

# NONCOMMUTATIVE ANALYSIS OF HERMITE EXPANSIONS

BANG XU

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the study of Hermite operators acting on noncommutative  $L_p$ -spaces. In the first part, we establish the noncommutative maximal inequalities for Bochner-Riesz means associated with Hermite operators and then obtain the corresponding pointwise convergence theorems. In particular, we develop a noncommutative Stein's theorem of Bochner-Riesz means for the Hermite operators. The second part of this paper deals with two multiplier theorems for Hermite operators. Our analysis on this part is based on a noncommutative analogue of the classical Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory associated with Hermite expansions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The theme of this paper follows the current research direction of semi-commutative (understood as operator-valued) harmonic analysis. Motivated by the rapid development of noncommutative martingale/ergodic theory (see, for instance, [23, 30, 31, 35, 41, 44, 29, 46, 47]) and Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for quantum Markov semigroups (see e.g. [24, 25, 26]), many abstract theories have been successfully transferred to the operator-valued or matrix-valued setting. Even though the operator-valued case seems to be the easiest case in noncommutative theory, it often requires revolutionary ideas and insights. Moreover, together with various transference principles (see e.g. [39, 6, 28, 18]), it plays crucial roles in the more complicated noncommutative setting where the explicit expressions of the kernels are absent. What we require to point out here is that Junge, Mei, Parcet and Xu et al developed a remarkable operator-valued Calderón-Zygmund theory. In particular, Mei [36] gave a systematic study on the operator-valued Hardy spaces and BMO spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . More precisely, based on the noncommutative Doob's maximal inequality [23] or Cuculescu's maximal weak type (1, 1) result for martingales [9], Mei established the operator-valued Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequalities (see [40, 21] for more approaches). Furthermore, in the same paper, Mei proved that these operator-valued Hardy spaces, which are defined by the Littlewood-Paley  $g$ -function or Lusin function associated to Poisson kernel, are norm equivalent in the sense of noncommutative  $L_p$ -norms (see [58, 22] for a general characterization of operator-valued Hardy spaces). Later on, inspired by Cuculescu's maximal weak type (1, 1) inequality for martingales [9], Parcet [40] made use of the tool of noncommutative martingales theory to formulate a noncommutative version of

---

*Date:* May 6, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B20, 42B25 ; Secondary 46L52, 46L53.

*Key words and phrases.* Noncommutative  $L_p$ -space, Hermite semigroup, Maximal inequalities, Pointwise convergence, Multipliers.

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071355).

Calderón-Zygmund decomposition. As a consequence, Parcet obtained the noncommutative weak type  $(1, 1)$  estimates of Calderón-Zygmund operators associated with the standard kernels acting on operator-valued functions, which found an unexpected application in solving the Nazarov-Peller conjecture in the perturbation theory [5]. The reader is referred to [3, 19, 21, 33, 37, 6, 14, 16, 20, 58, 59, 21, 17] for more related works.

Inspired by these remarkable theories and encouraging results, the purpose of the first part of this paper is to investigate the mapping properties of the Bochner-Riesz means for the Hermite operator  $H = -\Delta + |x|^2$  acting on noncommutative  $L_p$ -spaces. To better illustrate our results, let us recall some notions and we refer the reader to the next section for precise definitions. Recall that the Hermite polynomials  $H_m(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  are defined by

$$H_m(x) = (-1)^m \exp(-x^2) \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^m \{\exp(-x^2)\}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

A well-known result is that the normalised Hermite functions  $\varphi_m(x)$  (see (2.2)) form an orthonormal basis of  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ . The Hermite functions arise naturally as the eigenfunctions of the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian and play crucial roles in quantum physics. Accordingly, let  $\{\Phi_\nu\}_\nu$  be the  $d$ -dimensional Hermite functions (see (2.3)). Then  $\{\Phi_\nu\}_\nu$  forms a complete orthonormal system in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Therefore, for any  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have the following associated Hermite expansion of  $f$

$$f(x) = \sum_{\nu} \langle f, \Phi_\nu \rangle \Phi_\nu(x).$$

The commutative theory of Hermite expansions established by Thangavelu et al. Research on mean convergence of Hermite expansions could be traced back to 1965s. Askey and Wainger [1] proved that the Hermite expansion converges to the function if and only if  $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4$  for all  $f \in L_p$ . Thus it is necessary to find suitable summability methods since the expansion fails to converge for  $p$  lying outside the interval  $(\frac{4}{3}, 4)$ . A series of work in this direction has been carried out. In particular, this question has received considerable interest in the context of Bochner-Riesz means and Cesàro means associated with Hermite expansion in the work of Thangavelu (see e.g. [54, 55, 56, 53, 57]). Thangavelu [57] gave a systematic study on Bochner-Riesz means and Cesàro means associated with Hermite expansions, including mean convergence, almost everywhere convergence and multiplier transformations. The work of Thangavelu has already found application in [10, 11]. The reader is referred to, for instance, [32, 2, 8, 48] for more related topics.

Our interest in it comes from a different source than [10, 11]. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal semifinite faithful (abbreviated as *n.s.f.*) trace  $\tau$  and  $\mathcal{N} = L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$  be a tensor von Neumann algebra equipped with a tensor trace  $\varphi = \int \otimes \tau$ . For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$  and  $L_p(\mathcal{N})$  stand for the noncommutative  $L_p$ -spaces associated to the pairs  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \varphi)$ , where  $L_p(\mathcal{N})$  can be identified as the space of  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ -valued  $p$ -th integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , that is  $L_p(\mathcal{N}) = L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; L_p(\mathcal{M}))$ . As we point out previously that the partial sum of Hermite expansions tends to misbehave, it is worthy to considering other summability methods to obtain positive results. Our work is mainly devoted to the study of Bochner-Riesz means for the Hermite operator. For any test function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with values in  $L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$ , we define the

operator-valued Bochner-Riesz means of order  $\alpha$  as follows:

$$S_R^\alpha f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2k+d}{R}\right)_+^\alpha P_k f(x),$$

where  $P_k$  denotes the Hermite projection operator (see (2.5)). Note that this is a finite sum and whence it leads to the question that whether  $S_R^\alpha f$  converges to  $f$  as  $R$  tends to infinity either in  $L_p$ -norm or pointwise convergence in the noncommutative setting. As it is known to all, the pointwise convergence is a consequence of the maximal inequalities. However, since the maximal function is no longer available in the commutative setting (see e.g. [31]), the desired maximal inequalities are much more difficult to get (see Section 4).

The second aspect of this paper is to consider certain multiplier transforms for Hermite expansions. Namely, for a reasonable operator-valued function  $f$ , these operators are formally defined by

$$T_\mu f(x) = \sum_{\nu} \mu(\nu) \langle f, \Phi_\nu \rangle \Phi_\nu(x).$$

Here  $\mu$  is the multiplier. It is obvious to see that if  $\mu$  is a bounded function, then  $T_\mu$  is bounded on  $L_2(\mathcal{N})$ . We are interested in the  $L_p$ -boundedness of  $T_\mu$  for  $p \neq 2$ .

In summary, the subject that we address in this paper is three-fold:

- (i) *Convergence of Bochner-Riesz means.* We consider the mean convergence and pointwise convergence of Bochner-Riesz means for Hermite operator on noncommutative  $L_p$ -spaces, including the corresponding maximal inequalities in one and high dimensional cases respectively.
- (ii) *Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory.* To study multiplier theorems, we develop a noncommutative Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory associated with Hermite expansions. This part depends heavily on the noncommutative Calderón-Zygmund theory.
- (iii) *Multiplier theorems.* Based on the noncommutative Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory, we obtain two multiplier theorems associated with Hermite expansions. The first multiplier theorem is the so-called Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem while the second multiplier theorem, equivalently, is a new type of operator-valued Hardy-Littlewood inequalities.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we present some preliminaries on noncommutative integral. We briefly introduce several noncommutative  $L_p$ -spaces including vector-valued noncommutative  $L_p$ -spaces, Hardy spaces and BMO spaces, which are often involved in the study of noncommutative analysis. This section also gives the definition of operator-valued Hermite expansions and operator-valued Bochner-Riesz means with related basic properties.

In Section 3, we deal with the mean convergence of Bochner-Riesz means in different dimensions. At the end of this section also explains why we consider the Bochner-Riesz means in one and high dimensional cases separately.

In Section 4, we establish the maximal inequalities for the operator-valued Bochner-Riesz means. The proofs depend on the kernel conditions obtained in [55, 56] and some basic maximal inequalities obtained in the previous works [36, 6] for operator-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (or  $\mathbb{T}^d$ ) that are of interest for their own right. In particular, we obtain

a noncommutative analogue of Stein's classical theorem [50] of the Bochner-Riesz means associated with the Hermite operator. These maximal inequalities are the center in our study and indeed it turns out to be important in obtaining the corresponding pointwise convergence theorems.

In Section 5, we introduce two certain multiplier theorems for the Hermite expansions. The first one is the noncommutative version of the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem for Hermite expansion whose proof depends on Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory. The second multiplier theorem can be viewed as the Hardy-Littlewood inequalities for the operator  $(-\Delta + |x|^2)^{-\alpha}$ .

In Section 6, we develop a Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory of  $g$  function defined by the Hermite semigroup  $H^t$ . However, there are two obstacles. The first one is that differ from the  $g$  functions defined in [51] in the general context of semigroups of operators, Hermite semigroup  $H^t$  fails to satisfy the nice condition  $H^t 1 = 1$ . Thus we can not use the general theory developed in [51]. The second obstacle is that we can not use the ideas developed in [36, 58] which depend heavily on Fourier transform. Fortunately, in view of the explicit form of the associated kernel, the idea here is to consider  $g$  function as a Hilbert-valued singular integral operator via noncommutative Calderón-Zygmund theory. To be more precise, we give the proof of  $(L_\infty, \text{BMO})$  estimate for this Hilbert-valued singular integral operator. Then by the noncommutative Khintchine's inequality in weak  $L_1$ -space, we consider the Hilbert-valued singular integral operator as a linear operator and obtain the weak type  $(1, 1)$  estimate. Finally, we prove the Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory by interpolation and noncommutative Khintchine's inequality in  $L_p$ -spaces. The idea of this part follows partially from [53] but modify their argument with more delicate, laboured analysis of the kernel.

In Section 7, we prove the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem for Hermite expansions stated in Section 5 whose proof is based on the noncommutative Littlewood-Paley-Stein developed in Section 6. Moreover, in order to prove this multiplier theorem, more auxiliary functions will be introduced.

Finally, in Section 8, we prove another multiplier theorem announced in Section 5 that can not be obtained by the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem. The main strategy here is to prove the  $(H_1, L_1)$  estimate of this associated operator by operator-valued Hardy space theory established by Mei [36]. Then in conjunction with type  $(2, 2)$  estimate, interpolation and duality, we obtain the corresponding strong type  $(p, p)$  estimate.

**Notation:** Throughout the paper we write  $X \lesssim Y$  for nonnegative quantities  $X$  and  $Y$  to imply that there exists some inessential constant  $C > 0$  such that  $X \leq CY$  and we write  $X \approx Y$  to mean that  $X \lesssim Y$  and  $Y \lesssim X$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Noncommutative  $L_p$ -spaces.** Throughout the paper,  $\mathcal{M}$  always denote a semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal semifinite faithful trace  $\tau$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_+$  be the positive part of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}_+}$  be the set of all  $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$  such that  $\tau(\text{supp}(x)) < \infty$ , where  $\text{supp}(x)$  means the support of  $x$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$  the linear span of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}_+}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a  $w^*$ -dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Given  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ , we set

$$\|x\|_p = (\tau(|x|^p))^{1/p},$$

where  $|x| = (x^*x)^{1/2}$  is the modulus of  $x$ . Then one can check that  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . The completion of  $(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is the so-called noncommutative  $L_p$ -space associated with  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , which is simply written as  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ . As usual, we set  $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$  equipped with the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Denote by  $L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$  the positive part of  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ .

A closed and densely defined operator  $x$  affiliated with  $\mathcal{M}$  is called  $\tau$ -measurable if there is  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\tau(\chi_{(\lambda, \infty)}(|x|)) < \infty,$$

where  $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}(x)$  is the spectral decomposition of  $x$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $L_0(\mathcal{M})$  be the set of the  $*$ -algebra of  $\tau$ -measurable operators. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the noncommutative weak  $L_p$ -space  $L_{p, \infty}(\mathcal{M})$  is defined as the set of all  $x$  in  $L_0(\mathcal{M})$  with the following finite quasi-norm

$$\|x\|_{p, \infty} = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \tau(\chi_{(\lambda, \infty)}(|x|))^{1/p} < \infty.$$

We refer the reader to [12, 45] for more information on noncommutative  $L_p$ -spaces.

**2.2. Noncommutative Hilbert valued  $L_p$ -spaces.** The noncommutative Hilbert valued  $L_p$ -spaces present a suitable framework for studying the square functions in the noncommutative setting. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Given  $v \in \mathcal{H}$  with norm one, take  $p_v = v \otimes \bar{v}$  the rank one projection onto  $\text{span}\{v\}$ . Then for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we define

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r) = (p_v \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}})L_p(B(\mathcal{H}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}) \quad \text{and} \quad L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c) = L_p(B(\mathcal{H}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M})(p_v \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}),$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$  stands for the unit elements in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $B(\mathcal{H}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$  is equipped with the tensor trace while  $B(\mathcal{H})$  is equipped with the usual trace. The definitions of these two spaces are essentially independent of the choice of  $e$ . Indeed, for any element  $u \in L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r)$ , we may regard it as an element in  $L_p(B(\mathcal{H}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$ , and this leads to that the product  $uu^*$  belongs to  $(p_v \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}})L_{p/2}(B(\mathcal{H}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M})(p_v \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}})$  which may be identified with the space  $L_{p/2}(\mathcal{M})$ . Similarly, for  $u \in L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c)$ , the same holds for  $u^*u$ . Therefore, we conclude that

$$\|u\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r)} = \|(uu^*)^{1/2}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c)} = \|(u^*u)^{1/2}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}.$$

According to [24, Chapter 2], we may apply these identities to regard  $L_p(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{H}$  as a dense subspace of  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r)$  and  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c)$ . To be more specific, for  $u = \sum_k u_k \otimes v_k \in L_p(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r)} &= \left\| \left( \sum_{i,j} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle u_i u_j^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}, \\ \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c)} &= \left\| \left( \sum_{i,j} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle u_i^* u_j \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}. \end{aligned}$$

This procedure can also be used to define

$$L_{1, \infty}(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{1, \infty}(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c).$$

Finally, we define the mixture spaces  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^{rc})$  as follows:

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^{rc}) = \begin{cases} L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r) + L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c) & 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r) \cap L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c) & 2 \leq p \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious to see that  $L_2(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r) = L_2(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c) = L_2(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^{rc})$ . The reader is referred to [24] for a more general description of the Hilbert valued operator spaces.

**2.3. Noncommutative  $\ell_\infty$ -valued  $L_p$ -spaces and maximal inequalities.** Given  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the space  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$  is defined as all sequence  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 0}$  in  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$  which admit a factorization: there are  $a, b \in L_{2p}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $y = (y_n) \subset \bar{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M})$  such that

$$x_n = ay_nb, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

We then define

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)} = \inf \left\{ \|a\|_{2p} \sup_{n \geq 0} \|y_n\|_\infty \|b\|_{2p} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all factorizations as above. One can check that  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)}$ . As usual, we adopt the convention that the norm of  $x$  in  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$  is denoted by  $\|\sup_{n \geq 0} x_n\|_p$ . More generally, for any index set  $I$ , the space  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty(I))$  can be defined similarly.

The following property is stated in [6, Remark 4.1].

**Remark 2.1.** Let  $x = (x_n)_{n \in I}$  be a sequence of selfadjoint operators in  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ . Then  $x = (x_n)_{n \in I}$  belongs to  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$  if and only if there is  $a \in L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$  such that  $-a \leq x_n \leq a$  for all  $n \in I$ . Moreover, in this case,

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)} = \inf \left\{ \|a\|_p : a \in L_p(\mathcal{M})_+ \text{ such that } -a \leq x_n \leq a, \forall n \in I \right\}.$$

In the rest of this paper, we will omit the index set  $I$  when it will not cause confusions.

It has already been shown in [23] that  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$  is a dual space for every  $p > 1$  and its predual space is denoted by  $L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)$  ( $p'$  being the conjugate index of  $p$ ). Let us briefly recall the latter space. Given  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)$  is defined to be the space of all sequences  $x = (x_n)_n$  in  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$  which can be factorized as

$$x_n = \sum_k u_{kn}^* v_{kn}, \quad \forall n$$

for two families  $(u_{kn})_{k,n}$  and  $(v_{kn})_{k,n}$  in  $L_{2p}(\mathcal{M})$  such that

$$\sum_{k,n} u_{kn}^* u_{kn} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k,n} v_{kn}^* v_{kn} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}),$$

where all series are required to be convergent in  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$  (relative to the  $w^*$ -topology for  $p = \infty$ ). The norm of  $x$  in  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)$  is defined by

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)} = \inf \left\| \sum_{k,n} u_{kn}^* u_{kn} \right\|_p^{1/2} \left\| \sum_{k,n} v_{kn}^* v_{kn} \right\|_p^{1/2},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible decompositions  $x_n = \sum_k u_{kn}^* v_{kn}$ . Therefore,  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)$  is a Banach space when equipped with the norm above. The duality between  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$  and  $L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)$  is given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_n \tau(x_n y_n), \quad x = (x_n)_n \in L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty), \quad y = (y_n)_n \in L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1).$$

**Remark 2.2.** As previously for  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$ , we have the following characterization of positive sequences in  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)$ . For a positive sequence  $x = (x_n)_n$  belong to  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)$  if and only if  $\sum_n x_n \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ . And in this case, we have

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)} = \left\| \sum_n x_n \right\|_p.$$

The following property can be found in [31, Proposition 2.1].

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $x = (x_n)$  be a positive sequence in  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$ . Then

$$\left\| \sup_n^+ x_n \right\|_p = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_n \tau(x_n y_n) \right\| : y_n \in L_{p'}(\mathcal{M})_+ \text{ and } \left\| \sum_n y_n \right\|_{p'} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Based on these necessary notions, we can present the definition of the noncommutative maximal inequalities.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and let  $S = (S_n)_n$  be a family of maps from  $L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$  to  $L_0(\mathcal{M})_+$ .

- (i) For  $p < \infty$ , we say that  $S$  is of weak type  $(p, p)$  with constant  $C$  if there is a positive constant  $C$  such that for any  $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$  and any  $\lambda > 0$ , there is a projection  $e \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfying

$$\forall n \quad e S_n(x) e \leq \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(e^\perp) \leq \frac{C^p \|x\|_p}{\lambda^p}.$$

- (ii) For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we say that  $S$  is of strong type  $(p, p)$  with constant  $C$  if there is a positive constant  $C$  such that for any  $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$  there exists  $a \in L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$  satisfying

$$\forall n \quad S_n(x) \leq a \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\|_p \leq C \|x\|_p.$$

We refer the reader to [23] and [31] for more details.

**2.4. Almost uniform convergence.** In this subsection, we recall the noncommutative analogue of the usual almost everywhere convergence. The following definition is introduced by Lance [34].

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a semifinite normal faithful trace  $\tau$ . Let  $x_n, x \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$ .

- (i)  $(x_n)$  is said to converge bilaterally almost uniformly (b.a.u. in short) to  $x$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a projection  $e \in \mathcal{M}$  such that

$$\tau(e^\perp) < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|e(x_n - x)e\|_\infty = 0.$$

- (ii)  $(x_n)$  is said to converge almost uniformly (a.u. in short) to  $x$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a projection  $e \in \mathcal{M}$  such that

$$\tau(e^\perp) < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(x_n - x)e\|_\infty = 0.$$

Obviously,  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.u.}} x$  implies  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{b.a.u.}} x$ . Note that in classical probability spaces, Definition 2.5 is equivalent to the usual almost everywhere convergence according to Egorov's theorem.

As in the commutative case, the maximal inequalities play an important role in deducing pointwise convergence theorems. However, nowadays it is a standard method to study the pointwise convergence from maximal inequalities thanks to the following Proposition proved in [7, Theorem 3.1] (see also [20, Proposition 2.7]).

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $(S_n)_n$  be a sequence of positive linear maps on  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ . Assume that  $(S_n)_n$  is of weak type  $(p, p)$ . If  $(S_n x)_n$  converges a.u. for all elements  $x$  in a dense subspace of  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ , then  $(S_n x)_n$  converges b.a.u. for all  $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ .

**2.5. Operator-valued Hardy spaces and BMO spaces.** In this subsection, let us start by introducing Mei's notion [36] of row and column Hardy spaces. According to our requirement, here we only concentrate on operator-valued  $H_1$  space; for a general description of operator-valued Hardy spaces we refer the reader to [36]. Define

$$H_1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}) = H_1^r(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}) + H_1^c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}),$$

equipped with the following sum norm

$$\|f\|_{H_1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})} = \inf_{f=g+h} \|g\|_{H_1^r(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})} + \|h\|_{H_1^c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})} < \infty,$$

where the row/column norms are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{H_1^r(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})} &= \left\| \left( \int_{\Gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial \widehat{g}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \widehat{g}^*}{\partial t} + \sum_j \frac{\partial \widehat{g}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \widehat{g}^*}{\partial x_j} \right] (x + \cdot, t) \frac{dx dt}{t^{n-1}} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1, \\ \|h\|_{H_1^c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})} &= \left\| \left( \int_{\Gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial \widehat{h}^*}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \widehat{h}}{\partial t} + \sum_j \frac{\partial \widehat{h}^*}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \widehat{h}}{\partial x_j} \right] (x + \cdot, t) \frac{dx dt}{t^{n-1}} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\Gamma = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} \mid |x| < t\}$  and  $\widehat{f}(x, t) = P_t f(x)$  stands for the Poisson semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . We say that  $a \in L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}^d))$  is a *column atom* if there is a cube  $Q$  such that

- (i)  $\text{supp}_{\mathbb{R}^d} a = Q$ ;
- (ii)  $\int_Q a(y) dy = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\|a\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}^d))} = \tau \left[ \left( \int_Q |a(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right] \leq |Q|^{1/2}$ .

According to [36, Theorem 2.8], we have

$$\|f\|_{H_1^c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})} \approx \inf \left\{ \sum_n |\lambda_n| \mid f = \sum_n \lambda_n a_n \text{ with } a_n \text{ column atoms} \right\}.$$

The operator-valued BMO spaces are also studied in [36]. Let  $Q$  be a cube in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Denote by  $\ell(Q)$  the side length of the cube  $Q$  and sides parallel to the axis. For a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is integrable on  $Q$ ,  $f_Q$  denotes its average over  $Q$ , that is

$$f_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(x) dx,$$

where  $|Q|$  is the volume of  $Q$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{N} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  equipped with the tensor trace  $\psi = \varphi \otimes \text{tr}$ , where  $\mathcal{N} = L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$  given in the introduction and  $\text{tr}$  is the canonical



trace on  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ . Accordingly, the BMO space  $\text{BMO}(\mathcal{A})$  is defined as a subspace of  $L_\infty(\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2); L_2^{rc}(\mathbb{R}^d; dx/(1+|x|)^{d+1}))$  with

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathcal{A})} = \max \left\{ \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^r(\mathcal{A})}, \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^c(\mathcal{A})} \right\} < \infty,$$

where the row/column BMO norms are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^r(\mathcal{A})} &= \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (f(x) - f_Q)(f(x) - f_Q)^* dx \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)}, \\ \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^c(\mathcal{A})} &= \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (f(x) - f_Q)^*(f(x) - f_Q) dx \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.7.** By the fact that in the above definitions of  $\text{BMO}^c$  and  $\text{BMO}^r$  for a function  $f$ , and applying the operator convexity inequality of square function  $x \mapsto |x|^2$ , we may replace  $f_Q$  by any other operator  $\alpha_Q$  depending on  $Q$  (see e.g. [27, Lemma 1.3]).

In the sequel, we will frequently employ the following Cauchy-Schwarz type inequality for the operator-valued square function which is a consequence of the operator convexity inequality of square function  $x \mapsto |x|^2$ . Let  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a measure space. Then

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \phi f d\mu \right|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\phi|^2 d\mu \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 d\mu,$$

where  $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $f : \Omega \rightarrow L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$  are operator-valued functions such that all members of the above inequality make sense.

**2.6. Bochner-Riesz means for Hermite operator.** The first part of this paper, we are concerned with the  $L_p$  convergence and almost everywhere convergence of Bochner-Riesz means for the Hermite operator, which is defined as

$$H = -\Delta + |x|^2 = -\sum_{n=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} + |x|^2, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

Obviously, the operator  $H$  is positive. According to [53], the Hermite polynomials  $H_n(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  are defined by the formula

$$H_n(x) = (-1)^n \exp(-x^2) \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n \{ \exp(-x^2) \} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and the normalised Hermite functions  $\varphi_n(x)$  are then defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_n(x) = (2^n \sqrt{\pi n!})^{-1/2} \exp(-x^2/2) H_n(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It is well-known that the sequence of  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}_n$  forms a complete orthonormal system in  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$  (see [57]). For every multi-index  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_d)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the  $d$ -dimensional Hermite functions  $\Phi_\nu(x)$  are defined by taking the tensor product of one dimensional normalised Hermite function  $\varphi_{\nu_j}(x_j)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq d$ ):

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi_\nu(x) = \varphi_{\nu_1}(x_1) \varphi_{\nu_2}(x_2) \dots \varphi_{\nu_d}(x_d), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

Then the functions  $\{\Phi_\nu\}_\nu$  are eigenfunctions for the Hermite operator  $H$  with eigenvalue  $(2|\nu| + d)$ , where  $|\nu| = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \dots + \nu_d$ ; and form a complete orthonormal system

in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Therefore, for  $f \in L_2(\mathcal{N})$ , one can easily obtain the following Hermite expansion of  $f$

$$(2.4) \quad f(x) = \sum_{\nu} \widehat{f}(\nu) \Phi_{\nu}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n f(x),$$

where  $\widehat{f}(\nu)$  is the Fourier-Hermite coefficient which is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\nu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \Phi_{\nu}(x) dx$$

and  $P_n$  denotes the Hermite projection given by

$$(2.5) \quad P_n f(x) = \sum_{|\nu|=n} \widehat{f}(\nu) \Phi_{\nu}(x).$$

It is easy to verify that for  $f \in L_2(\mathcal{N})$ , the following Parseval's identity holds:

$$(2.6) \quad \|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{\nu} \|\widehat{f}(\nu)\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P_n f\|_2^2.$$

Let  $\alpha$  and  $R$  be two positive numbers. For a nice function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with values in  $L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ , the Bochner-Riesz means of order  $\alpha$  of  $f$  are defined by

$$(2.7) \quad S_R^{\alpha} f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2n+d}{R}\right)_+^{\alpha} P_n f(x).$$

The following formula (see [54]) connecting Bochner-Riesz means of different order is useful in next two sections. More specifically, for any two positive numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad S_R^{\alpha+\beta} f(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+\delta+1)}{\Gamma(\delta+1)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\beta-1} S_{Rt}^{\alpha} f(x) dt.$$

### 3. MEAN CONVERGENCE OF BOCHNER-RIESZ MEANS

In this section, we study the mean convergence of Bochner-Riesz means. Let us start with some basic estimates of the kernels associated with Bochner-Riesz means.

**3.1. Basic estimates.** For any nonnegative integer  $n$ , let us define the function  $\Phi_n(x, y)$  by

$$\Phi_n(x, y) = \sum_{|\nu|=n} \Phi_{\nu}(x) \Phi_{\nu}(y).$$

Denote by  $S_R^{\alpha}(x, y)$  the Bochner-Riesz kernel associated with the operator  $S_R^{\alpha}$  as in (2.7). Then by (2.4), we have

$$(3.1) \quad S_R^{\alpha}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2n+d}{R}\right)_+^{\alpha} \Phi_n(x, y).$$

**Lemma 3.1** ([54]). For  $d = 1$  and  $\alpha > 1/6$ , the following estimate is valid:

$$|S_R^{\alpha}(x, y)| \leq CR^{1/2} \{(1 + R^{1/2}|x - y|)^{-\alpha-5/6} + (1 + R^{1/2}|x + y|)^{-\alpha-5/6}\},$$

where the constant  $C$  is independent of  $x, y$  and  $R$ .

**Lemma 3.2** ([56]). If  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ,  $d \geq 2$  and  $\alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$ , then for any  $r > 0$ , the following estimate is valid:

$$\left( \int_{|x-y| \geq r} |S_R^\alpha(x, y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \leq CR^{d/2q} (1 + R^{1/2}r)^{-\alpha-1/2+d(1/p-1/2)}$$

where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  and the constant  $C$  is independent of  $R, r$  and  $x$ .

**3.2. Mean convergence.** Now we have all the ingredients to study the mean convergence of Bochner-Riesz means. Let  $C(\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{M})$  be the space of continuous functions from  $\mathcal{K}$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$ . Then

(i) For  $d = 1$  and  $\alpha > 1/6$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \|S_R^\alpha f - f\|_p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, for  $p = \infty$  (3.2) holds for  $f \in C(\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{M})$ .

(ii) For  $d \geq 2$  and  $\alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad \|S_R^\alpha f - f\|_p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, for  $p = \infty$  (3.3) holds for  $f \in C(\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{M})$ .

*Proof.* By decomposing  $f = f_1 - f_2 + i(f_3 - f_4)$  with positive  $f_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ), we can assume  $f$  is positive. By Lemma 3.1,

$$(3.4) \quad -C\{E_R(x-y) + E_R(x+y)\} \leq S_R^\alpha(x, y) \leq C\{E_R(x-y) + E_R(x+y)\}$$

where  $E_R(x) = R^{1/2}(1 + R^{1/2}|x|)^{-\alpha-5/6}$ . Set  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(-x)$  and  $E_R f(x) = f * E_R(x)$ . Then by (3.4), we deduce that

$$(3.5) \quad -C\{E_R f(x) + E_R \tilde{f}(x)\} \leq S_R^\alpha f(x) \leq C\{E_R f(x) + E_R \tilde{f}(x)\}.$$

Note that  $E_R(x)$  is an  $L_1(\mathbb{R})$  function when  $\alpha > 1/6$ . Thus, by Young's inequality, it follows immediately that  $\|E_R f\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p$  and  $\|E_R \tilde{f}\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p$ . Therefore, we obtain the uniform boundedness of  $S_R^\alpha$  thanks to (3.5). On the other hand, it is clear that  $S_R^\alpha f$  converges to  $f$  in  $L_p$ -norm whenever  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{M}$ . Consequently, a density argument implies  $S_R^\alpha f$  converges to  $f$  in  $L_p$ -norm. The case  $p = \infty$  follows similarly. This proves (i).

We now turn to (ii). Letting  $r \rightarrow 0$  in Lemma 3.2 with  $p = 1$ , we see that  $S_R^\alpha(x, y)$  are uniformly integrable for  $\alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$ . This gives the uniform boundedness of  $S_R^\alpha$ . The argument of the  $L_p$ -norm convergence follows as (i), which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** Let us now explain why there are two different estimates for different critical index  $\alpha$ . It is known in [54] that in one dimension, if  $\alpha > 1/6$ , then  $S_R^\alpha$  is uniformly bounded on  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . In analogy with the one dimensional case, one expects that the uniformly bounded property of  $S_R^\alpha$  will be held for  $\alpha > \frac{3d-2}{6}$  in higher dimension case ( $d \geq 2$ ). However, to our great surprise, in higher dimension ( $d \geq 2$ ), Thangavelu [55] showed the uniform boundedness of  $S_R^\alpha$  on  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  when  $\alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$ . In particular,  $S_R^\alpha f$  converges to  $f$  in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if  $\alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$ . The noncommutative setting also fits these facts.

## 4. POINTWISE CONVERGENCE FOR BOCHNER-RIESZ MEANS

In this section, we study the maximal inequalities of Bochner-Riesz means. To study the almost everywhere convergence of Bochner-Riesz means, we consider the maximal estimate of  $(S_R^\alpha)_{R>0}$ , from which we deduce the pointwise convergence of  $S_R^\alpha f$  via the standard argument. Let us start with the following lemma, which is well-known and the proof can be found in [6, Theorem 4.3].

**Lemma 4.1** ([6]). Let  $\psi$  be an integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $|\psi|$  is radial and radially decreasing. Let  $\psi_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^d} \psi(\frac{x}{t})$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t > 0$ .

(i) Let  $f \in L_1(\mathcal{N})$ . Then for any  $\lambda > 0$  there exists a projection  $e \in \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$\sup_{t>0} \|e(\psi_t * f)e\|_\infty \leq \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(e^\perp) \leq C_d \|\psi\|_1 \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}.$$

(ii) Let  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . Then

$$\left\| \sup_{t>0}^+ \psi_t * f \right\|_p \leq C_d \|\psi\|_1 \frac{p^2}{(p-1)^2} \|f\|_p, \quad \forall f \in L_p(\mathcal{N}).$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $d = 1$  and  $\alpha > 1/6$ . Then the following conclusions hold.

(i) Let  $f \in L_1(\mathcal{N})$ . Then for any  $\lambda > 0$  there exists a projection  $e \in \mathcal{N}$  satisfying

$$\|eS_R^\alpha f e\|_\infty \lesssim \lambda \text{ for all } R > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(e^\perp) \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}.$$

(ii) Let  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . Then

$$\left\| \sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\alpha f \right\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p, \quad \forall f \in L_p(\mathcal{N}).$$

(iii) For any  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$S_R^\alpha f \xrightarrow{\text{a.u.}} f \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* (i) Without loss of generality, we may assume  $f$  is positive. Note that the kernel  $S_R^\alpha(x, y)$  is a real function since  $\alpha$  is positive. Also from (3.5) in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we know that

$$(4.1) \quad -(E_R f + E_R) \lesssim \tilde{f} S_R^\alpha f \lesssim E_R f + E_R \tilde{f}.$$

If we set  $E(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-\alpha-5/6}$ , then  $E_R(x) = R^{1/2} E(R^{1/2}x)$ . It is clear that  $E$  is an integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Moreover,  $E$  is radial and radially decreasing. Therefore, by conclusion (i) stated in Lemma 4.1, there exists a projection  $e_1 \in \mathcal{N}$  such that for any  $R > 0$

$$\varphi(e_1^\perp) \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{\lambda}{2} \leq e_1 E_R f e_1 \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

Similarly, we can find a projection  $e_2 \in \mathcal{N}$  such that for any  $R > 0$

$$\varphi(e_2^\perp) \lesssim \frac{\|\tilde{f}\|_1}{\lambda} = \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{\lambda}{2} \leq e_2 E_R \tilde{f} e_2 \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

Set  $e = e_1 \wedge e_2$ . We then deduce that for any  $R > 0$ ,

$$-\lambda \leq -(e_1 E_R f e_1 + e_2 E_R \tilde{f} e_2) \lesssim e S_R^\alpha f e \lesssim e_1 E_R f e_1 + e_2 E_R \tilde{f} e_2 \leq \lambda,$$

which implies

$$\sup_{R>0} \|eS_R^\alpha f e\|_\infty \lesssim \lambda$$

and

$$\varphi(e^\perp) \leq \varphi(e_1^\perp) + \varphi(e_2^\perp) \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}.$$

This establishes (i).

Part (ii) is similar. Indeed, by (4.1), Remark 2.1, Minkowski's inequality and conclusion (ii) in Lemma 4.1, we conclude that

$$\|\sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\alpha f\|_p \lesssim \|\sup_{R>0}^+ E_R f\|_p + \|\sup_{R>0}^+ E_R \tilde{f}\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

Conclusion (iii) is a consequence of Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 3.3. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

Let us now consider a deeper insight into the case of  $d = 1$ . As we have pointed out in Remark 3.4 that if  $\alpha$  below the critical index  $1/6$ , the strong type  $(p, p)$  stated in Theorem 4.2 usually fail even in the scalar case. However, we can improve this result by proving Theorem 4.4 below, which is the noncommutative analogue of Stein's theorem [50] for Bochner-Riesz means associated with Hermite operator. To this end, we need the following elementary inequality whose proof can be found in [45].

**Lemma 4.3.** For  $a, b \in \mathcal{N}$  with  $b \geq 0$ , the following estimate holds.

$$(4.2) \quad |\varphi(ab)|^2 \leq \varphi(|a|b)\varphi(|a^*|b)$$

**Theorem 4.4.** Assume that  $d = 1$  and  $\alpha > 1/3|1/2 - 1/p|$ . Then for any  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ , we have

- (i)  $\|S_R^\alpha f - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (ii)  $\|\sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\alpha f\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p$ , where the relevant constant depends on  $p, d$  and  $\alpha$ .
- (iii)  $S_R^\alpha f \xrightarrow{\text{b.a.u.}} f$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the maximal inequality (ii). Indeed, by Remark 2.1, part (ii) implies that for any  $R > 0$ ,

$$\|S_R^\alpha f\|_p \leq \|\sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\alpha f\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p,$$

whence

$$\sup_{R>0} \|S_R^\alpha\|_{L_p \rightarrow L_p} < \infty.$$

Together with the density argument-Theorem 3.3, we obtain the mean convergence announced in (i). On the other hand, the strong type  $(p, p)$  maximal inequality in (ii) implies pointwise convergence-part (iii) via Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 3.3. Thus the remainder of the following is devoted to the proof of part (ii). Without loss of generality, we can assume  $f$  is positive. For clarity we divide the proof of part (ii) into three steps.

*Step 1.* For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\text{Re} \alpha > 1/6$  and  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , we prove that

$$(4.3) \quad \|\sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\alpha f\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p, \quad \forall f \in L_p(\mathcal{N}).$$

To see this, choose  $\delta > 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}\alpha > \delta > 1/6$  and  $\alpha = \delta + \beta$ . By (2.8), we have the following equality:

$$(4.4) \quad S_R^\alpha = C_{\beta,\delta} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\beta-1} S_{Rt}^\delta dt,$$

where  $C_{\beta,\delta} = \Gamma(\beta + \delta + 1)/\Gamma(\delta + 1)\Gamma(\beta)$ . Let  $(R_n)$  be a positive sequence and  $(g_n)$  an element in the unit ball of  $L_{p'}(\mathcal{N}; \ell_1)$ . Then (4.4) implies that for any  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \varphi \left( \sum_n S_{R_n}^\alpha(f) g_n \right) \right| &= |C_{\beta,\delta}| \left| \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\beta-1} \varphi \left( \sum_n S_{R_n t}^\delta(f) g_n \right) dt \right| \\ &\leq |C_{\beta,\delta}| \int_0^1 |(1-t)^{\beta-1}| \left| \varphi \left( \sum_n S_{R_n t}^\delta(f) g_n \right) \right| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\operatorname{Re}\beta = \operatorname{Re}\alpha - \delta > 0$ . Then

$$(4.5) \quad \int_0^1 |(1-t)^{\beta-1}| dt = \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\operatorname{Re}\beta-1} dt \lesssim 1.$$

Therefore, combining (4.5) with part (ii) in Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 2.3, we conclude that

$$\left| \varphi \left( \sum_n S_{R_n}^\alpha(f) g_n \right) \right| \leq |C_{\beta,\delta}| \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\operatorname{Re}\beta-1} dt \left\| \sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\delta(f) \right\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

By Proposition 2.3 once more, we obtain the desired estimate (4.3).

*Step 2.* For  $f \in L_2(\mathcal{N})$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , we show that

$$(4.6) \quad \left\| \sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\alpha(f) \right\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2.$$

To this end, we choose  $\beta > 1/2$  and  $\delta > -1/2$  such that  $\alpha = \beta + \delta$ . Then we rewrite the relation (2.8) as follows

$$(4.7) \quad S_R^{\beta+\delta} = C_{\beta,\delta} R^{-\beta} \int_0^R (R-t)^{\beta-1} S_t^\delta dt.$$

As a consequence, using the expression (4.7), we deduce that

$$(4.8) \quad S_R^{\beta+\delta} - \frac{C_{\beta,\delta}}{C_{\beta,\delta+1}} S_R^{\beta+\delta+1} = C_{\beta,\delta} R^{-\beta} \int_0^R (R-t)^{\beta-1} (S_t^\delta - S_t^{\delta+1}) dt \triangleq \mathbb{I}_R.$$

In what follows, we fix  $f \in L_2(\mathcal{N})$  and assume that  $(R_n)$  is a positive sequence and  $(g_n)$  is a sequence of positive element in  $L_2(\mathcal{N})$  with  $\|\sum_n g_n\|_2 \leq 1$ . Then by (4.2) in Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\left| \varphi \left( \sum_n \mathbb{I}_{R_n}(f) g_n \right) \right|^2 \leq \varphi \left( \sum_n |\mathbb{I}_{R_n}(f)| g_n \right) \varphi \left( \sum_n |\mathbb{I}_{R_n}(f)|^* g_n \right).$$

We first deal with the first term. By (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{I}_R(f)| &= |C_{\beta,\delta}| R^{-\beta} \left| \int_0^R (R-t)^{\beta-1} (S_t^{\delta+1} f - S_t^\delta f) dt \right| \\ &\leq |C_{\beta,\delta}| R^{-\beta+1/2} \left( \int_0^R |(R-t)^{\beta-1}|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^R |S_t^{\delta+1}(f) - S_t^\delta(f)|^2 \frac{dt}{R} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$R^{-2\beta+1} \int_0^R (R-t)^{2\beta-2} dt = \int_0^1 (1-t)^{2\beta-2} dt \lesssim 1.$$

On the other hand, if we set

$$G^\delta(f) = \left( \int_0^\infty |S_r^{\delta+1}(f) - S_r^\delta(f)|^2 \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/2},$$

then by the monotone decreasing of  $x \rightarrow |x|^t$  for  $0 < t < 1$  and previous observation, we infer that

$$(4.9) \quad |\mathbf{I}_R(f)| \lesssim G^\delta(f).$$

The same argument gives

$$(4.10) \quad |\mathbf{I}_R(f)^*| \lesssim G_*^\delta(f),$$

where  $G_*^\delta$  is defined by

$$G_*^\delta(f) = \left( \int_0^\infty |(S_r^{\delta+1}(f) - S_r^\delta(f))^*|^2 \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence, by Proposition 2.3, (4.9), (4.10) and Remark 2.1, we find

$$\left\| \sup_{R>0}^+ \mathbf{I}_R(f) \right\|_2 \leq \left\| \sup_{R>0}^+ \mathbf{I}_R(f) \right\|_2^{1/2} \left\| \sup_{R>0}^+ \mathbf{I}_R(f)^* \right\|_2^{1/2} \lesssim \|G^\delta(f)\|_2^{1/2} \|G_*^\delta(f)\|_2^{1/2}.$$

In the following, we claim that

$$\max \{ \|G^\delta(f)\|_2, \|G_*^\delta(f)\|_2 \} \lesssim \|f\|_2, \quad \text{if } \delta > -1/2.$$

Consider the term  $\|G^\delta(f)\|_2$  firstly. Observe that Parseval's identity (2.6) and Fubini's theorem imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|G^\delta(f)\|_2^2 &= \int_0^\infty \varphi(|S_r^{\delta+1}(f) - S_r^\delta(f)|^2) \frac{dr}{r} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{N \leq r} \left| \left(1 - \frac{N}{r}\right)^{\delta+1} - \left(1 - \frac{N}{r}\right)^\delta \right|^2 \|\widehat{f}(n)\|_2^2 \frac{dr}{r} \\ &= \sum_{n \neq 0} \|\widehat{f}(n)\|_2^2 \int_N^\infty \frac{N^2}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{N}{r}\right)^{2\delta} \frac{dr}{r}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $N = 2n + 1$ . Note that

$$\int_N^\infty \frac{N^2}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{N}{r}\right)^{2\delta} \frac{dr}{r} = \int_1^\infty r^{-3} (1 - r^{-1})^{2\delta} dr \lesssim 1,$$

if  $\delta > -1/2$ . Thus we obtain

$$\|G^\delta f\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2.$$

The same argument works for the other term  $\|G_*^\delta f\|_2$  by noting the relation  $\|G_*^\delta f\|_2 = \|G^\delta f\|_2$ . This is precisely the claim. Consequently,

$$(4.11) \quad \left\| \sup_{R>0}^+ \mathbf{I}_R(f) \right\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2.$$

Therefore, exploiting (4.8) and (4.11), we finally arrived at

$$\left\| \sup_R^+ S_R^{\beta+\delta}(f) \right\|_2 \leq \frac{|C_{\beta,\delta}|}{|C_{\beta,\delta+1}|} \left\| \sup_R^+ S_R^{\beta+\delta+1}(f) \right\|_2 + \left\| \sup_R^+ I_R(f) \right\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2,$$

where in the last inequality we used Theorem 4.2 since  $\beta + \delta + 1 > 1$ . This completes the argument of *Step 2*.

*Step 3.* When  $p$  is near 1 or  $\infty$ , the desired result is already contained in *Step 1*. Moreover, *Step 2* gives the desired inequality in the case of  $p = 2$ . The general case can be obtained by Stein's complex interpolation. To this end, first noting that by using the similar argument in *Step 1*, we can strengthen (4.6) which allows the order  $\alpha$  to be complex, that is,

$$(4.12) \quad \left\| \sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\alpha(f) \right\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0.$$

Let  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$  with  $\|f\|_p < 1$  and  $g = (g_n)$  be a finite sequence in  $L_{p'}(\mathcal{N})$  with  $\|g\|_{L_{p'}(\mathcal{N}; \ell_1)} < 1$ . We first consider the case  $1 < p < 2$ . For any fixed  $\alpha > 1/3(1/p - 1/2)$ , we can find  $p_1 > 1$ ,  $\alpha_0 > 0$  and  $\alpha_1 > 1/6$  such that

$$\alpha = (1-t)\alpha_0 + t\alpha_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-t}{2} + \frac{t}{p_1}$$

for some  $0 < t < 1$ . Define

$$h(z) = u|f|^{p \frac{(1-z)}{2} + \frac{pz}{p_1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where  $f = u|f|$  is the polar decomposition of  $f$ . On the other hand, by [31, Proposition 2.5], there exists a function  $m = (m_n)_n$  continuous on the strip  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 1\}$  and analytic in the interior so that  $m(t) = g$  and

$$(4.13) \quad \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \max \left\{ \|m(is)\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N}; \ell_1)}, \|m(1+is)\|_{L_{p_1'}(\mathcal{N}; \ell_1)} \right\} < 1.$$

Fix a sequence  $(R_n) \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $\delta > 0$ . We define

$$F(z) = \exp(\delta(z^2 - t^2)) \sum_n \varphi(S_{R_n}^{(1-z)\alpha_0 + z\alpha_1}[h(z)]m_n(z)).$$

Then  $F$  is a function analytic in the open strip  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$ . By (4.12), for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(is)| &\leq \exp(-\delta(s^2 + t^2)) \left\| (S_{R_n}^{\gamma_1}(h(is)))_n \right\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N}; \ell_\infty)} \|m(is)\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N}; \ell_1)} \\ &\lesssim \|h(is)\|_2 \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_1 = \alpha_0 + is(\alpha_1 - \alpha_0)$ . Similarly, by (4.3) obtained in *Step 1* we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |F(1+is)| &\leq \exp(-\delta(s^2 + t^2 - 1)) \left\| (S_{R_n}^{\gamma_2}(h(1+is)))_n \right\|_{L_{p_1}(\mathcal{N}; \ell_\infty)} \|m(is)\|_{L_{p_1'}(\mathcal{N}; \ell_1)} \\ &\lesssim \|h(1+is)\|_2 \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_2 = \alpha_1 + is(\alpha_1 - \alpha_0)$ . Therefore, the maximum principle implies  $|F(t)| \lesssim 1$ , that is for any  $\|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N})} < 1$

$$\left| \varphi \left( \sum_n S_{R_n}^\alpha(f)m_n \right) \right| \lesssim 1.$$



By duality and homogeneity, we then have

$$\left\| \sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\alpha(f) \right\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p, \quad \forall f \in L_p(\mathcal{N}).$$

The argument for the case of  $p > 2$  is similar once we start by setting  $p_1 = \infty$ . Thus we finish the proof of Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** We have given a slightly more general result by allowing  $\alpha$  to be complex. In other words, Theorem 4.4 remains true under the condition that  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 1/3|1/2 - 1/p|$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ .

In the following, we study the maximal inequalities for Bochner-Riesz means in higher dimension ( $d \geq 2$ ).

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $d \geq 2$  and  $\alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$ . Then for any  $2 < p < \infty$  and  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$ , we have

- (i)  $\left\| \sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\alpha f \right\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p$ .
- (ii)  $S_R^\alpha f \xrightarrow{\text{b.a.u.}} f$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* By applying Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 3.3, we give our effort to the maximal estimate-conclusion (i). Without loss of generality, we can assume  $f$  is positive. The proof is based on Lemma 3.2. Given  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})_+$ , choose  $f_k(y) = f(y)$  whenever  $2^k \leq |x-y| \leq 2^{k+1}$  and  $f_k(y) = 0$  otherwise. Then we can decompose  $S_R^\alpha f$  as

$$(4.14) \quad S_R^\alpha f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} S_R^\alpha f_k(x).$$

Now we use (2.1) to get

$$S_R^\alpha f_k(x) \leq \left( \int_{|x-y| \geq 2^k} |S_R^\alpha(x, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{2^k \leq |x-y| \leq 2^{k+1}} f(y)^2 dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$-\left( \int_{|x-y| \geq 2^k} |S_R^\alpha(x, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{2^k \leq |x-y| \leq 2^{k+1}} f(y)^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \leq S_R^\alpha f_k(x).$$

Exploiting Lemma 3.2 into the first term above, we arrive at

$$\left( \int_{|x-y| \geq 2^k} |S_R^\alpha(x, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \lesssim R^{\frac{d}{4}} (1 + R^{1/2} 2^k)^{-\alpha-1/2}.$$

By above observations, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |S_R^\alpha f_k(x)| &\lesssim R^{d/4} (1 + R^{1/2} 2^k)^{-\alpha-1/2} \left( \int_{2^k \leq |x-y| \leq 2^{k+1}} f(y)^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim R^{d/4} (1 + R^{1/2} 2^k)^{-\alpha-1/2} 2^{(kd)/2} \left( 2^{-(k+1)d} \int_{|x-y| \leq 2^{k+1}} f(y)^2 dy \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have that

$$(4.15) \quad S_R^\alpha f(x) \lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R^{d/4} (1 + R^{1/2} 2^k)^{-\alpha-1/2} 2^{\frac{kd}{2}} \left( 2^{-(k+1)d} \int_{|x-y| \leq 2^{k+1}} f(y)^2 dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

The same argument gives

$$(4.16) \quad - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R^{d/4} (1+R^{1/2}2^k)^{-\alpha-1/2} 2^{\frac{kd}{2}} \left( 2^{-(k+1)d} \int_{|x-y|\leq 2^{k+1}} f(y)^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \lesssim S_R^\alpha f(x).$$

In the following, we claim that

$$(4.17) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R^{d/4} 2^{(kd)/2} (1+R^{1/2}2^k)^{-\alpha-1/2} \lesssim 1.$$

To see this, we rewrite (4.17) as

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (R^{1/2}2^k)^{d/2} (1+R^{1/2}2^k)^{-\alpha-1/2} \triangleq G(R^{1/2}),$$

where  $G(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^k t)^{d/2} (1+2^k t)^{-\alpha-1/2}$ . Since  $\alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$ , it is easy to verify that  $G(t)$  is locally bounded. Moreover,  $G(R^{1/2})$  does not change when  $R$  is replaced by  $2^{2j}R$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , that is,  $G(2^j t) = G(t)$ . Hence,  $G(t)$  is bounded on  $(0, \infty)$ . This is precisely the claim (4.17).

We now turn back to estimate the right-hand term in (4.15). Note that  $p > 2$ . By applying Mei's noncommutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal type  $(p, p)$  inequality [36] to  $f^2 \in L_{p/2}(\mathcal{N})$ , there exists a positive operator  $F \in L_{p/2}(\mathcal{N})$  such that

$$\|F\|_{p/2} \leq \|f^2\|_{p/2} \quad \text{and} \quad M_B(f^2) \leq F, \quad \forall \text{ ball } B \text{ centered at } x,$$

where  $M_B(f)(x) = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(y) dy$ . As a consequence, by the monotone increasing property of  $x \rightarrow |x|^t$  for  $0 < t < 1$ , we infer that for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\left( 2^{-(k+1)d} \int_{|x-y|\leq 2^{k+1}} f(y)^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \leq F(x)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|F^{1/2}\|_p = \|F\|_{p/2}^{1/2} \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

Therefore, it is clear by (4.17), (4.15) and (4.16) that

$$(4.18) \quad -F(x)^{1/2} \lesssim -G(R^{1/2})F(x)^{1/2} \lesssim S_R^\alpha f(x) \lesssim G(R^{1/2})F(x)^{1/2} \lesssim F(x)^{1/2}.$$

Finally, by Remark 2.1

$$\| \sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\alpha f \|_p \lesssim \|F^{1/2}\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p,$$

which is the desired estimate.  $\square$

As the same argument in the case of  $d = 1$ , we give a slightly more general result by allowing  $\alpha$  to be complex for  $d \geq 2$ . Namely, Theorem 4.6 remains true under the condition that  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > \frac{d-1}{2}$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $2 < p < \infty$ . We omit the proof here.

**Theorem 4.7.** Assume that  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$  with  $2 < p < \infty$ . Then for any complex number  $\alpha$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$ , we have

- (i)  $\|S_R^\alpha f - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (ii)  $\|\sup_{R>0}^+ S_R^\alpha f\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p$ .
- (iii)  $S_R^\alpha f \xrightarrow{\text{b.a.u.}} f$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 5. TWO MULTIPLIER THEOREMS

In this section, we introduce two multiplier theorems for the Hermite expansions, and their proofs will be given in last two sections. The first one is a Marcinkiewicz type multiplier theorem for Hermite expansions. To see this, let us briefly recall the related notions. Given a function  $\mu$  defined on the set of nonnegative integers, we define the operator  $T_\mu$  by setting

$$(5.1) \quad T_\mu f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(2n+d) P_n f(x).$$

Our goal is to find the appropriate conditions on the function  $\mu$  such that  $T_\mu$  is bounded on  $L_p(\mathcal{N})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . Note that the boundedness of the function  $\mu$  is not only a necessary condition but also a sufficient condition for  $p = 2$ . But for  $p$  different from 2 some more conditions on  $\mu$  are needed to ensure the boundedness. To this end, let us introduce the following finite difference operators which are defined inductively as follows:

$$\delta\mu(N) = \mu(N+1) - \mu(N)$$

and for  $n \geq 1$ , they are defined by

$$\delta^{n+1}\mu(N) = \delta^n\mu(N+1) - \delta^n\mu(N).$$

The following is our version of the so-called Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem associated with the operator-valued Hermite expansions.

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume that the function  $\mu$  satisfies the conditions

$$|\delta^r\mu(N)| \leq CN^{-r} \quad \text{for } r = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $n > d/2$ . Then for  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\|T_\mu f\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p.$$

Observe that the conditions on  $\mu$  are similar to the Hörmander-Mihlin conditions. The proof of Theorem 5.1 depends on the mapping properties of  $g$  and  $g^*$  functions and we will introduce and study these functions in next section. Another multiplier theorem we are going to survey is given by the following function.

$$(5.2) \quad \mu(n) = (2n+d)^{-\alpha} e^{(2n+d)it}.$$

This defines the operator  $T_t^\alpha$  given by

$$(5.3) \quad T_t^\alpha f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+d)^{-\alpha} e^{(2n+d)it} P_n f(x).$$

A simple calculation shows that this function  $\mu$  defined as (5.2) does not satisfy the conditions of Theorem 5.1 unless  $\alpha > d$  and thus we can not apply Theorem 5.1 to obtain the desired results. Observe that these operators behave like the operator given by convolution associated with the oscillating kernels  $|x|^{-\alpha} e^{i\langle x, x \rangle}$ . Fortunately, for these operators we can prove the following theorem to obtain the  $L_p$  boundedness of  $T_t^\alpha$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

- (i) For  $p = 1$ ,  $\alpha = d/2$  and  $t \in [t_0, \pi/4]$ , where  $t_0$  is a positive absolute constant,  $T_t^\alpha$  is bounded from  $H_1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})$  to  $L_1(\mathcal{N})$ . That is,

$$\|T_t^\alpha f\|_1 \leq C \|f\|_{H_1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})},$$

where the constant  $C$  depends on  $d$  and  $t_0$ .

- (ii) For  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\alpha = d|1/p - 1/2|$  and  $t \in [t_0, \pi/4]$ , the operator  $T_t^\alpha$  are bounded on  $L_p(\mathcal{N})$ . That is,

$$\|T_t^\alpha f\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p,$$

where the constant  $C$  depends on  $d, p$  and  $t_0$ .

**Remark 5.3.** In the classical case, Theorem 5.2 extends the Hardy-Littlewood theorem for the Fourier transform (see for instance [15, 49]). Moreover, the inequalities announced in Theorem 5.2 can be applied to the solution of the Schrödinger equation (see [53]). In the same spirit, it might be natural to expect that the current findings will contribute to very fresh but promising directions for noncommutative PDEs.

## 6. LITTLEWOOD-PALEY-STEIN THEORY

In order to study the mapping properties of multiplier theorems announced in the last section, we develop a Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory of  $g$  function defined by Hermite semigroup  $H^t = e^{-tH}$  for  $t > 0$ . For any function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with values in  $L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$  and  $t > 0$ , these operators are defined by

$$H^t f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-Nt} P_n f(x)$$

where  $N = 2n + d$  and they have the kernel

$$k_t(x, y) = \sum_{\nu} e^{-Nt} \Phi_{\nu}(x) \Phi_{\nu}(y),$$

where  $\Phi_{\nu}$  are the  $d$ -dimensional Hermite functions as in (2.3). In view of the Mehler's formula, the kernel  $k_t$  is explicitly given by

$$(6.1) \quad k_t(x, y) = (\sinh 2t)^{-d/2} e^{\Phi_t(x, y)},$$

where

$$\Phi_t(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2}(|x|^2 + |y|^2) \coth 2t + x \cdot y \frac{1}{\sinh 2t}.$$

The Littlewood-Paley-Stein  $g$  function for Hermite semigroup of  $f$  is defined by

$$g^c(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^{\infty} |\partial_t H^t f(x)|^2 t dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we define the column space

$$E_p^c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}) = \{f : \|f\|_{E_p^c} = \|g^c(f)\|_p < \infty\}.$$

For the convenience of description, we call the space  $E_p^c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})$  the column Hermite-Hardy space. Accordingly, the row Hermite-Hardy space  $E_p^r(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})$  is the space of all  $f$  such that  $f^* \in E_p^c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})$  equipped with the norm  $\|f\|_{E_p^r(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})} = \|f^*\|_{E_p^c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})}$ .

Finally, we define the Hermite-Hardy space  $E_p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})$  as

$$E_p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}) = E_p^c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}) + E_p^r(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq 2$$

equipped with the sum norm

$$\|f\|_{E_p} = \inf\{\|f_1\|_{E_p^c} + \|f_2\|_{E_p^r} : f = f_1 + f_2\},$$

and by

$$E_p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}) = E_p^c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}) \cap E_p^r(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}) \quad \text{for } 2 \leq p < \infty$$

equipped with the sum norm

$$\|f\|_{E_p} = \max\{\|f\|_{E_p^c}, \|f\|_{E_p^r}\}.$$

The following is the main result in this section.

**Theorem 6.1.** For  $1 < p < \infty$ , we have

$$E_p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}) \cong L_p(\mathcal{N}) \quad \text{with equivalent norms,}$$

where the constant depends on the dimension  $d$  and  $p$ .

To establish the Littlewood-Paley-Stein Theory for Hermite semigroup-Theorem 6.1, there are many difficulties to adapt the argument in [51] to the present case. Indeed, noting that the Hermite semigroup fails to satisfy the nice condition  $H^t 1 = 1$  for any  $t > 0$ , so we can not use the general idea developed in [51]. Moreover, the proof of the following theorem obviously cannot be attributed to the case announced in [58, Theorem 1.3]. Instead, by the explicit form of the kernel  $k_t(x, y)$ , we can use the noncommutative Calderón-Zygmund theory. To be more precise, for  $t > 0$ , consider  $\partial_t H^t$  as a singular integral operator where its associated kernel, denoted by  $\partial_t k_t(x, y)$ , takes values in Hilbert space  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^+; tdt)$ . As this Hilbert space will appear frequently later, to simply notation, we denote  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^+; tdt)$  by  $R_d$ . As a consequence, the assertion of Theorem 6.1 to prove amounts to the

$$(6.2) \quad \|\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}; R_d^c)} \approx \|f\|_p,$$

The proof of (6.2) is long and technical. Thus we will divide its main steps into several lemmas.

**Lemma 6.2.** For  $f \in L_2(\mathcal{N})$ , we have

$$\|\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} f\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N}; R_d^c)} \approx \|f\|_2.$$

*Proof.* The examination of the  $L_2$  boundedness is easy. Indeed, by the definition of Hermite semigroup, it follows that

$$\|\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} f\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N}; R_d^c)}^2 = \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty |\partial_t H^t f(x)|^2 t dt dx.$$

Observe that for  $t > 0$

$$\partial_t H^t f(x) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-Nt} N P_n f(x),$$

where  $N = 2n + d$ . Thus Fubini's theorem and Parseval's identity (2.6) imply

$$\|\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} f\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N}; R_d^c)}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty e^{-2Nt} N^2 t dt \|P_n f\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{4} \|f\|_2^2.$$

This proves the  $L_2$  equivalence. □

In the following, we tend to give two endpoint estimates of the operator  $\partial_t H^t$  for  $t > 0$ . According to [37], we need to verify that the associated kernel  $\partial_t k_t(x, y)$  is a standard kernel taking values in Hilbert space  $R_d$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** Let  $k_t(x, y)$  be defined as (6.1) for  $t > 0$ . Then there exist a positive constant  $C$  and a fixed constant  $a$  independent of  $x, y$  and  $t$  such that

- (i)  $|\partial_t k_t(x, y)| \leq C t^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{a}{t}|x-y|^2},$
- (ii)  $|\partial_{y_j} \partial_t k_t(x, y)| \leq C t^{-\frac{d}{2}-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{a}{t}|x-y|^2},$
- (iii)  $|\partial_{x_j} \partial_t k_t(x, y)| \leq C t^{-\frac{d}{2}-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{a}{t}|x-y|^2}.$

Consequently, we have

- (iv)  $\|\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot)}(x, y)\|_{R_d} \leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^d},$
- (v)  $\|\partial_{y_j} \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot)}(x, y)\|_{R_d} \leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d+1}},$
- (vi)  $\|\partial_{x_j} \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot)}(x, y)\|_{R_d} \leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d+1}}.$

The conclusions stated in Lemma 6.3 can be found in [57]. For the sake of completeness, we give a sketch of the proof.

*Proof.* (i) The last three conclusions can be obtained from the first three with a simply calculation. Thus we focus on the kernel estimation. Since the kernel  $k_t(x, y)$  is the product of one dimensional kernels  $k_t(x_j, y_j)$ , it suffices to consider the case of  $d = 1$ . On the other hand, by setting

$$\varphi(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x-y)^2 \coth 2t + xy \tanh t$$

the kernel  $k_t(x, y)$  can be written as

$$k_t(x, y) = (\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\varphi(t, x, y)}.$$

Note that the function  $\frac{1}{4}(x-y)^2 \coth 2t + xy \tanh t$  can be easily seen to be positive. Hence we get

$$(6.3) \quad e^{-\varphi(t, x, y)} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x-y)^2 \coth 2t}.$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t k_t(x, y) &= -[(\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cosh 2t e^{-\varphi(t, x, y)} + (\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\varphi(t, x, y)} \partial_t \varphi(t, x, y)] \\ &\triangleq -[A + B], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(6.4) \quad \partial_t \varphi(t, x, y) = \frac{(x-y)^2}{(\sinh 2t)^2} + \frac{xy}{(\cosh t)^2}.$$

In the following, we divide two cases to estimate  $A$  and  $B$ . For  $0 < t < 1$ , note that in this case  $\sinh t$  behave like  $t$  and  $\cosh 2t = O(1)$ . With this observation and (6.3), we have

$$(6.5) \quad |A| \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{8} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}.$$

To estimate  $B$ . We decompose  $B$  as

$$B = (\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(x-y)^2}{(\sinh 2t)^2} e^{-\varphi(t,x,y)} + (\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{xy}{(\cosh t)^2} e^{-\varphi(t,x,y)} \\ \triangleq B_1 + B_2.$$

Consider  $B_1$  firstly. By applying the observations obtained previous, we get

$$(6.6) \quad |B_1| \lesssim t^{-\frac{5}{2}} (x-y)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{8} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}.$$

Now we deal with  $B_2$ . Note that when  $xy \geq 0$ , it is easy to see  $xye^{-xy \tanh t}$  is bounded by a constant times  $t^{-1}$  and hence

$$(6.7) \quad |B_2| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} t^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}.$$

On the other hand, when  $xy < 0$ , then  $|xy| = -xy \lesssim (x-y)^2$  and whence

$$(6.8) \quad |B_2| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (x-y)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{8} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}.$$

Therefore, by (6.6), (6.7) and (6.8), we find

$$(6.9) \quad |B| \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}.$$

Finally, (6.5) and (6.9) give

$$(6.10) \quad |\partial_t k_t(x, y)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}.$$

Thus we get the desired estimate for the case of  $0 < t < 1$ . The case of  $t \geq 1$  is very similar to previous, since we just need to notice that for  $t \geq 1$  both  $\sinh 2t$  and  $\cosh 2t$  behave like  $e^{2t}$ , while this condition is stronger than before. The detail are omitted. Hence we obtain the size condition of the kernel.

(ii) In the following let us verify the smoothness condition of the kernel. Note that  $\Phi$  can be rewritten as

$$\Phi_t(x, y) = -\frac{(x-y)^2}{2 \sinh 2t} - \frac{(x^2 + y^2) \tanh t}{2}.$$

By symmetry, we just need to estimate  $\partial_y \partial_t k_t(x, y)$ . However,  $\partial_y \partial_t k_t(x, y)$  has many terms we indicate how to estimate one typical term viz.

$$J = (x-y)^3 (\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{7}{2}} \cosh 2t e^{\Phi(t)}.$$

We estimates  $J$  in two case. For  $0 < t < 1$ , using the similar argument as in (i), we deduce

$$|J| \lesssim t^{-\frac{7}{2}} |x-y|^3 e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{8t}} \lesssim t^{-2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{16t}}.$$

The other terms can be proved in a similar fashion. The case of  $t \geq 1$  can also be obtained without much difficulty. The detail are omitted. This complete the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

With the kernel condition obtained in Lemma 6.3, we shall prove the  $(L_\infty, \text{BMO})$  estimate. For this purpose, fix an orthonormal basis  $(u_m)_{m \geq 1}$  of  $R_d$  and set

$$\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot), m}(x, y) = \langle u_m, \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot)}(x, y) \rangle,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product induced by  $R_d$ . Denote by  $\partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)}$  the Calderón-Zygmund operator associated with the kernel  $\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x, y)$ . Therefore, this leads us to show  $\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)}$  is bounded from  $L_\infty(\mathcal{N})$  to  $\text{BMO}(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{N} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  defined in Section 2. More precisely, we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 6.4.** For  $f \in L_\infty(\mathcal{N})$ , we have

$$(6.11) \quad \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{1m} \right\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathcal{A})} + \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{m1} \right\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathcal{A})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty.$$

*Proof.* By symmetry, it suffices to prove

$$(6.12) \quad \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{m1} \right\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathcal{A})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty.$$

Indeed, if we already have obtained (6.12), then (6.12) implies that

$$(6.13) \quad \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{m1} \right\|_{\text{BMO}^c(\mathcal{A})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty$$

and

$$(6.14) \quad \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{m1} \right\|_{\text{BMO}^r(\mathcal{A})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty.$$

By using the fact  $\|g\|_{\text{BMO}^c(\mathcal{A})} = \|g^*\|_{\text{BMO}^r(\mathcal{A})}$  and taking the adjoint of both sides in (6.13), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{1m} \right\|_{\text{BMO}^r(\mathcal{A})} &= \left\| \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{1m} \right)^* \right\|_{\text{BMO}^c(\mathcal{A})} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f^* \otimes e_{m1} \right\|_{\text{BMO}^c(\mathcal{A})} \lesssim \|f^*\|_\infty = \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we use (6.14) to deduce that

$$\left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{1m} \right\|_{\text{BMO}^c(\mathcal{A})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty.$$

These imply

$$\left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{1m} \right\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathcal{A})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty.$$

This gives the desired reduction.

For  $f \in L_\infty(\mathcal{N})$  and a given cube  $Q$ , we decompose  $f$  as  $f = f \mathbf{1}_{2Q} + f \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2Q} \triangleq f_1 + f_2$ . By Remark 2.7, we set  $\alpha_{Q,m} = \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f_2(c_Q)$  and  $\alpha_Q = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{Q,m} \otimes e_{m1}$ , where  $c_Q$  is the center of  $Q$ . Write  $\partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f - \alpha_{Q,m}$  as

$$\partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f - \alpha_{Q,m} = (\partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f - \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f_2) + (\partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f_2 - \alpha_{Q,m}) \triangleq B_{m1} f + B_{m2} f.$$



We first prove (6.13). By the operator convexity inequality of square function  $x \mapsto |x|^2$ , we get

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f - \alpha_{Q,m}) \otimes e_{m1} \right|^2 \leq 2 \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_{m1} f \otimes e_{m1} \right|^2 + 2 \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_{m2} f \otimes e_{m1} \right|^2.$$

The first term  $B_1 f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_{m1} f \otimes e_{m1}$  is easy to handle. Indeed, by Lemma 6.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (B_1 f(x))^* (B_1 f(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q|} \left\| \int_Q \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x,y) f_1(y) dy \otimes e_{m1} \right|^2 dx \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q|} \left\| \int_Q \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x,y) f_1(y) dy \right|^2 dx \right\|_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q|} \sup_{\|a\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} \leq 1} \tau \otimes \int_Q \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x,y) f_1(y) a dy \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \sup_{\|a\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} \leq 1} \tau \otimes \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x,y) f(y) a 1_{2Q}(y) dy \right|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q|} \sup_{\|a\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} \leq 1} \|\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)}(f a 1_{2Q})\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{R}_d^c)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|Q|} \sup_{\|a\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} \leq 1} \|f a 1_{2Q}\|_2^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{\infty}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows the fact that the elements in  $\mathcal{M}$  can be considered as bounded linear operators on  $L_2(\mathcal{M})$  by left multiplication. Hence, we obtain  $\|B_1 f\|_{\text{BMO}^c(\mathcal{A})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\infty}$ .

Now we turn to the second term  $B_2 f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_{m2} f \otimes e_{m1}$ . By Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 6.3, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (B_2 f(x))^* (B_2 f(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)} \\ &= \left\| \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x,y) - \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(c_Q, y)) f_2(y) dy \otimes e_{m1} \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)} \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x,y) - \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(c_Q, y)) f_2(y) dy \right|^2 dx \right\|_{\mathcal{M}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in Q} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2Q} |\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x,y) - \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(c_Q, y)| dy \right]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|f\|_{\infty} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sup_{x \in Q} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2Q} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x, y) - \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(c_Q, y)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dy \right] \cdot \|f\|_{\infty} \\
&= \sup_{x \in Q} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2Q} \|\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot)}(x, y) - \partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot)}(c_Q, y)\|_{R_d} dy \right] \cdot \|f\|_{\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the desired estimate (6.13).

We now consider (6.14). Here we only handle with  $B_1 f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m f \otimes e_{m1}$ , while  $B_2 f$  can be treated as before. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (B_1 f(x))(B_1 f(x))^* dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)}^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{|Q|} \left\| \int_Q (B_1 f(x))(B_1 f(x))^* dx \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)} \\
&= \frac{1}{|Q|} \left\| \sum_{m_1, m_2=1}^{\infty} \left[ \int_Q \partial_{(\cdot)} H_{m_1}^{(\cdot)} f_1(x) \partial_{(\cdot)} H_{m_2}^{(\cdot)} f_1^*(x) dx \right] \otimes e_{m_1, m_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)} \\
&\triangleq \frac{1}{|Q|} \|\Lambda\|_{\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Lambda$  is a positive operator acting on  $\ell_2(L_2(\mathcal{M})) (= L_2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc}))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|Q|} \left\| \int_Q (B_2 f(x))(B_2 f(x))^* dx \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)} \\
&= \frac{1}{|Q|} \sup_{\|a\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} \leq 1} \langle \Lambda a, a \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{|Q|} \sup_{\|a\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} \leq 1} \tau \left( \left[ \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} a_{m_1}^* \otimes e_{1m_1} \right] \Lambda \left[ \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} a_{m_2} \otimes e_{m_21} \right] \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|Q|} \sup_{\|a\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} \leq 1} \tau \otimes \int_Q \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x, y)} f(y)^* a_m 1_{2Q}(y) dy \right|^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \sup_{\substack{\|a\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} \leq 1 \\ \|g\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N})} \leq 1}} \left[ \tau \otimes \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x, y)} f(y)^* a_m 1_{2Q}(y) dy g(x) dx \right]^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{|Q|} \sup_{\substack{\|a\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} \leq 1 \\ \|g\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N})} \leq 1}} \left[ \tau \otimes \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot),m}(x, y)} g(x) dx \right) f(y)^* a_m 1_{2Q}(y) dy \right]^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \sup_{\substack{\|a\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} \leq 1 \\ \|g\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N})} \leq 1}} \|\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} g^*\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N}; R_d^{rc})}^2 \left( \tau \otimes \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |f(y)^* a_m|^2 1_{2Q}(y) dy \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \sup_{\substack{\|a\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^c)} \leq 1 \\ \|g\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N})} \leq 1}} \|\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} g^*\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N}; R_d^c)}^2 \tau \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_m|^2 \right) |2Q| \|f\|_{\infty}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{\infty}^2,$$

where in the second inequality we used Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This proves (6.14). Therefore, we apply the desired estimates obtained so far together with their row analogues to get

$$\max \left\{ \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{m1} \right\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathcal{A})}, \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{1m} \right\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathcal{A})} \right\} \lesssim \|f\|_{\infty}.$$

This completes the BMO estimate.  $\square$

In the following we examine the weak type (1, 1) estimate. However, by Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3, we conclude that  $\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)}$  is a Calderón-Zygmund operator associated with a standard kernel  $\partial_{(\cdot)} k_{(\cdot)}(x, y)$  taking values in Hilbert space  $R_d$ . Thus by [37, 3], we immediately have

**Lemma 6.5.** Let  $f \in L_1(\mathcal{N})$ . Then

$$\inf_{\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} f = g_m + h_m} \left\{ \|g_m\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N}; R_d^c)} + \|h_m\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N}; R_d^r)} \right\} \lesssim \|f\|_1.$$

Furthermore, if we set

$$(6.15) \quad Tf(x) = \sum_m \varepsilon_m \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f(x),$$

where  $(\varepsilon_m)$  is a Rademacher sequence on a probability space  $(\Omega, P)$  and the summation is taken over a fixed finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then by the noncommutative version of Khintchine inequality in weak  $L_1$ -space  $L_{1,\infty}$  for  $(\varepsilon_m)$  which was established by Cadilhac [4, Corollary 3.2], we immediately obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 6.6.** Let  $f \in L_1(\mathcal{N})$ . Then

$$\inf_{\partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f = g_m + h_m} \left\{ \|g_m\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N}; R_d^c)} + \|h_m\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N}; R_d^r)} \right\} \approx \|Tf\|_{L_{1,\infty}(L_{\infty}(\Omega) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N})}.$$

Now we are ready to show estimate (6.2).

*Proof of estimate (6.2).* We first prove

$$(6.16) \quad \|\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}; R_d^c)} \lesssim \|f\|_p \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty.$$

However, (6.16) can be obtained via interpolation. Indeed, for  $1 < p < 2$ , by Corollary 6.6,  $T$  is bounded from  $L_1(\mathcal{N})$  to  $L_{1,\infty}(L_{\infty}(\Omega) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N})$ , where  $T$  was defined as (6.15). Combining the strong type (2, 2) of  $T$ -Lemma 6.2, we conclude that  $T$  is bounded from  $L_p(\mathcal{N})$  to  $L_p(L_{\infty}(\Omega) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N})$  by real interpolation. Hence,  $\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)}$  is bounded from  $L_p(\mathcal{N})$  to  $L_p(\mathcal{N}; R_d^c)$  thanks to noncommutative Khintchine's inequalities [42, 43].

For the case of  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , if we set  $T_c f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{m1}$  and  $T_r f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{1m}$ , then Lemma 6.2 in conjunction with  $(L_{\infty}, \text{BMO})$  estimate implies that  $T_c$  and  $T_r$  are bounded from  $L_p(\mathcal{N})$  to  $L_p(\mathcal{N} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$  by complex interpolation [38]. Therefore,  $\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)}$  is bounded from  $L_p(\mathcal{N})$  to  $L_p(\mathcal{N}; R_d^c)$  for all  $2 \leq p < \infty$ . This establishes (6.16).

Finally, the inverse inequality can be obtained by a routine approach. Indeed, by applying the polarization identity, Lemma 6.2 and Hölder's inequality, we arrive at

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{\|g\|_{p'} \leq 1} \langle \partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} f, \partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} g \rangle \lesssim \|\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}; R_d^{rc})}.$$

This gives the desired estimate (6.2) and we finish the proof of Theorem 6.1.  $\square$

**Remark 6.7.** Actually, we can directly apply the  $(L_\infty, BMO)$  estimate and strong type  $(2, 2)$  estimate of  $\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)}$  to get the strong type  $(p, p)$  for  $2 < p < \infty$ ; and the strong type  $(p, p)$  for  $1 < p < 2$  can be obtained by duality. Thus we do not need the weak type  $(1, 1)$  result. However, it is worthy pointing out that it seems that Mei and Parcet's weak type estimate result [37] could not be used to get the strong type  $(p, p)$  estimate for  $1 < p < 2$  by interpolation. Thus the intention here is to revise this drawback by applying the noncommutative Khintchine inequality in weak  $L_1$ -space  $L_{1, \infty}$ , which is established by Cadilhac [4].

In order to prove the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem-Theorem 5.1, we have to introduce some more auxiliary functions. For any integer  $k > 1$ , the  $g_k$  function of  $f$  is defined by

$$g_k^c(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^\infty t^{2k-1} |\partial_t^k H^t f(x)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For  $k = 1$ , we write  $g_1^c = g^c$ . Note that we have the relation

$$(6.17) \quad g_k^c(f)(x) \leq C_k g_{k+1}^c(f)(x),$$

for any  $k \geq 1$ . To see this, let  $u(x, t) = H^t f(x)$ . Observe that all  $t$ -derivatives of  $u(x, t)$  tend to zero as  $t$  goes to infinity. Therefore, writing

$$\partial_t^k u(x, t) = - \int_t^\infty \partial_s^{k+1} u(x, s) s^k s^{-k} ds,$$

and applying (2.1), we obtain

$$|\partial_t^k u(x, t)|^2 \leq \left( \int_t^\infty |\partial_s^{k+1} u(x, s)|^2 s^{2k} ds \right) \left( \int_t^\infty s^{-2k} ds \right).$$

This immediately gives

$$g_k^c(f)^2(x) \lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty |\partial_s^{k+1} u(x, s)|^2 s^{2k} ds dt.$$

As a consequence, an integration by parts and the monotone decreasing property of  $x \rightarrow |x|^t$  for  $0 < t < 1$  establish (6.17). In particular, by induction we find  $g^c(f)(x) \leq C_k g_{k+1}^c(f)(x)$ . Another family of functions we need are the  $g_{*,k}^c$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) functions which are defined by

$$g_{*,k}^c(f)(x) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{2-d}{2}} (1 + t^{-1}|x-y|^2)^{-k} |\partial_t H^t f(y)|^2 dt dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The following mapping property of  $g_{*,k}^c$  that we are going to survey will be required for the proof of Theorem 5.1.

**Lemma 6.8.** For  $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$  with  $2 < p < \infty$  and  $k > \frac{d}{2}$ , we have

$$\|g_{*,k}^c(f)\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\|g_{*,k}^c(f)\|_p^2 = \|(g_{*,k}^c(f))^2\|_{\frac{p}{2}}$ . Denote  $r$  the conjugate number of  $\frac{p}{2}$ , and choose a positive function  $h \in L_r(\mathcal{N})$  with norm one such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{*,k}^c(f)\|_p^2 &= \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{*,k}^c(f)(x)^2 h(x) dx \\ &= \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{2-d}{2}} (1+t^{-1}|x-y|^2)^{-k} |\partial_t H^t f(y)|^2 h(x) dt dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\|g_{*,k}^c(f)\|_p^2 = \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty t |\partial_t H^t f(y)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} t^{-\frac{d}{2}} (1+t^{-1}|x-y|^2)^{-k} h(x) dx dt dy.$$

Note that when  $k > \frac{d}{2}$ , the function  $(1+|x-y|^2)^{-k}$  is integrable. Appealing to Mei's Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequality [36] and Remark 2.1, we can find a positive operator  $a \in L_r(\mathcal{N})$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} t^{-\frac{d}{2}} (1+t^{-1}|x-y|^2)^{-k} h(x) dx \leq a(y), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \forall t > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\|_r \lesssim \|h\|_r = 1.$$

Thus, by Hölder's inequality and Theorem 6.1, we obtain

$$\|g_{*,k}^c(f)\|_p^2 \lesssim \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty t |\partial_t H^t f(y)|^2 dt a(y) dy \lesssim \|f\|_p^2,$$

which finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 7. PROOF OF THEOREM 5.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 5.1 announced in Section 5. To prove Theorem 5.1, we will use the Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory developed in previous section. To this end, let  $F(x) = T_\mu f(x)$ . We are reduced to showing that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$(7.1) \quad g_{k+1}^c(F)(x) \leq C_k g_{*,k}^c(f)(x),$$

provided by  $k > d/2$ . Indeed, once we obtain (7.1), Theorem 5.1 for  $p > 2$  follows from Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 6.8 in view of  $g^c(F)(x) \leq C_k g_{k+1}^c(F)(x)$ ; and we get Theorem 5.1 for  $1 < p < 2$  by duality, which implies the desired estimate. To prove (7.1), we start by defining the following function

$$M(t, x, y) = \sum_{\nu} e^{-(2|\nu|+d)t} \mu(2|\nu|+d) \Phi_\nu(x) \Phi_\nu(y).$$

If we set  $u(x, t) = H^t f(x)$  and  $U(x, t) = H^t F(x)$ , then it is easy to verify that

$$(7.2) \quad U(x, t_1 + t_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} M(t_1, x, y) u(y, t_2) dy.$$

Differentiating (7.2)  $k$  times with respect to  $t_1$  and one time with respect to  $t_2$  and then setting  $t_1 = t_2 = t/2$ , we get the relation

$$(7.3) \quad \partial_t^{k+1} U(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t^k M(t/2, x, y) \partial_t u(y, t/2) dy.$$

The following lemma has already shown in [53] (see also [57, Lemma 4.2.1]) implies that we can translate the conditions on the function  $\mu$  into properties of the kernel  $M(t, x, y)$ .

**Lemma 7.1** ([57]). Assume that  $\mu$  satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 5.1. Then we have

$$(7.4) \quad |\partial_t^k M(t, x, y)| \lesssim t^{-d/2-k},$$

$$(7.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x-y|^{2k} |\partial_t^k M(t, x, y)|^2 dy \lesssim t^{-d/2-k}.$$

With Lemma 7.1, we are now ready to prove estimate (7.1).

*Proof of estimate (7.1).* According to (7.3), we write  $\partial_t^{k+1} U(x, t) = A_t(x) + B_t(x)$  with

$$A_t(x) = \int_{|x-y| \leq t^{1/2}} \partial_t^k M(t/2, x, y) \partial_t u(y, t/2) dy,$$

and

$$B_t(x) = \int_{|x-y| > t^{1/2}} \partial_t^k M(t/2, x, y) \partial_t u(y, t/2) dy.$$

Then the operator convexity inequality of square function  $x \mapsto |x|^2$  implies

$$|\partial_t^{k+1} U(x, t)|^2 \leq 2(|A_t(x)|^2 + |B_t(x)|^2).$$

This leads to show

$$(7.6) \quad |A_t(x)|^2 + |B_t(x)|^2 \lesssim t^{-d/2-2k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + t^{-1}|x-y|^2)^{-k} |\partial_t u(y, t)|^2 dy.$$

Once we obtain (7.6), then

$$\int_0^\infty |\partial_t^{k+1} U(x, t)|^2 t^{2k+1} dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty t^{-d/2+1} (1 + t^{-1}|x-y|^2)^{-k} |\partial_t u(y, t)|^2 dt dy,$$

which establishes (7.1) via the operator monotone increasing property of  $x \rightarrow |x|^\alpha$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

Thus it remains to show (7.6). First we deal with  $A_t(x)$ . Applying (2.1) and (7.4) stated in Lemma 7.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A_t(x)|^2 &\leq \int_{|x-y| \leq t^{1/2}} |\partial_t^k M(t/2, x, y)|^2 dy \int_{|x-y| \leq t^{1/2}} |\partial_t u(y, t/2)|^2 dy \\ &\lesssim t^{-d/2-2k} \int_{|x-y| \leq t^{1/2}} |\partial_t u(y, t/2)|^2 dy \\ &\lesssim t^{-d/2-2k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + t^{-1}|x-y|^2)^{-k} |\partial_t u(y, t)|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now we turn to the term  $B_t(x)$ . Using (2.1) once more and (7.5) in Lemma 7.1, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |B_t(x)|^2 &\leq \int_{|x-y| > t^{1/2}} |x-y|^{-2k} |\partial_t u(y, t/2)|^2 dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x-y|^{2k} |\partial_t^k M(t/2, x, y)|^2 dy \\ &\lesssim t^{-d/2-k} \int_{|x-y| > t^{1/2}} |x-y|^{-2k} |\partial_t u(y, t/2)|^2 dy \\ &\lesssim t^{-d/2-2k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + t^{-1}|x-y|^2)^{-k} |\partial_t u(y, t)|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the assertion of (7.6). Therefore, we finish the proof of Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

## 8. PROOF OF THEOREM 5.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 5.2. It suffices to consider the case  $d = 1$ , since there is absolutely no change in the proof for the general case. Recall that for  $d = 1$ , the operator  $T_t^\alpha$  is defined by

$$T_t^\alpha f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^{-\alpha} e^{(2n+1)it} \widehat{f}(n) \varphi_n(x).$$

The operator  $T_t^\alpha$  has the following kernel

$$K_t(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^{-\alpha} e^{(2n+1)it} \varphi_n(y) \varphi_n(x).$$

If we set

$$K_t^*(x, y, \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{(2n+1)(-\lambda+it)} \varphi_n(y) \varphi_n(x),$$

then we can write the kernel  $K_t(x, y)$  as

$$(8.1) \quad K_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{\alpha-1} K_t^*(x, y, \lambda) d\lambda.$$

In the following, we explain why we need the atomic theory of the operator-valued Hardy spaces to deal with the  $L_p$  boundedness of  $T_t^\alpha$  stated in Theorem 5.2. As usual, to study the  $L_p$  boundedness of  $T_t^\alpha$ , it is enough to show that

$$(8.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_t(x, y)| dx \leq C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_t(x, y)| dy \leq C_2,$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are two constants and  $C_1$  is independent of  $y$ , while  $C_2$  is independent of  $x$ . Indeed, (8.2) and Schur lemma (see e.g. [40]) imply that  $T_t^\alpha$  is bounded on  $L_2(\mathcal{N})$ . On the other hand, it is clear  $T_t^\alpha$  is of type  $(\infty, \infty)$  according to (8.2). Thus by interpolation and duality, we obtain  $T_t^\alpha$  is bounded on  $L_p(\mathcal{N})$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Therefore, we shall calculate the  $L_1$ -norm of  $K_t(x, y)$ . By symmetry, we only need to consider  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_t(x, y)| dx$ . From [53], we know that

$$(8.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_t(x, y)| dx \lesssim \int_0^\infty \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda} (1-r^2)^{-1/2} \{(1-r^2)^2 + 4r^2 \sin^2 2t\}^{1/4} d\lambda.$$

From (8.3), we see that if  $\sin 2t = 0$ , then the kernel  $K_t(x, y)$  is integrable for all  $\alpha > 0$ . If  $\sin 2t$  is not 0, then the kernel  $K_t(x, y)$  is integrable only if  $\alpha > 1/2$  (recall that  $d = 1$ ). However, in this paper, we force on the case  $\alpha = 1/2$  and  $t_0 < t \leq \pi/4$  with the positive constant  $t_0$ . This leads us to study the operators by other means.

Suppressing  $\alpha$ , we set the operator  $T_t^{1/2} = T_t$  for convenience. The kernel  $K_t(x, y)$  of this operator now is given by

$$K_t(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^{-1/2} e^{(2n+1)it} \varphi_n(y) \varphi_n(x).$$

Accordingly, by (8.1), we can write the kernel  $K_t(x, y)$  as

$$K_t(x, y) = c \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} K_t^*(x, y, \lambda) d\lambda,$$

where  $c = 1/\Gamma(\alpha)$ . A simple calculation (see [53]) shows that

$$K_t^*(x, y, \lambda) = c(\sinh 2(\lambda - it))^{-1/2} e^{-A_t(x, y, \lambda)} e^{iB_t(x, y, \lambda)}$$

where  $A_t(x, y, \lambda)$  and  $B_t(x, y, \lambda)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} 2A_t(x, y, \lambda) &= (\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t)^{-1} (\sinh 2\lambda) \{ \cos 2t(x - y)^2 \\ &\quad + (\cosh 2\lambda - \cos 2t)(x^2 + y^2) \}. \\ 2B_t(x, y, \lambda) &= -(\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t)^{-1} (\sinh 2t) \{ \cosh 2\lambda(x - y)^2 \\ &\quad - (\cosh 2\lambda - \cos 2t)(x^2 + y^2) \}. \end{aligned}$$

According to [53], the following integral

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} \{ \sinh 2(\lambda - it) \}^{-1/2} e^{-A_t(x, y, \lambda)} e^{iB_t(x, y, \lambda)} d\lambda$$

is finite and hence by the previous argument the operator corresponding to this kernel is bounded on  $L_p(\mathcal{N})$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . So it suffices to deal with the operator  $T_t$  associated with the following kernel

$$(8.4) \quad K_t(x, y) = \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} \{ \sinh 2(\lambda - it) \}^{-1/2} e^{-A_t(x, y, \lambda)} e^{iB_t(x, y, \lambda)} d\lambda.$$

To prove Theorem 5.2, we need following certain estimates for the kernel  $K_t(x, y)$  defined as (8.4). For convenience, let us write  $K_t(x, y, \lambda) = \{ \sinh 2(\lambda - it) \}^{-1/2} e^{-A_t(x, y, \lambda)}$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** Let  $0 < t \leq \pi/4$ . Then following estimates hold.

- (i)  $\left| \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x, y, \lambda) d\lambda \right| \leq C|x - y|^{-1}$ ;
- (ii)  $\left| \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} \partial_y K_t(x, y, \lambda) e^{iB_t(x, y, \lambda)} d\lambda \right| \leq C|x - y|^{-2}$ ;
- (iii)  $\left| \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x, y, \lambda) \partial_y \{ e^{iB_t(x, y, \lambda)} \} d\lambda \right| \leq C(\sin 2t)^{-3/2}$ ;
- (iv)  $\left| \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} \lambda K_t(x, y, \lambda) \partial_\lambda \{ e^{iB_t(x, y, \lambda)} \} d\lambda \right| \leq C|x - y|^{-3}$ .

*Proof.* Here we only prove conclusion (i). The other three estimates we refer to [53, Lemma 4.1]. We first prove it whenever  $0 < t \leq \pi/8$ . A simple calculation shows

$$|\sinh 2(\lambda - it)|^2 = c(\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t).$$

Note that for  $0 < t \leq \pi/8$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $\cos 2t \geq 2^{-1/2}$ ,  $\sinh 2\lambda$  behaves like  $\lambda$  and  $\cosh 2\lambda = O(1)$ . To estimate (i) in the case of  $0 < t \leq \pi/8$ , we continue to consider it two different ways. First, we assume  $t \leq \lambda$ . Under this assumption, observe that  $(\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t)$  behaves like  $\lambda^2$ . Therefore, with these observations, we obtain

$$|K_t(x, y, \lambda)| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} e^{-c\lambda^{-1}(x-y)^2}.$$

Integrating this against  $\lambda^{-1/2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x, y, \lambda) d\lambda \right| &\lesssim \int_0^1 \lambda^{-3/2} e^{-c\lambda^{-1}(x-y)^2} d\lambda \\ &= \int_1^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} e^{-c\lambda(x-y)^2} d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} e^{-c\lambda(x-y)^2} d\lambda \lesssim |x - y|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$



We next assume  $t > \lambda$ . Note that in this case  $(\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t)$  behaves like  $t^2$ . Thus,

$$|K_t(x, y, \lambda)| \lesssim t^{-1} e^{-c\lambda t^{-2}(x-y)^2}.$$

To this end, integrating this against  $\lambda^{-1/2}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x, y, \lambda) d\lambda \right| &\lesssim t^{-1} \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} e^{-c\lambda t^{-2}(x-y)^2} d\lambda \\ &\leq t^{-1} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} e^{-c\lambda t^{-2}(x-y)^2} d\lambda \lesssim |x-y|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i) when  $0 < t \leq \pi/8$ .

We now turn to estimate (i) in the case of  $\pi/8 < t \leq \pi/4$ . Observe that if  $t$  is in the neighbourhood of  $\pi/4$  we can use

$$(\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t)^{-1} (\sinh 2\lambda) (\cosh 2\lambda - \cos 2t) (x^2 + y^2)$$

in place of

$$(\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t)^{-1} (\sinh 2\lambda) \cos 2t (x-y)^2$$

since  $(\cosh 2\lambda - \cos 2t) \geq 1 - \cos 2t \geq C$ . The following argument is the same as the case of  $0 < t \leq \pi/8$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.2.

*Proof of Theorem 5.2.* (i) We first prove the  $(H_1, L_1)$  estimate of  $T_t$ . The previous argument tells us that we only need to consider the one dimensional case ( $d = 1$ ) and the kernel  $K_t(x, y)$  as in (8.4). On the other hand, the proof is based on the atomic decomposition of  $H_1^c(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{M})$  introduced in Section 2. Thus it suffices to show that for any atom  $a$

$$\|T_t a\|_1 \lesssim 1.$$

In what follows, we always assume that the atom  $a$  is supported in  $Q_\delta$ , where  $Q_\delta$  denote the interval of length  $2\delta$  with center  $c_Q$ . Let  $Q_{2\delta}$  be the interval with center  $c_Q$  with length  $4\delta$ . Denote by  $Q_\delta^c$  the complement of  $Q_\delta$ . Set  $F(x) = T_t a(x)$ . Decompose  $F$  as a sum of three functions  $F = F_1 + F_2 + F_3$ , where  $F_1 = F \chi_{Q_{2\delta}}$ ,  $F_2 = F \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}$  and  $F_3 = F \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}^c}$ . Note that  $F_2 = 0$  when  $\delta \geq 2^{-1/2}$ . With these conventions and by Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\|T_t a\|_1 \leq \|F_1\|_1 + \|F_2\|_1 + \|F_3\|_1.$$

We first estimate  $F_1$ . It suffices to show that  $T_t$  bounded on  $L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))$ , since by (2.1), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1\|_1 &= \int_{Q_{2\delta}} \tau(|F(x)|) dx \leq |Q_{2\delta}|^{1/2} \tau \left[ \left( \int_{Q_{2\delta}} |T_t a(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ &\lesssim |Q_{2\delta}|^{1/2} \tau \left[ \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |a(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right] \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows the properties of atoms stated in subsection 2.5. Now we examine the  $L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))$ -boundedness of  $T_t$ . Observe that for  $f \in L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))$

and by anti-linear duality,

$$\|T_t f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))} \leq \sup_{\|h\|_{L_\infty(L_2^c)} \leq 1} \|T_t^* h\|_{L_\infty(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))} \|f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))}.$$

Note that  $T_t$  is bounded on  $L_2(\mathcal{N})$ , so is  $T_t^*$ . This gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_t^* h\|_{L_\infty(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))} &= \left\| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |T_t^* h(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &= \sup_{\|u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} \leq 1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle |T_t^* h(x)|^2 u, u \rangle_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sup_{\|u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} \leq 1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|T_t^*(hu)(x)\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M})}^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\|u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} \leq 1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|h(x)u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{M})}^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left\| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired estimate.

To study  $F_2$  we decompose the kernel  $K_t(x, y)$  as follows

$$K_t(x, y) = E_t(x, y) + G_t(x, y) + H_t(x),$$

where these three terms above are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (8.5) \quad E_t(x, y) &= \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} \{K_t(x, y, \lambda) - K_t(x, c_Q, \lambda)\} e^{iB_t(x, y, \lambda)} d\lambda, \\ G_t(x, y) &= \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x, c_Q, \lambda) \{e^{iB_t(x, y, \lambda)} - e^{iB_t(x, c_Q, \lambda)}\} d\lambda, \\ H_t(x) &= \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x, c_Q, \lambda) e^{iB_t(x, c_Q, \lambda)} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $a$  is of vanishing mean, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} H_t(x) a(y) dy = 0.$$

Thus it remains to estimate the other two terms. To this end, denote by  $E_t$  the operator associated with the kernel  $E_t(x, y)$  and by  $G_t$  the operator associated with the kernel  $G_t(x, y)$ . As a consequence, by Minkowski's inequality,

$$\|F_2\|_1 \leq \|E_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}}\|_1 + \|G_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}}\|_1.$$

By applying conclusion (ii) (resp. conclusion (iii)) stated in Lemma 8.1 to  $E_t(x, y)$  (resp.  $G_t(x, y)$ ) and noting  $t \in [t_0, \pi/4]$ , we find the following estimates

$$|E_t(x, y)| \lesssim |y - c_Q| |x - c_Q|^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad |G_t(x, y)| \lesssim |y - c_Q|.$$

With the first observation above and by (2.1), we get

$$|E_t a(x) \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}}(x)| \leq \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |E_t(x, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |a(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}}(x)$$

$$\lesssim \delta^{3/2}|x - c_Q|^{-2} \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |a(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}(x),$$

where the second inequality follows the fact that  $|y - c_Q| \leq \delta$ . Similarly, by the second observation with respect to  $G_t$ ,

$$|G_t a(x) \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}(x)| \lesssim \delta^{3/2} \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |a(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}(x).$$

Moreover, observe that for  $x \in Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}$ , then  $2\delta \leq |x - c_Q| \leq \delta^{-1}$ . Therefore, exploiting all these observations and (2.1), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_2\|_1 &\leq \tau \int_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}} (|E_t a(x)| + |G_t a(x)|) dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}} \delta^{3/2} (1 + |x - c_Q|^{-2}) \tau \left[ \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |a(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right] dx \\ &\lesssim \delta \left\{ \int_{|x - c_Q| \leq \delta^{-1}} dx + \int_{|x - c_Q| \geq 2\delta} |x - c_Q|^{-2} dx \right\} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we turn to the last term  $F_3$ . As in estimating  $F_2$ , we write the kernel  $K_t(x, y)$  as the sum of three parts:

$$K_t(x, y) = E_t(x, y) + G_{1,t}(x, y) + H_{1,t}(x, y),$$

where  $E_t(x, y)$  is the same as (8.5) and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1,t}(x, y) &= \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x, c_Q, \lambda) \{e^{iB_t(x, y, \lambda)} - e^{iB_t(x, y, 0)}\} d\lambda, \\ H_{1,t}(x, y) &= \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x, c_Q, \lambda) e^{iB_t(x, y, 0)} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $G_{1,t}$  the operator associated with the kernel  $G_{1,t}(x, y)$  and let  $H_{1,t}$  be the operator associated with the kernel  $H_{1,t}(x, y)$ . Then Minkowski's inequality implies that

$$\|F_3\|_1 \leq \|E_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}\|_1 + \|G_{1,t} a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}\|_1 + \|H_{1,t} a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}\|_1.$$

Hence, this leads us to show

$$(8.6) \quad \max \{ \|E_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}\|_1, \|G_{1,t} a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}\|_1, \|H_{1,t} a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}\|_1 \} \lesssim 1.$$

Consider the first term firstly. Recalling that by conclusion (ii) in Lemma 8.1, we have the estimate

$$|E_t(x, y)| \lesssim |y - c_Q| |x - c_Q|^{-2}.$$

Then we use (2.1) to get

$$|E_t a(x) \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}(x)| \lesssim \delta^{3/2} |x - c_Q|^{-2} \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |a(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}(x),$$

Note that for  $x \in Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}$ , then  $|x - c_Q| \geq 2\delta$ . Therefore, we find that

$$\|E_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}\|_1 \lesssim \int_{|x - c_Q| \geq 2\delta} \delta^{3/2} |x - c_Q|^{-2} \tau \left[ \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |a(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right] dx \lesssim 1.$$

To estimate the second term in (8.6), we use conclusion (iv) in Lemma 8.1 to get

$$|G_{1,t}(x, y)| \lesssim |x - c_Q|^{-3}.$$

In conjugate with (2.1), we obtain

$$|G_{1,t}a(x)\chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}^c}(x)| \lesssim \delta^{1/2}|x - c_Q|^{-3} \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |a(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}^c}(x).$$

Since for  $x \in Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}^c$ , we have  $|x - c_Q| \geq \delta^{-1}$  and  $|x - c_Q| \geq 2\delta$ , which this us to calculate  $\|G_{1,t}a\chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}^c}\|_1$  in two cases. When  $\delta \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{1,t}a\chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}^c}\|_1 &\lesssim \int_{|x-c_Q| \geq \delta^{-1}} \delta^{1/2}|x - c_Q|^{-3} \tau \left[ \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |a(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right] dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{|x-c_Q| \geq \delta^{-1}} |x - c_Q|^{-3} dx \lesssim \delta^2 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\delta > 1$  we use another relation to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{1,t}a\chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}^c}\|_1 &\lesssim \int_{|x-c_Q| \geq 2\delta} \delta^{1/2}|x - c_Q|^{-3} \tau \left[ \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |a(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right] dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{|x-c_Q| \geq 2\delta} |x - c_Q|^{-3} dx \lesssim \delta^{-2} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired estimate.

We now consider the third term in (8.6). By Fubini's theorem, we rewrite  $H_{1,t}a(x)$  as

$$H_{1,t}a(x) = F_t a(x) \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x, c_Q, \lambda) d\lambda \triangleq F_t a(x) g_t(x),$$

where the operator  $F_t$  is defined by

$$F_t a(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iB_t(x,y,0)} a(y) dy.$$

By conclusion (i) obtained in Lemma 8.1, we know that  $|g_t(x)| \lesssim |x - c_Q|^{-1}$ . Thus by the fact that if  $x \in Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}^c$ , then  $|x - c_Q| \geq \delta^{-1}$ . Moreover, by Plancherel's theorem,  $F_t$  is bounded on  $L_2(\mathcal{M})$ . Then we apply the same argument as in estimating  $F_1$  to conclude that  $F_t$  is bounded on  $L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))$ . Finally, using (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{1,t}a\chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta-1}^c}\|_1 &\leq \left( \int_{|x-c_Q| \geq \delta^{-1}} |g_t(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \tau \left[ \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |F_t a(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_{|x-c_Q| \geq \delta^{-1}} |x - c_Q|^{-2} dx \right)^{1/2} \tau \left[ \left( \int_{Q_\delta} |a(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right] \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates obtained so far, we finish the proof of (8.6), which actually gives the desired  $(H_1, L_1)$  boundedness of  $T_t$ .

(ii) We now prove the strong type  $(p, p)$  of  $T_t^\alpha$ . Let  $(S_z)$  be a sequence of operator which is defined by  $S_z = T_t^{(1-z)/2}$ . Set  $S_{1+iy} = T_t^{(-iy)/2}$  and  $S_{iy} = T_t^{(1-iy)/2}$ . Then clearly both  $S_{1+iy}$  and  $S_{iy}$  are bounded on  $L_2(\mathcal{M})$ . Moreover, by repeating the proof of (i), we can deduce that  $S_{iy}$  is bounded from  $H_1(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{M})$  to  $L_1(\mathcal{N})$ . Therefore, by applying Fefferman-Stein interpolation theorem [13], we get that  $T_t^\alpha$  is bounded on

$L_p(\mathcal{N})$  for  $1 < p < 2$ . The strong type  $(p, p)$  ( $2 < p < \infty$ ) follows by duality. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 5.2 is completed.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to my supervisor Guixiang Hong for many valuable suggestions on this topic, his guidance throughout the making of this paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Askey, S. Wainger, Mean convergence of expansions in Laguerre and Hermite series, *Amer. J. Math.* **87** (1965) 675-708.
- [2] P. Boggarapu, L. Roncal, S. Thangavelu, Mixed norm estimates for the Cesàro means associated with Dunkl-Hermite expansions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017) 7021-7047.
- [3] L. Cadilhac, Weak boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators on noncommutative  $L_1$ -spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **274** (2018) 769-796.
- [4] L. Cadilhac, Noncommutative Khintchine inequalities in interpolation spaces of  $L_p$ -spaces, *Adv. Math.* **352** (2019) 265-296.
- [5] M. Caspers, D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, Weak type commutator and Lipschitz estimates resolution of the Nazarov-Peller conjecture, *Amer. J. Math.* **141** (2019) 593-610.
- [6] Z. Chen, Q. Xu and Z. Yin, Harmonic analysis on quantum tori, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **322** (2013) 755-805.
- [7] V. Chilin, S. Litvinov, Individual ergodic theorems for semifinite von neumann algebras, *arXiv:1607.03452*.
- [8] Ó. Ciaurri, L. Roncal, Littlewood-Paley-Stein  $g_k$ -functions for Fourier-Bessel expansions. *J. Funct. Anal.* **258** (2010) 2173-2204.
- [9] I. Cuculescu, Martingales on von Neumann algebras, *J. Multivariate Anal.* **1** (1971) 17-27.
- [10] L. Escauriaza, Carleman inequalities and the heat operator, *Duke Math. J.* **104** (2000) 113-127.
- [11] L. Escauriaza, L. Vega, Carleman inequalities and the heat operator; II, *Indiana. Univ. math. J.* **50** (2001) 1149-1169.
- [12] T. Fack, H. Kosaki, Generalized s-numbers of  $\tau$ -measurable operators, *Pacific J. Math.* **123** (1986) 269-300.
- [13] C. Fefferman, E. Stein,  $H^p$  spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* **129** (1972) 137-193.
- [14] A. Gonzalez-Pérez, M. Junge, J. Parcet, Singular integrals in quantum Euclidean spaces, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* **50** (2017) 879-925.
- [15] G. Hardy, J. Littlewood, Some new properties of Fourier constants, *Math. Ann.* **97** (1927) 159-209.
- [16] G. Hong, Non-commutative ergodic averages of balls and spheres over Euclidean spaces, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, **40** (2020) 418-436.
- [17] G. Hong, X. Lai, B. Xu, Maximal singular integral operators acting on noncommutative  $L_p$ -spaces, *Math. Ann.* To appear. *arXiv:2009.03827*.
- [18] G. Hong, B. Liao, S. Wang, Noncommutative maximal ergodic inequalities associated with doubling conditions, *Duke Math. J.* **170** (2021) 205-246.
- [19] G. Hong, L. López-Sánchez, J. Martell, J. Parcet, Calderón-Zygmund Operators Associated to Matrix-Valued Kernels, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **5** (2014) 1221-1252.
- [20] G. Hong, S. Wang, X. Wang, Pointwise convergence of noncommutative Fourier series, *arXiv:1908.00240*.
- [21] G. Hong, B. Xu, A noncommutative weak  $(1, 1)$  type estimate for a square function from ergodic theory, *J. Funct. Anal.* **280** (2021) 29 pp.
- [22] G. Hong, Y. Zhi, Wavelet approach to operator-valued Hardy spaces, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **29** (2013) 293-313.
- [23] M. Junge, Doob's inequality for non-commutative martingales, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **549** (2002) 149-190.
- [24] M. Junge, C. Le Merdy, Q. Xu,  $H^\infty$ -functional calculus and square functions on noncommutative  $L_p$ -spaces, *Astérisque*. **305** (2006) vi+138 pp.

- [25] M. Junge, T. Mei, Noncommutative Riesz transforms—a probabilistic approach, *Amer. J. Math.* **132** (2010) 611–681.
- [26] M. Junge, T. Mei, BMO spaces associated with semigroups of operators, *Math. Ann.* **352** (2012) 691–743.
- [27] M. Junge, T. Mei, J. Parcet, Smooth Fourier multipliers on group von Neumann algebras, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **24** (2014) 1913–1980.
- [28] M. Junge, T. Mei, J. Parcet, R. Xia, Algebraic Calderón-Zygmund theory, *Adv. Math.* **376** (2021) 72 pp.
- [29] M. Junge, J. Parcet, Q. Xu, Rosenthal type inequalities for free chaos, *Ann. Probab.* **35** (2007) 1374–1437.
- [30] M. Junge, Q. Xu, Noncommutative Burkholder/Rosenthal inequalities, *Ann. Probab.* **31** (2003) 948–995.
- [31] M. Junge, Q. Xu, Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (2007) 385–439.
- [32] H. Koch, D. Tataru,  $L_p$  eigenfunction bounds for the Hermite operator, *Duke Math. J.* **128** (2005) 369–392.
- [33] X. Lai, Noncommutative maximal operators with rough kernels, arXiv:1912.09063.
- [34] E. C. Lance, Ergodic theorems for convex sets and operator algebras, *Invent. Math.* **37** (1976) 201–214.
- [35] F. Lust-Piquard, G. Pisier, Noncommutative Khintchine and Paley inequalities, *Ark. Mat.* **29** (1991) 241–260.
- [36] T. Mei, Operator valued Hardy spaces, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **188** (2007) vi+64 pp.
- [37] T. Mei, J. Parcet, Pseudo-localization of singular integrals and noncommutative Littlewood-Paley inequalities, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **8** (2009) 1433–1487.
- [38] M. Musat, Interpolation between non-commutative BMO and non-commutative  $L_p$ -spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **202** (2003) 195–225.
- [39] S. Neuwirth, É. Ricard, Transfer of Fourier multipliers into Schur multipliers and sumsets in a discrete group, *Canad. J. Math.* **63** (2010) 1161–1187.
- [40] J. Parcet, Pseudo-localization of singular integrals and noncommutative Calderón-Zygmund theory, *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009) 509–593.
- [41] J. Parcet, N. Randrianantoanina, Gundy’s decomposition for noncommutative martingales and applications, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **93** (2006) 227–252.
- [42] G. Pisier, Remarks on the non-commutative Khintchine inequalities for  $0 < p < 2$ , *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009) 4128–4161.
- [43] G. Pisier, E. Ricard, The non-commutative Khintchine inequalities for  $0 < p < 1$ , *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu.* **16** (2017) 1103–1123.
- [44] G. Pisier, Q. Xu, Non-commutative martingale inequalities, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **189** (1997) 667–698.
- [45] G. Pisier, Q. Xu, Noncommutative  $L^p$  spaces, *Handbook of geometry of Banach spaces* (2003) 1459–1517.
- [46] N. Randrianantoanina, Noncommutative martingales transforms, *J. Funct. Anal.* **194** (2002) 181–212.
- [47] N. Randrianantoanina, Conditioned square functions for noncommutative martingales, *Ann. Probab.* **35**(2007) 1039–1070.
- [48] P. Ratnakumar, S. Thangavelu, Spherical means, wave equations, and Hermite-Laguerre expansions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **154** (1998) 253–290.
- [49] C. Sadosky, *Interpolation of operators and singular integrals*, Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel, 1979.
- [50] E. Stein, Localization and summability of multiple Fourier series, *Acta Math.* **100** (1958) 93–147.
- [51] E. Stein, *Topics in harmonic analysis related to Littlewood-Paley theory*, Ann. Math. Studies. Princeton Univ. Press. Princeton. N.J. 1971.
- [52] E. Stein, G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press. 1971.
- [53] S. Thangavelu, Multipliers for Hermite expansions, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana.* **3** (1987) 1–24.

- [54] S. Thangavelu, Summability of Hermite expansions.I, Tran .Amer. Math. Soc. **314** (1989) 119-142.
- [55] S. Thangavelu, Summability of Hermite expansions.II, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. **314** (1989) 143-170.
- [56] S. Thangavelu, On almost everywhere and mean convergence of Hermite and Laguerre expansions, Colloq. Math. **1** (1990) 21-34.
- [57] S. Thangavelu, Lectures on Hermite and Laguerre expansions, Mathematical Notes, vol. 42, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (1993).
- [58] R. Xia, X. Xiong, Q. Xu, Characterizations of operator-valued Hardy spaces and applications to harmonic analysis on quantum tori, Adv. Math. **291** (2016) 183-227.
- [59] X. Xiong, Q. Xu, Z. Yin, Sobolev, Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on quantum tori, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **252** (2018) vi+118 pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND THE RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,  
SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GWANAK-RO 1, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
*Email address:* `bangxu@snu.ac.kr`