

# GEOMETRIC SEN THEORY OVER RIGID ANALYTIC SPACES

JUAN ESTEBAN RODRÍGUEZ CAMARGO

**ABSTRACT.** In this work we develop geometric Sen theory for rigid analytic spaces, generalizing the previous work of Pan for curves. We also extend the axiomatic Sen-Tate formalism of Berger-Colmez to a certain class of locally analytic representations.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
An overview of the paper	5
Acknowledgments	5
2. Locally analytic representations of $p$ -adic Lie groups	6
2.1. Classical definitions	6
2.2. Derived locally analytic representations	13
2.3. Locally analytic sheaves over rigid spaces	18
3. Sen theory	21
3.1. Colmez-Sen-Tate axioms	21
3.2. Relative locally analytic representations	25
3.3. The Sen functor	28
3.4. Group cohomology via Sen theory	35
4. Hodge-Tate theory over rigid spaces	37
4.1. The Sen bundle	39
4.2. Application to proétale cohomology	53
References	59

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{C}_p$  the  $p$ -adic completion of an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . In the recent work [Pan22], Lue Pan has introduced a new and powerful tool to compute proétale cohomology of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -modules over rigid analytic spaces based on the axiomatic framework of Sen theory of Berger-Colmez [BC08, BC16]. The objective of this paper is to generalize Pan's method to log smooth adic spaces over non-archimedean discretely valued fields; our motivation to develop such a theory is the study of the Hodge-Tate structure

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14G22, 14G45, 26E30 .

*Key words and phrases.* Locally analytic representations, condensed mathematics, Sen theory,  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence.

of completed cohomology of Shimura varieties in terms of overconvergent automorphic forms of higher Coleman theory [BP21], this is carried out in author's work [RC22].

Let  $(K, K^+)$  be a complete discretely valued non-archimedean extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , let  $(C, C^+)$  be a complete algebraic closure of  $(K, K^+)$  and  $\text{Gal}_K$  the absolute Galois group of  $K$ . Let  $X$  be a log smooth fs locally noetherian adic space over  $\text{Spa}(K, K^+)$ , we let  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{(+)}$  and  $\mathcal{O}^{(+)}$  denote the completed and uncompleted sheaves of the pro-Kummer-étale site  $X_{\text{prokét}}$  respectively, see [DLLZ19]. Let  $\Omega_X^1(\log)$  be the sheaf of log differential forms of  $X$ . In order to state a general version of geometric Sen theory we need the following definition

**Definition 1.0.1.** A pro-Kummer-étale  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $X$  is said relative locally analytic if there is a Kummer-étale cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X$  such that, for all  $i$ , the restriction  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$  admits a  $p$ -adically complete  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}^+$ -lattice  $\mathcal{F}_i^0$ , and there is  $\epsilon > 0$  (depending on  $i$ ) such that  $\mathcal{F}_i^0/p^\epsilon = {}^{ae} \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}^+/p^\epsilon$ .

*Remark 1.0.2.* The relative locally analytic condition can be considered as a “smallness” condition on the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  in the sense of Faltings, see [Fal05].

The main result of this paper is the following

**Theorem 1.0.3** (Theorem 4.0.2). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a relative locally analytic  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -module over  $X$ . There is an  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -linear map*

$$\theta_X(\mathcal{F}) : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(-1)$$

*called the Sen operator of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The map  $\theta_X(\mathcal{F})$  satisfies the following properties*

- (1) *The formation of  $\theta_X(\mathcal{F})$  is functorial on  $\mathcal{F}$  and compatible with pullbacks.*
- (2)  *$\theta_X(\mathcal{F})$  is a Higgs field, namely,  $\theta_X(\mathcal{F}) \wedge \theta_X(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ .*
- (3) *Let  $\nu : X_{C, \text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C, \text{két}}$  be the projection of sites, then*

$$R\nu_*(\mathcal{F}) = \nu_* R\Gamma(\theta_X(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{F}).$$

*In particular,  $R^i \nu_* \mathcal{F} = \nu_* H^i(R\Gamma(\theta_X(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{F}))$ .*

- (4) *Let  $\eta : X_{C, \text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C, \text{an}}$  be the projection of sites. If in addition we can take the cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  to be a cover in the analytic topology, then*

$$R\eta_*(\mathcal{F}) = \eta_*(R\Gamma(\theta_X(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{F})).$$

*If the sheaf is clear from the context we will denote  $\theta_X$  instead of  $\theta_X(\mathcal{F})$ .*

The property of being a relative locally analytic  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -module might look a bit mysterious. Nevertheless, these sheaves arise naturally when studying locally analytic vectors of pro-étale cohomology. Let us explain in which context they appear. Let  $G$  be a compact  $p$ -adic Lie group and  $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$  a  $G$ -torsor (e.g. take  $X$  a finite level modular curve and  $\widetilde{X}$  the perfectoid modular curve). Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -Banach locally analytic representation of  $G$ , for example, we can take  $V = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ , for some group affinoid neighbourhood  $\mathbb{G}$  of  $G$ , endowed with the left regular action. Then  $V$  defines a pro-Kummer-étale sheaf  $V_{\text{két}}$  over  $X$  by descending the  $G$ -representation  $V$  along the torsor  $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . By Corollary 2.2.6 down below, there is a lattice  $V^0 \subset V$ ,

$\epsilon > 0$ , and an open subgroup  $G_0 \subset G$ , such that  $G_0$  stabilizes  $V^0$ , and that the action of  $G_0$  on  $V^0/p^\epsilon$  is trivial. Therefore, the pro-Kummer-étale  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -module  $V_{\text{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$  is a relative locally analytic sheaf. Indeed, the restriction of  $V_{\text{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$  to  $X_{G_0} := \widetilde{X}/G_0$  satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.0.1. Furthermore, in this situation we have a more refined result (Theorem 4.0.3)

**Theorem 1.0.4.** *We keep the previous notation. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$  endowed with the adjoint action, and let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -Banach locally analytic representation of  $G$ . We have an action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V$  by derivations. Then, the Sen operator of  $V_{\text{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$  factors through a morphism of sheaves*

$$\theta_X(V) : V_{\text{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \theta_{\widetilde{X}}(-1)} \mathfrak{g}_{\text{két}} \otimes V_{\text{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(-1) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) \rightarrow V_{\text{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(-1) \otimes \Omega_X^1$$

where the second map is induced by the derivation  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes V \rightarrow V$ , and Sen is the Sen operator of the  $G$ -torsor  $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ :

$$(1.1) \quad \theta_{\widetilde{X}} : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{két}} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log).$$

Moreover, let  $\eta : X_{C, \text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C, \text{an}}$  be the projection of sites. Then  $R\eta_*(V_{\text{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}) = \eta_*(R\Gamma(\theta_X, V_{\text{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}))$ .

To motivate the construction of the geometric Sen operator over rigid spaces we first briefly recall how the arithmetic Sen operator is defined. Let  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})$  be the cyclotomic extension and  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}}$  its  $p$ -adic completion. We denote  $H = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}})$  and  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional representation of  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , the Sen module  $\text{Sen}(V)$  attached to  $V$  is the finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ -vector space consisting of the finite  $\Gamma$ -vectors of  $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p)^H$ . It turns out that the dimension of  $\text{Sen}(V)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})$  is equal to  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ . Indeed, one has a  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\text{Sen}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})} \mathbb{C}_p = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p.$$

As is explained in [BC16], an equivalent way to construct  $\text{Sen}(V)$  is by taking the  $\Gamma$ -locally analytic vectors of  $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p)^H$ , in particular  $\text{Sen}(V)$  admits an action of  $\theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \text{Lie } \Gamma$ . Moreover, since  $\Gamma$  acts smoothly on  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ ,  $\theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ -linear operator. Then, one defines the Sen operator of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p$  to be the  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -extension of scalars of  $\theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ .

Summarizing, one can consider  $\text{Sen}(V)$  as a decompletion of the semilinear representation  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p$  by taking locally analytic vectors along the ‘‘perfectoid cyclotomic coordinate’’  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}}/\mathbb{Q}_p$ . The Sen operator is then a ‘‘differential operator’’ obtained from the cyclotomic extension.

Let us now sketch the definition of the geometric Sen operator. For simplicity, we assume that  $X = \mathbb{T} = \text{Spa}(K\langle T^{\pm 1} \rangle, K^+\langle T^{\pm 1} \rangle)$  is a one dimensional torus. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a relative locally analytic sheaf over  $\mathbb{T}$ , suppose in addition that there is a  $p$ -adically complete lattice  $\mathcal{F}^0 \subset \mathcal{F}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that  $\mathcal{F}^0/p^\epsilon = {}^{ae} \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}^+/p^\epsilon$ . We want to compute the (geometric) proétale cohomology  $R\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(\mathbb{T}_C, \mathcal{F})$ . Let

$$\mathbb{T}_{n,K} = \text{Spa}(K\langle T^{\pm 1}/p^n \rangle, K^+\langle T^{\pm 1}/p^n \rangle),$$

and let  $\mathbb{T}_{\infty,K} = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{T}_{n,K}$  be the preperfectoid torus. The perfectoid torus  $\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C}$  is a Galois cover of  $\mathbb{T}_C$  with group  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ . By Scholze's almost acyclicity of  $\mathcal{O}^+/p$  in affinoid perfectoid spaces [Sch12, Proposition 7.13], one deduces that

$$R\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(\mathbb{T}_C, \mathcal{F}) = R\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C})).$$

By the comparison theorem between continuous and locally analytic cohomology (Theorem 2.2.14), one has that

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(\mathbb{T}_C, \mathcal{F}) &= R\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C})^{R\Gamma-la}) \\ &= R\text{Hom}_{\text{Lie}\Gamma}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C})^{R\Gamma-la})^{\Gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V^{R\Gamma-la}$  are the derived locally analytic vectors of a  $\Gamma$ -representation  $V$ , see §2.2. In other words, we have separated the problem of computing proétale cohomology in three steps: first, we need to compute the derived locally analytic vectors of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C})$ . Second, we take the Lie algebra cohomology of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C})$ , and finally, we take the  $\Gamma$ -invariants of a smooth representation.

Let us focus in the first step which seems to be the more subtle. For  $n \geq m$  there are normalized traces

$$R_m^n : C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^n} \rangle \rightarrow C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^m} \rangle$$

where  $R_m^n = \frac{1}{p^{n-m}} \sum_{\sigma \in p^m\Gamma/p^n\Gamma} \sigma$ . These extend to Tate traces

$$R_m : C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^\infty} \rangle \rightarrow C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^m} \rangle$$

such that, for any  $f \in C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^\infty} \rangle$ , the sequence  $(R_m(f))_m$  converges to  $f$ . Furthermore, the tuple  $(C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^\infty} \rangle, \Gamma)$  satisfies the Colmez-Sen-Tate axioms of [BC08], see §3.1 for a generalization.

Let  $(\zeta_{p^n})_n$  be a compatible system of primitive  $p$ -th power roots of unity, and let  $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma$  be the induced isomorphism. Using  $\psi$  we define the affinoid group  $\mathbb{G}_n$  which is a copy of the additive group of radius  $p^{-n}$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{G}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) = p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$ ). We will keep using the expression “ $p^n\Gamma$ -analytic” instead of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ -analytic. The following theorem is a generalization of [BC08, Proposition 3.3.1] to relative  $\epsilon$ -analytic representations, it can be seen as a decompletion theorem à la Kedlaya-Liu [KL19] using locally analytic vectors.

**Theorem 1.0.5** (Theorem 3.3.3). *There exists  $m \gg 0$  depending on  $\epsilon$  such that*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C}) = C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^\infty} \rangle \widehat{\otimes}_{C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^m} \rangle} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C})^{p^m\Gamma-an}.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C})^{R\Gamma-la} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C})^{\Gamma-la} = \varinjlim_n C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^n} \rangle \widehat{\otimes}_{C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^m} \rangle} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C})^{p^m\Gamma-an}.$$

The previous theorem shows that, under certain conditions on  $\mathcal{F}$ , the derived locally analytic vectors of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C})$  are concentrated in degree 0, and that all the relevant information is already encoded in the  $p^m\Gamma$ -analytic vectors for some  $m \gg 0$ . In particular, we have that

$$(1.2) \quad R\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C}, \mathcal{F}) = R\Gamma_{\text{Lie}\Gamma}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty,C})^{p^m\Gamma-an})^{\Gamma}.$$

Thus, the problem of computing proétale cohomology has been reduced to a problem of computing Lie algebra cohomology. The module  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty, C})^{p^m \Gamma - an}$  is not mysterious at all, it is basically the  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence of  $\mathcal{F}$ , see §4.2.3 for a discussion in this direction.

Now, the action of  $\text{Lie } \Gamma$  is  $C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^m} \rangle$ -linear and  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. It induces a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant  $C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^\infty} \rangle$ -linear action on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}_{\infty, C})$  by extending scalars. Moreover, this action is  $\text{Gal}_K$ -equivariant, where  $\text{Gal}_K$  acts on  $\text{Lie } \Gamma$  via the cyclotomic character. On the other hand, we can identify  $\text{Lie } \Gamma \otimes C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^\infty} \rangle = \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}^{1, \vee}(\mathbb{T}) \otimes C\langle T^{\pm 1/p^\infty} \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ . This shows that the action of  $\text{Lie } \Gamma$  defines an  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -linear map of proétale sheaves over  $\mathbb{T}$

$$\theta_{\mathbb{T}} : \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}^{1, \vee} \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F},$$

equivalently, it defines the Sen operator

$$\theta_{\mathbb{T}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}^1 \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(-1).$$

obtaining the existence of the Sen operator in Theorem 1.0.3 for the torus. Furthermore, the equation (1.2) implies that  $R\eta_*(\mathcal{F}) = \eta_* R\Gamma(\theta_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathcal{F})$ . In general, we shall construct the Sen operators locally on the Kummer-étale topology of  $X$  by taking charts to products of tori and closed affinoid discs. The fact that  $\theta_{\mathbb{T}}$  does not depend on the charts and that it is functorial with respect to the sheaf and the space will be proved in §4 and relies on an explicit base change of coordinates.

**An overview of the paper.** The theory of locally analytic representations is briefly reviewed in Section 2. We state some comparison results between continuous and Lie algebra cohomology, as well as the definition of the derived locally analytic vectors. We follow the approach of solid locally analytic representations introduced by J. Rodrigues Jacinto and the author in [RJRC21].

In Section 3 we generalize the Sen theory axioms of Berger-Colmez allowing higher dimensional Sen traces. We prove that Sen theory can be applied to locally analytic representations, and that the Sen operator computes group cohomology.

Finally, in Section 4, we apply Sen theory to rigid analytic spaces obtaining Theorems 1.0.3 and 1.0.4. We also discuss the relation of the geometric Sen operator with the  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence.

**Acknowledgments.** First and foremost, I would like to thank my advisor Vincent Pilloni who guided me throughout the development of this project. I want to thank George Boxer and Joaquín Rodrigues Jacinto for their patience and enlightenment after trespassing to their office several times for discussing different stages of the work. I am grateful to the participants of the study group in Lyon in the Spring of 2021 on Pan's work on locally analytic completed cohomology for the modular curve. I would like to thank Laurent Berger for his comments on an earlier version of the Sen theory formalism. I also want to thank Fabrizio Andreatta, Gabriel Dospinescu, Andrew Graham, Valentin Hernandez, Sean Howe, Ben Heuer, Damien Junger, Arthur-César Le Bras and Lue Pan for fruitful exchanges.

## 2. LOCALLY ANALYTIC REPRESENTATIONS OF $p$ -ADIC LIE GROUPS

The main objective of this section is to briefly introduce the tools in non-archimedean functional analysis and representation theory that will be used in the rest of the document. Our approach to these subjects “lives” in between two worlds: the classical one, as in [Sch02] or [Eme17], and the recently developed condensed mathematical world of Clausen and Scholze [CS19, CS], cf. Appendix A of [Bos21]. In fact, we will be only interested in classical topological spaces (i.e. Banach, Fréchet,  $LB$  and  $LF$  spaces), but the use of condensed mathematics helps us to treat the theory algebraically without topological issues. The principal reason to use condensed mathematics in this work is to apply the theory of solid locally analytic representations of Joaquín Rodríguez Jacinto and the author [RJRC21]. More precisely, we need the notion of derived locally analytic vectors, whose “underlying set” was already studied in [Pan22]. We also need some comparison results for group cohomology in the solid setting, generalizing previous work of Lazard [Laz65], Schneider-Teitelbaum [ST02, ST03], Tamme [Tam15], *et al.* Moreover, the condensed framework allows us to work in a derived category, which will be necessary for the correct statement of the theorems.

From now on we fix  $p$  a prime number, we let  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  be the field of  $p$ -adic numbers and let  $C = \mathbb{C}_p$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**2.1. Classical definitions.** Let  $K$  be a non-archimedean extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , i.e., a field extension endowed with a multiplicative valuation  $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  extending the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , for which  $K$  is complete. The classical approach to the theory of non-archimedean functional analysis is via locally convex vector spaces over  $K$ , see for example [Sch02]. Throughout this section we will be only interested in the subclass of  $LF$  spaces, which contains all Banach and Fréchet spaces, and all the countable filtered colimits (with injective transition maps) between them, cf. [Eme17]. We won't be interested in topological questions like cokernels of maps of these objects since condensed mathematics ([CS19], [CS]) already solves this problem.

One of the main purposes of this section is to recall how to work formally with  $LF$  spaces, in particular, how the continuous homomorphisms are defined, and how to compute the completed tensor product of two  $LF$  spaces. The second main goal is to define locally analytic representations and the analytic distribution algebras, we will follow [RJRC21, §3 and 4] for the notations.

### 2.1.1. Non-archimedean functional analysis.

- Definition 2.1.1.** (1) A Banach space is a topological  $K$ -vector space  $V$  such that there exists an open  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -submodule  $V^0 \subset V$  endowed with the  $p$ -adic topology, which is complete and separated. We say that  $V^0$  is a lattice of  $V$ .
- (2) A Fréchet space is a topological  $K$ -vector space which can be written as a (topological) countable cofiltered limit of Banach spaces.
- (3) Given  $V$  and  $V'$  two Fréchet spaces over  $K$ , we denote by  $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, V')$  the space of continuous maps from  $V$  to  $V'$

In nature, one often encounters locally convex vector spaces which are written in terms of Banach and Fréchet spaces. The next definition provides a formal way to work with those arising as countable colimits:

- Definition 2.1.2.** (1) Let  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be a map between Banach spaces. We say that  $f$  is compact if for some lattices  $V^0 \subset V$  and  $W^0 \subset W$  such that  $f(V^0) \subset W^0$ , and for all  $n \geq 0$ , the image of  $V^0$  in  $W^0/p^n$  is isomorphic to a finite  $O_K/p^n$ -module.<sup>1</sup>
- (2) An *LB* space is a countable filtered system  $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of Banach spaces with injective transition maps. By an abuse of notation we write  $V = \varinjlim V_n$  for the *LB*-space.
- (3) An *LF* space is a countable filtered system  $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of Fréchet spaces with injective transition maps. By an abuse of notation we write  $F = \varinjlim F_n$  for the *LF* space.
- (4) Let  $F = \varinjlim_n F_n$  and  $F' = \varinjlim_m F'_m$  be *LF* spaces. We define the set of continuous homomorphisms from  $F$  to  $F'$  to be

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(F, F') := \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_m \mathrm{Hom}_K(F_n, F'_m).$$

*Remark 2.1.3.* By definition a Banach space is a Fréchet space and an *LB* space is an *LF* space. The definition of *LF* spaces and the  $\mathrm{Hom}_K$  set above is justified by [Sch02, Corollary 8.9] and [RJRC21, Lemma 3.32].

- Definition 2.1.4.** (1) A Fréchet space  $V$  is called of compact type (or a compact Fréchet space) if it is written as cofiltered limit of Banach spaces with compact transition maps.
- (2) An *LB* space is of compact type (or a compact *LB* space) if it is written as a filtered colimit of Banach spaces by compact transition maps.

*Remark 2.1.5.* In the classical literature, a compact Fréchet space is called a nuclear Fréchet space. We have changed this nomination due to the fact that Fréchet spaces are always nuclear in the sense of solid  $K$ -vector spaces, see [Bos21, Corollary A.22].

- Definition 2.1.6.** (1) Let  $V$  and  $W$  be Banach spaces with lattices  $V^0$  and  $W^0$  respectively. We define the projective tensor product of Banach spaces as

$$V \widehat{\otimes}_K W = \varprojlim_n ((V^0 \otimes_{O_K} W^0) / p^n) \left[ \frac{1}{p} \right].$$

- (2) Let  $V = \varprojlim_n V_n$  and  $W = \varprojlim_m W_m$  be Fréchet spaces. Their projective tensor product is the Fréchet space

$$V \widehat{\otimes}_K W = \varprojlim_{n,m} V_n \widehat{\otimes}_K W_m.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This is not the usual definition of compactness found in the literature, e.g. [Sch02, §12], but it is equivalent if  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

- (3) Finally, let  $F = \varinjlim_n F_n$  and  $F' = \varinjlim_m F'_m$  be  $LF$  spaces, we define their tensor product as

$$F \widehat{\otimes}_K F' := \varinjlim_{n,m} F_n \widehat{\otimes}_K F'_m.$$

*Remark 2.1.7.* It is important to highlight that the projective tensor product of Fréchet spaces matches with the solid tensor product, see [Bos21, Proposition A.25].

2.1.2. *A quick review on compact  $p$ -adic Lie groups.* Let  $G$  be a compact  $p$ -adic Lie group and  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$  its Lie algebra. Let  $C(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  be the space of continuous functions of  $G$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  endowed with the  $p$ -adic topology. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]] = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(C(G, \mathbb{Z}_p), \mathbb{Z}_p)$  be the algebra of continuous distributions of  $G$  endowed with the weak topology. In other words,  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$  is the Iwasawa algebra of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

In order to define locally analytic functions on  $G$  we need to fix some coordinates locally around the identity. By [DdSMS99, Corollary 8.34], there exists a compact open subgroup  $G_0 \subset G$  which is a uniform pro- $p$ -group. By a theorem of Lazard [Laz65, Proposition III. 3.1.3], the group  $G_0$  admits a discrete valuation with rational values  $w : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ , and a basis  $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G_0$  with  $d = \dim G$  inducing an homeomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad \phi : \mathbb{Z}_p^d \rightarrow G_0, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_d) \mapsto g_1^{x_1} \cdots g_d^{x_d},$$

such that the pullback by  $\phi$  of the multiplication law  $(g, h) \mapsto gh^{-1}$  in  $G_0$  is given by power series with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ :

$$(2.2) \quad \phi^{-1}(\phi(x_1, \dots, x_d)\phi(y_1, \dots, y_d)^{-1}) = (F_1(\underline{x}, \underline{y}), \dots, F_d(\underline{x}, \underline{y})) \text{ with } F_i(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p\langle \underline{X}, \underline{Y} \rangle.$$

Furthermore, after shrinking  $G_0$  if necessary, we can assume that  $w(g_i) = r > 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .

From now on let us fix a uniform open normal subgroup  $G_0$  of  $G$ , and a chart  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_p^d \rightarrow G_0$  as in (2.1). The chart  $\phi$  defines an affinoid group whose  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -points are equal to  $G_0$ :

**Definition 2.1.8.** Let  $\mathbb{G}_0$  be the affinoid group whose underlying adic space is a polydisc  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^d = \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p\langle T_1, \dots, T_d \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_p\langle T_1, \dots, T_d \rangle)$ , and whose multiplicative law  $(g, h) \mapsto gh^{-1}$  is provided by the map

$$(2.3) \quad \Theta : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_0 \times \mathbb{G}_0)$$

defined by the power series  $F_i$  of (2.2).

By taking smaller radius in  $\mathbb{G}_0$ , one can defines a family of affinoid groups which encodes the infinitesimal  $p$ -adic Lie group structure of  $G_0$ .

**Lemma 2.1.9.** *Let  $h > 0$  be rational, and let  $\mathbb{G}_{0,h}$  be the affinoid subspace of  $\mathbb{G}_0$  given by*

$$\mathbb{G}_{0,h} = \mathbb{G}_0 \left\langle \frac{T_1}{p^h}, \dots, \frac{T_d}{p^h} \right\rangle.$$

*Then  $\mathbb{G}_{0,h}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_0$ .*



*Proof.* To prove that  $\mathbb{G}_{0,h}$  is a subgroup, it is enough to show that the map  $\Theta$  of (2.3) sends  $T_i/p^h$  to  $\mathcal{O}^+(\mathbb{G}_{0,h} \times \mathbb{G}_{0,h})$ . But the power series  $F_i$  does not have constant term, therefore  $\Theta(T_i/p^h) = F_i/p^h$  is an element in  $\mathcal{O}^+(\mathbb{G}_{0,h} \times \mathbb{G}_{0,h})$ . The fact that  $\mathbb{G}_{0,h}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_0$  is shown in a similar way by considering the morphism  $\mathbb{G}_0 \times \mathbb{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_0$  given by conjugation:  $(g, h) \mapsto ghg^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.1.10.** Let  $h \geq 0$  be rational, we define the following rigid analytic neighbourhoods of  $G$  and their spaces of functions:

- (1) The affinoid group  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)} = G\mathbb{G}_{0,(h)}$ , which is a finite disjoint union of polydiscs of radius  $p^{-h}$ . We let

$$C(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, K) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$$

be the space of rigid analytic functions of  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$  over  $K$ , and

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, K) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(C(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, \mathbb{Q}_p), K)$$

its weak dual.

We call  $C(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, K)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, K)$ ) the space of  $K$ -valued  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$ -analytic functions (resp.  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$ -analytic distributions) of  $G$ .

- (2) The Stein group  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)} = \bigcup_{h' \rightarrow h^+} \mathbb{G}^{(h')}$ , which is a disjoint union of open polydiscs of radius  $p^{-h}$ . We let

$$C(\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}, K) := \varprojlim_{h' \rightarrow h^+} C(\mathbb{G}^{(h')}, K)$$

be the space of rigid analytic functions of  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$ , which is naturally a compact Fréchet space. We also let

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}, K) = \varinjlim_{h' \rightarrow h^+} \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}^{(h')}, K)$$

be the dual of  $C(\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}, K)$ , which is an  $LB$  space of compact type, see [ST02, Corollary 1.4] and [RJRC21, Theorem 3.40] for the duality between compact Fréchet spaces and  $LB$  spaces of compact type.

We call  $C(\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}, K)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}, K)$ ) the space of  $K$ -valued  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$ -analytic functions (resp.  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$ -analytic distributions) of  $G$ .

- (3) We define the space of  $K$ -valued locally analytic functions of  $G$  as the  $LB$  space of compact type

$$C^{la}(G, K) := \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} C(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, K) = \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} C(\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}, K).$$

Dually, we define the space of  $K$ -valued locally analytic distributions of  $G$  as the compact Fréchet space

$$\mathcal{D}^{la}(G, K) = \varprojlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, K) = \varprojlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}, K).$$

*Remark 2.1.11.* The rigid analytic groups  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$  and  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$  depend on the uniform pro- $p$ -group  $G_0 \subset G$  and the chart  $\phi$ . The same is true for their spaces of analytic functions and distributions. Nonetheless, the (co)filtered systems of analytic functions and distributions as  $h \rightarrow \infty$  are independent of those choices.

The previous algebras of distributions are adapted to the rigid analytic neighbourhoods of  $G$ . However, only the family  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}, K)$  is well adapted to the study of locally analytic vectors of  $G$ -representations. The ‘‘difficulty’’ with these distribution algebras appears if one wants to stay in the category of  $LF$  spaces. In fact, the  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$ -analytic vectors of  $LF$  representations are inverse limits of  $LF$  spaces, which in turn have a perfect sense as solid  $K$ -vector spaces, but that are no easy to track as topological  $K$ -vector spaces. A way to avoid this, and keep working with  $LF$  representations, is to use a different class of distributions algebras adapted to the chart  $\phi$ .

Let  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_p^d \rightarrow G_0$  be a chart defined by a basis  $g_1, \dots, g_d$ . Let  $b_i = g_i - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$  be elements in the Iwasawa algebra. For  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  we denote  $\mathbf{b}^\alpha := b_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots b_d^{\alpha_d}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.12** ([ST03, §4]). *We have an isomorphism of profinite  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules*

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_0]] = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \mathbb{Z}_p \mathbf{b}^\alpha.$$

**Definition 2.1.13.** Let  $h > 0$ . We define the space of distributions  $\mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  as

$$\mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \mathbf{b}^{\alpha} \in \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \mathbb{Q}_p \mathbf{b}^{\alpha} : \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \{|a_{\alpha}| p^{-\frac{p-h}{p-1}|\alpha|}\} < 1 \right\}.$$

*Remark 2.1.14.* Let  $b(h) = \frac{p-h}{p-1}$ . If  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $b(h)$  is a valuation in  $K$ , then one has

$$\mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \mathcal{O}_K \frac{\mathbf{b}^{\alpha}}{p^{b(h)|\alpha|}}.$$

This implies that, if  $b(h) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is a profinite  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. Furthermore, by Proposition 4.2 of [ST03] the space  $\mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is a profinite algebra admitting  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_0]]$  as a dense subalgebra. We set  $\mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Q}_p) := \mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)[\frac{1}{p}]$  endowed with the weak topology.

From now on we will assume that  $b(h)$  is rational whenever we work with the algebra  $\mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

**Definition 2.1.15.** (1) Let  $h > 0$ , we define the distribution algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Q}_p) &:= \mathbb{Z}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_0]]} \mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Q}_p), \\ \mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Z}_p) &:= \mathbb{Z}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_0]]} \mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p). \end{aligned}$$

We let  $C(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  denote the dual of  $\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  seen as a Banach space over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

(2) Let  $K$  be a non archimedean extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We define the distribution algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, \mathcal{O}_K) &= \varprojlim_n (\mathcal{O}_K / p^n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Z}_p) / p^n) \\ \mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K) &= \mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, \mathcal{O}_K) \left[ \frac{1}{p} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we see  $\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Z}_p)/p^n$  as a profinite  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module and  $\mathcal{O}_K/p^n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Z}_p)/p^n$  as an Ind-profinite  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. We let  $C(G_{(h)}, K)$  denote the strong dual of  $\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K)$ .

- (3) We define the Iwasawa algebra over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  (resp.  $K$ ) to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_K[[G]] &:= \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_K/p^n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p[[G]] \\ K[[G]] &:= \mathcal{O}_K[[G]] \left[ \frac{1}{p} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 2.1.16.* (1) The symbol  $G_{(h)}$  for the previous distributions algebras is purely notational; there is not an underlying rigid analytic group whose distribution algebra is equal to  $\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K)$ . By an abuse of notation, we call  $C(G_{(h)}, K)$  the space of “analytic functions of  $G_{(h)}$ ”.

- (2) The definition of  $\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K)$  is made in such a way that matches with the solid tensor product  $\mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \blacksquare} K$ . Furthermore, the  $K$ -Banach space  $C(G_{(h)}, K)$  is equal to the projective tensor product  $C(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ . Similarly for the definition of the Iwasawa algebra over  $K$ .

The relation between the previous three families of distribution algebras is described in the following proposition

**Proposition 2.1.17** ([RJRC21, Corollary 4.18]). *Let  $h > 0$ . We have a natural isomorphism of LB spaces of compact type*

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}, K) = \varinjlim_{h' \rightarrow h^+} \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}^{(h')}, K) = \varinjlim_{h' \rightarrow h^+} \mathcal{D}(G_{(h')}, K).$$

Finally, let us recall the notion of a continuous  $G$ -representation in  $LF$  spaces. Again, the reader can check that it coincides with the notion of a solid action of  $G$  on an  $LF$  space, or with the classical notion of continuous representation of [Eme17], cf. [RJRC21, Lemma 4.19].

**Definition 2.1.18.** (1) A continuous Fréchet representation of  $G$  is a Fréchet space  $V$  endowed with a continuous  $K$ -linear action

$$G \times V \rightarrow V.$$

- (2) A continuous  $LF$  representation of  $G$  is an  $LF$  space  $F$  endowed with a  $K$ -linear action of  $G$  such that there is a presentation of  $LF$  space  $F = \varinjlim F_n$ , where  $F_n$  is a continuous Fréchet representation of  $G$ .
- (3) A morphism of continuous  $LF$  representations of  $G$  is a  $G$ -equivariant continuous map of  $LF$  spaces.

2.1.3. *Classical locally analytic representations.* Next, we recall the notion of analytic representations on  $LF$  spaces, cf. [Eme17]. First, we introduce some spaces of analytic functions:

**Definition 2.1.19.** Let  $F$  be an  $LF$  space.

- (1) The space of continuous functions of  $G$  with values in  $F$  is the  $LF$  space

$$C(G, F) := C(G, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K F.$$

- (2) Let  $h > 0$  and  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$  denote the  $h$ -affinoid neighbourhood of  $G$ . The space of  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$ -analytic functions with values in  $F$  is the  $LF$  space

$$C(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, F) := C(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K F.$$

- (3) Let  $h > 0$  and  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$  the  $h$ -Stein analytic neighbourhood of  $G$ . The space of  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$ -analytic functions with values in  $F$  is the Proj- $LF$  space

$$C(\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}, F) := \varprojlim_{h' \rightarrow h^+} C(\mathbb{G}^{(h')}, F).$$

Notice that if  $V$  is a F chet  $G$ -representation then  $C(\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}, V)$  is a Fr chet space as well.

- (4) Let  $h > 0$ , the space of  $G_{(h)}$ -analytic functions with values in  $F$  is the  $LF$  space

$$C(G_{(h)}, F) := C(G_{(h)}, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K F.$$

**Lemma 2.1.20** ([RJRC21, Propositions 4.22 and 4.27]). *Let  $F$  be an  $LF$  representation of  $G$  and  $C(F)$  a space of functions of the Definition 2.1.19. Then  $C(F)$  is endowed with a natural action of  $G \times G \times G$  given by*

$$(g_1, g_2, g_3) \cdot \phi(h) = g_3 \cdot \phi(g_1^{-1} h g_2).$$

*Remark 2.1.21.* The Definition 2.1.19 is motivated by the the duality between the distributions and the spaces of functions. In fact, if  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the Iwasawa algebra, or any of the analytic distributions defined above, the spaces of functions  $C(F)$  of the previous definition coincide with the underlying topological space of the internal Hom in solid  $K$ -vector spaces:

$$C(F) = \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_K(\mathcal{D}, F)(*).$$

**Notation 2.1.22.** Let  $F$  be a representation of  $G^n = \overbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}^{n\text{-times}}$ . Let  $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  be a subset, we denote by  $F_{\star_I}$  the representation  $G$  given by restricting  $F$  to the  $I$ -diagonal embedding of  $G$ . If  $I = \emptyset$  we denote by  $F_0 = F_\emptyset$  the space  $F$  endowed with the trivial action of  $G$ . In the situation of Lemma 2.1.20, we call  $\star_1$  and  $\star_2$  the left and right regular action respectively.

**Definition 2.1.23.** Let  $F$  be a continuous  $LF$  representation of  $G$ .

- (1) Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$  or  $G_{(h)}$ . We define the  $\mathcal{G}$ -analytic vectors of  $F$  to be the  $LF$  space (resp. Proj- $LF$  space if  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$ )

$$F^{\mathcal{G}\text{-an}} := (C(\mathcal{G}, F)_{\star_{1,3}})^G$$

endowed with the  $\star_2$ -action of  $G$ .

- (2) We define the space of locally analytic vectors of  $F$  to be the  $LF$  space

$$F^{la} = \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\mathbb{G}^{(h)}\text{-an}} = \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}\text{-an}} = \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{G_{(h)}\text{-an}}.$$

In fact, we have that  $F^{la} = (C^{la}(G, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K F)_{\star_{1,3}}^G$ .

**Lemma 2.1.24.** *Let  $F$  be a continuous  $LF$  representation of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$  or  $G_{(h)}$ . There is a natural inclusion*

$$F^{\mathcal{G}-an} \rightarrow F.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $(C(G, F)_{\star_{1,3}})^G = F$  and that we have continuous inclusions  $C(\mathcal{G}, F) \subset C(G, F)$ , see Proposition 4.27 of [RJRC21] for a more general statement.  $\square$

**Definition 2.1.25.** Let  $F$  be an  $LF$  representation of  $G$ , let  $h > 0$  and let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$  or  $G_{(h)}$ . We say that  $F$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -analytic if the natural map  $F^{\mathcal{G}-an} \rightarrow F$  is an isomorphism of  $LF$  spaces. We say that  $F$  is locally analytic if the natural map  $F^{la} \rightarrow F$  is an isomorphism of  $LF$  spaces.

**2.2. Derived locally analytic representations.** The notion of derived locally analytic vectors for Banach representations was firstly considered by Lue Pan in [Pan22]. In fact, given a Banach representation  $V$  of  $G$ , Pan studies the cohomology groups  $\mathrm{Hom}_G(K, C^{la}(K, V)_{\star_{1,3}})$  in a set-theoretical level (i.e. without topology). In the joint work with Joaquín Rodrigues Jacinto [RJRC21], we develop this idea in the condensed framework obtaining a more conceptual understanding of locally analytic representations in terms of distribution algebras. The goal of this section is to briefly introduce this notion for  $LF$  representations, and to state how the locally analyticity condition is translated in terms of the distribution algebras defined in §2.1.1. We shall also define the notion of a locally analytic representation with respect to the action of the Lie algebra of  $G$ .

**2.2.1. Locally analytic representations of  $G$ .**

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let  $F^\bullet$  be a complex of  $LF$  representations of  $G$ . Let  $h > 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  denote  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$  or  $G_{(h)}$ .

- (1) The derived  $\mathcal{G}$ -analytic vectors of  $F^\bullet$  is the complex

$$F^{\bullet, R\mathcal{G}-an} := \mathrm{RHom}_G(K, C(\mathcal{G}, F^\bullet)_{\star_{1,3}})$$

endowed with the  $\star_2$ -action of  $G$ .

- (2) The derived locally analytic vectors of  $F^\bullet$  is the colimit

$$F^{\bullet, Rla} := \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\bullet, R\mathbb{G}^{(h)}-an} = \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\bullet, R\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}-an} = \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\bullet, RG_{(h)}-an}.$$

- (3) We say that  $F^\bullet$  is derived  $\mathcal{G}$ -analytic if the natural map  $F^{\bullet, R\mathcal{G}-an} \rightarrow F^\bullet$  is a quasi-isomorphism. We say that  $F^\bullet$  is derived locally analytic if  $F^{\bullet, Rla} \rightarrow F^\bullet$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

*Remark 2.2.2.* If  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{G}^{(h)}$  or  $G_{(h)}$ ,  $F^{\bullet, R\mathcal{G}-an}$  is represented by a complex of  $LF$  spaces. Indeed, by a lemma of Lazard and Serre, the trivial representation admits a finite free resolution as  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ -module provided  $G$  is small enough (see [Laz65, Définition 2.2.2.1]). Then, the map  $F^{\bullet, R\mathcal{G}-an} \rightarrow F^\bullet$  being a quasi-isomorphism means that the cone is strictly acyclic (equivalently, acyclic as a solid  $K$ -vector space). In the case of  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$ , the complex of derived  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$ -analytic vectors is no longer represented by  $LF$  spaces in general, so the meaning of  $F^{\bullet, R\mathcal{G}-an} \rightarrow F^\bullet$

being a quasi-isomorphism must be considered in the category of solid  $K$ -vector spaces.

The main result relating the derived analytic vectors and the distribution algebras is the following theorem

**Theorem 2.2.3** ([RJRC21, Theorem 4.36]). *Let  $h > 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  denote  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$  or  $G_{(h)}$ . Let  $F^\bullet$  be a complex of LF representations of  $G$ . There are natural quasi-isomorphisms of  $G$ -representations*

$$(2.4) \quad F^\bullet \cdot {}^{RG} \mathcal{G}^{-an} = R\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}, K), F^\bullet),$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}, K)$  is seen as a  $G$ -module via the left multiplication of  $G$ . The  $G$  module structure of the RHS is induced by the right multiplication of  $G$  on the distributions.

Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$  or  $G_{(h)}$ , then  $F^\bullet$  is derived  $\mathcal{G}$ -analytic if and only if  $F^\bullet$  is a complex of continuous  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}, K)$ -modules.

*Remark 2.2.4.* The previous theorem says, in particular, that if  $F$  is a  $\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K)$ -module for some  $h > 0$ , then  $F^{RG_{(h')}}^{-an} = F$  for all  $h' \geq h$ , i.e. it is derived  $G_{(h')}$ -analytic for all  $h' \geq h$ .

**Example 2.2.5.** (1) One of the most important examples is the case of admissible representations. Suppose that  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We say that a unitary Banach representation  $V$  of  $G$  is admissible if its continuous dual  $V^\vee$  is a finite module over the Iwasawa algebra  $K[[G]] = \mathcal{O}_K[[G]][\frac{1}{p}]$ . If  $V$  is admissible, one has that

$$V^{RG_{(h)}}^{-an} = R\mathrm{Hom}_G(K, R\mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K), V)).$$

But  $\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K)$  is a projective solid  $K$ -vector space, this fact together with the duality between Banach and Smith spaces (see. [RJRC21, §3]) imply that

$$V^{RG_{(h)}}^{-an} = R\mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K) \otimes_{K[[G]]}^L V^\vee, K).$$

But the theory of distributions of Schneider-Teitelbaum [ST03] says that the algebra  $\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K)$  is flat (algebraically) over  $K[[G]]$ . Since  $V^\vee$  is a finite  $K[[G]]$ -module one gets that

$$V^{RG_{(h)}}^{-an} = R\mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K) \otimes_{K[[G]]}^L V^\vee, K) = \mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K) \otimes_{K[[G]]} V^\vee, K).$$

In particular,  $V^{RG_{(h)}}^{-an} = V^{G_{(h)}}^{-an}[0]$  is concentrated in degree 0. Taking colimits as  $h \rightarrow \infty$  one obtains the formula

$$V^{la} = \mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathcal{D}^{la}(G, K) \otimes_{K[[G]]} V^\vee, K).$$

- (2) It is easy to see that taking derived locally analytic vectors is an idempotent functor. Indeed, let  $F^\bullet$  be a complex of  $LF$  representations of  $G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (F^\bullet, Rla)^{Rla} &= \varinjlim_{h, h' \rightarrow \infty} (F^{RG_{(h')} - an})^{RG_{(h)} - an} \\ &= \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (F^{RG_{(h)} - an})^{RG_{(h)} - an} \\ &= \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{RG_{(h)} - an} \\ &= F^{Rla}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first equality we use that taking derived locally analytic vectors commute with colimits, and in the third equality we use Theorem 2.2.3 to get that  $(F^{RG_{(h)} - an})^{RG_{(h)} - an} = F^{RG_{(h)} - an}$ .

- (3) The most basic spaces which only have locally analytic vectors in higher level are  $K[[G]]$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{la}(G, K)$ . Indeed, one can show that

$$(K[[G]])^{Rla} = (\mathcal{D}^{la}(G, K))^{Rla} = C^{la}(G, K) \otimes \chi[-\dim G],$$

where  $\chi = \det \text{Lie}(G)^\vee$ .

From Theorem 2.2.3 we also obtain the following well known result giving a criterion when a Banach representation is locally analytic:

**Corollary 2.2.6.** *Let  $V$  be a Banach representation of  $G$ . Then  $V$  is locally analytic if and only if for any  $G$ -stable lattice  $V^0 \subset V$  (eq. for some  $G$ -stable lattice) the action of  $G$  on  $V^0/p$  factors through a finite quotient. Furthermore, if this is the case,  $V$  is  $\mathbb{G}^{(h)}$ -analytic for some  $h > 0$  (eq.  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^+)}$  or  $G_{(h)}$ -analytic for maybe a different  $h > 0$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a locally analytic Banach representation. Then  $V = V^{la} = \varinjlim_h V^{\mathbb{G}^{(h)} - an}$  as  $LB$  spaces. By [Sch02, Corollary 8.9] we must have  $V = V^{\mathbb{G}^{(h)} - an}$  for some  $h > 0$  (cf. [RJRC21, Proposition 4.41]). This implies that  $V$  admits a  $G$  closed immersion

$$V \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, V)_{\star_2} = C(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, K)_{\star_2} \widehat{\otimes} V_0.$$

Then, it is easy to see that  $G$  acts through a finite quotient on  $C(\mathbb{G}^{(h)}, \mathcal{O}_K)/p$ , which implies the necessary condition.

Conversely, let  $V^0 \subset V$  be any  $G$ -stable lattice of  $V$ , and let  $G' \subset G$  be an open normal subgroup such that  $G'$  acts trivially on  $V^0/p$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $G_0 \subset G'$ . By Theorem 2.2.3, it suffices to show that there is  $h > 0$  such that the action of  $G_0$  on  $V^0$  extends naturally to a continuous action of  $\mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Let  $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G_0$  be a basis and  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[G_0]]$  the topological basis of the Iwasawa algebra. Let  $f(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha \mathbf{b}^\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . By definition we have  $\sup_\alpha (|a_\alpha| p^{-\frac{p-h}{p-1}|\alpha|}) < 1$ . But the condition on  $V^0$  implies that  $\|b_i\| \leq p^{-1}$  as operators of  $V^0$ . This shows that the multiplication of  $f(\mathbf{b})$  on  $V^0$  is well defined for  $h$  big enough, which provides the action of  $\mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  on  $V^0$ .  $\square$

2.2.2. *Locally analytic representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .* In the application to rigid spaces, we will work with sheaves that are not  $G$ -equivariant, but that admit an equivariant action of the Lie algebra of  $G$ . To define properly the locally analytic condition in this case, we use a variant of the distribution algebras of §2.1.1, which were already considered by Emerton in [Eme17]. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$  and let  $\mathfrak{g}^0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice satisfying  $[\mathfrak{g}^0, \mathfrak{g}^0] \subset p\mathfrak{g}^0$ . Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_d$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^0$ . Let  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  be the enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  we denote  $\underline{Y}^\alpha := Y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots Y_d^{\alpha_d}$ , by the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem one has that

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \mathbb{Q}_p \underline{Y}^\alpha.$$

**Definition 2.2.7.** Let  $h > 0$  be rational, we define the following distribution algebras

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} a_\alpha \underline{Y}^\alpha : \sup_{\alpha} \{|a_\alpha| p^{h|\alpha|}\} \leq 1 \right\}$$

and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, \mathbb{Z}_p)[\frac{1}{p}]$ . We also set

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, K) := \varprojlim_n (\mathcal{O}_K / p^n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, \mathbb{Z}_p) / p^n) \left[ \frac{1}{p} \right]$$

*Remark 2.2.8.* Similarly as for the distribution algebras  $\mathcal{D}_{(h)}(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ , the algebra  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is profinite. Indeed, if  $h$  is rational and  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  having an element  $p^h$  of  $p$ -adic valuation  $|p^h| = p^{-h}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \mathcal{O}_K p^{h|\alpha|} \underline{Y}^\alpha.$$

Inspired by Theorem 2.2.3 we make the following definition:

**Definition 2.2.9.** Let  $F^\bullet$  be an  $LF$  complex of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

- (1) The derived  $\mathfrak{g}_h$ -analytic vectors of  $F^\bullet$  is the complex

$$F^{\bullet, R\mathfrak{g}_h-an} := \text{RHom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, K), F^\bullet).$$

We say that  $F^\bullet$  is derived  $\mathfrak{g}_h$ -analytic if the natural map  $F^{\bullet, R\mathfrak{g}_h-an} \rightarrow F^\bullet$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

- (2) The derived locally analytic vectors of  $F^\bullet$  is the complex

$$F^{\bullet, Rla} := \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F^{\bullet, R\mathfrak{g}_h-an}.$$

We say that  $F^\bullet$  is derived locally analytic if the natural map  $F^{\bullet, Rla} \rightarrow F^\bullet$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

The same proof of Theorem 2.2.3 ([RC21, Theorem 4.34]) implies the following version for the Lie algebra.

**Theorem 2.2.10.** *Let  $F^\bullet$  be an  $LF$  complex of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Then  $F^\bullet$  is derived  $\mathfrak{g}_h$ -analytic if and only if  $F^\bullet$  is a complex of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, K)$ -modules.*

One easily deduces the following analogous of Corollary 2.2.6.



**Corollary 2.2.11.** *Let  $V$  be a Banach space endowed with an action of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $V$  is a locally analytic  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, even  $\mathfrak{g}_h$  analytic for some  $h > 0$ .*

Finally, let us define the locally analytic distribution algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as the Fréchet-Stein algebra  $\mathcal{D}^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, K) = \varprojlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, K)$ . We also denote  $C(\mathfrak{g}_h, K) := \text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, K), K)$  and  $C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, K) = \text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{D}^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, K), K) = \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} C(\mathfrak{g}_h, K)$ . The space  $C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  is nothing but the space of germs at the identity of  $C^{la}(G, K)$ .

**Definition 2.2.12.** A  $G^\dagger$ -representation is a direct system  $V = \varinjlim_n V_n$  of  $G_n$ -modules with equivariant transition maps, where  $G_n \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Remark 2.2.13.* A  $\mathfrak{g}$ -locally analytic representation is a  $G^\dagger$ -representation since the  $\mathfrak{g}_h$ -analyticity condition implies that the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be integrated to some neighbourhood  $G' \subset G$  of 1.

2.2.3. *Cohomological comparison theorems.* As an application of Theorem 2.2.3 one has the following comparison results in group cohomology.

**Theorem 2.2.14** ([RJRC21, Theorem 5.3]). *Let  $F^\bullet$  be a complex of LF representations of  $G$ , then there is a natural quasi-isomorphism of cohomology complexes*

$$(2.5) \quad R\text{Hom}_G(K, F^\bullet) = R\text{Hom}_G(K, F^{\bullet, Rla}).$$

*In particular, if  $F$  is an LF representation and  $F^{Rla} = F^{la}[0]$ , we have that*

$$R\text{Hom}_G(K, F) = R\text{Hom}_G(K, F^{la}).$$

*Remark 2.2.15.* The proof of the theorem also shows an analytic version: let  $h > 0$  and let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^\dagger)}$  or  $G_{(h)}$ , then

$$R\text{Hom}_G(K, F^\bullet) = R\text{Hom}_G(K, F^{\bullet, RG-an}).$$

In fact, one of the main technical ingredients is the equality  $\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K) \otimes_{K[[G]]}^L \mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K) = \mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K)$ , where the tensor product is taken in the category of solid  $K[[G]]$ -modules. This can be rephrased by saying that  $\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K)$  is an idempotent  $K[[G]]$ -algebra. The previous theorem follows formally from this, and the description of  $F^{\bullet, RG-an}$  in terms of  $\mathcal{D}(G_{(h)}, K)$ .

Another application of Theorem 2.2.3 is the comparison of cohomologies for locally analytic representations.

**Theorem 2.2.16** ([RJRC21, Theorem 5.5]). *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote  $\mathbb{G}^{(h^\dagger)}$  or  $G_h$  and let  $F^\bullet$  be an LF complex of derived  $\mathcal{G}$ -analytic representations. Then there are quasi-isomorphisms of cohomology complexes*

$$R\text{Hom}_G(K, F^\bullet) = R\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}, K)}(K, F^\bullet) = R\text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(K, F^\bullet)^G.$$

*In particular, if  $F$  is a locally analytic LF representation of  $G$  then*

$$R\text{Hom}_G(K, F) = R\text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathfrak{g}, F)^G.$$

*Remark 2.2.17.* The invariants  $R\text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(K, F^\bullet)^G$  are well defined as the Lie algebra cohomology complex  $R\text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(K, F^\bullet)$  lands in the category of smooth  $K$ -representations of  $G$ . Since  $K$  is of characteristic 0 and  $G$  is compact, taking invariants is an exact functor for smooth representations.

A key ingredient in the proof of the previous theorem is the fact that tensoring with the distribution algebras preserves the trivial representation, cf. Proposition 5.10 and Lemma 5.13 of [RJRC21]. We have the following version of the previous theorems for the enveloping algebra:

**Theorem 2.2.18.** *Let  $F^\bullet$  be an LF module over  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then*

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(K, F^\bullet) = R\mathrm{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(K, F^{\bullet, \mathrm{Rla}}) = R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_h, K)}(K, F^{\bullet, \mathrm{Rg}_h - \mathrm{an}}).$$

**2.3. Locally analytic sheaves over rigid spaces.** In this section we define a notion of LF sheaf over adic spaces. We impose some quasi-coherence conditions for the sheaves to be acyclic on affinoid subspaces. Then, we give an ad-hoc definition of a locally analytic equivariant action of a  $p$ -adic Lie group  $G$  on LF sheaves.

2.3.1. *LF sheaves over adic spaces.*

**Definition 2.3.1.** Let  $X$  be a adic space over  $\mathrm{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$ .

- (1) An LF sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}$ -modules is a topological  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}$ -sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  such that there exists a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $X_{\mathrm{an}}$  by quasi-compact open subspaces such that  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is an LF space for all  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- (2) An LF sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}$ -modules is said *quasi-coherent* if there exists an open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X_{\mathrm{an}}$  by affinoids, such that for all  $i \in I$  and  $V \subset U_i$  an open affinoid we have  $\mathcal{F}(V) = \mathcal{F}(U_i) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(U_i)} \mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(V)$ .
- (3) A quasi-coherent Banach sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}$ -modules is called *locally projective* if there is a covering  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X_{\mathrm{an}}$  by affinoids as in (2) satisfying the following condition:  $\mathcal{F}(U_i)$  is a projective Banach  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(U_i)$ -module, i.e., a direct summand of an orthonormalizable (ON)  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(U_i)$ -module.
- (4) A quasi-coherent Fréchet sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is said *squarable* if there exists an open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X_{\mathrm{an}}$  by affinoids as in (2) satisfying the following condition:  $\mathcal{F}(U_i) = \varprojlim_n B_{i,n}$  with dense transition maps, where the  $B_{i,n}$  are projective Banach  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(U_i)$ -modules. We say that the cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  *squares  $\mathcal{F}$*  and that  $\mathcal{F}$  is *squared* on  $U_i$ .

**Lemma 2.3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent Fréchet sheaf over  $X$  and let  $U \subset X$  be an open affinoid such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is squared on  $U$ . Then  $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{an}}(U, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(U)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}(U) = \varprojlim_n B_n$  be a presentation of  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  as an inverse limit of projective Banach  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(U)$ -modules with dense transition maps. Let  $\mathcal{B}_n$  be the sheaf over  $U$  mapping  $V \subset U$  to  $\mathcal{B}_n(V) := B_n \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(U)} \mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(V)$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is a locally projective Banach sheaf over  $U$  and  $\mathcal{F} = R\varprojlim_n \mathcal{B}_n$ , namely, for an open affinoid  $V \subset U$  the transition maps  $B_{n+1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(U)} \mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(V) \rightarrow B_n \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(U)} \mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(V)$  have dense image, so that  $\mathcal{F}(V) = R\varprojlim_n \mathcal{B}_n(V)$  by topological Mittag-Leffler [SGA70, Remarque 13.2.4]. Formally one gets that

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{an}}(U, \mathcal{F}) = R\varprojlim_n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{an}}(U, \mathcal{B}_n).$$

Thus, by topological Mittag-Leffler again, it is enough to show that  $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{an}}(U, \mathcal{B}_n) = \mathcal{B}_n$ . But  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is a direct summand of an ON Banach  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathrm{an}}(U)$ -module. Hence, it

suffices to show that

$$R\Gamma_{\text{an}}(U, \widehat{\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}_{X,\text{an}}}) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}_{X,\text{an}}}(U),$$

which follows from Tate's acyclicity theorem.  $\square$

### 2.3.2. Locally analytic sheaves over adic spaces.

**Definition 2.3.3** ([Sch18, Definition 2.1]). Let  $X$  be an adic space and  $G$  a profinite group. An action of  $G$  on  $X$  is said to be continuous if for any open affinoid  $U = \text{Spa}(A, A^+) \subset X$  there exists an open subgroup  $G' \subset G$  stabilizing  $U$  such that the action morphism  $G' \times A \rightarrow A$  is continuous.

**Lemma 2.3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian adic space over  $\text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$  endowed with a continuous action of  $G$ . Let  $U = \text{Spa}(A, A^+) \subset X$  be an open affinoid and  $G_U$  the stabilizer of  $U$  in  $G$ . Then the action of  $G_U$  on  $A$  is locally analytic.*

*Proof.* Let  $A_0 \subset A^+$  be a ring of definition. As  $X$  is locally noetherian over  $\text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$ , we can assume that  $A_0$  is topologically finitely generated over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  by  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in A^+$ . Moreover, without loss of generality we can assume that  $G_U$  acts on  $A_0$ . By Corollary 2.2.6, it is enough to show that  $G_U$  acts through a finite quotient on  $A_0/p$ . But  $A_0/p$  is a finitely generated algebra over  $\mathcal{O}_K/p$ , generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_s \bmod p$ . As the action of  $G_U$  on  $A_0/p$  is continuous, we know that there exists an open subgroup  $G' \subset G_U$  fixing  $f_1, \dots, f_s \bmod p$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian adic space over  $\text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$  endowed with a continuous action of  $G$ . By Lemma 2.3.4, the action of  $G$  on the structural sheaf of  $X$  is locally analytic after taking sections in open quasi-compact subspaces. This leads to the following definition:

**Definition 2.3.5.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $G$ -equivariant  $LF$  sheaf over  $X_{\text{an}}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally analytic if for any quasi-compact open subset  $U \subset X$  the  $LF$  space  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is a locally analytic  $G_U$ -representation.

*Remark 2.3.6.* Notice that for a general  $LF$  sheaf over  $X$  one needs to check the locally analyticity condition in a base for the analytic topology. If in addition  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent, the locally analyticity only needs to be checked on an affinoid covering as in Definition 2.3.1 (2).

**Definition 2.3.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$ .

- (1) A  $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant  $LF$  sheaf over  $X_{\text{an}}$  is an  $LF$  sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\text{an}}$ -modules endowed with an infinitesimal action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,\text{an}}$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , one has

$$Y \cdot (fv) = (Y \cdot f)v + f(Y \cdot v).$$

- (2) A  $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $X_{\text{an}}$  is said locally analytic if there exists a basis of affinoid neighbourhoods  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(U_i)$  is a locally analytic  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module for all  $i$ , in the sense of Definition 2.2.9.

Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian fs log smooth adic space over  $\mathrm{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$ , we refer to [DLLZ19] for the definition of a log adic space and the (pro-)Kummer-étale site. Let  $G$  be a compact  $p$ -adic Lie group and  $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$  a  $G$ -pro-Kummer-étale torsor. For a closed subgroup  $H \subset G$  the quotient  $\widetilde{X}/H$  is a profinite-Kummer-étale covering of  $X$ , if  $H$  is open it is actually finite Kummer-étale over  $X$ . For a log adic space  $Y$  we let  $Y_{\mathrm{két}, \mathrm{qcqs}}$  denote the site of qcqs Kummer-étale adic spaces over  $Y$ . By construction ([DLLZ19, §5.1]) one has

$$(2.6) \quad (\widetilde{X}/H)_{\mathrm{két}, \mathrm{qcqs}} = 2\text{-}\varprojlim_{H \subset G'} (\widetilde{X}/G')_{\mathrm{két}, \mathrm{qcqs}},$$

where  $G'$  runs over all the compact open subgroups of  $G$  containing  $H$ . In particular, an object  $U \in (\widetilde{X})_{\mathrm{két}, \mathrm{qcqs}}$  has a  $G'$ -equivariant action over  $\widetilde{X}$  for some compact open subgroup  $G' \subset G$ . We will need the following definition later on:

**Definition 2.3.8.** Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$  denote the completed structural sheaf over  $X_{\mathrm{prokét}}$ .

- (1) The completed sheaf  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}, \mathrm{két}}$  is by definition the restriction of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$  to  $\widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{két}}$ . The sheaf of locally analytic sections of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}, \mathrm{két}}$  is the sheaf sending  $U \in \widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{két}, \mathrm{qcqs}}$  to

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}, \mathrm{két}}^{\mathrm{la}}(U) = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(U)^{G_U - \mathrm{la}}$$

where  $G_U \subset G$  is an open compact subgroup acting on  $U$ . We will denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}^{\mathrm{la}}$  the restriction of  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}, \mathrm{két}}^{\mathrm{la}}$  to the analytic site of  $\widetilde{X}$ .

- (2) We let  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}, \mathrm{két}}^{\mathrm{sm}} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}, \mathrm{két}}$  denote the subsheaf of smooth section in qcqs open subsets. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}^{\mathrm{sm}}$  be the restriction of  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}, \mathrm{két}}^{\mathrm{sm}}$  to  $\widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{an}}$ , equivalently,  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}^{\mathrm{sm}} = \varinjlim_{G' \subset G} \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}/G', \mathrm{an}}$  where  $G'$  runs over all the open subgroups of  $G$ .
- (3) An  $LF$  sheaf over  $\widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{két}}$  is a topological sheaf over  $\widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{két}}$  such that for all  $U \in \widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{két}, \mathrm{qcqs}}$  the space  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is an  $LF$  space. We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $G^\dagger$  equivariant if there exists a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of objects in  $\widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{két}, \mathrm{qcqs}}$  such that for all  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\mathrm{an}}}$  can be written as a colimit of  $G_n$ -equivariant  $LF$  sheaves  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\mathrm{an}}} = \varinjlim_n \mathcal{F}_n$  over  $U_{\mathrm{an}}$ , where  $G^\dagger = \{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a decreasing basis of open compact subgroups of  $G$  stabilizing  $U$ . We refer to the equivariant action of the decreasing open subgroups  $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as the  $G^\dagger$ -action.
- (4) A  $G^\dagger$ -equivariant  $LF$  sheaf is said locally analytic if for any  $U \in \widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{két}}$  the action of  $G^\dagger$  on  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is locally analytic.

*Remark 2.3.9.* By (2.6), the equivariant action over an object  $U \in \widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{két}}$  is only well defined infinitesimally closed to 1. Namely, if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are two compact open subgroups of  $G$  action equivariantly on  $U$ , then there exists  $G_3 \subset G_1 \cap G_2$  compact open such that the action of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  agree on  $G_3$ . Therefore, only the action of  $G^\dagger$  is well defined in objects of  $\widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{két}, \mathrm{qcqs}}$ , in other words, there is not a well defined stabilizer  $G_U$ . In particular, as the locally analyticity condition only depends on  $G^\dagger$ , parts (1) and (3) of the previous definition make sense.

## 3. SEN THEORY

Sen theory has shown to be a powerful tool in the Galois theory of  $p$ -adic fields. For example, it is used to compute Galois cohomology over period rings:

**Proposition 3.0.1** ([Tat67, Proposition 8]). *Let  $\mathbb{C}_p$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and let  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  be the absolute Galois group. For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we let  $\mathbb{C}_p(i)$  denote the  $i$ -th Tate twist. Then*

$$H^k(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{C}_p(i)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}_p & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } k = 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}_p \log \chi_{\text{cyc}} & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

In [BC08], Berger-Colmez define an axiomatic framework where Sen theory can be applied. Using this formalism, different constructions attached to finite dimensional Galois representations become formally the same: the Sen module (relative to  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}}$ ), the overconvergent  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module (relative to  $\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}^\dagger(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}})$ ), the module  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{diff}}$  of differential equations (relative to  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}})$ ). Moreover, using Sen theory, Berger-Colmez describe in [BC16] the locally analytic vectors of completed Galois extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with group isomorphic to a  $p$ -adic Lie group.

The work of Lue Pan [Pan22] is another very important application of this tool. Inspired from the work of Berger-Colmez, Pan describes the  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence of the  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant local systems of the modular curves in terms of sheaves over the flag variety. Furthermore, using the strategy of [BC16] he manages to use the axiomatic Sen theory to compute the  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence of locally analytic ‘‘interpolations’’ of finite rank local systems.

The main goal of this section is to provide a more conceptual understanding of the work of Pan and Berger-Colmez. More precisely, we will prove that the construction of the Sen module holds not only for finite rank representations of the profinite group  $\Pi$  (which is  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  in classical Sen theory), but for a larger class of locally analytic representations. In addition, we prove that a strong version of the axioms provides a derived enhancement.

**3.1. Colmez-Sen-Tate axioms.** Let us introduce the terminology. Let  $(A, A^+)$  be a uniform affinoid  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra, given  $B \subset A$  a closed subalgebra we denote  $B^+ := B \cap A^+$ . Let  $d \geq 1$  be an integer,  $\Pi$  a profinite group and  $\chi : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^d$  a surjective continuous character with kernel  $H$ . Given  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  an open subgroup and  $H' := H \cap \Pi'$  we define the following objects:

- Let  $N_{H'}$  be the normalizer of  $H'$  in  $\Pi$ .
- Let  $\Gamma_{H'} = N_{H'}/H'$  and  $C_{H'} \subset \Gamma_{H'}$  its center. By Lemma 3.1.1 of [BC08] the group  $C_{H'}$  is open in  $\Gamma_{H'}$ .
- We let  $n_1(H') \in \mathbb{N}$  be the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $\chi(C_{H'})$  contains  $p^n \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ .
- More generally, given an open subgroup  $C' \subset C_{H'}$  such that  $\ker \chi \cap C' = 1$ , we denote by  $n(C') \in \mathbb{N}$  the smallest integer such that  $p^n \mathbb{Z}_p^d \subset \chi(C')$ .
- Let  $C'$  be as above. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_d \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$  be the standard basis, for  $n \geq n(C')$  we let  $\gamma_1^{(n)}, \dots, \gamma_d^{(n)}$  denote the inverse image of  $p^n e_1, \dots, p^n e_d$  in  $C'$ . Thus,

if  $k \geq 0$ , we have that  $\gamma_i^{(n+k)} = (\gamma_i^{(n)})^{p^k}$ . We shall write  $\gamma^{(n)} = (\gamma_1^{(n)}, \dots, \gamma_d^{(n)})$  and  $\langle \gamma^{(n)} \rangle \subset C'$  the generated subgroup.

Let us suppose that  $\Pi$  acts continuously on  $(A, A^+)$ . Notice that the action of  $\Pi$  on  $A^+/p^s$  is smooth for any  $s \geq 1$  since this last ring is discrete, we suppose in addition that  $A^+/p^s = \varinjlim_{H' \subset H} A^{H',+}/p^s$  where  $H'$  runs over all the open subgroups of  $H$ . Let  $I \subset \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  be a dense additive submonoid containing  $\mathbb{N}$ , suppose that there are topologically nilpotent units  $\{\varpi^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \in I, \epsilon > 0}$  in  $A^H$  such that

- (1) For any  $x \in \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$  we have  $|\varpi^{\epsilon+\delta}|_x = |\varpi^\epsilon|_x |\varpi^\delta|_x$ .
- (2) Let  $\|\cdot\| : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be the norm making  $A^+$  the unit ball and  $\varpi^\epsilon A^+$  the ball of radius  $p^{-\epsilon}$ . Then  $\|\cdot\|$  is a submultiplicative non-archimedean norm, i.e. it satisfies
  - $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ .
  - $\|x + y\| \leq \sup\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ .
- (3)  $\Pi$  acts by isometries on  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ .

Condition (1) implies that the elements  $\varpi^\epsilon$  are multiplicative units for the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Moreover, the ideal of topologically nilpotent elements of  $A$  is equal to  $A^{++} = \bigcup_{\epsilon \in I, \epsilon > 0} \varpi^\epsilon A^+$ . From now on we always take  $\epsilon \in I$ . In the following we consider almost mathematics with respect to the sequence  $\{\varpi^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon > 0}$ .

*Remark 3.1.1.* In the main application of the paper the units  $\varpi^\epsilon$  will be algebraic numbers over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $p$ -adic valuation  $|\varpi|^\epsilon = |p|^\epsilon$ . We have decided to develop the theory in this slightly more general situation where  $\varpi^\epsilon$  might not be algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , in order to include the framework of overconvergent  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules, where the elements  $\varpi^\epsilon$  arise as Teichmüller lifts  $[\pi^{b,\epsilon}]$  of  $p$ -power roots of  $\pi = \varepsilon - 1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}, b}$ , where  $\varepsilon = (\zeta_{p^n})_{n \geq 1}$  is a compatible sequence of  $p$ -th power roots of unity, see [CC98].

**Definition 3.1.2** (Colmez-Sen-Tate axioms). We define the following axioms for the triple  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$ .

- (CST0) *Almost purity.* For  $H_1 \subset H_2 \subset H$  open subgroups, the trace map  $\text{Tr}_{H_2/H_1} : A^{+, H_1} \rightarrow A^{+, H_2}$  is almost surjective.
- (CST1) *Tate's normalized traces.* There is  $c_2 > 0$ , for all open subgroup  $H' \subset H$  an integer  $n(H') \geq n_1(H')$ , a sequence of closed subalgebras  $(A_{H', n})_{n \geq n(H')}$  of  $A^{H'}$ , and for  $n \geq n(H')$   $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear maps  $R_{H', n} : A^{H'} \rightarrow A_{H', n}$ , satisfying the following conditions:
  0. We can write  $I = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$  as colimit of additive submonoids such that for any  $H'$  and  $n \geq n(H')$  we have  $\{\varpi^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \in I_n} \subset A_{H', n}$ .
  1. If  $H_1 \subset H_2 \subset H$  are open subgroups, then  $A_{H_2, n} = A_{H_1, n}^{H_2}$  and  $R_{H_1, n}|_{A^{H_2}} = R_{H_2, n}$ .
  2.  $R_{H', n}$  is an  $A_{H', n}$ -linear projection onto  $A_{H', n}$ . We let  $X_{H', n}$  denote the kernel of  $R_{H', n}$  and  $X_{H', n}^+ := X_{H', n} \cap A^+$ .
  3.  $gA_{H', n} = A_{gH'g^{-1}, n}$  and  $gR_{H', n}(x) = R_{gH'g^{-1}, n}(gx)$  for all  $g \in \Pi$  and  $x \in A^{H'}$ .

4. For all  $n \geq n(H')$  and  $x \in A^{+,H'}$ , we have  $R_{H',n}(x) \in \varpi^{-c_2} A_{H',n}^+$ . In other words  $\|R_{H',n}(x)\| \leq |\varpi^{-c_2}| \|x\|$  for  $x \in A^{H'}$ .
5. Given  $x \in A^{H'}$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{H',n}(x) = x$ .
6. The action of  $\Gamma_{H'}$  on the Banach algebra  $A_{H',n}$  is locally analytic. Equivalently, there is an open subgroup  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  with  $\Pi' \cap H = H'$  such that the action of  $\Pi'$  on  $A_{H',n}^+/\varpi$  is trivial.

(CST2) *Bounds for the vanishing of cohomology.* There exists  $c_3 > 0$ , and for an open subgroup  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  an integer  $n(\Pi') \geq n_1(H')$  such that if  $n \geq n(\Pi')$ , and  $C' \subset C_H$  is an open subgroup satisfying  $C' \cap \ker \chi = 1$  and  $n(C') \leq n$ , then for all  $n(C') \leq m \leq n$  the cohomology groups  $H^i(\langle \gamma^{(m)} \rangle, \varpi^\epsilon X_{H,n}^+)$  are  $\varpi^{c_3}$ -torsion for  $i = 0, 1, 2$  and  $\epsilon \in \pm I$ .

In the application to rigid spaces we will have the following stronger axioms

(CST1\*) *Decomposable traces.* Let  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  be an open subgroup and  $H' = \Pi' \cap H$ . There exists  $c_2$  and an integer  $n(H') \geq n_1(H')$  satisfying:

1. For  $n \geq n(H')$  and  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , there are closed  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -subalgebras  $A_{H',n}^i$  of  $A^{H'}$ , and  $A_{H',n}^i$ -linear projections  $R_{H',n}^i : A^{H'} \rightarrow A_{H',n}^i$ . We let  $X_{H',n}^i$  denote the kernel of  $R_{H',n}^i$  and  $X_{H',n}^{i,+} = X_{H',n}^i \cap A^+$ .
2. For  $g \in C_{H'}$  we have that  $gA_{H',n}^i = A_{H',n}^i$  and  $gR_{H',n}^i(x) = R_{H',n}^i(gx)$  for all  $x \in A^{H'}$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .
3. For  $x \in A^{+,H'}$  we have  $R_{H',n}^i(x) \in \varpi^{-c_2} A_{H',n}^{i,+}$ . In other words,

$$\|R_{H',n}(x)\| \leq |\varpi^{-c_2}| \|x\|.$$

4. Given a fixed  $H'$  and  $n$ , the maps  $R_{H',n}^i$  commute for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and their composition  $R_{H',n} := R_{H',n}^d \circ \dots \circ R_{H',n}^1$  satisfies the axiom (CST1).

(CST2\*) *Strong bounds for the vanishing of cohomology.* There exists  $c_3 > 0$ , and for an open subgroup  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  an integer  $n(\Pi') \geq n_1(H')$ , such that if  $n \geq n(\Pi')$ , and  $C' \subset C_H$  is an open subgroup with  $C' \cap \ker \chi = 0$  and  $n(C') \leq n$ , then for all  $n(C') \leq m \leq n$  we have:

- The multiplication map  $\gamma_i^{(m)} - 1 : X_{H',n}^i \rightarrow X_{H',n}^i$  is invertible with  $\|(\gamma_i^{(m)} - 1)^{-1}\| \leq |\varpi^{-c_3}|$ , i.e.  $(\gamma_i^{(m)} - 1)^{-1}(x) \in \varpi^{-c_3} X_{H',n}^{i,+}$  for  $x \in X_{H',n}^{i,+}$ .

*Remark 3.1.3.* The  $\varpi^{c_3}$ -torsion on (CST2) means the following: let  $\gamma^{(m)} = (\gamma_1^{(m)}, \dots, \gamma_d^{(m)})$ , the cohomology  $R\Gamma(\langle \gamma^{(m)} \rangle, \varpi^\epsilon X_{H',n}^+)$  is represented by a Koszul complex  $\text{Kos}(\gamma^{(m)}, \varpi^\epsilon X_{H',n}^+)$ . Then  $H^i(\gamma^{(m)}, X_{H',n}^+) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$  and if  $\beta$  is an  $i$ -cocycle for  $\varpi^\epsilon X_{H',n}^+$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , there exists a  $(i-1)$ -cochain  $\beta'$  of  $\varpi^{\epsilon-c_3} X_{H',n}^+$  such that  $d(\beta') = \beta$ . The condition for  $i = 2$  guarantees that we can lift a 1-cocycle  $\beta$  of  $X_{H',n}^+/\varpi^\epsilon$  to a 1-cocycle  $\beta'$  of  $X_{H',n}^+$  which agrees with  $\beta$  modulo  $\varpi^{\epsilon-c_3}$ .

*Remark 3.1.4.* The axioms (CST0), (CST1) and (CST2) above are generalizations of the axioms (TS1), (TS2) and (TS3) of [BC08] respectively. There is a subtle difference between (CST1) and (TS2), which are the additional properties (0) and (6). Condition (6) holds in the context of classical arithmetic Sen theory and for

overconvergent  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules, it arises from the intuition that one is decompleting the algebra  $A^{H'}$  by its locally analytic vectors for  $\Gamma_{H'}$ . The condition (0) says that the topologically nilpotent units for which the almost setting is defined are locally analytic. The axioms (CST1\*) and (CST2\*) are stronger generalizations of (TS2) and (TS3) which we will encounter in the geometric applications, see Example 3.1.7.

**Lemma 3.1.5.** *Suppose that (CST1\*) holds. Then (CST2\*) implies (CST2). Moreover, under (CST2\*) the group cohomology  $R\Gamma(\langle \gamma^{(m)} \rangle, \varpi^\epsilon X_{H',n}^+)$  is  $\varpi^{c_3}$ -torsion for  $n(C') \leq m \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* With out loss of generality let us take  $\epsilon = 0$ , the argument for arbitrary  $\epsilon$  is the same. For  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \{\pm\}$  set  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ . We define

$$X_{H',n}^\alpha := \left( \prod_{\alpha_i=+} R_{H',n}^i \right) \left( \prod_{\alpha_j=-} (1 - R_{H',n}^j) \right) A^{H'}.$$

Let  $(+) = (+, \dots, +)$ , by (CST1\*) (4) we have  $A_{H',n} = X_{H',n}^{(+)}$ , and  $X_{H',n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \neq (+)} X_{H',n}^\alpha$  as  $C_{H'}$ -modules. Notice that if  $\alpha_i = -$ , then  $X_{H',n}^\alpha \subset X_{H',n}^i$  and the restriction of  $\gamma_i^{(m)} - 1$  to  $X_{H',n}^\alpha$  is still an isomorphism. Without loss of generality we can take  $\alpha_1 = -$ . Define the following maps for  $0 \leq i \leq d-1$

$$h^i : \bigwedge^i (X_{H',n}^\alpha)^{\oplus d} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{i+1} (X_{H',n}^\alpha)^{\oplus d}$$

$$(x_{\iota_1, \dots, \iota_i})_{\iota_1 < \dots < \iota_i} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \iota_1 = 1 \\ ((\gamma_1 - 1)^{-1} x_{1, \iota_1, \dots, \iota_i})_{\iota_1 < \dots < \iota_i} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The group cohomology  $R\Gamma(\langle \gamma^{(m)} \rangle, X_{H',n}^\alpha)$  is represented by the Koszul complex  $\text{Kos}(\gamma^{(m)}, X_{H',n}^\alpha)$ . A direct computation shows that the map  $h^\bullet$  is a chain homotopy between the identity and 0 on  $\text{Kos}(\gamma^{(m)}, X_{H',n}^\alpha)$ , in particular  $R\Gamma(\langle \gamma^{(m)} \rangle, X_{H',n}^\alpha) = 0$ . To see that  $R\Gamma(\langle \gamma^{(m)} \rangle, X_{H',n}^{\alpha,+})$  is  $\varpi^{c_3}$  torsion, notice that the homotopy  $h^\bullet$  is bounded by  $|\varpi^{-c_3}|$  thanks to (CST2\*). This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Definition 3.1.6.** A Sen theory (in characteristic 0) is a triple  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  as above satisfying (CST0)-(CST2). If in addition we can take  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  arbitrarily small as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  satisfies (CST1\*) (resp. (CST1\*) and (CST2\*)) we say that  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  is a decomposable Sen theory (resp. a strongly decomposable Sen theory).

**Example 3.1.7.** The most important example for this paper is given by products of perfectoid torus and discs. Let  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}_p} := \text{Spa}(\mathbb{C}_p \langle T^{\pm 1} \rangle, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \langle T^{\pm 1} \rangle)$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}_p} := \text{Spa}(\mathbb{C}_p \langle S \rangle, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \langle S \rangle)$ , we denote  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{(e,d-e)} := \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^e \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{d-e}$ . Let  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}_p,n}$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}_p,n}$  be the finite (Kummer-)étale covers of the torus and the disc defined by taking a  $p^n$ -th root of  $T$  and  $S$  respectively. Let  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}_p,\infty} = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}_p,n}$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}_p,\infty} = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}_p,n}$  denote the perfectoid torus and perfectoid unit disc. We denote  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}_p,n}^{(e,d-e)} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}_p,n}^e \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}_p,n}^{d-e}$  and  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}_p,\infty}^{(e,d-e)} := \varprojlim_n \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}_p,n}^{(e,d-e)} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}_p,\infty}^e \times \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}_p,\infty}^{d-e}$ .



We set  $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}_p(1)^d$ ,  $A = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}_p, \infty}^{(e, d-e)})$  and let  $\chi : \Pi \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p^d$  be the isomorphism provided by a compatible system of  $p$ -th power roots of unity  $(\zeta_{p^n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_d$ , be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} f_i T_j^{\frac{1}{p^n}} &= \zeta_{p^n}^{\delta_{i,j}} T_j^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \\ f_i S_j^{\frac{1}{p^n}} &= \zeta_{p^n}^{\delta_{i,j}} S_j^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_{i,j} = 1$  if  $i = j$  and 0 otherwise. Then  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  is a strongly decomposable Sen theory. Indeed, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define

$$A_n^i = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}_p \langle T_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, T_i^{\pm \frac{1}{p^n}}, \dots, T_e^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \underline{S}_{\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq e \\ \mathbb{C}_p \langle \underline{T}_{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}, S_{e+1}^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, S_i^{\frac{1}{p^n}}, \dots, S_d^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One has normalized Sen traces  $R_n^i : A \rightarrow A_n^i$  which are given as the unique continuous extension to  $A$  of the normalized traces

$$R_{n,m}^i = \frac{1}{p^{n-m}} \text{Tr}_{A_n^i/A_m^i} : A_n^i \rightarrow A_m^i.$$

Therefore, if  $n > m$  and  $1 \leq i \leq e$  one gets

$$(f_i^{p^m}) \cdot T_i^{\pm \frac{1}{p^n}} - T_i^{\pm \frac{1}{p^m}} = (\zeta_{p^{n-m}}^{\pm 1} - 1) T_i^{\pm \frac{1}{p^n}},$$

whence  $f_i^{p^m} - 1$  is invertible on  $X_n^i := \ker R_n^i$  and bounded by  $|\zeta_{p^{n-m}} - 1|$ . A similar property holds for the  $S_j$ 's. Now, defining  $A_n = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}_p, n}^{(e, d-e)})$ , and  $R_n = R_n^d \circ \dots \circ R_n^1$ , one immediately verifies (CST1\*) and (CST2\*).

**3.2. Relative locally analytic representations.** We keep the conventions of the triple  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  as in the beginning of the previous section. In the next paragraph we will give an ad-hoc definition of a relative locally analytic representation over a Sen theory. The motivation is provided by Corollary 2.2.6, saying that, a continuous action of a compact  $p$ -adic Lie group  $G$  on a Banach space  $V$  is locally analytic if and only if there is a  $G$ -stable lattice  $V^0 \subset V$  such that  $G$  acts through a finite quotient on  $V^0/p$ .

To adapt the devisages performed in [BC08], we need to consider continuous 1-cocycles of infinite rank  $A$ -modules. In other words, we will want to consider continuous maps from  $\Pi$  to some  $\text{Aut}(V)$ , where  $V$  is an ON Banach  $A$ -module. In order to endow  $\text{Aut}(V)$  with the good topology (i.e. the one which appears naturally when considered as a condensed set) let us show the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.2.1.** *Let  $V = \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A v_i$  and  $W = \widehat{\bigoplus}_J A w_j$  be ON Banach  $A$ -modules, and let  $v_i^\vee : V \rightarrow A$  be the projection onto the  $i$ -th component (resp.  $w_j^\vee : W \rightarrow A$ ). Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_A(V, W) &= \left( \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_J A^+ w_j \otimes v_i^\vee \right) \left[ \frac{1}{p} \right] \\ \psi &\mapsto \sum_I \sum_J \langle w_j^\vee, \psi(v_i) \rangle w_j \otimes v_i^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

We endow the  $A$ -module  $\text{Hom}_A(V, W)$  with the compact open topology, equivalently, with the natural topology of the RHS of the equation (3.1).

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Hom}_A(V, W) &= \text{Hom}_A(\widehat{\bigoplus_I A^+ v_i}, \widehat{\bigoplus_J A^+ w_j})[\frac{1}{p}] \\
&= (\varprojlim_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_A(\bigoplus_I A^+ / p^s v_i, \bigoplus_J A^+ / p^s w_j))[\frac{1}{p}] \\
&= (\varprojlim_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_I \text{Hom}_A(A^+ / p^s v_i, \bigoplus_J A^+ / p^s w_j))[\frac{1}{p}] \\
&= (\varprojlim_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_I \bigoplus_J A^+ / p^s w_j \otimes v_i^\vee)[\frac{1}{p}] \\
&= (\prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus_J A^+ w_j} \otimes v_i^\vee)[\frac{1}{p}].
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 3.2.2.** Let  $V$  be an ON Banach  $A$ -module. We endow the group  $\text{Aut}_A(V)$  with the subspace topology of the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Aut}_A(V) &\rightarrow \text{End}_A(V) \times \text{End}_A(V) \\
\psi &\mapsto (\psi, \psi^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

One immediately verifies the following proposition

**Proposition 3.2.3.** *The group  $\text{Aut}_A(V)$  is a topological group, i.e. the multiplication and the inverse maps are continuous. The inclusion  $\text{Aut}_A(V) \rightarrow \text{End}_A(V) \times \text{End}_A(V)$  is closed and equal to the inverse image of  $(\text{id}_V, \text{id}_V)$  of the multiplication map*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad \text{End}_A(V) \times \text{End}_A(V) &\rightarrow \text{End}_A(V) \times \text{End}_A(V) \\
(\psi, \psi') &\mapsto (\psi \circ \psi', \psi' \circ \psi).
\end{aligned}$$

*In particular, a sequence  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\text{Aut}_A(V)$  converges to an element  $\psi \in \text{Aut}_A(V)$  if and only if  $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$  and  $\psi_n^{-1} \rightarrow \psi^{-1}$  in  $\text{End}_A(V)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to show that the composition map

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{End}_A(V) \times \text{End}_A(V) &\rightarrow \text{End}_A(V) \\
(\psi, \psi') &\mapsto \psi \circ \psi'
\end{aligned}$$

is continuous for the compact open topology of  $\text{End}_A(V)$ , e.g. by computing the matrix composition in a presentation  $\text{End}_A(V) \cong (\prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus_I A^+})[\frac{1}{p}]$ , or by knowing that this map extends to a map of condensed sets. Thus, it is enough to show that  $\text{Aut}_A(V)$  is identified with the pre-image of  $(\text{id}_V, \text{id}_V)$  via the map (3.2), which is clear by definition. □

The following lemma will be used to construct invertible elements in  $\text{Aut}_A(V)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.4.** *Let  $M \in \text{End}_A(V)$  be an endomorphism whose norm operator satisfies  $\|M\| \leq |\varpi^\epsilon|$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  and some lattice  $V^0 \subset V$ . Then  $1 - M \in \text{Aut}_A(V)$  and its inverse is given by the convergent series  $(1 - M)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M^n$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $V = \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A$  so that  $\text{End}_A(V) \cong (\prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A^+)[\frac{1}{p}]$ . By Proposition 3.2.3, it is enough to show that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M^n$  converges in  $\text{End}_A(V)$ , and that the sequence  $((1 - M) \sum_{n=0}^m M^n)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\text{id}_V$ . But by hypothesis  $M' = \frac{1}{\varpi^\epsilon} M$  is an operator of  $V^0$ , thus  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varpi^{\epsilon n} M'^n$  converges as  $\text{End}_{A^+}(V^0) \cong \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A^+$  is  $p$ -adically complete, and both  $\varpi^\epsilon$  and  $p$  are topologically nilpotent units of  $A$ . One shows in a similar way that the sequence  $((1 - M) \sum_{n=0}^m M^n)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\text{id}_V$  finishing the proof.  $\square$

Given an index set  $I$  let us denote  $\text{GL}_I(A)$  the topological group  $\text{Aut}_A(\widehat{\bigoplus}_I A) \subset (\prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A^+)[\frac{1}{p}]$ . It has a natural action of  $\Pi$  on the coefficients. Whence, ON Banach  $A$ -semilinear representations of “rank  $I$ ” are equivalent to (continuous) 1-cocycles of  $\Pi$  on  $\text{GL}_I(A)$ . We denote by  $e_i$  the standard basis of  $\widehat{\bigoplus}_I A$ .

**Definition 3.2.5.** An ON Banach  $A$ -semilinear representation  $\rho : \Pi \times V \rightarrow V$  is said relative locally analytic if there exists a basis  $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$  generating a lattice  $V^0$  such that:

- There is  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  an open subgroup stabilizing  $V^0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the action of  $\Pi'$  on  $\{v_i \bmod \varpi^\epsilon\}_{i \in I}$  is trivial.

We say that  $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a relative locally analytic basis of  $V$ .

The previous definition can be rewritten in terms of 1-cocycles.

**Definition 3.2.6.** Let  $V$  be an ON Banach  $A$ -module and  $\rho$  an  $A$ -semilinear action of  $\Pi$  on  $V$ . Let  $\mathbf{v} = \{v_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an ON basis of  $V$ , let  $\Upsilon : \widehat{\bigoplus}_{i \in I} A \rightarrow V$  denote the  $A$ -linear isomorphism provided by the basis  $\mathbf{v}$ , and let  $\sigma_{\mathbf{v}}$  be the  $A$ -semilinear action of  $\Pi$  fixing  $\mathbf{v}$ . We define the 1-cocycle of  $\rho$  attached to  $(V, \mathbf{v})$  to be the continuous map  $U : \Pi \rightarrow \text{GL}_I(A)$  given by

$$g \mapsto \Upsilon^{-1} \circ \rho(g) \circ \sigma_{\mathbf{v}}(g)^{-1} \circ \Upsilon.$$

An ON basis  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  is relative locally analytic if and only if there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  an open subgroup such that the 1-cocycle  $U|_{\Pi'}$  has values in  $\text{GL}_I(A^+)$  and is trivial modulo  $\varpi^\epsilon$ . We say that  $U$  is a locally analytic 1-cocycle.

The following lemma says that composing with matrices in  $\text{Aut}_A(V)$  that are close enough to 1 preserves relative locally analytic basis.

**Lemma 3.2.7.** *Let  $V$  be an ON locally analytic representation of  $\Pi$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \{v_i\}_{i \in I}$  a relative locally analytic basis, let  $V^0$  be the lattice spanned by  $\{v_i\}$ . Let  $\psi \in \text{End}_A(V)$  be an operator such that  $\|1 - \psi\| \leq |\varpi^\epsilon|$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $\psi(\mathbf{v}) = \{\psi(v_i)\}_{i \in I}$  is a relative locally analytic basis of  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  be an open subgroup stabilizing  $V^0$ , and let  $\epsilon' > 0$  such that the action of  $\Pi'$  on  $\{v_i \bmod \varpi^{\epsilon'}\}_{i \in I}$  is trivial. Let  $\epsilon'' = \min\{\epsilon, \epsilon'\}$ , then  $\psi(v_i) \equiv v_i \bmod \varpi^{\epsilon''}$  and  $\Pi'$  acts on  $\{\psi(v_i) \bmod \varpi^{\epsilon''}\}_{i \in I}$  trivially. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

- Example 3.2.8.** (1) Let  $\Pi = G$  be a compact  $p$ -adic Lie group and  $W$  be a Banach locally analytic representation over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then, by Corollary 2.2.6,  $W \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$  is a relative locally analytic representation of  $\Pi$ .
- (2) Slightly more generally, suppose that  $\Pi$  admits by quotient  $\Pi \rightarrow G$  a compact  $p$ -adic Lie group. Let  $W$  be a Banach locally analytic representation of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then  $W \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$  is a relative locally analytic representation of  $\Pi$ . This is the situation we will face in the application to rigid spaces.

Let us finish this section with an ad hoc generalization of relative locally analytic representations to  $LF$  spaces.

- Definition 3.2.9.** (1) A squarable Fréchet  $A$ -module is a topological  $A$ -module  $F$  admitting a presentation  $F = \varprojlim V_n$  with  $V_n$  ON Banach  $A$ -modules and dense transition maps. A squarable  $LF$   $A$ -module is a countable filtered colimit of squarable Fréchet  $A$ -modules by injective transition maps.
- (2) A relative pro-locally analytic Fréchet  $A$ -semilinear representation of  $\Pi$  is a continuous  $A$ -semilinear representation of  $\Pi$  on a squarable Fréchet  $A$ -module  $F$ , which can be written as  $F = \varprojlim V_n$  with  $V_n$  relative locally analytic  $A$ -Banach representations of  $\Pi$ . We say that  $F$  is locally analytic if the  $\epsilon$  of Definition 3.2.5 can be chosen uniform for all the  $V_n$ 's. A relative locally analytic  $LF$  representation of  $\Pi$  is a countable filtered colimit of relative locally analytic Fréchet representations.

**3.3. The Sen functor.** Let  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  be a Sen theory. Our next goal is to define the Sen functor, which is nothing but a derived functor of locally analytic vectors, and to show that the Sen functor has a very good behaviour for relative locally analytic ON Banach representations of  $\Pi$ . The strategy is to generalize the devissage of [BC08] from finite rank  $A$  modules to ON Banach  $A$ -modules.

- Definition 3.3.1** (The Sen functor). (1) Let  $F$  be a relative locally analytic  $LF$  representation of  $\Pi$ , and  $H' \subset H$  an open subgroup. We define the Sen module of  $F$  to be

$$S_{H'}(F) := (F^{H'})^{\Gamma_{H'}-la}.$$

- (2) Let  $C^\bullet$  be a complex of relative locally analytic  $LF$  representations of  $\Pi$ , and  $H' \subset H$  an open subgroup. We define the derived Sen module of  $C^\bullet$  to be

$$RS_{H'}(C^\bullet) := R\Gamma(H', C^\bullet)^{R\Gamma_{H'}-la}.$$

*Remark 3.3.2.* The Sen functor in [BC08] is denoted as  $D_{H'}$ , since we are already using  $D$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  for derived categories and distributions we prefer to use the letter  $S$  for the Sen functor.

Let us state the main theorem of this section, cf. Proposition 3.3.1 of [BC08].

**Theorem 3.3.3.** *Let  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  be a Sen theory. Let  $V$  be a relative locally analytic ON Banach representation of  $\Pi$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \{v_i\}_{i \in I}$  a relative locally analytic basis. Let  $U : \Pi \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_I(A)$  be the locally analytic 1-cocycle induced by  $\mathbf{v}$  (see Definition*

3.2.6). Let  $s > 2c_2 + 2c_3$  and let  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  be an open normal subgroup such that  $U|_{\Pi'} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^s}$ . Let  $H' = \Pi' \cap H$  and  $n \geq n(H')$ . The following holds

- (1)  $V$  contains a unique ON Banach  $A_{H',n}$ -submodule  $S_{H',n}(V)$ , and there is a basis  $\mathbf{v}' = \{v'_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $S_{H',n}(V)$  such that:
  - (a) The  $A_{H',n}$ -module  $S_{H',n}(V)$  is fixed by  $H'$  and stable by  $\Pi$ . Moreover,  $S_{H',n}(V)$  is a locally analytic representation of  $\Gamma_{H'} = \Pi/H'$ .
  - (b) We have  $A \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',n}} S_{H',n}(V) = V$  as an  $A$ -semilinear representation of  $\Pi$ . The matrix  $M$  of base change from  $\mathbf{v}$  to  $\mathbf{v}'$  is trivial modulo  $\varpi^{c_3+c_2}$ .
  - (c) Let  $U'$  denote the 1-cocycle with respect to the basis  $\mathbf{v}'$ . For  $\gamma \in \Pi'/H'$ , the matrix  $U'_\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n})$  is trivial modulo  $\varpi^{c_3+c_2}$ .
- (2) Suppose in addition that  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  is strongly decomposable. Let  $F$  be a relative locally analytic LF representation of  $\Pi$ . Then

$$RS_{H'}(F) = S_{H'}(F) = \varinjlim_n S_{H',n}(F),$$

in other words, the derived Sen functor is concentrated in degree 0.

From now on we suppose that  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  is a Sen theory, i.e., that it satisfies the axioms (CST0)-(CST2) of Definition 3.1.2. In order to prove Theorem 3.3.3 we need a series of technical lemmas. We first start with a devissage which is nothing but almost étale descent.

**Lemma 3.3.4.** *Let  $H' \subset H$  be an open subgroup and  $V$  a relative locally analytic ON  $A$ -Banach representation of  $\Pi$ . Let  $\mathbf{v} = \{v_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a relative locally analytic basis generating a lattice  $V^0$ . Let  $r > 0$  and  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  an open subgroup with  $H' = \Pi' \cap H$ , suppose that  $\Pi'$  acts trivially on  $\mathbf{v}$  modulo  $\varpi^r$ . The following hold.*

- (1) Let  $0 < a < r$ , there is a basis  $\{v'_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $V^{H'}$  contained in  $V^{0,H'}$  such that  $v_i \equiv v'_i \pmod{\varpi^{r-a}}$  and  $V^{0,H'}/\varpi^{r-a} \stackrel{ae}{=} \bigoplus_{i \in I} (A^{+,H'}/\varpi^{r-a})v'_i$  as  $\Pi'/H'$ -module.
- (2) For all  $s \geq 0$  we have  $\mathrm{RHom}_{H'}(1, V^0/\varpi^s) \stackrel{ae}{=} (V^0/\varpi^s)^{H'} \stackrel{ae}{=} V^{0,H'}/\varpi^s$ . Taking derived inverse limits we have  $\mathrm{RHom}_{H'}(1, V^0) \stackrel{ae}{=} V^{0,H'}$ .

*Proof.* First, we claim that  $\mathrm{RHom}_{H'}(1, V^0/\varpi^s) \stackrel{ae}{=} (V^0/\varpi^s)^{H'}$  for all  $s > 0$ . By taking short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow V^0/\varpi^m \xrightarrow{\times \varpi^r} V^0/\varpi^{r(n+1)} \rightarrow V^0/\varpi^r \rightarrow 0$$

it is enough to take  $s = r$ . By hypothesis, we have an isomorphism of semilinear  $H'$ -representations provided by the basis  $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$

$$V^0/\varpi^r \cong \bigoplus_I A^+/\varpi^r.$$

Then, it suffices to show that  $\mathrm{RHom}_{H'}(1, A^+/\varpi^r) \stackrel{ae}{=} A^{+,H'}/\varpi^r$ . By hypothesis we can write  $A^+/\varpi^r = \varinjlim_{H'' \subset H'} A^{+,H''}/\varpi^r$  where  $H''$  runs over all the open normal subgroups of  $H'$ . Then

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{H'}(1, A^+/\varpi^r) = \varinjlim_{H'' \subset H'} \mathrm{RHom}_{H'/H''}(1, A^{+,H''}/\varpi^r).$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , by (CTS0) there exists  $\alpha \in A^{+,H''}$  such that  $\text{Tr}_{H''}^{H''}(\alpha) = \varpi^\epsilon$ . Let  $A^{+,H''}[H'/H'']$  be the semilinear group ring of  $H'/H''$ , and  $\varepsilon : A^{+,H''}[H'/H''] \rightarrow A^{+,H''}$  the augmentation map, which is a morphism of left  $A^{+,H''}[H'/H'']$ -modules. Then  $\varpi^\epsilon \varepsilon$  admits a section  $h_\epsilon : A^{+,H''} \rightarrow A^{+,H''}[H'/H'']$  given by  $x \mapsto \sum_{g \in H'/H''} xg(\alpha)$ . This proves that  $A^{+,H''}$  is almost  $A^{+,H''}[H''/H']$ -projective, which implies the claim. Taking derived inverse limits we see that  $\text{RHom}_{H'}(1, V^0)$  is almost concentrated in degree 0. Finally, taking cohomology of the short exact sequences for  $s > 0$

$$0 \rightarrow V^0 \xrightarrow{\varpi^s} V^0 \rightarrow V^0/\varpi^s \rightarrow 0$$

one gets (2).

To prove (1), let  $0 < a < r$  and let  $\varpi^a v'_i \in V^{0,H'}$  be a lift of  $(\varpi^a v_i \bmod \varpi^r) \in V^{0,H'}/\varpi^r$ . Then  $v'_i \in V^{0,H'}$  and  $\mathbf{v}' = \{v'_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an ON basis of  $V^{H'}$  such that  $v'_i \equiv v_i \bmod \varpi^{r-a}$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.5** ([BC08, Lèmmes 3.2.3]). *Let  $\delta, a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $a \geq c_2 + c_3 + \delta$  and  $b \geq \sup\{a + c_2, 2c_2 + 2c_3 + \delta\}$ . Let  $H' \subset H$  be an open subgroup,  $n \geq n(H)$ , and  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d)$  a sequence of linearly independent elements in  $C_{H'}$ , let  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  be the subgroup generated by the  $\gamma_i$ 's. Let  $(U_1, \dots, U_d)$  be a 1-cocycle of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  in  $\text{GL}_I(A^{+,H'})$  satisfying*

- i.  $U_i = 1 + U_{i,1} + U_{i,2}$  where  $U_{i,1} \in \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A_{H',n}^+$  and  $U_{i,2} \in \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A^{+,H'}$ .
- ii.  $U_{i,1} \equiv 0 \bmod \varpi^a$  and  $U_{i,2} \equiv 0 \bmod \varpi^b$ .

Then there exists  $M \in \text{GL}_I(A^{+,H'})$  with  $M \equiv 1 \bmod \varpi^{b-c_2-c_3}$  such that

- i.  $M^{-1} U_i \gamma_i(M) = 1 + V_{i,1} + V_{i,2}$  with  $V_{i,1} \in \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A_{H',n}^+$  and  $V_{i,2} \in \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A^{+,H'}$ .
- ii. We have  $V_{i,1} \equiv 0 \bmod \varpi^a$  and  $V_{i,2} \equiv 0 \bmod \varpi^{b+\delta}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R_{H',n} : A^{H'} \rightarrow A_{H',n}$  be the projection map and  $X_{H',n}$  its kernel. Since we have the decomposition  $A^{H'} = A_{H',n} \oplus X_{H',n}$ , the following space decomposes via  $R_{H',n}$ :

$$\left(\prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A^+\right)\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] = \left(\prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A^{H',+}\right)\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] \oplus \left(\prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I X_{H',n}^+\right)\left[\frac{1}{p}\right].$$

Then, using the bound of (CST1), we can write  $U_{i,2} = R_{H',n}(U_{i,2}) + W_i$  with  $W_i \in \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I X_{H',n}^+$  and  $W_i \equiv 0 \bmod \varpi^{b-c_2}$ . The cocycle condition of  $(U_j)_{j=1}^d$  is equivalent to the equality

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= U_j \gamma_j(U_i) - U_i \gamma_i(U_j) \\ &= U_{j,1} + U_{j,2} + \gamma_j(U_{i,1}) + \gamma_j(U_{i,2}) - U_{i,1} - U_{i,2} - \gamma_i(U_{j,1}) - \gamma_i(U_{j,2}) + Q_1 + Q_2 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ , with  $Q_1 \in \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A_{H',n}^+\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$ , and  $Q_2 \in \varpi^{a+b} \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I A^{+,H'}$ . Applying  $1 - R_{H',n}$  we find

$$0 = W_j + \gamma_j(W_i) - W_i - \gamma_i(W_j) + (1 - R_{H',n})(Q_2)$$

where  $(1 - R_{H',n})(Q_2) \equiv 0 \bmod \varpi^{a+b-c_2}$ . Therefore,  $(W_i)_{i=1}^d$  defines a 1-cocycle of  $\prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I (X_{H',n}^+/\varpi^{a+b-c_2})$ . By (CST2), there exists a 1-cocycle  $(W'_j)_{j=1}^d$  in  $\prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus}_I X_{H',n}^+$

such that  $(W'_j)_{j=1}^d \equiv (W_j)_{j=1}^d \pmod{\varpi^{a+b-c_2-c_3}}$ . In particular,  $(W'_j)_{j=1}^d \equiv (W_j)_{j=1}^d \equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi^{b-c_2}}$ . Again by (CST2), there exists  $M_0 \in \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus} X_{H',n}^+$  such that  $W'_j = M_0 - \gamma_j M_0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, d$  and  $M_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi^{b-c_2-c_3}}$ . Taking  $M = 1 + M_0$  we get the lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.6.** *Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $b \geq 2c_2 + 2c_3 + \delta$ . Let  $H' \subset H$  be an open subgroup and  $U_1, \dots, U_d \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A^{+,H'})$  a 1-cocycle verifying  $U_j \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^b}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, d$ . Then there exists  $M \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A^{+,H'})$  with  $M \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{b-c_3-c_2}}$  such that*

$$M^{-1}U_j\gamma_j(M) \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n}^+) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, d.$$

*Proof.* By the previous lemma there exists  $M^{(1)} \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A^{+,H'})$  with  $M^{(1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{b-c_2-c_3}}$  such that

$$U_i^{(1)} := M^{(1),-1}U_i\gamma_i(M^{(1)}) \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n}^+) \pmod{\varpi^{b+\delta}}.$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ , by induction we can find matrices  $M^{(k)} \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A^{+,H'})$  with  $M^{(k)} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{b+\delta(k-1)-c_2-c_3}}$  with

$$U_i^{(k)} := M^{(k),-1}U_i^{(k-1)}\gamma_i(M^{(k)}) \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n}^+) \pmod{\varpi^{b+\delta k}}.$$

Taking  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $M := M^{(1)}M^{(2)} \dots$  one sees that the 1-cocycle  $(U'_i)_{i=1}^d := (M^{-1}U_i\gamma_i(M))$  takes values in  $\mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n}^+)$ , and that  $(U'_i)_{i=1}^d \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{b-c_2-c_3}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.7** ([BC08, Lèmmes 3.2.5]). *Let  $H' \subset H$  be an open subgroup,  $n \geq n(H')$ ,  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d)$  a sequence of linearly independent elements of  $C_{H'}$ , and  $B \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A^{H'})$ . Suppose that we are given with  $V_{1,j}, V_{2,j} \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n}^+)$  with  $V_{1,j} \equiv V_{2,j} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{c_3+\epsilon}}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , and that  $\gamma_j(B) = V_{j,1}BV_{j,2}$ . Then  $B \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n})$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $C = B - R_{H',n}(B)$ , then  $\gamma_j(C) = V_{j,1}CV_{j,2}$ . We have

$$\gamma_j(C) - C = (V_{j,1} - 1)CV_{j,2} + V_{j,1}C(V_{j,2} - 1) - (V_{j,1} - 1)C(V_{j,2} - 1).$$

Then  $C \in \varpi^r \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus} A^{+,H'}$  implies  $\gamma_i(C) - C \in \varpi^{r+c_3+\epsilon} \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus} A^{+,H'}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . On the other hand, (CST2) provides an isomorphism  $\iota$  between  $X_{H',n}$  and the 1-cocycles  $Z^1(X_{H',n}) \subset X_{H',n}^d$  such that  $\iota^{-1}(Z^1(\varpi^{r+c_3+\epsilon} X_{H',n}^+)) \subset \varpi^{r+\epsilon} X_{H',n}^+$ .

Therefore,  $\gamma_j(C) - C \in \varpi^{r+c_3+\epsilon} \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus} A^{+,H'}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, d$  implies  $C \in \varpi^{r+\epsilon} \prod_I \widehat{\bigoplus} A^{+,H'}$ . On deduces that  $C = 0$  and that  $B = R_{H',n}(B) \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n}^+)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.3 (1).* Let  $U : \Pi \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_I(A^+)$  be the 1-cocycle defined by the basis  $\{v_i\}_I$ . By hypothesis  $U|_{\Pi'} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^s}$  with  $s > 2c_2 + 2c_3$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $s' := s - \epsilon > 2c_2 + 2c_3$ . By Lemma 3.3.4 we have  $R\Gamma(H', V) = V^{H'}$ , and there exists a matrix  $M' \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A^+)$  with  $M' \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{s'}}$  such that the cocycle  $U'_g := M'^{-1}U_g g(M')$  is trivial over  $H'$ .

Then,  $U'$  is a 1-cocycle over  $\mathrm{GL}_I(A^{+,H'})$  satisfying  $U'|_{\Pi'} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{s'}}$ . Let  $n(H') \leq m \leq n$  and  $\gamma = (\gamma_1^{(m)}, \dots, \gamma_d^{(m)})$  be a pre-image of  $(p^m e_i)_{i=1}^d$  via  $\chi : C_{\Pi'} \rightarrow$

$\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $s' \geq 2c_2 + 2c_3 + \delta$ , by Corollary 3.3.6 there exists  $M' \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A^{+,H'})$  with  $M'' \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{s'-c_3-c_2}}$  such that

$$M''^{-1}U'_{\gamma_j}\gamma_j(M'') \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n}^+) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Define  $U''_g := M''^{-1}U'_g(M'')$ , and let us show that  $U''$  is a 1-cocycle of  $\Pi$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n}^+)$ . Let  $g \in \Pi/H'$ , as  $\gamma_j \in C_{H'}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, d$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} U''_{g\gamma_j} &= U''_{\gamma_j g} \\ U''_g g(U''_{\gamma_j}) &= U''_{\gamma_j} \gamma_j(U''_g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\gamma_j(U''_g) = U''_{\gamma_j}^{-1}U''_g g(U''_{\gamma_j})$ . But  $U''_{\gamma_j}^{-1}, g(U''_{\gamma_j}) \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n}^+)$  are congruent to 1 modulo  $\varpi^{s'-c_3-c_2}$ , and  $s' - c_3 - c_2 > c_3 + c_2$ . By lemma 3.3.7 we have  $U''_g \in \mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n}^+)$  proving that  $U''$  is a 1-cocycle in  $\mathrm{GL}_I(A_{H',n}^+)$  whose restriction to  $\Pi'$  is congruent to 1 modulo  $\varpi^{c_3+c_2}$ . Setting  $M := M'M''$  and  $\psi : V \rightarrow V$  the associated isomorphism of  $A$ -modules, let  $\{v'_i\} := \psi(\{v_i\})$ ,  $S_{H',n}(V)$  be the ON  $A_{H',n}$ -module spanned by  $\{v'_i\}_I$  and  $S_{H',n}(V^0)$  the lattice generated. Then  $S_{H',n}(V) \subset V$  is stable by  $\Pi$  and the action factors through  $\Gamma_{H'} = \Pi/H'$ . Furthermore, by construction we have an isomorphism of semilinear  $A$ -representations of  $\Pi$

$$A \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',n}} S_{H',n}(V) = V.$$

It is left to show that  $S_{H',n}(V)$  is a locally analytic representation of  $\Gamma_{H'}$ . But this follows from Corollary 2.2.6, the fact that the elements  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$  act trivially on the basis  $\{v'_i\}_I \pmod{\varpi^{c_3+c_2}}$ , and that the action on the Banach algebra  $A_{H',n}$  is already locally analytic.  $\square$

We still need an additional technical lemma for proving part (2). Roughly speaking, it says that the Koszul complexes of the spaces  $X_{H',n}$  for the action of  $C_{H'}$  kill the locally analytic representations. In the rest of the section we suppose that  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  is a strongly decomposable Sen theory.

**Lemma 3.3.8.** *Let  $C' \subset C_{H'}$  be torsion free and  $n \geq n(C')$ . Let  $n(C) \leq m \leq k \leq n$  and  $\gamma = (\gamma_i^{(k)})_{i=1}^d$  the inverse image of  $(p^k e_i)_{i=1}^d$  via  $\chi : C' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ . Suppose that  $\|\gamma_i^{(k)} - 1\|_{A_{H',m}} < |\varpi^{c_3}|$  for all  $i$ . Let  $V$  be a locally analytic ON  $A_{H',m}$ -Banach representation of  $\Gamma_{H'}$  such that  $\|\gamma_i^{(k)} - 1\|_V < |\varpi^{c_3}|$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\langle \gamma \rangle, X_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} V) = 0.$$

*Proof.* For simplicity let us write  $A_m = A_{H',m}$ . Given  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \{\pm\}^d$  consider the decomposition of Lemma 3.1.5

$$A^{H'} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \{\pm\}^d} X_{H',n}^\alpha$$

so that

$$A_n = X_{H',n}^{(+)} \text{ and } X_{H',n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \neq (+)} X_{H',n}^\alpha.$$



Then, it suffices to show that for all  $\alpha \neq (+)$  one has

$$R\Gamma(\langle \gamma \rangle, X_{H',n}^\alpha \widehat{\otimes}_{A_m} V) = 0.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\alpha_1 = -$ , and let us write  $X = X_{H',n}^\alpha$ . Set  $\gamma = \gamma_1^{(k)}$ . By the proof of Lemma 3.1.5 it is enough to show that  $\gamma - 1$  is invertible as an operator of the tensor product.

First, let us assume that  $V$  is isomorphic to  $V = A_m \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W$  with  $W$  a locally analytic Banach  $\Gamma_{H'}$ -representation over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for which  $\|\gamma - 1\|_{A_m \widehat{\otimes} V} < |\varpi^{c^3}|$ . Then, we have an explicit inverse over  $X \widehat{\otimes}_{A_m} V = X \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W$ :

$$(3.3) \quad (\gamma - 1)^{-1} = - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{-1} (\gamma^{-1} - 1)^{-(i+1)} \otimes (\gamma - 1)^i$$

which converges by the hypothesis on the bounds of  $\gamma - 1$ .

Write  $G = \Gamma_{H'}$ , and let us use the notation of §2.1.1 for the analytic group neighbourhoods of  $G$  and their distribution algebras. Then  $A_m$  is a  $G_{(h)}$ -analytic representation for some  $h > 0$ . Furthermore, by the bound of  $\|\gamma - 1\|$  on  $A_m$ , we can assume that  $\|\gamma - 1\|_{C(G_{(h)}, A_m)_{\star_1}} < |\varpi^{c^3}|$ .

For a general  $G_{(h)}$ -analytic representation  $V$  we have a closed embedding provided by the orbit map

$$o_V : V \rightarrow C(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_2} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_0$$

where  $V_0$  has the trivial action of  $G$ . The image of  $o_V$  is identified with the  $\star_{1,3}$ -invariants. Write  $V = A_m \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W$  as the extension of scalars of a Banach space over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Rewriting  $C(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_2} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_0 = C(G_{(h)}, A_m)_{\star_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W$ , and composing with the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} C(G_{(h)}, A_m)_{\star_2} &\cong C(G_{(h)}, A_m)_{\star_{1,3}} \\ f &\mapsto (g \mapsto gf(g^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

the orbit  $o_V$  identifies  $V$  with a closed  $A_m$ -module of

$$(3.4) \quad C(G_{(h)}, A_m)_{\star_{1,3}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W_0 = C(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_\sigma,$$

where  $V_\sigma$  is the semilinear  $G$ -representation provided by the extension of scalars  $V = A_m \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W$ . The evaluation at 1 gives a retraction of (3.4) onto  $V$ , this implies that the quotient

$$Q := (C(G_{(h)}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_\sigma) / o_V(V)$$

is an ON  $A_m$ -Banach representation of  $G$  which is  $G_{(h)}$ -analytic with the same bounds for  $\gamma - 1$  that  $V$ . Repeating this procedure we find a resolution of  $V$

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow A_m \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W^1 \rightarrow A_m \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W^2 \rightarrow \dots =: A_m \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W^\bullet$$

by representations of the form  $A_m \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W^\ell$ , with  $W^\ell$  a locally analytic representation of  $G$  for which  $\|\gamma - 1\|_{A_m \widehat{\otimes} W^\ell} < |\varpi^{c^3}|$  for all  $\ell \geq 1$ . This implies that

$$R\Gamma(\langle \gamma \rangle, X \widehat{\otimes}_{A_m} V) \simeq R\Gamma(\langle \gamma \rangle, X \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W^\bullet) = 0$$

where in the last equality we use the first case considered above. This finishes the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.3 (2).* Write  $G = \Gamma_{H'}$ , and consider the notations of distribution algebras of §2.1.1. First, let  $V$  be an ON relative locally analytic representation of  $\Pi$  over  $A$ . By part (1) we already know that  $V^{H'} = R\Gamma(H', V)$ , and that  $V^{H'} = A^{H'} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V)$  for some  $m \gg 0$  depending only on the analyticity condition of  $V$ . Thus, we can write

$$V^{H'} = \varinjlim_n X_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V) \oplus \varinjlim_n A_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V).$$

As  $S_{H',m}(V)$  and  $A_{H',n}$  are locally analytic representations of  $G$ , Theorem 2.2.3 implies that

$$\left( \varinjlim_n A_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V) \right)^{RG-la} = \varinjlim_n A_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V).$$

Even more, we know that for a fixed  $n$  there is  $h > 0$  only depending on  $V$  such that

$$(A_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V))^{RG(h)-an} = A_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V).$$

We want to show that

$$\left( \varinjlim_n X_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V) \right)^{RG-la} = 0.$$

Indeed, it is enough to show that for any  $h > 0$  there exists  $n \geq 0$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad R\Gamma(\langle \gamma \rangle, X_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C(G^{(h)}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1}) = 0$$

with the notations as in Lemma 3.3. But this follows by *loc. cit.* and the fact that  $c_3$  can be chosen arbitrarily small provided  $n \gg 0$ .

We have proven that if  $V$  is a relative locally analytic ON  $A$ -Banach representation of  $\Pi$  then

$$RS_{H'}(V) = S_{H'}(V) = \text{colim}_n S_{H',n}(V).$$

Let  $F = \varprojlim_k V_k$  be a squarable relative locally analytic Fréchet representation of  $\Pi$ . Then the  $V_n$  are ON Banach relative locally analytic representations of  $\Pi$  with an uniform  $\epsilon$  as in Definition 3.2.9, and the transition maps  $V_{k+1} \rightarrow V_k$  are dense. Using part (1) for the  $V_k$ 's, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that we can write

$$F = \varinjlim_n \left( \varprojlim_k X_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V_k) \oplus \varprojlim_k A_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V_k) \right).$$

Using Theorem 2.2.3 and (3.5) one obtains that

$$(3.6) \quad RS_{H'}(F) = S_{H'}(F) = \varinjlim_n \varprojlim_k A_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} S_{H',m}(V_k).$$

Furthermore, by construction of the Sen functors  $S_{H',n}(-)$ , the transition maps of the projective limits of (3.6) are dense for all  $n$  and  $k$  big enough.

Finally, the case of a locally analytic  $LF$  representation follows formally from the Fréchet case since  $RS_{H'}(-)$  commutes with filtered colimits.  $\square$

**3.4. Group cohomology via Sen theory.** Throughout this section  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  will denote a strongly decomposable Sen theory. We finish this section with some formal consequences of Theorems 2.2.3 and 3.3.3 regarding the group cohomology of relative locally analytic representations of  $\Pi$ .

**Corollary 3.4.1.** *Let  $F$  be a relative locally analytic LF  $A$ -representation of  $\Pi$ . Let  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  be an open subgroup and  $H' = \Pi' \cap H$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}_{H'} := \text{Lie } \Pi/H'$  Then*

$$R\Gamma(\Pi', F) = (R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, S_{H'}(F)))^{\Pi'/H'}.$$

*Proof.* By Hochschild-Serre, almost purity (Lemma 3.3.4) and Theorems 2.2.14 and 2.2.16 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(\Pi', F) &= R\Gamma(\Pi'/H', F^{H'}) \\ &= R\Gamma(\Pi'/H', S_{H'}(F)) \\ &= R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, S_{H'}(F))^{\Pi'/H'}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We will consider a last hypothesis which holds in the Hodge-Tate situation, i.e., for the Sen theory of the sheaf  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ , where  $X$  is a log smooth adic space over  $\text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$ . In the arithmetic case over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , this hypothesis is nothing but the Ax-Sen-Tate theorem.

(AST) Let  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  be an open subgroup and  $H' = \Pi' \cap H$ . A Sen theory  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  satisfies the Ax-Sen-Tate property if the following conditions hold:

- i.  $A_{H',n} = A^{\Pi_{H',n}}$ , where  $\Pi_{H',n}$  is the inverse image of  $p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$  via  $\chi : \Pi' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^d$  for all  $n \gg 0$ .
- ii. The traces  $R_{H',n} : A^{H'} \rightarrow A_{H',n}$  are constructed from normalized traces

$$\begin{aligned} R_{H',n}^m : A_{H',m} &\rightarrow A_{H',n} \\ x &\mapsto \frac{1}{p^{m-n}} \sum_{g \in \Pi_{H',m}/\Pi_{H',n}} g(x). \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 3.4.2.* Notice that this axiom makes the proof of Lemma 3.3.8 slightly easier, as the displayed equation (3.3) can be applied directly to  $X_{H',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',m}} V$  since the action of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  on  $A_{H',m}$  is trivial.

A Sen theory satisfying the Ax-Sen-Tate axiom can be endowed with a Sen operator as follows.

**Definition 3.4.3.** Suppose that  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  satisfies (AST). Let  $F$  be a relative locally analytic LF  $A$ -representation of  $\Pi$ . Let  $H' \subset H$  be an open subgroup. The Sen operator of  $F$  is the  $A$ -linear map

$$\text{Sen}(F) : F \rightarrow F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee$$

given by the  $A$ -extension of scalars of the derivations

$$S_{H'}(F) \rightarrow S_{H'}(F) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee.$$

In the rest of the section we suppose that  $(A, \Pi, \chi)$  satisfies the Ax-Sen-Tate axiom. The following results describe some cohomological properties of  $A$ -semilinear  $\Pi$ -representations in terms of their Sen operators. We fix  $\Pi' \subset \Pi$  an open subgroup and set  $H' = \Pi' \cap H$ .

**Lemma 3.4.4.** *Let  $C^\bullet$  be a complex of relative locally analytic LF  $A$ -representations of  $\Pi$  with  $\Pi$ -equivariant differential maps. Suppose that the Sen map  $C^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{n}_H^\vee$  is homotopically equivalent to 0 as topological  $A[[\Pi]]$ -modules. Then  $H^i(S_{H'}(C^\bullet))$  is a trivial  $\mathfrak{n}_{H'}$ -module for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and*

$$R\Gamma(\Pi', C^\bullet) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^d (S_{H'}(C^\bullet) \otimes \bigwedge^j \mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee)^{\Pi'/H'}[-j].$$

In particular, for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$(3.7) \quad R\Gamma(\Pi', H^j(C^\bullet)) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^d (H^j(S_{H'}(C^\bullet)) \otimes \bigwedge^j \mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee)^{\Pi'/H'}[-j].$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.4.1 we have

$$R\Gamma(\Pi', C^\bullet) = R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, S_{H'}(C^\bullet))^{\Pi'/H'}.$$

But the cohomology  $R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, S_{H'}(C^\bullet))$  is represented by the total complex of the Koszul bicomplex  $\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee, S_{H'}(C^\bullet))^{\bullet, \bullet}$  whose terms are

$$\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee, S_{H'}(C^\bullet))^{k,l} = S_{H'}(C^\bullet)^k \otimes \bigwedge^l \mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee.$$

Then, the homotopy between 0 and the map  $\text{Sen}(C^\bullet) : C^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet \otimes \mathfrak{n}^\vee$  provides a homotopy between 0 and the morphism of the row complexes

$$\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee, C^\bullet)^{\bullet, l} \rightarrow \text{Kos}(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee, C^\bullet)^{\bullet, l+1}$$

which is just a twist of  $\text{Sen}(C^\bullet)$ . Taking total complexes and locally analytic vectors this provides a homotopy between 0 and the identity of the complex  $R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, S_{H'}(C^\bullet))$ . Furthermore, it provides an explicit splitting

$$R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, S_{H'}(C^\bullet)) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^d S_{H'}(C^\bullet) \otimes \bigwedge^j \mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee[-j].$$

This shows in particular that the cohomology groups of  $S_{H'}(C^\bullet)$  have a trivial action of  $\mathfrak{n}_H$ , so that taking  $\Pi'/H'$ -invariants is well defined as derived objects. This finishes the lemma.  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.5.** Let  $C^\bullet$  be a complex as in Lemma 3.4.4. Suppose that the Sen map  $\text{Sen}(C^\bullet) : C^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet \otimes \mathfrak{n}_H^\vee$  is homotopically equivalent to zero. We denote

$$(C^\bullet)^{\Pi'} := (S_{H'}(C^\bullet))^{\Pi'/H'}.$$

Nonetheless, by the previous lemma we have that  $H^i(C^\bullet)^{\Pi'} = H^i(S_{H'}(C^\bullet))^{\Pi'/H'}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Remark 3.4.6.* The previous definition is provisory for this paper. In general, Theorem 3.3.3 should hold in a larger category of “relative locally analytic” solid modules over an analytic ring attached to  $A$ , and its content should be that the Sen functor is exact. Then, Lemma 3.4.4 should generalize to the statement that taking  $\Pi'$ -invariants for relative locally analytic solid  $A$ -modules with trivial Sen operators is exact. In that context,  $\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee, C^\bullet)^{\Pi'}$  would have an honest meaning without passing through the Sen module.

**Proposition 3.4.7.** *Let  $C^\bullet$  be a bounded complex of relative locally analytic LF representations of  $\Pi$ . Then*

$$R\Gamma(\Pi', C^\bullet) = R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, C^\bullet)^{\Pi'}.$$

*Remark 3.4.8.* The cohomology group  $R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, C^\bullet)$  is represented by the total complex of the Koszul bicomplex  $\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee, C^\bullet)$ . The  $\Pi$ -invariants of the total complex are well defined by Lemma 3.4.4.

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.4.1 we have

$$R\Gamma(\Pi', C^\bullet) = R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, S_{H'}(C^\bullet))^{\Pi'/H'}.$$

The complex  $R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, S_{H'}(C^\bullet))$  is represented by  $\text{Kos}(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee, S_{H'}(C^\bullet))^{\bullet\bullet}$ . On the other hand, Theorem 3.3.3 implies that we can write  $S_{H'}(C^\bullet) = \varinjlim_n S_{H',n}(C^\bullet)$  as a colimit of analytic subspaces defined over  $A_{H',n}$ . Let  $A_{H',\infty} := \varinjlim_n A_{H',n}$ , the theorem says in addition that

$$S_{H'}(C^\bullet) \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',\infty}} A := \varinjlim_n S_{H',n}(C^\bullet) \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',n}} A = C^\bullet.$$

Then, one gets that

$$R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, C^\bullet) = R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, S_{H'}(C^\bullet)) \widehat{\otimes}_{A_{H',\infty}} A.$$

It is easy to construct an explicit homotopy between the identity and the Sen operator map

$$R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, C^\bullet) \rightarrow R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, C^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{n}_{H'}^\vee.$$

By Lemma 3.4.4, we know that

$$R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, C^\bullet)^{\Pi'} = R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}_{H'}, S_{H'}(C^\bullet))^{\Pi'/H'},$$

this proves the proposition.  $\square$

#### 4. HODGE-TATE THEORY OVER RIGID SPACES

Let  $(K, K^+)$  be a complete non-archimedean discretely valued extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , let  $C$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of an algebraic closure of  $K$ . Let  $X$  be an fs log smooth adic space over  $(K, K^+)$ , for  $? \in \{\text{an}, \text{ét}, \text{két}, \text{proét}, \text{prokét}\}$  we let  $X_?$  denote the corresponding site over  $X$ . We write  $X_C$  for the  $C$ -extension of scalars of  $X$ . Throughout this section we denote by  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{(+)}$  the completed (bounded) structural sheaf of  $X_{\text{prokét}}$ , we also let  $\mathcal{O}_?^{(+)}$  denote the uncompleted (bounded) structural sheaves over  $X_?$ . We refer to [DLLZ19] for the formalism of the Kummer-étale

site. We let  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{(+)}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^{(+)}$  be the de (log) Rham period sheaves over  $X_{\mathrm{prokét}}$ . We also consider the Hodge-Tate period sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Clog}} = \mathrm{gr}^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR},\log}^{(+)})$ , see [DLLZ18].

The main goal of this section is to apply the Sen theory formalism of §3 to the Hodge-Tate cohomology in rigid analytic geometry. Let us recall the definition of a relative locally analytic sheaf from the introduction

**Definition 4.0.1.** A proétale  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $X$  is said relative locally analytic if there is a Kummer-étale cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X$  such that, for all  $i$ , the restriction  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$  admits a  $p$ -adically complete  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}^+$ -lattice  $\mathcal{F}_i^0$ , and there is  $\epsilon > 0$  (depending on  $i$ ) such that  $\mathcal{F}_i^0/p^\epsilon = {}^{ae} \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}^+/p^\epsilon$ .

We want to prove the following theorem

**Theorem 4.0.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a relative locally analytic  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -module over  $X$ . There is an  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -linear map*

$$\theta_X : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(-1)$$

*called the Sen operator of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The map  $\theta_X$  satisfies the following properties*

- (1) *The formation of  $\theta_X$  is functorial on  $\mathcal{F}$  and compatible with pullbacks.*
- (2)  *$\theta_X$  is a Higgs field, namely,  $\theta_X \wedge \theta_X = 0$ .*
- (3) *Let  $\nu : X_{C,\mathrm{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C,\mathrm{két}}$  be the projection of sites, then*

$$R\nu_*(\mathcal{F}) = \nu_* R\Gamma(\theta_X, \mathcal{F}).$$

*In other words,  $R^i \nu_* \mathcal{F} = \nu_* H^i(R\Gamma(\theta_X, \mathcal{F}))$ .*

- (4) *Let  $\eta : X_{C,\mathrm{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C,\mathrm{an}}$  be the projection of sites. If in addition we can take the cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  to be a cover in the analytic topology, then*

$$R\eta_*(\mathcal{F}) = \eta_*(R\Gamma(\theta_X, \mathcal{F})).$$

More specifically, let  $G$  be a compact  $p$ -adic Lie group and  $\widetilde{X}$  a pro-Kummer-étale  $G$ -torsor of  $X$ . Let  $V$  be a locally analytic representation of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , we can consider the local system  $V_{\mathrm{két}}$  of  $X$  defined by the torsor  $\widetilde{X}$ . We will deduce Theorem 4.0.2 from the more explicit result:

**Theorem 4.0.3.** *There exists a natural  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -Higgs field attached to  $V$ , namely, there is an  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -linear map*

$$\theta_X : V_{\mathrm{két}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(1) \rightarrow V_{\mathrm{két}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Omega_X^1(\log)$$

*with  $\theta_X \wedge \theta_X = 0$  satisfying the following properties.*

- (1) *The formation of  $\theta_X$  is functorial on  $V$  and compatible with pullbacks.*
- (2) *The operator  $\theta_X$  only depends on the Sen operator*

$$\theta_{\widetilde{X}} : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log),$$

*where in the RHS the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie} G$  acts by derivations on  $V$ .*

- (3) *Let  $\eta : X_{C,\mathrm{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C,\mathrm{an}}$  be the projection of sites. Then one has*

$$R\eta_*(V_{\mathrm{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}) = \eta_*(R\Gamma(\theta_X, V_{\mathrm{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}})),$$

*in particular,  $R^i \eta_*(V_{\mathrm{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}) = \eta_* H^i(\theta_X, V_{\mathrm{két}} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}})$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

In §4.1 we construct the Sen bundle  $\theta_{\widetilde{X}}$  using the results of §3. Roughly speaking, we first perform local computations depending only on Kummer-étale charts of  $X$ , where we essentially reduce to the Sen theory of a product of tori and discs as in Example 3.1.7. The next task is to show that these local constructions of the Sen bundle glue to  $\theta_{\widetilde{X}}$ , where an explicit change of variables is made.

Finally in §4.2, we use the results of the previous section to prove Theorem 4.0.3, one deduces Theorem 4.0.2 by glueing the Sen operators of local toroidal charts. Then, under certain non-degeneracy hypothesis of  $\theta_{\widetilde{X}}$ , we take  $V = C^{la}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  the space of locally analytic functions of  $G$  and compute the projection  $Rv_* C^{la}(G, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X)$  from the pro-Kummer-étale to the Kummer-étale site. We finish this section with another proof of the  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence, as stated in [LZ17, DLLZ18, Wan21], using our formalism. We highlight that the  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence has been intensively studied by Abbes-Gros-Tsuji in [AGT16], using Faltings' approach to non-abelian  $p$ -adic Hodge theory [Fal05, Fal11].

**4.1. The Sen bundle.** Many of the ideas of this section come from the work of Lue Pan [Pan22]. The method of Sen was already used in [LZ17] to prove the  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence for local systems, which is at the same time an application of Kedlaya-Liu decompactifications in [KL19]. It was also recently used in [Por22] for decompactifying equivariant vector bundles of the Fargues-Fontaine curve by taking locally analytic vectors.

**4.1.1. The set-up.** We keep the notation of the beginning of the section, namely  $(K, K^+)$  is a complete non-archimedean discretely valued extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and  $C$  the  $p$ -adic completion of an algebraic closure. We let  $X$  be an fs log smooth adic space over  $(K, K^+)$ , let  $G$  be a compact  $p$ -adic Lie group and  $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$  a pro-Kummer-étale  $G$ -torsor. All the fiber products are as fs log adic spaces in the sense of [DLLZ19, Proposition 2.3.27], we highlight that it may differ from the fiber product of usual adic spaces.

In the following, we will suppose that  $X$  is affinoid and that it has logarithmic coordinates, i.e. that there exists a Kummer-étale map  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_K^{(e, d-e)}$  which factors as a finite composition of rational localizations and finite étale maps, and that the log structure of  $X$  is the pullback of the log structure of  $\mathbb{S}_K^{(e, d-e)}$  defined by the normal crossing divisor  $S_{e+1} \cdots S_d = 0$ , cf. Example 3.1.7. We let  $\mathbb{S}_{K, \infty}^{(e, d-e)}$  be the preperfectoid product of tori and polydiscs over  $\mathbb{S}_K^{(e, d-e)}$ , and let  $\Gamma$  denote its Galois group after extending scalars to  $C$ . Notice that  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_p(1)^d$  is a  $p$ -adic Lie group isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$  by fixing a compatible system of  $p$ -th power roots of 1. We let  $\Gamma_n = p^n \Gamma$  and let  $\mathbb{S}_{K, n}^{(e, d-e)} = \mathbb{S}_{K, \infty}^{(e, d-e)} / \Gamma_n$ .

*Remark 4.1.1.* In [DLLZ19, Definition 5.3.1] a log affinoid perfectoid is modeled in  $n$ -divisible monoids for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{D}_{C, \infty}$  is not log affinoid following this convention as we have not taken  $n$ -th roots of  $S$  for  $(n, p) = 1$ . Nevertheless, since we are only interested in  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, all the abelian sheaves we work with are  $p$ -adically complete in some sense (more precisely, all these sheaves are solid  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules). In particular, when taking pro-Kummer-étale cohomology

it is enough to trivialize at log affinoid perfectoid objects modeled in  $p$ -divisible monoids, so  $\mathbb{D}_{C,\infty}$  suffices for computations.

Given an open subgroup  $G' \subset G$  we let  $X_{G'} = \widetilde{X}/G'$ . The space  $X_{G'}$  is finite Kummer-étale over  $X$  and if  $G'$  is normal it is Galois with group  $G/G'$ . We have a presentation as objects in  $X_{\text{prokét}}$

$$\widetilde{X} = \lim_{\leftarrow G' \subset G} X_{G'}.$$

Given  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $G' \subset G$  we let  $X_n = X \times_{\psi, \mathbb{S}_K^{(e,d-e)}} \mathbb{S}_{K,n}^{(e,d-e)}$  and  $X_{G',n} = X_{G'} \times_X X_n$ . We also denote  $\widetilde{X}_n = \widetilde{X} \times_X X_n$  and  $\widetilde{X}_\infty = \widetilde{X} \times_X X_\infty$ . The following diagram illustrates the relation between these spaces as profinite-Kummer-étale objects in  $X_{\text{prokét}}$

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \widetilde{X}_\infty & & \\ & \swarrow \Gamma_n & \downarrow & \searrow G' & \\ & \widetilde{X}_n & \downarrow G' \times \Gamma_n & X_{G',\infty} & \\ \Gamma/\Gamma_n \swarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma_n & & \searrow G/G' \\ \widetilde{X} & & X_{G',n} & & X_\infty \\ & \swarrow G' & \downarrow G/G' & \swarrow G/G' & \downarrow \Gamma_n \\ & X_{G'} & \downarrow G/G' \times \Gamma/\Gamma_n & X_n & \\ & \swarrow G/G' & \downarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_n & & \\ & X & & & \end{array}$$

We make the following hypothesis which says, roughly speaking, that the only ramification at the boundary is given by taking  $p$ -th power roots:

(HYP) For any open subgroup  $G' \subset G$  the map  $X_{G'} \rightarrow X$  is, locally étale, modeled in a morphism of fs monoids  $Q \rightarrow P$  such that the kernel and the cokernel of  $Q^{sp} \rightarrow P^{sp}$  are finite  $p$ -groups.

*Remark 4.1.2.* As  $\widetilde{X}$  is a  $G$ -torsor and  $G$  is a  $p$ -adic Lie group, there exists  $G' \subset G$  an open subgroup such that for all open subgroup  $G'' \subset G'$  the map  $X_{G''} \rightarrow X_{G'}$  satisfies (HYP). In fact, this holds if  $G$  is a uniform pro- $p$ -group, and follows by the description of the Kummer-étale fundamental group of a log geometric point, see [DLLZ19, Corollary 4.4.22].

We fix the following notation for the global functions of the  $C$ -scalar extension of the previous spaces for  $G' \subset G$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ :

$$\widetilde{B}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\widetilde{X}_{C,n}), \quad B_{G',n} := \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(X_{C,G',n}), \quad B_{G'} := \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(X_{C,G'}),$$

similarly for the spaces of bounded functions. Notice that, as  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$  is a pro-Kummer-étale sheaf, we have

$$(\widetilde{B}_\infty)^{\Gamma_n} = \widetilde{B}_n \text{ and } (\widetilde{B}_\infty)^{G'} = B_{G',\infty}.$$



If  $G' \subset G$  is open and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we also have

$$B_{G',n} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(X_{C,G',n}) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{két}}(X_{C,G',n}) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}(X_{C,G',n}).$$

The Abhyankar's lemma tells us that the map  $X_{G'} \rightarrow X$  becomes étale after adding enough ramification at the cusps<sup>2</sup>:

**Lemma 4.1.3** ([DLLZ19, Lemma 4.2.2.]). *Let  $G' \subset G$  be an open subgroup, then there exists  $n \geq 0$  such that for all  $m \geq n$  the map  $X_{G',m} \rightarrow X_m$  is finite étale.*

One deduces the following consequence from [DLLZ19, Lemma 6.1.9] or [Sch13a, Lemma 4.5]

**Proposition 4.1.4.** *The space  $X_{G',\infty}$  is affinoid perfectoid and the map  $\widetilde{X}_\infty \rightarrow X_{G',\infty}$  is profinite-étale. Furthermore, we have the following relations between the rings of functions*

- i  $B_{G',\infty}^+ = (\varinjlim_n B_{G,n}^+)^{\wedge -p}$  is an integral perfectoid ring.
- ii  $\widetilde{B}_\infty^+ = (\varinjlim_{G',n} B_{G',n}^+)^{\wedge -p} = (\varinjlim_{G'} B_{G',\infty}^+)^{\wedge -p} = (\varinjlim_n \widetilde{B}_n^+)^{\wedge -p}$  is an integral perfectoid ring.
- iii The ring  $\widetilde{B}_\infty$  is Galois over  $B_{G',\infty}$  of group  $G'$ .

We saw in Example 3.1.7 that the tower  $\{\mathbb{S}_{C,n}^{(e,d-e)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of products of tori and polydiscs gives rise a strongly decomposable Sen theory. Our next task is to show that their pullback to  $X_{\text{prokét}}$  satisfy the Colmez-Sen-Tate axioms

**Proposition 4.1.5.** *The triple  $(\widetilde{B}_\infty, G \times \Gamma, \text{pr}_2)$  is a strongly decomposable Sen theory.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.1.4 the triple  $(\widetilde{B}_\infty, G \times \Gamma, \text{pr}_2)$  satisfies the almost purity condition (CST0). It is left to see that the pullbacks of the traces of Example 3.1.7 via  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_C^{(e,d-e)}$  satisfy (CST1\*) and (CST2\*) with  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  arbitrarily small. Given  $G' \subset G$  an open subgroup we let  $n(G')$  be the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $X_{G',m} \rightarrow X_m$  is étale for all  $m \geq n$ , by Lemma 4.1.3 we know that  $n(G') < \infty$ . Let  $n \geq n(G')$  and  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , recall that

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}_{C,n}^{(e,d-e)}) = C\langle \underline{T}^{\pm \frac{1}{p^n}}, \underline{S}^{\frac{1}{p^n}} \rangle \\ A &= \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}_{C,\infty}^{(e,d-e)}) = C\langle \underline{T}^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \underline{S}^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle \\ A_n^i &= \begin{cases} C\langle T_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, T_i^{\pm \frac{1}{p^n}}, \dots, T_e^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \underline{S}^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq e \\ C\langle \underline{T}^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, S_{e+1}^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, S_i^{\frac{1}{p^n}}, \dots, S_d^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^d$  be the standard basis of  $\Gamma$ , given by fixing a compatible sequence of  $p$ -th power roots of unity. Let us define

$$B_{G',n}^i := (B_{G',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_n} A_n^i)^{\wedge -u}$$

<sup>2</sup>In fact, this is a consequence of [DLLZ19, Proposition 4.2.1] after refining the argument of Lemma 4.2.3 in *loc. cit.* allowing only  $p$ -th power ramification.

where the tensor product is as Banach algebras, and the  $u$ -completion is nothing but the  $p$ -adic completion with unit ball the integral closure of  $B_{G',n}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{A_n^+} A_n^{i,+}$ .

We claim that the traces  $R_n^i : A \rightarrow A_n^i$  extend to  $R_{G',n}^i : B_{G',\infty} \rightarrow B_{G',n}^i$  with  $\|R_{G',i}\| \leq |p^{-c_2}|$ , for  $c_2$  arbitrarily small as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed, we have that

$$B_{G',\infty} = (B_{G',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_n} A)^{\wedge^{-u}},$$

and  $R_n^i$  extends to  $B_{G',n}^i$ -linear maps

$$B_{G',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_n} A \xrightarrow{R_{G',n}^i} B_{G',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_n} A_n^i \rightarrow B_{G',n}^i$$

such that  $\|R_{G',n}^i\| \leq |p^{-c_2}|$ . We have to show that the image of  $(B_{G',n} \widehat{\otimes}_{A_n^+} A^+)^+$  is bounded in  $B_{G',n}^i$ . But Lemma 4.5 of [Sch13a] imply that, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n \geq n(G')$  such that for all  $m \geq n$ , the cokernel of the map  $B_{G',m}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{A_m^+} A^+ \rightarrow B_{G',\infty}^+$  is killed by  $p^\epsilon$ , this implies the claim and that  $c_2$  can be taken arbitrary small as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Finally, we have to show that for  $n(G) \leq m \leq n$  the map  $\gamma_i^{p^m-1} - 1$  over  $X_{G',n}^i := \ker R_{G',n}^i$  is invertible, with inverse bounded by  $|p^{-c_3}|$ , and that we can take  $c_3$  arbitrarily small when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed, this follows by the same argument as before and the analogous property for the Sen theory  $(A, \Gamma, \text{id}_\Gamma)$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 3.3.3, we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.1.6.** *The Sen functor  $V \mapsto S_{G'}(V)$  restricts to the category of locally analytic representations of  $G'$ . More precisely, given  $F$  an LF locally analytic representation of  $G'$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , the space  $\widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F$  is a relative locally analytic representation of  $G' \times \Gamma$  over  $\widetilde{B}_\infty$ , and*

$$RS_{G'}(\widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F) = ((\widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F)^{G' \times 1})^{\text{R}\Gamma\text{-}la} = ((\widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F)^{G' \times 1})^{\Gamma\text{-}la}.$$

In particular, we have a  $G' \times \Gamma$ -equivariant action of the Sen operators

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Sen}(F) : \widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F \rightarrow (\text{Lie } \Gamma)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (\widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F).$$

*Remark 4.1.7.* As the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $F$  and  $\text{Lie } \Gamma$  is trivial, the Sen operator arises as the base change of a map

$$\text{Sen}(F) : \widetilde{B} \widehat{\otimes}_C F \rightarrow (\text{Lie } \Gamma)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (\widetilde{B} \widehat{\otimes}_C F).$$

*Remark 4.1.8.* By taking colimits as  $G' \rightarrow 1$ , we can define the Sen module of a locally analytic representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (see Definition 2.2.9). Indeed, let  $F$  be an LF locally analytic representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and write  $F = \text{colim}_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h$  as a colimit of  $\mathfrak{g}_h$ -analytic representations. Let  $G^\dagger = \{G' \subset G\}$  be the overconvergent neighbourhood of 1 in  $G$ , see Definition 2.3.8. Since  $F$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -locally analytic representation it admits a natural action of  $G^\dagger$ , which is nothing but the integration of  $\mathfrak{g}$  to an action of some  $G' \subset G$  on each  $F_h$ . Then we define the Sen module of  $F$  as the  $\widetilde{B}_\infty^{G\text{-}sm, \Gamma\text{-}la}$ -LF space

$$S_{\mathfrak{g}}(F) := \varinjlim_{h, G' \subset G} S_{G'}(F_h).$$

One has that  $\widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_C F = \widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{B}_\infty^{G-sm, \Gamma-la}} S_{\mathfrak{g}}(F)$ . The construction of Sen operators is the same as for locally analytic representations of  $G$ . The cohomological computations of §3.4 hold if one takes the smooth  $G^\dagger$ -vectors:

$$R\Gamma(G^\dagger \times \Gamma, (\widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_C F)) = (R\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}^0, \widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes} F))^{G^\dagger-sm},$$

where we identify all the  $\mathfrak{n}_{G'}$  for  $G' \subset G$  with  $\mathfrak{n}^0 := \text{Lie } \Gamma$ .

4.1.2. *Glueing.* In this paragraph we show that the Sen operators (4.2) factor through the  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -linear action of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$ , and that they glue to a map of pro-Kummer-étale sheaves over  $X_{\text{prokét}}$

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Sen}_{\widetilde{X}} : \Omega_X^1(\log)^\vee \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{két}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}},$$

where  $\Omega_X^1(\log)$  is the sheaf of log differential forms over  $X$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is seen as a pro-Kummer-étale local system via the  $G$ -torsor  $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$  and the adjoint action of  $G$ . Let  $C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  denote the germs of locally analytic functions of  $G$  at 1, cf. §2.2.2. We need a lemma

**Lemma 4.1.9.** *Let  $F$  be an LF locally analytic representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (cf. Definition 2.2.9). Then  $F$  admits a closed immersion via the orbit map*

$$F \rightarrow C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_2} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F_0,$$

where  $C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_2}$  is endowed with the right regular action and  $F_0 = F$  with the trivial action.

*Proof.* This follows formally by writing  $F$  as a colimit of analytic representations and Theorems 2.2.3 and 2.2.10.  $\square$

The following is a local version of the theorem we want to prove

**Proposition 4.1.10.** *Let  $F$  be a locally analytic representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then the Sen action*

$$\text{Sen}(F) : \widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F \rightarrow (\text{Lie } \Gamma)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (\widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F)$$

*factors through a Galois equivariant map  $\text{Sen} \in (\text{Lie } \Gamma)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (\widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g})$  making the following diagram commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F & \xrightarrow{\text{Sen} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & (\text{Lie } \Gamma)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (\widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F \\ & \searrow \text{Sen}(F) & \downarrow 1 \otimes \partial \\ & & (\text{Lie } \Gamma)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (\widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F), \end{array}$$

where  $\partial : \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F \rightarrow F$  is the derivation map.

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1.9 and the functoriality of the Sen operators, it is enough to consider  $F = C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Then, the Sen map is a  $G^\dagger \times \Gamma$ -equivariant map

$$\text{Sen}(C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)) : \widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_2} \rightarrow (\text{Lie } \Gamma)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widetilde{B}_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_2}.$$

Moreover, the Sen operators satisfy the Leibniz rule by construction, and are invariant under the  $\star_1$ -action of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Therefore, they must factor through  $\star_1$ -invariant

derivations  $\text{Sen} : \text{Lie } \Gamma \rightarrow (\widetilde{B}_\infty)^\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g} = \widetilde{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g}$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts via the  $\star_2$ -action on  $C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . The proposition follows.  $\square$

*Remark 4.1.11.* By an analogous argument the Sen operators of  $C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes} \widetilde{B}_\infty = C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{B}_\infty)_{\star_{1,3}}$  factor through  $\star_2$ -invariant derivation, i.e. through the action of  $\widetilde{B}_\infty \times \mathfrak{g}$  via  $\star_1$ .

*Remark 4.1.12.* The previous proposition implies that, in order to compute the Sen operators, it is only necessary to consider a faithful representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Our next task is to understand the behaviour of the Sen operators in families after changing the chart  $\psi$ , and show that they glue to a morphism (4.3). The idea of the proof is to use explicit local coordinates for the Sen modules attached to  $C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  from two different charts, and compute directly the Sen operators.

### Case $G = \Gamma$

In a first approximation we consider the case when  $G = \Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p(1)^d$  is a torsor arising from a perfectoid chart. We have to introduce some notation. Let  $X$  be an fs log smooth affinoid space over  $\text{Spa}(K, K^+)$ , and  $\psi_1, \psi_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_K^{(e, d-e)}$  two étale charts which factor as compositions of rational localizations and finite étale maps. Let  $X_{\infty,0} := \mathbb{S}_{K,\infty}^{(e, d-e)} \times_{\mathbb{S}_K^{(e, d-e)}, \psi_1} X$  and  $X_{0,\infty} := X \times_{\psi_2, \mathbb{S}_K^{(e, d-e)}} \mathbb{S}_{K,\infty}^{(e, d-e)}$ , and let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  denote the Galois groups of  $X_{\infty,0}$  and  $X_{0,\infty}$  over  $X$  respectively. We let  $X_{\infty,\infty} := X_{\infty,0} \times_X X_{0,\infty}$  be the pro-Kummer-étale torsor of group  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ . Let  $B_{\infty,0} := \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(X_{C,\infty,0})$ ,  $B_{0,\infty} := \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(X_{C,0,\infty})$  and  $B_{\infty,\infty} := \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(X_{C,\infty,\infty})$ . One can then consider the Sen module associated to  $C^{la}(\text{Lie } \Gamma_1, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1}$  and  $C^{la}(\text{Lie } \Gamma_2, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1}$  respectively. Furthermore, we have by definition

$$S_{\text{Lie } \Gamma_1}(C^{la}(\text{Lie } \Gamma_1, B_{\infty,\infty})_{\star_{1,3}}) = B_{\infty,\infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 - la} = S_{\text{Lie } \Gamma_2}(C^{la}(\text{Lie } \Gamma_2, B_{\infty,\infty})_{\star_{1,3}}).$$

Theorem 3.3.3 also says that the orbit maps provide  $B_{\infty,\infty}$ -linear isomorphisms

$$C^{la}(\text{Lie } \Gamma_1, B_{\infty,\infty}) \cong B_{\infty,\infty} \widehat{\otimes}_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 - sm}} B_{\infty,\infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 - la} \cong C^{la}(\text{Lie } \Gamma_2, B_{\infty,\infty}).$$

Let us fix a compatible system of  $p$ -th power roots of unity  $(\zeta_{p^n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and let  $\gamma_{1,(1)}, \dots, \gamma_{d,(1)} \in \Gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_{1,(2)}, \dots, \gamma_{d,(2)} \in \Gamma_2$  denote the standard basis. We let  $\theta_{j,(i)} \in \text{Lie } \Gamma_i$  denote the derivative in the direction  $\gamma_{j,(i)}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, d$  and  $i = 1, 2$ .

Let  $T_{1,(1)}, \dots, T_{e,(1)}, S_{e+1,(1)}, \dots, S_{d,(1)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}(X)$  and  $T_{1,(2)}, \dots, T_{e,(2)}, S_{e+1,(2)}, \dots, S_{d,(2)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}(X)$  be the coordinates given by the charts  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  respectively. We have two basis for the log differentials of  $X$

$$\Omega_X^1(\log) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^e \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}} \text{dlog } T_{j,(i)} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=e+1}^d \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}} \text{dlog } S_{j,(i)}.$$

Let  $\partial_{j,(i)}$  denote the dual basis of  $\{\text{dlog } T_{j,(i)}, \text{dlog } S_{j,(i)}\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and

$$(4.4) \quad \partial_{j,(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^d a_{j,k} \partial_{k,(2)}$$

with  $(a_{j,k}) \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{an}}(X))$  the base change matrix.

**Proposition 4.1.13.** *The following hold*

- (1) We have  $\theta_{j,(1)} = -\sum_{k=1}^d a_{j,k} \theta_{k,(2)}$ .
- (2) There are elements  $z_1, \dots, z_d \in B_{\infty, \infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 - la}$  such that

$$\theta_{j,(2)}(z_k) = \delta_{j,k} \text{ and } \theta_{j,(1)}(z_k) = a_{j,k}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the Faltings extension

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(1) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log}^+ \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{an}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow 1$$

and the elements

$$Y_{j,(1)} = \begin{cases} \log\left(\frac{[T_{j,(1)}^b]}{T_{j,(1)}}\right) & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq e \\ \log\left(\frac{[S_{j,(1)}^b]}{S_{j,(1)}}\right) & \text{if } e+1 \leq j \leq d \end{cases}$$

in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log}^+(X_{\infty, 0})$  (resp.  $Y_{j,(2)}$  for  $X_{0, \infty}$ ). Let  $\varepsilon = (\zeta_{p^n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $t = \log[\varepsilon] \in B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ . Then

$$(4.5) \quad \gamma_{j,(1)} Y_{k,(1)} = Y_{k,(1)} + \delta_{j,k} t \text{ and } dY_{k,(1)} = \begin{cases} -d \log T_{k,(1)} & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq e, \\ -d \log S_{k,(1)} & \text{if } e+1 \leq k \leq d. \end{cases}$$

(resp. for  $Y_{k,(2)}$ ). Let us consider the reduction of the variables  $Y_{k,(i)}$  in  $\mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log}^+(X_{C, \infty, \infty})$ .

Then  $\mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log}^+(X_{C, \infty, \infty})$  is a faithful representation of both  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , and it determines the action of the Sen operators  $\theta_{j,(1)}$  and  $\theta_{j,(2)}$ . By definition, we have  $\theta_{j,(1)}(t) = \theta_{j,(2)}(t) = 0$  and

$$\theta_{j,(1)}(Y_{k,(1)}) = \delta_{j,k} = \theta_{j,(2)}(Y_{k,(2)}).$$

Therefore, by (4.4) and (4.5) one obtains

$$\theta_{j,(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^d -a_{j,k} \theta_{k,(2)},$$

this proves (1).

To prove (2), notice that the elements  $t, Y_{j,(2)} \in \mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log}^+(X_{C, \infty, \infty})$  provide an isomorphism

$$(4.6) \quad B_{\infty, \infty} t \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^d B_{\infty, \infty} Y_{j,(2)} = \mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log}^+(X_{C, \infty, \infty}).$$

Taking  $\Gamma_2$ -invariants, and a lift  $Y'_{k,(2)} \in \mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dR}, \log}^+(X_{C, \infty, 0})$  of  $dY_{k,(2)}$  (e.g.  $Y'_{k,(2)} = \sum_{\ell} b_{k,\ell} Y_{\ell,(1)}$  with  $(b_{k,j})_{k,\ell}$  the inverse of  $(a_{k,\ell})_{k,\ell}$ ), one can write

$$Y'_{k,(2)} = Y_{k,(2)} - z_k t \text{ with } z_k \in B_{\infty, \infty}.$$

The invariance of  $Y'_{k,(2)}$  by the action of  $\Gamma_2$  implies the relation

$$\theta_{j,(2)}(z_k) = \delta_{j,k}.$$

Finally, it is clear that we can choose the  $z_k$ 's to be  $\Gamma_1$ -locally analytic (eg. with  $Y'_{k,(2)} = \sum_{\ell} b_{k,\ell} Y_{\ell,(1)}$  as before), and part (1) implies that  $\theta_{j,(1)}(z_k) = a_{j,k}$ . This finishes the proposition.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.14.** *The Sen action of the Lie  $\Gamma_i$  on*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}} = \text{gr}^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \log}}) = \varinjlim_n \text{Sym}^n\left(\frac{1}{t} \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \log}^+}\right)$$

glue to the  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -linear map

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}} \xrightarrow{-\nabla} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}}(-1) \otimes \Omega^1(\log),$$

where  $\overline{\nabla}$  is the residual connection of  $\nabla : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \log}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \log}} \otimes \Omega^1(\log)$ .

*Proof.* In the notation of the proof of Proposition 4.1.13, this follows from the fact that  $\overline{\nabla}(Y_{k,(1)}) = -\text{dlog } T_{k,1}$  if  $1 \leq k \leq e$  or  $-\text{dlog } S_{k,1}$  otherwise, and  $\theta_{j,(1)}(Y_{k,(1)}) = \delta_{j,k}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.15.** *Let  $M > 0$ ,  $n = n(M) \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z_{k,M} \in B_{\infty, \infty}^{p^n \Gamma_1 \times p^n \Gamma_2 - sm}$  be an element such that  $|z_k - z_{k,M}| \leq |p^M|$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, d$ . Then we can write*

$$(4.7) \quad B_{\infty, \infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 - la} = \varinjlim_{M \rightarrow \infty} B_{\infty, \infty}^{p^n \Gamma_1 - an, p^n \Gamma_2} \langle z_k - z_{k,M} : 1 \leq k \leq d \rangle.$$

as an LB space.

*Proof.* It is clear that the RHS is included in the LHS as the elements  $z_k$  and  $z_{k,M}$  are analytic for some subgroup  $p^m \Gamma_1 \times p^m \Gamma_2$ . Conversely, given  $f \in B_{\infty, \infty}^{p^m \Gamma_1 \times p^m \Gamma_2 - an}$ , the Sen operators  $\theta_{k,(2)}$  satisfy

$$|\theta_{k,(2)}(f)|_{p^m \Gamma_1 \times p^m \Gamma_2 - an} \leq C|f|_{p^m \Gamma_1 \times p^m \Gamma_2 - an}$$

where  $C$  is a constant that only depends on  $m$ , and the norm  $|\cdot|_{p^m \Gamma_1 \times p^m \Gamma_2 - an}$  is the norm of the Banach space  $B_{\infty, \infty}^{p^m \Gamma_1 \times p^m \Gamma_2 - an}$ . Taking  $M$  such that  $Cp^{-M} \ll 1$ , one can consider the series for  $j = 1, \dots, d$

$$D_{\theta_{(2)}}^i(f) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^k \frac{(z_i - z_{i,M})^k}{k!} (\theta_{i,(2)})^k(f).$$

Then  $D_{\theta_{(2)}}^i(f)$  is a  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ -locally analytic vector and one has the bound for  $M \gg 0$

$$|D_{\theta_{(2)}}^i(f)| \leq |f|.$$

Furthermore, a direct computation shows that  $\theta_{i,(2)}(D_{\theta_{(2)}}^i(f)) = 0$  proving that it is  $\langle \gamma_{i,(2)} \rangle$ -smooth. Define

$$D_{\theta_{(2)}}(f) := D_{\theta_{(2)}}^d \circ \dots \circ D_{\theta_{(2)}}^2 \circ D_{\theta_{(2)}}^1(f).$$

Then, as the Sen operators commute with each other, we have that  $D_{\theta_{(2)}}(f) \in B_{\infty, \infty}^{p^{m'} \Gamma_1 - an \times p^{m'} \Gamma_2}$  for  $m' \gg 0$  depending only on the radius of analyticity of  $f$ .

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  define

$$f_\alpha := D_{\theta_{(2)}} \left( \frac{\theta_{\alpha,(2)}(f)}{\alpha!} \right),$$

where  $\theta_{\alpha,(2)} := (\theta_{d,(2)})^{\alpha_d} \circ \cdots \circ (\theta_{1,(2)})^{\alpha_1}$ . A direct computation gives that

$$(4.8) \quad f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_M)^{\alpha}$$

where  $(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_M)^{\alpha} := (z_1 - z_{1,M})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (z_d - z_{d,M})^{\alpha_d}$ . One checks that (4.8) is an element of  $B_{\infty,\infty}^{p^r \Gamma_1 - an, p^r \Gamma_2} \langle z_k - z_{k,M} : 1 \leq k \leq d \rangle$  for  $M, n \gg 0$  depending only on the radius of analyticity of  $f$ .  $\square$

**Notation 4.1.16.** We shall write the direct limit (4.7) as

$$B_{\infty,\infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 - la} = B_{\infty,\infty}^{\Gamma_1 - la, \Gamma_2 - sm} \{\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_M\},$$

knowing that  $z_{k,M}$  varies as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ .

The proof of Proposition 4.1.13 can be adapted to the case when  $\psi_2$  is not necessarily a chart. We let  $\psi_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_K^{(e', d' - e')}$  be a map of adic spaces (not necessarily étale), and keep the notations introduced above for the induced coordinates over  $X$ .

**Proposition 4.1.17.** *Let  $(b_{i,j})_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}(X)^{d' \times d}$  be the  $d' \times d$  transformation matrix from  $\psi_2^* \Omega_{\mathbb{S}_K^{(e', d' - e')}}^1(\log) \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log) = \psi_1^* \Omega_{\mathbb{S}_K^{(e, d - e)}}^1(\log)$  induced by the dlog differentials of the coordinates of  $\psi_2$  and  $\psi_1$ .*

(1) *Let  $(a_{i,j})_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}(X)^{d \times d'}$  be the dual matrix of  $(b_{i,j})_{i,j}$ , and consider the Sen operators*

$$\text{Sen} : \text{Lie } \Gamma_1 \rightarrow B_{\infty,\infty} \otimes \text{Lie } \Gamma_2.$$

*Then  $\text{Sen}(\theta_{i,(1)}) = -\sum_j a_{i,j} \theta_{j,(2)}$ .*

(2) *Moreover, there are elements*

$$z_1, \dots, z_{d'} \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 - la} = S_{\text{Lie } \Gamma_2}(C^{la}(\text{Lie } \Gamma_2, B_{\infty,\infty})_{\star 1,3})$$

*such that*

$$\theta_{i,(2)}(z_j) = \delta_{i,j} \text{ and } \theta_{i,(1)}(z_j) = a_{i,j}.$$

*Proof.* We have a map of Faltings's extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log X}^+ & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \psi_2^* \uparrow & & \psi_2^* \uparrow & & \psi_2^* \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{S}_K^{(e', d' - e')}}(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log \mathbb{S}_K^{(e', d' - e')}}^+ & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{S}_K^{(e', d' - e')}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{S}_K^{(e', d' - e')}}^1(\log) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Then, since  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log \mathbb{S}_K^{(e', d' - e')}}^+$  is a faithful representation of  $\Gamma_2$ , the proof of (1) of Proposition 4.1.13 implies that

$$\text{Sen}(\theta_{i,(1)}) = -\sum_j a_{i,j} \theta_{j,(2)}$$

as wanted. Furthermore, one can construct the elements  $z_i$  as in (2) of Proposition 4.1.13 by performing the same argument with the pullback of the Faltings extension of  $\mathbb{S}_K^{(e', d' - e')}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.1.18.* It was explained to me by Lue Pan that the hypothesis of  $X$  being defined over a discretely valued field is unnecessary for the gluing of the local Sen operators. In fact, let  $\nu : X_{C,\text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C,\text{két}}$ , to perform the gluing one only needs to know that  $R^1\nu_*\widehat{\mathcal{O}} = \Omega_X^1(\log)(-1)$ , where  $\Omega^1(\log)_X(-1)$  is the  $-1$ -th Tate twist of the logarithmic differentials (or the  $-1$ -th Breuil-Kisin-Fargues twist  $\Omega_X^1(\log)\{-1\}$  if  $C$  is perfectoid but does not contain the  $p$ -th power roots of unity, see [Sch13b, Proposition 3.23]) and [Heu20, Proposition 2.25].

### General $G$

We want to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.19.** *Let  $X$  be an fs log smooth adic space over  $\text{Spa}(K, K^+)$  with log structure given by normal crossing divisors,  $G$  a  $p$ -adic Lie group and  $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$  a pro-Kummer-étale  $G$ -torsor. Then the Sen operators given by local charts of  $X$  glue to a morphism of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ -vector bundles over  $X_{\text{prokét}}$*

$$\text{Sen}_{\widetilde{X}} : \Omega_X^1(\log)^\vee \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{két}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X.$$

Moreover, let  $H$  be a  $p$ -adic Lie group,  $Y$  be another adic space as above and  $\widetilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  an  $H$ -torsor. Let  $H \rightarrow G$  be a morphism of groups and suppose that we have a commutative diagram compatible with the actions of the groups

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{Y} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}.$$

Then the following square is commutative

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f^*\Omega_X^1(\log)^\vee \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y} (1)\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y & \xrightarrow{f^*\text{Sen}_{\widetilde{X}}} & f^*\mathfrak{g}_{\text{két}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \Omega_Y^1(\log)^\vee \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y & \xrightarrow{\text{Sen}_{\widetilde{Y}}} & \mathfrak{h}_{\text{két}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y \end{array}$$

*Remark 4.1.20.* The Sen operator can be written equivalently as a map

$$\theta_{\widetilde{X}} : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{két}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log).$$

Note that by construction of the Sen operator one has  $\theta_{\widetilde{X}} \wedge \theta_{\widetilde{X}} = 0$ , this proves the first two points of Theorem 4.0.3.

First, we formally reduce the statement to the case when  $X$  is affinoid admitting a chart  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_K^{(e,d-e)}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.21.** *Suppose that Theorem 4.1.19 holds for fs log affinoid spaces admitting a chart to  $\mathbb{S}_K^{(e,d-e)}$ . Then it holds for an arbitrary  $X$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $U_\bullet$  be an hypercover of  $X$  by objects in  $X_{\text{ét}}$  satisfying the hypothesis of the lemma. Let  $\widetilde{U}_\bullet := U_\bullet \times_X \widetilde{X}$  be the  $G$ -torsor over  $U_\bullet$ . By hypothesis on the existence and functoriality of Sen for the affinoids, we have a map of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{U_\bullet}$ -sheaves on  $U_{\bullet, \text{prokét}}$

$$\text{Sen}_{\widetilde{U}_\bullet} : \Omega_{U_\bullet}^1(\log)^\vee \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{U_\bullet}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{U_\bullet}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{két}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{U_\bullet}.$$

By descent we obtain the desired map  $\text{Sen}_X$ . Functoriality of  $\text{Sen}_X$  for arbitrary adic spaces is proven in the same way.  $\square$

Thus, we can assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are fs log smooth affinoid spaces over  $\text{Spa}(K, K^+)$  admitting charts to  $\mathbb{S}_K^{(e, d-e)}$  for some  $e, d \in \mathbb{N}$ . We first show the existence of the map  $\text{Sen}_X$ . Let us keep the notation of §4.1.1, and let us introduce some more:

- We denote  $\widetilde{X}_{\infty, 0} = X_{\infty, 0} \times_X \widetilde{X}$ ,  $\widetilde{X}_{0, \infty} = \widetilde{X} \times_X X_{0, \infty}$  and  $\widetilde{X}_{\infty, \infty} = X_{\infty, 0} \times_X \widetilde{X} \times_X X_{0, \infty}$ .
- We denote  $\widetilde{B}_{\infty, 0} := \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\widetilde{X}_{C, \infty, 0})$ ,  $\widetilde{B}_{0, \infty} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\widetilde{X}_{C, 0, \infty})$  and  $\widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\widetilde{X}_{C, \infty, \infty})$ .

We have the following proposition

**Proposition 4.1.22.** *Let  $\theta_{i, (1)}$  and  $\theta_{i, (2)}$  denote the Sen operators arising from the charts  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  respectively. Let  $A = (a_{i, j})_{i, j}$  denote the base change matrix from  $\psi_2$  to  $\psi_1$  of  $\Omega_X^1(\log)^\vee$  corresponding to the standard basis of  $\Omega_{\mathbb{S}_C}^{1, (e, d-e)}(\log)$  (cf. (4.4)).*

*Then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Lie } \Gamma_1 & \xrightarrow{\theta_{(1)}} & \widetilde{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g} \\ -A \downarrow & \nearrow \theta_{(2)} & \\ \widetilde{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Lie } \Gamma_2 & & \end{array}$$

where we identify  $\text{Lie } \Gamma_1 \cong \text{Lie } \Gamma_2 \cong \mathbb{Q}_p(1)^d$  via their standard basis. In particular, the local Sen operators glue to  $\text{Sen}_X$ .

*Remark 4.1.23.* The reason to the  $-A$  in the proposition is the  $-1$  factor of Proposition 4.1.13, which is a consequence of (4.5). Notice that a priori this is a statement over the  $C$ -extension of  $X$ . Nonetheless, the Sen operators are Galois equivariant and  $\text{Gal}_K$  acts via the cyclotomic character on  $\text{Lie } \Gamma_i$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.3.3, the orbit maps provide  $\text{Lie}(\Gamma_1 \times G \times \Gamma_2)$ -equivariant and  $\widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty}$ -linear isomorphisms

$$(4.11) \quad C^{la}(\text{Lie } \Gamma_1 \times \mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty})_{\star_2, \theta_{(2)}} = \widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty}}^{\Gamma_1 \times G \times \Gamma_2 - sm} \widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times G \times \Gamma_2 - la} = C^{la}(\mathfrak{g} \times \text{Lie } \Gamma_2, \widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty})_{\star_2, \theta_{(1)}}.$$

We also have  $\widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty}$ -linear isomorphisms

$$(4.12) \quad \widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{B}_{\infty, 0}}^{\Gamma_1 \times G - sm} \widetilde{B}_{\infty, 0}^{\Gamma_1 \times G - la} = C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty}) = \widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{B}_{0, \infty}}^{G \times \Gamma_2 - sm} \widetilde{B}_{0, \infty}^{G \times \Gamma_2 - la}.$$

Recall that, by definition, the Sen operators are constructed as the derivations of  $\text{Lie } \Gamma_1$  and  $\text{Lie } \Gamma_2$  in the spaces of locally analytic vectors, the idea of the proof is to use the above isomorphisms to compare both.

By Proposition 4.1.13, there are  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ -locally analytic elements  $z_1, \dots, z_d$  in  $B_{\infty, \infty}$  such that

$$(4.13) \quad \theta_i^{(2)}(z_j) = \delta_{i,j} \text{ and } \theta_i^{(1)}(z_j) = a_{i,j}.$$

By the proof of Corollary 4.1.15, we can find elements  $z_{i,M} \in B_{\infty, \infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 - sm}$  with  $|z_i - z_{i,M}| < |p^M|$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , such that

$$\widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times G \times \Gamma_2 - la} = \widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times G - la, \Gamma_2 - sm} \{\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_M\}.$$

Let  $f \in C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \subset C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{B}_{\infty, \infty})$ . We want to show that

$$\theta_{i,(1)}(f) = \sum_j -a_{i,j} \theta_{j,(2)}(f).$$

By (4.12), there are  $r_k \in \widetilde{B}_{0, \infty}$  and  $v_k \in \widetilde{B}_{0, \infty}^{G \times \Gamma_2 - la}$  such that

$$f(g) = \sum_k r_k g \cdot v_k.$$

Notice that by definition of the Sen action

$$\theta_{j,(2)}(f) = \sum_k r_k g \cdot \theta_{j,(2)}(v_k).$$

There exists  $M \gg 0$  such that

$$v_k = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{\alpha!} v_{\alpha,k} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_M)^\alpha$$

with  $v_{\alpha,k} = D_{\theta_{j,(2)}}(\theta_{\alpha,(2)}(v_k))$  as in Corollary 4.1.15. Moreover,  $v_{\alpha,k} \in B_{\infty, \infty}^{\Gamma_1 \times G - la, \Gamma_2 - sm}$  for all  $k$  and  $\alpha$ . We deduce that

$$f(g) = \sum_k r_k \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{\alpha!} g \cdot v_{\alpha,k} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_M)^\alpha.$$

By definition of  $\theta_1$  one gets that

$$\theta_{i,(1)}(f)(g) = \sum_k \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{r_k (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_M)^\alpha}{\alpha!} g \cdot \theta_{i,(1)}(v_{\alpha,k}).$$

But we also have by construction

$$v_{\alpha,k} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_M)^\alpha \theta_{\alpha,(2)}(v_k).$$

By (4.13), and the fact that the  $\theta_{\alpha,(2)}(v_k)$  are  $\Gamma_1$ -smooth, the previous implies that

$$\theta_{i,(1)}(v_{\alpha,k}) = - \sum_{j=1}^d a_{i,j} v_{\alpha+1_j,k},$$

with  $1_j = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$  is the vector with 1 at the  $j$ -th component and 0 in the rest. A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{i,(1)}(f)(g) &= - \sum_{j=1}^d a_{i,j} \sum_k \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{r_k(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_M)^\alpha}{\alpha!} g \cdot v_{\alpha+1_j,k} \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^d a_{i,j} \sum_k r_k g \cdot \theta_{j,(2)}(v_k) \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^d a_{i,j} \theta_{j,(2)}(f)(g) \end{aligned}$$

as wanted.  $\square$

Finally, we prove the functoriality with respect to the group. We first prove the version when  $X = Y$ .

**Lemma 4.1.24.** *In Theorem 3.3.3, suppose that  $X = Y$  is affinoid, then the commutativity of (4.10) holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $\widetilde{X}_G \rightarrow X$  and  $\widetilde{X}_H \rightarrow X$  denote the  $G$  and  $H$ -torsors respectively, and let  $f : \widetilde{X}_H \rightarrow \widetilde{X}_G$  be the equivariant map. Let  $X_\infty$  be the  $\Gamma$ -torsor given by a system of coordinates, let  $\widetilde{B}_\infty$  and  $\widetilde{A}_\infty$  be the rings of functions of  $\widetilde{X}_G \times_X X_\infty$  and  $\widetilde{X}_H \times_X X_\infty$  respectively. Then  $f$  induces a map

$$f^* : C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{B}_\infty) \rightarrow C^{la}(\mathfrak{h}, \widetilde{A}_\infty)$$

equivariant for the action  $\Gamma$ , and compatible with the homomorphism  $H \rightarrow G$ . The lemma follows by the definition of the Sen operators as derivations of  $\text{Lie } \Gamma$  in the Sen modules.  $\square$

Next, we prove the version when the square (4.9) is cartesian

**Lemma 4.1.25.** *In Theorem 3.3.3, suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are affinoids admitting charts and that  $\widetilde{Y} = Y \times_X \widetilde{X}$ . Then (4.10) is commutative.*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi_X$  and  $\phi_Y$  be system of coordinates of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively, and let  $X_{\infty,\psi}$  and  $Y_{\infty,\phi}$  denote the  $\Gamma_X$  and  $\Gamma_Y$  torsors induced by the perfectoid coordinates. Let  $\widetilde{Y}_{\infty,\phi} = Y_{\infty,\phi} \times_Y \widetilde{Y}$  and  $\widetilde{X}_{\infty,\psi} = \widetilde{X} \times_X X_{\infty,\psi}$ , consider the following diagram of torsors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widetilde{Y}_{\infty,\phi} \times_X \widetilde{X}_{\infty,\psi} & \\ \Gamma_\psi \swarrow & \downarrow \Gamma_\phi & \searrow \Gamma_\phi \\ \widetilde{Y}_{\infty,\phi} & \Gamma_\phi \times G \times \Gamma_\psi & \widetilde{Y} \times_X X_{\infty,\psi} \\ \Gamma_\phi \times G \swarrow & \downarrow \Gamma_\phi \times G \times \Gamma_\psi & \searrow G \times \Gamma_\psi \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

By Lemma (4.1.24) we have a commutative diagram of Sen morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & (\mathfrak{g}_{\text{két}} \oplus \text{Lie } \Gamma_{\psi, \text{két}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y \\
 & \nearrow \text{Sen}_{G \times \Gamma_\psi} & \downarrow \\
 \Omega_Y^1(\log)^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y(1) & & \mathfrak{g}_{\text{két}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y \\
 & \searrow \text{Sen}_G & \uparrow
 \end{array}$$

But  $\text{Lie } \Gamma_{\psi, \text{két}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y = f^* \Omega_X^1(\log)^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y(1)$ . We claim that the projection of  $\text{Sen}_{G \times \Gamma_\psi}$  onto  $f^* \Omega_X^1(\log)^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y(1)$  is the pushforward of tangent vectors. Indeed, this follows from the commutativity of Faltings's extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y(1) & \longrightarrow & \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log_Y}^+ & \longrightarrow & \Omega_Y^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow f^* \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y(1) & \longrightarrow & f^* \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \log_X}^+ & \longrightarrow & f^* \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Y \longrightarrow 0,
 \end{array}$$

from Proposition 4.1.17, and from the proof of Proposition 4.1.22.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.1.26.** *In Theorem 3.3.3, suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are affinoid admitting charts. Then (4.10) is commutative.*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemmas 4.1.24 and 4.1.25 after writing the diagram (4.9) as a composition  $\widetilde{Y} \rightarrow Y \times_X \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ .  $\square$

The Sen morphism encodes the directions of perfectoidness of systems of coordinates of  $X$ . We have the following conjecture, which is a generalization of a theorem of Sen saying that a  $p$ -adic Galois representation of a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has vanishing Sen operator if and only if it is potentially unramified, see Corollary 3.32 of [FO].

**Conjecture 4.1.27.** *The Sen morphism  $\text{Sen}_{\widetilde{X}} : \Omega_X^1(\log)^\vee \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{két}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$  is a  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ -subbundle (i.e. it is injective with locally free quotient) if and only if  $\widetilde{X}_C$  is a perfectoid space.*

*Remark 4.1.28.* In the work [RC22], we show that the pro(Kummer)étale torsors defining the infinite level Shimura varieties satisfy this subbundle condition. The proof of this fact never uses the perfectoidness of the Shimura variety, but the  $p$ -adic Riemann-Hilbert correspondence of [DLLZ18].

**Notation 4.1.29.** From now on, we will also write  $\theta_{\widetilde{X}}$  for the map  $\text{Sen}_{\widetilde{X}}$  (or  $\theta$  is  $\widetilde{X}$  is clear from the context). Given  $F$  a locally analytic LF  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , we let  $\theta_X(F)$  (or simply  $\theta_X$ ) denote the Sen operator of the  $G^\dagger$  equivariant  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}}$ -sheaf  $F \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}}$ .

**4.2. Application to proétale cohomology.** We keep the previous notations, i.e.,  $X$  is an fs log smooth adic space over  $K$  with log structure given by normal crossing divisors, and  $\widetilde{X}$  a pro-Kummer-étale  $G$ -torsor over  $X$ . In this last section we apply the globalization of the Sen operators of §4.1 and the computations of group cohomology via Sen theory of §3.4 to finally prove part (3) of Theorem 4.0.3, and deduce Theorem 4.0.2. In the process, we also prove a version of the first theorem with coefficients over the completed structural sheaf of the boundary divisor. We finish by explaining the relation between the Sen operator  $\theta_X$ , and the  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence of [LZ17, DLLZ18, Wan21].

**4.2.1. Pro-Kummer-étale cohomology of relative locally analytic  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -modules.** Let  $X$  and  $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be as before. Let  $D \subset X$  be the boundary divisor. Étale locally on  $X$ ,  $D$  can be written as a disjoint union of irreducible components  $D = \bigcup_{a \in I} D_a$ , where the finite intersections of the  $D_a$ 's are smooth. If this holds locally analytic over  $X$  we say that  $D$  is a strict normal crossing divisor, for simplicity let us assume that this is the case. Given  $J \subset I$  a finite subset we set  $D_J = \bigcap_{a \in I} D_a$  endowed with the log structure pulled back from  $X$ , and let  $\iota_J : D_J \subset X$  be the inclusion map. We will simply write  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}^{(+)}$  for the sheaf  $\iota_{J,*} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}^{(+)}$  over  $X_{\text{prokét}}$ .

Consider the following scenario. Let  $W$  be a locally noetherian adic space over  $(K, K^+)$  endowed with an action of  $G$ . Let  $\pi_W^\diamond : \widetilde{X}^\diamond \rightarrow W^\diamond$  be a  $G$ -equivariant map of diamonds over  $\text{Spd } K$ . In particular, as the map of diamonds respect the untilts, we have a map of ringed sites

$$\pi_W : (\widetilde{X}_{\text{prokét}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}}) \rightarrow (W_{\text{an}}, \mathcal{O}_{W,\text{an}}).$$

**Definition 4.2.1.** (1) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a squarrable  $LF$  sheaf over  $W$  (see Definition 2.3.1). We define the pullback  $\pi_W^*(\mathcal{F})$  to be the  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}}$ -sheaf mapping a log affinoid perfectoid  $U = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$  to the  $LF$  space

$$\Gamma(U, \pi_W^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi_W^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_W)} \mathcal{O}_U).$$

In particular, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is of the form  $\varinjlim_n \varprojlim_m \mathcal{B}_n$  with  $\mathcal{B}_{n,m}$  ON Banach sheaves, we have that

$$\pi_W^*(\mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_n \varprojlim_m \pi_W^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{n,m}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi_W^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_W)} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}},$$

where the last tensor product is given by the projective tensor product (or just the solid tensor product) of Banach spaces on affinoid perfectoids.

(2) Let  $G' \subset G$  be an open subgroup, we denote by  $\nu_{G'} : X_{C,G',\text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C,G',\text{két}}$  and by  $\eta_{G'} : X_{C,G',\text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C,G',\text{an}}$  the projections of sites. Let  $G^\dagger = \{G' \subset G\}$  denote the overconvergent neighbourhood of 1 in  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $G^\dagger$ -equivariant squarrable  $LF$  sheaf over  $W$  which can be written, locally on  $W_{\text{an}}$ , as a colimit of  $G'$ -equivariant squarrable  $LF$  sheaves for  $G' \rightarrow 1$ , say  $\mathcal{F} = \varinjlim_{G' \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{F}_{G'}$ . We define the following projection living in  $\widetilde{X}_{\text{két}}$  (resp.

$\widetilde{X}_{\text{an}})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Rv_{\infty,*}\pi_W^*(\mathcal{F}) &:= \lim_{G' \rightarrow 1} Rv_{G',*}\pi_W^*(\mathcal{F}_{G'}) \\ R\eta_{\infty,*}\pi_W^*(\mathcal{F}) &:= \lim_{G' \rightarrow 1} R\eta_{G',*}\pi_W^*(\mathcal{F}_{G'}). \end{aligned}$$

Recall some notations from Definition 2.3.8. Let  $?$   $\in$   $\{\text{két}, \text{an}\}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}_C,?}$  the restriction of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$  to  $\widetilde{X}_{C,?}$ . The sections of the sheaves  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X},?}$  at qcqs objects admit an action of  $G^\dagger$ , we let  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_C,?}^{\text{la}}$  denote the subsheaf of locally analytic sections. We also define  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_C,?}^{\text{sm}}$  to be the subsheaf of smooth sections. Note that  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_C,?}^{\text{sm}} = \lim_{G' \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{O}_{X_{C,G'},?}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a squarrable LF sheaf over  $W$  endowed with a locally analytic action of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Assume that, locally on  $W_{\text{an}}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is of the form  $\mathcal{F} = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ n}} \mathcal{F}_n$  with  $\mathcal{F}_n$  squarrable Fréchet and  $\mathcal{F}_n = \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ m}} \mathcal{B}_{n,m}$  is a presentation with dense transition maps, where the  $\mathcal{B}_{n,m}$  are projective Banach sheaves endowed with a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant action over  $W$  which is analytic for a uniform radius of analyticity as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n$  is fixed. Then*

$$(4.14) \quad Rv_{\infty,*}(\pi_W^*\mathcal{F}) = R\Gamma(\theta_X, (\pi_W^*\mathcal{F})|_{\widetilde{X}_{\text{két}}})^{G^\dagger - \text{sm}}$$

$$(4.15) \quad R\eta_{\infty,*}(\pi_W^*\mathcal{F}) = R\Gamma(\theta_X, (\pi_W^*\mathcal{F})|_{\widetilde{X}_{\text{an}}})^{G^\dagger - \text{sm}}.$$

Moreover, for  $J \subset I$  a finite subset we have

$$\begin{aligned} Rv_{\infty,*}(\pi_W^*\mathcal{F} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}) &= R\Gamma(\theta_X, (\pi_W^*\mathcal{F})|_{\widetilde{X}_{\text{két}}})^{G^\dagger - \text{sm}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{\text{két}}}^{\text{sm}}}^L \mathcal{O}_{D_J, \text{két}}^{\text{sm}} \\ R\eta_{\infty,*}(\pi_W^*\mathcal{F} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}) &= R\Gamma(\theta_X, (\pi_W^*\mathcal{F})|_{\widetilde{X}_{\text{an}}})^{G^\dagger - \text{sm}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{\text{an}}}^{\text{sm}}}^L \mathcal{O}_{D_J, \text{an}}^{\text{sm}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{D_J,?}^{\text{sm}} = \lim_{G' \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{O}_{D_J \times_X X_{G'},?}$ .

*Proof.* By functoriality of  $R\eta_{\infty,*}$  and  $\theta_X$ , the statement is local on the analytic topology of  $\widetilde{X}$ . Then, we can assume without loss of generality that  $X$  is affinoid, that it admits a chart  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_K^{(e,d-e)}$ , and that  $\mathcal{F}$  can be written as a colimit of squarrable Fréchet spaces. Since  $X_?$  for  $?$   $\in$   $\{\text{két}, \text{an}\}$  admits a basis consisting in qcqs objects, the derived pushforwards  $Rv_{G',*}$  commute with limits and filtered colimits. Then,

$$Rv_{G',*}(\pi_W^*\mathcal{F}) = \lim_n \lim_{\leftarrow m} Rv_{G',*}(\pi_W^*\mathcal{B}_{n,m}).$$

Let  $X_\infty$  denote the  $\Gamma$ -torsor obtained by taking the pullback of the perfectoid coordinates. Then, for an affinoid  $U \in X_{C,G',\text{két}}$  we have by Proposition 3.4.7

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(U, \pi_W^*\mathcal{B}_{n,m}) &= R\Gamma(G' \times \Gamma, \pi_W^*\mathcal{B}_{n,m}(\widetilde{X} \times_{X_{G'}} U \times_X X_\infty)) \\ &= R\Gamma(\theta_X, \pi_W^*\mathcal{B}_{n,m}(\widetilde{X} \times_{X_{G'}} U))^{G'}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $\widetilde{U} \in \widetilde{X}_{\text{két}}$  be a qcqs object arising from an affinoid  $U_{G'} \in X_{G'}$ . For  $G'' \subset G'$  let  $U_{G''}$  denote the pullback to  $X_{G''}$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 Rv_{\infty,*}(\pi_W^* \mathcal{F})(\widetilde{U}) &= \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ G'',n}} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(U_{G''}, \pi_W^* \mathcal{F}_n) \\
 &= \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ G'',n}} \lim_{\longleftarrow m} R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(U_{G''}, \pi_W^* \mathcal{B}_{n,m}) \\
 &= \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ G'',n}} \lim_{\longleftarrow m} R\Gamma(\theta_X, \pi_W^* \mathcal{B}_{n,m}(\widetilde{U}))^{G''} \\
 &= \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ G'',n}} (R\Gamma(\theta_X, \pi_W^* \mathcal{F}_n)(\widetilde{U}))^{G''} \\
 &= \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ n}} R\Gamma(\theta_X, \pi_W^* \mathcal{F}_n)(\widetilde{U})^{G^\dagger - sm} \\
 &= R\Gamma(\theta_X, \pi_W^* \mathcal{F})(\widetilde{U})^{G^\dagger - sm}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.14). Notice that the same computation holds for a qcqs object  $\widetilde{U} \in \widetilde{X}_{\text{an}}$  arising as the pullback of an open affinoid subspace  $U \subset X_{G'}$ , this implies (4.15).

Finally, the statement for  $\pi_W^* \mathcal{F} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}$  can be reduced to the case  $\mathcal{F} = C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{O}_W)_{\star 1,3}$  by first reducing to the ON Banach case, and then using the same strategy of Lemma 3.3.8. This last situation will be handle in Theorem 4.2.4.  $\square$

With all the previous preparations we can prove Theorem 4.0.2

*Proof of Theorem 4.0.2.* Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian rigid analytic space over  $(K, K^+)$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  a relative locally analytic  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -module over  $X$  as in Definition 4.0.1. Let  $v_X : X_{C, \text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C, \text{két}}$  be the projection of sites. Let us first suppose that the theorem holds for qcqs spaces, taking an hypercover  $U_\bullet$  of  $X$  in the analytic topology by disjoint union of open affinoid subspaces, we have a natural quasi-isomorphism

$$Rv_{U_\bullet,*} \mathcal{F} = v_{U_\bullet,*} R\Gamma(\theta_{U_\bullet}, \mathcal{F})$$

which can be promoted to a an equivalence in the  $\infty$ -category of sheaves on  $U_\bullet, \text{két}$  on solid  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector spaces. It is clear that the Sen operator  $\theta_{U_\bullet}$  glues to a Sen operator  $\theta_X$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Furthermore, functoriality of the formation of  $\theta_X$  follows from the functoriality over qcqs spaces. Since  $Rv_{X,*} \mathcal{F} = R \lim_{\longleftarrow \Delta} Rv_{U_\bullet,*} \mathcal{F}$  and  $v_{X,*} R\Gamma(\theta_X, \mathcal{F}) = R \lim_{\longleftarrow \Delta} v_{U_\bullet,*} R\Gamma(\theta_{U_\bullet}, \mathcal{F})$ , one gets the desired quasi-isomorphism

$$Rv_{X,*} \mathcal{F} = v_{X,*} R\Gamma(\theta_X, \mathcal{F}).$$

Thus, let us assume that  $X$  is qcqs, by taking a finite Kummer-étale hypercover of  $X$  and arguing as before, we can assume that  $X$  is affinoid admitting a chart to  $\mathbb{S}_K^{(e,d-e)}$ , and that there exists a lattice  $\mathcal{F}^0 \subset \mathcal{F}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^0/p^\epsilon = {}^{ae} \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}^+/p^\epsilon$ . Let  $X_\infty$  be the  $\Gamma$ -torsor over  $X$  obtain from the perfectoid coordinates of  $\mathbb{S}^{(e,d-e)}$ , by Theorem 3.3.3 we can write  $\mathcal{F} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(X_n)} V$  where  $V$  is a  $\mathcal{O}(A_n)$ -semilinear locally analytic Banach representation of  $\Gamma$ . By Theorem 4.2.2 there

exists a functorial Sen operator  $\theta_X$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  such that

$$R\eta_{X,*}\mathcal{F} = \eta_{X,*}R\Gamma(\theta_X, \mathcal{F}),$$

proving parts (1), (2) and (3) of the theorem. Finally, part (4) follows from (4.15).  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.3.* The condition imposed on the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is ad hoc, but it suffices for the applications to Shimura varieties in [RC22]. The proof of Lemma 3.3.8 suggests that there should be a category of “relative locally analytic  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$  sheaves over  $X$ ”, generated by the sheaves of locally analytic functions of pro-Kummer-étale  $G$ -torsors where  $G$  is a  $p$ -adic Lie group. Then, the (rational)  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence could be seen as the construction of a natural Sen operator  $\theta_X(\mathcal{F})$  for  $\mathcal{F}$  a sheaf in this category.

4.2.2. *Locally analytic vectors of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ .* We make the following non-degeneracy hypothesis for the Sen operators

(BUN) The Sen morphism  $\theta_{\widetilde{X}} : \Omega_X^1(\log)^\vee \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{két}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$  is a subbundle.

In other words,  $\theta_{\widetilde{X}}$  is injective and its cokernel is an  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ -vector bundle.

**Theorem 4.2.4.** *Let  $\nu : X_{C,\text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C,\text{két}}$  and  $\eta : \widetilde{X}_{C,\text{prokét}} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}_{C,\text{an}}$  denote the projection of sites. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} R\nu_{\infty,*}(C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) &= \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{C,\text{két}}}^{la} \\ R\eta_{\infty,*}(C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) &= \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{C,\text{an}}}^{la}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let  $J \subset I$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} R\nu_{\infty,*}(C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}) &= \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{C,\text{két}}}^{la} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{C,\text{két}}}^{sm}} \mathcal{O}_{D_J,\text{két}}^{sm} \\ R\eta_{\infty,*}(C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}) &= \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{C,\text{an}}}^{la} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{C,\text{an}}}^{sm}} \mathcal{O}_{D_J,\text{an}}^{sm}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The statement is local on  $\widetilde{X}_?$  for  $? \in \{\text{két}, \text{an}\}$ , so we can assume that  $X$  is affinoid and that it admits a chart  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_K^{(e,d-e)}$ . Let  $\widetilde{U} \in \widetilde{X}_{C,\text{két}}$  be a qcqs object arising from an affinoid  $U \in X_{C,G',\text{két}}$  with  $G' \subset G$ . For  $G'' \subset G'$  we shall denote  $U_{G''} := X_{C,G''} \times_{X_{C,G'}} U$ . Let  $J \subset \{e+1, \dots, d\}$  and consider  $D_J$  the divisor defined by  $(S_a : a \in J)$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $J = \{k+1, \dots, d\}$ . Let  $X_\infty$  be the pullback over  $X$  of the perfectoid coordinates of  $\mathbb{S}_K^{(e,d-e)}$ , and let us denote  $\widetilde{U}_\infty := \widetilde{U} \times_X X_\infty$ . Then, since  $\widetilde{U}_\infty$  is perfectoid, we have that

$$R\Gamma_{\text{prokét}}(U, C^{la}(G', \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}) = R\Gamma(G' \times \Gamma, C^{la}(G', \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}(\widetilde{U}_\infty)).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} R\nu_{\infty,*}(C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J})(\widetilde{U}) &= \varinjlim_{G'' \rightarrow 1} R\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(U_{G''}, C^{la}(G'', \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}) \\ &= \varinjlim_{G'' \rightarrow 1} R\Gamma(G' \times \Gamma, C^{la}(G', \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}(\widetilde{U}_\infty)). \end{aligned}$$



But the triple  $(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}(\widetilde{U}_\infty), G' \times \Gamma_{J^c}, \text{pr}_{J^c})$  is a Sen theory, where  $\Gamma_{J^c} \subset \Gamma$  correspond to the  $J^c$ -th components with  $J^c = \{e+1, \dots, d\} \setminus J$ . Indeed, the Sen traces of Example 3.1.7 specializes to Sen traces of the boundary ( $S_a = 0 : a \in J$ ), and the proof of Proposition 4.1.5 still holds in this situation. However,  $D_J$  also has monodromy given by the action of  $\Gamma_J$  (see the proof of [LLZ19, Proposition 3.5.7]), the  $J$ -th components of  $\Gamma$ , and  $\text{Lie } \Gamma_J$  acts via the reduction of the Sen map to the boundary:  $\theta_X : \text{Lie } \Gamma \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}$ . Therefore, by Proposition 3.4.7 one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(G' \times \Gamma, C^{la}(G'', \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}(\widetilde{U}_\infty)) &= R\Gamma(\theta_X, C^{la}(G'', \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}(\widetilde{U}))_{\star_{1,3}})^{G''} \\ &= R\Gamma(\theta_X, C^{la}(G'', \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\widetilde{U}))_{\star_{1,3}})^{G''} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{X(U_{G''})}} \mathcal{O}_{D_J}(U_{G''}). \end{aligned}$$

For the second equality one uses the description of  $R\Gamma(\theta_X, C^{la}(G'', \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X)_{\star_{1,3}})^{G''}$  as the  $\Gamma$ -invariants of the Lie  $\Gamma$ -Koszul complex of the Sen module of  $C^{la}(G'', \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X)$ , see Definition 3.4.5. Hence, one deduces

$$Rv_{\infty,*}(C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_J}(\widetilde{U})) = R\Gamma(\theta_X, C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\widetilde{U}))^{G^\dagger - sm} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}}^{sm}(\widetilde{U})} \mathcal{O}_{D_J}^{sm}(\widetilde{U}).$$

This proves the final part of Theorem 4.2.2.

Finally, if the hypothesis (BUN) holds, we can suppose that the image of  $\theta_X$  admits a complement given by a subspace  $V \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . In particular, the Poincaré lemma implies that

$$R\Gamma(\theta_X, C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\star_1} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\widetilde{U})) = C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\widetilde{U}))_{\star_{1,3}}^{\theta_X=0}.$$

But then, the  $G^\dagger$ -smooth vectors of  $C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\widetilde{U}))_{\star_{1,3}}^{\theta_X=0}$  are nothing but the  $G^\dagger$ -smooth and  $\Gamma$ -invariant vectors of  $C^{la}(\mathfrak{g}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(\widetilde{U}_\infty))_{\star_{1,3}}$ , which are precisely the locally analytic vectors  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}, \text{két}}^{la}(\widetilde{U})$ . Notice that the previous argument does not change if we take instead  $\widetilde{U} \in \widetilde{X}_{C, \text{an}}$ , this ends the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.5.** *The action of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}_{C, \text{an}}}^{la} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  on  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}_{C, \text{an}}}^{la}$  by derivations factors through the image of the Sen map  $\theta_{\widetilde{X}}$ . In other words, the locally analytic vectors of the completed structural sheaf over  $\widetilde{X}_C$  are killed by the differential operators defined by the Sen map  $\theta_{\widetilde{X}} : \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^1(\log)^\vee \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}_{C, \text{an}}}^{la}(1) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{X}_{C, \text{an}}}^{la} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ .*

**4.2.3. The  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence.** In this paragraph we explain the relation between the Sen operator and the  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence of [LZ17, DLLZ18, Wan21]. Let us recall the following result

**Theorem 4.2.6** ([LZ17, Theorem 2.1] and [DLLZ18, Theorem 3.2.4]). *Let  $X$  be an fs log smooth adic space over  $\text{Spa}(K, K^+)$  and let  $\mathbb{L}$  be a pro-Kummer-étale  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -local system admitting a lisse lattice  $\mathbb{L}^0 \subset \mathbb{L}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{C_{\log}} = \text{gr}^0 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \log}}$  be the Hodge-Tate period sheaf, and  $v : X_{C, \text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{C, \text{két}}$  be the projection of sites. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) := Rv_*(\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_{\log}})$$

is a  $\text{Gal}_K$ -equivariant log Higgs bundle concentrated in degree 0. Let  $\theta$  denote the Higgs operator of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ . Then one has

$$Rv_*\mathbb{L}\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = R\Gamma(\theta_X, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})).$$

It turns out that using the theory we have developed so far we can deduce the previous theorem. In fact, let us suppose without loss of generality that  $\mathbb{L}^0$  is of rank  $n$ . Define the  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -torsor

$$\widetilde{X} := \text{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n, \mathbb{L}^0).$$

Thus,  $\mathbb{L}$  is constructed from the standard representation of  $\text{GL}_n$  via the torsor  $\widetilde{X}$ . In particular, by Theorem 4.1.19,  $\mathbb{L} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$  has a Sen operator  $\theta_X$ . On the other hand, by Corollary 4.1.14, the Sen operator of  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}}$  is  $-\overline{\nabla}$ . Thus, by Theorem 4.2.2 one gets that

$$Rv_*(\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}}) = v_*R\Gamma(-\theta_X \otimes \overline{\nabla}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}}).$$

Hence, we are left to show that  $R\Gamma(-\theta_X \otimes \overline{\nabla}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}})$  is concentrated in degree 0, and that it admits a reduction to a vector bundle. We need the following lemma, which is essentially Lemma 2.15 of [LZ17].

**Lemma 4.2.7.** *The image of  $\theta_X : \Omega_X^1(\log)^\vee \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_{n, \text{két}} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$  is contained in a nilpotent subalgebra.*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that the action of  $\theta_X$  on  $\mathbb{L}$  is nilpotent. But the coefficients of its characteristic polynomial are given by

$$\sigma_i : \Omega_X^1(\log)^\vee \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X} \left( \bigwedge^i \mathbb{L} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \right) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X,$$

and the  $\sigma_i$  are Galois equivariant. This forces  $\sigma_i = 0$  proving that  $\theta_X$  is nilpotent.  $\square$

Now, knowing that the action of  $\theta_X$  is nilpotent on  $\mathbb{L}$ , one can show by taking perfectoid coordinates with Galois group  $\Gamma$ , that the action of a basis of  $\text{Lie } \Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{L}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}}$  can be integrated. Therefore,  $R\Gamma(-\theta_X \otimes \overline{\nabla}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}}) = (\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}})^{\theta_X=0}$  and

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) = v_*(\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}})^{\theta_X \otimes \overline{\nabla}=0}.$$

The fact that  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$  is a vector bundle can be deduced by a more careful study of the Sen module  $S_\psi(\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Clog}})$  in local coordinates  $\psi$ .

*Remark 4.2.8.* The previous argument holds not only for pro-Kummer-étale  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -vector bundles arising from local systems  $\mathbb{L}$ , but for any  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$ . Indeed, we can always find locally Kummer-étale on  $X$  a lattice  $\mathcal{F}^+ \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^+/p = {}^{ae}\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}^+/p$  as pro-Kummer-étale sheaves. By Theorem 4.0.2, the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a Sen operator  $\theta_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log)$  computing its cohomology. Then, since  $X$  is defined over a discretely valued field, the proof of Lemma 4.2.7 shows that  $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$  is actually a nilpotent operator. This would prove in particular that Theorem 4.2.6 holds not just for local systems but for any  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ -vector bundle in the

case when  $X$  is defined over a discretely valued field. We thank Ben Heuer for pointing this out.

Finally, let us mention the relation with the work of Wang [Wan21]. Let  $X$  be a rigid analytic space over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  admitting a liftable good reduction  $\mathfrak{X}$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$  (this means that  $\mathfrak{X}$  admits a lifting over  $A_{\text{inf}}/\xi^2$  where  $\xi = ([\epsilon] - 1)/([\epsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}] - 1)$ ). We have the following theorem

**Theorem 4.2.9** ([Wan21, Theorem 5.3]). *Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\log}^\dagger$  denote the overconvergent Hodge-Tate period sheaf of Wang. Let  $a \geq 1/(p - 1)$  and  $v : X_{\text{prokét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{két}}$  be the projection of sites. Then the functor*

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}) := v_*(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\log}^\dagger)$$

*induces an equivalence from the category of  $a$ -small generalized representations to the category of  $a$ -small Higgs bundles.*

*Remark 4.2.10.* An  $a$ -small generalized representation of rank  $l$  is a locally free  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{L}$  admitting a lattice  $\mathcal{L}^0$  such that there is  $b > a + \text{val}(\rho_k)$  with  $\mathcal{L}^0/p^b = {}^{ae}(\mathcal{O}_X^+/p^b)^l$  ( $\rho_k$  is an element in  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$  depending on the ramification of a discretely valued subfield).

The way how Wang constructs the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\log}^\dagger$  is by considering a particular lattice of the Faltings extension provided by the lifting of  $\mathfrak{X}$  to  $A_2$ , cf. [Wan21, Corollary 2.19]. Locally on coordinates, the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\log}^\dagger$  is nothing but the completion of a polynomial algebra to an overconvergent polydisc of radius  $|\rho_k|$  (cf. [Wan21, Theorem 2.27]). The  $a$ -smallness condition is a finite rank version of the relative locally analytic condition of Definition 3.2.5, where one imposes a fixed radius of analyticity. Then, the decompletion used by Wang in [Wan21, §3.1] is the integral version of the decompletion provided by Berger-Colmez axiomatic Sen theory [BC08].

#### REFERENCES

- [AGT16] Ahmed Abbes, Michel Gros, and Takeshi Tsuji. *The  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence*, volume 193 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2016.
- [BC08] Laurent Berger and Pierre Colmez. Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie  $p$ -adique. *Astérisque*, (319):303–337, 2008. Représentations  $p$ -adiques de groupes  $p$ -adiques. I. Représentations galoisiennes et  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules.
- [BC16] Laurent Berger and Pierre Colmez. Théorie de Sen et vecteurs localement analytiques. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 49(4):947–970, 2016.
- [Bos21] Guido Bosco. On the  $p$ -adic pro-étale cohomology of Drinfeld symmetric spaces. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2110.10683.pdf>, 2021.
- [BP21] G. Boxer and V. Pilloni. Higher Coleman Theory. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.10251>, 2021.
- [CC98] F. Cherbonnier and P. Colmez. Représentations  $p$ -adiques surconvergentes. *Invent. Math.*, 133(3):581–611, 1998.
- [CS] Dustin Clausen and Peter Scholze. Condensed mathematics. In preparation.
- [CS19] Dustin Clausen and Peter Scholze. Lectures on condensed mathematics. <https://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/scholze/Condensed.pdf>, 2019.

- [DdSMS99] J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann, and D. Segal. *Analytic pro- $p$  groups*, volume 61 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1999.
- [DLLZ18] H. Diao, Kai-Wen Lan, R. Liu, and X. Zhu. Logarithmic Riemann-Hilbert correspondences for rigid varieties. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.05786>, 2018.
- [DLLZ19] H. Diao, Kai-Wen Lan, R. Liu, and X. Zhu. Logarithmic adic spaces: some foundational results. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.09836>, 2019.
- [Eme17] Matthew Emerton. Locally analytic vectors in representations of locally  $p$ -adic analytic groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 248(1175):iv+158, 2017.
- [Fal05] Gerd Faltings. A  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence. *Adv. Math.*, 198(2):847–862, 2005.
- [Fal11] Gerd Faltings. A  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence II: small representations. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 7(4, Special Issue: In memory of Eckart Viehweg):1241–1264, 2011.
- [FO] Jean-Marc Fontaine and Yi Ouyang. *Theory of  $p$ -adic Galois representations*. Springer.
- [Heu20] Ben Heuer. Line bundles on rigid spaces in the  $v$ -topology, 2020.
- [KL19] Kiran S. Kedlaya and Ruochuan Liu. Relative  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, II: Imperfect period rings. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1602.06899.pdf>, 2019.
- [Laz65] Michel Lazard. Groupes analytiques  $p$ -adiques. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (26):389–603, 1965.
- [LLZ19] Kai-Wen Lan, R. Liu, and X. Zhu. De rham comparison and poincaré duality for rigid varieties, 2019.
- [LZ17] Ruochuan Liu and Xinwen Zhu. Rigidity and a Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for  $p$ -adic local systems. *Invent. Math.*, 207(1):291–343, 2017.
- [Pan22] Lue Pan. On locally analytic vectors of the completed cohomology of modular curves. *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*, 10:e7, 2022.
- [Por22] Gal Porat. Locally analytic vector bundles on the Fargues-Fontaine curve. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.11354>, 2022.
- [RC21] Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo. Eichler-Shimura maps for the modular curve. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2102.13099.pdf>, 2021.
- [RC22] J. E. Rodríguez Camargo. Locally analytic completed cohomology. 2022.
- [RJRC21] Joaquín Rodríguez Jacinto and Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo. Solid locally analytic representations of  $p$ -adic Lie groups. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.11916>, 2021.
- [Sch02] Peter Schneider. *Nonarchimedean functional analysis*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [Sch12] Peter Scholze. Perfectoid spaces. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 116:245–313, 2012.
- [Sch13a] Peter Scholze.  $p$ -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties. *Forum Math. Pi*, 1:e1, 77, 2013.
- [Sch13b] Peter Scholze. Perfectoid spaces: a survey. In *Current developments in mathematics 2012*, pages 193–227. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.
- [Sch18] Peter Scholze. On the  $p$ -adic cohomology of the Lubin-Tate tower. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 51(4):811–863, 2018. With an appendix by Michael Rapoport.
- [SGA70] *Schémas en groupes (SGA 3). Tome I. Propriétés générales des schémas en groupes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 151. Springer, 1970.
- [ST02] Peter Schneider and Jeremy Teitelbaum. Locally analytic distributions and  $p$ -adic representation theory, with applications to  $GL_2$ . *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15(2):443–468, 2002.
- [ST03] Peter Schneider and Jeremy Teitelbaum. Algebras of  $p$ -adic distributions and admissible representations. *Invent. Math.*, 153(1):145–196, 2003.
- [Tam15] Georg Tamme. On an analytic version of Lazard’s isomorphism. *Algebra Number Theory*, 9(4):937–956, 2015.
- [Tat67] J. T. Tate.  $p$ -divisible groups. In *Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966)*, pages 158–183. Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [Wan21] Yupeng Wang. A  $p$ -adic Simpson correspondence for rigid analytic varieties. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2101.00263v3.pdf>, 2021.



ENS DE LYON SITE MONOD UMPA UMR 5669 CNRS 46, ALLÉE D'ITALIE 69364 LYON-FRANCE  
*Email address:* [juan-esteban.rodriquez-camargo@ens-lyon.fr](mailto:juan-esteban.rodriquez-camargo@ens-lyon.fr)