

# ARAKELOV INEQUALITIES IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

SÁNDOR J KOVÁCS AND BEHROUZ TAJI

ABSTRACT. We develop a Hodge theoretic invariant for families of projective manifolds that measures the potential failure of an Arakelov-type inequality in higher dimensions, one that naturally generalizes the classical Arakelov inequality over regular quasi-projective curves. We show that for families of manifolds with ample canonical bundle this invariant is uniformly bounded. As a consequence we establish that such families over a base of arbitrary dimension verify the aforementioned Arakelov inequality, answering a question of Viehweg.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries and Background	4
3. Twisted direct image sheaves and Viehweg numbers	10
4. Finite-type substacks of the stack of canonically polarized manifolds and boundedness of Viehweg numbers	14
5. Higher dimensional Arakelov inequalities	21
References	23

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a smooth projective morphism  $f_U : U \rightarrow V$  of smooth quasi-projective varieties with connected fibers it is natural to ask if there is a numerical connection between positivity properties of the two associated canonical objects; the dualizing sheaves of  $f_U$  (relative dualizing sheaf) and  $V$ . To prove Shafarevich's conjecture on finiteness of isomorphism classes of non-isotrivial families over curves, in [Ara71] Arakelov established a universal such connection for all families of curves of genus  $g(U_v) \geq 2$  over base spaces of dimension one. More precisely, he showed that, for every sufficiently large  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a polynomial function  $b_{m,h} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}[x_1, x_2]$ , depending only on  $m$  and a prescribed polynomial  $h$  such that the inequality

$$(1.0.1) \quad \deg(\det f_* \omega_{X/B}^m) \leq b_{m,h}(g(B), \deg(D))$$

holds for any smooth compactification  $f : X \rightarrow B$  of *any* non-isotrivial family  $f_U : U \rightarrow V$  of curves of genus  $g \geq 2$  with Hilbert polynomial  $h$  over a one dimensional base  $V$ , where

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D06, 14D23, 14E05, 14D07.

*Key words and phrases.* Families of manifolds, flat families, variation of Hodge structures, Arakelov-type inequalities.

Sándor Kovács was supported in part by NSF Grants DMS-1565352, DMS-1951376 and DMS-2100389.

$D := B \setminus V$ . In fact Arakelov showed that the coefficients of  $b_{m,h}$  are themselves purely  $h$ -dependent functions of  $m$  and  $r_m := \text{rank}(f_*\omega_{X/B}^m)$ .

The first named author partially generalized this result to the case of higher dimensional fibers in [Kov96, Kov97, Kov00]. Subsequently, Bedulev and Viehweg [BV00] proved a complete generalization of Arakelov's inequality for families of canonically polarized manifolds (manifolds with ample canonical bundle) over curves. Other, more Hodge theoretic analogues of (1.0.1) were also established by Deligne [Del87] and Peters [Pet00] (see Subsection 1.3 below or [Vie08] for a more detailed account). Based on the result of the first named author and Lieblich [KL10], Viehweg [Vie08, p. 248] and others speculated that the inequality (1.0.1) should have analogues over higher dimensional base spaces. The following definition provides a natural candidate.

**Definition 1.1** (Higher dimensional Arakelov type inequalities). Let  $(B, D)$  be a smooth compactification of a smooth quasi-projective variety  $V = B \setminus D$  of dimension  $d$  and  $H$  an ample Cartier divisor on  $B$ . Let  $\mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  denote the class of smooth projective families of canonically polarized varieties with Hilbert polynomial  $h$  over  $V$ . Members of a subclass of  $\mathfrak{C}'_{V,h} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  will be said to satisfy an *Arakelov inequality*, if for all sufficiently large and divisible  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a function  $b_{m,h} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}[x_1, x_2]$ , depending only on  $m$  and  $h$ , for which the inequality

$$(1.1.1) \quad \deg_H(\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m) \leq b_{m,h}(\deg_H(K_B + D), \deg_H(D))$$

holds for every  $(f_U : U \rightarrow V) \in \mathfrak{C}'_{V,h}$  and smooth compactification  $f : X \rightarrow B$ . Here for any divisor  $\Delta$  and line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $B$ , we define  $\deg_H(\Delta) := \Delta \cdot H^{n-1}$  and  $\deg_H(\mathcal{L}) := c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot H^{n-1}$ .

The main purpose of this paper is to establish such inequalities over base spaces of arbitrary dimension.

**Theorem 1.2.** *In the setting of Definition 1.1, if  $K_B + D$  is pseudo-effective, then all members of  $\mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  satisfy an Arakelov inequality.*

Note that the polynomial  $b_{m,h}$  in Theorem 1.2 can be written as

$$b_{m,h} = d^{\gamma_m} \cdot b'_{m,h},$$

where  $b'_{m,h}$  is a polynomial whose coefficients are generated by explicit functions of only  $m$  and  $r_m$  (see Theorem 5.2 and (5.3.4)) and the integer  $\gamma_m$  is an upperbound for an invariant for members of  $\mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$ , which we will refer to as *Viehweg number* (cf. Definition 3.7). As such, when  $d = 1$ , the Arakelov inequality in Theorem 1.2 fully recovers the one for curves (1.0.1).

Further note that for a non-isotrivial smooth family  $f_U : U \rightarrow V$  of curves of  $g \geq 2$  over a quasi-projective curve  $V$ , by Arakelov and Parshin's resolution of the Shafarevich hyperbolicity conjecture, we know that  $K_B + D$  is effective (same is true when fibers are canonically polarized manifolds by [Kov00], [Kov02]). Therefore, the pseudo-effectivity of  $K_B + D$  in Theorem 1.2 is a natural assumption. In fact for families in  $\mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  with maximal variation (or equivalently those with the generically finite  $\mu : U \rightarrow M_h$  induced morphism to the coarse moduli scheme  $M_h$ ), thanks to the culmination of the works of [VZ02], [KK08], [KK10], [Pat12], [CP19] on Viehweg's hyperbolicity conjecture, we know that  $\kappa(B, D) = \dim B$ . In particular, we have the following direct consequence of Theorem 1.2:

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $(B, D)$  be a smooth compactification of a smooth quasi-projective variety  $V$ . Then any member  $f_U$  of the subclass of  $\mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  consisting of those families with maximal variation satisfies an Arakelov inequality as in (1.1.1).*

Finally note that when  $f$  is semistable, the inequality in Theorem 1.2 can be sharpened by replacing  $\deg_H(D)$  by zero, but because this case is not the focus of the current paper, we omit additional references and details.

**1.1. Bounding heights for substacks of stable varieties.** By using fundamental properties of the moduli stack of stable curves Arakelov and Bedulev-Viehweg introduced a notion of a height function on canonically polarized varieties  $X$  of dimension  $n$ , with fixed canonical volume  $\nu := K_X^n \in \mathbb{Q}$ . That is, given any morphism  $\mu : V \rightarrow M_\nu^s$  arising from a smooth family over the curve  $V$ , let  $\Phi : B' \rightarrow \overline{M}_\nu^s$  be its KSB-stable closure via a finite surjective morphism  $\gamma : B' \rightarrow B$ . For sufficiently large  $m$ , there is an ample line bundle  $\lambda_m$  on  $\overline{M}_\nu^s$  and a positive integer  $p_m$  such that  $\deg(\Phi^* \lambda_m)$ —which we think of as a height function associated to  $\mu$ —has an upperbound by  $p_m \cdot \deg \gamma \cdot b_{m,h}(g(B), \deg(D))$ .

Similarly, in higher dimensions we can think of  $\text{vol}(\Phi^* \lambda_m)$  as a height function which can be uniformly bounded using Theorem 1.2 by the numerical properties of  $(B, D)$ <sup>1</sup>.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Using the notation introduced in Definition 1.1, we have that for a sufficiently large  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a function  $c_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ , depending only on  $m$  and  $h$ , such that, for every  $\mu : V \rightarrow M_\nu^s$ , arising from some  $(f_U : U \rightarrow V) \in \mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$ , and the associated compactification  $\Phi : B' \dashrightarrow \overline{M}_\nu^s$ , we have*

$$\text{vol}(\Phi^* \lambda_m) \leq (\deg \gamma)^d \cdot c_m((K_B + D) \cdot H^{d-1}, D \cdot H^{d-1}, H^d) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}.$$

**1.2. Outline of the approach to proving Arakelov inequalities.** As we will see in Section 5, by using Campana–Păun [CP19] and [Taj21], among other results, for any class of smooth projective family  $f_U$ , one can establish a naive, and  $f_U$ -dependent, upperbound in the form of (1.1.1) (see (5.2.1)), as soon as  $K_B + D$  is pseudo-effective. However, in order to obtain an inequality where this upperbound is independent of the choice of the family—the aim of an Arakelov-type inequality—one needs a more careful approach. It turns out that to achieve this, it is sufficient to find a uniform bound for the rank of a certain local system. This local system arises from a prescribed global section  $s_m$  of a naturally defined line bundle  $\mathcal{M}^{m, \beta_m}$ , for some suitable  $m, \beta_m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and can be defined for any compactification of a smooth (or stable) projective family  $f$ . We denote the associated number by  $\alpha_{m, \beta_m, s_m}(f)$  and refer to it as a *Viehweg number* (see Subsection 3.2).

The problem of proving an Arakelov inequality over higher dimensional base spaces is then reduced to establishing the existence of a suitable global section  $s_m$  for which  $\alpha_{m, \beta_m, s_m}(f)$  has an upperbound that does not depend on  $f$ , but only on  $m$  and  $h$ . To do so we introduce what we call the *twisted direct image sheaf* and denote it by  $\mathcal{K}_m^h(f)$ . This is defined on  $B$  and simultaneously encodes information about  $f_* \omega_{X/B}^m$ , its determinant, and the semistable locus of  $f$ . This sheaf is closely related to  $\mathcal{M}$  and, as we will show in Section 3, it is weakly positive (see Proposition 3.2). The latter property is of particular importance for the construction of  $s_m$  in Theorem 4.11.

---

<sup>1</sup>Following Arakelov, one may go further and divide  $\deg \gamma$  by  $\deg \Phi$  to get a bound on  $\text{vol}(\lambda_m)$  on the image of  $\mu$ , which, as  $\deg \Phi \geq \deg \gamma$ , is independent of  $\deg \gamma$ .

1.2.1. *Deformation spaces of families of canonically polarized manifolds.* A key component of our argument is based on the results of the first named author and Lieblich [KL10] on finiteness of deformation classes for members of  $\mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$ . That is, by [KL10], there is a finite subset  $\{f_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  such that for any  $f_U \in \mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$ , there is some  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , a connected scheme  $W$  and a projective morphism  $f_W : U_W \rightarrow W \times V$  such that  $(U_W)_{\{w\} \times V} \cong_V U_i$  and  $(U_W)_{\{w'\} \times V} \cong_V U$ , for some closed points  $w, w' \in W$ , i.e. up to an isomorphism  $U_W$  pulls back to  $U_i$  and  $U$ .

In fact, [KL10] goes further by showing that there is a finite type substack of the stack of canonically polarized manifolds over a finite type scheme of the form  $W \times V$  whose connected components *parametrize* members of  $\mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  (see Subsection 4.1 for more details). We use this latter result in two ways; to find a suitable section  $s_m$  for all members of  $\mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  and use the (generic) deformation-invariance property of  $\alpha_{m,\beta_m,s_m}(f)$  to establish an upperbound for  $\alpha_{m,\beta_m,s_m}(f)$  that is independent of the choice of  $f$ , proving the existence of  $\gamma_m$  as stated in Theorem 1.2 (see also Theorem 4.11 and Corollary 4.12).

1.2.2. *The role of stable reductions.* As mentioned earlier, Viehweg numbers are closely related to  $\mathcal{K}_m^h$ . The connection is through weak positivity of  $\mathcal{K}_m^h$ . That is, as we will show, up to a twist by an ample line bundle, for large enough  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\text{Sym}^k \mathcal{K}_m^h$  is generically globally generated. It turns out that the problem of bounding Viehweg numbers can be traced to bounding  $k$ . Although the finiteness of deformation classes for  $\mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  proved in [KL10] is key to uniformly bounding  $k$ , as we will see in Subsection 4.2, it is not enough for finding such bounds for compactifications of members of  $\mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$ . To accomplish the latter, strict base change properties (in the sense of Proposition 3.6) are needed for  $\mathcal{K}_m^h$  that generally only hold for KSB-stable closures via stable reductions. Such compactifications have been found by Kollár [Kol10] and Hacon-Xu [HX13] and play a significant role in our strategy to establish Arakelov inequalities over higher dimensional base spaces.

1.3. **Related results.** As mentioned above, Arakelov type inequalities generally fall into two related categories. The geometric one (1.0.1) that goes back to Arakelov, which was later generalized in [BV00]. Further refinements and generalizations over curves was established in Viehweg-Zuo [VZ02]. There are also more Hodge theoretic Arakelov-type inequalities, which are concerned with establishing universal bounds for the degree of direct summands of Hodge bundles underlying (canonical extensions of) variation of Hodge structures (or VHS for short) of geometric origin. When the fibers are of dimension 1, then one can interpret this type of Arakelov inequality for VHSs of weight one as essentially the same as (1.0.1), with  $m = 1$ . Such inequalities were initiated by Deligne [Del87] and later extended by Peters [Pet00] and Jost-Zuo [JZ02]. All of these results are restricted to the case when the base of the family is 1-dimensional. Using Simpson's nonabelian Hodge theory, under rather strong positivity assumptions for  $\Omega_X^1(\log B)$ , some Arakelov-type inequalities for VHS of weight one were generalized to higher dimensional base spaces in [VZ07]. Topological counterparts of these inequalities were also studied by Bradlow, García-Prada, and Gothen [BGPG06] and by Koziarz and Maubon [KM08], [KM10]. A detailed review of Arakelov inequalities can be found in [Vie08]. We also refer to the paper of Brotbek and Brunebarbe [BB20] for some other recent developments in this area.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND BACKGROUND

**Definition 2.1.** In this article a *variety* means a reduced, finite type scheme, and every scheme will be assumed to be defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . A *pair*  $(X, \Delta)$  consists of a variety  $X$  and an

effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Weil divisor  $\Delta = \sum a_i \Delta_i$ ,  $a_i \leq 1$ . We say  $(X, D)$  is a *reduced pair* if  $a_i = 1$ , for each  $i$ . An *snc pair* is a reduced pair  $(X, \Delta)$  such that  $X$  is regular and  $\Delta$  is an snc divisor. A *morphism of reduced pairs*  $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$  is a projective and surjective morphism  $f : X \rightarrow B$  of schemes with connected fibers such that  $f^{-1}(\text{supp } D) \subseteq \text{supp } \Delta$ . Assuming that  $D$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, we will use the notation  $f^{-1}D := (f^*D)_{\text{red}}$  to denote the *reduced preimage* of  $D$ . Using this notation, the above criterion can be replaced by  $\Delta \geq f^{-1}D$ . A *morphism of snc pairs* is a morphism of reduced pairs  $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$  such that both  $(X, \Delta)$  and  $(B, D)$  are snc pairs. We call a morphism of snc pairs  $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$  an *snc morphism*, if  $f|_{X \setminus \Delta}$  is smooth.

*Notation 2.2* (Pullback). Given morphisms of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow B$  and  $Z \rightarrow B$  we denote the pullback of  $f$  by  $f_Z : X_Z = X \times_B Z \rightarrow Z$  and  $\text{pr} : X_Z \rightarrow X$  denotes the induced natural projection.

**Definition 2.3** (Semistable morphism). Given a pair  $(X, D)$ , we call a projective morphism  $(X, D) \rightarrow B$  *semistable*, if  $B$  is regular and, for every smooth codimension one point  $b \in B$ , the pair  $(X, \text{supp } D + X_b)$  is log-smooth and  $X_b$  is reduced.

Note that if  $D = \emptyset$ , this coincides with the classical notion of semistability as was introduced by Mumford.

*Notation 2.4*. Given a reduced scheme  $X$  and a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of rank  $r$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $\mathcal{F}^{[m]} := (\mathcal{F}^{\otimes m})^{**}$ , where  $(\cdot)^{**}$  denotes the double dual. Furthermore,  $\det(\mathcal{F})$  will denote  $(\bigwedge^r \mathcal{F})^{**}$ .

*Notation 2.5*. For a morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow B$  of relative dimension  $n$  we define the relative canonical sheaf by  $\omega_{X/B} := h^{-n}(f^! \mathcal{O}_B)$ . For an  $S_2$  and  $G_1$  (Gorenstein in codimension one) scheme  $X$ , a canonical divisor is denoted by  $K_X$  (see [Kov13, §5] for more details). If  $X$  is  $S_2$  and  $G_1$  and  $B$  is Gorenstein, then  $\omega_{X/B} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - f^*K_B)$  by [Con00, (3.3.6)].

In this paper we only need the following slightly restrictive definition.

**Definition 2.6** (Stable family). A projective variety  $Z$  is called *stable*, if it has slc singularities and  $\omega_Z$  is an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle. Let  $B$  be a reduced scheme. A projective morphism  $f : X \rightarrow B$  is called *stable*, if  $X_b$  is a stable variety for each  $b \in B$  and  $\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$  is invertible, for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Remark 2.7*. Note that if  $B$  is not assumed to be reduced or if one considers families of pairs, then the definition of a stable family is somewhat more complicated. The fact that in this case the above definition suffices follows from [Kol21, Thm. 3.37].

*Remark 2.8* (Base change properties). For a stable family  $f : X \rightarrow B$ , for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the formation of  $\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$  commutes with arbitrary base change by [Kol18, Thm. 1], that is, for any reduced scheme  $Z$  and morphism  $\psi : Z \rightarrow B$ , and any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $\psi^* \omega_{X/B}^{[k]} \simeq \omega_{X_Z/Z}^{[k]}$ , and that the isomorphism

$$\psi^* f_* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \simeq (f_Z)_* \omega_{X_Z/Z}^{[m]}$$

holds, assuming that  $\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$  is invertible. In particular, a stable family defined as in Definition 2.6 is KSB-stable in the sense of [Kol21, Def. 6.15].

*Notation 2.9* (Discriminant locus). Let  $f : X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of regular schemes. Denote the divisorial part of the discriminant locus of  $f$  by  $\text{disc}(f)$ . Setting  $D_f = \text{disc}(f)$ , we choose a reduced divisor  $\Delta_f$  on  $X$  such that  $\Delta_f = f^{-1}D_f$ . This way the resulting map  $f : (X, \Delta_f) \rightarrow (B, D_f)$  is a morphism of reduced pairs. In particular, if  $f : X \rightarrow B$  is semistable, we have  $\Delta_f = f^*D_f$ , so that, when  $\dim X/B = n$ , we find the isomorphism

$$(2.9.1) \quad \Omega_{X/B}^n(\log \Delta_f) \simeq \omega_{X/B}(\Delta_f - f^*D_f) \simeq \omega_{X/B}.$$

*Notation 2.10.* For a morphism of normal schemes  $f : X \rightarrow B$ , for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote the  $r$ -fold fiber product by

$$X^r := \underbrace{X \times_B \dots \times_B X}_{r \text{ times}},$$

with the induced morphism  $f^r : X^r \rightarrow B$ . Furthermore, let  $\pi : X^{(r)} \rightarrow X^r$  denote a strong resolution<sup>2</sup> of  $X^r$ , with the naturally induced map  $f^{(r)} : X^{(r)} \rightarrow B$ , and define

$$(f^{\{r\}} : X^{\{r\}} \rightarrow B) := \begin{cases} f^r : X^r \rightarrow B & \text{if } f \text{ is stable} \\ f^{(r)} : X^{(r)} \rightarrow B & \text{if } f \text{ is not stable, but } X \text{ is regular.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 2.11.** *Given a stable family  $f : X \rightarrow B$ ,  $f^r$  is also stable. Furthermore, for every  $m$  for which  $\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$  is invertible,  $\omega_{X^r/B}^{[m]}$  is also invertible and, over the complement of a subscheme of  $B$  of  $\text{codim}_B \geq 2$ , we have*

$$(2.11.1) \quad f_*^r \omega_{X^r/B}^{[m]} \simeq \bigotimes^r f_* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]}.$$

*Proof.* An iterated application of [BHPS13, Prop. 2.12] shows that  $f^r$  is stable. For the rest of the claim we use induction on  $r$ . Observe that  $X^r = X \times_B X^{r-1}$  and let  $\text{pr} : X^r \rightarrow X^{r-1}$  and  $\text{pr}_r : X^r \rightarrow X$  denote the natural projections. Then  $\omega_{X^r/X}^{[m]} \simeq \text{pr}^* \omega_{X^{r-1}/B}^{[m]}$  by Remark 2.8, and hence  $(\text{pr}_r)_* \omega_{X^r/X}^{[m]} \simeq f^* f_*^{r-1} \omega_{X^{r-1}/B}^{[m]}$  by flat base change. Applying  $f_*^r = f_*(\text{pr}_r)_*$  to

$$\omega_{X^r/B}^{[m]} \simeq \omega_{X^r/X}^{[m]} \otimes \text{pr}_r^* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]},$$

and using the induction hypothesis then yields

$$f_*(\text{pr}_r)_* \omega_{X^r/B}^{[m]} \simeq f_* \left( f^* f_*^{r-1} \omega_{X^{r-1}/B}^{[m]} \otimes \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \right) \simeq \left( \bigotimes^{r-1} f_* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \right) \otimes f_* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]}. \quad \square$$

## 2.1. Determinant of direct image sheaves and base change.

*Definition-Notation 2.12.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of normal schemes. Assume that  $B$  is regular and fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define  $\mathcal{L}_m(f)$  by

$$\mathcal{L}_m(f) := \det \left( f_* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]}(-m^+ \cdot D_f) \right),$$

where  $D_f = \text{disc}(f)$  and  $m^+$  is set to be zero, when  $f$  is semistable or stable in codimension one, and is equal to  $m$ , if  $X$  is regular and  $f$  is not semistable.

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $(B, D)$  and  $(X, \Delta)$  be two reduced pairs. Assume that  $(B, D)$  is snc. Let  $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$  be a morphism of pairs, with  $\dim X/B = n \neq 0$ . Further, let  $B'$  be a regular variety and  $\gamma : B' \rightarrow B$  a flat surjective morphism. Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  be a resolution of singularities,  $D' = (\gamma^*D)_{\text{red}}$ , and  $\Sigma$  a reduced divisor on  $Y$  such that*

<sup>2</sup>A strong resolution  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a desingularization of  $X$  for which  $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}})}$  is an isomorphism, where  $X_{\text{reg}}$  denotes the regular locus of  $X$ .

$g = f' \circ \pi : (Y, \Sigma) \rightarrow (B', D')$  is an snc morphism. These objects and morphisms fit in the following commutative diagram of morphisms of pairs:

$$(2.13.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mu & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ (Y, \Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (X_{B'}, \Delta_{B'}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & (X, \Delta) \\ & \searrow g & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ & & (B', D') & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & (B, D). \end{array}$$

(2.13.2) If  $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$  is an snc morphism, then there is a natural injective morphism

$$\gamma^* f_* (\Omega_{X/B}^n (\log \Delta)^{\otimes m}) \hookrightarrow g_* (\Omega_{Y/B'}^n (\log \Sigma)^{\otimes m})$$

which is generically an isomorphism over  $B'$ .

(2.13.3) If  $g$  is semistable, then for every projective birational morphism  $\eta : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  such that  $\tilde{f} := f \circ \eta : (\tilde{X}, \Delta_{\tilde{f}}) \rightarrow (B, D_{\tilde{f}})$  is an snc morphism, there is a natural injection

$$\gamma^* \mathcal{L}_m(\tilde{f}) \hookrightarrow \det g_* \omega_{Y/B'}^m,$$

which is generically an isomorphism over  $B'$ .

*Proof.* To prove (2.13.2) note that there is a natural injective morphism

$$\mu^* \Omega_{X/B}^n (\log \Delta)^{\otimes m} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{Y/B'}^n (\log \Sigma)^{\otimes m},$$

and hence another one

$$(2.13.4) \quad f'_* \pi_* \pi^* (\gamma')^* \Omega_{X/B}^n (\log \Delta)^{\otimes m} = g_* \mu^* \Omega_{X/B}^n (\log \Delta)^{\otimes m} \hookrightarrow g_* \Omega_{Y/B'}^n (\log \Sigma)^{\otimes m},$$

which is an isomorphism over a dense open subset of  $B'$ .

On the other hand, there exists a natural morphism,

$$(\gamma')^* \Omega_{X/B}^n (\log \Delta)^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^* (\gamma')^* \Omega_{X/B}^n (\log \Delta)^{\otimes m}$$

which is also an isomorphism over the preimage a dense open subset of  $B'$ . This morphism, combined with the one in (2.13.4) gives a morphism

$$f'_* (\gamma')^* \Omega_{X/B}^n (\log \Delta)^{\otimes m} \rightarrow f'_* \pi_* \pi^* (\gamma')^* \Omega_{X/B}^n (\log \Delta)^{\otimes m} \rightarrow g_* \Omega_{Y/B'}^n (\log \Sigma)^{\otimes m},$$

which is again an isomorphism over a dense open subset of  $B'$ . By flat base change, the left hand side is isomorphic to  $\gamma^* f_* (\Omega_{X/B}^n (\log \Delta)^{\otimes m})$  and hence (2.13.2) follows.

For (2.13.3), eliminate the points of indeterminacy of the birational map  $Y \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}_{B'}$  and let  $\tilde{Y}$  denote a desingularization of the result and  $\tau : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  the induced projective birational morphism. We may assume that the induced morphism  $\tilde{g} : (\tilde{Y}, \Delta_{\tilde{g}}) \rightarrow (B', D_{\tilde{g}})$  is snc (here we are using Notation 2.9), after removing a subset of  $B'$  of  $\text{codim}_{B'} \geq 2$ , if necessary. We thus have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \tilde{X}_{B'} = \tilde{X} \times_B B' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\tilde{X}, \Delta_{\tilde{f}}) \\ \downarrow \tau & \searrow \tilde{g} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & B' & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & (B, D_{\tilde{f}}). \end{array}$$

semistable

According to (2.13.2) there is an injection

$$(2.13.5) \quad \gamma^* \tilde{f}_* (\Omega_{\tilde{X}/B}^n (\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}})^{\otimes m}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{g}_* \Omega_{\tilde{Y}/B'}^n (\log \Delta_{\tilde{g}})^{\otimes m}.$$

Moreover we have the following isomorphisms:

$$(2.13.6) \quad \tilde{g}_* (\Omega_{\tilde{Y}/B'}^n (\log \Delta_{\tilde{g}})^{\otimes m}) \simeq g_* \tau_* (\Omega_{\tilde{Y}/B'}^n (\log \Delta_{\tilde{g}})) \simeq g_* (\Omega_{Y/B'}^n (\log \Delta_g)^{\otimes m}) \stackrel{(2.9.1)}{\simeq} g_* \omega_{Y/B'}^m.$$

Next, we note that there is a natural injection

$$\omega_{\tilde{X}/B} (-\tilde{f}^* D_{\tilde{f}}) \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{X}/B}^n (\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}}) \simeq \omega_{\tilde{X}/B} (\Delta_{\tilde{f}} - \tilde{f}^* D_{\tilde{f}})$$

Therefore, after raising this latter injection to the power  $m$ , pushing forward and taking  $\det(\cdot)$ , (2.13.5) leads to the desired injection,

$$\gamma^* \underbrace{\det \left( (\tilde{f}_* \omega_{\tilde{X}/B}^m) (-m \cdot D_{\tilde{f}}) \right)}_{\mathcal{L}_m(\tilde{f})} \hookrightarrow \det g_* \omega_{Y/B'}^m.$$

Finally, when  $\tilde{f}$  is semistable, then by (2.13.5), (2.9.1) and (2.13.6) we have

$$\gamma^* (\tilde{f}_* \omega_{\tilde{X}/B}^m) \hookrightarrow g_* \omega_{Y/B'}^m$$

so that

$$\gamma^* \mathcal{L}_m(\tilde{f}) = \gamma^* (\det \tilde{f}_* \omega_{\tilde{X}/B}^m) \hookrightarrow \det g_* \omega_{Y/B'}^m. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 2.14.** *In the situation of the Definition-Notation 2.12, assume that  $B$  is quasi-projective and that  $\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$  is invertible. After removing a subscheme of  $B$  of  $\text{codim}_B \geq 2$  if necessary, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an injection*

$$(2.14.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_m^k(f) \hookrightarrow f_*^{\{k \cdot r_m\}} \omega_{X^{\{m^a \cdot e \cdot r_m\}}/B}^{[m]},$$

with  $r_m := \text{rank}(f_* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]})$ .

*Proof.* We first prove the case when  $X$  is regular and  $f$  is not necessarily stable. To this end, let  $g : Y \rightarrow B'$  be a semistable reduction of  $f$  in codimension one, via the finite, flat, surjective and Galois morphism  $\gamma : B' \rightarrow B$ , cf. [KKMSD73] and [BG71] (see also [Laz04, 4.1.6]), and set  $G := \text{Gal}(B'/B)$ . By (2.13.3) we have

$$(2.14.2) \quad \gamma^* \mathcal{L}_m(f) \hookrightarrow \det(g)_* \omega_{Y/B'}^m,$$

which is generically an isomorphism over  $B'$ .

By raising (2.14.2) to the power  $k$  we obtain the injections

$$\gamma^* \mathcal{L}_m^k(f) \hookrightarrow (\det g_* \omega_{Y/B'}^m)^{\otimes k} \hookrightarrow \bigotimes^{\otimes k \cdot r_m} g_* \omega_{Y/B'}^m.$$

On the other hand, over the semistable locus of  $g$  we have

$$\bigotimes^{\otimes k \cdot r_m} g_* \omega_{Y/B'}^m \simeq g_*^{(k \cdot r_m)} \omega_{Y^{(k \cdot r_m)}/B'}^m.$$

Furthermore, [Vie83, §3] implies that

$$g_*^{(k \cdot r_m)} \omega_{Y^{(k \cdot r_m)}/B'}^m \subseteq \gamma^* f_*^{(k \cdot r_m)} \omega_{X^{(k \cdot r_m)}/B}^m$$

so

$$(2.14.3) \quad \gamma^* \mathcal{L}_m^k(f) \hookrightarrow \gamma^* f_*^{(k \cdot r_m)} \omega_{X^{(k \cdot r_m)}/B}^m.$$



The required injection (2.14.1) now follows from applying the functor  $\gamma_*(\cdot)^G$  to (2.14.3).

The proof of Proposition 2.14 in the stable case follows from an identical (albeit easier) argument together with (2.11.1).  $\square$

## 2.2. Positivity notions for families of varieties.

**Definition 2.15.** An  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  on a reduced scheme  $Y$  is called *globally generated over an open subset*  $V \subseteq Y$ , if the natural map

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_V \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_V$$

is surjective over  $V$ . When the open set  $V$  is not specified, we say  $\mathcal{F}$  is *generically generated by global sections over*  $Y$ .

Recall that given a regular quasi-projective variety  $X$  and an open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , a torsion free sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is called *weakly positive over*  $U$ , if  $\mathcal{F}|_U$  is locally free and that for any ample line bundle  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $X$ , and every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mathrm{Sym}^{[\alpha \cdot k]} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{H}^k$$

is globally generated over  $U$ , for any multiple  $k$  of  $\beta$ .

By [Kaw81] and [Vie83] (see also [Fuj78], [Zuc82] and [Kol86]) it is known that for a projective morphism  $f : X \rightarrow B$  of regular quasi-projective varieties  $X$  and  $B$ , with connected fibers,  $f_*\omega_{X/B}^m$  is weakly positive over  $B \setminus \mathrm{disc}(f)$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . One can then slightly generalize this to the case of mildly singular families as follows. For any projective morphism  $f : X \rightarrow B$  of quasi-projective varieties  $X$  and  $B$ , if  $B$  is nonsingular and  $X$  has only canonical singularities, then the torsion free sheaf  $f_*\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$  is weakly positive for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$  is invertible (see also [Fuj18]).

## 2.3. Singularities in linear systems of ample line bundles.

*Definition-Notation 2.16.* Following the definition of Esnault-Viehweg [EV92, Def. 7.4] for a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on projective manifold  $X$ , with  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ , we define

$$e(\mathcal{L}) := \sup \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\mathrm{lct}(\Gamma)} \right\rfloor + 1 \mid \Gamma \in |\mathcal{L}| \right\},$$

where  $\mathrm{lct}(\cdot)$  denotes the log-canonical threshold.

If  $\mathcal{L}$  is very ample, then for every integer  $\nu > 0$  we have

$$e(\mathcal{L}^\nu) \leq \nu \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})^{\dim X} + 1,$$

cf. [EV92, Lem. 7.7]. Therefore, for the set of very ample line bundles on projective manifolds with fixed Hilbert polynomial  $h$ , the number  $e(\cdot)$  has an upperbound depending on  $h$  and  $\nu$ . As the moduli functor of canonically polarized manifolds with fixed canonically defined Hilbert polynomial  $h$  is bounded [Mat72] (see [Vie95, Def. 1.15 (1)] for the definition), we can find an integer

$$(2.16.1) \quad a_0 = a_0(h) \in \mathbb{N}$$

such that, for every integer multiple  $m$  of  $a_0$ , the line bundle  $\omega_X^m$  is very ample, and that there exists an  $e_m \in \mathbb{N}$ , depending only on  $m$  and  $h$ , that satisfies the inequality

$$(2.16.2) \quad e(\omega_X^m) \leq e_m$$

for every manifold as above.

*Notation 2.17.* For every projective morphism of normal schemes  $f : X \rightarrow B$  whose general fiber is a canonically polarized manifold, every sufficiently divisible  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set  $t_{m,a} := m^a \cdot r_m \cdot e_m$ , where  $e_m$  is as in (2.16.2) and  $r_m := \text{rank}(f_*\omega_{X/B}^{[m]})$ .

*Convention 2.18.* Throughout the rest of this article, *Hilbert polynomial* will always refer to the Hilbert polynomial of an appropriate power of the canonical line bundle of a canonically polarized manifold.

### 3. TWISTED DIRECT IMAGE SHEAVES AND VIEHWEG NUMBERS

#### 3.1. Generic global generation of twisted direct image sheaves in stable families.

We define a twisted notion of direct images sheaves, which is closely connected to our notion of Viehweg numbers, to be introduced later in this section. .

**Definition 3.1** (Twisted direct image sheaves). In the setting of [Definition-Notation 2.12](#) and [Notation 2.17](#), set  $V := B \setminus D$  and assume that for all  $v \in V$  each fiber  $X_v$  is regular, with Hilbert polynomial  $h$ . For every multiple  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  of  $a_0(h)$  as in (2.16.1), we define the *twisted direct image sheaf* by

$$\mathcal{H}_m^h(f) := f_*^{\{t_{m,2}\}} \omega_{X^{\{t_{m,2}\}}/B}^{[m]} \otimes \mathcal{L}_m^{-m}(f).$$

To avoid cumbersome notations, when there is no ambiguity, we omit  $f$  from  $\mathcal{L}_m(f)$  in the notation.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow B$  be a stable family, where  $B$  is a regular quasi-projective variety. Assume that there is an open subset  $V \subseteq B$  such that for every  $v \in V$ , the fiber  $X_v$  is regular with Hilbert polynomial  $h$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_m^h(f)$  is weakly positive for every multiple  $m$  of  $a_0(h)$  for which  $\omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m]}$  is invertible.*

*Proof.* Note that as  $B$  is regular, it follows that  $X_V^{t_{m,2}} = (f^{t_{m,2}})^{-1} V \subseteq X^{t_{m,2}}$  is regular. Let  $\mu : X^{(t_{m,2})} \rightarrow X^{t_{m,2}}$  be a resolution which is an isomorphism over  $V$  and let  $f^{(t_{m,2})} : X^{(t_{m,2})} \rightarrow B$  denote the induced family. Next, let  $E$  be an exceptional divisor on  $X^{(t_{m,2})}$  such that  $K_{X^{(t_{m,2})}} + E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mu^* K_{X^{t_{m,2}}}$ .

Recall that by [Proposition 2.14](#) there is an injection

$$(3.2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_m^{m^2 \cdot e_m} \hookrightarrow f_*^{t_{m,2}} \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m]}.$$

Let  $\Gamma \subset X^{t_{m,2}}$  be the effective Cartier divisor corresponding to the global section of  $\omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m]} \otimes (f^{t_{m,2}})^* \mathcal{L}_m^{-m^2 \cdot e_m}$  induced by the adjoint morphism of (3.2.1). In particular we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^{t_{m,2}}}(\Gamma) \simeq \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m]} \otimes (f^{t_{m,2}})^* \mathcal{L}_m^{-m^2 \cdot e_m}.$$

Setting  $\tilde{\Gamma} := \mu^* \Gamma$  leads to:

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^{(t_{m,2})}}(\tilde{\Gamma}) \simeq \mu^* \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m]} \otimes (f^{(t_{m,2})})^* \mathcal{L}_m^{-m^2 \cdot e_m} \simeq \omega_{X^{(t_{m,2})}/B}^m(mE) \otimes (f^{(t_{m,2})})^* \mathcal{L}_m^{-m^2 \cdot e_m}.$$

Next, we define the invertible sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  on  $X^{(t_{m,2})}$  by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}} := \left( \omega_{X^{(t_{m,2})}/B}(\lceil E \rceil) \right)^{m-1} \otimes (f^{(t_{m,2})})^* \mathcal{L}_m^{-m}.$$

We thus have

$$(3.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{m \cdot e_m}(-\widetilde{\Gamma}) &\simeq \omega_{\widetilde{X}^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{m(e_m(m-1)-1)} (me_m(m-1)[E] - mE) \simeq \\ &\simeq \mu^* \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m(e_m(m-1)-1)]} (m([E] - E)). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $[E] - E$  is an effective (exceptional) divisor and hence

$$(3.2.3) \quad \mu^* \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m(e_m(m-1)-1)]} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{m \cdot e_m}(-\widetilde{\Gamma}).$$

Recall that  $\omega_{X_v^{t_{m,2}}}^m$  is very ample for every  $v \in V$  by the choice of  $a_0$ . Therefore, for every multiple  $m'$  of  $m$ , by Kodaira vanishing we have  $h^0\left(\omega_{X_v^{t_{m,2}}}^{m'}\right) = \chi\left(\omega_{X_v^{t_{m,2}}}^{m'}\right)$ , which is constant for  $v \in V$ . Then, it follows from Grauert's theorem [Har77, Cor. 12.9] that the natural morphism

$$(f^{t_{m,2}})^* \underbrace{f_* \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m(e_m(m-1)-1)]}}_{:=\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m(e_m(m-1)-1)]}$$

is surjective over  $V$ . Note that by the choice of  $\mu$ , the  $\mu$ -exceptional divisor  $E$ , and hence  $[E] - E$  is disjoint from  $X_V$ , which implies that after pulling back by  $\mu$  and using (3.2.2), the obtained morphism

$$\left(f^{(t_{m,2})}\right)^* \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mu^* \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m(e_m(m-1)-1)]} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{m \cdot e_m}(-\widetilde{\Gamma}),$$

is surjective onto  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{m \cdot e_m}(-\widetilde{\Gamma})$  over  $V$  and hence generically surjective over  $B$  (cf. (3.2.3)).

On the other hand, for every  $v \in V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot e_m &\geq e_m \geq e(\omega_{X_v}^{[m]}) \\ &= e(\omega_{X_v^{t_{m,2}}}^{[m]}) \text{ by [Vie95, Cor. 5.21]} \\ &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\text{lct}(\Gamma|_{X_v^{t_{m,2}}})} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\text{lct}(\widetilde{\Gamma}|_{\widetilde{X}_v^{t_{m,2}}})} \right\rfloor + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, as  $\mathcal{F}$  is weakly positive, by vanishing results due to Kollár and Kawamata-Viehweg cf. [Vie95, §2.4] and more precisely by [VZ03, Prop. 3.3], we find that

$$(3.2.4) \quad \left(f^{(t_{m,2})}\right)_* \left(\omega_{X^{(t_{m,2})}/B} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\right)$$

is weakly positive.

*Claim 3.3.* Let  $f_U : U \rightarrow V$  denote the restriction of  $f : X \rightarrow B$  to  $V$ . There is a natural injection

$$\mu_* \left(\omega_{X^{(t_{m,2})}/B} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\right) \hookrightarrow \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m]} \otimes (f^{t_{m,2}})^* \mathcal{L}_m^{-m},$$

that is an isomorphism over  $U^{t_{m,2}}$ .

*Proof of Claim 3.3.* Let  $[E] = E^+ - E^-$ , where  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  are effective integral divisors. By the definition of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3.1) \quad \omega_{X^{(t_{m,2})}/B} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} &= \omega_{\widetilde{X}^{t_{m,2}}/B} \otimes \left( \omega_{X^{(t_{m,2})}/B}([E]) \right)^{m-1} \otimes \left( f^{(t_{m,2})} \right)^* \mathcal{L}_m^{-m} \subseteq \\
&\subseteq \omega_{X^{(t_{m,2})}/B}^m \left( (m-1)E^+ \right) \otimes \left( f^{(t_{m,2})} \right)^* \mathcal{L}_m^{-m} \\
&\simeq \mu^* \left( \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m]} \otimes (f^{t_{m,2}})^* \mathcal{L}_m^{-m} \right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{(t_{m,2})}/B} \left( (m-1)E^+ \right),
\end{aligned}$$

from which the required injection follows, because  $E^+$  is an effective  $\mu$ -exceptional divisor and hence  $\mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X^{(t_{m,2})}/B} \left( (m-1)E^+ \right) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}$ , cf. [KMM87, Lem. 1-3-2].  $\square$

Now, using Claim 3.3 and the fact that (3.2.4) is weakly positive, it follows that so is

$$f_*^{t_{m,2}} \left( \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m]} \otimes (f^{t_{m,2}})^* \mathcal{L}_m^{-m} \right) \simeq \mathcal{K}_m^h(f). \quad \square$$

In the situation of Proposition 3.2, let  $\mathcal{H}$  be any ample line bundle on  $B$ . Then, for every multiple  $m$  as in Proposition 3.2, there is a  $\beta_m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every multiple  $k$  of  $\beta_m$ ,

$$(3.3.2) \quad \text{Sym}^k(\mathcal{K}_m^h(f)) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{m \cdot k} \text{ is generically generated by global sections.}$$

*Remark 3.4.* Similarly, by using the injection in Proposition 2.14, one can prove the weak positivity of  $\mathcal{K}_m^h(f)$  for any snc morphism  $f : (X, \Delta_f) \rightarrow (B, D_f)$ , with quasi-projective  $B$  and canonically polarized regular fibers.

**Lemma 3.5** (Twisted direct image sheaves under semistable reductions). *In the setting of Lemma 2.13, assume that  $X \setminus \Delta$  is regular. Let  $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a strong resolution such that  $\widetilde{f} : (\widetilde{X}, \Delta_{\widetilde{f}}) \rightarrow (B, D_{\widetilde{f}})$  is an snc morphism. Let  $g : Y \rightarrow B'$  be a semistable morphism as in (2.13.3). Then, there is an injection*

$$\mathcal{K}_m^h(g) = g_*^{(t_{m,2})} \omega_{Y^{(t_{m,2})}/B'}^m \otimes \mathcal{L}_m^{-m}(g) \hookrightarrow \gamma^* \mathcal{K}_m^h(\widetilde{f}),$$

naturally defined by a generic isomorphism over  $B'$ .

*Proof.* By (2.13.3) there is an injection

$$(3.5.1) \quad (\mathcal{L}_m(g))^{-1} \hookrightarrow \gamma^* \left( \mathcal{L}_m(\widetilde{f}) \right)^{-1}.$$

Now, for a suitable choice of strong desingularizations  $\widetilde{X}^{(t_{m,2})}$  and  $Y^{(t_{m,2})}$  there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y^{(t_{m,2})} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{X}^{(t_{m,2})} \\
g^{(t_{m,2})} \downarrow & & \downarrow \widetilde{f}^{(t_{m,2})} \\
B' & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & B.
\end{array}$$

By [Vie83, §3] we have

$$(3.5.2) \quad g_*^{(t_{m,2})} \omega_{Y^{(t_{m,2})}/B'}^m \subseteq \gamma^* \widetilde{f}_*^{(t_{m,2})} \omega_{\widetilde{X}^{(t_{m,2})}/B}^m.$$

Combining this latter inclusion with (3.5.1), raised to the power  $m$ , gives the required injection.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6** (Base change for  $\mathcal{X}_m^h$ ). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow B$  be a stable family as in Proposition 3.2 and  $\psi : B' \rightarrow B$  a morphism of schemes, with  $B'$  being regular. Let  $Z$  be the main component of  $Y_{B'}$ , equipped with the natural projection  $g : Z \rightarrow B'$ . Then, over the locally free locus of  $f_*\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$ , we have  $\psi^*\mathcal{X}_m^h(f) \cong \mathcal{X}_m^h(g)$ .*

*Proof.* This directly follows from Remark 2.8. That is, we consider the two morphisms

$$f^{t_{m,2}} : X^{t_{m,2}} \rightarrow B \quad , \quad g^{t_{m,2}} : Z^{t_{m,2}} \rightarrow B',$$

and observe that by Proposition 2.11 and Remark 2.8 we have

$$\psi^* f_*^{t_{m,2}} \omega_{X^{t_{m,2}}/B}^{[m]} \cong g_*^{t_{m,2}} \omega_{Z^{t_{m,2}}/B'}^{[m]}.$$

On the other hand, over the locally free locus of  $f_*\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$ , we have  $\psi^*\mathcal{L}_m(f) \cong \mathcal{L}_m(g)$ , which gives the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

**3.2. Viehweg numbers.** In the setting of Definition 3.1, assuming that  $B$  is quasi-projective, let  $\mathcal{H}$  be any ample line bundle on  $B$ . When

(3.6.1)  $f$  is stable and Gorenstein, or when

(3.6.2)  $X$  is regular,

we define the line bundle

$$(3.6.3) \quad \mathcal{M} := \omega_{X^{\{t_{m,2}\}}/B} \otimes (f^{\{t_{m,2}\}})^*(\mathcal{L}_m^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{H})$$

Now, for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ , assume that  $H^0(X^{\{t_{m,2}\}}, \mathcal{M}^{m \cdot \beta}) \neq 0$ . For a fixed  $0 \neq s_m \in H^0(\mathcal{M}^{m \cdot \beta_m})$ , let  $\sigma_{s_m} : Z'_{s_m} \rightarrow X^{\{t_{m,2}\}}$  be the cyclic covering associated to  $s_m$  [BG71]. Set  $\mu : Z_{s_m} \rightarrow Z'_{s_m}$  to be a desingularization with the induced family  $g_{s_m} : Z_{s_m} \rightarrow B$  and the commutative diagram

$$(3.6.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Z_{s_m} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & Z'_{s_m} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{s_m}} & X^{\{t_{m,2}\}} \\ & & & & \downarrow f \\ & & & & B. \\ & & & \searrow g_{s_m} & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

**Definition 3.7.** We define the *Viehweg number* of  $f$  associated to the quadruple  $(m, \beta, \mathcal{H}, s_m)$  to be the rank of the following local system

$$\alpha_{(m, \beta, s_m)}(f) := \text{rank} \left( \mathcal{R}^{\dim(X^{\{t_{m,2}\}}/B)}(g_{s_m})_* \mathbb{C}_{(Z_{s_m} \setminus \Delta_{g_{s_m}})} \right).$$

In the setting of (3.6.1) and (3.6.2), with the assumption that the smooth and Gorenstein fibers are canonically polarized, by Proposition 3.2, (3.3.2) and Remark 3.4, and for suitable  $m, \beta_m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $H^0(\mathcal{M}^{m \cdot \beta_m}) \neq 0$ , after removing a subset of  $B$  of  $\text{codim}_B \geq 2$ , if necessary. Therefore Definition 3.7 is relevant for all such families.

*Remark 3.8.* Let  $f_{U'} : U' \rightarrow V'$  be a subfamily of  $f : X \rightarrow B$ , that is  $f|_{U'} = f_{U'}$ . Then, we clearly have

$$\alpha_{m, \beta, s_m}(f) = \alpha_{m, \beta, s'_m}(f_{U'})$$

where  $(s_m)_0|_{U'} = (s'_m)_0$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  be two line bundles on a variety  $U$  equipped with a sheaf isomorphism  $\eta : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ . Let  $0 \neq s_{m,2} \in H^0(U, \mathcal{L}_2^m)$ , for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and define  $s_{m,1} := \eta^* s_{m,2} \in H^0(U, \mathcal{L}_1^m)$ . Let  $Z'_1$  and  $Z'_2$  be the cyclic coverings associated to  $s_{m,1}$*

and  $s_{m,2}$ . Then, there is an isomorphism  $Z'_1 \simeq Z'_2$ , commuting with  $\sigma_{s_{m,1}}$  and  $\sigma_{s_{m,2}}$ , that is naturally induced by  $\eta$ .

*Proof.* This directly follows from the construction of  $Z'_i$  ([Laz04, Prop. 4.1.6]). More precisely, let

$$\mathbf{L}_i := \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\text{Sym } \mathcal{L}_i^*),$$

with the natural projection  $p_i : \mathbf{L}_i \rightarrow U$ , and set  $T_i \in H^0(\mathbf{L}_i, p_i^* \mathcal{L}_i)$  to be the global section associated to the tautological map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{L}_i} \rightarrow p_i^* \mathcal{L}_i$ . Let  $\bar{\eta} : \mathbf{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_2$  denote the natural isomorphism induced by  $\eta$ . By construction we have  $Z'_i = (T_i^m - p_i^* s_i)_0$ , and that  $\bar{\eta}^*(T_2^m - p_2^* s_{m,2}) = T_1^m - p_1^* s_{m,1}$ , inducing the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

The next lemma now follows by combining [Proposition 3.9](#) with [Remark 3.8](#).

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow B$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  be as in (3.6.3) and  $f_U : U \rightarrow V$  a subfamily. Consider the line bundle  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $U$  that is equipped with an isomorphism  $\eta : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}|_U$ . Set  $s_{\mathcal{N}} := \eta^* s_m|_U$  and let  $Z_{\eta} \rightarrow U$  be a desingularization of the cyclic covering associated to  $s_{\mathcal{N}}$ , with  $g_{\mathcal{N}} : Z_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow V$  being the induced morphism. Then, we have*

$$\text{rank} \left( \mathcal{R}^{X^{\{t_{m,2}\}}/B} (g_{\mathcal{N}})_* \mathbb{C}_{Z_{\mathcal{N}} \setminus \Delta_{g_{\mathcal{N}}}} \right) = \alpha_{m,\beta,s_m}(f).$$

#### 4. FINITE-TYPE SUBSTACKS OF THE STACK OF CANONICALLY POLARIZED MANIFOLDS AND BOUNDEDNESS OF VIEHWEG NUMBERS

In this section we first recall the main results of [KL10] and [BV00] regarding parametrizing spaces for canonically polarized families. Subsequently, we will use these to establish certain uniform global generation results for the twisted direct image sheaf  $\mathcal{K}_m^h(f)$  of canonically polarized families introduced in [Definition 3.1](#). We start by reviewing numerical bounds arising from Arakelov inequalities over curves.

Let  $M$  be a connected and finite type scheme (not necessarily irreducible) with a compactification  $M \subseteq M'$  as a subscheme of a projective scheme  $M'$ , equipped with an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . Depending on  $\mathcal{L}$ , let  $b_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  be a function in two variables.

**Definition 4.1** (Weak bound, [KL10, Def. 2.4]). Given a smooth quasi-projective curve  $C^\circ$ , let  $C$  be its smooth compactification and set  $g$  to be the genus of  $C$  and  $d := \deg(C \setminus C^\circ)$ . A morphism  $\mu_{C^\circ} : C^\circ \rightarrow M$  is called  *$b_{\mathcal{L}}$ -weakly bounded*, if the natural extension  $\mu_C : C \rightarrow M'$  of  $\mu_{C^\circ}$  satisfies an inequality

$$\deg(\mu_C^* \mathcal{L}) \leq b_{\mathcal{L}}(g, d).$$

Let  $V$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety (of arbitrary dimension). Then a morphism  $\mu_V : V \rightarrow M$  is called  *$b_{\mathcal{L}}$ -weakly bounded*, if for every curve  $C^\circ$  and every morphism  $i : C^\circ \rightarrow V$ , the induced map  $\mu_{C^\circ} : C^\circ \rightarrow M$  is weakly bounded with respect to  $b_{\mathcal{L}}$ .

*Notation 4.2.* Let  $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  a polynomial. Recall that  $\mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  denotes the class of smooth, projective and canonically polarized families  $f_U : U \rightarrow V$  with Hilbert polynomial  $h$ . By  $M_h$  and  $M_h^s$  we will denote the coarse moduli of canonically polarized manifolds with Hilbert polynomial  $h$ , and stable  $n$ -dimensional varieties  $X$  with volume  $K_X^n = \nu$ , respectively.

**Definition 4.3** (Weakly bounded family). A family  $(f_U : U \rightarrow V) \in \mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  is called *weakly bounded*, if there exists an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $M_V^s$  and a function  $b_{\mathcal{L}}$  with respect to which the induced moduli map  $\mu_V : V \rightarrow M_h \subseteq M_V^s$  is weakly bounded.

By combining [Kol90, 2.5], [Vie95, Thm. 7.17] and [BV00, Thm. 1.4] we have the following important fact.

*Fact 4.4.* There are an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_m$  on  $M_V^s$  and a function  $b_{\mathcal{L}_m}$  for which every  $f_U \in \mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  is weakly bounded for any smooth quasi-projective variety  $V$ .

#### 4.1. Parameterizing spaces of weakly bounded families.

*Set-up 4.5.* Let  $V$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety and  $(B, D)$  a log-smooth compactification, that is  $B \setminus D \simeq V$ , where  $D \subset B$  is a reduced divisor with simple normal crossing support. Let  $\mathcal{H}_B$  be an ample line bundle on  $B$ .

According to [KL10, Cor. 2.23, Thm. 1.6, Thm. 1.7] with a fixed  $h$  and suitable choice of weak bound  $b := b_{\mathcal{L}_m}$  as in [Fact 4.4](#), there is a reduced, connected finite type scheme  $W := W^b$  and a finite type family  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow W \times V =: W_V$  such that every canonically polarized family  $f_U : U \rightarrow V$ , Hilbert polynomial  $h$  appears in a fiber over  $W$ , i.e., for every such  $f_U$  there exists a  $w \in W$  such that  $f_w : X|_{f^{-1}(\{w\} \times V)} \rightarrow \{w\} \times V$  is isomorphic to  $f_U$ . The irreducible components of  $\mathcal{X}$  and the corresponding families will be denoted by

$$\{f_{W_{V,i}} : X_{W_{V,i}} \rightarrow W_V\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}.$$

Note that  $f_{W_{V,i}}$  is projective and there exists a closed subscheme  $W_i \subseteq W$  such that

$$(4.5.1) \quad f_{W_{V,i}} : X_{W_{V,i}} \rightarrow W_i \times V$$

is surjective for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

*Terminology 4.6.* We will write  $(f_U : U \rightarrow V) \subseteq X_{W_{V,i}}$ , if there is a closed point  $w \in W$  such that  $(X_{W_{V,i}})_{\{w\} \times V} \simeq V$ .

By the above we have that

$$(4.6.1) \quad f_U \in \mathfrak{C}_{V,h} \implies \exists i, 1 \leq i \leq k, f_U \subseteq X_{W_{V,i}}.$$

*Remark 4.7* (Regularity assumption for  $W_i$ ). Note that one may assume that  $W_i$  is smooth. Indeed, given a resolution  $\widetilde{W}_i$  of  $W_i$ , after replacing  $X_{W_{V,i}}$  by

$$X_{W_{V,i}} \times_{(W_i \times V)} (\widetilde{W}_i \times V)$$

and  $W_i$  by  $\widetilde{W}_i$ , by using the fact that  $W_i$  is reduced, we can see that the property (4.6.1) is preserved.

*Notation 4.8.* We will denote  $W_i \times V$  by  $W_{V,i}$ .

#### 4.2. Uniform exponents for global generation of twisted direct image sheaves.

We will follow the conventions and notations in the previous subsection.

**Lemma 4.9.** *In the situation of [Set-up 4.5](#) and [4.1](#), for every  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , there exist*

$$(4.9.1) \quad \text{a quasi-projective subscheme } W_i^\circ \subseteq W_i \text{ with } W_{V,i}^\circ := W_i^\circ \times V, X_{W_{V,i}^\circ} := (X_{W_{V,i}})_{W_{V,i}^\circ}, \\ W_{B,i} := W_i^\circ \times B, \text{ surjective morphism } f_{W_{V,i}^\circ} : X_{W_{V,i}^\circ} \rightarrow W_{V,i}^\circ, \text{ and divisor } D_{W_{B,i}} := \\ W_i^\circ \times D,$$

$$(4.9.2) \quad \text{an ample line bundle } \mathcal{H} \text{ on } W_{B,i} \text{ such that } \mathcal{H}|_{\{w_i^0\} \times B} \simeq \mathcal{H}_B, \text{ for any } w_i^0 \in W_i^\circ, \\ \text{and}$$

$$(4.9.3) \quad \text{a positive integer } m_0 = m_0(h) \text{ such that for each multiple } m \text{ of } m_0, \text{ there are} \\ \text{integers } \beta_m^i, \widetilde{\beta}_m^i \text{ and } a_i, \text{ where } \beta_m^i = \widetilde{\beta}_m^i \cdot a_i, \text{ with the following properties:}$$

(4.9.4)  $\omega_F^m$  is globally generated, for every fiber  $F$  of  $f_{W_{V,i}^0}$ .

(4.9.5) For every  $(f_U : U \rightarrow V) \subseteq X_{W_{V,i}^0}$  and any smooth compactification  $f : X \rightarrow B$ , the torsion free sheaf

$$\bigotimes^{a_i} (\mathrm{Sym}^{\tilde{\beta}_m^i} \mathcal{K}_m^h(f)) \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{m \cdot \beta_m^i}$$

is generically generated by global sections.

(4.9.6)  $\bigotimes^{a_i} (\mathrm{Sym}^{\tilde{\beta}_m^i} \mathcal{K}_m^h(f_{W_{V,i}^0})) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{m \cdot \beta_m^i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{W_{V,i}^0}$  is generically generated by global sections.

*Proof.* Let  $n = \dim X/B$ . We may assume that  $\dim W_i \neq 0$ . Let  $W_i^0 \subseteq W_i$  be an open quasi-projective subset and let  $\mathcal{H} := \pi_B^* \mathcal{H}_B$ , where  $\pi_B : W_{B,i} \rightarrow B$  is the projection. This  $\mathcal{H}$  is an ample line bundle on  $W_{B,i}$  satisfying (4.9.2).

Let  $f_{W_{B,i}} : X_{W_{B,i}} \rightarrow W_{B,i}$  denote a proper closure of  $f_{W_{V,i}^0}$ . After removing a subset of  $W_{B,i}$  of  $\mathrm{codim}_{W_{B,i}} \geq 2$ , let

$$\gamma_{W_{B,i}} : W'_{B,i} \rightarrow W_{B,i}$$

be the cyclic, flat morphism arising from a semistable reduction, cf. [KKMSD73] and [KM98, §7.17]. Set  $Y_{W_{B,i}} := X_{W_{B,i}} \times_{W_{B,i}} W'_{B,i}$ , which is irreducible as  $\gamma_{W_{B,i}}$  is flat, with  $g_{W_{B,i}} : Y_{W_{B,i}} \rightarrow W'_{B,i}$  denoting the natural projection. Let  $D_{W'_{B,i}} := \gamma_{W_{B,i}}^{-1} D_{W_{B,i}}$ .

For any  $f_U \subseteq X_{W_{V,i}^0}$ , let  $w \in W_i^0$  be a parametrizing closed point as in Terminology 4.6. Let  $B' \subset W_{B,i}$  be the subscheme defined by  $\gamma_{W_{B,i}}^{-1}(\{w\} \times B)$  and  $\gamma : B' \rightarrow B$  the induced cyclic morphism. For the generic choice of  $w \in W_i^0$ ,  $\gamma$  is flat, ramified only along a very ample divisor and  $\mathrm{supp} D'$ , for some  $D' \geq D$ , and with the same ramification indices as those of  $\gamma_{W_{B,i}}$ . Denote the pullback of  $f_U$  via  $\gamma$  by  $f_{U_{B'}} : U_{B'} \rightarrow B' \setminus \gamma^{-1}(D)$ .

Now, let  $f'_{W_{B,i}} : X'_{W_{B,i}} \rightarrow W'_{B,i}$  be the stable reduction of  $f_{W_{B,i}}$  through  $\gamma_{W_{B,i}}$ , resulting in the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'_{W_{B,i}} & \xleftarrow{\text{birational}} & Y_{W_{B,i}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_{W_{B,i}} \\ & \searrow f'_{W_{B,i}} & \downarrow g_{W_{B,i}} & \searrow \gamma_{W_{B,i}} & \downarrow f_{W_{B,i}} \\ & & W'_{B,i} & \xrightarrow{\text{flat and Galois}} & W_{B,i} \end{array}$$

that is there is a resolution  $\pi : Z_{W_{B,i}} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}_{W_{B,i}}$  of the normalization  $\widehat{Y}_{W_{B,i}}$  of  $Y_{W_{B,i}}$  such that  $(Z_{W_{B,i}}, \mathrm{Exc}(\pi)) \rightarrow W'_{B,i}$  is semistable in codimension one, and that  $X'_{W_{B,i}}$  is the canonical model of  $Z_{W_{B,i}}$  over  $W'_{B,i}$ , cf. Kollár [Kol10, §3] and Hacon-Xu [HX13, Cor. 1.4]. In particular  $f'_{W_{B,i}}$  is stable in codimension one. With no loss of generality, after possibly removing another subset of codimension two, we may assume that  $(Z_{W_{B,i}}, \mathrm{Exc}(\pi)) \rightarrow W'_{B,i}$  is semistable and  $f'_{W_{B,i}}$  is stable over  $W'_{B,i}$ . Let  $n_0$  be the smallest integer for which  $\omega_{X'_{W_{B,i}}/W'_{B,i}}^{[n_0]}$  is invertible and set  $m_0 := \mathrm{lcm}(m_0, n_0)$ , with  $a_0$  as in (2.16.1). Then (4.9.4) holds for this choice of  $m$ , and Proposition 3.2 is applicable.

According to (3.3.2), for every multiple  $m$  of  $m_0$ , there is an integer  $\tilde{\beta}_m^i$  such that, for every multiple  $r$  of  $\tilde{\beta}_m^i$ , the sheaf

$$(4.9.7) \quad \mathrm{Sym}^r \mathcal{K}_m^h(f'_{W_{B,i}}) \otimes (\mathcal{H}')^{m \cdot r}$$



is globally generated over  $W'_{B,i}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}' := \gamma_{W_{B,i}}^* \mathcal{H}$  (remembering that we have removed a subset of  $W'_{B,i}$  of  $\text{codim}_{W'_{B,i}} \geq 2$ ).

We next consider the pullback  $(X_{W_{B,i}})_{\{w\} \times B}$ , which gives a morphism of reduced pairs  $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$ . Define  $Y := X \times_{X_{W_{B,i}}} Y_{W_{B,i}}$  with the natural projection  $g : Y \rightarrow B'$  and set  $X' := (X'_{W_{B,i}})_{B'}$ , with the induced family  $f' : X' \rightarrow B'$ , which is stable. We summarize these constructions in the following commutative diagram.

$$(4.9.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \overset{\text{birational}}{\dashrightarrow} & Y & \longrightarrow & X \\ \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \swarrow \\ X'_{W_{B,i}} & \dashrightarrow & Y_{W_{B,i}} & \longrightarrow & X_{W_{B,i}} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & B' & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \{w\} \times B \\ & \searrow & \swarrow & & \swarrow \\ & & W'_{B,i} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{W_{B,i}}} & W_{B,i} \end{array}$$

Now, we consider the  $t_m^2$ -fold fiber product of (4.9.8) with the induced stable maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X')^{t_m^2} & \longrightarrow & (X'_{W_{B,i}})^{t_m^2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \longrightarrow & X'_{W_{B,i}} \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f'_{W_{B,i}} \\ B' & \xrightarrow{i} & W'_{B,i} \end{array}$$

where  $i : B' \rightarrow W'_{B,i}$  denotes the natural inclusion. According to [Proposition 3.6](#), after removing a subset of  $B'$  of  $\text{codim}_{B'} \geq 2$ , we have

$$(4.9.9) \quad i^* \mathcal{K}_m^h(f'_{W_{B,i}}) \simeq \mathcal{K}_m^h(f').$$

*Claim 4.10.* After replacing  $W_i^0$  by an open subset, if necessary, for every multiple  $r$  of  $\tilde{\beta}_m^i$ , the torsion free sheaf  $\text{Sym}^r \mathcal{K}_m^h(f') \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B'}^{m,r}$  is generically generated by global sections, where  $\mathcal{H}_{B'} := \gamma^* \mathcal{H}_B$ .

*Proof of Claim 4.10.* We have already established that (4.9.7) is globally generated over  $W'_{B,i}$ . By pulling back and using (4.9.9) we find that

$$i^* \left( \text{Sym}^r \mathcal{K}_m^h(f'_{W_{B,i}}) \otimes (\mathcal{H}')^{m \cdot r} \right) \simeq \text{Sym}^r \mathcal{K}_m^h(f') \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B'}^{m \cdot r}$$

is generically generated by global sections, for the family  $f$  parametrized by a general closed point  $w \in W_i^0$ .  $\square$

From now on we will replace  $W_i^0$  by its open subset in [Claim 4.10](#). We note that by construction, for the general family  $f : X \rightarrow B$  parametrized by  $w \in W_i^0$ , and for every codimension one point  $b' \in B'$ ,  $Y_{b'}$  is reduced. With no loss of generality we will

assume that this holds for every  $w \in W_i^0$ . Thus, there is a strong resolution  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  of the normalization of  $Y$  such that the induced morphism  $\tilde{g} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow B'$  is semistable [KKMSD73].

Following the above construction, there is also a birational map  $X' \dashrightarrow \tilde{Y}$ . Let  $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$  denote the birational morphism obtained by eliminating the indeterminacy of that rational map. Let  $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  be the induced birational morphism, and set  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow B'$  be the resulting family, all fitting in the following commutative diagram:

$$(4.10.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{X} & & \\ & \swarrow \mu & & \searrow \sigma & \\ X' & \dashrightarrow & \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\ & \searrow f' & \downarrow \tilde{g} & & \downarrow f \\ & & B' & \xrightarrow{\quad \gamma \quad} & B. \end{array}$$

Now, as  $X'$  has only canonical singularities [KS16], for every multiple  $m$  of  $m_0$ , we have

$$(4.10.2) \quad f'_* \omega_{X'/B'}^{[m]} \simeq \tilde{f}'_* \omega_{\tilde{X}/B'}^m \simeq \tilde{g}_* \omega_{\tilde{Y}/B'}^m.$$

It follows that

$$(4.10.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_m(f') \simeq \mathcal{L}_m(\tilde{g}).$$

Moreover, considering the  $t_m^2$ -fold fiber product of (4.10.1) we find that

$$(4.10.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{X}^{(t_m^2)} & & \\ & \swarrow \mu' & & \searrow \sigma' & \\ (X')^{t_m^2} & \dashrightarrow & \tilde{Y}^{(t_m^2)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X^{(t_m^2)} \\ & \searrow (f')^{t_m^2} & \downarrow \tilde{g}^{(t_m^2)} & & \downarrow f^{(t_m^2)} \\ & & B' & \xrightarrow{\quad \gamma \quad} & B. \end{array}$$

Again, with  $(f')^{t_m^2} : (X')^{t_m^2} \rightarrow B'$  being stable,  $(X')^{t_m^2}$  has only canonical singularities and therefore we have

$$(4.10.4) \quad (f')_*^{t_m^2} \omega_{(X')^{t_m^2}/B'}^{[m]} \simeq (\tilde{f}')_*^{t_m^2} \omega_{\tilde{X}^{(t_m^2)}/B'}^m \simeq \tilde{g}_*^{(t_m^2)} \omega_{\tilde{Y}^{(t_m^2)}/B'}^m.$$

Combining (4.10.3) and (4.10.4) now leads to

$$\mathcal{K}_m^h(f') \simeq \mathcal{K}_m^h(\tilde{g}).$$

On the other hand, according to Lemma 3.5, for a log resolution  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta}) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ , we have an injection

$$\mathcal{K}_m^h(\tilde{g}) \hookrightarrow \gamma^* \mathcal{K}_m^h(f),$$

that is an isomorphism over  $\gamma^{-1}V$ . With  $\mathcal{H}_{B'} = \gamma^* \mathcal{H}_B$ , this implies that for every multiple  $r$  of  $\tilde{\beta}_m^i$  we have

$$(4.10.5) \quad \mathrm{Sym}^r \mathcal{K}_m^h(\tilde{g}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B'}^{m \cdot r} \hookrightarrow \gamma^* (\mathrm{Sym}^r \mathcal{K}_m^h(f) \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{m \cdot r}).$$

We saturate the image of the injection (4.10.5), call it  $\mathcal{G}'$  and consider  $\bigotimes_{g \in G} g^* \mathcal{G}'$ , where  $G = \mathrm{Gal}(B'/B)$ , with  $|G| = |\mathrm{Gal}(W'_{B,i}/W_{B,i})|$ . By [HL10, Thm. 4.2.15], after removing a

subset of  $B$  of  $\text{codim}_B \geq 2$  if necessary, there is a locally free sheaf

$$(4.10.6) \quad \mathcal{G} \subseteq \bigotimes^{|G|} (\text{Sym}^r \mathcal{K}_m^h(\tilde{f}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{m \cdot r})$$

on  $B$  such that

$$(4.10.7) \quad \gamma^* \mathcal{G} \simeq \bigotimes_g g^* \mathcal{G}', \text{ and}$$

$$(4.10.8) \quad (4.10.6) \text{ is an isomorphism over } V, \text{ by construction.}$$

Now, as the functor  $\gamma_*(\cdot)^G$  is exact, and since  $\mathcal{G}'$  is globally generated over  $\gamma^{-1}V$ , we find that  $\mathcal{G} \simeq \gamma_*(\gamma^* \mathcal{G})^G$  is generically generated by global sections and thus so is

$$\bigotimes^{|G|} (\text{Sym}^r \mathcal{K}_m^h(\tilde{f}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{m \cdot k}) \simeq \bigotimes^{|G|} (\text{Sym}^r \mathcal{K}_m^h(\tilde{f}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{m \cdot k \cdot |G|}).$$

We conclude the proof by setting  $a_i := |\text{Gal}(W'_{B,i}/W_{B,i})|$ .  $\square$

### 4.3. Generic deformation invariance and an upper-bound for $\alpha_{m,\beta_m,s_m}(\cdot)$ .

**Theorem 4.11.** *In the situation of Set-up 4.5, for each  $m, \tilde{\beta}_m^i$  and  $\beta_m^i$  as in Lemma 4.9, for each  $i$ , there exists an  $\alpha_m^i \in \mathbb{N}$  with the following property. For every  $f_U \subseteq X_{W_{V,i}^0}$  and smooth compactification  $f : X \rightarrow B$  there is a section*

$$0 \neq s_m \in H^0 \left[ X^{(t_m^2)}, (\omega_{X^{(t_m^2)}/B} \otimes (f^{(t_m^2)})^*(\mathcal{L}_m^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B))^{m \cdot \beta_m^i} \right],$$

such that

$$\alpha_{m,\beta_m^i,s_m}(f) \leq \alpha_m^i.$$

**Corollary 4.12.** *In the situation of Set-up 4.5 there are integers  $m_0$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_m$ , depending only on  $h$ , with the following property. For every multiple  $m$  of  $m_0$  and every  $f_U \in \mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  and smooth compactification  $f : X \rightarrow B$  we have*

$$\alpha_{m,\beta_m,s_m}(f) \leq \bar{\alpha}_m,$$

for some  $\beta_m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \neq s_m \in H^0(X^{(t_m^2)}, \mathcal{M}^{m \cdot \beta_m})$  (as defined in (3.6.3)).

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.11 we know that for each fixed  $i$  and every  $f_U \in \mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  with  $f_U \subseteq X_{W_{V,i}^0}$  there are  $\alpha_m^i, \beta_m^i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s_m$  such that

$$(4.12.1) \quad \alpha_{m,\beta_m^i,s_m}(f) \leq \alpha_m^i.$$

Now, for each of the the irreducible components  $T_{ij}$  of the scheme  $W_i \setminus W_i^0$ , we replace  $W_i$  in (4.5.1) by  $T_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Again, by Lemma 4.9 and Theorem 4.11 we find that, for suitable choices of  $\beta_m^i, s_m$  and  $\alpha_m^i$ , the inequality (4.12.1) is valid. As  $W_i$  is of finite type, by induction on  $\dim(W_i)$ , the existence of  $\bar{\alpha}_m^i$  such that  $\alpha_m(f) \leq \bar{\alpha}_m^i$  holds for every  $f_U \subseteq X_{W_{V,i}}$ . We conclude the proof by setting  $\bar{\alpha}_m := \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{\bar{\alpha}_m^i\}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.11.* According to Lemma 4.9 there exists  $m_0(h)$  such that, for any multiple  $m$  of  $m_0$ , the two sheaves

$$(4.12.2) \quad \bigotimes^{a_i} \left[ \text{Sym}^{\tilde{\beta}_m^i} \left( (f_{W_{B,i}}^{(t_m^2)})_* \omega_{X_{W_{B,i}}^{(t_m^2)}/W_{B,i}}^m \otimes \mathcal{L}_m^{-m}(f_{W_{B,i}}) \right) \right] \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\beta_m^i \cdot m}$$

$$(4.12.3) \quad \bigotimes^{a_i} \left[ \text{Sym}^{\tilde{\beta}_m^i} \left( f_*^{(t_m^2)} \omega_{X^{(t_m^2)}/B}^m \otimes \mathcal{L}_m^{-m}(f) \right) \right] \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\beta_m^i \cdot m}$$

are generically generated by global sections, for some for  $\beta_m^i, \tilde{\beta}_m^i$  and  $a_i$  as in Lemma 4.9.

On the other hand, by the invariance of plurigenera and (4.9.4) the two natural maps

$$(4.12.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (f_{W_{V,i}^0}^{t_m^2})^* (f_{W_{V,i}^0}^{t_m^2})_* \omega_{X_{W_{V,i}^0}^{t_m^2}/W_{V,i}}^m &\longrightarrow \omega_{X_{W_{V,i}^0}^{t_m^2}/W_{V,i}^0}^m \\ (f^{t_m^2})^* f_*^m \omega_{U^{t_m^2}/V}^m &\longrightarrow \omega_{U^{t_m^2}/V}^m \end{aligned}$$

are surjective. Therefore, by pulling back (4.12.2), (4.12.3) and using (4.12.4) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigotimes^{a_i} \text{Sym}^{\tilde{\beta}_m^i} \left[ \omega_{X_{W_{B,i}}^{(t_m^2)}/W_{B,i}}^m \otimes (f_{X_{W_{B,i}}^{(t_m^2)}}^{t_m^2})^* \mathcal{L}_m^{-m}(f_{W_{B,i}}) \right] \otimes (f_{W_{B,i}}^{(t_m^2)})^* \mathcal{H}^{\beta_m^i \cdot m} &\simeq \\ &\simeq \left[ \omega_{X_{W_{B,i}}^{(t_m^2)}/W_{B,i}} \otimes (f_{W_{B,i}}^{(t_m^2)})^* (\mathcal{L}_m^{-1}(f_{W_{B,i}}) \otimes \mathcal{H}) \right]^{\beta_m^i \cdot m} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$(4.12.5) \quad \left[ \omega_{X^{(t_m^2)}/B} \otimes (f^{(t_m^2)})^* (\mathcal{L}_m^{-1}(f) \otimes \mathcal{H}_B) \right]^{\beta_m^i \cdot m}$$

are globally generated over the (preimage of the) smooth locus of the family. Next, let

$$(4.12.6) \quad 0 \neq s_{m,W_{V,i}^0} \in H^0 \left( X_{W_{V,i}^0}^{t_m^2}, \left[ \omega_{X_{W_{V,i}^0}^{t_m^2}/W_{V,i}} \otimes (f_{W_{V,i}^0}^{t_m^2})^* (\mathcal{L}_m^{-1}(f_{W_{V,i}^0}) \otimes \mathcal{H}) \right]^{\beta_m^i \cdot m} \right)$$

be such that the intersection of  $D_{X_{W_{V,i}^0}} := (s_{m,W_{V,i}^0})_0$  with the general subfamily  $f_U \subset X_{W_{V,i}^0}$  is smooth. Denote the (finite type) subscheme of  $W_i^0$  over which this intersection is not smooth by  $T_i^0$ . Next, for each  $f_U$  as above, set

$$(4.12.7) \quad s_m^0 \in H^0 \left( U^{t_m^2}, \left[ \omega_{U^{t_m^2}/V}^{t_m^2} \otimes (f_U^{t_m^2})^* (\mathcal{L}_m^{-1}(f_U) \otimes \mathcal{H}_B) \right] \right)$$

to be the section induced by the pullback  $s_{m,W_{V,i}^0}|_{U^{t_m^2}}$ . Noting that the pullback of the line bundle in (4.12.6) is isomorphic to the one in (4.12.7), by the construction of Viehweg numbers Definition 3.7 and Lemma 3.10, we find that

$$(4.12.8) \quad \alpha_{m,\beta_m^i,s_{m,W_{V,i}^0}}(f_{W_{V,i}^0}) = \alpha_{m,\beta_m^i,s_m^0}(f_U).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that (4.12.5) is globally generated over  $U^{t_m^2}$ . Consequently, there exists

$$(4.12.9) \quad s_m \in H^0 \left( X^{(t_m^2)}, \left[ \omega_{X^{(t_m^2)}/B} \otimes (f^{(t_m^2)})^* (\mathcal{L}_m^{-1}(f) \otimes \mathcal{H}_B) \right]^{\beta_m^i \cdot m} \right)$$

such that  $s_m|_{U^{t_m^2}} = s_m^0$ . Clearly we have  $\alpha_{m,\beta_m^i,s_m}(f) = \alpha_{m,\beta_m^i,s_m^0}(f_U)$  (see Remark 3.8). Therefore, by (4.12.8), for every family  $(f_U : U \rightarrow V) \subseteq X_{W_{V,i}^0} \setminus f_{W_{V,i}^0}^{-1}(T_i^0 \times V)$ , regardless of a choice of compactification  $f : X \rightarrow B$  over  $B$ , there is a section  $s_m$  as (4.12.9) such that

$$\alpha_{m,\beta_m^i,s_m}(f) = \alpha_{m,s_{m,\beta_m^i,W_{V,i}^0}}(f_{W_{V,i}^0}).$$

After pulling back  $f_{W_{V,i}^0}$  over each irreducible component  $T_{ij}^0 \times V$  of  $T_i^0 \times V$ , and replacing  $W_i$  in Lemma 4.9 by  $T_{ij}^0$ , the conclusions of Lemma 4.9 are again valid and we can repeat

the above argument. As  $T_i^0$  is finite type, by induction on the dimension of  $W_i$  we can thus find  $\alpha_m^i$  such that for every  $f_U \subseteq X_{W_{V,i}^0}$  we have

$$\alpha_{m,\beta_m^i,s_m}(f) \leq \alpha_m^i,$$

with  $s_m$  being as in (4.12.9).  $\square$

## 5. HIGHER DIMENSIONAL ARAKELOV INEQUALITIES

**5.1. A reflexive system of Hodge sheaves containing  $\mathcal{L}_m(-D)$ .** Let  $V$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety with a smooth compactification  $(B, D)$  and let  $\mathcal{H}_B$  be an ample line bundle on  $B$ . Fix  $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . According to [Theorem 4.11](#) and [Corollary 4.12](#) there are integers  $m_0 = m_0(h)$ ,  $\beta_m$  (suppressing the unnecessary superscript  $i$ ) and  $\bar{\alpha}_m$  such that, for every multiple  $m$  of  $m_0$ , and every smooth compactification  $f : X \rightarrow B$  of any smooth projective family  $f_U \in \mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$ , over an open subset  $B^0 \subseteq B$ , with  $\text{codim}_B(B \setminus B^0) \geq 2$ , there exists an  $0 \neq s_m \in H^0(X^{(t_{m,2})}, \mathcal{M}^{m \cdot \beta_m})$ , where

$$(5.0.1) \quad \mathcal{M} := \omega_{X^{(t_{m,2})}/B} \otimes (f^{(t_{m,2})})^*(\mathcal{L}_m^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B),$$

for which we have  $\alpha_{m,\beta_m,s_m}(f) \leq \bar{\alpha}_m$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *For every projective morphism  $f : X \rightarrow B$  as above there is a reflexive system of Hodge sheaves  $(\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^w \mathcal{G}_i, \theta)$  of weight  $w \in \mathbb{N}$  on  $B$ , with logarithmic poles along  $D$ , satisfying the following properties.*

$$(5.1.1) \quad w \leq \dim(X/B) \cdot t_{m,2}.$$

$$(5.1.2) \quad \text{rank}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \bar{\alpha}_m.$$

$$(5.1.3) \quad \text{There is an injection } \mathcal{L}_m(-D) \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{-1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_0.$$

$$(5.1.4) \quad \text{The torsion free sheaf } \mathcal{N}_j := \ker(\theta|_{\mathcal{G}_j}) \text{ is weakly negative, for every } 0 \leq j \leq w.$$

*Proof.* Following [[VZ03](#)], [[Taj21](#), 2.2] and [[Taj20](#), 2.2] we consider the  $\Omega_{B^0}^1(\log D)$ -valued system<sup>3</sup>  $(\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_i, \tau)$  of weight equal to  $\dim(X^{(t_{m,2})}/B)$ , with each  $\mathcal{F}_i$  being defined by

$$\mathcal{R}^i f_* \left( \Omega_{X^{(t_{m,2})}/B}^{t_{m,2}-i}(\log \Delta_{f^{(t_{m,2})}}) \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1} \right).$$

With  $s_m$  as above, over  $B_0$ , there is a surjective morphism  $Z_{s_m} \rightarrow X^{(t_{m,2})}$ , as in (3.6.4). Let  $\mathcal{V}^0$  denote the Deligne's extension of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS of weight  $\dim(Z_{s_m}/B)$  underlying the smooth locus of  $g_{s_m}$ , and set  $(\mathcal{E}^0 = \bigoplus \mathcal{E}_i^0, \theta^0)$  to be the associated Hodge bundle. By [[Taj21](#), pp. 8–9] there is a morphism of systems  $\Phi : (\mathcal{F}, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)$  such that  $\Phi_0$  is injective and its image  $(\mathcal{G}^0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^w \mathcal{G}_i^0, \theta^0)$  has the following property: the natural extension of  $(\mathcal{G}^0, \theta^0)^{**}$  to the reflexive system of Hodge sheaves  $(\mathcal{G}, \theta)$  on  $B$  satisfies (5.1.4). The construction and the injectivity of  $\Phi_0$  implies (5.1.3). Moreover, by the construction of  $\mathcal{G}$  and [Definition 3.7](#), we have that  $w \leq \dim(X/B) \cdot t_{m,2}$ , so (5.1.1) follows. Furthermore,  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \alpha_{m,\beta_m,s_m}(f)$  by construction, so (5.1.2) follows from [Corollary 4.12](#).  $\square$

<sup>3</sup>Following [[Taj20](#), Def. 2.2], given sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_B$ -modules  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ , a  $\mathcal{W}$ -valued system means a splitting  $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_i$  and a sheaf homomorphism  $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{F}$  that is Griffiths-transversal.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We now prove the main theorem using Proposition 5.1.

**Theorem 5.2** (=Theorem 1.2). *Let  $(B, D)$  be a smooth compactification of a smooth quasi-projective variety  $V$  of dimension  $d$  and  $H$  an ample Cartier divisor on  $B$ . Assume that  $K_B + D$  is pseudo-effective. Then, there exists an  $m_0 = m_0(h) \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for every integer multiple  $m$  of  $m_0$ , there exist  $a_m, b_m, \gamma_m \in \mathbb{N}$  with the following property. For each smooth compactification  $f : X \rightarrow B$  of an arbitrary  $f_U \in \mathfrak{C}_{V,h}$  we have*

$$(5.2.1) \quad c_1(\det f_* \omega_{X/B}^m) \cdot H^{d-1} \leq (d^{\gamma_m} \cdot a_m(K_B + D) + b_m D) \cdot H^{d-1} + H^d.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}_m = \det \left( (f_* \omega_{X/B}^m)(-m \cdot D) \right)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_m := \mathcal{L}_m(-D - H)$ . As before, set  $r_m := \text{rank}(f_* \omega_{X/B}^m)$ . We will distinguish cases based on the sign of  $c_1(\mathcal{A}_m) \cdot H^{d-1}$ .

First, assume that  $c_1(\mathcal{A}_m) \cdot H^{d-1} \leq 0$ . Then

$$c_1 \left( \det(f_* \omega_{X/B}^m)(-(m \cdot r_m)D - H) \right) \cdot H^{d-1} \leq D \cdot H^{d-1},$$

which implies that

$$(5.2.2) \quad c_1(\det f_* \omega_{X/B}^m) \cdot H^{d-1} \leq (1 + m \cdot r_m)D \cdot H^{d-1} + H^d.$$

Next, assume that

$$(5.2.3) \quad c_1(\mathcal{A}_m) \cdot H^{d-1} > 0.$$

According to Proposition 5.1, there exists a reflexive system of Hodge sheaves  $(\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^w \mathcal{G}_i, \theta)$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_m \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$ . Now, define

$$\theta^l := \underbrace{(\text{id} \otimes \theta) \circ \dots \circ (\text{id} \otimes \theta)}_{(l-1)\text{-times}} \circ \theta : \mathcal{G}_0 \longrightarrow (\Omega_B^1(\log D))^{\otimes l} \otimes \mathcal{G}_l.$$

*Claim 5.3.*  $\theta(\mathcal{A}_m) \neq 0$ .

*Proof of Claim 5.3.* The proof is the same as in [KT21, Claim 5.5].  $\square$

Clearly,  $\theta^l(\mathcal{A}_m) = 0$  for  $l \gg 0$  and Claim 5.3 implies that such an  $l$  has to be larger than 1. Let  $k$  be the largest integer for which  $\theta^k(\mathcal{A}_m) \neq 0$ . Using the fact that

$$\theta^k \in \Gamma \left( (\Omega_B^1(\log D))^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{N}_k) \right)$$

and that  $\mathcal{A}_m$  is of rank one, there is a nontrivial map

$$\mathcal{A}_m \longrightarrow (\Omega_B^1(\log D))^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{N}_k.$$

Set  $s := \text{rank}(\mathcal{N}_k)$ . By using the weak negativity of  $\mathcal{N}_k$  and the inequality (5.2.3), we find that

$$(\mathcal{L}_m(-D - H))^s \hookrightarrow (\Omega_B^1(\log D))^{\otimes k \cdot s} \otimes \det \mathcal{N}_k$$

implying that

$$(5.3.1) \quad (\mathcal{L}_m(-D - H))^s \otimes (\det \mathcal{N}_k)^{-1} \hookrightarrow (\Omega_B^1(\log D))^{\otimes k \cdot s}.$$

Now, as  $(\det \mathcal{N}_k)^{-1}$  is pseudo-effectivity, we have the inequality

$$s \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L}_m(-D - H)) \cdot H^{d-1} \leq c_1 \left( (\mathcal{L}_m(-D - H))^s \otimes (\det \mathcal{N}_k)^{-1} \right) \cdot H^{d-1}.$$

On the other hand, by [CP19, Thm. 1.3] it follows from (5.3.1) that

$$c_1 \left( (\mathcal{L}_m(-D - H))^s \otimes (\det \mathcal{N}_k)^{-1} \right) \cdot H^{d-1} \leq c_1 \left( (\Omega_B^1(\log D))^{\otimes k \cdot s} \right) \cdot H^{d-1}.$$

By combining these latter two inequalities we find that

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}_m(-D-H)) \cdot H^{d-1} \leq d^{k \cdot s-1} \cdot k \cdot (K_B + D) \cdot H^{d-1}.$$

With  $\mathcal{L}_m = \det\left((f_*\omega_{X/B}^m)(-mD)\right)$ , this implies that

$$(5.3.2) \quad c_1\left(\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m((-m \cdot r_m - 1)D)\right) \cdot H^{d-1} \leq d^{k \cdot s-1} \cdot k \cdot (K_B + D) \cdot H^{d-1} + H^d.$$

Furthermore, by [Proposition 5.1](#) we have that  $k \leq w \leq a_m$ , where  $a_m := (\dim X/B) \cdot t_{m,2}$ , and  $s \leq \bar{\alpha}_m$ . Therefore, from [\(5.3.2\)](#) we find

$$(5.3.3) \quad c_1(\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m) \cdot H^{d-1} \leq d^{\bar{\alpha}_m \cdot a_m - 1} \cdot a_m \cdot (K_B + D) \cdot H^{d-1} + (1 + m r_m) \cdot D \cdot H^{d-1} + H^d.$$

The statement now follows from combining [\(5.2.2\)](#) and [\(5.3.3\)](#), with  $\gamma_m = \bar{\alpha}_m \cdot a_m - 1$ ,

$$(5.3.4) \quad a_m = \dim X/B \cdot (r_m \cdot m^2 \cdot e_m) \quad \text{and} \quad b_m = 1 + m \cdot r_m. \quad \square$$

**5.3. Proof of [Theorem 1.4](#).** After removing a subset of  $B$  of  $\text{codim}_B \geq 2$ , let  $f' : X' \rightarrow B'$  be the stable reduction associated to  $\gamma : B' \rightarrow B$ , as in [\(4.10.1\)](#). By [\(4.10.2\)](#) and [\(3.5.2\)](#) we have  $f'_*\omega_{X'/B'}^{[m]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma^* f_*\omega_{X/B}^m$ , so that

$$\underbrace{[\det f'_*\omega_{X'/B'}^{[m]}]^{p_m}}_{\simeq \Phi^*(\lambda_m) \text{ cf. [Kol90, 2.5],[Vie95, Thm. 7.17]}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma^*(\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m)^{p_m}.$$

By Teissier's inequality [\[Laz04, Thm. 1.6.1\]](#) (and references therein) and [Theorem 1.2](#) it follows that

$$(\text{vol}(\Phi^*\lambda_m))^{\frac{1}{d}} \cdot \left[ (\gamma^* H^d)^{\frac{1}{d}} \right]^{d-1} \leq p_m \cdot \deg \gamma \cdot ((d^{\gamma_m} a_m (K_B + D) + b_m D) \cdot H^{d-1} + H^d),$$

which implies that

$$(\text{vol}(\Phi^*\lambda_m))^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq \frac{p_m \cdot \deg \gamma}{(H^d)^{1-\frac{1}{d}}} \cdot ((d^{\gamma_m} a_m (K_B + D) + b_m D) \cdot H^{d-1} + H^d).$$

Setting

$$c_m(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{p_m^d}{x_3^{d-1}} (d^{\gamma_m} \cdot a_m x_1 + b_m x_2 + x_3)^d$$

completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Ara71] S. J. Arakelov, *Families of algebraic curves with fixed degeneracies*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **35** (1971), 1269–1293. MR0321933 (48 #298)
- [BB20] D. Brotbek and Y. Brunebarbe, *Arakelov-Nevanlinna inequalities for variations of Hodge structures and applications* (2020). Preprint [arXiv:2007.12957](#).
- [BG71] S. Bloch and D. Gieseker, *The positivity of the chern classes of an ample vector bundle*, *Invent. Math.* **12** (1971), no. 2, 112–117.
- [BGPG06] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada, and P. B. Gothen, *Maximal surface group representations in isometry groups of classical hermitian symmetric spaces*, *Geom. Dedicata* **122** (2006), 185–213.
- [BHPS13] B. Bhatt, W. Ho, Zs. Patakfalvi, and C. Schnell, *Moduli of products of stable varieties*, *Compos. Math.* **149** (2013), no. 12, 2036–2070. MR3143705
- [BV00] E. Bedulev and E. Viehweg, *On the Shafarevich conjecture for surfaces of general type over function fields*, *Invent. Math.* **139** (2000), no. 3, 603–615. MR1738062 (2001f:14065)
- [Con00] B. Conrad, *Grothendieck duality and base change*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1750, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. MR1804902

- [CP19] F. Campana and M. Păun, *Foliations with positive slopes and birational stability of orbifold cotangent bundles*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **129** (2019), no. 1, 1–49. [arXiv:1508.02456](#).
- [Del87] P. Deligne, *Un théorème de finitude la monodromie*, Progr. Math., 1987. Discrete groups in geometry and analysis (New Haven, Conn., 1984).
- [EV92] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, *Lectures on vanishing theorems*, DMV Seminar, vol. 20, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992. MR1193913 (94a:14017)
- [Fuj18] O. Fujino, *Semipositivity theorems for moduli problems*, Ann. Math. **187** (2018), no. 187, 639–665. DOI:10.4007/annals.2018.187.3.1.
- [Fuj78] T. Fujita, *On Kähler fiber spaces over curves*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **30** (1978), 779–794.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR0463157 (57 #3116)
- [HL10] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Second, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. MR2665168 (2011e:14017)
- [HX13] C. D. Hacon and C. Xu, *Existence of log canonical closures*, Invent. Math. **192** (2013), no. 1, 161–195.
- [JZ02] J. Jost and K. Zuo, *Hodge bundles over algebraic curves*, J. Alg. Geom. **11** (2002), 535–546.
- [Kaw81] Y. Kawamata, *Characterization of Abelian Varieties*, Compositio Math. **43** (1981), 253–276.
- [KK08] S. Kebekus and S. J. Kovács, *Families of canonically polarized varieties over surfaces*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), no. 3, 657–682. DOI:10.1007/s00222-008-0128-8. Preprint [arXiv:0707.2054](#). MR2393082
- [KK10] ———, *The structure of surfaces and threefolds mapping to the moduli stack of canonically polarized varieties*, Duke Math. J. **155** (2010), no. 1, 1–33. MR2730371 (2011i:14060)
- [KKMSD73] G. Kempf, F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, and B. Saint-Donat, *Toroidal embeddings i*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 399, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1973.
- [KL10] S. J. Kovács and M. Lieblich, *Boundedness of families of canonically polarized manifolds: A higher dimensional analogue of shafarevich’s conjecture*, Ann. Math. **172** (2010), no. 3, 1719–1748. DOI:10.4007/annals.2010.172.1719.
- [KM08] V. Koziarz and J. Maubon, *Representations of complex hyperbolic lattices into rank 2 classical Lie groups of Hermitian type*, Geom. Dedicata **137** (2008), 85–111.
- [KM10] ———, *The Toledo invariant on smooth varieties of general type*, Crelle J. Reine Angew. Math. **2010** (2010), no. 649, 207–230. DOI:10.1515/crelle.2010.093.
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR2000b:14018
- [KMM87] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Algebraic geometry, sendai, 1985, 1987, pp. 283–360. MR946243 (89e:14015)
- [Kol10] J. Kollár, *Moduli of varieties of general type* (2010). Preprint [arXiv:1008.0621](#).
- [Kol18] J. Kollár, *Log-plurigenera in stable families*, Peking Math. J. **1** (2018), no. 1, 81–107. MR4059993
- [Kol21] J. Kollár, *Families of varieties of general type*, 2021. in preparation, latest version (currently Aug 30, 2021) available at <https://web.math.princeton.edu/~kollar/FromMyHomePage/modbook.pdf>.
- [Kol86] ———, *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **123** (1986), no. 1, 11–42. MR825838 (87c:14038)
- [Kol90] ———, *Projectivity of complete moduli*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 235–268. MR1064874 (92e:14008)
- [Kov00] S. J Kovács, *Algebraic hyperbolicity of fine moduli spaces*, J. Algebraic Geom. **9** (2000), no. 1, 165–174. MR1713524 (2000i:14017)
- [Kov02] ———, *Logarithmic vanishing theorems and Arakelov-Parshin boundedness for singular varieties*, Compositio Math. **131** (2002), no. 3, 291–317. MR2003a:14025
- [Kov13] S. Kovács, *Singularities of stable varieties*, Handbook of moduli. Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 25, vol. II, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.
- [Kov96] S. J Kovács, *Smooth families over rational and elliptic curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **5** (1996), no. 2, 369–385. Erratum: J. Algebraic Geom. **6** (1997), no. 2, 391. MR1374712 (97c:14035)
- [Kov97] ———, *On the minimal number of singular fibres in a family of surfaces of general type*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **487** (1997), 171–177. MR1454264 (98h:14038)



- [KS16] S. J. Kovács and K. Schwede, *Inversion of adjunction for rational and Du Bois pairs*, Algebra Number Theory **10** (2016), no. 5, 969–1000.
- [KT21] S. J. Kovács and B. Taji, *Hodge sheaves underlying flat projective families* (2021). Preprint [arXiv:2103.03515](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.03515).
- [Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series. MR2095471 (2005k:14001a)
- [Mat72] T. Matsusaka, *Polarized varieties with given Hilbert polynomial*, Amer. J. Math. **9** (1972), 1027–1077.
- [Pat12] Zs. Patakfalvi, *Viehweg’s hyperbolicity conjecture is true over compact bases*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 3, 1640–1642. MR2871152 (2012m:14072)
- [Pet00] C. A. M. Peters, *Arakelov-type inequalities for hodge bundles* (2000). Preprint [arXiv:math/0007102](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0007102).
- [Taj20] B. Taji, *Birational geometry of smooth families of varieties admitting good minimal models* (2020). Preprint [arXiv:2005.01025](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.01025).
- [Taj21] ———, *On the kodaira dimension of base spaces of families of manifolds*, Journal Pure Applied Algebra **225** (2021), 106729. [arXiv:1809.05616](https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.05616).
- [Vie08] E. Viehweg, *Arakelov inequalities*, Surveys Diff. Geom. **13** (2008), 245–276. preprint [arXiv:0812.3350](https://arxiv.org/abs/0812.3350).
- [Vie83] ———, *Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension for certain fibre spaces*, Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (tokyo, 1981), 1983, pp. 329–353. MR715656 (85b:14041)
- [Vie95] E. Viehweg, *Quasi-projective moduli for polarized manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 30, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. MR1368632 (97j:14001)
- [VZ02] E. Viehweg and K. Zuo, *Base spaces of non-isotrivial families of smooth minimal models*, Complex geometry (göttingen, 2000), 2002, pp. 279–328. MR1922109 (2003h:14019)
- [VZ03] E. Viehweg and K. Zuo, *On the Brody hyperbolicity of moduli spaces for canonically polarized manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. 1, 103–150. MR1978884 (2004h:14042)
- [VZ07] ———, *Arakelov inequalities and the uniformization of certain rigid Shimura varieties*, J. Diff. Geom. **77** (2007), 291–352.
- [Zuc82] S. Zucker, *Remarks on a theorem of Fujita*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **34** (1982), no. 1, 47–54.

SÁNDOR KOVÁCS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, BOX 354350, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, 98195, U.S.A

*Email address:* [skovacs@uw.edu](mailto:skovacs@uw.edu)

*URL:* <http://sites.math.washington.edu/~kovacs/current/>

BEHROUZ TAJI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES SYDNEY, NSW 2052 AUSTRALIA

*Email address:* [b.taji@unsw.edu.au](mailto:b.taji@unsw.edu.au)

*URL:* <https://web.maths.unsw.edu.au/~btaji/>