

# ON THE MATRIX CAUCHY-SCHWARZ INEQUALITY

MOHAMMAD SABABHEH, CRISTIAN CONDE, AND HAMID REZA MORADI

ABSTRACT. The main goal of this work is to present new matrix inequalities of the Cauchy-Schwarz type. In particular, we investigate the so-called Lieb functions, whose definition came as an umbrella of Cauchy-Schwarz-like inequalities, then we consider the mixed Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This latter inequality has been influential in obtaining several other matrix inequalities, including numerical radius and norm results.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . The celebrated Cauchy-Schwarz inequality states that

$$(1.1) \quad |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the standard inner product in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm. This inequality has been a key inequality in Mathematics, with numerous applications.

If  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , the inequality (1.1) can be written simply as

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \overline{y_i} \right| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz (CS for short) inequality has been extended to matrices in a nice way. To state and elaborate on the matrix versions, we remind the reader of some terminologies and notations. Let  $\mathcal{M}_n$  be the algebra of all complex  $n \times n$  matrices. A unitarily invariant norm  $|||\cdot|||$  on  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a matrix norm that satisfies the additional property  $|||UAV||| = |||A|||$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and any unitary matrices  $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . The matrix CS inequality states that if  $A, B, X \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and if  $|||\cdot|||$  is any unitarily invariant norm on  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , then [5, Theorem IX.5.1]

$$(1.3) \quad |||A^*XB|||^2 \leq |||AA^*X||| |||XBB^*|||,$$

where  $A^*$  denotes the conjugate of the matrix  $A$ . Notice that if we let  $A = \text{diag}[x_i]$  and  $B = \text{diag}[y_i]$ , then the scalar CS inequality (1.2) (or (1.1)) follows from (1.3), by letting  $X = I$ ; the identity matrix.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A63, Secondary 15A60, 46L05.

*Key words and phrases.* Lieb functions, operator inequality, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Applying (1.1) with simple manipulations, one can easily prove the following mixed CS inequality [15]

$$(1.4) \quad |\langle Ax, y \rangle|^2 \leq \left\langle |A|^{2(1-t)} x, x \right\rangle \left\langle |A^*|^{2t} y, y \right\rangle,$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Here,  $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

This article aims to discuss further the matrix CS inequality and the mixed CS inequality. This will be done in two sections; where in the first section, we deal with a class of functions, namely Lieb functions, that was initially defined as a class of functions satisfying CS-type inequalities, then we present more elaborated mixed CS inequalities.

Among many interesting results about Lieb functions, we show that these functions satisfy the Ando-type inequality

$$f(A\sharp B) \leq f(A)\sharp f(B),$$

where  $\sharp$  stands for the geometric mean of two positive definite matrices. On the other hand, as a conclusion to the discussion of the mixed CS inequality, we show that for  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,

$$\|T\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} + \Re T \right\| + \left\| \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} - \Re T \right\| \right);$$

where  $f, g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfy  $f(t)g(t) = t$ . The significance of this result is due to the way it perturbs the inequality  $\|T\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)\|$ ; see Corollary 3.1 and the paragraph preceding it for better comprehension of this result. Many other results including sums, products and convex combinations will be shown too.

## 2. LIEB FUNCTIONS

In [18], the following inequality among determinants was shown as a possible determinant CS inequality

$$(2.1) \quad |\det(I + A + B)| \leq \det(I + |A|) \det(I + |B|),$$

where  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ .

One year later, Lieb [17] extended this inequality to a broader class of matrix functions, denoted by  $\mathcal{L}$ , and defined as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a complex valued function defined on  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . We say that  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  if it satisfies the following two properties for  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ :*

- (i)  $f(B) \geq f(A) \geq 0$  if  $B \geq A \geq O$ ;
- (ii)  $|f(A^*B)|^2 \leq f(A^*A)f(B^*B)$  for all  $A, B$ .

In this context, we write  $A \geq O$  if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , while we write  $A \geq B$  if  $A - B \geq O$ . We distinguish the zero matrix  $O$  from the scalar 0 due to the differences between

the ranges of the functions we deal with. Examples of functions in  $\mathcal{L}$  are the determinant, permanent, spectral radius, any elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues, and any unitarily invariant norm; see [5, 17, 19].

The second inequality in the above definition is the CS-like inequality. It is also implicitly understood, from the first property, that when  $A \geq O$  then  $f(A) \geq 0$ .

Lieb functions were discussed in detail in the literature, and some exciting properties were shown for this class. We refer the reader in particular to [17] for the original definition and the basic properties, while we refer the reader to [5, Section IX.5] for other properties of the class  $\mathcal{L}$ , and its connection to matrix inequalities. We also refer the reader to [1] for further discussion of Lieb functions and sectorial matrices.

The significance of discussing the class  $\mathcal{L}$  is the way it retrieves properties of this class examples, such as the determinant and unitarily invariant norms, for example.

This section investigates this class's further properties and shows many interesting inequalities that extend some known inequalities for particular examples of such functions. For example, we show that if  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , then

$$|f(T)|^2 \leq f(|T|)f(|T^*|)$$

as a CS-type inequality; then weighted form of this inequality will be presented. Many other interesting inequalities, including relations among  $f(A+B)$  and  $f(|A|+|B|)f(|A^*|+|B^*|)$  will be presented; however, we will discuss such relations in a more general scenario.

To achieve our target in this section, we will need some lemmas, as follows.

**Lemma 2.1.** [5, Theorem IX.5.10] *A continuous function  $f : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is in the class  $\mathcal{L}$  if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (i)  $f(A) \geq 0$  for all  $A \geq O$ ;
- (ii)  $|f(C)|^2 \leq f(A)f(B)$  for all  $A, B, C$  such that  $\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** [16, Lemma 2] *Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be such that  $A, B \geq O$  and  $BC = CA$ . If  $\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O$ , then  $\begin{bmatrix} g^2(A) & C^* \\ C & h^2(B) \end{bmatrix} \geq O$  for any pair of continuous non-negative functions  $g, h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $g(t)h(t) = t$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .*

We should remark that when  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a given function and  $A \geq O$ , then  $f(A)$  is defined via functional calculus by

$$f(A) = U \text{diag}[f(\lambda_i)]U^*,$$

where  $U$  is a unitary matrix such that  $A = U \text{diag}[\lambda_i]U^*$ ; the spectral decomposition of  $A$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** [16, Lemma 3] For any  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} |T| & T^* \\ T & |T^*| \end{bmatrix} \geq O.$$

Our first main result in this section reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $g, h$  are non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $g(t)h(t) = t$ , ( $t \geq 0$ ), then

$$|f(T)|^2 \leq f(g^2(|T|)) f(h^2(|T^*|)).$$

In particular,

$$|f(T)|^2 \leq f(|T|)f(|T^*|).$$

*Proof.* We mimic some ideas from [16]. Since  $T|T|^2 = |T^*|^2T$ , it follows that  $T|T| = |T^*|T$ . Hence, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} g^2(|T|) & T^* \\ T & h^2(|T^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O.$$

The first required inequality now follows from Lemma 2.1. For the second inequality, we let  $g(t) = h(t) = \sqrt{t}$  in the first inequality. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Noting that unitarily invariant norms and determinants are Lieb functions, Theorem 2.1 implies

$$\| |T| \| \leq \| |T| \| \| |T^*| \| \text{ and } |\det T|^2 \leq \det |T| \det |T^*|, \quad T \in \mathcal{M}_n.$$

This follows from  $g(t) = h(t) = \sqrt{t}$ . However, if  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ , then  $g(t) = t^v$  and  $h(t) = t^{1-v}$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Applying these functions imply

$$\| |T| \| \leq \| |T|^{2v} \| \| |T^*|^{2(1-v)} \| \text{ and } |\det T|^2 \leq \det |T|^{2v} \det |T^*|^{2(1-v)}.$$

An exciting consequence of Theorem 2.1 is the following weighted inequality.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then for any  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,

$$|f(T)|^2 \leq f(|T^*|^{2(1-t)}) f(|T|^{2t}).$$

**Remark 2.1.** If  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$  is normal, we infer from Corollary 2.1 that

$$|f(T)| \leq f(|T|).$$

We also remark that the positivity of the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} |T|^2 & T^* \\ T & I \end{bmatrix}$  (see, e.g., [14, Proposition 1.7.7]), implies

$$|f(T)|^2 \leq f(|T|^2) f(I);$$

which also follows from Corollary 2.1 by letting  $t = 1$ .

In studying matrix inequality, the quantity  $f(A+B)$ , for certain  $f$ , has received a renowned interest. For example, if  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is concave and  $A, B \geq 0$ , one has the inequality

$$\| \|f(A+B)\| \| \leq \| \|f(A) + f(B)\| \|,$$

for any unitarily invariant norm  $\| \cdot \|$  on  $\mathcal{M}_n$ ; see [9]. On the other hand, the quantity  $f((1-v)A + vB)$  has its significance in convex matrix inequalities. In the following result, we present possible inequalities for these quantities via Lieb functions.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $g, h$  are non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $g(t)h(t) = t$ , ( $t \geq 0$ ), then*

$$(2.3) \quad |f(A+B)|^2 \leq f(g^2(|A|) + g^2(|B|)) f(h^2(|A^*|) + h^2(|B^*|)),$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad |f((1-v)A + vB)|^2 \leq f((1-v)g^2(|A|) + vg^2(|B|)) f((1-v)h^2(|A^*|) + vh^2(|B^*|)),$$

for any  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* By (2.2), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} g^2(|A|) & A^* \\ A & h^2(|A^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O, \quad \begin{bmatrix} g^2(|B|) & B^* \\ B & h^2(|B^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O,$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} (1-v)g^2(|A|) & (1-v)A^* \\ (1-v)A & (1-v)h^2(|A^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O, \quad \begin{bmatrix} vg^2(|B|) & vB^* \\ vB & vh^2(|B^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{bmatrix} g^2(|A|) + g^2(|B|) & (A+B)^* \\ A+B & h^2(|A^*|) + h^2(|B^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O,$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} (1-v)g^2(|A|) + vg^2(|B|) & ((1-v)A + vB)^* \\ (1-v)A + vB & (1-v)h^2(|A^*|) + vh^2(|B^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O.$$

Employing Lemma 2.1 (ii) completes the proof.  $\square$

From (2.3) it follows that for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and any unitarily invariant norm  $\| \cdot \|$ ,

$$\| \|A+B\| \|^2 \leq \| \| |A|^{2v} + |B|^{2v} \| \| \| |A^*|^{2(1-v)} + |B^*|^{2(1-v)} \| \|, 0 \leq v \leq 1.$$

The following special case deserves mentioning, where relations between  $|f(T)|$  and  $f(|\Re T| + |\Im T|)$  are presented. In this context,  $\Re T$  and  $\Im T$  refer to the real and imaginary parts of  $T$ , respectively.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $g, h$  are non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $g(t)h(t) = t$ , ( $t \geq 0$ ), then*

$$|f(T)|^2 \leq f(g^2(|\Re T|) + g^2(|\Im T|)) f(h^2(|\Re T|) + h^2(|\Im T|)).$$

*In particular, for any  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,*

$$|f(T)|^2 \leq f(|\Re T|^{2t} + |\Im T|^{2t}) f(|\Re T|^{2(1-t)} + |\Im T|^{2(1-t)}).$$

*Proof.* If we replace  $B$  by  $iB$ , in (2.3), we get

$$|f(A + iB)|^2 \leq f(g^2(|A|) + g^2(|B|)) f(h^2(|A^*|) + h^2(|B^*|)).$$

Now, if  $T = A + iB$  with  $A = \frac{T+T^*}{2} = \Re T$  and  $B = \frac{T-T^*}{2i} = \Im T$ , we get the desired result.  $\square$

As a direct consequence of inequality (2.4), we have the following result; where  $f(\Re T)$  and  $f(\Im T)$  are compared as lower bounds of certain Lieb functions of  $|T|$  and  $|T^*|$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $g, h$  are non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $g(t)h(t) = t$ , ( $t \geq 0$ ), then*

$$|f(\Re T)|^2 \leq f\left(\frac{g^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2}\right) f\left(\frac{h^2(|T|) + h^2(|T^*|)}{2}\right),$$

and

$$|f(\Im T)|^2 \leq f\left(\frac{g^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2}\right) f\left(\frac{h^2(|T|) + h^2(|T^*|)}{2}\right).$$

Given  $A, B, X \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , it has been an interesting and important topic to study quantities of the form  $f(AXB)$ , for certain functions  $f$ ; whether it is a Lieb function or not. The following result deserves comparison with (1.3).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $A, X, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then for any  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,*

$$f(AXB)^2 \leq f\left(A|X^*|^{2(1-t)}A^*\right) f\left(B^*|X|^{2t}B\right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $U|X| = X$  be the polar decomposition of  $X$ . Replace  $A$  by  $(AU|X|^{1-t})^*$  and  $B$  by  $|X|^t B$ , ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) in the definition of a Lieb function, then noting that  $A^*B = AXB$ ,  $A^*A = AU|X|^{2(1-t)}U^*A^* = A|X^*|^{2(1-t)}A^*$  (see [11, (1)]), and  $B^*B = B^*|X|^{2t}B$  complete the proof.  $\square$

In the following result, we discuss the action of a Lieb function on the geometric mean of two positive definite matrices. Recall that if  $A, B$  are positive definite (i.e.,  $A, B > 0$ ) the geometric mean of  $A, B$  is given by  $A\sharp B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The geometric mean of matrices has received a considerable attention in the literature due to its significance in understanding the geometry of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . We refer the reader to [3, 10, 12, 13] as a sample of references dealing with this concept.

Of particular interest, researchers investigated how the geometric mean behaves under certain functions. For example, if  $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  is a unital positive linear mapping, it is known that  $\Phi(A\sharp B) \leq \Phi(A)\sharp\Phi(B)$ ; [4]. In the following, we discuss similar results for Lieb functions.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be two positive definite matrices and let  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then*

$$f^2(A\sharp B) \leq f(A)f(B).$$

*In particular,  $f(A\sharp B) \leq f(A)\sharp f(B)$ .*

*Proof.* We know that (see [6, (4.13)])

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & A\sharp B \\ A\sharp B & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O.$$

Now, by Lemma 2.1 (ii), we deduce the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** *Theorem 2.4 says that the restriction of a Lieb function on the class of positive definite matrices is a geometrically convex function [20].*

Now we present a convex generalization of condition (ii) in the definition of the class  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $A, X, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then for any  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,*

$$(2.6) \quad |f(tB^*A + (1-t)A^*B)|^2 \leq f(tA^*A + (1-t)B^*B) f((1-t)tA^*A + tB^*B).$$

*Proof.* Denote

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A^* \\ B^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^*A & A^*B \\ B^*A & B^*B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} B^* \\ A^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B^*B & B^*A \\ A^*B & A^*A \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,  $T, S \geq O$ ,  $tT + (1-t)S \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . As  $f$  is 2-positive, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} f(tA^*A + (1-t)B^*B) & f(t(A^*B + (1-t)B^*A)) \\ f(tB^*A + (1-t)A^*B) & f(tB^*B + (1-t)A^*A) \end{bmatrix} \geq O.$$

Employing Lemma 2.1 (ii), we obtain

$$|f(tB^*A + (1-t)A^*B)|^2 \leq f(tA^*A + (1-t)B^*B) f((1-t)tA^*A + tB^*B),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** *For  $t = 0$  or  $t = 1$ , inequality (2.6) reduces to the condition (ii) in the definition of the Lieb functions. For  $t = \frac{1}{2}$  on the other hand, this yields*

$$|f(\mathfrak{R}(B^*A))| = \left| f\left(\frac{1}{2}B^*A + \frac{1}{2}A^*B\right) \right| \leq f\left(\frac{1}{2}A^*A + \frac{1}{2}B^*B\right),$$

which is an interesting gathering inequality for Lieb functions. So, for example, if  $f = \|\cdot\|$  is an arbitrary unitarily invariant norm, we get

$$\|B^*A + A^*B\| \leq \|A^*A + B^*B\|.$$

Another attractive property of Lieb functions together with the geometric mean is the following.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $A_1, A_2, C, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be such that  $A_i, B_i > O$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} A_j & C^* \\ C & B_j \end{bmatrix} \geq O$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ , then*

$$|f(C)|^2 \leq f(A_1 \sharp A_2) f(B_1 \sharp B_2).$$

*Proof.* By [2, Lemma 3.1], we have  $\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \sharp A_2 & C^* \\ C & B_1 \sharp B_2 \end{bmatrix} \geq O$ . Then Lemma 2.1 implies

$$|f(C)|^2 \leq f(A_1 \sharp A_2) f(B_1 \sharp B_2),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Another consequence of block characterizations can be stated as follows.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be such that  $\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then*

$$|f(C + C^*)|^2 \leq f^2(A + B).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O$ , then  $\begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & A \end{bmatrix} \geq O$ . Now, we deduce from Lemma 2.1 (ii) and the positive matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A + B & C + C^* \\ C + C^* & B + A \end{bmatrix}$  that  $|f(C + C^*)|^2 \leq f^2(A + B)$ .  $\square$

This entails the following result about a Lieb function of the real part of a matrix.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then*

$$|f(T + T^*)|^2 \leq f^2(|T|^2 + I),$$

and

$$|f(T + T^*)|^2 \leq f^2(|T| + |T^*|).$$

Equivalently,

$$|f(2\Re T)| \leq \min\{f(|T|^2 + I), f(|T| + |T^*|)\}.$$



*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 2.2 via

$$\begin{bmatrix} |T| & T^* \\ T & |T^*| \end{bmatrix} \geq O \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} |T|^2 & T^* \\ T & I \end{bmatrix} \geq O.$$

□

**Remark 2.4.** If  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$  is normal, we infer from Corollary 2.4 that

$$|f(T + T^*)|^2 \leq f^2(2|T|).$$

In particular, if  $T$  is self-adjoint, then

$$|f(2T)|^2 \leq f^2(2|T|).$$

### 3. MIXED CAUCHY-SCHWARZ INEQUALITY

In this section, we elaborate more on the mixed CS inequality (1.4). First, letting  $t = \frac{1}{2}$  in (1.4) we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $T \geq O$  and let  $x, y$  be any vectors. Then

$$|\langle Tx, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle Tx, x \rangle \langle Ty, y \rangle.$$

The following lemma will also be needed in our analysis.

**Lemma 3.2.** (see [7] and [8, Lemma 1.1]) For every block positive matrix in  $\mathcal{M}_{n+m}$ , the following decomposition holds

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ C^* & B \end{bmatrix} = U \begin{bmatrix} A & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & B \end{bmatrix} V^*$$

for some unitaries  $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_{n+m}$ .

The next lemma has been given in the proof of [16, Theorem 1].

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . If  $f, g$  are non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $f(t)g(t) = t$ , ( $t \geq 0$ ), then

$$\begin{bmatrix} f^2(|T|) & T^* \\ T & g^2(|T^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O.$$

Now we present the following mixed CS inequality.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be such that  $A, B \geq O$ . If  $\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O$ , then for any vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , the following holds true

$$|\langle Cx, y \rangle|^2 \leq \left\langle \left( U \begin{bmatrix} A & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & B \end{bmatrix} V^* \right) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \left( U \begin{bmatrix} A & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & B \end{bmatrix} V^* \right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

for some unitaries  $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ ,

*Proof.* Let  $x, y$  be any vectors. Assume that  $\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O$ . Utilizing Lemmas 3.2 and 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \left\langle \left( U \begin{bmatrix} A & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & B \end{bmatrix} V^* \right) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq \left\langle \left( U \begin{bmatrix} A & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & B \end{bmatrix} V^* \right) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \left( U \begin{bmatrix} A & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & B \end{bmatrix} V^* \right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for some unitaries  $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and let  $x, y$  be any vectors. If  $f, g$  are non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $f(t)g(t) = t$ , ( $t \geq 0$ ), then*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle Tx, y \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \left\langle \left( U \begin{bmatrix} f^2(|T|) & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & g^2(|T^*|) \end{bmatrix} V^* \right) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \left( U \begin{bmatrix} f^2(|T|) & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & g^2(|T^*|) \end{bmatrix} V^* \right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for some unitaries  $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \left\langle \left( U \begin{bmatrix} f^2(|T|) & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & g^2(|T^*|) \end{bmatrix} V^* \right) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \left( U \begin{bmatrix} f^2(|T|) & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & g^2(|T^*|) \end{bmatrix} V^* \right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Combining Theorem 3.1 with Lemma 3.3, we infer the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** *It follows from [8, Corollary 2.1] and Lemma 3.3 that*

$$\begin{bmatrix} f^2(|T|) & T^* \\ T & g^2(|T^*|) \end{bmatrix} = U \begin{bmatrix} \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} + \Re T & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} - \Re T \end{bmatrix} V^*$$

for some unitaries  $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ . In particular, if  $T$  is self-adjoint, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} f^2(|T|) & T \\ T & g^2(|T|) \end{bmatrix} = U \begin{bmatrix} \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T|)}{2} + 2T & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* + V \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T|)}{2} - 2T \end{bmatrix} V^*.$$

In the following we present interesting inequalities among  $T$  and its real and imaginary parts. For the first result, we notice that if  $f(t)g(t) = t$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \|f(T)g(T)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)\|, \end{aligned}$$

by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality [5, Theorem IX.4.5]. The following is an interesting perturbation of this inequality using the real part of  $T$ .

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . If  $f, g$  are non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $f(t)g(t) = t$ , ( $t \geq 0$ ), then*

$$\|T\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} + \Re T \right\| + \left\| \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} - \Re T \right\| \right).$$

*Proof.* We know that if  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O$ , then  $M \geq 2N$ , where  $N = \begin{bmatrix} O & C^* \\ C & O \end{bmatrix}$ . Now by taking into account Remark 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|T\| &= 2 \left\| \begin{bmatrix} O & T^* \\ T & O \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} f^2(|T|) & T^* \\ T & g^2(|T^*|) \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} + \Re T & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} \right\| + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ O & \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} - \Re T \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} + \Re T \right\| + \left\| \frac{f^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} - \Re T \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the following, we have a mixed CS-type inequality for the real and imaginary parts of  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . The importance of this result can be seen, for example, in Remark 3.3 below.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . If  $f, g$  are non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $f(t)g(t) = t$ , ( $t \geq 0$ ), then for any vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,*

$$|\langle \Re T x, y \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\langle (f^2(|T|) + f^2(|T^*|)) x, x \rangle \langle (g^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)) y, y \rangle},$$

and

$$|\langle \Im T x, y \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\langle (f^2(|T|) + f^2(|T^*|)) x, x \rangle \langle (g^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)) y, y \rangle}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{bmatrix} f^2(|A|) & A^* \\ A & g^2(|A^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} f^2(|B|) & B^* \\ B & g^2(|B^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O,$$

which imply that

$$\begin{bmatrix} f^2(|A|) + f^2(|B|) & A^* + B^* \\ A + B & g^2(|A^*|) + g^2(|B^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O.$$

This is equivalent to saying that

$$(3.1) \quad |\langle (A + B) x, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle (f^2(|A|) + f^2(|B|)) x, x \rangle \langle g^2(|A^*|) + g^2(|B^*|) y, y \rangle$$

for any vectors  $x, y$ . Letting  $A = T$  and  $B = T^*$ , in (3.1), we get the first inequality. The second inequality follows directly from the first inequality by setting  $T = iT^*$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** *The inequalities in Theorem 3.3 are equivalent to*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \frac{f^2(|T|)+f^2(|T^*|)}{2} & \Re T \\ \Re T & \frac{g^2(|T|)+g^2(|T^*|)}{2} \end{bmatrix} \geq O \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} \frac{f^2(|T|)+f^2(|T^*|)}{2} & \Im T \\ \Im T & \frac{g^2(|T|)+g^2(|T^*|)}{2} \end{bmatrix} \geq O.$$

From the above discussion, we get

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} f^2(|T|) + f^2(|T^*|) & \Re T + \Im T \\ \Re T + \Im T & g^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|) \end{bmatrix} \geq O.$$

**Remark 3.3.** Ando [2, Theorem 3.4] proved that if  $C$  is self-adjoint and  $\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O$ , then  $\pm C \leq A \sharp B$ . Combining this with (3.3) implies that

$$\pm (\Re T + \Im T) \leq (f^2(|T|) + f^2(|T^*|)) \sharp (g^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)).$$

In particular,

$$\pm (\Re T + \Im T) \leq |T| + |T^*|.$$

From (3.2), we also infer that

$$\pm \Re T \leq \left( \frac{f^2(|T|) + f^2(|T^*|)}{2} \right) \sharp \left( \frac{g^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} \right),$$

and

$$\pm \Im T \leq \left( \frac{f^2(|T|) + f^2(|T^*|)}{2} \right) \sharp \left( \frac{g^2(|T|) + g^2(|T^*|)}{2} \right).$$

Especially,

$$(3.4) \quad \pm \Re T \leq \frac{|T| + |T^*|}{2} \text{ and } \pm \Im T \leq \frac{|T| + |T^*|}{2}.$$

At this point, it might be thought that the last two inequalities imply

$$|\Re T| \leq \frac{|T| + |T^*|}{2} \text{ or } |\Im T| \leq \frac{|T| + |T^*|}{2}.$$

In fact, neither is true. Indeed, let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Direct calculations show that

$$|T| + |T^*| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T + T^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The singular values of  $|T| + |T^*|$  are  $2, 1, 1$ , while they are  $\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, 0$  for  $T + T^*$ . This shows that  $|T + T^*| \not\leq |T| + |T^*|$ . That is  $|\Re T| \not\leq \frac{|T| + |T^*|}{2}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to Professor J. C. Bourin for bringing their attention to a serious mistake in the first draft of this work. In particular, he provided us with the example in Remark 3.3 to confute the claim  $|\Re T| \leq \frac{|T| + |T^*|}{2}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Alakhrass and M. Sababheh, *Lieb functions and sectorial matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 58 (2020), 308–324.
- [2] T. Ando, *Geometric mean and norm Schwarz inequality*, Ann. Funct. Anal. 7(1) (2016), 1–8.
- [3] T. Ando and F. Hiai, *Operator log-convex functions and operator means*, Math. Ann. 350 (2011), 611–630.
- [4] T. Ando and X. Zhan, *Norm inequalities related to operator monotone functions*, Math. Ann. 315 (1999), 771–780.
- [5] R. Bhatia, *Matrix analysis*, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [6] R. Bhatia, *Positive definite matrices*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 2007.
- [7] J.-C. Bourin and E.-Y. Lee, *Unitary orbits of Hermitian operators with convex or concave functions*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 44 (2012), 1085–1102.
- [8] J.-C. Bourin, E.-Y. Lee and M. Lin, *On a decomposition lemma for positive semi-definite block-matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012), 1906–1912.
- [9] J. C. Bourin and M. Uchiyama, *A matrix subadditivity inequality for  $f(A + B)$  and  $f(A) + f(B)$* , Linear Algebra Appl. 423 (2007), 512–518.
- [10] S. Drury, *Principal powers of matrices with positive definite real part*, Linear Multilinear Algebra. 63 (2015), 296–301.
- [11] T. Furuta, *A simplified proof of Heinz inequality and scrutiny of its equality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97(4) (1986), 751–753.
- [12] T. Furuta, J. Mićić Hot, J. Pečarić, and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić method in operator inequalities*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [13] I. H. Gümüş, H. R. Moradi, and M. Sababheh, *More accurate operator means inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 465(1) (2018), 267–280.
- [14] F. Hiai, *A Matrix analysis: matrix monotone functions, matrix means, and majorization* (GSIS selected lectures), Interdiscip. Inform. Sci. 16 (2010) 139–248.
- [15] T. Kato, *Notes on some inequalities for linear operators*, Math. Ann. 125 (1952), 208–212.
- [16] F. Kittaneh, *Notes on some inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 24 (1988), 283–293.
- [17] E. H. Lieb, *Inequalities for some operator matrix functions*, Adv. Math. 20 (1976), 174–178.
- [18] E. Seiler and B. Simon, *An inequality among determinants*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 72 (1975), 3277–3278.
- [19] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, 2nd ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2005.
- [20] A. Taghavi, V. Darvish, H. M. Nazari, and S. S. Dragomir, *Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator geometrically convex functions*, Monatsh. Math. 181 (2016), 187–203.

(M. Sababheh) Vice president, Princess Sumaya University for Technology, Amman, Jordan

*E-mail address:* sababheh@psut.edu.jo; sababheh@yahoo.com

(C. Conde) Instituto de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional de General Sarmiento and Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Cientificas y Tecnicas, Argentina

*E-mail address:* cconde@campus.ungs.edu.ar

(H. R. Moradi) Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University (PNU), P.O. Box, 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran

*E-mail address:* hrmoradi@mshdiau.ac.ir