k-1)/2} E(q^m)$, that is

$$\sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \lambda_f(n) - \delta_{1,n} = n^{(k-1)/2} E(q^m).$$

Then we have

$$E(q^m) \ll q^{-k+1/2} \quad (12)$$

for any m , and

$$E(q^m) \ll \begin{cases} q^{-3/2} & m = 1, \\ q^{-5/2} & m = 2, \\ q^{-1-m} & m \geq 3, \end{cases} \ll q^{-m-1/2} \quad (13)$$

for any $m \geq 1$. Also in the case $n = 1$, the formula (11) implies

$$\sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \lambda_f(1) = \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} 1 = 1 + E(q^m) \ll 1. \quad (14)$$

Let \mathcal{P}_q be a finite subset of \mathbb{P}_q . For a primitive form f of weight k and level q^m , we define

$$L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \prod_{h=0}^r (1 - \alpha_f^{r-h}(p) \beta_f^h(p) p^{-s})^{-1}$$

for $\sigma > 1/2$. When r is even, this can be written as

$$L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\prod_{\substack{h=0 \\ r-2h \neq 0}}^r (1 - \alpha_f^{r-h}(p) \beta_f^h(p) p^{-s})^{-1} \right) (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Since $\alpha_f^{r-h}(p)\beta_f^h(p) = \alpha_f^{r-2h}(p) = \beta_f^{2h-r}(p)$, using ρ we may write

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \prod_{h=0}^{\rho} (1 - \alpha_f^{r-2h}(p)p^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \beta_f^{r-2h}(p)p^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}}p^{-s})^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $\delta_{r,\text{even}} = 1$ if r is even, and 0 otherwise.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}_q} = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \mathcal{T}$ with $\mathcal{T} = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| = 1\}$. For a fixed $\sigma > 1/2$ and $t_p \in \mathcal{T}$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\sigma,p}(t_p) &= -\log(1 - t_p p^{-\sigma}) \\ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,p}(t_p) &= g_{\sigma,p}(t_p) + g_{\sigma,p}(\overline{t_p}) + g_{\sigma,p}(\delta_{r,\text{even}}) \\ &= -2 \log|1 - t_p p^{-\sigma}| - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}}p^{-\sigma}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{t_p}$ is the complex conjugate of t_p . Here $g_{\sigma,p}(t_p)$ is the same symbol as in [14]. Putting $t_p = e^{i\eta_p}$, we may also write

$$\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,p}(e^{i\eta_p}) = -\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos \eta_p + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}}p^{-\sigma}). \quad (16)$$

Further, for $t_{\mathcal{P}_q} = (t_p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}_q}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}) &= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} g_{\sigma,p}(t_p), \\ g_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(\delta_{r,\text{even}}) &= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} g_{\sigma,p}(\delta_{r,\text{even}}), \\ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}) &= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,p}(t_p) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} g_{\sigma,p}(t_p) + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} g_{\sigma,p}(\overline{t_p}) + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} g_{\sigma,p}(\delta_{r,\text{even}}) \\ &= g_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}) + g_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(\overline{t_{\mathcal{P}_q}}) + g_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(\delta_{r,\text{even}}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (15), for any $\sigma > 1/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \log L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) \\ &= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \sum_{h=0}^{\rho} \left(-\log(1 - \alpha_f^{r-2h}(p)p^{-\sigma}) - \log(1 - \beta_f^{r-2h}(p)p^{-\sigma}) \right) \\ & \quad - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}}p^{-\sigma}) \\ &= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \sum_{h=0}^{\rho} \left(g_{\sigma,p}(\alpha_f^{r-2h}(p)) + g_{\sigma,p}(\beta_f^{r-2h}(p)) \right) + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} g_{\sigma,p}(\delta_{r,\text{even}}) \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{\rho} \left(g_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^{r-2h}(\mathcal{P}_q)) + g_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(\beta_f^{r-2h}(\mathcal{P}_q)) \right) + g_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(\delta_{r,\text{even}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_f^\mu(\mathcal{P}_q) = (\alpha_f^\mu(p))_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q}$ and $\beta_f^\mu(\mathcal{P}_q) = (\beta_f^\mu(p))_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q}$. Especially, in the case $r = 1, 2$ which means $\rho = 0$, we have

$$\log L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) = \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^r(\mathcal{P}_q)). \quad (17)$$

We sometimes write $\alpha_f(p) = e^{i\theta_f(p)}$ and $\beta_f(p) = e^{-i\theta_f(p)}$ for $\theta_f(p) \in [0, \pi]$.

In the case $\rho = 0$ and $\sigma > 1$, we deal with the value $L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s)$ as the limit of the value $L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s)$ as \mathcal{P}_q tends to \mathbb{P}_q . In fact, from (17) we have

$$\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) = \lim_{\substack{\mathcal{P}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_q \\ \mathcal{P}_q \subset \mathbb{P}_q}} \sum_{h=0}^{\rho} \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^{r-2h}(\mathcal{P}_q)) \quad (18)$$

In the case $\rho = 0$ and $1 \geq \sigma > 1/2$, we will prove the relation between $\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)$ and $\log L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)$ with a suitable finite subset $\mathcal{P}_q \subset \mathbb{P}_q$ depending on q^m and will consider the averages of them.

In Sections 3, 4 and 5, we will prove Theorem 1. The proof of Corollary 1 will be completed in Section 6.

3 The density function $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ and its Fourier transform

In this section we first construct the density function $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ for a finite set $\mathcal{P}_q \subset \mathbb{P}_q$ in the case $r = 1, 2$. By $|\mathcal{P}_q|$ we denote the number of the elements of \mathcal{P}_q . Let

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{P}_q} = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} [0, \pi),$$

and define the modified Sato-Tate measure on $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}_q}$ by

$$d^{\text{ST}} \theta_{\mathcal{P}_q} = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\frac{2 \sin^2 \theta_p}{\pi} d\theta_p \right),$$

where $\theta_{\mathcal{P}_q} = (\theta_p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \in \Theta_{\mathcal{P}_q}$. We also define the normalized Haar measure on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}_q}$ by

$$d^H t_{\mathcal{P}_q} = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} d^H t_p = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \frac{dt_p}{2\pi i t_p}.$$

The following proposition is an analogue of [14, Proposition 3.1], but it is a big difference that here we use the modified Sato-Tate measure.

Proposition 1. *Let $r = 1$ or 2 (and hence $\rho = 0$). For any $\sigma > 1/2$, there exists a \mathbb{R} -valued, non-negative function $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ defined on \mathbb{R} which satisfies following two properties.*

- *The support of $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ is compact.*

- For any continuous function Ψ on \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \Psi(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} &= \int_{\Theta_{\mathcal{P}_q}} \Psi(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(e^{i\theta_{\mathcal{P}_q} r})) d^{\text{ST}} \theta_{\mathcal{P}_q} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}_q}} \Psi(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}^r)) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) d^H t_{\mathcal{P}_q}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In particular, taking $\Psi \equiv 1$ in (19), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = 1. \quad (20)$$

Proof. We construct the function $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ by using the method similar to that in [8]. In the case $|\mathcal{P}_q| = 1$ namely $\mathcal{P}_q = \{p\}$, we define a one-to-one correspondence $\theta_p \mapsto u$ from the interval $[0, \pi)$ to another interval

$$\begin{aligned} A(\sigma, p) &= (-2 \log(1 + p^{-\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r, \text{even}} p^{-\sigma}), -2 \log(1 - p^{-\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r, \text{even}} p^{-\sigma})] \\ &\subset \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} u &= -\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos \theta_p + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r, \text{even}} p^{-\sigma}) \\ &= \begin{cases} -2 \log |1 - e^{i\theta_p} p^{-\sigma}| & r = 1 \\ -\log(1 - (2 \cos \theta_p + 1)p^{-\sigma} + (2 \cos \theta_p + 1)p^{-2\sigma} - p^{-3\sigma}) & r = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The definition of $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \{p\}}(\text{Sym}^0, u) = \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, u) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} |1 - e^{i\theta_p} p^{-\sigma}|^2 \sin^2(\theta_p/r)}{-\pi p^{-\sigma} \sin \theta_p} & u \in A(\sigma, p), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we see that

$$\frac{\sqrt{2\pi} |1 - e^{i\theta_p} p^{-\sigma}|^2 \sin^2(\theta_p/r)}{-\pi p^{-\sigma} \sin \theta_p} = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} |1 - e^{i\theta_p} p^{-\sigma}|^2 \sin \theta_p}{-\pi p^{-\sigma}} & r = 1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} |1 - e^{i\theta_p} p^{-\sigma}|^2 \tan(\theta_p/2)}{-2\pi p^{-\sigma}} & r = 2 \end{cases}$$

for $\theta_p \in [0, \pi)$.

We show that this function satisfies the properties required by Proposition 1.

In fact, using

$$\frac{du}{d\theta_p} = -\frac{2p^{-\sigma} \sin \theta_p}{1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos \theta_p + p^{-2\sigma}} = -\frac{2p^{-\sigma} \sin \theta_p}{|1 - e^{i\theta_p} p^{-\sigma}|^2},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi(u) \mathcal{M}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \int_{A(\sigma,p)} \Psi(u) \mathcal{M}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\
&= \int_0^\pi \Psi \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos \theta_p + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}} p^{-\sigma}) \right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{|1 - e^{i\theta_p} p^{-\sigma}|^2 \sin^2(\theta_p/r) - 2p^{-\sigma} \sin \theta_p}{-\pi p^{-\sigma} \sin \theta_p |1 - e^{i\theta_p} p^{-\sigma}|^2} d\theta_p \\
&= \int_0^\pi \Psi \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos \theta_p + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}} p^{-\sigma}) \right) \frac{2 \sin^2(\theta_p/r)}{\pi} d\theta_p.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

If $r = 2$, (putting $\theta_p = 2\theta'_p$) we see that the right-hand side is

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \Psi \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos 2\theta'_p + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - p^{-\sigma}) \right) \frac{4 \sin^2 \theta'_p}{\pi} d\theta'_p,$$

which is further equal to

$$\int_0^\pi \Psi \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos 2\theta_p + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - p^{-\sigma}) \right) \frac{2 \sin^2 \theta_p}{\pi} d\theta_p,$$

because putting $\theta''_p = \pi - \theta'_p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\pi/2} \Psi \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos 2\theta'_p + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - p^{-\sigma}) \right) \frac{2 \sin^2 \theta'_p}{\pi} d\theta'_p \\
&= \int_{\pi/2}^\pi \Psi \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos 2\theta''_p + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - p^{-\sigma}) \right) \frac{2 \sin^2 \theta''_p}{\pi} d\theta''_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $r = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi(u) \mathcal{M}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\
&= \int_0^\pi \Psi \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos(r\theta'_p) + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}} p^{-\sigma}) \right) \frac{2 \sin^2 \theta_p}{\pi} d\theta_p \\
&= \int_0^\pi \Psi(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,p}(e^{i\theta_p r})) d^{\text{ST}} \theta_p
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

by (16), where $d^{\text{ST}} \theta_p = d^{\text{ST}} \theta_{\{p\}}$.

We further calculate the right-hand side of (22). Since putting $\eta_p = 2\pi - \theta_p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_\pi^{2\pi} \Psi \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos(r\theta_p) + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}} p^{-\sigma}) \right) \frac{2 \sin^2 \theta_p}{\pi} d\theta_p \\
&= \int_0^\pi \Psi \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos(r\eta_p) + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}} p^{-\sigma}) \right) d^{\text{ST}} \eta_p,
\end{aligned}$$

we find that the right-hand side of (22) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos(r\theta_p) + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}} p^{-\sigma}) \right) d^{\text{ST}} \theta_p \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,p}(e^{ir\theta_p})) \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{e^{i\theta_p} - e^{-i\theta_p}}{2i} \right)^2 d\theta_p \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,p}(e^{ir\theta_p})) \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{e^{2i\theta_p} - 2 + e^{-2i\theta_p}}{-4} \right) d\theta_p \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Psi(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,p}(t_p^r)) \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-4} \right) \frac{dt_p}{it_p} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Psi(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,p}(t_p^r)) \frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} d^H t_p. \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, choosing $\Psi \equiv 1$ in (23), We see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma,\{p\}}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} d^H t_p = 1.$$

Therefore all the assertions of the proposition in the case $|\mathcal{P}_q| = 1$ are now established.

In the case $\infty > |\mathcal{P}_q| > 1$, we construct the function $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ by the convolution product of $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}'_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ for $\mathcal{P}_q = \mathcal{P}'_q \cup \{p\} \subset \mathbb{P}_q$ inductively, that is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}'_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u') \mathcal{M}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, u - u') \frac{du'}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

It is easy to show that this function satisfies the statements of Proposition 1. \square

Next, for the purpose of considering $\lim_{|\mathcal{P}_q| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$, we consider the Fourier transform of $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ for $\sigma > 1/2$. When $\mathcal{P}_q = \{p\}$, we define $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \psi_x(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

where $\psi_x(u) = e^{ixu}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. From (21), we see that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{ixF(\theta)} \sin^2(\theta/r) d\theta, \tag{24}$$

where $F(\theta) = -\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos \theta + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}} p^{-\sigma})$.

Lemma 1. *For $r = 1, 2$, the following two estimates hold:*

$$|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, x)| \leq 1 \tag{25}$$

for any prime p , and there exists a large p_0 such that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \ll \frac{p^\sigma}{\sqrt{1+|x|}} \quad (26)$$

for any $p > p_0$.

Proof. The first inequality is easy, because

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, x)| &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin^2(\theta/r) d\theta \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{1 - \cos(2\theta/r)}{2} d\theta = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

for $r = 1, 2$.

To prove the second inequality, we may assume $|x| \geq 1$, because if $|x| < 1$, then (26) follows immediately from (25). We first observe

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{ixF(\theta)} \sin^2(\theta/r) d\theta \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi (e^{ixF(\theta)})' \frac{(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos \theta + p^{-2\sigma})}{-2ixp^{-\sigma}} \sin \theta d\theta & r = 1 \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{ixF(\theta)} (1 - \cos \theta) d\theta & r = 2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

because

$$F'(\theta) = \frac{-2p^{-\sigma} \sin \theta}{1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos \theta + p^{-2\sigma}}.$$

When $r = 1$, the above is equal to

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{ixF(\theta)} \frac{(\cos \theta - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos(2\theta) + p^{-2\sigma} \cos \theta)}{+2ixp^{-\sigma}} d\theta$$

by integration by parts, and hence $O(p^\sigma |x|^{-1})$ which is sufficient. When $r = 2$, the above is

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{ixF(\theta)} d\theta - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{ixF(\theta)} \cos \theta d\theta = \frac{1}{\pi} I_1(x) - \frac{1}{\pi} I_2(x),$$

say. The estimate

$$I_1(x) \ll p^{\sigma/2} |x|^{-1/2} \quad (28)$$

follows from the Jessen-Wintner inequality (see [11, Theorem 13] or [15, Proposition 7.1]) for large p . To evaluate $I_2(x)$, we divide

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\substack{0 \leq \theta \leq \sqrt{|x|}^{-1} \\ \pi - \sqrt{|x|}^{-1} \leq \theta \leq \pi}} e^{ixF(\theta)} \cos \theta d\theta + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\sqrt{|x|}^{-1}}^{\pi - \sqrt{|x|}^{-1}} e^{ixF(\theta)} \cos \theta d\theta \\ &= I_{21}(x) + I_{22}(x), \end{aligned}$$

say. The inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{x F'(\theta)}{\cos \theta} \right| &= \left| \frac{x 2p^{-\sigma} \tan \theta}{1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos \theta + p^{-2\sigma}} \right| \geq \frac{|x| 2p^{-\sigma} \theta}{1 + 2p^{-\sigma} + p^{-2\sigma}} \\ \left| \frac{x F'(\pi - \theta)}{\cos \theta} \right| &= \left| \frac{-x 2p^{-\sigma} \tan \theta}{1 + 2p^{-\sigma} \cos \theta + p^{-2\sigma}} \right| \geq \frac{|x| 2p^{-\sigma} \theta}{1 + 2p^{-\sigma} + p^{-2\sigma}} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22}(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\sqrt{|x|}^{-1}}^{\pi/2} e^{ixF(\theta)} \cos \theta d\theta + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi - \sqrt{|x|}^{-1}} e^{ixF(\theta)} \cos \theta d\theta \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\sqrt{|x|}^{-1}}^{\pi/2} e^{ixF(\theta)} \cos \theta d\theta - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\sqrt{|x|}^{-1}}^{\pi/2} e^{ixF(\pi - \theta)} \cos \theta d\theta \ll \frac{p^\sigma}{\sqrt{|x|}} \end{aligned}$$

for large p by the first derivative test (Titchmarsh [24, Lemma 4.3]), while trivially

$$|I_{21}(x)| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\substack{0 \leq \theta \leq \sqrt{|x|}^{-1} \\ \pi - \sqrt{|x|}^{-1} \leq \theta \leq \pi}} d\theta \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}}.$$

Therefore

$$I_2(x) \ll p^\sigma |x|^{-1/2}. \quad (29)$$

The desired estimate follows from (28) and (29). \square

Now we define $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x)$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, x). \quad (30)$$

The estimate (25) gives

$$\left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| \leq \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q^0} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin^2 \theta_p d\theta_p = 1. \quad (31)$$

for any finite \mathcal{P}_q . On the other hand, let $n \geq 3$, and let $\mathcal{P}_q^{0,n} \subset \mathbb{P}_q$ be a fixed finite set, $|\mathcal{P}_q^{0,n}| = n$, and of which all elements are $> p_0$. If $\mathcal{P}_q \supset \mathcal{P}_q^{0,n}$, then we apply (26) to all $p \in \mathcal{P}_q^{0,n}$ and apply (25) to all the other members of \mathcal{P}_q to obtain

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x) = O_n \left(\frac{\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q^{0,n}} p^\sigma}{(1 + |x|)^{n/2}} \right). \quad (32)$$

In what follows we mainly use the case $n = 3$, so we write $\mathcal{P}_q^{0,3} = \mathcal{P}_q^0$. Denote the largest element of \mathcal{P}_q^0 by y_0 .

The next lemma is an analogue of (a), (b) and (c) given in [8, Section 3, pp. 645–646].

Lemma 2. *The following assertions hold for any $\sigma > 1/2$.*

- (a). *Let $\mathcal{P}_q \subset \mathbb{P}_q$ be a finite subset with $\mathcal{P}_q \supset \mathcal{P}_q^0$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \in L^t$ ($t \in [1, \infty]$).*
- (b). *For any subsets \mathcal{P}_q'' and \mathcal{P}_q' of \mathbb{P}_q with $\mathcal{P}_q'' \subset \mathcal{P}_q'$, we can see*

$$\left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q'}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| \leq \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q''}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|.$$

- (c). *Let $y > y_0$, and put $\mathcal{P}_q(y) = \{p \in \mathbb{P}_q \mid p \leq y\}$. (Hence $\mathcal{P}_q^0 \subset \mathcal{P}_q(y) \subset \mathbb{P}_q$.) The limit $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x)$ exists, which we denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma}(\text{Sym}^0, x)$. For any $a > 0$, this convergence is uniform in $|x| \leq a$. The infinite product expression $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma}(\text{Sym}^0, x) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}_q} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, x)$ holds, which is absolutely convergent.*

Proof. First prove (a): By (31) and (32) (with $n = 3$), we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x)|^t |dx| \ll \int_{|x| < 1} |dx| + \int_{|x| \geq 1} \frac{\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q^0} p^{t\sigma}}{|x|^{3t/2}} |dx| < \infty \quad (33)$$

for $t \in [1, \infty)$. Note that the implied constant here depends only on σ, t , and \mathcal{P}_q^0 . Also from (31), we see that $\sup_x |\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x)| < \infty$. The assertion (a) is proved. The property (b) is easy by (27).

We proceed to the proof of (c). For any integers y_1 and y_2 satisfying $y_2 \geq y_1 > y_0$, let $p(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$) be all prime numbers in $(y_1, y_2]$ with

$$y_2 \geq p(d) > p(d-1) > \dots > p(1) > y_1.$$

Set the following subsets of \mathbb{P}_q :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_q(y_1, 0) &= \mathcal{P}_q(y_1), \\ \mathcal{P}_q(y_1, 1) &= \mathcal{P}_q(y_1, 0) \cup \{p(1)\}, \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{P}_q(y_1, d) &= \mathcal{P}_q(y_1, d-1) \cup \{p(d)\}. \end{aligned}$$

First we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, p}(e^{i\theta}) &= -\log(1 - e^{ir\theta} p^{-\sigma}) - \log(1 - e^{-ir\theta} p^{-\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r, \text{even}} p^{-\sigma}) \\ &\ll \frac{1}{p^\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Next we show that

$$-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, p}(e^{ir\theta}) \sin^2 \theta d\theta \ll \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}. \quad (35)$$

In fact, the left-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos(r\theta) + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}} p^{-\sigma}) \right) \sin^2 \theta d\theta \\
&= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(-\log(1 - 2p^{-\sigma} \cos(r\theta) + p^{-2\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}} p^{-\sigma}) \right) \sin^2 \theta d\theta \\
&= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(-\log(1 - e^{ir\theta} p^{-\sigma}) - \log(1 - e^{-ir\theta} p^{-\sigma}) - \log(1 - \delta_{r,\text{even}} p^{-\sigma}) \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{e^{2i\theta} - 2 + e^{-2i\theta}}{-4} \right) d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{hir\theta}}{hp^{h\sigma}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-kir\theta}}{kp^{k\sigma}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_{r,\text{even}}}{\ell p^{\ell\sigma}} \right) \left(\frac{e^{2i\theta} - 2 + e^{-2i\theta}}{+4} \right) d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

We compute the right-hand side of the above termwisely. When $r = 1$, only the contributions of the terms corresponding to $h = 2$ and $k = 2$ remain, and the right-hand side is

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2p^{2\sigma}} + \frac{1}{2p^{2\sigma}} \right) d\theta = \frac{1}{2p^{2\sigma}}.$$

When $r = 2$, the remaining terms are those corresponding to $h = 1$, $k = 1$ and $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$, and hence the right-hand side is

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{p^\sigma} + \frac{1}{p^\sigma} - 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell p^{\ell\sigma}} \right) d\theta = - \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell p^{\ell\sigma}} \ll \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}. \quad (36)$$

Therefore we obtain (35). (Here, it is an important point in the proof that the terms of the form $1/p^\sigma$ are finally cancelled with each other in the computations (36).)

Now we evaluate the following difference:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y_1, d)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y_1, 0)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y_1, i)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y_1, i-1)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y_1, i-1)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, p^{(i)}}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - 1 \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, p^{(i)}}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - 1 \right|, \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

where on the last inequality we used (25). Here we show

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, x) = 1 + O\left(\frac{|x| + |x|^2}{p^{2\sigma}}\right). \quad (38)$$

In fact, applying (22) (with $\Psi = \psi_x$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \psi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,p}(e^{ir\theta})) \sin^2 \theta d\theta \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi (1 + ix\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,p}(e^{ir\theta}) + O(|x|^2|\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,p}(e^{ir\theta})|^2)) \sin^2 \theta d\theta,\end{aligned}$$

and hence, applying (34), (35) and the fact

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin^2 \theta d\theta = 1,$$

we obtain (38). From (38) we find that the right-hand side of (37) is

$$\ll \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{|x| + |x|^2}{p(i)^{2\sigma}}. \quad (39)$$

Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists large number $y = y(x, \sigma, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y_2)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y_1)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| < \varepsilon \quad (y_2 > y_1 > y),$$

for any $\sigma > 1/2$. This implies that there exists the limit

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(y)} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,p}(\text{Sym}^0, x)$$

and its convergence is uniform in $|x| \leq a$ for any real number $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, because of (38), it follows that the infinite product $\prod_{\varphi \in \mathbb{P}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,\varphi}(\text{Sym}^0, x)$ is absolutely convergent and it is uniform in $|x| \leq a$ for any $\sigma > 1/2$. \square

Remark 7. The Fourier inverse transform gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \psi_{-u}(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u). \quad (40)$$

The case $t = 1$ of Lemma 2 (a) implies that $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ is continuous if $\mathcal{P}_q \supset \mathcal{P}_q^0$.

Remark 8. We have shown in Proposition 1 that the support of $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$, which we denote by $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q})$, is compact. Here we show that when $\mathcal{P} \supset \mathcal{P}_q^0$, then $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}) \subset C_\sigma(\mathcal{P}_q)$, where $C_\sigma(\mathcal{P}_q)$ denotes the closure of the image of $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}$. In fact, for any $x \notin C_\sigma(\mathcal{P}_q)$, we can choose a non-negative continuous function Ψ_x such that $\Psi_x(x) > 0$ and $\text{Supp}(\Psi_x) \cap C_\sigma(\mathcal{P}_q) = \emptyset$. Then the right-hand side of (19) with $\Psi = \Psi_x$ is equal to 0, and so is the left-hand side. However, if $x \in \text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q})$, then the left-hand side of (19) with $\Psi = \Psi_x$ is positive, because $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ is non-negative and continuous by Remark 7. Therefore $x \notin \text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q})$, and hence the assertion.

Lemma 2 yields the next proposition which is the analogue of [8, Proposition 3.4] and [14, Proposition 3.2] (whose idea goes back to [6]). Since the proof is omitted in [8] and [14], here we describe the detailed proof.

Proposition 2. *For any $\sigma > 1/2$, the convergence of the limit*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x)$$

is uniform in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, this convergence is L^t -convergence and the function $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x)$ belongs to L^t ($1 \leq t \leq \infty$).

Proof. By (33), for any $\mathcal{P}_q \supset \mathcal{P}_q^0$, for $t \in [1, \infty)$ we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|^t |dx|$$

is bounded by a constant depending only on σ, t , and \mathcal{P}_q^0 . Therefore, for any positive real number $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R_t = R_t(\varepsilon, \sigma, \mathcal{P}_q^0) > 1$ such that

$$\int_{|x| > R_t} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|^t |dx| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{t+1}}. \quad (41)$$

Using (32) we can choose R_∞ sufficiently large so that

$$\sup_{|x| > R_\infty} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (42)$$

also holds. For any real number $y > 0$ which satisfies $\mathbb{P}_q \supset \mathcal{P}_q(y) \supset \mathcal{P}_q^0$, let Y be a real number satisfying $\mathbb{P}_q \supset \mathcal{P}_q(Y) \supset \mathcal{P}_q(y)$. Since (25) in Lemma 1 and (c) of Lemma 2 yield that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|^t \\ &= \lim_{Y \rightarrow \infty} \left| \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(Y)} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|^t \\ &= \lim_{Y \rightarrow \infty} \left| \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(Y) \setminus \mathcal{P}_q(y)} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - 1 \right|^t \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|^t \\ &\leq \lim_{Y \rightarrow \infty} 2^t \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|^t = 2^t \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|^t, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

from (41) (with $\mathcal{P}_q = \mathcal{P}_q^0$) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x| > R_t} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|^t |dx| \\ &\leq 2^t \int_{|x| > R_t} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|^t |dx| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

From (c), we see that there exists $y' = y'(\varepsilon, \sigma, t, R_t) = y'(\varepsilon, \sigma, t, \mathcal{P}_q^0) > 0$ such that

$$\left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{1/t} \left(\frac{1}{2R_t} \right)^{1/t}$$

for $|x| \leq R_t$ and any $y > y'$. Then we have

$$\int_{|x| \leq R_t} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|^t |dx| \leq \int_{|x| \leq R_t} \frac{\varepsilon}{4R_t} |dx| = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (45)$$

From (44) and (45) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|^t |dx| < \varepsilon,$$

for any $y > y'$, and so $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) \in L^t$ for $t \in [1, \infty)$.

As for the case $t = \infty$, first notice that there exists $y'' = y''(\varepsilon, \sigma, R_\infty) = y''(\varepsilon, \sigma, \mathcal{P}_q^0)$ with $\mathbb{P}_q \supset \mathcal{P}_q(y'') \supset \mathcal{P}_q^0$ such that

$$\sup_{|x| \leq R_\infty} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y'')}\left(\text{Sym}^0, x\right) \right| < \varepsilon$$

by (c). From (42) and (43) (with $t = 1$), we see that

$$\sup_{|x| > R_\infty} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y'')}\left(\text{Sym}^0, x\right) \right| < 2 \sup_{|x| > R_\infty} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y'')}\left(\text{Sym}^0, x\right) \right| < \varepsilon,$$

hence the case $t = \infty$ follows. \square

Remark 9. The convergence in the above proposition is also uniform in σ , in the region $\sigma \geq 1/2 + \varepsilon$ (for any $\varepsilon > 0$).

Let $n \geq 3$. Recall that (32) holds for any $\mathcal{P}_q(y) \supset \mathcal{P}_q^{0,n}$. Therefore Proposition 2 implies

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) = O_n \left((1 + |x|)^{-n/2} \right), \quad (46)$$

where the implied constant depends on σ and $\mathcal{P}_q^{0,n}$. We also have

$$\left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| \leq 1 \quad (47)$$

from (31).

Finally, we define the function $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) \psi_{-u}(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad (48)$$

(analogous to (40)), where we can see that the right-hand side of this equation is absolutely convergent since $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) \in L^1$ (or, more quantitatively, by (46)).

Proposition 3. For $\sigma > 1/2$, the function $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ satisfies the following five properties.

- (1) $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, u) = \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ and this convergence is uniform in u .
- (2) The function $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ is continuous in u and non-negative.
- (3) $\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) = 0$.
- (4) The functions $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x)$ are Fourier duals of each other.
- (5) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = 1$.

This is the analogue of Proposition 3.5 in [8] and the proof is similar.

Proof. (1). From (44) with $t = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x| > R_1} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| |dx| \\ & \leq 2 \int_{|x| > R_1} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| |dx| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

for $\mathcal{P}_q^0 \subset \mathcal{P}_q(y)$. On the other hand, from (c), there exists $y''' = y'''(\varepsilon, \sigma, R_1) > 0$ such that

$$\left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4R_1}$$

for any x with $|x| \leq R_1$ and for any $y > y'''$. Then we have

$$\int_{|x| < R_1} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore for any $y > y'''$ with $\mathbb{P}_q \supset \mathcal{P}_q(y) \supset \mathcal{P}_q^0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) - \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| dx < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(2). Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) \in L^1$ by Proposition 2, $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ is continuous. Since $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ is non-negative function for any $y > 1$, $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ is also non-negative by (1).

(3). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $y > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) - \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \right| < \varepsilon$$

for any u by (1). Therefore we see that

$$\left| \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) \right| < \left| \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \right| + \varepsilon.$$

Since the support of $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(y)}(\text{Sym}^0, u)$ is compact, we see that

$$\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \leq \varepsilon,$$

and since ε is arbitrary, the limit should be 0.

(4). We know $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma}(\text{Sym}^0, x)$ is in L^2 . By the inversion formula, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \psi_x(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma}(\text{Sym}^0, x). \quad (50)$$

(5). Putting $x = 0$ in (50), we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma}(\text{Sym}^0, 0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

On the other hand, from (c) we know

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma}(\text{Sym}^0, 0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}_q(y)} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, 0)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, 0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = 1$$

by Proposition 1. □

4 The key lemma and the deduction of Theorem 1

For a fixed $\sigma > 1/2$ and a finite set \mathcal{P}_q satisfying $\mathcal{P}_q^0 \subset \mathcal{P}_q \subset \mathbb{P}_q$, from (17) we see that

$$\psi_x \circ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^r(\mathcal{P}_q)) = \psi_x(\log L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)).$$

Therefore, to prove our Theorem 1, it is important to consider the average

$$\text{Avg}(\psi_x \circ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}) = \lim_{q^m \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \psi_x \circ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^r(\mathcal{P}_q)).$$

Remark 10. We may understand that \mathcal{P}_q^0 and \mathcal{P}_q are fixed, when $q^m \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, if all q is bounded by a constant Q and $m \rightarrow \infty$, we may assume that any element of \mathcal{P}_q^0 (and of \mathcal{P}_q) is larger than Q . If $q \rightarrow \infty$, we may assume that q is sufficiently large, so is larger than any element of \mathcal{P}_q^0 and \mathcal{P}_q .

Our first aim in this section is to show the following

Lemma 3. *Let \mathcal{P}_q be a fixed finite subset of \mathbb{P}_q . In the case $2 \leq k < 12$ or $k = 14$, for $r = 1, 2$ (i.e. $\rho = 0$), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Avg}(\psi_x \circ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}) &= \int_{\Theta_{\mathcal{P}_q}} \psi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(e^{i\theta_{\mathcal{P}_q} r})) d^{\text{ST}} \theta_{\mathcal{P}_q} \\ &= \int_{T_{\mathcal{P}_q}} \psi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}^r)) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) d^H t_{\mathcal{P}_q}. \end{aligned}$$

The above convergence is uniform in $|x| \leq R$ for any $R > 0$.

This lemma is an analogue of [14, Lemma 4.1], and the structure of the proof is similar.

Proof. Let $1 > \varepsilon' > 0$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}_q$. Considering the Taylor expansion of $g_{\sigma, p}(t) = -\log(1 - tp^{-\sigma})$, we find that there exist an $M_p = M_p(\varepsilon', R) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_{m_p} = c_{m_p}(x, p, \sigma) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\psi_x \circ g_{\sigma, p}$ can be approximated by a polynomial as

$$\left| \psi_x \circ g_{\sigma, p}(t) - \sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} c_{m_p} t^{m_p} \right| < \varepsilon', \quad (51)$$

uniformly on T with respect to t and also on $|x| \leq R$ with respect to x . Here $c_0 = 1$. Write

$$\phi_{\sigma, p}(t_p; M_p) = \sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} c_{m_p} t_p^{m_p}$$

and define

$$\Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}; M_{\mathcal{P}_q}) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \phi_{\sigma, p}(t_p; M_p) \phi_{\sigma, p}(t_p^{-1}; M_p) \phi_{\sigma, p}(\delta_{r, \text{even}}; M_p),$$

where $M_{\mathcal{P}_q} = (M_p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q}$. Let $\varepsilon'' > 0$. Choosing ε' (depending on $|\mathcal{P}_q|$ and ε'') sufficiently small, we obtain $M_{\mathcal{P}_q}$ such that

$$|\psi_x \circ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}) - \Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}; M_{\mathcal{P}_q})| < \varepsilon'', \quad (52)$$

again uniformly on $T_{\mathcal{P}_q}$ with respect to $t_{\mathcal{P}_q}$ and also on $|x| \leq R$ with respect to x . In fact, since

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_x \circ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \psi_x(g_{\sigma, p}(t_p)) \psi_x(g_{\sigma, p}(t_p^{-1})) \psi_x(g_{\sigma, p}(\delta_{r, \text{even}})) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} (\phi_{\sigma, p}(t_p; M_p) + O(\varepsilon')) (\phi_{\sigma, p}(t_p^{-1}; M_p) + O(\varepsilon')) (\phi_{\sigma, p}(\delta_{r, \text{even}}; M_p) + O(\varepsilon')) \\ &= \Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}; M_{\mathcal{P}_q}) + (\text{remainder terms}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain (52). Here, in the final stage, we use the fact $\phi_{\sigma,p} = O(1)$ which follows from (51).

First we express the average of the value of $\psi_x \circ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}$ by using $\Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. From (52) with $t_{\mathcal{P}_q} = \alpha_f(\mathcal{P}_q)$, $\varepsilon'' = \varepsilon/2$ and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \psi_x \circ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^r(\mathcal{P}_q)) - \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^r(\mathcal{P}_q); M_{\mathcal{P}_q}) \right| \\ & < \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \varepsilon'' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(1 + O(E(q^m))). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Therefore, if q^m is sufficiently large, from (13) we see that

$$\left| \sum'_{f \in S(q^m)} \psi_x \circ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^r(\mathcal{P}_q)) - \sum'_{f \in S(q^m)} \Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^r(\mathcal{P}_q); M_{\mathcal{P}_q}) \right| < \varepsilon. \quad (54)$$

Next we calculate $\Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}$ as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^r(\mathcal{P}_q); M_{\mathcal{P}_q}) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} c_{m_p} e^{im_p r \theta_f(p)} \right) \left(\sum_{n_p=0}^{M_p} c_{n_p} e^{-in_p r \theta_f(p)} \right) \left(\sum_{\ell_p=0}^{M_p} c_{\ell_p} \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{\ell_p} \right) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\sum_{\ell_p=0}^{M_p} c_{\ell_p} \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{\ell_p} \right) \left(\sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} c_{m_p}^2 + \sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} \sum_{\substack{n_p=0 \\ m_p \neq n_p}}^{M_p} c_{m_p} e^{im_p r \theta_f(p)} c_{n_p} e^{-in_p r \theta_f(p)} \right) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\sum_{\ell_p=0}^{M_p} c_{\ell_p} \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{\ell_p} \right) \left(\sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} c_{m_p}^2 + \sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} \sum_{\substack{n_p=0 \\ m_p < n_p}}^{M_p} c_{m_p} c_{n_p} e^{i(m_p - n_p) r \theta_f(p)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} \sum_{\substack{n_p=0 \\ m_p < n_p}}^{M_p} c_{m_p} c_{n_p} e^{i(n_p - m_p) r \theta_f(p)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $n_p - m_p = \nu_p$, the right-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\sum_{\ell_p=0}^{M_p} c_{\ell_p} \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{\ell_p} \right) \left(\sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} c_{m_p}^2 + \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{M_p} \sum_{n_p=\nu_p}^{M_p} c_{n_p - \nu_p} c_{n_p} (e^{i\nu_p r \theta_f(p)} + e^{-i\nu_p r \theta_f(p)}) \right) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\sum_{\ell_p=0}^{M_p} c_{\ell_p} \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{\ell_p} \right) \left(\sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} c_{m_p}^2 + \sum_{\nu_p=3}^{M_p} \sum_{n_p=\nu_p}^{M_p} c_{n_p - \nu_p} c_{n_p} (e^{i\nu_p r \theta_f(p)} + e^{-i\nu_p r \theta_f(p)}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{n_p=2}^{M_p} c_{n_p-2} c_{n_p} (e^{2ir \theta_f(p)} + e^{-2ir \theta_f(p)}) + \sum_{n_p=1}^{M_p} c_{n_p-1} c_{n_p} (e^{ir \theta_f(p)} + e^{-ir \theta_f(p)}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in the case $r = 1$, using (3) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f(\mathcal{P}_q); M_{\mathcal{P}_q}) \\
&= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} c_{m_p}^2 + \sum_{r_p=3}^{M_p} \sum_{n_p=\nu_p}^{M_p} c_{n_p-\nu_p} c_{n_p} (\lambda_f(p^{\nu_p}) - \lambda_f(p^{\nu_p-2})) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n_p=2}^{M_p} c_{n_p-2} c_{n_p} (\lambda_f(p^2) - 1) + \sum_{n_p=1}^{M_p} c_{n_p-1} c_{n_p} \lambda_f(p) \right) \quad (55)
\end{aligned}$$

In the case $r = 2$, similarly we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^2(\mathcal{P}_q); M_{\mathcal{P}_q}) \\
&= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\sum_{\ell_p=0}^{M_p} c_{\ell_p} \right) \left(\sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} c_{m_p}^2 + \sum_{\nu_p=3}^{M_p} \sum_{n_p=\nu_p}^{M_p} c_{n_p-r_p} c_{n_p} (\lambda_f(p^{2\nu_p}) - \lambda_f(p^{2\nu_p-2})) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n_p=2}^{M_p} c_{n_p-2} c_{n_p} (\lambda_f(p^4) - \lambda_f(p^2)) + \sum_{n_p=1}^{M_p} c_{n_p-1} c_{n_p} (\lambda_f(p^2) - 1) \right) \quad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

From (55) and (56), by using (11) and the multiplicity of λ_f , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum'_{f \in S(q^m)} \Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^r(\mathcal{P}_q); M_{\mathcal{P}_q}) \\
&= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\sum_{\ell_p=0}^{M_p} c_{\ell_p} \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{\ell_p} \right) \left(\sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} c_{m_p}^2 - \sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p-\diamond} c_{m_p} c_{m_p+\diamond} \right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\sum_{\ell_p=0}^{M_p} c_{\ell_p} \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{\ell_p} \right) E(q^m) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\diamond = 2$ if $r = 1$ and $\diamond = 1$ if $r = 2$. In this estimate, the implied constant of the error term depends on \mathcal{P}_q , x , σ and $M_{\mathcal{P}_q} = M_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\varepsilon', R)$ (hence depends on ε under the above choice of ε'). But still, this error term is smaller than ε for sufficiently large q^m . Combining this with (54), we obtain

$$\left| \sum'_{f \in S(q^m)} \psi_x \circ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\alpha_f^r(\mathcal{P}_q)) - \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\sum_{\ell_p=0}^{M_p} c_{\ell_p} \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{\ell_p} \right) \left(\sum_{m_p} c_{m_p}^2 - \sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p-\diamond} c_{m_p} c_{m_p+\diamond} \right) \right| < 2\varepsilon. \quad (57)$$

Lastly we calculate the integral in the statement of Lemma 3. Putting $\Psi = \psi_x$ in (19) of Proposition 1, we already know that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Theta_{\mathcal{P}_q}} \psi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(e^{i\theta_{\mathcal{P}_q} r})) d^{\text{ST}} \theta_{\mathcal{P}_q} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}_q}} \psi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}^r)) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) d^H t_{\mathcal{P}_q},
\end{aligned}$$

so our remaining task is to prove that these integrals are equal to $\text{Avg}(\psi_x \circ \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q})$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, using (52), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}_q}} \psi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}^r)) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) d^H t_{\mathcal{P}_q} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}_q}} \left(\psi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}^r)) - \Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}^r; M_{\mathcal{P}_q}) \right) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) d^H t_{\mathcal{P}_q} \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}_q}} \Phi_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}^r; M_{\mathcal{P}_q}) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) d^H t_{\mathcal{P}_q} \\
&= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \phi_{\sigma, p}(t_p^r; M_p) \phi_{\sigma, p}(t_p^{-r}; M_p) \phi_{\sigma, p}(\delta_{r, \text{even}}; M_p) \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) \frac{dt_p}{2\pi i t_p} + O(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{T}} \phi_{\sigma, p}(t_p^r; M_p) \phi_{\sigma, p}(t_p^{-r}; M_p) \phi_{\sigma, p}(\delta_{r, \text{even}}; M_p) \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) \frac{dt_p}{2\pi i t_p} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{M_p} c_m t_p^{mr} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{M_p} c_n t_p^{-nr} \right) \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{M_p} c_n \delta_{r, \text{even}}^\ell \right) \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) \frac{dt_p}{2\pi i t_p} \\
&= \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{M_p} c_n \delta_{r, \text{even}}^\ell \right) \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{M_p} c_m e^{imr\theta} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{M_p} c_n e^{-inr\theta} \right) \left(\frac{e^{2i\theta} - 2 + e^{-2i\theta}}{-2} \right) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\
&= \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{M_p} c_n \delta_{r, \text{even}}^\ell \right) \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{M_p} c_m^2 + \sum_{m=0}^{M_p} \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ m \neq n}}^{M_p} c_m c_n e^{i(m-n)r\theta} \right) \left(\frac{e^{2i\theta} - 2 + e^{-2i\theta}}{-2} \right) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\
&= \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{M_p} c_n \delta_{r, \text{even}}^\ell \right) \begin{cases} \sum_{m=0}^{M_p} c_m^2 - \sum_{m=0}^{M_p-2} c_m c_{m+2} & r = 1 \\ \sum_{m=0}^{M_p} c_m^2 - \sum_{m=0}^{M_p-1} c_m c_{m+1} & r = 2, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}_q}} \psi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(t_{\mathcal{P}_q}^r)) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) d^H t_{\mathcal{P}_q} \\
&= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{M_p} c_n \delta_{r, \text{even}}^\ell \right) \left(\sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p} c_{m_p}^2 - \sum_{m_p=0}^{M_p-\diamond} c_{m_p} c_{m_p+\diamond} \right) + O(\varepsilon). \quad (58)
\end{aligned}$$

From (57) and (58) we find that the identity in the statement of Lemma 3 holds with the error $O(\varepsilon)$, but this error can be arbitrarily small if we choose sufficiently large q^m , so the assertion of Lemma 3 follows. \square

The next lemma is an analogue of [14, Lemma 4.3].

Lemma 4. *Suppose Assumptions (Analytical conditions) and (GRH), in the case $2 \leq k < 12$ or $k = 14$, for $\sigma > 1/2$ and $r = 1, 2$, we have*

$$\text{Avg} \psi_x(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) \psi_x(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

where $\psi_x(u) = \exp(ixu)$. The above convergence is uniform in $|x| \leq R$ for any $R > 0$.

We will prove this lemma in the next section. We note that this lemma is actually the special case $\Psi = \psi_x$ of our main Theorem 1. To show this lemma is the core of the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 and Remark 2. From Lemma 4, we can obtain Theorem 1 by the same argument as in [14, Section 7] (or in [8, Section 9]), with corrections presented in [13]. We omit the details here. We only note that we use (47) in the argument.

The assertion in Remark 2 can be deduced easily from Remark 8 as follows. First note that, by (17), the support of $\log L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)$ is included in $C_\sigma(\mathcal{P}_q)$. If $\sigma > 1$, $C_\sigma(\mathcal{P}_q)$ remains bounded when $|\mathcal{P}_q| \rightarrow \infty$. Let B_σ be the closure of the union of all $C_\sigma(\mathcal{P}_q)$, where \mathcal{P}_q runs over all possible finite subset of \mathbb{P}_q . This is surely a compact set. By Remark 2 we see that $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}) \subset B_\sigma$ for any \mathcal{P}_q , hence $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}_\sigma) \subset B_\sigma$. Therefore $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}_\sigma)$ is compact.

Let $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. Define a bounded continuous Ψ_0 satisfying $\Psi_0(x) = \Psi(x)$ for $x \in B_\sigma$ and $\Psi_0(x) = 0$ if $|x|$ is sufficiently large. Then by Theorem 1, formula (10) is valid for $\Psi = \Psi_0$. However, since $\Psi_0 = \Psi$ on B_σ , we can replace Ψ_0 by Ψ on the both sides of (10). Therefore the condition “bounded continuous” in Theorem 1 can be relaxed to “continuous”. \square

5 Proof of Lemma 4

We begin with the case $\sigma > 1$.

Proof of Lemma 4 in the case $\sigma > 1$. Since $\sigma > 1$, we can find a sufficiently large finite subset $\mathcal{P}_q \subset \mathbb{P}_q$ for which it holds that

$$|L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) - L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)| < \varepsilon$$

and $|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x)| < \varepsilon$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. The

last inequality is provided by Proposition 2. Using this \mathcal{P}_q , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \psi_x(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) \psi_x(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right| \\
& \leq \left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \left(\psi_x(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) - \psi_x(\log L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) \right) \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \psi_x(\log L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \psi_x(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \psi_x(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) \psi_x(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right| \\
& = S_1 + S_2 + S_3,
\end{aligned}$$

say. We remind the relation

$$|\psi_x(u) - \psi_x(u')| \ll |x| \cdot |u - u'| \quad (59)$$

for $u \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Ihara [6, (6.5.19)] or Ihara-Matsumoto [8]). We see that

$$S_1 \ll |x| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \left(\left| \log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) - \log L_{\mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) \right| \right)$$

and

$$S_3 = \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|.$$

Therefore $|S_1|$ and $|S_3|$ are $O(\varepsilon)$ for large $|\mathcal{P}_q|$, with the implied constant depending on R . As for the estimate on $|S_2|$, we use Proposition 1 and Lemma 3, whose convergence is uniform on $|x| \leq R$. This completes the proof. \square

Now we proceed to the proof in the more difficult case $1 \geq \sigma > 1/2$. Let

$$F(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) = \frac{L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s)}{L_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s)}.$$

Under Assumption (Analytical conditions), for $2 \geq \sigma > 1/2$, we have

$$\frac{F'}{F}(\text{Sym}_f^r, s) \ll \log q^m + (\log(1 + |t|)) \quad (60)$$

analogously to [14, (5.7)]. In [14], we used the assumption $q > Q(\mu)$ (where μ is a positive integer and $Q(\mu)$ is the smallest prime satisfying $2^\mu / \sqrt{Q(\mu)} < 1$), but this type of assumption is not necessary here because of (6). From (60) we can deduce the following lemma, which is an analogue of [14, Lemma 5.1 and Remark 5.2], whose basic idea is due to Duke [3] (Assumption (GRH) is necessary here).

Lemma 5. *Suppose Assumptions (Analytical conditions) and (GRH). Let f be a primitive form in $S_k(q^m)$, where q is a prime number. For fixed $r \geq 1$ and $\sigma = 1/2 + \delta$ ($0 < \delta \leq 1/2$), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) - \log L_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) - \mathcal{S}_r \\ & \ll_r \frac{1}{\delta(\log q^m)^{2\delta}} + (\log q^m)^{-1/2} + (q^{m/4(k-1)r})^{-\delta/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_r = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}_q \setminus \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \frac{\lambda_f(p^r)}{p^\sigma} e^{-p/q^{m/(k-1)r}}.$$

Now let $r = 1, 2$ (i.e. $\rho = 0$). Because of (50), the desired assertion is the claim that

$$\left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \psi_x(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| \quad (62)$$

tends to 0 as $q^m \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed q or fixed m , when $1 \geq \sigma > 1/2$.

First, using (59), we can see the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \psi_x(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \left(\psi_x(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) - \psi_x(\log L_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) \right) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \psi_x(\log L_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| \\ & \ll \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} |x| \left(\left| \log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) - \log L_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) - \mathcal{S}_r \right| + |\mathcal{S}_r| \right) \\ & \quad + \left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \psi_x(\log L_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

this sum being denoted by

$$\mathcal{X}_{\log q^m} + \mathcal{Y}_{\log q^m} + \mathcal{Z}_{\log q^m},$$

say. From Proposition 2, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a number $N_0 = N_0(\varepsilon)$ for which

$$\left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, x) \right| < \varepsilon$$

holds for any $q^m > N_0$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore

$$\lim_{q^m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Z}_{\log q^m} = 0. \quad (64)$$

On the estimate of $\mathcal{X}_{\log q^m}$, by using (14) and (61), we find that

$$\sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} |x| \left(\left| \log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) - \log L_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma) - \mathcal{S}_r \right| \right) \rightarrow 0$$

as q^m tends to ∞ , uniformly in $|x| \leq R$. Next, from [14, (6.4)], we have

$$\sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} |\mathcal{S}_r| \ll (\log q^m)^{-\delta/2}. \quad (65)$$

Hence we see that

$$\lim_{q^m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{X}_{\log q^m} = 0 \quad (66)$$

uniformly in $|x| \leq R$.

The remaining part of this section is devoted to the estimate of $\mathcal{Y}_{\log q^m}$. According to the method [8] and [14], we begin with the Taylor expansion

$$\psi_x(g_{\sigma,p}(t_p)) = \exp(ixg_{\sigma,p}(t_p)) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^n}{n!} g_{\sigma,p}^n(t_p),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\sigma,p}^n(t_p) &= (-\log(1 - t_p p^{-\sigma}))^n = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \left(\frac{t_p}{p^\sigma} \right)^j \right)^n \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{a=j_1+\dots+j_n \\ j_\ell \geq 1}} \frac{1}{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n} \right) \left(\frac{t_p}{p^\sigma} \right)^a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_x(g_{\sigma,p}(t_p)) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^n}{n!} \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{a=j_1+\dots+j_n \\ j_\ell \geq 1}} \frac{1}{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n} \right) \left(\frac{t_p}{p^\sigma} \right)^a \\ &= 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^a \frac{(ix)^n}{n!} \left(\sum_{\substack{a=j_1+\dots+j_n \\ j_\ell \geq 1}} \frac{1}{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n} \right) \left(\frac{t_p}{p^\sigma} \right)^a, \end{aligned}$$

which we can write as

$$\psi_x(g_{\sigma,p}(t_p)) = \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} G_a(p, x) t_p^a \quad (67)$$

with

$$G_a(p, x) = \begin{cases} 1 & a = 0, \\ \frac{1}{p^{a\sigma}} \sum_{n=1}^a \frac{(ix)^n}{n!} \left(\sum_{\substack{a=j_1+\dots+j_n \\ j_\ell \geq 1}} \frac{1}{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n} \right) & a \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$G_a(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & a = 0, \\ \sum_{n=1}^a \frac{x^n}{n!} \binom{a-1}{n-1} & a \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

This notation is the same as [8, (63)]. We have

$$|G_a(p, x)| \leq \frac{1}{p^{a\sigma}} G_a(|x|) \quad (68)$$

(see [8, (65)]). From (17) and (67), we find (using the convention $\lambda_f(p^n) = 0$ for $n < 0$) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \psi_x(\log L_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) = \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \psi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, p}(\alpha_f^r(p))) \\ &= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \psi_x(g_{\sigma, p}(\alpha_f^r(p))) \psi_x(g_{\sigma, p}(\beta_f^r(p))) \psi_x(g_{\sigma, p}(\delta_{r, \text{even}})) \\ &= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}(p, x) \alpha_f^{a_p r}(p) \right) \left(\sum_{b_p=0}^{\infty} G_{b_p}(p, x) \beta_f^{b_p r}(p) \right) \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{c_p} \right) \\ &= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{c_p} \right) \left(\sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}^2(p, x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{0 \leq b_p < a_p} G_{a_p}(p, x) G_{b_p}(p, x) \alpha_f^{a_p r}(p) \beta_f^{b_p r}(p) \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{0 \leq a_p < b_p} G_{a_p}(p, x) G_{b_p}(p, x) \alpha_f^{a_p r}(p) \beta_f^{b_p r}(p) \right) \\ &= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{c_p} \right) \left(\sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}^2(p, x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{0 \leq b_p, \nu_p=1}^{\infty} G_{b_p+\nu_p}(p, x) G_{b_p}(p, x) \alpha_f^{\nu_p r}(p) \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{0 \leq a_p, \nu_p=1}^{\infty} G_{a_p}(p, x) G_{a_p+\nu_p}(p, x) \alpha_f^{-\nu_p r}(p) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{c_p} \right) \left(\sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}^2(p, x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{0 \leq b_p} \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} G_{b_p+\nu_p}(p, x) G_{b_p}(p, x) (\alpha_f^{\nu_p r}(p) + \alpha_f^{-\nu_p r}(p)) \right) \\
&= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{c_p} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{\nu_p=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_f(p^{\nu_p r}) - \lambda_f(p^{\nu_p r-2})) \left(\sum_{0 \leq b_p} G_{b_p+\nu_p}(p, x) G_{b_p}(p, x) \right) \right) \\
&=: \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{c_p} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{\nu_p=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_f(p^{\nu_p r}) - \lambda_f(p^{\nu_p r-2})) G_{p,x}(\nu_p) \right), \tag{69}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_{p,x}(\nu_p) = \sum_{0 \leq b_p} G_{b_p+\nu_p}(p, x) G_{b_p}(p, x).$$

For $r = 1$, the right-hand side of (69) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \\
&\quad \left(G_{p,x}(0) + \lambda_f(p) G_{p,x}(1) + (\lambda_f(p^2) - 1) G_{p,x}(2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\nu_p=3}^{\infty} (\lambda_f(p^{\nu_p}) - \lambda_f(p^{\nu_p-2})) G_{p,x}(\nu_p) \right) \\
&= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \\
&\quad \left((G_{p,x}(0) - G_{p,x}(2)) + \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(p^{\nu_p}) G_{p,x}(\nu_p) - \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(p^{\nu_p}) G_{p,x}(\nu_p + 2) \right) \\
&= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{\nu_p=0}^{\infty} \lambda_f(p^{\nu_p}) (G_{p,x}(\nu_p) - G_{p,x}(\nu_p + 2)) \right). \tag{70}
\end{aligned}$$

For $r = 2$, the right-hand side of (69) is

$$= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(G_{p,x}(0) + (\lambda_f(p^2) - 1)G_{p,x}(1) + \sum_{\nu_p=2}^{\infty} (\lambda_f(p^{2\nu_p}) - \lambda_f(p^{2\nu_p-2}))G_{p,x}(\nu_p) \right) \\
&= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \right) \\
& \quad \left((G_{p,x}(0) - G_{p,x}(1)) + \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(p^{2\nu_p})G_{p,x}(\nu_p) - \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(p^{2\nu_p})G_{p,x}(\nu_p + 1) \right) \\
&= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \right) \left(\sum_{\nu_p=0}^{\infty} \lambda_f(p^{2\nu_p}) (G_{p,x}(\nu_p) - G_{p,x}(\nu_p + 1)) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
G_p(\text{main}, x) &= G_{p,x}(0) - G_{p,x}(\diamond) = \begin{cases} G_{p,x}(0) - G_{p,x}(2) & r = 1 \\ G_{p,x}(0) - G_{p,x}(1) & r = 2, \end{cases} \\
G_{f,p}(\text{error}, x) &= \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(p^{\nu_p r}) (G_{p,x}(\nu_p) - G_{p,x}(\nu_p + \diamond)) \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(p^{\nu_p}) (G_{p,x}(\nu_p) - G_{p,x}(\nu_p + 2)) & r = 1 \\ \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(p^{2\nu_p}) (G_{p,x}(\nu_p) - G_{p,x}(\nu_p + 1)) & r = 2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Write $\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L\}$, where p_ℓ means the ℓ th prime number. From (69), (70), (71) and (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \psi_x(\log L_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}_f^r, \sigma)) \\
&= \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r,\text{even}}^{c_p} \right) (G_p(\text{main}, x) + G_{f,p}(\text{error}, x)) \\
&= \left(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r,\text{even}}^{c_p} \right) \right) \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \left(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} G_p(\text{main}, x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{(j_0, \dots, j_L) \neq (0, \dots, 0) \\ j_\ell \in \{0, 1\}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{p_\ell}^{1-j_\ell}(\text{main}, x) \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{f, p_\ell}^{j_\ell}(\text{error}, x) \right) \\
&= \left(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r,\text{even}}^{c_p} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} G_p(\text{main}, x) + E(q^m) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} G_p(\text{main}, x) \right. \\
& \left. + \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \sum_{\substack{(j_0, \dots, j_L) \neq (0, \dots, 0) \\ j_\ell \in \{0, 1\}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{p_\ell}^{1-j_\ell}(\text{main}, x) \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{f, p_\ell}^{j_\ell}(\text{error}, x) \right). \quad (72)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (30), Proposition 1 and (67),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, x) \\
& = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma, p}(\text{Sym}^0, u) \psi_x(u) du \\
& = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \psi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma, p}(t_p^r)) \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) \frac{dt_p}{2\pi i t_p} \\
& = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \psi_x(g_{\sigma, p}(t_p^r)) \psi_x(g_{\sigma, p}(t_p^{-r})) \psi_x(g_{\sigma, p}(\delta_{r, \text{even}})) \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) \frac{dt_p}{2\pi i t_p} \right) \\
& = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left(\sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}(p, x) t_p^{a_p r} \right) \left(\sum_{b_p=0}^{\infty} G_{b_p}(p, x) t_p^{-b_p r} \right) \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{c_p} \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) \frac{dt_p}{2\pi i t_p} \\
& = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{c_p} \right) \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \left(\sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}^2(p, x) + \sum_{\substack{a_p=0 \\ a_p \neq b_p}}^{\infty} \sum_{b_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}(p, x) G_{b_p}(p, x) t_p^{(a_p - b_p)r} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) \frac{dt_p}{2\pi i t_p} \right) \\
& = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{c_p} \right) \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \left(\sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}^2(p, x) + \sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} G_{a_p}(p, x) G_{a_p + \nu_p}(p, x) t_p^{-\nu_p r} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{b_p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} G_{b_p + \nu_p}(p, x) G_{b_p}(p, x) t_p^{\nu_p r} \right) \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) \frac{dt_p}{2\pi i t_p} \right). \quad (73)
\end{aligned}$$

For $r = 1$, the right-hand side of (73) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left(\left(\sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}^2(p, x) + \sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} G_{a_p}(p, x) G_{a_p + \nu_p}(p, x) t_p^{-\nu_p} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{b_p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} G_{b_p + \nu_p}(p, x) G_{b_p}(p, x) t_p^{\nu_p} \right) \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) \frac{dt_p}{2\pi i t_p} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}^2(p, x) - \sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}(p, x) G_{a_p+2}(p, x) \right) \\
&= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} (G_{p,x}(0) - G_{p,x}(2)) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} G_p(\text{main}, x). \tag{74}
\end{aligned}$$

For $r = 2$, the right-hand side of (73) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \right) \int_T \left(\left(\sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}^2(p, x) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} G_{a_p}(p, x) G_{a_p+\nu_p}(p, x) t_p^{-2\nu_p} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{b_p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_p=1}^{\infty} G_{b_p+\nu_p}(p, x) G_{b_p}(p, x) t_p^{2\nu_p} \right) \left(\frac{t_p^2 - 2 + t_p^{-2}}{-2} \right) \frac{dt_p}{2\pi i t_p} \right) \\
&= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \right) \left(\sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}^2(p, x) - \sum_{a_p=0}^{\infty} G_{a_p}(p, x) G_{a_p+1}(p, x) \right) \\
&= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \right) (G_{p,x}(0) - G_{p,x}(1)) \\
&= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \right) G_p(\text{main}, x). \tag{75}
\end{aligned}$$

From (73), (74) and (75), we obtain

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma, \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}(\text{Sym}^0, x) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left(\sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{c_p} \right) G_p(\text{main}, x). \tag{76}$$

Since

$$\left| \sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \right| = |\psi_x(g_{\sigma, p}(1))| = |e^{ix \log(1-p^{-\sigma})}| = 1$$

by (67), from (72) and (76) we now obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} \left| \sum_{c_p=0}^{\infty} G_{c_p}(p, x) \delta_{r, \text{even}}^{c_p} \right| \left| E(q^m) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} G_p(\text{main}, x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum'_{f \in \mathcal{S}_k(q^m)} \sum_{\substack{(j_0, \dots, j_L) \neq (0, \dots, 0) \\ j_\ell \in \{0, 1\}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{p_\ell}^{1-j_\ell}(\text{main}, x) \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{f, p_\ell}^{j_\ell}(\text{error}, x) \right| \\
&= \left| E(q^m) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} G_p(\text{main}, x) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\substack{(j_0, \dots, j_L) \neq (0, \dots, 0) \\ j_\ell \in \{0, 1\}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{p_\ell}^{1-j_\ell}(\text{main}, x) \left(\sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{f, p_\ell}^{j_\ell}(\text{error}, x) \right) \Big| \\
& \leq \left| E(q^m) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} G_p(\text{main}, x) \right| \\
& + \left| \sum_{\substack{(j_0, \dots, j_L) \neq (0, \dots, 0) \\ j_\ell \in \{0, 1\}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{p_\ell}^{1-j_\ell}(\text{main}, x) \left(\sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{f, p_\ell}^{j_\ell}(\text{error}, x) \right) \right| \\
& =: \mathcal{Y}''_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} + \mathcal{Y}'_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}, \tag{77}
\end{aligned}$$

say. Here, the definition of $\mathcal{Y}''_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}$ and $\mathcal{Y}'_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}$ are different from those in [14], but we will prove that they tend to 0 by the same argument as in [14, Section 6]. We first consider the inner sum in the definition of $\mathcal{Y}'_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)}$. Let

$$\mathbf{G}_x(n) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq L \\ j_\ell = 1}} (G_{p_\ell, x}(\nu_{p_\ell}) - G_{p_\ell, x}(\nu_{p_\ell} + \diamond))$$

only if n is of the form

$$n = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq L \\ j_\ell = 1}} p_\ell^{\nu_{p_\ell} r}, \quad \nu_{p_\ell} \geq 1,$$

and $\mathbf{G}_x(n) = 0$ for other n . Let M be a positive number, and $\eta > 0$ be an arbitrarily small positive number. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{f, p_\ell}^{j_\ell}(\text{error}, x) \\
& = \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \prod_{\ell=1}^L \left(\sum_{\nu_{p_\ell}=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(p_\ell^{\nu_{p_\ell} r}) (G_{p_\ell, x}(\nu_{p_\ell}) - G_{p_\ell, x}(\nu_{p_\ell} + \diamond)) \right)^{j_\ell} \\
& = \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \sum_{n>1} \lambda_f(n) \mathbf{G}_x(n) \\
& = \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \left(\sum_{M \geq n > 1} \lambda_f(n) \mathbf{G}_x(n) + \sum_{n > M} \lambda_f(n) \mathbf{G}_x(n) \right) \\
& \ll \left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \sum_{M \geq n > 1} \lambda_f(n) \mathbf{G}_x(n) \right| + \left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \sum_{n > M} \lambda_f(n) \mathbf{G}_x(n) \right| \\
& \ll \left| \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \sum_{M \geq n > 1} \lambda_f(n) \mathbf{G}_x(n) \right| + \sum'_{f \in S_k(q^m)} \sum_{n > M} n^\eta |\mathbf{G}_x(n)|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\ll E(q^m) \sum_{M \geq n > 1} n^{(k-1)/2} |\mathbf{G}_x(n)| + \sum_{n > M} n^\eta |\mathbf{G}_x(n)|, \quad (78)$$

where on the last inequality we used (11). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}'_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} &\ll \sum_{\substack{(j_0, \dots, j_L) \neq (0, \dots, 0) \\ j_\ell \in \{0, 1\}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^L G_{p_\ell}^{1-j_\ell}(\text{main}, x) \\ &\times \left(E(q^m) \sum_{M \geq n > 1} n^{(k-1)/2} |\mathbf{G}_x(n)| + \sum_{n > M} n^\eta |\mathbf{G}_x(n)| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

This corresponds to [14, (6.13)].

By [14, (6.14) and (6.15)], we have the estimates of $G_{p,x}(\nu_p)$ as

$$|G_{p,x}(\nu_p)| \leq \begin{cases} \left(\exp\left(\frac{|x|}{p^\sigma - 1}\right) \right)^2 & \nu_p = 0 \\ \frac{1}{p^{\nu_p \sigma/2}} \left(\exp\left(\frac{|x|}{p^\sigma - 1}\right) \right)^2 \left(\exp\left(\frac{|x|}{p^{\sigma/2} - 1}\right) \right) & \nu_p \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

These estimates yield that for $\sigma > 1/2$ and $|x| < R$, there exists a large $p_0 = p_0(\sigma, R)$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} |G(\text{main}, x)| &= |G_{p,x}(0) - G_{p,x}(\diamond)| \\ &\leq \left(\exp\left(\frac{|x|}{p^\sigma - 1}\right) \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{\nu_p \sigma/2}} \exp\left(\frac{|x|}{p^{\sigma/2} - 1}\right) \right) \leq 2 \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $p > p_0$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{Y}''_{\mathcal{P}_q(\log q^m)} = |E(q^m)| \prod_{\ell=1}^L |G_{p_\ell}(\text{main}, x)| \ll_{\sigma, R} |E(q^m)| 2^L \rightarrow 0 \quad (80)$$

as $q^m \rightarrow \infty$ by the same argument as in [14, p. 2077]. Further, since $\nu_p \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{G}_x(n)| &\leq \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq L \\ j_\ell = 1}} (2 \max\{|G_{p_\ell, x}(\nu_p)|, |G_{p_\ell, x}(\nu_p + \diamond)|\}) \\ &\leq \frac{2^L}{n^{\sigma r/2}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq L \\ j_\ell = 1}} \left(\exp\left(\frac{|x|}{p^\sigma - 1}\right) \right)^2 \left(\exp\left(\frac{|x|}{p^{\sigma/2} - 1}\right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

From the estimates (79) and (81), we see that $\mathcal{Y}'_{\log q^m} \rightarrow 0$ as $q^m \rightarrow \infty$ by the same argument as in [14, pp. 2075–2077]. Therefore we conclude that

$$\lim_{q^m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Y}_{\log q^m} \rightarrow 0. \quad (82)$$

Finally we see that Lemma 4 is established, by substituting (64), (66) and (82) into (63).

6 Proof of Corollary 1 for $r \geq 3$.

In the previous sections, we proved the formula of Corollary 1 for $r = 1$ and $r = 2$. We suppose Corollary 1 is valid for all $r < \mathfrak{r}$. From [14, Theorem 1.5] we know that there exists \mathcal{M}_σ^* such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma^*(u) \Psi(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \text{Avg} \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^{\mathfrak{r}}, \sigma) - \log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^{\mathfrak{r}-2}, \sigma)).$$

Now assume $\Psi(x+y) = \Psi(x) + \Psi(y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma^*(u) \Psi(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\ &= \text{Avg} \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^{\mathfrak{r}}, \sigma)) - \text{Avg} \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^{\mathfrak{r}-2}, \sigma)), \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

and by induction assumption

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Avg}_\star \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{Sym}_f^{\mathfrak{r}-2}, \sigma)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) \Psi(u) du \\ &+ \rho' \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma^*(u) \Psi(u) du, \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

where $\rho' = (\mathfrak{r} - 3)/2$ if $\mathfrak{r} - 2$ is odd and $\rho' = (\mathfrak{r} - 2)/2 - 1$ if $\mathfrak{r} - 2$ is even. Since

$$\rho' + 1 = \begin{cases} (\mathfrak{r} - 1)/2 & \mathfrak{r} - 2 \text{ is odd} \\ \mathfrak{r}/2 - 1 & \mathfrak{r} - 2 \text{ is even} \end{cases} = \rho,$$

from (83) and (84) we obtain

$$\text{Avg}_\star \Psi(\log L_{\mathbb{P}_q}(\text{sym}_f^{\mathfrak{r}}, \sigma)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\text{Sym}^0, u) \Psi(u) du + \rho \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma^*(u) \Psi(u) du \right).$$

If Ψ is continuous and satisfies $\Psi(x+y) = \Psi(x) + \Psi(y)$, then $\Psi(x) = cx$ with a certain constant c . Therefore we obtain Corollary 1.

References

- [1] H. Bohr and B. Jessen, Über die Werteverteilung der Riemannschen Zetafunktion, Erste Mitteilung, *Acta Math.* **54** (1930), 1–35; Zweite Mitteilung, *ibid.* **58** (1932), 1–55.
- [2] J. Cogdell and P. Michel, On the complex moments of symmetric power L functions at $s = 1$, *Intern. Math. Res. Notices* (2004), n.31, 1561–1617.
- [3] W. Duke, Extreme values of Artin L -functions and class numbers, *Compositio Math.* **136** (2003) 103–115.
- [4] S. Gelbart and H. Jacquet, A relation between automorphic representations of $\text{GL}(2)$ and $\text{GL}(3)$, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup.* (4) **11** (1978), 471–542.

- [5] Y. Ichihara, The first moment of L -functions of primitive forms on $\Gamma_0(p^\alpha)$ and a basis of old forms, *J. Number Theory* **131** (2011) 343–362.
- [6] Y. Ihara, On “ M -Functions” closely related to the distribution of L'/L -values, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **44** (2008) 893–954.
- [7] Y. Ihara and K. Matsumoto, On L -functions over function fields: Power-means of error-terms and distribution of L'/L -values, in “Algebraic Number Theory and Related Topics 2008”, H. Nakamura et al. (eds.), *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu* **B19**, 2010, pp. 221–247.
- [8] Y. Ihara and K. Matsumoto, On certain mean values and the value-distribution of logarithms of Dirichlet L -functions, *Quart. J. Math.* **62** (2011) 637–677.
- [9] Y. Ihara and K. Matsumoto, On $\log L$ and L'/L for L -functions and the associated “ M -functions”: connections in optimal cases, *Moscow Math. J.* **11** (2011), 73–111.
- [10] Y. Ihara and K. Matsumoto, On the value-distribution of logarithmic derivatives of Dirichlet L -functions, *Analytic Number Theory, Approximation Theory, and Special Functions*, 79–91, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [11] B. Jessen and A. Wintner, Distribution functions and the Riemann zeta function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **38** (1935), 48–88.
- [12] P. Lebacque and A. Zykin, On M -functions associated with modular forms, *Moscow Math. J.* **18** (2018), 437–472.
- [13] K. Matsumoto, An M -function associated with Goldbach’s problem, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **36** (2021), 339–352.
- [14] K. Matsumoto and Y. Umegaki, On the value-distribution of the difference between logarithms of two symmetric power L -functions, *Int. J. Number Theory* **14** (2018), no. 7, 2045–2081.
- [15] K. Matsumoto and Y. Umegaki, On the density function for the value-distribution of automorphic L -functions, *J. Number Theory* **198** (2019), 176–199.
- [16] K. Matsumoto and Y. Umegaki, On the value-distribution of symmetric power L -functions, in “Topics in Number Theory : On the Occasion of the 130th Birth Anniversary of Srinivasa Ramanujan”, Ramanujan Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, vol. **26**, *Ramanujan Mathematical Society*, 2020., 147–167.
- [17] M. Mine, On M -functions for the value-distribution of L -functions, *Lith. Math. J.* **59** (2019), 96–110.
- [18] M. Mine, Probability density functions attached to random Euler products for automorphic L -functions, to appear in *Quart. J. Math.*

- [19] M. Miyake, *Modular Forms*, Springer, 1989.
- [20] C. J. Moreno and F. Shahidi, The L -functions $L(s, \text{Sym}^m(r), \pi)$, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **28** (1985), 405–410.
- [21] J. Rouse, Atkin-Serre type conjectures for automorphic representations on $GL(2)$, *Math. Res. Lett.* **14** (2007), no. 2, 189–204.
- [22] J. Rouse and J. Thorner, The explicit Sato-Tate conjecture and densities pertaining to Lehmer-type questions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017), 3575–3604.
- [23] G. Shimura, On the holomorphy of certain Dirichlet series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3)**31** (1975), 79–98.
- [24] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, Oxford, 1951.

Philippe Lebacque:

Laboratoires de Mathématiques de Besançon,
 UFR Sciences dt techniques 16, route de Gray 25 030 Besançon, France.
 philippe.lebacque@univ-fcomte.fr

Kohji Matsumoto:

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusa-ku,
 Nagoya 464-8602, Japan.
 kohjimat@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Yumiko Umegaki:

Department of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Nara Women’s University,
 Kitaoyu Nishimachi, Nara 630-8506, Japan.
 ichihara@cc.nara-wu.ac.jp