

# LIFTING GLOBALLY $F$ -SPLIT SURFACES TO CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

FABIO BERNASCONI, IACOPO BRIVIO, TATSURO KAWAKAMI AND  
JAKUB WITASZEK

ABSTRACT. We prove that every globally  $F$ -split surface admits an equisingular lifting over the ring of Witt vectors.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Acknowledgments	5
2. Preliminaries	5
2.1. Notation	5
2.2. Frobenius splitting	6
2.3. Log liftability over $W(k)$	8
2.4. Deformation theory toolbox	10
3. Lifting snc pairs on globally $F$ -split varieties	12
3.1. Lifting over $W_2(k)$	12
3.2. Lifting Fano varieties	13
4. Log liftability of globally $F$ -split surface pairs	14
4.1. $K$ -trivial surfaces with klt singularities	15
4.2. $K_X + D$ not pseudoeffective or $(X, D)$ not klt	22
5. Liftability of globally $F$ -split surfaces	25
5.1. Liftability of dlt models	25
5.2. An extension theorem	26
5.3. Canonical liftings of $K$ -trivial surfaces	27
6. Applications	35
6.1. Singularities of globally $F$ -split surfaces	35
6.2. Lifting globally $F$ -split del Pezzo and Calabi-Yau pairs	37
References	39

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A35, 14E30, 14G17, 14G45.

*Key words and phrases.*  $F$ -splitting, lifting to characteristic zero, singularities, surfaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a projective variety over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Both for geometric and arithmetic purposes it is natural to ask under which conditions  $X$  admits a lifting  $\mathcal{X}$  to characteristic zero. The existence of such a lifting would then allow for exploiting results from complex analytic geometry (such as Hodge theory) to study the original variety in characteristic  $p$ .

Serre constructed examples showing there is no hope for the existence of a lifting for a general variety of positive characteristic ([Ser61]). Nevertheless, a general expectation is that a lifting of  $X$  to characteristic zero (or at least modulo  $p^2$ ) can often be constructed if additional hypotheses on its geometry and on the arithmetic of the Frobenius morphism  $F: X \rightarrow X$  are satisfied. One of their key results in this direction is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** ([Zda18, Proposition 3.2]). *Let  $X$  be a globally  $F$ -split projective scheme over a perfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then  $X$  lifts to a flat scheme  $\tilde{X}$  over  $W_2(k)$ .*

In [AZ21], Achinger and Zdanowicz conjectured that every globally  $F$ -split smooth Calabi-Yau variety lifts to characteristic zero. This is a special case of the following folklore conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.2** (cf. [AZ21, Section 1.7]). *Let  $X$  be a globally  $F$ -split normal projective variety over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then  $X$  lifts to a flat scheme  $\mathcal{X}$  over the ring of Witt vectors  $W(k)$ .*

The goal of our article is to prove Conjecture 1.2 in dimension two. In fact, we show a much stronger result: that a log resolution of every globally  $F$ -split normal projective surface admits a lifting over the ring of Witt vectors  $W(k)$  (see Theorem 5.14 for a more general statement involving log pairs).

**Theorem 1.3** (Theorem 5.14). *Let  $X$  be a globally  $F$ -split normal projective surface over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then there exists a log resolution  $f: (Y, \text{Ex}(f)) \rightarrow X$  such that  $f$  admits a lifting to  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  over  $W(k)$ . In particular,  $X$  lifts to  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $W(k)$ .*

*Remark 1.4.* Previous results in the literature support Conjecture 1.2:

- (a) globally  $F$ -split smooth projective varieties with trivial tangent bundle admit a canonical lifting over  $W(k)$  (see [Kat81] and [MS87, Appendix]);

- (b) globally  $F$ -split (equivalently, ordinary) K3 and Enriques surfaces admit a canonical lifting over  $W(k)$  ([Del81, Nyg83, LT19]).

In the past few years, several authors investigated liftability of log resolutions of klt del Pezzo surfaces over  $W(k)$ , especially for its connections with Kodaira-type vanishing theorems ([CTW17, Lac20, ABL20, KN20, Nag21]). Showing that a log resolution of a variety  $X$  lifts to characteristic zero is much more impactful than showing that  $X$  itself lifts, as it permits to compare the singularities of a variety with those of the lifting in characteristic zero (see e.g. [Proposition 6.1](#)). In particular, as a corollary to [Theorem 1.3](#) we can construct liftings of globally  $F$ -split surfaces over  $W(k)$  preserving the type of singularities and the Picard rank.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $X$  be a normal projective globally  $F$ -split surface. Then there exists a lifting  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $X$  over  $W(k)$  with geometric generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}}$  such that the following holds:*

- (a) *there is a natural bijection  $g: \text{Sing}(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sing}(X)$ ;*
- (b) *if  $x \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}})$ , then the dual graph of the minimal resolution at  $x$  is equal to the one of  $g(x)$ ;*
- (c)  $\rho(X) = \rho(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}})$ .

We explain some of the consequences of our results. For example, we can prove a bound on the Gorenstein index of globally  $F$ -split klt Calabi-Yau surfaces which is independent of the characteristic.

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $X$  be a globally  $F$ -split klt projective surface such that  $K_X \equiv 0$ . Then the Gorenstein index of  $X$  and the global index of  $K_X$  are at most 21. In particular,  $X$  is  $\frac{1}{21}$ -lc.*

As a further application we can show the Bogomolov bound on the number of singular points of globally  $F$ -split klt del Pezzo surfaces in positive characteristic (see [KM99, Bel09, LX21] for the bounds in characteristic zero).

**Corollary 1.7.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $X$  be a globally  $F$ -split klt del Pezzo surface over  $k$ . Then  $X$  has at most  $2\rho(X) + 2$  singular points.*

*Remark 1.8.* Thanks to the  $F$ -split condition, we can avoid the explicit classification of [Lac20] and we are able to discuss also the case of low characteristic. Note that the examples constructed in [CT19, Ber21, Lac20] in characteristic  $p \in \{2, 3, 5\}$  show that [Theorem 1.3](#),

**Corollary 1.5** and **Corollary 1.7** fail for non-globally- $F$ -split klt del Pezzo surfaces in low characteristic.

*Remark 1.9.* The third author recently showed that there exists  $p_0 > 0$  such that log Calabi-Yau surface pairs are log liftable over  $W(k)$  if  $p > p_0$  ([Kaw21, Theorem 1.3]). At the moment, an explicit bound on  $p_0$  is not known.

**Sketch of the proofs** The proof of **Theorem 1.3** consists of two parts: showing that  $X$  is log liftable over  $W(k)$  (that is  $(Y, \text{Ex}(f))$  admits a lifting over  $W(k)$  where  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a log resolution; see **Definition 2.8**) and then proving that such a lifting descends to  $X$ . Note that the latter part is easy when  $X$  has klt, and so rational, singularities by standard deformation theoretic arguments but it is much more difficult in general as  $F$ -splitness only implies that  $X$  has log canonical singularities.

We first describe the strategy of the proof of the log liftability of globally  $F$ -split surfaces. Since  $X$  is globally  $F$ -split we know that  $X$  has lc singularities and  $-K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective by **Proposition 2.2**. We thus distinguish two cases: either  $X$  is a  $K$ -trivial variety with klt singularities, or not. In **Section 4.1**, the case of  $K$ -trivial varieties with klt singularities is discussed. We first use the Enriques-Kodaira classification of Bombieri and Mumford ([BM76, BM77]) and we apply the theory of canonical liftings of  $K$ -trivial smooth globally  $F$ -split surfaces as developed in [Nyg83, MS87, LT19] to deduce the log liftability  $K$ -trivial varieties with canonical singularities in **Theorem 4.13**. We then infer the general klt case by taking the canonical covering and using special properties of the canonical liftings. The remaining case where  $K_X$  is not pseudoeffective or its singularities are not klt, treated in **Section 4.2**, has a completely different flavor. Using the Minimal Model Program (MMP) for surfaces [Tan18], we essentially reduce to showing the log liftability for surfaces  $X$  admitting a Mori fibre space structure  $X \rightarrow B$ . The case where  $B$  is a curve is discussed in **Lemma 4.17**, while the case where  $B$  is zero-dimensional is proven in **Proposition 4.18**, where we combine the existence of a lifting over  $W_2(k)$  guaranteed by **Theorem 3.1** with the logarithmic version of the theorem of Deligne-Illusie ([DI87, Har98]).

We now describe the strategy of the proof of liftability of globally  $F$ -split surface pairs. We distinguish two cases. If  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) = 0$ , we conclude by combining log liftability with deformation theoretic results and an extension theorem for globally  $F$ -split varieties (**Proposition 5.4**). The remaining case where  $K_X \sim 0$  is proven by

constructing ‘canonical’ liftings over  $W(k)$ . The case where the singularities are not rational is the most delicate one and it occupies [Section 5.3](#). In this case we successfully combine birational geometry techniques with the existence of ‘canonical’ liftings of certain log Calabi-Yau pairs to deduce liftability.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank A. Petracci, P. Cascini, C.D. Hacon, G. Martin, L. Stigant, R. Svaldi, S. Yoshikawa, T. Takamatsu, M. Nagaoka, and M. Zdanowicz for useful discussions and comments on the content of this article.

- FB was partially supported by the NSF under grant number DMS-1801851 and by a grant from the Simons Foundation; Award Number: 256202 and partially by the grant #200021/169639 from the Swiss National Science Foundation;
- IB was supported from NCTS and the grant MOST-110-2123-M-002-005;
- TK was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19J21085;
- JW was partially supported by NSF research grant DMS-2101897.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

### 2.1. Notation.

- (a) Throughout this article, unless stated otherwise,  $k$  denotes an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ .
- (b) We denote by  $W(k)$  the ring of Witt vectors of  $k$ . As  $k$  is perfect, it is a complete discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic  $(p, 0)$  with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (p)$ . We denote by  $W_m(k) = W(k)/p^m$  the *ring of Witt vectors* of length  $m$  and by  $K$  the field of fractions of  $W(k)$ .
- (c) Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme. We denote by  $F: X \rightarrow X$  the absolute *Frobenius morphism* and, for each  $e > 0$ , we denote by  $F^e$  the  $e$ -th iterated power of absolute Frobenius. We say  $X$  is  $F$ -finite if  $F$  is a finite morphism.
- (d) We say  $X$  is a *variety* over  $k$  if  $X$  is a geometrically integral scheme which is separated and of finite type over  $k$ . We say that  $X$  is a curve, resp. a surface, if  $X$  is a  $k$ -variety of dimension one, resp. two.
- (e) We say  $(X, \Delta)$  is a *pair* if  $X$  is a normal variety over  $k$  and  $\Delta$  is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. If  $K_X + \Delta$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, we say  $(X, \Delta)$  is a *log pair*. If  $\Delta$  is not effective, we then say  $(X, \Delta)$  is a *sub (log) pair*.

- (f) Given a pair  $(X, \Delta)$ , we say that  $f: Y \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$  is a *log resolution* if  $f$  is proper birational  $k$ -morphism, the exceptional locus  $\text{Ex}(f)$  is purely of codimension one and  $(Y, f_*^{-1}\Delta + \text{Ex}(f))$  is an snc pair.
- (g) For the definition of the singularities of pairs appearing in the MMP (as *klt*, *dlt*, *lc*) we refer to [Kol13].
- (h) Let  $f: (Y, D_Y) \rightarrow (X, D_X)$  be a proper birational morphism of log pairs, where  $D_X = f_*D_Y$ . We say  $f$  is *crepant* if  $K_Y + D_Y = f^*(K_X + D_X)$ . More generally, the pairs  $(Y, D_Y)$  and  $(X, D_X)$  are said to be *crepant birational* if there exist a log pair  $(Z, D_Z)$  and crepant proper birational morphisms  $p: (Z, D_Z) \rightarrow (Y, D_Y)$  and  $q: (Z, D_Z) \rightarrow (X, D_X)$ .
- (i) Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a log pair. We say that  $(X, \Delta)$  is a *log Calabi-Yau pair* (resp. a *log Fano pair*) if it has lc singularities and  $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  (resp. it has klt singularities and  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  is ample). We say  $X$  is a variety of *log Calabi-Yau type* (resp. *Fano type*) if there exists a boundary  $\Delta$  such that  $(X, \Delta)$  is a log Calabi-Yau (resp. log Fano) pair. For historical reason, a Fano (type) surface is called a *del Pezzo* (type) surface.
- (j) If  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is an étale finite morphism of schemes, we write  $\text{Aut}_X(Y)$  for the automorphism group of  $Y$  over  $X$  which acts on the right on  $Y$ . We say  $f$  is *Galois* if  $\text{Aut}_X(Y)$  acts transitively on the geometric fibres of  $f$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are normal, then  $f$  is Galois if and only if the field extension  $K(Y)/K(X)$  is Galois.
- (k) A morphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  of normal  $k$ -varieties is called *quasi-étale* if it is a finite  $k$ -morphism which is étale over the codimension one points of  $Y$ . If  $f$  is quasi-étale, we say it is Galois if the field extension  $K(Y)/K(X)$  is Galois.
- (l) If  $X$  is a proper variety over  $k$ , we denote by  $\rho(X)$  the Picard rank of  $X$  over  $k$ .
- (m) Given a normal variety  $X$  and a reduced Weil divisor  $D = \sum_i D_i$ , we denote by  $\Omega_X^{[q]}(\log D) := j_*\Omega_{U/k}^q(\log D|_U)$  the sheaf of *reflexive logarithmic differential  $q$ -forms* where  $U$  is the snc locus of  $(X, D)$  and  $j: U \hookrightarrow X$  is the natural inclusion. We denote by  $T_X(-\log D) := (\Omega_X^{[1]}(\log D))^*$  the logarithmic tangent sheaf.

**2.2. Frobenius splitting.** We recall the notion of Frobenius splitting (or  $F$ -splitting) for  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -schemes.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a normal  $F$ -finite  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme and let  $\Delta$  be an effective divisor on  $X$ . We say the pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is *globally sharply*

$F$ -split if there exists  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  for which the natural composition map

$$\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil (p^e - 1)\Delta \rceil)$$

splits in the category of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. When  $\Delta$  is integral, we simply say that  $(X, \Delta)$  is globally  $F$ -split.

Globally  $F$ -split varieties should be thought of as varieties of log Calabi-Yau type whose arithmetic is well-behaved.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $k$  be an  $F$ -finite field and let  $(X, \Delta)$  be an globally sharply  $F$ -split quasi-projective normal variety over  $k$ . Then*

- (a) *there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Gamma \geq 0$  such that  $(X, \Delta + \Gamma)$  is a globally sharply  $F$ -split log Calabi-Yau pair and  $(p^e - 1)(K_X + \Delta + \Gamma) \sim 0$  for some  $e > 0$ ;*
- (b) *if  $\dim X = 2$ , then  $(X, \Delta)$  has log canonical singularities.*

*Proof.* By [SS10, Theorem 4.3], there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Gamma \geq 0$  such that  $(X, \Delta + \Gamma)$  is a globally  $F$ -split log pair and  $K_X + \Delta + \Gamma \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ . By [HW02, Theorem 3.3],  $(X, \Delta + \Gamma)$  has log canonical singularities.

To prove (b) it is sufficient to prove that  $K_X + \Delta$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. We fix  $x \in X$  and we divide the proof in two cases. Suppose  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a germ of a rational surface singularity. Then it is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial by [Tan14, Proposition B.2]. If  $x$  is not a rational singularity, then  $x \notin \text{Supp}(\Delta + \Gamma)$  by [Kol13, Proposition 2.28]. In particular,  $K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier in a neighbourhood of  $x$  and then  $(X, \Delta)$  is lc near  $x$ .  $\square$

We collect some well-known properties on the behaviour of globally sharply  $F$ -split pairs under birational operations and quasi-étale morphism.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $k$  be an  $F$ -finite field. Let  $(Y, \Gamma)$  be a globally sharply  $F$ -split pair and let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational contraction between normal varieties. Then  $(X, \Delta := f_*\Gamma)$  is globally sharply  $F$ -split.*

*Proof.* Let  $e > 0$  and let  $\psi$  be a splitting for  $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil (p^e - 1)\Gamma \rceil)$ . We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil (p^e - 1)\Delta \rceil) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ f_* \mathcal{O}_Y & \longrightarrow & F_*^e f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil (p^e - 1)\Gamma \rceil), \\ & \longleftarrow f_* \psi & \end{array}$$

which shows that also the map  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil (p^e - 1)\Delta \rceil)$  splits as a  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module homomorphism.  $\square$

We say a proper birational morphism of (sub)-log pairs  $f: (Y, \Delta_Y) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$  is *crepant* if  $K_Y + \Delta_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_X + \Delta)$ . Being globally  $F$ -split is stable for crepant morphisms of log pairs.

**Lemma 2.4** ([GT16, Lemma 3.3]). *Let  $k$  be an  $F$ -finite field. Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a globally sharply  $F$ -split log pair over  $k$ . Let  $f: (Y, \Delta_Y) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$  be a crepant proper birational morphism of log pairs. If  $\Delta_Y \geq 0$ , then  $(Y, \Delta_Y)$  is globally sharply  $F$ -split.*

Being globally  $F$ -split is stable under the passage to quasi-étale covers.

**Lemma 2.5** ([PZ20, Lemma 11.1]). *Let  $k$  be an  $F$ -finite field. Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a globally sharply  $F$ -split pair and let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$  be a quasi-étale morphism between normal varieties over  $k$ . Then  $(Y, \Delta_Y := \pi^*\Delta)$  is a globally sharply  $F$ -split pair.*

**2.3. Log liftability over  $W(k)$ .** We fix  $k$  to be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . We recall the notion of liftability for pairs (cf. [EV92]).

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(X, D = \sum_{i=1}^r D_i)$  be a pair over  $k$  where  $D_1, \dots, D_r$  are distinct prime divisors. A *lifting* of  $(X, D)$  to a scheme  $T$  consists of

- (a) a flat and separated morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T$ ;
- (b) closed subschemes  $\mathcal{D}_i \subset \mathcal{X}$ , flat over  $T$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ;
- (c) a morphism  $\alpha: \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow T$  such that  $\mathcal{X} \times_T \text{Spec}(k) \simeq X$  and  $\mathcal{D}_i \times_T \text{Spec}(k) \simeq D_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

If  $T = \text{Spec}(W(k))$ , we say that that  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$  is a lifting of  $(X, D)$  over the ring of Witt vectors  $W(k)$ .

The following guarantees that a lifting for a snc pair as in Definition 2.6 is locally snc over a regular base.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $(X, D = \sum_{i=1}^r D_i)$  be a snc pair over  $k$  and let  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$  be a lifting over a regular local scheme  $T$ . Then  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$  is relatively snc over  $T$ . In particular, if  $\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathcal{D}_j$  is not empty, then it is a smooth  $T$ -scheme of relative dimension  $\dim(X) - |J|$ .*

*Proof.* See [Kaw21, Lemma 2.7]. □

We now introduce the fundamental notion of liftability over the Witt vectors for singular varieties that we will use in this article.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a pair over  $k$ , where  $D$  is integral. We say that  $(X, D)$  is *log liftable over the ring of Witt vectors  $W(k)$*  if there exists a log resolution  $f: Y \rightarrow (X, D)$  with exceptional divisor  $E$  such that the snc pair  $(Y, E + f_*^{-1}D)$  admits a lifting over  $W(k)$ .

Note that in the notion of log liftability, we do not require that the morphism  $f$  lifts. The following shows that log liftability is a well-behaved notion in the case of surfaces. The existence of log resolutions for excellent surfaces is proven in [Lip78].

**Lemma 2.9** (cf. [KN20, Lemma 2.7]). *Let  $(X, D)$  be a normal surface pair over  $k$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *for some log resolution  $f: Y \rightarrow (X, D)$  with exceptional divisor  $E$ , the pair  $(Y, f_*^{-1}D + E)$  admits a formal lifting over  $W(k)$ ;*
- (2) *for all log resolution  $f: Y \rightarrow (X, D)$  with exceptional divisor  $E$ , the pair  $(Y, f_*^{-1}D + E)$  admits a formal lifting over  $W(k)$ .*

Moreover if  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$  for some resolution  $Y \rightarrow X$ , then any formal lifting over  $W(k)$  of a resolution  $Z \rightarrow X$  is algebraisable, in particular  $(X, D)$  is log liftable. Finally, if  $X$  has klt singularities it is sufficient to check log liftability of the minimal resolution of  $X$ .

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is obvious. We now show (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose that there exists a log resolution  $(Y, f_*^{-1}D + E)$  lifting formally over  $W(k)$  and let  $g: Z \rightarrow (X, D)$  be another log resolution. By the resolution of indeterminacies of rational maps between surfaces, there exists a finite number of blow ups at smooth points of  $Y$   $h: W \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\pi: W \rightarrow (X, D)$  is a log resolution and there exists a birational morphism  $W \rightarrow Z$ . The proof of [ABL20, Proposition 2.9] applies to the formal setting, so the pair  $(W, \pi_*^{-1}D + \text{Ex}(\pi))$ , formally lifts over  $W(k)$ . Finally by applying [AZ17, Proposition 4.3]  $(Z, g_*^{-1}D + \text{Ex}(g))$  formally lifts over  $W(k)$ .

If  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$  for some log resolution, then also  $H^2(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$  and the formal lifting over  $W(k)$  of  $(Z, g_*^{-1}D + \text{Ex}(g))$  is algebraisable by [FGI<sup>+</sup>05, Corollary 8.5.6 and Corollary 8.4.5].

As the minimal resolution of a klt singularity is a log resolution by their classification [Kol13, Corollary 3.31], the last statement is clear.  $\square$

The following is a useful remark on the log liftability of surface pairs we will use repeatedly.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism of projective normal surfaces over  $k$  and let  $D$  be a reduced Weil divisor on  $Y$ . If  $(X, \pi_*D)$  is log liftable over  $W(k)$ , then so is  $(Y, D)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a log resolution  $f: Z \rightarrow (X, \pi_*D)$  such that  $(Z, f_*^{-1}(\pi_*D) + \text{Ex}(f))$  lifts over  $W(k)$ . By passing to a higher model and by [ABL20, Proposition 2.9] we can assume that  $f: Z \rightarrow (X, \pi_*D)$  admits a factorisation  $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ . Since  $f_*^{-1}(\pi_*D) + \text{Ex}(f) \supset g_*^{-1}D + \text{Ex}(g)$ , we conclude that also  $(Y, D)$  is log liftable over  $W(k)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.11.* Note that [Lemma 2.9](#) and [Lemma 2.10](#) are specific to surfaces and they do not extend to higher dimensions as shown by the examples of [[LS14](#), [Theorem 2.4](#)].

**2.4. Deformation theory toolbox.** In this section we collect results on deformation theory we will need throughout the article.

**2.4.1. Descent of liftings under contractions.** The following is a sufficient cohomological criterion for the existence of a lifting for a contraction (see [[AZ17](#), [CvS09](#)]).

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A')$  be a closed immersion of local Artinian schemes defined by a principal ideal  $J = (\pi)$  of square zero. Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of flat  $A$ -schemes. Let  $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$  (resp.  $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ ) be a collection of closed subsets of  $Y$  (resp. of  $X$ ). Assume that*

- (a)  $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_Y = 0$ ;
- (b)  $f_*\mathcal{O}_{E_i} = \mathcal{O}_{F_i}$  and  $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_{E_i} = 0$  for each  $i \in I$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{Y}, \{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i \in I})$  be a lifting of  $(Y, \{E_i\}_{i \in I})$  over  $A'$ . Then

- (1) *there exists a natural lifting  $(\mathcal{X}, \{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i \in I})$  of  $(X, \{F_i\}_{i \in I})$  together with a lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}, \{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i \in I}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, \{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i \in I})$  of  $f$  over  $A'$ ;*
- (2)  $\tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$  and  $R^1\tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$ ;
- (3)  $\tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_i} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}_i}$  and  $R^1\tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_i} = 0$  for each  $i \in I$ .

*Proof.* As topological spaces, we define  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{top}} = X$  and  $\tilde{f}_{\mathrm{top}} = f$ . We define the sheaf of rings on  $\mathcal{X}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} = f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}.$$

We must verify that the scheme  $\mathcal{X}$  is flat over  $A'$ . As  $\mathcal{Y}$  is flat over  $A'$ , there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow 0.$$

By considering the push-forward via  $\tilde{f}$  we conclude that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact since  $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$  by hypothesis. Therefore  $\mathcal{X}$  is flat over  $A'$  and  $R^1\tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$ .

We apply the same construction to construct the liftings  $\mathcal{F}_i$  of  $F_i$ . We are only left to verify that  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is a subscheme of  $\mathcal{X}$ . As  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_i} \rightarrow 0$  is exact we conclude that

$$\tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_i} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}_i}.$$

provided that  $R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_i}$  vanishes. Note that  $R^1 f_* \mathcal{I}_{E_i}$  vanishes because it fits in the short exact sequence  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_i} \rightarrow R^1 f_* \mathcal{I}_{E_i} \rightarrow R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_Y = 0$ . Consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_i}(-Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{E_i} \rightarrow 0.$$

By applying the push-forward, the projection formula and the equality  $0 = R^1 f_* \mathcal{I}_{E_i}$  we deduce the surjection  $R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-X) \rightarrow R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_i}$ . As  $J$  is nilpotent, we conclude  $R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_i} = 0$ .  $\square$

**2.4.2. Deformations of line bundles.** We study the deformation theory of line bundles equipped with a trivialisation on a closed subscheme. The theory follows closely the classical one presented in [FGI<sup>+</sup>05, Section 8.5.2]

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $j: Z \hookrightarrow X$  be a closed immersion of schemes. We say that  $(E, \varphi)$  is a  $Z$ -trivial line bundle if  $E$  is a line bundle on  $X$  and  $\varphi: E|_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules. A homomorphism of  $Z$ -trivial line bundles  $u: (E, \varphi) \rightarrow (F, \psi)$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules such that  $\psi \circ u|_Z = \varphi$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** Let  $i: (Y_0, Z_0) \rightarrow (Y, Z)$  be a thickening of order one given by an ideal  $I$  of square zero. Let  $(E, \varphi)$  and  $(F, \psi)$  be  $Z$ -trivial line bundles. Let  $u_0: (E_0, \varphi_0) \rightarrow (F_0, \psi_0)$  be a homomorphism of  $Z_0$ -trivial line bundles. Then there is an obstruction class

$$o(u_0, i) \in H^1(Y_0, I \otimes \mathcal{H}om(E_0, F_0 \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_0}))$$

whose vanishing is necessary and sufficient for the existence of a lifting  $u$  of  $u_0$  and the set of homomorphism  $u$  lifting  $u_0$  is an affine space under  $H^0(Y_0, I \otimes \mathcal{H}om(E_0, F_0 \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_0}))$ .

Let  $(L_0, \varphi_0)$  be a  $Z_0$ -trivial line bundle on  $Y_0$ . Then there is an obstruction class

$$o(L, \varphi, i) \in H^2(Y_0, I \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_0})$$

whose vanishing is necessary and sufficient for the existence of a lifting of  $(L_0, \varphi_0)$  to  $(Y, Z)$ .

*Proof.* We first construct  $o(u_0, i)$ , we first note that, if  $u$  and  $v$  are two extension of  $u_0$ , then  $u - v \in H^0(Y_0, I \otimes \mathcal{H}om(E_0, F_0 \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_0}))$ . As extensions of  $u$  exist locally, we construct a torsor  $P$  under  $I \otimes \mathcal{H}om(E_0, F_0 \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_0})$  on  $X_0$  whose sections over an open set  $U$  of  $X_0$  are the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear extension of  $u$  compatible with the trivialisation  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ . Now, as in the proof of [FGI<sup>+</sup>05, Theorem 8.5.3] the class of  $P \in H^1(X_0, I \otimes \mathcal{H}om(E_0, F_0 \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_0}))$  is the obstruction  $o(u_0, i)$ . To prove (b), we can argue as in [FGI<sup>+</sup>05, Proof of Theorem 8.5.3].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.15.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a complete local Noetherian ring with residue field  $k$ . Let  $j: Z \subset X$  be a closed immersion of  $k$ -schemes and let  $\mathfrak{Z} \subset \mathfrak{X}$  be a closed immersion of formal schemes over  $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$ , extending  $j$ . If  $H^2(X, \mathcal{I}_Z) = 0$ , then every  $Z$ -trivial line bundle  $(L, \varphi)$  lifts to a  $\mathfrak{Z}$ -trivial line bundle  $(\mathfrak{L}, \tilde{\varphi})$  on  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* Using [Proposition 2.14](#), we can repeat the same proof of [\[FGI<sup>+</sup>05, Corollary 8.5.5 and 8.5.6\]](#).  $\square$

### 3. LIFTING SNC PAIRS ON GLOBALLY $F$ -SPLIT VARIETIES

In this section, we prove some results on the liftability of smooth globally  $F$ -split pairs over the ring of Witt vectors valid in all dimensions.

**3.1. Lifting over  $W_2(k)$ .** The following is a useful observation on the liftability over  $W_2(k)$  of snc pairs whose underlying variety is globally  $F$ -split.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(Y, E)$  be a snc pair over  $k$ . If  $Y$  is a projective globally  $F$ -split variety, then  $(Y, E)$  admits a lifting to  $W_2(k)$ .*

We stress that the pair  $(Y, E)$  is not required to be globally  $F$ -split.

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow B_Y^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

By applying  $\mathrm{Hom}(\Omega_Y^1(\log E), -)$  and taking the induced long exact sequence we get

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_Y^1(\log E), F_*\mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_Y^1(\log E), B_Y^1) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{Ext}^2(\Omega_Y^1(\log E), \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

By [\[AWZ21a, Variant 3.3.2\]](#), there is an obstruction class  $o_{(Y,E,F)} \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_Y^1(\log E), B_Y^1)$  for the lifting of the pair  $(Y, E)$  together with the Frobenius morphism  $F_Y$  to  $W_2(k)$ . Let  $o_{(Y,E)} \in \mathrm{Ext}^2(\Omega_Y^1(\log E), \mathcal{O}_Y)$  be the obstruction class for the lifting of the pair  $(Y, E)$  to  $W_2(k)$ . We show the following compatibility of obstruction classes:

**Claim 3.2.**  $\delta(o_{(Y,E,F)}) = o_{(Y,E)}$

*Proof of Claim 3.2.* Let  $\{U_i\}_i$  be an affine open covering of  $Y$  and define  $U_{ij} := U_i \cap U_j$  and  $U_{ijk} := U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$ . Since  $(Y, E)$  is log smooth, there exists a  $W_2(k)$ -lifting  $(\tilde{U}_i, \tilde{E}_i)$  of  $(U_i, E|_{U_i})$  with the Frobenius morphism  $\tilde{F}_i$  for each  $i$ . By [\[EV92, Proposition 8.23\]](#), there exists an isomorphism  $\phi_{ij}: (\tilde{U}_i, \tilde{E}_i)|_{U_{ij}} \cong (\tilde{U}_j, \tilde{E}_j)|_{U_{ij}}$  over  $(U_{ij}, E|_{U_{ij}})$ . Then

$\phi_{ijk} = \phi_{ki} \circ \phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}$  is an infinitesimal automorphism of  $(\tilde{U}_i, \tilde{E}_i)|_{U_{ijk}}$ , and hence we can take a corresponding derivation

$$\psi_{ijk} \in \text{Hom}(\Omega_{U_{ijk}}^1(\log E), \mathcal{O}_{U_{ijk}})$$

by [EV92, Proposition 8.22]. Note that we have the equation  $\phi_{ijk} = \text{id} + p\psi_{ijk}$ . We can see  $o_{(Y,E)} = \{\psi_{ijk}\}_{ijk} \in \text{Ext}^2(\Omega_Y^1(\log E), \mathcal{O}_Y)$  (cf. [FGI<sup>+</sup>05, Theorem 8.5.9] and [KN20, Theorem 2.3]).

Since  $\phi_{ij}^{-1}\tilde{F}_j\phi_{ij}$  and  $\tilde{F}_i$  are both  $W_2(k)$ -liftings of the Frobenius morphism of  $U_{ij}$ , there exists  $\eta_{ij} \in \text{Hom}(\Omega_{U_{ij}}^1(\log E), F_*\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}})$  such that  $\phi_{ij}^{-1}\tilde{F}_j\phi_{ij} - \tilde{F}_i = p\eta_{ij}$  by [EV92, Proposition 9.9]. We define  $\bar{\eta}_{ij} \in \text{Hom}(\Omega_{U_{ij}}^1(\log E), B_{U_{ij}})$  to be the natural image of  $\eta_{ij}$ . Then we can see by [AWZ21a, Variant 3.3.2] that

$$o_{(Y,E,F)} = \{\bar{\eta}_{ij}\}_{ij} \in \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_{U_{ij}}^1(\log E), B_{U_{ij}}).$$

Since  $\bar{\eta}_{ij} + \bar{\eta}_{jk} + \bar{\eta}_{ki} = 0$  there exists  $\eta_{ijk} \in \text{Hom}(\Omega_{U_{ijk}}^1(\log E), \mathcal{O}_{U_{ijk}})$  such that  $\eta_{ijk}^p = \eta_{ij} + \eta_{jk} + \eta_{ki}$  and

$$\delta(o_{(Y,E,F)}) = \{\eta_{ijk}\}_{ijk} \in \text{Ext}^2(\Omega_Y^1(\log E), \mathcal{O}_Y).$$

Since  $\phi_{ij}^{-1}\tilde{F}_j\phi_{ij} - \tilde{F}_i = p\eta_{ij}$ , it follows that  $\phi_{ijk}^{-1}\tilde{F}_i\phi_{ijk} - \tilde{F}_i = p(\eta_{ij} + \eta_{jk} + \eta_{ki})$ . As in [MS87, Appendix, Proposition 1 (iv)], an easy calculation shows that  $\phi_{ijk}^{-1}\tilde{F}_i\phi_{ijk} - \tilde{F}_i = p\psi_{ijk}^p$ . Therefore, we can conclude that  $o_{(Y,E)} = \{\psi_{ijk}\}_{ijk} = \{\eta_{ijk}\}_{ijk} = \delta(o_{(Y,E,F)})$ .  $\square$

Since  $Y$  is globally  $F$ -split,  $\delta$  is the zero homomorphism. Therefore the obstruction class  $o_{(Y,E)}$  vanishes concluding the proof.  $\square$

**3.2. Lifting Fano varieties.** We show an application of [Theorem 3.1](#) to the lifting of snc pairs over  $W(k)$  whose underlying variety is a smooth globally  $F$ -split Fano(-type) variety. We recall the Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem for snc pairs admitting a lifting to  $W_2(k)$  proven in [Har98].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(Y, E)$  be a snc pair of dimension  $d$  which admits a lifting over  $W_2(k)$ . Let  $A$  be an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor such that  $\text{Supp}(\{A\}) \subset E$ . If  $p \geq d$ , then*

- (a)  $H^j(Y, \Omega_Y^i(\log E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-E - \lfloor -A \rfloor)) = 0$  if  $i + j > d$ ;
- (b)  $H^j(Y, \Omega_Y^i(\log E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\lceil A \rceil)) = 0$  if  $i + j < d$ .

*Proof.* Assertion (a) is [Har98, Corollary 3.8]. The case  $p = d$  holds because the proof of [Har98, Corollary 3.8] uses the hypothesis  $p > d$  only for the quasi-isomorphism  $\bigoplus \Omega_Y^i(\log E)[-i] \simeq F_*\Omega_Y^\bullet(\log E)$ , which is true also for  $p = d$  by [EV92, Proposition 10.19].

As for (b), recall that the natural pairing  $\Omega_Y^i(\log E) \otimes \Omega_Y^{d-i}(\log E) \rightarrow \omega_Y(E)$  is non-degenerate and therefore  $\Omega_Y^i(\log E) \simeq (\Omega_Y^{d-i}(\log E))^\vee \otimes \omega_Y(E)$ . By Serre duality the following isomorphisms hold:

$$\begin{aligned} H^j(Y, \Omega_Y^i(\log E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-[A])) &\simeq H^j(Y, (\Omega_Y^{d-i}(\log E))^\vee \otimes \omega_Y(E - [A])) \\ &\simeq H^{d-j}(Y, \Omega_Y^{d-i}(\log E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-E + [A]))^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[A] = -[-A]$  we conclude by (a).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $Y$  be a smooth globally  $F$ -split projective variety over  $k$  of dimension  $d$ . Suppose there exists an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta$  such that*

- (1)  $[\Delta] = 0$  and  $(Y, \text{Supp}(\Delta))$  is snc;
- (2)  $-(K_Y + \Delta)$  is ample.

Let  $E$  be an snc reduced divisor containing  $\text{Supp}(\Delta)$ . If  $p \geq d$ , then

- (a)  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ ;
- (b)  $H^2(Y, T_Y(-\log E)) = 0$ .

In particular,  $(Y, E)$  lifts over  $W(k)$ .

*Proof.* By [Theorem 3.1](#), the pair  $(Y, E)$  lifts over  $W_2(k)$  so we can apply [Theorem 3.3](#). Let us choose the ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $A := -K_Y - \Delta$ . Note that  $[-A] = K_Y$  and  $[A] = -K_Y$ . To show (a), it is sufficient to notice that  $H^i(\mathcal{O}_Y) = H^i(Y, \omega_Y(-[-A])) = 0$ .

We prove (b). As

$$H^{d-2}(Y, \Omega_Y^1(\log E) \otimes \omega_Y) \simeq H^{d-2}(Y, \Omega_Y^1(\log E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-[A]))$$

vanishes by [Theorem 3.3](#), we deduce  $H^2(Y, T_Y(-\log E)) = 0$  by Serre duality.

For the last assertion, note that  $H^2(Y, T_Y(-\log E))$  is the obstruction space to the existence of a formal log lifting of  $(Y, E)$  over  $W(k)$  by [[KN20](#), Theorem 2.3]. Moreover, any formal lifting of  $(Y, E)$  is algebraisable as  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$  by (a) and [[FGI<sup>+</sup>05](#), Corollary 8.5.6 and Corollary 8.4.5].  $\square$

#### 4. LOG LIFTABILITY OF GLOBALLY $F$ -SPLIT SURFACE PAIRS

In this section we prove the log liftability of globally  $F$ -split surface pairs ([Theorem 4.21](#)). We divide the proof in two cases. In [Section 4.1](#) we show log liftability of klt Calabi-Yau surfaces. We discuss the remaining cases (where  $(X, D)$  is not klt or  $K_X + D$  is not pseudo-effective) in [Section 4.2](#).

**4.1.  $K$ -trivial surfaces with klt singularities.** We start by proving log liftability over  $W(k)$  of globally  $F$ -split Calabi-Yau surfaces with canonical singularities. For this, we rely on the Enriques-Kodaira classification of their minimal resolutions ([BM77]) and special properties of canonical liftings of their minimal models ([Nyg83],[MS87], [LT19]). From this we are able to prove the klt Calabi-Yau case, roughly speaking by considering his canonical cover.

**4.1.1. Ordinary K3 surfaces.** A K3 surface  $Y$  called *ordinary* if the induced action of the Frobenius on its top cohomology  $F: H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  is bijective. The following shows that ordinarity coincides with  $Y$  being globally  $F$ -split.

**Lemma 4.1** (cf. [MR85, Proposition 9]). *Let  $Y$  be a smooth projective variety over  $k$  of dimension  $n$  such that  $K_Y \sim 0$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $F: H^n(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow H^n(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  is bijective;
- (b)  $Y$  is globally  $F$ -split.

Given an ordinary K3 surface  $Y$ , in [Nyg83] Nygaard shows the existence of a *canonical lifting*  $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  of  $Y$  over  $W(k)$ . We recall some of its properties we will use:

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $Y$  be a globally  $F$ -split K3 surface and let  $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  be its canonical lifting as in [Nyg83]. Then*

- (1) every automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $Y$  lifts uniquely to an automorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes;
- (2)  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* The existence part of (1) is proven in [Sri19] and [LT19, Proposition 2.3]. For the uniqueness, the tangent space of the automorphism scheme at the identity  $T_{\text{id}}\text{Aut}_{Y/k} \simeq H^0(Y, T_Y) = 0$  (see [RŠ76, Theorem 7], [Nyg79] and [Mar20, Corollary 1.1]). For (2), we refer to the proof of [Nyg83, Proposition 1.8].  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $Y$  be a globally  $F$ -split K3 surface and suppose  $(Y, D)$  is a snc pair. Then there exists a subscheme  $\mathcal{D}$  of the canonical lifting  $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  such that  $(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{D})$  is a lifting of  $(Y, D)$  over  $W(k)$ .*

*In particular, if  $X$  is a globally  $F$ -split surface with canonical singularities such that the minimal resolution  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a K3 surface, then  $(Y, \text{Ex}(f))$  admits a canonical lifting  $(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}})$  over  $W(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  be the irreducible components of  $D$ . By Proposition 4.2  $\mathcal{O}_Y(D_i)$  lifts to a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_i$  on the canonical lifting  $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  for every

$i = 1, \dots, n$ . It is sufficient to show, similarly to [LM18, Lemma 2.3], that the natural restriction map

$$H^0(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{L}_i) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D_i))$$

is surjective for every  $i$ . Indeed, we can then lift  $D_i$  to a divisor  $\mathcal{D}_i$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  and we conclude by Lemma 2.7.

To show surjectivity of the restriction map it is enough to show the vanishing of  $H^i(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{L}_i)$  for  $i > 0$  and apply cohomology and base change [Har77, Theorem III.12.11]. By upper semi-continuity [Har77, Theorem III.12.8], it is enough to show  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D_i)) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . By Serre duality  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D_i)) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D))^\vee = 0$ . Finally,  $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D_i)) = 0$ : indeed  $\mathcal{O}_{D_i}(D_i) \simeq \omega_D$  by adjunction, we take the exact sequence  $0 = H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D_i)) \rightarrow H^1(D_i, \omega_{D_i}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow 0$  and since the last two terms are one dimensional we conclude that  $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D_i)) = 0$ .

To prove the last assertion of the proposition, if  $Y$  is globally  $F$ -split by Lemma 2.4 and  $(Y, \text{Ex}(f))$  is snc, there exists a lifting  $\mathcal{E}_i$  for every irreducible component  $E_i \subset \text{Ex}(f)$ . Note that the lifting  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is unique as  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E_i))$  is one-dimensional and we define  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}} = \sum_i \mathcal{E}_i$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* Note that Proposition 4.3 fails for certain supersingular K3 surfaces in characteristic  $p \leq 19$  constructed in [Shi04, Theorem 1] as explained in [Kaw21, Remark 3.4].

4.1.2. *Ordinary Enriques surfaces.* We recall the classification of Enriques surfaces in positive characteristic and we refer the reader to [BM76, LT19] for a more detailed treatment. We say an Enriques surface  $X$  is:

- (a) *classical* if  $h^0(K_X) = 0$ ;
- (b) *ordinary* if there exists a Galois étale cover of degree two  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $Z$  is a ordinary K3 surface;
- (c) *supersingular*:  $h^0(K_X) \neq 0$  and the action  $F: H^0(K_X) \rightarrow H^0(K_X)$  is trivial. They exist only for  $p = 2$ .

If  $p > 2$ , all Enriques surfaces are classical. However, if  $p = 2$ , classical, ordinary (called *singular* in [BM76]) and supersingular ones form three disjoint classes. We now relate these notions to global  $F$ -splittness.

**Lemma 4.5.** *An Enriques surface  $X$  is globally  $F$ -split if and only if it is ordinary.*

*Proof.* If  $p > 2$ , by [BM76]  $K_X$  is not trivial and  $2K_X \sim 0$ . Then  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  is the double cyclic cover of  $K_X$ . As  $p > 2$ ,  $Z$  is a smooth K3 surface.

If  $p = 2$ ,  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) \neq 0$  and  $F: H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is injective by the existence of a global splitting of  $F$ . By [BM76, Corollary, page 220] there exists an étale cover of degree two  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $Z$  is a smooth K3 surface.

In both cases we conclude by Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

We recall the conditions for a line bundle to descend under a Galois étale morphism.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a Galois étale morphism of integral schemes and let  $G$  be its Galois group. Let  $L$  be a  $G$ -equivariant line bundle on  $X$ . Then there exists a unique line bundle  $M$  on  $Y$  such that  $f^*M$  is isomorphic to  $L$  as  $G$ -equivariant line bundles.*

*Proof.* See [DN89, Théorème 2.3].  $\square$

We recall the notion of a canonical lifting for ordinary Enriques surfaces introduced in [LT19, Definition 2.5].

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $Y$  be an ordinary Enriques surface and let  $\pi: Z \rightarrow Y$  be the K3 cover of Lemma 4.5. Then there exists a lifting  $\tilde{\pi}: \mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  of  $\pi$  over  $W(k)$  such that:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}$  is the canonical lifting of  $Z$ ;
- (2)  $\tilde{\pi}$  is a double étale cover;
- (3)  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$  is surjective.

*We say  $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  is the canonical lifting of the Enriques surface  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are proven in [LT19, Theorem 2.4]. For the proof of (3), let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $Y$ . Note that  $M := \pi^*L$  extends to a unique line bundle  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}$  by Proposition 4.2. Let  $\mathcal{G} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{W(k)}$  be the group of automorphism of  $\tilde{\pi}$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant. Clearly  $M$  is  $G$ -equivariant. Since  $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ , a lifting  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}$  of  $M$  is unique up to a unique isomorphism by [FGI+05, Corollary 8.5.6] and thus it must be  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant. Therefore  $\mathcal{M}$  descends to a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  by Lemma 4.6. Since  $\pi^*L \simeq \tilde{\pi}^*\mathcal{L}|_Y$ , it follows from Lemma 4.6 that  $L \simeq \mathcal{L}|_Y$ , so  $\mathcal{L}$  is a lifting of  $L$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $X$  be a projective globally  $F$ -split surface with canonical singularities. Let  $f: (Y, E) \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution pair and suppose  $Y$  is an Enriques surface. Then  $(Y, E)$  admits a lifting  $(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}})$  over  $W(k)$  where  $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  is the canonical lifting of  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.5, there exists an étale double cover  $g: Z \rightarrow Y$  where  $Z$  is a globally  $F$ -split K3 surface. Let  $\tilde{g}: \mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  be the lifting over  $W(k)$  given by Proposition 4.7. We claim that each irreducible component  $D$  of  $E$  lifts to a subscheme  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$ .

Since  $D$  is simply connected, the preimage  $g^{-1}D$  will be two disjoint divisors  $F \cup G$ . Let  $L := \mathcal{O}_Y(D)$  and  $L_Z := g^*L = \mathcal{O}_Z(F+G)$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}}$  be the extension of  $L_Z$  to  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}$ . The proof of [Proposition 4.3](#) shows there is an isomorphism  $H^0(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}}) \otimes k \cong H^0(Z, L_Z)$  equivariant with respect to the action of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . By taking the  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant section extending  $F+G$ , we deduce that the existence of the desired lifting  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  of  $D$ .  $\square$

4.1.3. *General case.* We recall the properties of the canonical lifting of a smooth globally  $F$ -split varieties with trivial cotangent bundle.

**Theorem 4.9** (cf. [[MS87](#), Theorem 1, Appendix]). *Let  $A$  be a globally  $F$ -split smooth variety over  $k$  such that  $\Omega_{A/k}^1$  is trivial, i.e.  $\Omega_{A/k}^1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_A^{\oplus \dim A}$ . Then there exists a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}$  over  $W(k)$  such that*

- (a) *the Frobenius morphism  $F$  lifts to a morphism  $F_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}} : \mathcal{A}_{\text{can}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}$  and the lifting  $(\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}, F_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}})$  is unique up to unique isomorphism;*
- (b) *for every automorphism  $f \in \text{Aut}(A)$ , there exists a unique automorphism  $f_{\text{can}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}$  lifting  $f$  over  $W(k)$  such that  $f_{\text{can}} \circ F_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}} = F_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}} \circ f_{\text{can}}$ ;*
- (c) *the natural restriction morphism*

$$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}})_{F_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}}} := \{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}) \mid F^* \mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}\} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(A)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

We aim to generalise their result to the following class.

**Definition 4.10.** We say a normal projective  $k$ -variety  $X$  is  $Q$ -abelian if there exists a quasi-étale  $k$ -morphism  $A \rightarrow X$  where  $A$  is a smooth projective  $k$ -variety such that  $\Omega_{A/k}^1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_A^{\oplus \dim A}$ .

*Remark 4.11.* In characteristic zero, a variety with trivial tangent bundle is an abelian variety. However, in characteristic  $p > 0$ , there exist additional examples (see [[MS87](#), Page 1]). For this reason, the above definition is more general than the usual one in characteristic zero.

**Proposition 4.12.** *Let  $X$  be a globally  $F$ -split projective  $Q$ -abelian variety and let  $\pi : A \rightarrow X$  be a Galois quasi-étale morphism with Galois group  $G$ , where  $A$  is a smooth variety such that  $\Omega_A^1$  is trivial. Then*

- (a) *there exists a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{can}}$  of  $G$  over  $W(k)$  acting on the canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}$  over  $W(k)$ ;*
- (b) *the morphism  $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{A}_{\text{can}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text{can}} := \mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}/\mathcal{G}_{\text{can}}$  is a quasi-étale lifting of  $\pi$ ;*

- (c) the lifting  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{can}}$  does not depend on the choice of the quasi-étale morphism  $\pi$ ;
- (d) if  $X$  is smooth, then  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$  is surjective.

We say  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{can}}$  is the canonical lifting of  $X$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.5,  $A$  is globally  $F$ -split and we let  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}$  be the canonical lifting over  $W(k)$ . By Theorem 4.9 there exists a canonical lifting of  $G$  to a group of automorphisms  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{can}}$  over  $W(k)$ , proving (a). For (b), we choose the lifting of  $\pi$  to be the quotient  $\tilde{\pi}: \mathcal{A}_{\text{can}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}/\mathcal{G}_{\text{can}}$ . By construction it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{can}}$  does not depend on the Galois cover  $A \rightarrow X$ , proving (c).

We are left to prove (d). In this case, by purity of the branch locus,  $\pi$  is étale. Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$  and let  $M := \pi^*L$  be the pull-back on  $A$ . By Theorem 4.9, we consider  $\mathcal{M}$  to be the unique lifting of  $M$  to  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}$  belonging to  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}})_{F_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}}}$ . By uniqueness of the lifting in  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}})_{F_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}}}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  must be  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant and therefore we conclude that  $L$  lifts to a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{can}}$  by Lemma 4.6.  $\square$

Finally we prove log liftability of numerically  $K$ -trivial surfaces with canonical singularities over  $W(k)$ .

**Theorem 4.13.** *Let  $X$  be a globally  $F$ -split projective surface with canonical singularities. Suppose that  $K_X \equiv 0$  and let  $f: (Y, E) \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution. Then*

- (a)  $Y$  is either a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, a  $Q$ -abelian surface or  $p = 2$  and there exists a  $\mu_{2,k}$ -torsor  $p: Z \rightarrow Y$ , where  $Z$  is globally  $F$ -split surface with trivial cotangent bundle;
- (b) there exists a subscheme  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}} \subset \mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  of the canonical lifting  $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  such that  $(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}})$  is a lifting of  $(Y, E)$  over  $W(k)$ ;
- (c)  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Using the Enriques classification of smooth projective surfaces over algebraically closed fields of positive characteristic (see [BM76, BM77]), we have to deal with four different cases depending on its Betti numbers:  $Y$  is a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, an abelian variety or a (quasi-)hyperelliptic surface. Let us note that  $Y$  cannot quasi-hyperelliptic because, as  $Y$  is globally  $F$ -split, the Albanese morphism  $a: Y \rightarrow E$  is a  $F$ -split morphism and the general fibre is normal by [Eji19, Proposition 5.7]. Then (a) is proven by looking at the classification of minimal surfaces with trivial canonical class in [BM77]. The exceptional cases where  $p = 2$  are the hyperelliptic surfaces of [BM77, Case (a3), page 37].

We prove (b) (resp. (c)). If  $Y$  is a K3 surface or Enriques, we can apply [Proposition 4.3](#) and [Proposition 4.8](#) (resp. [Proposition 4.2](#) (2) and [Proposition 4.7](#) (3)), so we are left to the study the cases where

- (i)  $Y$  is  $Q$ -abelian;
- (ii)  $p = 2$  and there exists  $\mu_{2,k}$ -torsor  $p: Z \rightarrow Y$  where  $Z$  has trivial tangent bundle and  $Z$  is globally  $F$ -split.

In both cases, as  $Y$  is smooth, we deduce  $f$  is the identity because  $Y$  does not contain rational curves.

If  $Y$  is  $Q$ -abelian, then let  $g: A \rightarrow Y$  be an étale cover of  $Y$ , where  $A$  is a smooth variety with trivial cotangent bundle. As the property of having trivial cotangent bundle is preserved under étale covers, we can suppose  $g$  is Galois and thus we conclude by [Proposition 4.12](#) (b) (resp. (c)).

We are left to discuss case (ii). By [Theorem 4.9](#), there exists a lifting of the  $\mu_{2,k}$ -action to a  $\mu_{2,W(k)}$ -action on  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}$ . Then the quotient  $\tilde{p}: \mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}} := \mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}/\mu_{2,W(k)}$  is a  $\mu_{2,W(k)}$ -torsor, lifting the torsor  $p$ . As  $\mu_2$  is linearly reductive, by [[KKV89](#), Proposition 4.2]  $\text{Pic}(Y) \simeq \text{Pic}(Z)^{\mu_{2,k}}$ . By the properties of the canonical liftings of [Theorem 4.9](#),  $\text{Pic}(Z)^{\mu_{2,k}} \simeq \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}})_{F_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}}}^{\mu_{2,W(k)}}$ . Again by [[KKV89](#), Proposition 4.2]  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}})^{\mu_{2,W(k)}} \simeq \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}})$  and finally we conclude  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$  is surjective.  $\square$

We now prove the log liftability for general  $F$ -split klt Calabi-Yau surfaces. We will use cyclic covering of degree  $d$  prime to  $p$ , for which we recall that étale group schemes are rigid.

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let  $S \rightarrow S'$  be a thickening of order one defined by an ideal  $I$  of square zero. Let  $f: G \rightarrow S$  be an étale group scheme over  $S$ . Then there exists a unique étale group scheme  $f': G' \rightarrow S'$  which is a lifting of  $f$  over  $S'$ .*

*Proof.* As  $G$  is étale, the cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_{G/S} \simeq 0$  By [[FGI+05](#), Theorem 8.5.31(b)] the obstruction class to the existence of a lifting lie in  $\text{Ext}^2(\mathbb{L}_{G/S}, f^*I) = 0$ . As  $\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{L}_{G/S}, f^*I) = 0$ , there is a unique extension  $G'$  to  $S'$ . Now we are left to show that the group law of  $G$  lifts to  $G'$ . Let  $m: G \times_S G \rightarrow G$  be the multiplication map and denote by  $i: G \rightarrow G'$  be the inclusion defined by an ideal  $J$  of square zero. The obstruction to the existence of a lifting  $G' \times_{S'} G' \rightarrow G'$  lies in  $\text{Ext}^1((i \circ m)^*\mathbb{L}_{G'/S'}, J) = 0$  and such a lifting is unique as  $\text{Ext}^0((i \circ m)^*\mathbb{L}_{G'/S'}, J) = 0$  by [[FGI+05](#), Theorem 8.5.31(a)]. Similarly we can lift the neutral element  $e: S \rightarrow G$ . The uniqueness of the previous lifts implies that  $m'$  and  $e'$  satisfy the axioms of a group scheme.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.15.** *Let  $X$  be a globally  $F$ -split projective surface with klt singularities such that  $K_X \equiv 0$ . Then  $X$  is log liftable over  $W(k)$ .*

*Proof.* We can suppose that  $X$  has singularities worse than canonical, otherwise we conclude by [Theorem 4.13](#). We note that if  $p = 2$ , then a splitting of the Frobenius is a non-zero section in  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X))$ , and therefore  $X$  is Gorenstein. Thus we may assume that  $p \neq 2$ . Let  $f: (Y, R) \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution of  $X$ . As the singularities of  $X$  are worse than canonical, then  $h^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y)) = 0$ . Therefore by [Lemma 2.9](#), it suffices to show that  $(Y, R)$  admits a formal lifting over  $W(k)$ .

Since  $X$  is globally  $F$ -split and  $K_X \equiv 0$ , then  $(p-1)K_X \sim 0$ . Let  $d > 0$  be the minimal integer such that  $dK_X \sim 0$  and let  $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$  be the canonical  $d$ -cyclic cover, which is quasi-étale as  $d < p$ . Note there is a natural  $\mu_{d,k}$ -action on  $Z$  for which  $\pi$  is a  $\mu_{d,k}$ -torsor over codimension one points of  $X$ . Moreover,  $Z$  is a globally  $F$ -split variety by [Lemma 2.5](#) and by construction  $K_Z \sim 0$ . As  $d < p$  the morphism  $\pi$  is tamely ramified everywhere and thus by the same arguments of [[KM98](#), Proposition 5.20]  $Z$  has canonical singularities. Let  $h: (T, E) \rightarrow Z$  be the minimal resolution and let  $\tilde{h}: (\mathcal{T}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}$  be the canonical lifting over  $W(k)$  constructed in [Theorem 4.13](#). Since  $T$  is a minimal surface of non-negative Kodaira dimension, any birational map  $T \dashrightarrow T$  is an isomorphism, and therefore  $\mu_{d,k}$  acts regularly on the pair  $(T, E)$ .

We now claim that the  $\mu_{d,k}$ -action lifts to an action of a group scheme on the canonical lifting  $(\mathcal{T}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}})$ . Such a group scheme must be  $\mu_{d,W(k)}$  by [Lemma 4.14](#). Since  $K_Z \sim 0$  and  $p \neq 2$ , the  $T$  is either a K3 surface, an abelian surface or a  $Q$ -abelian hyperelliptic surface. If  $T$  is a K3 surface or an abelian surface, then  $\mu_{d,k}$ -action lifts to  $(\mathcal{T}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}})$  by [Proposition 4.2](#) and [Theorem 4.9](#). Now, we suppose that  $T = Z$  is a hyperelliptic surface. As  $p \neq 2$  there exists a finite étale cover  $V \rightarrow Z$  from an ordinary abelian surface  $V$  by the Bagnèra-de Franchis classification [[BM77](#), Theorem 4]. We can suppose that  $V \rightarrow X$  is Galois and we denote by  $G$  (resp.  $N$ ) the Galois group of  $V \rightarrow X$  (resp.  $V \rightarrow Z$ ). By [Theorem 4.9](#), there is the unique group  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{can}}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{can}}$ ) which acts on  $\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}$  and lifting the  $G$ -action (resp. the  $N$ -action). As  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}$  is constructed as the quotient of  $\mathcal{V}_{\text{can}}$  by  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{can}}$  in [Proposition 4.12](#), we conclude that the quotient  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{can}}/\mathcal{N}_{\text{can}}$  acts on  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}$  and it is a lifting of the  $\mu_{d,k}$ -action.

Let  $g: (W, g_*^{-1}E + F) \rightarrow (T, E)$  be a  $\mu_{d,k}$ -equivariant resolution of indeterminacies of  $T \dashrightarrow Y$ , where  $F = \text{Ex}(g)$  and  $(W, g_*^{-1}E + F)$  is a snc pair.

**Claim 4.16.** *There exists a  $\mu_{d,W(k)}$ -equivariant birational morphism  $\tilde{g}: (\mathcal{W}, \tilde{g}_*^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}} + \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}})$  lifting  $g$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on the number of blow-ups at closed points, it is enough to show the claim in the case of a single blow-up at a  $\mu_{d,k}$ -orbit  $\sigma = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ , where  $p_i$  are closed points. Let  $H_i \subset \mu_{d,k}$  be the stabiliser of  $p_i$  and let  $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mu_{d,W(k)}$  be the natural lifting to  $W(k)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the fixed locus of the action of  $H_i$ . As the geometric fibres of  $\mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \text{Spec}(W(k))$  are linearly reductive, then the fixed locus  $\mathcal{S}$  is smooth over  $W(k)$  by [CGP15, Proposition A.8.10]. As  $\mathcal{S}$  is smooth, we can choose  $\tilde{p}_i$  to be a lifting of  $p_i$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  and compatible with the snc structure ([ABL20, Definition 2.7]). We define the closed subscheme  $\Sigma := \{\tilde{p}_1, \dots, \tilde{p}_r\}$ , smooth over  $W(k)$ . As the lifting of  $p_i$  is fixed by  $\mathcal{H}_i$ , we see that  $\Sigma$  is stable under the action of  $\mu_{d,W(k)}$  and it is compatible with the snc structure. Then the blow-up along  $\Sigma$  gives the desired lifting as in the proof of [ABL20, Proposition 2.9].  $\square$

Consider the quotient log pair  $(U, Q) := (W, g_*^{-1}E + F) / \mu_{d,k}$  which fits in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (W, g_*^{-1}E + F) & \longrightarrow & (U, Q) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (Y, R) \\ \downarrow h \circ g & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X, \end{array}$$

Let  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q})$  be the quotient of  $(\mathcal{W}, \text{Ex}(\tilde{h} \circ \tilde{g}))$  by  $\mu_{d,W(k)}$  granted by Claim 4.16. Clearly  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q})$  is a lifting of  $(U, Q)$ . We now verify that the morphism  $\varphi: (U, Q) \rightarrow (Y, R)$  satisfies the hypothesis (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.12. Indeed, as  $U$  is normal and  $\varphi$  is a proper birational morphism we deduce that  $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_Y$ . As  $d < p$  and  $W$  is smooth, the same proof as in [KM98, Proposition 5.13] yields that  $U$  has rational singularities. Since  $Y$  is smooth we deduce therefore  $R^1\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_U = 0$ . Similarly, for each component  $\Gamma$  of  $Q$  one can show that  $R^1\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_\Gamma = 0$  and  $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_\Gamma = \mathcal{O}_{\varphi(\Gamma)}$ . We thus apply Theorem 2.12 repeatedly to deduce that  $(Y, R)$  admits a formal lifting over  $W(k)$ .  $\square$

**4.2.  $K_X + D$  not pseudoeffective or  $(X, D)$  not klt.** In the next sections, we will repeatedly use Proposition 2.2 without mentioning it. We begin by studying surface pairs admitting a Mori fibre space structure.

**Lemma 4.17.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a globally  $F$ -split projective surface pair such that  $D$  is reduced. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Z$  be a projective morphism such that*

- (a)  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Z$  and  $\dim Z = 1$ ,
- (b)  $-(K_X + D)$  is  $f$ -nef and  $-K_X$  is  $f$ -ample.

Then  $H^2(X, T_X(-\log D)) = 0$ . In particular,  $(X, D)$  is log liftable over  $W(k)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(X, D)$  is globally  $F$ -split, every geometric fibre  $(F, D|_F)$  of  $f$  is globally  $F$ -split by [Eji19, Proposition 5.7]. Since  $-K_X$  is  $f$ -ample,  $F \simeq \mathbb{P}_k^1$  and since  $(F, D|_F)$  is globally  $F$ -split we have  $D|_F$  is zero, a point, or two distinct points. In particular, the pair  $(F, D|_F)$  is snc. By the proof of [Kaw21, Lemma 4.9] we deduce  $H^0(X, (\Omega_X^{[1]}(\log D) \otimes \omega_X)^{**}) = 0$ . Therefore by Serre duality  $H^2(X, T_X(-\log D)) = 0$ . Since  $-K_X$  is  $f$ -ample,  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))$  and by Serre duality  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ .

Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a log resolution of  $(X, D)$ ,  $E := \text{Ex}(\pi)$  and  $D' := \pi_*^{-1}D$ . By [Kaw21, Remark 4.2]  $H^2(Y, T_Y(-\log(D' + E))) \hookrightarrow H^2(X, T_X(-\log D)) = 0$ . Since  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y)) \hookrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) = 0$ , we conclude by Serre duality that  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ . Therefore  $(Y, D' + E)$  lifts over  $W(k)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.18.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a globally  $F$ -split projective surface pair such that  $D$  is reduced. Suppose that  $X$  is a klt del Pezzo surface of Picard rank  $\rho(X) = 1$ . Then there exists a log resolution  $g: Z \rightarrow (X, D)$  such that  $H^2(Z, T_Z(-\log(g_*^{-1}D + \text{Ex}(g)))) = 0$ . In particular,  $(X, D)$  is log liftable over  $W(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\frac{1}{2} < \varepsilon < 1$ . Since  $(X, D)$  is globally  $F$ -split then  $(X, D)$  is lc and  $-(K_X + D)$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective. Since  $X$  a klt del Pezzo with  $\rho(X) = 1$  we thus conclude that the pair  $(X, \varepsilon D)$  is log del Pezzo. By [Kol13, Theorem 2.31], the components of  $D$  are regular or nodal. Let  $D_1$  be the union of all nodal curves in  $D$  and  $D_2 := D - D_1$ .

Let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution of  $X$  with  $E := \text{Ex}(\pi)$ . Then we have

$$K_Y + \pi_*^{-1}\varepsilon D_1 + \pi_*^{-1}\varepsilon D_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E_i = \pi^*(K_X + \varepsilon D)$$

for some  $0 < a_i < 1$ . We note that outside the nodes of the irreducible components of  $\pi_*^{-1}D_1$  the morphism  $\pi$  is a log resolution of  $(X, D)$ . Next, let  $f: Z \rightarrow Y$  be the blow-up of all nodal points of  $\pi_*^{-1}D_1$ ,  $F := \text{Ex}(f)$ , and  $g = f \circ \pi$ . Then we have

$$K_Z + g_*^{-1}\varepsilon D_1 + \sum f_*^{-1}a_i E_i + g_*^{-1}\varepsilon D_2 + (2\varepsilon - 1)F = g^*(K_X + \varepsilon D).$$

Note that  $\text{Supp}(g_*^{-1}\varepsilon D_1 + \sum f_*^{-1}a_i E_i + g_*^{-1}\varepsilon D_2 + (2\varepsilon - 1)F)$  is snc and there exists an effective  $g$ -exceptional and  $g$ -anti-ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor

$G$  on  $Y$ . Thus for  $0 < \delta \ll 1$ , the pair  $(Z, g_*^{-1}\varepsilon D_1 + \sum f_*^{-1}a_i E_i + g_*^{-1}\varepsilon D_2 + (2\varepsilon - 1)F + \delta G)$  is log del Pezzo and we can conclude the desired vanishing and the lifting over  $W(k)$  by [Proposition 3.4](#).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.19.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a globally  $F$ -split projective surface pair such that  $D$  is reduced. Suppose that one of the following holds:*

- (a)  $K_X + D$  is not pseudo-effective;
- (b)  $(X, D)$  is not klt.

*Then  $(X, D)$  is log liftable over  $W(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h: Z \rightarrow X$  be a dlt blow-up and  $D_Z := h_*^{-1}D + \text{Ex}(h)$ . Then  $(Z, D_Z)$  is a globally  $F$ -split pair by [Lemma 2.4](#). To prove the theorem, it is thus sufficient to show that  $(Z, D_Z)$  is log liftable over  $W(k)$ . By [Proposition 2.2](#),  $-(K_Z + D_Z)$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective.

First assume (a): by running a  $(K_Z + D_Z)$ -MMP we obtain a birational contraction  $\phi: Z \rightarrow W$ , where the pair  $(W, D_W := \phi_* D_Z)$  is dlt, it admits a Mori fibre space structure and it is globally  $F$ -split by [Lemma 2.3](#). By [Lemma 2.10](#) it suffices to show that  $(W, D_W)$  is log liftable over  $W(k)$ . If  $(W, D_W)$  is a Mori fiber space to a curve, then the assertion follows from [Lemma 4.17](#). If  $(W, D_W)$  is a Mori fiber space to a point, then  $W$  is a klt del Pezzo surface of Picard rank one and thus we conclude from [Proposition 4.18](#).

Next we assume (b): by [Proposition 2.2](#)  $K_Z + D_Z \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  and, as  $(X, D)$  is not klt,  $D_Z \neq 0$  and hence  $K_Z$  is not pseudo-effective. In this case we run a  $K_Z$ -MMP  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow W$ . Since  $K_Z + D_Z \equiv 0$ , the negativity lemma shows that  $K_Z + D_Z \equiv \varphi^*(K_W + D_W)$ . Thus, it follows that  $W$  is a Mori fiber space with klt singularities,  $(W, D_W)$  is a globally  $F$ -split surface pair by [Lemma 2.3](#), and  $K_W + D_W \equiv 0$ . Then, by [Lemma 4.17](#) and [Proposition 4.18](#), we conclude that  $(W, D_W)$  is log liftable over  $W(k)$  and so is  $(Z, D)$  by [Lemma 2.10](#).  $\square$

*Remark 4.20.* The proofs of [Lemma 4.17](#) and [Proposition 4.18](#) might look quite technical at first due to presence of  $D$ . However, including this case allows to prove log liftability of globally  $F$ -split surfaces with log canonical singularities as shown in the proof of [Theorem 4.19.b](#).

We are now ready to prove log liftability of globally  $F$ -split surfaces.

**Theorem 4.21.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a globally  $F$ -split surface pair, where  $D$  is a reduced Weil divisor. Then  $(X, D)$  is log liftable over  $W(k)$*

*Proof.* If  $(X, D)$  is not klt, then we conclude by [Theorem 4.19](#). Suppose now  $D = 0$  and  $X$  is klt. Since  $X$  is globally  $F$ -split, then either  $X$  is a klt Calabi-Yau surface, which is settled in [Theorem 4.15](#), or  $K_X$  is not pseudo-effective, in which case we conclude again by [Theorem 4.19](#).  $\square$

5. LIFTABILITY OF GLOBALLY  $F$ -SPLIT SURFACES

In this section we prove liftability of globally  $F$ -split surface pairs [Theorem 5.14](#). In [Section 5.1](#) we show that dlt modifications of globally  $F$ -split surface pairs lift over  $W(k)$ . In [Section 5.2](#) we prove an extension theorem which will be used to descend a lifting from the dlt model. The case where  $X$  is not klt and  $K_X \sim 0$  is the most delicate and it requires a combination of birational geometry and arithmetic considerations, for which we devote [Section 5.3](#).

**5.1. Liftability of dlt models.** We prove liftability of dlt models of globally  $F$ -split surface pairs. We start with the case of Calabi-Yau surfaces with canonical singularities.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $X$  be a globally  $F$ -split surface with canonical singularities such that  $K_X \sim 0$ . Let  $f: (Y, E) \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution and  $(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}})$  be the canonical lifting of [Theorem 4.13](#). Then there exists a lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text{can}}$  of  $f$  over  $W(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a very ample line bundle on  $X$  and let  $A_Y := f^*A$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}}$  be a lifting of  $A_Y$ , whose existence is guaranteed by [Theorem 4.13](#). As canonical surface singularities are rational [[Kol13](#), Proposition 2.28],  $H^i(Y, A_Y) = H^i(X, A) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Therefore by Grauert's theorem [[Har77](#), Corollary III.12.9] we have the surjectivity of the restriction map  $H^0(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, A_Y)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}}$  is base point free and the induced morphism  $\tilde{f}$  is a lifting of  $f$ .  $\square$

To deal with the remaining case we need the following.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a normal projective surface with rational singularities where  $D$  is reduced and let  $f: (Y, f_*^{-1}D + E) \rightarrow (X, D)$  be a log resolution. Suppose there exists a lifting  $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}_Y + \mathcal{E})$  of  $(Y, D + E)$  over  $W(k)$ . Then there exists a lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{D}_Y + \mathfrak{E}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$  of  $f$  in the category of formal schemes over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ . If  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ , then  $\tilde{f}$  is algebraisable.*

*Proof.* Write  $E := \sum_i E_i$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \sum_i \mathcal{E}_i$ , where each  $E_i$  is an irreducible component of  $E$  and each  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is a lifting of  $E_i$ . Since  $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X = R_*^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{E_i} = R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{D_i} = 0$  for each irreducible component  $E_i$  (resp.  $D_i$ ) of  $E$  (resp.  $D$ ), an iterated use of [Theorem 2.12](#) shows the existence of the formal lifting  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ .

Suppose  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$  and let  $A$  be an ample line bundle on  $X$  and let  $A_Y = f^*A$ . By [[FGI<sup>+</sup>05](#), Corollary 8.5.6]  $A_Y$  lifts to a big and nef line bundle  $\mathcal{A}_Y$  on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . As  $Y$  has rational singularities,  $H^i(Y, A_Y^{\otimes m}) = H^i(X, A^{\otimes m})$  and for  $i > 0$  it vanishes by Serre vanishing

for sufficiently large  $m$ . Therefore by semicontinuity  $H^i(Y_K, \mathcal{A}_{Y_K}^{\otimes m}) = 0$  for  $i > 0$  and by Grauert's theorem [Har77, Corollary III 12.9] we conclude  $H^0(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y^{\otimes m})$ . The morphism associated to  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\otimes m}$  is the algebraisation of  $\tilde{f}$ .  $\square$

With the previous results, we can prove that dlt modifications of globally  $F$ -split pairs lift over the Witt vectors.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a globally  $F$ -split surface pair where  $D$  is reduced. Let  $f: (Y, \pi_*^{-1}D + E) \rightarrow (X, D)$  be a dlt modification. Then every log resolution  $g: (Z, g_*^{-1}(\pi_*^{-1}D + E) + F) \rightarrow (Y, \pi_*^{-1}D + E)$  lifts to  $\tilde{g}: (Z, g_*^{-1}(\pi_*^{-1}\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{E}) + \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (Y, \pi_*^{-1}\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{E})$  over  $W(k)$*

*Proof.* Recall that dlt surface singularities are rational by [Kol13, Proposition 2.28]. If  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ , we conclude by combining Theorem 4.21 and Proposition 5.2. If  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \neq 0$ , then  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y)) \neq 0$  by Serre duality and thus  $D = 0$  and  $X$  is a Calabi-Yau with canonical singularities, so we conclude by Proposition 5.1.  $\square$

**5.2. An extension theorem.** We prove an extension theorem for sections of big and nef line bundles on a dlt modification.

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a projective normal surface pair, where  $D$  is reduced and let  $f: (Y, D_Y + E) \rightarrow (X, D)$  be a dlt modification, where  $E$  is the reduced exceptional divisor and  $D_Y := f_*^{-1}D$ . Let  $A$  be an ample line bundle on  $X$  and let  $A_Y := f^*A$ . Suppose there exists a lifting  $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}_Y + \mathcal{E})$  of  $(Y, D_Y + E)$  over  $W(k)$  together with a lifting  $\mathcal{A}_Y$  of  $A_Y$ . If  $\mathcal{A}_Y|_{\mathcal{E}} \sim 0$ , then*

$$H^0(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y^{\otimes m})$$

*is surjective for sufficiently large  $m > 0$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}_Y$  is semi-ample and it induces a lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}_Y + \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$  of  $f$  over  $W(k)$ .*

*Proof.* As  $A_Y$  is nef, then  $\mathcal{A}_{Y_K}$  is also nef. As  $\mathcal{A}_{Y_K}^2 = A_Y^2 > 0$ , we conclude  $\mathcal{A}_Y$  is a big and nef line bundle on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . To show the desired surjectivity, by projection formula it is sufficient to prove

$$H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\otimes m}(-Y)) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\otimes m}) \otimes (p) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\otimes m})$$

is injective or, equivalently,  $H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\otimes m})$  is a free  $W(k)$ -module. By Grauert's theorem [Har77, Corollary III.12.9] we only have to verify that the dimension of the cohomology groups  $H^1(\mathcal{Y}_s, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}_s}^{\otimes m})$  for any  $s \in \text{Spec}(W(k))$  remains constant. Note

$$m\mathcal{A}_Y - E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Y + D_Y^{\leq 1} + f_*^{-1}[D] + f^*(mA - K_X - D).$$

By the Grauert–Riemenschneider vanishing theorem for surfaces [Kol13, Theorem 10.4],  $R^i f_*(A_Y^{\otimes m}(-E)) = 0$  for  $i > 0$  and by projection formula

$$H^i(Y, A_Y^{\otimes m}(-E)) = H^i(X, f_*(A_Y^{\otimes m}(-E))) = H^i(X, A^{\otimes m} \otimes f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-E)),$$

which is zero by Serre vanishing if  $i > 0$  and  $m$  is sufficiently large. By semi-continuity of cohomology groups [Har77, Theorem III.12.8]  $H^i(\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}_K}^{\otimes m}(-\mathcal{E}_K)) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Therefore

$$H^1(\mathcal{Y}_s, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}_s}^{\otimes m}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{E}_s, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}_s}^{\otimes m}|_{\mathcal{E}_s}) = H^1(\mathcal{E}_s, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_s}),$$

where the last equality follows from the hypothesis  $\mathcal{A}_Y|_{\mathcal{E}} \sim 0$ . Clearly  $H^1(\mathcal{E}_s, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_s})$  is constant in a flat family of integral curves, thus concluding.

We now explain the last sentence. As  $A_Y$  is semi-ample then  $\mathcal{A}_Y$  is also semi-ample and  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}_Y + \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X} := \text{Proj}_{W(k)} R(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A}_Y), \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{D}_Y)$  is a lifting of  $f: (Y, E) \rightarrow (X, D)$ .  $\square$

The previous extension theorem, combined with the techniques of Section 2.4, allows to descend liftability over  $W(k)$  from the dlt modification in several cases.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a globally  $F$ -split projective surface pair, where  $D$  is reduced. Let  $f: (Y, D_Y + E) \rightarrow (X, D)$  be a log resolution. If  $D \neq 0$  or  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D)) = 0$ , then there is a lifting  $f: (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}_Y + \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$  of  $f$  over  $W(k)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 5.3, we can reduce to prove the existence of a lifting  $\tilde{f}$  over  $W(k)$  of a dlt modification  $f: (Y, D_Y + E) \rightarrow (X, D)$ . Note  $(Y, D_Y + E)$  is globally  $F$ -split by Lemma 2.4 and let  $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}_Y + \mathcal{E})$  be a lifting of  $(Y, D_Y + E)$  over  $W(k)$  given by Theorem 5.3.

Let  $A$  be a very ample line bundle on  $X$  and consider  $A_Y := \pi^* A$ . Fix an isomorphism  $\varphi: A_Y|_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$ . By Corollary 2.15 the obstruction classes to the existence of a lifting  $(\mathcal{A}_Y, \tilde{\varphi})$  of the  $E$ -trivial line bundle  $(A_Y, \varphi)$  lie in  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-E))$ . By Proposition 5.4 it is sufficient to show that  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-E)) = 0$ .

If  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D)) = 0$ , then  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + E + D_Y)) = 0$ . As  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + E)) \subset H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + E + D_Y))$ , we conclude. If  $D > 0$  and  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + E + D_Y)) \neq 0$ , then  $K_Y + E + D_Y \sim 0$  by Proposition 2.2, and therefore  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + E)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**5.3. Canonical liftings of  $K$ -trivial surfaces.** We prove the liftability of globally  $F$ -split  $K$ -trivial varieties with singularities worse than rational by constructing a ‘canonical’ log lifting. The following example

shows that if the lifting  $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E})$  of the minimal resolution  $f: (Y, E) \rightarrow X$  is chosen generically, then  $f$  does not lift.

**Example 5.6.** We fix  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  to be the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and let  $E \subset \mathbb{P}_k^2$  be a globally  $F$ -split elliptic curve. Choose  $P_1, \dots, P_9 \in E$  distinct points in general position and let  $h: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^2$  be the blow-up at these points. The pair  $(Y, E_Y := h_*^{-1}E)$  is globally  $F$ -split by [Lemma 2.4](#) and by [[Kee99](#), Corollary 0.3], there is a birational contraction  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  contracting  $E_Y$ . In particular,  $X$  is an lc surface with  $K_X \sim 0$ . Choose a lifting  $(\mathbb{P}_{W(k)}^2, \mathcal{E})$  of  $(Y, E)$  together with liftings  $\mathcal{P}_i \subset \mathcal{E}$  of  $P_i$ . Blowing-up  $\mathcal{P}_i$ , we construct a lifting  $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E})$  of  $(Y, E)$  over  $W(k)$ . However if the liftings  $\mathcal{P}_{i,K}$  are in general position in  $\mathcal{E}_K$ , then we cannot expect to find a birational contraction of  $\mathcal{E}$  as explained in [[Har77](#), Example V.5.7.3].

In particular, we cannot prove liftability of  $f$  or  $X$  as a direct consequence of [Theorem 4.21](#). To solve this problem, we turn the presence of non-klt singularities to our advantage by constructing a well-chosen lifting of a crepant resolution. For this we begin by studying their crepant snc birational models. We will repeatedly use the following remark on factorisation of crepant birational maps of smooth surfaces.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $\varphi: (Y, E) \rightarrow (X, \Gamma)$  be a crepant proper birational morphism of surface log pairs with reduced boundaries, where  $(Y, E)$  is an snc pair and  $X$  is smooth. Suppose  $(X, \Gamma)$  is crepant birational to  $(Z, \Gamma_Z)$ , where  $Z$  is smooth. Then there exists a commutative diagram of crepant proper birational maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (Y, E) & \xleftarrow{t} & & & (Y', E') \\
 \downarrow \varphi & & \swarrow h & & \downarrow \varphi' \\
 & & (W, \Gamma_W) & & \\
 & \swarrow f & & \searrow g & \\
 (X, \Gamma) & \cdots \cdots \cdots & & & (Z, \Gamma_Z),
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* By hypothesis  $f$  and  $g$  clearly exist and thus it is sufficient to show the existence of  $h$  and  $t$ . As  $X$  is smooth and  $\Gamma_W \geq 0$ , being crepant implies  $f$  is a composition of blow-ups along points of  $\Gamma$ . Therefore it is sufficient to consider the case where  $f$  is the blow-up at a point  $p \in \Gamma$ , for which we distinguish two cases. If  $p \in \varphi(\text{Ex}(\varphi))$ , then  $\varphi$  naturally factorises through  $f$ . If  $p \notin \varphi(\text{Ex}(\varphi))$ , then it is sufficient to blow-up  $\varphi^{-1}(p) \in E$  to conclude. The fact that  $t$  and  $h$  are crepant is immediate from the above construction.  $\square$

We recall the crepant birational classification of log Calabi-Yau structures on minimal rational surfaces.

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}_n$  be the  $n$ -Hizebruch surface and let  $D_n$  be a reduced Weil divisor such that  $(\mathbb{F}_n, D_n)$  is log canonical and  $K_{\mathbb{F}_n} + D_n \sim 0$ . Then  $(\mathbb{F}_n, D_n)$  is crepant birational to one of the two cases:*

- (a)  $(\mathbb{P}_k^2, E)$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve;
- (b)  $(\mathbb{P}_k^2, L_1 + L_2 + L_3)$ , where  $L_i$  are lines in general position.

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$ , then there exists a crepant birational contraction  $\pi: (\mathbb{F}_1, D_1) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_k^2, E = \pi_* D_1)$ . Let  $n > 1$  and denote by  $C_n$  the  $(-n)$ -negative section of  $\mathbb{F}_n$ . As  $D_n \neq C_n$  and  $D_n > 0$ , we can choose a point  $x \in D_n \setminus C_n$  belonging to a fibre  $F$ . Let  $g: X \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_n, D_n)$  be the blow-up at  $x$  and write  $K_X + \Gamma = g^*(K_{\mathbb{F}_n} + D_n) \sim 0$ . If  $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{n-1}$  is the contraction of  $g_*^{-1}F$ , then  $(\mathbb{F}_{n-1}, D_{n-1} := h_*\Gamma)$  is a crepant model of  $(\mathbb{F}_n, D_n)$  and thus we conclude by descending induction. A similar procedure can be done to produce a crepant birational model of  $(\mathbb{F}_0, D_0)$  on  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ .

We are thus left to discuss the crepant birational models of log Calabi-Yau pairs on  $(\mathbb{P}^2, E)$ . As  $(\mathbb{P}_k^2, E)$  is lc and  $E$  is a cubic curve, then  $E$  must be either an elliptic curve, the union of three lines in general position, the union of a line and a conic in general position or a nodal curve. We show we can always reduce to the first two cases.

Suppose  $E = C + L$  where  $C$  is a conic and  $L$  is a line intersecting  $C$  in two distinct points. Let  $p \in C \cap L$  and let  $q_1, q_2 \in C \setminus L$ . By applying the Cremona transformation at  $p, q_1, q_2$ , it is easy to see that  $(\mathbb{P}_k^2, C + L)$  is crepant birational to  $(\mathbb{P}_k^2, L_1 + L_2 + L_3)$ , where  $L_i$  are lines in general position. Suppose  $E$  is a nodal irreducible cubic curve with the node  $p$ . Let  $q_1, q_2 \in E$  different from  $p$ . Applying a Cremona transformation at  $p, q_1, q_2$ , it is easy to see that  $(\mathbb{P}_k^2, E)$  is crepant birational to  $(\mathbb{P}_k^2, C + L)$  where  $C$  is a conic and  $L$  is a line meeting in general position. This has already been proven shown to be crepant birational to  $(\mathbb{P}_k^2, L_1 + L_2 + L_3)$ .  $\square$

The following is a specific instance of the connectedness principle for the nklt-locus of pairs in the case of  $K$ -trivial surfaces.

**Proposition 5.9.** *Let  $X$  be a log canonical projective surface such that  $K_X \sim 0$  and suppose that  $X$  is not klt. Then there exists a crepant log resolution  $f: (Y, E) \rightarrow X$ , where  $K_Y + E \sim f^*K_X$ , and a crepant proper birational contraction  $h: (Y, E) \rightarrow (Z, E_Z)$  such that*

- (i)  $(Z, E_Z) \simeq (\mathbb{P}_k^2, C)$  where  $C$  is either an elliptic curve;
- (ii)  $(Z, E_Z) \simeq (\mathbb{P}_k^2, L_1 + L_2 + L_3)$  where  $L_i$  are three lines in general position;

- (iii)  $(Z, E_Z) \simeq (\mathbb{P}_B(M \oplus N), C + D)$ , where  $B$  is a curve of genus 1,  $M$  and  $N$  are line bundles on  $B$ , and  $C$  (resp.  $D$ ) is the section associated to the quotient  $M \oplus N \rightarrow M$  (resp.  $M \oplus N \rightarrow N$ ).

In particular, there are at most two non-klt points on  $X$ . Cases (i-ii) happens if there is exactly one non-klt point and Case (iii) otherwise.

*Proof.* Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution, which by hypothesis only extracts divisors of discrepancy 0 or  $-1$ . Write  $K_Y + E \sim 0$ , where  $E = E^{-1}$  and  $E > 0$  by hypothesis. By [Kol13, Section 3.3], the only case where  $f$  is not a log resolution is if  $\text{Ex}(f)$  contains a nodal curve  $D$ . In this case,  $D$  is an irreducible component of  $E$  and simply by blowing-up at the nodal point we reach a crepant log resolution of  $X$ . From now on, we feel free to replace  $Y$  with a model obtained by blowing-up points on  $E$  whenever needed.

Let  $h: Y \rightarrow Z$  be the birational contraction induced by a  $K_Y$ -MMP. Clearly  $(Z, E_Z := h_*E)$  is a log Calabi-Yau pair on a Mori fibre space  $\pi: Z \rightarrow B$ . Note that  $E_Z$  has the same number of connected components of  $E$ : indeed, at each step of the MMP,  $K_Y \cdot \xi = -1$ , which implies that  $E \cdot \xi = 1$ , so that  $\xi$  intersects  $E$  only in one irreducible component. Therefore the number of non-klt singular points of  $X$  is the number of connected components of  $E_Z$ .

If  $\dim(B) = 0$  in this case  $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}_k^2$ ,  $E_{\mathbb{P}_k^2} \in |-K_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}|$  and in particular  $E_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}$  is connected. By Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.8, we can suppose that  $E_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}$  is either a smooth elliptic curve or the union of three lines in general position.

If  $\dim(B) = 1$ , by [Har77, Proposition 2.3] the Néron-Severi group  $\text{NS}(Z) = \mathbb{Z}[C_n] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[f]$ , where  $C_n^2 = -n \leq 0$  and  $C_n \cdot f = 1$ . Clearly,  $K_Z \cdot C_n = n + \deg(K_{C_n})$ . Note that  $E_Z \sim 2C_n + bf$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Suppose that  $C_n \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(E_Z)$ . Then  $0 \leq E_Z \cdot C_n = -2n + b$  and

$$0 = \deg(K_{E_Z}) = (K_Z + (2C_n + bf))(2C_n + bf) = 2(n + \deg(K_{C_n})) - 2b - 4n + 4b.$$

In particular,  $b = n - \deg(K_{C_n})$  and  $b \geq n$ . This can only happen if  $p_a(C_n) = 0$ . In this case,  $Z \simeq \mathbb{F}_n$  and thus by Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.8 we can replace  $(Z, E_Z)$  with  $(\mathbb{P}_k^2, C)$  belonging to cases (i) or (ii).

Suppose that  $E_Z = C_n + D$  where  $D \geq 0$ . As  $E_Z \cdot f = 2$ , we have  $D \cdot f = 1$  and  $D \sim C_n + bf$ . In this case, there are at most two connected components of  $E_Z$ . Note that  $D \cdot C_n = E_Z \cdot C_n - C_n^2 = -(K_Z + C_n) \cdot C_n$ . If  $\deg(K_{C_n}) = -2$ , then  $Z \simeq (\mathbb{F}_n, E_Z)$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Again by Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.8, we replace  $(Z, E_Z)$  with  $(\mathbb{P}^2, C)$  belonging to case (a)

If  $\deg(K_{C_n}) = 0$ , then  $Z = \mathbb{P}_B(V)$  where  $V$  is a vector bundle of rank 2. As  $C_n \cdot D = 0$ , then  $V$  is decomposable and  $C := C_n$  and  $D$  are two disjoint sections of  $\pi$ , as described in case (b).  $\square$

We will need the following explicit description of  $\text{Pic}^0$  on cycles of smooth rational curves.

**Lemma 5.10.** *Let  $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots \cup E_n$  be an oriented cycle of smooth rational curves over  $k$ . Then there exists a group isomorphism  $\lambda: \text{Pic}^0(E) \rightarrow k^*$  which can be described as follows.*

*Let  $L \in \text{Pic}^0(E)$  be a line bundle such that  $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in J_i} d_{ij} p_{ij})$  where  $p_{ij} \in E_i$  are regular points of  $E$  and  $J_i$  are index sets. If we normalise the coordinates of  $E_i$  such that  $E_{i-1} \cap E_i|_{E_i} = [0 : 1]$  and  $E_i \cap E_{i+1}|_{E_i} = [1 : 0]$ , we can write  $p_{ij} = [a_{ij} : b_{ij}] \in E_i \simeq \mathbb{P}_k^1$ , for  $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in k^*$ . Then*

$$(\star) \quad \lambda(L) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \in J_i} \left( \frac{a_{ij}}{b_{ij}} \right)^{d_{ij}}.$$

*In particular, for all  $L \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ ,  $L \sim 0$  if and only if  $\sum_{j \in J_i} d_{ij} = 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\lambda(L) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* It is immediate to see that  $L$  belongs to  $\text{Pic}^0(E)$  if and only if  $\sum_{j \in J_i} d_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Let  $L \in \text{Pic}^0(E)$ . On  $E_1$ , fix  $f_1 \in k(t)$  such that  $\text{div}(f_1) = \sum d_{1j} p_{1j}$ . Then there exists a unique  $f_2 \in k(t)$  such that  $f_2([0 : 1]) = f_1([1 : 0])$  and  $\text{div}(f_2) = \sum d_{2j} p_{2j}$  and we construct inductively  $f_l$  in this way. We define

$$\lambda(L) = f_1([0 : 1]) / f_n([1 : 0]).$$

Note that the rational functions  $\{f_i\}$  glue to a global (clearly trivialising) section of  $L$  if and only if  $\lambda(L) = 1$ .

We are only left to unravel the formula for  $\lambda$  in coordinates. We fix  $f_1([x : y]) = \prod_{j \in J_1} (y a_{1j} - x b_{1j})^{d_{1j}}$  as the global section of  $L|_{E_1}$ . Similarly, a global section for  $L|_{E_2}$  must be of the form  $f_2 = \mu_2 \prod_{j \in J_2} (y a_{2j} - x b_{2j})^{d_{2j}}$ , for  $\mu_2 \in k^*$ . As we demand  $f_1([1 : 0]) = f_2([0 : 1])$  in order to glue, we deduce  $\mu_2 = \prod_{j \in J_1} b_{1j}^{d_{1j}} \prod_{j \in J_2} a_{2j}^{-d_{2j}}$ . An easy inductive computation shows that  $f_l$  must be

$$f_l([x : y]) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \prod_{j \in J_i} b_{ij}^{d_{ij}} \prod_{i=2}^l \prod_{j \in J_i} a_{ij}^{-d_{ij}} \right) \prod_{j \in J_l} (y a_{lj} - x b_{lj})^{d_{lj}}.$$

As  $f_1([0 : 1]) = \prod_{j \in J_1} a_{1j}^{d_{1j}}$  we deduce  $(\star)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\omega: k \rightarrow W(k)$  be the Teichmüller representative for Witt vectors. Note that  $\omega$  preserves multiplication, but not addition. We can define  $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}: \mathbb{P}^n(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(W(k))$  such that  $\omega([a_0 : \cdots : a_n]) = [\omega(a_0) : \cdots : \omega(a_n)]$ , which is well-defined as  $\omega$  is multiplicative.

We collected all the ingredients we need to prove the liftability of  $K$ -trivial surfaces with strictly lc singularities.

**Theorem 5.11.** *Let  $X$  be a projective globally  $F$ -split normal surface such that  $X$  is not klt and  $K_X \sim 0$ . Then there exists a log resolution  $f: (Y, E + F) \rightarrow X$  such that*

- (a)  $K_Y + E = f^*K_X$ ;
- (b)  $f$  admits a lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}} + \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text{can}}$  over  $W(k)$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $X$  has Gorenstein singularities, so the singularities are either strictly log canonical or canonical. Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a log resolution such that  $\text{Ex}(f) = E + F$  and  $K_Y + E = f^*K_X$  and  $h: (Y, E) \rightarrow (Z, E_Z)$  be the contraction given by [Proposition 5.9](#). As  $X$  is not canonical, the canonical class  $K_Y$  is not effective and thus  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ .

As  $(Y, E)$  is globally  $F$ -split,  $(Z, E_Z)$  is globally  $F$ -split. We show that  $E_Z$  is a globally  $F$ -split scheme. The functoriality of the trace morphisms gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(Z, F_*\mathcal{O}_Z((1-p)(K_Z + E_Z))) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{(Z, E_Z)}} & H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(E_Z, F_*\omega_{E_Z}^{(1-p)}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{E_Z}} & H^0(E_Z, \mathcal{O}_{E_Z}). \end{array}$$

Therefore  $\text{Tr}_{E_Z}$  is also surjective and  $E_Z$  is a globally  $F$ -split scheme.

Let  $A$  be a very ample line bundle on  $X$  and let  $A_Y = f^*A$ . Write  $h^*h_*A_Y = A_Y + \sum_i a_i G_i$ , where  $G_i$  are the  $h$ -exceptional divisors and fix  $L := \mathcal{O}_Z(h_*A_Y)$  on  $Z$ .

**Claim 5.12.** *There exists a lifting  $\tilde{h}: (\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}} + \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}} + \tilde{h}_*\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})$  of  $h: (Y, E + F) \rightarrow (Z, E_Z + h_*F)$  together with a lifting  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{can}}$  of  $L$  on  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}$  such that the line bundle*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}} := \tilde{h}^*\mathcal{L}_{\text{can}} - \sum_i a_i \mathcal{G}_{i, \text{can}}$$

satisfies  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}}|_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}} \sim 0$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_{i, \text{can}}$  are lifts of  $G_i$ .

*Proof of the Claim.* We divide the proof according to the classification of [Proposition 5.9](#).

*Case (i).* Suppose  $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}_k^2$  and  $E_Z$  is a globally  $F$ -split elliptic curve. As  $h$  is crepant,  $Y$  is obtained by blowing-up  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  points on  $E_Z$  respectively  $n_1, \dots, n_r$  times. Consider the canonical lifting  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}}$  given by [Theorem 4.9](#) together with the embedding  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}} \subset \mathbb{P}_{W(k)}^2$  given by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}}}(3\tilde{O})$ , where  $\tilde{O}$  is the origin of the elliptic scheme. There is a canonical way of lifting closed points of  $E_Z$  to sections of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}}$  which we now recall. Let  $p \in E_Z$  and let  $\mathcal{L}_p$  the unique line bundle in  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}})_{F_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}}}}$  lifting  $\mathcal{O}_{E_Z}(p)$ . As  $\deg_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}},K}}(\mathcal{L}_p|_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}},K}}) = \deg_{E_Z}(p)$ , by Riemann-Roch we conclude that  $\mathcal{L}_p = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}}}(\tilde{p})$  for a unique lifting of  $p$ . We call  $\tilde{p}$  the canonical lifting of  $p$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{A}_Y|_E \sim 3dO - \sum m_i p_i$  for some  $m_i > 0$ . Blow-up  $n_i$ -times along  $\tilde{p}_i \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}}$  following the convention that, when they are repeated, we always blow-up points on the strict transform of the elliptic curve to get a lifting  $(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}})$  of  $(Y, E)$  over  $W(k)$ . As  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}} = \mathbb{P}_{W(k)}^2$  there exists a unique lifting  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{can}}$  of  $L$ . By the choice of the lifting  $\tilde{p}_i$  it is clear that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}}|_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}} \sim 3d\tilde{O} - \sum m_i \tilde{p}_i$ . As the canonical lifting is unique,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}}|_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}}$  is trivial.

We are left to check that for every irreducible divisor  $F_i \subset F$ , there is a lifting  $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$ . First we claim  $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(F_i - E)) = 0$ . Since  $-E \sim K_Y$ , by Serre duality it is sufficient to show the vanishing of  $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-F_i))$ . But this is clear from the exact sequence  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow H^0(F_i, \mathcal{O}_{F_i}) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-F_i)) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ . Consider now the line bundle  $L_i := \mathcal{O}_Y(F_i)$  and we write  $F_i \sim \pi^* \pi_* F_i + \sum a_j G_j = \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d) + \sum a_j G_j$  and we define a lifting of  $L_i$  by  $\mathcal{L}_{i,\text{can}} := \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{can}}^2}(d) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}}(\sum a_i G_{i,\text{can}})$ . By construction  $\mathcal{L}_{i,\text{can}}|_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}}$  is the canonical lift of  $L|_E$ , and so  $\mathcal{L}_{i,\text{can}}|_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}}$  is trivial. Now consider the exact sequence:

$$H^0(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{L}_{i,\text{can}}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{L}_{i,\text{can}}|_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{L}_{i,\text{can}}(-\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}})).$$

The middle term is a free  $W(k)$ -module of rank one as  $\mathcal{L}_{i,\text{can}}|_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}}$  is trivial. The right term is zero by semicontinuity as  $\mathcal{L}_{i,\text{can}}(-\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}})$  is a lift of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(F_i - E)$  whose first cohomology group vanishes as shown above. Therefore  $H^0(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{L}_{i,\text{can}}) \neq 0$  and its non-zero section yields a lift of  $F_i$ .

*Case (ii).* Suppose  $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}_k^2$  and  $E_Z$  is a union of three lines in general position, so up to an automorphism  $E_Z = (xyz = 0)$ . Consider the following factorisation of crepant birational morphism

$$(Y, E) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (W, E_W) \xrightarrow{\psi} (\mathbb{P}_k^2, E),$$

where  $\psi$  is a composition of blow-ups along the 0-strata of  $E$ , while the centers of  $\varphi$  are in the 1-strata. Note  $W$  is a projective toric variety and  $E_W$  is the toric boundary divisor. We consider the unique toric lifting  $\tilde{\psi}: (\mathcal{W}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{W}_{\text{can}}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_{W(k)}^2, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}})$  over  $W(k)$ . Again, as  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}} = \mathbb{P}_{W(k)}^2$ , there exists a unique lifting  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{can}}$  of  $L$ . We thus reduced to the case where  $(Z, E_Z = \sum_i E_{Z,i})$  is a smooth toric surface pair and  $h$  is the blow-up of the points  $\{p_{ij} \in E_{Z,i} \setminus (\cup_{l \neq i} E_{Z,l})\}_{i,j}$  repeated  $n_{ij}$ -times, where we follow the notation of [Lemma 5.10](#). Let  $(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}})$  be the toric lifting over  $W(k)$ . For any  $p_{ij} \in E_{Z,i}$  we consider the Teichmüller lifting  $\omega(p_{ij}) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can},i}}$  and we construct  $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$  as the blow-up along  $\omega(p_{ij})$  repeated  $n_{ij}$  times. As  $A_Y|_E = \sum m_{ij} p_{ij}$  for some  $m_{ij}$  and  $\lambda(A_Y) = 1$ , we deduce that  $A_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}}|_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}} = \sum m_{ij} \omega(p_{ij})$ . By [Lemma 5.10](#) and multiplicativity of the Teichmüller morphism, we conclude  $\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}}(\sum m_{ij} \omega(p_{ij}))) = \omega(\lambda(\mathcal{O}_E(\sum m_{ij} p_{ij}))) = 1$  and thus we conclude  $A_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}}|_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}} \sim 0$ .

We can repeat the same proof as in Case (i) (replacing  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  with the toric variety  $W$ ) to show that for every irreducible divisor  $F_i \subset Y$ , there is a lifting  $\mathcal{F}_{i,\text{can}} \subset \mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$ .

*Case (iii).* Suppose  $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}_B(M \oplus N)$ , together with the projection  $p: Z \rightarrow B$  and  $E_Z = C + D$  is the union of two disjoint sections. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$  the natural Serre line bundle. As  $E_Z$  is globally  $F$ -split,  $B$  is also a globally  $F$ -split elliptic curve. We consider the canonical lifting  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{can}}$  over  $W(k)$  together with the canonical lifting  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{can}}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{can}}$ ) of  $M$  (resp.  $N$ ) given by [Theorem 4.9](#). The functoriality of the canonical liftings shows that the sections  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{can}}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{can}}$  induced by  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{can}}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{can}}$ ) are the canonical liftings of  $C$  (resp.  $D$ ). We choose the lifting  $\tilde{p}: (\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}}) := (\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{can}}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{can}} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{\text{can}}), \mathcal{C}_{\text{can}} + \mathcal{D}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\text{can}}$ . We can lift  $L$  in a canonical way to  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}$  as follows. As  $\text{Pic}(Z) = \pi^* \text{Pic}(B) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $L \simeq p^* H \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(n)$ , where  $H \in \text{Pic}(B)$ . We consider the lifting  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{can}} = p^* \mathcal{H}_{\text{can}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{can}}}(n)$ . We can now repeat the same proof as in the case (i) by blowing-up the canonical lifts of the points to end the proof.

Note that every irreducible component  $F_i$  of  $F$  is contained in a fibre. As  $Y \rightarrow Z$  is a composition of blow-ups, it is easy to see that  $F_i$  lifts to  $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\varphi: (Y, E + F) \rightarrow (T, E_T)$  be the contraction of the trees of  $(-2)$ -curves given by  $F$ . Note there is a birational contraction  $\psi: (T, E_T) \rightarrow X$ , contracting exactly  $E_T$ . Let  $(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}} + \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}})$  be the lifting constructed in [Claim 5.12](#). By [Corollary 5.5](#) we can contract  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}$  to get a lifting  $\tilde{\varphi}: (\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}} + \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{can}}})$  of  $\varphi$ . By the construction of [Claim 5.12](#),  $A_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}}$  is  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -trivial. Therefore it descends to a line bundle

$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{can}}}$  on  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{can}}$ . As  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{can}}}|_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T},\text{can}}} \sim 0$ , by [Proposition 5.4](#) we conclude there exists a lifting  $\tilde{\psi}$  of  $\psi$ . Thus  $\tilde{f} = \tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$  is the desired lifting of  $f$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.13.* The toric lifting of the toric pair used to solve case (ii) of [Claim 5.12](#) can be thought as the canonical lifting to  $W(k)$  as it is the unique lifting admitting a lifting of the Frobenius compatible with the boundary (as defined in [[AWZ21b](#)]).

We can finally prove the main result of this article.

**Theorem 5.14.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a normal projective globally  $F$ -split surface pair, where  $D$  is a reduced Weil divisor. Then*

- (a) *if  $K_X \sim 0$  and  $X$  has canonical singularities, then the minimal resolutions  $f: (Y, \text{Ex}(f)) \rightarrow X$  admits a canonical lifting  $(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  over  $W(k)$ ;*
- (b) *if  $K_X \sim 0$  and  $X$  has singularities worse than canonical, then there exists a log resolution  $f: (Y, \text{Ex}(f) = E + F) \rightarrow X$  with  $K_Y + E \sim f^*K_X$  and a lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}} + \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  over  $W(k)$ .*

*In the remaining cases, there exists a log resolution  $f: (Y, \text{Ex}(f) + f_*^{-1}D) \rightarrow (X, D)$  together with a lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E} + \tilde{f}_*^{-1}\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$  of  $f$  over  $W(k)$*

*Proof.* Case (a) and (b) are proven respectively in [Proposition 5.1](#) and [Theorem 5.11](#). The remaining cases are proven in [Corollary 5.5](#).  $\square$

## 6. APPLICATIONS

In this section we show some applications of our results to the study of singularities on globally  $F$ -split surfaces and to the existence of special liftings of del Pezzo type globally  $F$ -split surfaces.

**6.1. Singularities of globally  $F$ -split surfaces.** The following allows to compare the singularities of a variety admitting a log lifting with those in characteristic zero.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective surface over  $k$  and let  $f: (Y, E) \rightarrow X$  be a log resolution. Suppose there exists a lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of  $f$  over  $W(k)$ . Then*

- (a) *the weighted dual graph of  $\text{Ex}(f)$  is equal to that of  $\text{Ex}(\tilde{f}_{\overline{k}})$ ;*
- (b) *if  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$  is surjective, then  $\rho(X) = \rho(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{k}})$ .*

*Moreover, if  $X$  has rational singularities, then  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial.*

*Proof.* We define  $E := \sum_i E_i$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \sum_i \mathcal{E}_i$ , where each  $E_i$  is an irreducible component of  $E$  and each  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is a lifting of  $E_i$ . Let us show that  $\text{Ex}(f) = \mathcal{E}$ . It suffices to show that  $\text{Ex}(f_K) = \mathcal{E}_K$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an ample divisor on  $\mathcal{X}$ , let  $\mathcal{L} := \tilde{f}^* \mathcal{A}$ , and let  $L := \mathcal{L} \otimes_{W(k)} k$ . Take an irreducible component  $\mathcal{E}_{i,K}$  of  $\mathcal{E}_K$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}_{i,K} \cdot \mathcal{L}_K = E_i \cdot L = 0$  and thus  $\mathcal{E}_{i,K} \subset \text{Ex}(\tilde{f}_K)$ . Next, let  $\mathcal{F}_K$  be a prime divisor contained in  $\text{Ex}(\tilde{f}_K)$ , let  $\mathcal{F}$  be its closure in  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and let  $F := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{W(k)} k$ . Then  $L \cdot F = \mathcal{L}_K \cdot \mathcal{F}_K = 0$  and thus  $F = \sum_i m_i E_i$  for some  $m_i \geq 0$ . Now  $\mathcal{F}_K \cdot \sum \mathcal{E}_{i,K} = \sum_i m_i E_i \cdot \sum_i E_i < 0$  and hence  $\mathcal{F}_K = \mathcal{E}_{i,K}$  for some  $i$ . Thus we obtain  $\text{Ex}(\tilde{f}_K) = \mathcal{E}_K$  and thus  $\text{Ex}(f) = \mathcal{E}$ .

We show the assertion (a). First, we show that  $\mathcal{X}$  is normal. Since  $X$  is  $S_2$  and it is a Cartier divisor of  $\mathcal{X}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $S_3$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{X}$  is regular outside  $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{E})$  and hence  $\mathcal{X}$  is normal. Since  $E$  and  $\mathcal{E}_K$  have the same intersection matrix and the weighted dual graph of  $f$  and  $f_{\bar{K}}$  are determined by their intersection numbers of  $E$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{K}}$  respectively, we obtain assertion (a).

We now prove (b). By [MP12, Proposition 3.6], we have that  $\rho(Y) \geq \rho(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{K}})$ . Since  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$  is surjective, we conclude  $\rho(Y) \leq \rho(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{K}})$ . Since  $\rho(X)$  (resp.  $\rho(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{K}})$ ) is equal to  $\rho(Y)$  (resp.  $\rho(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{K}})$ ) minus the number of exceptional divisors, we conclude (b).

Finally we show that if  $X$  is rational, then  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial. Since the problem is local, we may assume  $\mathcal{X}$  is affine. Take a Weil divisor  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}'$  be the strict transform of  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$ . We denote  $\mathcal{B}' \otimes_{W(k)} k$  as  $B'$ . Then there exists  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $L := B' + \sum_i a_i E_i$  is numerically trivial over  $X$ . Since  $X$  has only rational singularities, it follows that  $L$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly trivial over  $X$  by [Băd01, Corollary 3.10]. Take a line bundle on  $A$  on  $X$  such that  $mL = f^* A$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We can take a lift  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $A$  by [FGI+05, Corollary 8.5.5] since  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  as  $X$  is affine. Then both  $\tilde{f}^* \mathcal{A}$  and  $m\mathcal{L}$  are lifts of  $mL$ , where  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{B}' + \sum_i a_i \mathcal{E}_i$ . Since  $X$  has only rational singularities and is affine,  $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$  and therefore the lifting of a line bundle is unique by [FGI+05, Corollary 8.5.5]. Thus we obtain  $\mathcal{L} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{m} \tilde{f}^* \mathcal{A}$ . Now  $\mathcal{B} = (f)_*(\mathcal{B}' + \sum_i a_i \mathcal{E}_i) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{m} (f)_*(\tilde{f}^* \mathcal{A}) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Thus we conclude.  $\square$

As an application we show the existence of a lifting of a globally  $F$ -split surface  $X$  over  $W(k)$  which preserves the Picard rank and the type of the singularities of  $X$ .

*Proof of Corollary 1.5.* Suppose  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ , let  $f: (Y, E) \rightarrow X$  be a log resolution together with a lifting  $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of  $f$  over  $W(k)$  granted by Theorem 5.14. By [FGI+05, Corollary 8.5.5],  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$  is surjective, so we conclude by Proposition 6.1.

If  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$ , then  $X$  is a globally  $F$ -split surface with  $K_X \sim 0$ . We consider the canonical lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text{can}}$  constructed in [Proposition 5.1](#) and [Theorem 5.11](#). Again we apply [Proposition 6.1](#).  $\square$

As a consequence of [Corollary 1.5](#) we deduce an explicit bound on the Gorenstein index of globally  $F$ -split klt  $K$ -trivial surfaces. We recall their definition.

**Definition 6.2.** Let  $X$  be a normal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein variety. The *Gorenstein index* of  $X$  is the smallest integer  $m > 0$  such that  $mK_X$  is Cartier. If  $X$  is projective and  $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ , the *global index* of  $X$  is the smallest positive integer  $n > 0$  such that  $nK_X \sim 0$ .

*Proof of Corollary 1.6.* By [Corollary 1.5](#), there exists a lifting  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $W(k)$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{k}}$  is a klt projective surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero with  $K_{\mathcal{X}_{\overline{k}}} \equiv 0$  and the weighted dual graph of the minimal resolution of  $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{k}}$  is same as that of  $X$ . We note that the Gorenstein index of a klt surface  $S$  is determined by the weighted dual graph of the minimal resolution  $\pi: T \rightarrow S$  as follows. We can write  $K_T + \sum_i a_i E_i = \pi^* K_S$  for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ . Since  $nK_S$  is Cartier if and only if  $\pi^* nK_S$  is Cartier by [\[CTW17, Lemma 2.1\]](#), the Gorenstein index of  $S$  is equal to  $\min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid na_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i\}$ . As by [\[Bla95, Theorem C\]](#),  $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{k}}$  has Gorenstein index at most 21, so does  $X$ .

Finally, we show the assertion about the global index of  $K_X$ . If  $X$  has non-canonical singularities, then the global index of  $K_X$  coincides with the Gorenstein index by [\[Kaw21, Lemma 3.12\]](#) and in particular it is at most 21. On the other hand, if  $X$  has only canonical singularities, then the global index is at most 6 by [\[BM77\]](#). Thus the assertion holds.  $\square$

The Bogomolov bound on the singular points of klt del Pezzo surfaces is also a straightforward consequence of log liftability.

*Proof of Corollary 1.7.* Let  $f: (Y, E) \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution and consider the lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  over  $W(k)$  given by [Corollary 1.5](#). As  $X$  has rational singularities,  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial by [Proposition 6.1](#) and therefore  $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier ample divisor. We conclude by the characteristic zero bound proven in [\[LX21, Theorem 1.2\]](#).  $\square$

## 6.2. Lifting globally $F$ -split del Pezzo and Calabi-Yau pairs.

We show that we can always choose a lifting of a globally  $F$ -split surface of del Pezzo type over  $W(k)$  which is still a surface of del Pezzo type.

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $X$  be a surface of del Pezzo type and let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution. Then there exists an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D$  on  $Y$  such that  $\text{Supp}(D)$  is snc, it contains  $\text{Ex}(f)$  and the pair  $(Y, D)$  is log del Pezzo.*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  extracts only divisors with non-positive discrepancies, the anti-canonical rings of  $Y$  and  $X$  coincide. By [ABL20, Lemma 2.2],  $Y$  is a Mori dream space and there is factorisation

$$\pi: Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow Z := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-mK_X)).$$

By [BT22, Lemma 2.9],  $Z$  is a klt del Pezzo surface, thus we have that  $\text{Supp}(\text{Ex}(\pi))$  is snc. We write  $K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi^*K_Z - F$  where  $F$  is effective and it is contained in the exceptional locus. Thus we have that  $(Y, \text{Supp}(F))$  is snc,  $(Y, F)$  is klt and  $-(K_Y + F)$  is a big and nef  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor and that its null locus is contained in  $\text{Ex}(\pi)$ .

Let  $A$  be an ample effective divisor on  $Y$  and define  $H := \pi^*\pi_*A - A$ . Note that  $-H$  is  $\pi$ -ample and that  $\text{Supp}(H)$  coincides with  $\text{Ex}(\pi)$ . Finally for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $(Y, F + \varepsilon H)$  is klt and  $-(K_Y + F + \varepsilon H)$  is ample.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $X$  be a globally  $F$ -split surface of del Pezzo type. Let  $f: (Y, E) \rightarrow X$  be its minimal resolution pair. Then there exists a lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of  $f$  over  $W(k)$  such that*

- (a)  $\mathcal{X}$  is normal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial threefold and  $\text{Ex}(\tilde{f}) = \mathcal{E}$ ;
- (b)  $\rho(X) = \rho(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}})$  and the dual graph of  $\text{Ex}(f)$  is equal to  $\text{Ex}(\tilde{f}_{\overline{K}})$ ;
- (c)  $\mathcal{Y}_K$  and  $\mathcal{X}_K$  are surfaces of del Pezzo type.

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a surface of del Pezzo type we can apply Lemma 6.3 to find an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D$  on  $Y$  such that  $\text{Supp}(D)$  is snc, it contains  $E$  and  $(Y, D)$  is log del Pezzo. Then by Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.4 there exists a lifting  $(\mathcal{Y}, \text{Supp}(\mathcal{D}))$  over  $W(k)$ . As klt surface singularities are rational, and  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$  for  $i > 0$  by [Ber21, Lemma 5.1] the morphism  $f$  lifts to  $\tilde{f}$  by Proposition 5.2. Since ampleness is an open condition in families, the pair  $(\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{D}_K)$  is a log del Pezzo pair. Assertions (a) and (b) then follow from Proposition 6.1, while (c) is a consequence of [BT22, Lemma 2.9].  $\square$

*Remark 6.5.* By [SS10, Theorem 5.1] a variety of Fano type over characteristic zero has globally  $F$ -regular (in particular  $F$ -split) type. We just proved an inverse direction in dimension two: given a globally  $F$ -split surface of del Pezzo type, we can construct the a lifting to characteristic zero which remains of del Pezzo type. The following example shows however that a general lift is not a surface of del Pezzo type.

**Example 6.6.** Let  $e > 0$  be an integer number such that  $q = p^e > 10$ , and we fix  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ . Consider the smooth  $W(k)$ -scheme  $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{P}_{W(k)}^2$  and choose  $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_9$  distinct smooth  $W(k)$ -sections such that

- (a)  $\mathcal{P}_{1,K}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{9,K}$  are in general position;
- (b)  $\mathcal{P}_{1,k}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{9,k}$  are distinct points lying on a  $k$ -line  $L$ .

Let  $\tilde{\pi}: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be the blow-up along  $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_9$ . We now check that  $Y := \mathcal{Y} \otimes_{W(k)} k$  is globally  $F$ -split. Let  $\pi := \tilde{\pi}|_Y$ : as  $(\mathbb{P}_k^2, L)$  is globally  $F$ -split and  $\pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}_k^2} + L) = K_Y + \pi_*^{-1}L$ , the pair  $(Y, \pi_*^{-1}L)$  is globally  $F$ -split by [Lemma 2.4](#) and it is a surface of del Pezzo type. However,  $\mathcal{Y}_K$  is not a surface of del Pezzo type: indeed, as  $\{\mathcal{P}_{i,K}\}_{i=1}^9$  are in general position, the divisor  $-K_{\mathcal{Y}_K}$  is not even big.

We conclude by showing the existence of a lifting of globally  $F$ -split log Calabi-Yau surface pairs with log Calabi-Yau total space. The main difficulty is to prove the log canonical divisor of the total space is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, for which we use the existence of a log lifting.

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a globally  $F$ -split surface pair such that  $D$  is reduced and  $K_X + D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ . Then there exists a log canonical pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$  lifting  $(X, D)$  over  $W(k)$  such that  $K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{D} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f: (Y, D_Y + E) \rightarrow (X, D)$  be a dlt model which admits a lifting  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$  over  $W(k)$  given by [Theorem 5.14](#). As  $K_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{E} \equiv 0$  and it is a dlt pair,  $K_{\mathcal{Y}_K} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}_K} + \mathcal{E}_K \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  by the abundance theorem for log canonical surfaces [[Fuj12](#), Corollary 1.2]. As  $K_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{E}$  is nef over  $W(k)$ , this implies  $K_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{E} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ , hence  $K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{D} = \tilde{f}_*(K_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{E}) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ . As  $\tilde{f}: (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$  is crepant, we conclude the pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$  has log canonical singularities.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [ABL20] E. Arvidsson, F. Bernasconi, and J. Lacini, *On the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem for log del Pezzo surfaces in positive characteristic* (2020). Available at [arXiv:2006.03571](#), to appear in Compos. Math.
- [AWZ21a] P. Achinger, J. Witaszek, and M. Zdanowicz, *Global Frobenius liftability I*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **23** (2021), no. 8, 2601–2648.
- [AWZ21b] ———, *Global Frobenius Liftability II: Surfaces and Fano Threefolds* (2021). Available at [arXiv:2102.02788](#).
- [AZ17] P. Achinger and M. Zdanowicz, *Some elementary examples of non-liftable varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no. 11, 4717–4729.
- [AZ21] ———, *Serre-Tate theory for Calabi-Yau varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **780** (2021), 139–196.
- [Băd01] L. Bădescu, *Algebraic surfaces*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. Translated from the 1981 Romanian original by Vladimir Maşek and revised by the author.

- [Bel09] G. Belousov, *The maximal number of singular points on log del Pezzo surfaces*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **16** (2009), no. 2, 231–238.
- [Ber21] F. Bernasconi, *Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing fails for log del Pezzo surfaces in characteristic 3*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **225** (2021), no. 11, Paper No. 106727, 16.
- [Bla95] R. Blache, *The structure of l.c. surfaces of Kodaira dimension zero. I*, J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), no. 1, 137–179.
- [BM76] E. Bombieri and D. Mumford, *Enriques’ classification of surfaces in char. p. III*, Invent. Math. **35** (1976), 197–232.
- [BM77] ———, *Enriques’ classification of surfaces in char. p. II*, Complex analysis and algebraic geometry, 1977, pp. 23–42.
- [BT22] F. Bernasconi and H. Tanaka, *On del Pezzo fibrations in positive characteristic*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **21** (2022), no. 1, 197–239.
- [CGP15] B. Conrad, O. Gabber, and G. Prasad, *Pseudo-reductive groups*, Second, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 26, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [CT19] P. Cascini and H. Tanaka, *Purely log terminal threefolds with non-normal centres in characteristic two*, Amer. J. Math. **141** (2019), no. 4, 941–979.
- [CTW17] P. Cascini, H. Tanaka, and J. Witaszek, *On log del Pezzo surfaces in large characteristic*, Compos. Math. **153** (2017), no. 4, 820–850.
- [CvS09] S. Cynk and D. van Straten, *Small resolutions and non-liftable Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Manuscripta Math. **130** (2009), no. 2, 233–249.
- [Del81] P. Deligne, *Relèvement des surfaces K3 en caractéristique nulle*, Algebraic surfaces (Orsay, 1976–78), 1981, pp. 58–79. Prepared for publication by Luc Illusie.
- [DI87] P. Deligne and L. Illusie, *Relèvements modulo  $p^2$  et décomposition du complexe de de Rham*, Invent. Math. **89** (1987), no. 2, 247–270.
- [DN89] J.-M. Drezet and M. S. Narasimhan, *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), no. 1, 53–94.
- [Eji19] S. Ejiri, *When is the Albanese morphism an algebraic fiber space in positive characteristic?*, Manuscripta Math. **160** (2019), no. 1-2, 239–264.
- [EV92] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, *Lectures on vanishing theorems*, DMV Seminar, vol. 20, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [FGI<sup>+</sup>05] B. Fantechi, L. Göttsche, L. Illusie, S. L. Kleiman, N. Nitsure, and A. Vistoli, *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 123, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [Fuj12] O. Fujino, *Minimal model theory for log surfaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **48** (2012), no. 2, 339–371.
- [GT16] Y. Gongyo and S. Takagi, *Surfaces of globally  $F$ -regular and  $F$ -split type*, Math. Ann. **364** (2016), no. 3-4, 841–855.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [Har98] N. Hara, *A characterization of rational singularities in terms of injectivity of Frobenius maps*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), no. 5, 981–996.

- [HW02] N. Hara and K.-I. Watanabe, *F-regular and F-pure rings vs. log terminal and log canonical singularities*, J. Algebraic Geom. **11** (2002), no. 2, 363–392.
- [Kat81] N. Katz, *Serre-Tate local moduli*, Algebraic surfaces (Orsay, 1976–78), 1981, pp. 138–202.
- [Kaw21] T. Kawakami, *Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing and liftability for surface pairs in positive characteristic* (2021). Available at [arXiv:2108.03768](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.03768).
- [Kee99] S. Keel, *Basepoint freeness for nef and big line bundles in positive characteristic*, Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), no. 1, 253–286.
- [KKV89] F. Knop, H. Kraft, and T. Vust, *The Picard group of a G-variety*, Algebraische Transformationsgruppen und Invariantentheorie, 1989, pp. 77–87.
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.
- [KM99] S. Keel and J. McKernan, *Rational curves on quasi-projective surfaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (1999), no. 669, viii+153.
- [KN20] T. Kawakami and M. Nagaoka, *Pathologies and liftability of Du Val del Pezzo surfaces in positive characteristic* (2020). Available at [arXiv:2008.07700](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.07700), to appear in Math. Z.
- [Kol13] J. Kollár, *Singularities of the minimal model program*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 200, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács.
- [Lac20] J. Lacini, *On rank one log del Pezzo surfaces in characteristic different from two and three* (2020). Available at [arXiv:2005.14544](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.14544).
- [Lip78] J. Lipman, *Desingularization of two-dimensional schemes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **107** (1978), no. 1, 151–207.
- [LM18] M. Lieblich and D. Maulik, *A note on the cone conjecture for K3 surfaces in positive characteristic*, Math. Res. Lett. **25** (2018), no. 6, 1879–1891.
- [LS14] C. Liedtke and M. Satriano, *On the birational nature of lifting*, Adv. Math. **254** (2014), 118–137.
- [LT19] R. Laface and S. Tirabassi, *On ordinary Enriques surfaces in positive characteristic* (2019). Available at [arXiv:1908.09700](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.09700). To appear in Nagoya Math. J.
- [LX21] J. Liu and L. Xie, *Number of singular points on projective surfaces* (2021). Available at [arXiv:2103.04522](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.04522).
- [Mar20] G. Martin, *Infinitesimal automorphisms of algebraic varieties and vector fields on elliptic surfaces* (2020). Available at [arXiv:2004.07227](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.07227).
- [MP12] D. Maulik and B. Poonen, *Néron-Severi groups under specialization*, Duke Math. J. **161** (2012), no. 11, 2167–2206.
- [MR85] V. B. Mehta and A. Ramanathan, *Frobenius splitting and cohomology vanishing for Schubert varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **122** (1985), no. 1, 27–40.
- [MS87] V. B. Mehta and V. Srinivas, *Varieties in positive characteristic with trivial tangent bundle*, Comp. Math. **64** (1987), no. 2, 191–212. With an appendix by Srinivas and M. V. Nori.

- [Nag21] M. Nagaoka, *Non-log liftable log del Pezzo surfaces of rank one in characteristic five* (2021). Available at [arXiv:2109.10558](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.10558).
- [Nyg79] N. O. Nygaard, *A  $p$ -adic proof of the nonexistence of vector fields on  $K3$  surfaces*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **110** (1979), no. 3, 515–528.
- [Nyg83] N. O. Nygaard, *The Tate conjecture for ordinary  $K3$  surfaces over finite fields*, *Invent. Math.* **74** (1983), no. 2, 213–237.
- [PZ20] Z. Patakfalvi and M. Zdanowicz, *On the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition in characteristic  $p \geq 0$*  (2020). Available at [arXiv:1912.12742](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.12742).
- [RŠ76] A. N. Rudakov and I. R. Šafarevič, *Inseparable morphisms of algebraic surfaces*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **40** (1976), no. 6, 1269–1307, 1439.
- [Ser61] J.-P. Serre, *Exemples de variétés projectives en caractéristique  $p$  non relevables en caractéristique zéro*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **47** (1961), 108–109.
- [Shi04] I. Shimada, *Rational double points on supersingular  $K3$  surfaces*, *Math. Comp.* **73** (2004), no. 248, 1989–2017.
- [Sri19] T. K. Srivastava, *On derived equivalences of  $K3$  surfaces in positive characteristic*, *Doc. Math.* **24** (2019), 1135–1177.
- [SS10] K. Schwede and K. E. Smith, *Globally  $F$ -regular and log Fano varieties*, *Adv. Math.* **224** (2010), no. 3, 863–894.
- [Tan14] H. Tanaka, *Minimal models and abundance for positive characteristic log surfaces*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **216** (2014), 1–70.
- [Tan18] H. Tanaka, *Minimal model program for excellent surfaces*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **68** (2018), no. 1, 345–376.
- [Zda18] M. Zdanowicz, *Liftability of singularities and their Frobenius morphism modulo  $p^2$* , *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **14** (2018), 4513–4577.

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, CHAIR OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY (BÂTIMENT MA), STATION 8, CH-1015 LAUSANNE

*Email address:* [fabio.bernasconi@epfl.ch](mailto:fabio.bernasconi@epfl.ch)

NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, TAIPEI, 106, TAIWAN

*Email address:* [ibrivio@ncts.ntu.edu.tw](mailto:ibrivio@ncts.ntu.edu.tw)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

*Email address:* [tatsurokawakami0@gmail.com](mailto:tatsurokawakami0@gmail.com)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109, USA

*Email address:* [jakubw@umich.edu](mailto:jakubw@umich.edu)