
An improvement to a result about graph isomorphism networks using the prime factorization theorem

Rahul Sarkar

Institute for Computational and Mathematical Engineering
Stanford University
rsarkar@stanford.edu

Abstract

The unique prime factorization theorem is used to show the existence of a function on a countable set \mathcal{X} so that the sum aggregator function is injective on all multisets of \mathcal{X} of finite size.

1 Introduction

In the seminal work [Xu et al., 2019, Section 4], the authors introduce a class of Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) called *Graph Isomorphism Networks* and prove some universality results about them. A key lemma that they prove which underlies their universality results is [Xu et al., 2019, Lemma 5], which we restate below for convenience:

Lemma 1.1. *Let \mathcal{X} be a countable set. Then there exists a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, so that the sum aggregator function $h(X) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x)$ is unique for each multiset $X \subset \mathcal{X}$ of bounded size. Moreover, any multiset function g can be decomposed as $g(X) = \phi(\sum_{x \in X} f(x))$ for some function ϕ .*

To the best of knowledge of the author, subsequent work on GNNs have not removed the boundedness condition on multisets in Lemma 1.1. In this short note, we use the unique prime factorization theorem to show that Lemma 1.1 holds for all multisets of finite size.

2 Main result

We will assume that \mathcal{X} is a countable set.

First we define the notion of multisets, which generalizes the concept of sets, allowing multiple occurrences of the same element.

Definition 2.1. *A multiset X of \mathcal{X} , is a 2-tuple $X := (S, m)$ where S is a subset of \mathcal{X} , called the underlying set, and $m : S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ is a multiplicity function that gives the multiplicity of the elements. We say that X has finite size if $\sum_{x \in S} m(x)$ is finite, in which case we call this sum the size of X .*

We can now state and prove the main result of this paper. Denote by \mathbb{N}_p the set of all primes, and let \mathcal{Q} denote the set of all multisets of \mathcal{X} of finite size. As \mathcal{X} is countable it is clear that \mathcal{Q} is also countable.

Theorem 2.2. *There exists a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, so that the function $h(X) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x)$ defined for each multiset $X \in \mathcal{Q}$, is an injective function on \mathcal{Q} , and any such function f must be injective. Moreover, any multiset function $g : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, where \mathcal{Y} is any arbitrary set, can be decomposed as $g(X) = (\phi \circ h)(X)$ for some function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.*

Proof. We first prove the first statement. Assuming existence of f , injectivity of f follows directly from the injectivity of h , as we obtain f by restricting h to multisets of size one. It remains to prove the existence of f . Since \mathcal{X} is countable, let $\beta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_p$ be any bijective map. Define $f(x) := \log(\beta(x))$ for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$. We proceed via contradiction. Let $X_1 := (S_1, m_1)$ and $X_2 := (S_2, m_2)$ be two distinct finite sized multisets of \mathcal{X} , and suppose $h(X_1) = h(X_2)$. This implies $\sum_{x \in S_1} m_1(x) \log(\beta(x)) = \sum_{y \in S_2} m_2(y) \log(\beta(y))$, or equivalently

$$\prod_{x \in S_1} \beta(x)^{m_1(x)} = \prod_{y \in S_2} \beta(y)^{m_2(y)}. \quad (1)$$

By the prime factorization theorem, this implies $S_1 = S_2$ and $m_1 = m_2$, which contradicts the distinctness of X_1 and X_2 .

The second part is trivial: define ϕ to be any function such that $\phi(h(X)) = g(X)$, for any $X \in \mathcal{Q}$, and this is always well-defined for any arbitrary g because h is injective on \mathcal{Q} . \square

We can use this theorem to prove a result analogous to [Xu et al., 2019, Corollary 6], which we state as a theorem below and provide a proof. Notice that the ϵ parameter appearing in the statement of the theorem below is not allowed to be any irrational number, unlike in [Xu et al., 2019, Corollary 6].

Theorem 2.3. *There exists $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and a set $Z \subset \mathbb{R}$ of Lebesgue measure zero, such that for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R} \setminus Z$, the function $\tilde{h}(c, X) := \epsilon f(c) + \sum_{x \in X} f(x)$ defined for each 2-tuple (c, X) , where $c \in \mathcal{X}$ and $X \in \mathcal{Q}$, is an injective function on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Q}$. Any such function f must be injective. Moreover, any function $\tilde{g} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, where \mathcal{Y} is any arbitrary set, can be decomposed as $\tilde{g}(c, X) = \phi(\tilde{h}(c, X))$, for some function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.*

Proof. Define the function $\gamma : \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, as $\gamma(p, q) = \log_q(p)$. Let Z be the image of γ . As $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ is countable, being the set of positive rational numbers, so is the cartesian product $\mathbb{Q}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, and hence Z is also countable and has Lebesgue measure zero. Now let f be defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, and choose any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R} \setminus Z$. We again proceed via contradiction. Suppose $(c_1, X_1), (c_2, X_2) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Q}$ be distinct elements, and assume $\tilde{h}(c_1, X_1) = \tilde{h}(c_2, X_2)$. Then there are two distinct cases which we need to consider separately: (a) $c_1 = c_2$ and $X_1 \neq X_2$, and (b) $c_1 \neq c_2$. If case (a) holds, then we have $\sum_{x \in X_1} f(x) = \sum_{x \in X_2} f(x)$, but then by Theorem 2.2 we obtain that $X_1 = X_2$ which is a contradiction. If case (b) holds, then we get

$$\epsilon (\log(\beta(c_1)) - \log(\beta(c_2))) = \sum_{x \in X_2} \log(\beta(x)) - \sum_{x \in X_1} \log(\beta(x)). \quad (2)$$

Now suppose $X_1 := (S_1, m_1)$ and $X_2 := (S_2, m_2)$. We immediately deduce from (2) that

$$\left(\frac{\beta(c_1)}{\beta(c_2)} \right)^\epsilon = \frac{\prod_{x \in S_2} \beta(x)^{m_2(x)}}{\prod_{x \in S_1} \beta(x)^{m_1(x)}}, \quad (3)$$

and so $\epsilon \in Z$, which is again a contradiction. This proves the first statement.

It is clear that the second statement is true, because if $f(c_1) = f(c_2)$ for distinct elements $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{X}$, then for multisets X_1 and X_2 of size one defined on the underlying sets $\{c_1\}$ and $\{c_2\}$ respectively, we have $\tilde{h}(c, X_1) = \tilde{h}(c, X_2)$ for any $c \in \mathcal{X}$. This contradicts injectivity of \tilde{h} . The third statement is trivial: simply define ϕ to be any function such that $\phi(\tilde{h}(c, X)) = \tilde{g}(c, X)$, for any 2-tuple $(c, X) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Q}$, which is well-defined by injectivity of \tilde{h} . \square

References

Keyulu Xu, Weihua Hu, Jure Leskovec, and Stefanie Jegelka. How powerful are graph neural networks? In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=ryGs6iA5Km>.