Temporally and Spatially variant-resolution illumination patterns in computational ghost imaging
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Abstract: Conventional computational ghost imaging (CGI) uses light carrying a sequence of patterns with uniform-resolution to illuminate the object, then performs correlation calculation based on the light intensity value reflected by the target and the preset patterns to obtain object image. It requires a large number of measurements to obtain high-quality images, especially if high-resolution images are to be obtained. To solve this problem, we developed temporally variable-resolution illumination patterns, replacing the conventional uniform-resolution illumination patterns with a sequence of patterns of different imaging resolutions. In addition, we propose to combine temporally variable-resolution illumination patterns and spatially variable-resolution structure to develop temporally and spatially variable-resolution (TSV) illumination patterns, which not only improve the imaging quality of the region of interest (ROI) but also improve the robustness to noise. The methods using proposed illumination patterns are verified by simulations and experiments compared with CGI. For the same number of measurements, the method using temporally variable-resolution illumination patterns has better imaging quality than CGI, but it is less robust to noise. The method using TSV illumination patterns has better imaging quality in ROI than the method using temporally variable-resolution illumination patterns and CGI under the same number of measurements. We also experimentally verify that the method using TSV patterns have better imaging performance when applied to higher resolution imaging. The proposed methods are expected to solve the current computational ghost imaging that is difficult to achieve high-resolution and high-quality imaging.

1. Introduction

Computational ghost imaging (GI), a unique imaging technique using a single-pixel detector, is achieved by illuminating light with modulated patterns onto objects and then correlating the modulated patterns with the light-intensity collected by the detector to obtain information about the object [1]. This unique imaging system enables computational GI to have the advantages of scattering robustness, wide spectrum, and beyond-visual-field imaging and it has been applied in many fields, including three-dimensional imaging [2-6], terahertz imaging [7-10], and target tracking [11-13] and multispectral imaging [14-17].

However, Computational GI is inferior to conventional imaging in terms of imaging performance, mainly because performing a full measurement requires a number of measurements equal to the total number of resolutions [18-20]. Although various algorithms have been proposed to reduce the number of measurements, the time required for the reconstruction algorithm also affects the imaging efficiency. In 2018, Higham et al. realized to recover real-time 128x128 pixels video at 30 frames-per-second sampling at a compression ratio of 2% using deep learning with convolutional auto-encoder networks [21]. In the same year, Sun et al. proposed a computational ghost imaging scheme which utilizes an LED-based. The proof-of-principle system achieved continuous imaging with 1000 fps frame rate at 32x32...
pixels resolution [22]. In 2021, Hahamovich et al. developed an approach for GI which relies on cyclic patterns coded onto a spinning mask and demonstrated its imaging rates of up to 72 frames-per-second at 101×103 pixels resolution [23]. Increased resolution needs to be at the expense of imaging efficiency. Obtaining high-resolution object images while balancing imaging quality and imaging efficiency remains an important challenge to achieve real-time and high-quality computational GI.

In most computational GI methods, the illumination patterns are a sequence of patterns with uniform resolution [23-29]. In the spatial domain, the illumination pattern with non-uniform resolution was proposed by the Phillips et al. in 2017. This scheme can improve the imaging quality of high-resolution regions at the expense of information in low-resolution regions [30]. Compared with the uniform resolution scheme, the same imaging quality can be obtained with fewer measurements, which improves the imaging efficiency. In the time domain, Zhou et al. [31] proposed multi-resolution progressive computational ghost imaging which uses the Hadamard derived pattern to realize continuous multi-resolution imaging simply and quickly in 2019. This scheme can also reduce the reconstruction time and the number of measurements.

In this paper, we propose a temporally variable-resolution GI (TVGI) method and a temporally and spatially variable-resolution GI (TSVGI) method. We developed and demonstrated TVGI which balances the imaging quality and efficiency of reconstructed image. We designed TSV illumination patterns to enhance the imaging quality of the TVGI in ROI and robustness to noise. Finally, we demonstrated that the proposed method is suitable for different resolutions and that better imaging performance can be achieved especially in high-resolution applications.

2. Principles of CFSI

The principle of CGI is shown in Fig.1. Light carrying a sequence of random illumination patterns is directed onto the surface of the object. The light reflected from the object is collected by the single-pixel detector and the data is processed to obtain the light-intensity value corresponding to the illumination pattern. Finally, the reconstructed image is calculated based on the random patterns and the light-intensity value. There are now some reconstruction algorithms such as second-order correlation [32, 33], compressive sensing [18, 34, 35], deep learning [36-38], and inverse transform [39-40]. In this paper, the total variation regularization prior algorithm [28] in compressive sensing is selected for verification.
The measurement principle of CGI for an object $O$ ($m \times n$ pixels) can be expressed as:

$$I_i = \sum_{x=1}^{m} \sum_{y=1}^{n} S_i(x, y)O(x, y),$$

where $I_i$ represents the $i$th light-intensity value collected by the detector; $S_i$ represents the $i$th random pattern loaded to the digital micromirror device (DMD). To obtain the reconstructed image of object, the optimization model based on total variation is expressed as:

$$\min_c \|c\|_1$$

s.t. $GO = c$

$$SO' = I'$$

where $c$ represents the corresponding coefficient vector, and $l_1$ represents the $l_1$ norm; $G$ represents the gradient calculation matrix; $S' \in R^{2(mn)}$ represents $T$ projected random patterns; $O' \in R^{n}$ represents the object; $I' \in R^{n}$ represents the light intensity.

The imaging quality of the reconstructed image is compared quantitatively using the peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) [41] as the evaluation index

$$\text{PSNR} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{2^k - 1}{\text{MSE}} \right)^2$$

and

$$\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{x,y} (O'(x, y) - O(x, y))^2$$

where MSE is the mean square error, $M$ is the number of the pixels of the whole image, and $k$ is the number of bits set as 8.

2.1 Temporally variable-resolution illumination patterns

In the conventional approach, obtaining an image with an imaging resolution of $N\times N$ pixels is usually measured using illumination patterns with an actual resolution of $N\times N$ pixels.
However, imaging resolution and actual resolution are not equal in all cases. The amount of imaging resolution of the reconstructed image depends on how many cells the illumination pattern has. The cell we define as the smallest unit of the illumination patterns. As shown in Fig. 2, we give an example of a set of four different patterns that have the same actual resolution but different imaging resolutions. Their actual resolution is 128×128 pixels, but different types of illumination patterns will get reconstructed patterns of different imaging resolutions. The reason is that the size of their cells are different and there are only two cases of ‘0’ and ‘1’ inside each cell. For example, each cell of the 16×16 cells pattern has 8×8 pixels, and there are only two cases of ‘0’ and ‘1’ in this cell. This pattern has an actual resolution of 128×128 pixels and an imaging resolution of 32×32 cells.
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Fig. 2. Four illumination patterns with different imaging resolution.

We measured and reconstructed the test image ‘peppers’ using 128×128 pixels illumination patterns with four different imaging resolutions, 16×16, 32×32, 64×64 and 128×128 cells. The sampling rate is the ratio of the number of measurements to the actual resolution, where the actual resolution is 128×128 pixels. The results are shown in Fig. 3, the imaging quality of the reconstructed images using the four different patterns is from low to high, and then reaches maximum value of PSNR. Since the original image for calculating PSNR is a 128×128 pixels image, the PSNR of the method measured using 128×128 cells patterns will always increase, only the case where the sampling rate is less than 1 is shown in the figure. The difference of the methods using four different patterns is the moment when they reach the PSNR maximum and the size of the PSNR maximum. It is obvious that the imaging quality of the reconstructed image using 16×16 cells patterns reach the PSNR maximum first, but the maximum value of PSNR of it is lower the others. The highest PSNR maximum was achieved using the patterns with 128×128 cells, but the last one reached the PSNR maximum. There is a trade-off between imaging efficiency and maximum value of PSNR. In order to reach the maximum value of PSNR faster, and the imaging quality at this time is better. Therefore, we developed temporally variable-resolution illumination patterns to balance the imaging quality and efficiency.
The sequence of patterns we developed varies with time, but not all the time. Their actual resolution is the same, but the imaging resolution is different. As shown in Fig. 4, we first project $n_1$ patterns with lowest imaging resolution $m_1 \times m_1$ cells. The value of $n_1$ here is $m_1^2$ and determined by the number of measurements using the patterns with corresponding imaging resolution when the PSNR maximum is reached, since more measurements after the PSNR maximum is reached does not provide any improvement in the performance of reconstructed image. According to the results of our research, the lowest imaging resolution chosen is 16×16 cells, while $n_1$ should be 256. Then we project $n_2$ patterns with four times the imaging resolution of the lowest imaging resolution. However, the $n_2$ is not $m_2^2$ because $n_1$ measurements have been taken before projecting $m_2 \times m_2$ cells patterns, so $n_2$ is $m_2^2-n_1$. $m_3$ is twice the $m_2$, $n_3$ is $m_3^2-n_1-n_2$, but $m_k \times m_k$ is not greater than the actual resolution $M \times M$. After measuring a sequence of patterns, the reconstruction calculation is performed as in the CGI. In this way, the number of measurements and the reconstruction algorithm are the same, and we only need to compare the imaging quality to verify the performance of the illumination patterns we developed.
2.2 Temporally and Spatially Variable-resolution Illumination Patterns

Temporally variable-resolution method solves the problem that it is difficult to achieve high imaging quality with fewer measurements to obtain high-resolution reconstruction image, and spatially variable-resolution method can improve the imaging quality of the ROI at the expense of information from other non-regions of interest [42]. The goal of both is to obtain better imaging quality. We therefore combined the two and developed TSV illumination patterns. As shown in Fig. 5, spatially variable-resolution pattern is a part of retina-like structure since the pattern we developed is rectangular and the retina-like structure to be toroidal. Both the diameter $2r_0$ of the ROI and the coordinates of the center point $(x_0, y_0)$ are determined based on the position and size of the object in the reconstructed image. The resolution of the ROI is the highest, and the resolution gradually decreases in the outward direction.
As shown in Fig. 5(d), they are several spatially variable-resolution patterns of 128x128 pixels, in their ROI are 16x16, 32x32, 64x64 and 128x128 cells, respectively. Although their imaging resolution are different, the coordinates of the center point and the diameter of their ROI are the same. Measurements using the TSV illumination patterns are performed in the same way as using the temporally variable-resolution patterns. Using the method that uses TSV illumination patterns can combine the advantages of both variable-resolution methods to achieve better imaging quality with fewer measurements.

3. Simulations and experiments

3.1 Simulations

We perform the simulation without noise to obtain a reconstructed image of 128x128 pixels. The illumination patterns used for projection are selected as conventional uniform resolution patterns and temporally variable-resolution patterns. The measurements are simulated by the test image “peppers” at different sampling times, 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 5000. The lowest imaging resolution of TVGI is set as 16x16 cells. PSNR is selected to evaluate the imaging quality for quantitative comparison. The reconstruction results of “peppers” are shown in Fig. 6, the imaging quality of both methods increases with the number of measurements. However, the imaging quality of TVGI is superior to CGI, both subjectively and in terms of PSNR values. The reason for this is that with fewer measurements, TVGI uses patterns with lower imaging resolution for sampling to quickly obtain object outline information, while CGI uses high-resolution pattern sampling to obtain more detailed information about the object. In most cases, we prefer to see what the object is first and then see exactly how the object is. Therefore, TVGI, which first samples by low imaging resolution and then continuously increases the imaging resolution for sampling, is more suitable than CGI for some scenes that require rapid acquisition of target information.

Based on the previous simulations, we choose temporally variable-resolution patterns, spatially variable-resolution patterns, and TSV patterns as the illumination patterns for comparison. For the methods using these three different patterns, we chose the imaging quality of the ROI for comparison. The comparison results are shown in Fig. 7, it is obvious that the
imaging quality of TSVGI in the ROI is better than that of TVGI. However, the imaging quality of TSVGI is better than SVGI when the number of measurements is below 3000 and worse than SVGI when it is above 3000. The reason for this is that TVGI has the advantage to quickly obtain object profile information with fewer measurements and improve the imaging quality more quickly. However, in the case of a larger number of measurements, higher imaging quality can be obtained by the method using all higher imaging resolution patterns. We conclude that better imaging quality can be obtained with the same number of measurements using either the temporally variable-resolution patterns or the spatially variable-resolution patterns, and the combination of the two can achieve better performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of measurements</th>
<th>TVGI</th>
<th>SVGI</th>
<th>TSVGI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>PSNR=23.5dB</td>
<td>PSNR=21.5dB</td>
<td>PSNR=23.8dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>PSNR=25.3dB</td>
<td>PSNR=24.9dB</td>
<td>PSNR=27.7dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>PSNR=27.2dB</td>
<td>PSNR=27.3dB</td>
<td>PSNR=29.4dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>PSNR=29.2dB</td>
<td>PSNR=29.8dB</td>
<td>PSNR=29.5dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>PSNR=29.8dB</td>
<td>PSNR=32.3dB</td>
<td>PSNR=30.3dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Comparison results of “peppers” test image simulated by TVGI, GI using spatially variable-resolution patterns (SVGI) and TSVGI under different number of measurements.

In the practical optical imaging system, measurements are always affected by noise from ambient light and circuit currents. Noise was not considered in the reconstruction in the above simulation. Simulations on the influence of measurement noise are performed, and the robustness of different methods to noise is analyzed. Here we assume that white Gaussian noise follows a probability distribution

\[ p(c) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{c - \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \]

where \( n \) is the noise, \( \mu \) is the average value, and \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation. We set \( \mu \) as 0 and set \( \sigma \) as 0, 1, 2, and 3. Number of measurements were set as 5000. The imaging quality of the ROI is used for comparison. The corresponding reconstructed results are shown in Fig. 8, indicating that the imaging quality of TSVGI is better than the other two methods when the standard deviation of noise is below 3. It is obvious that CGI is more robust to noise than TSVGI, and TSVGI is more robust to noise than TVGI. The introduction of the spatially variable-resolution structure improves the robustness of TVGI to noise, but it is still more affected by noise than CGI.
3.2 Experiments

Based on the simulations results, we further carry out experiments to show the advantages of our methods, and schematic diagram of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 9. The setup consists of three parts: the illumination part, the detection part and the object. The illumination part includes a light-emitting diode light source (operates at 400–760 nm, @20 W), DMD (Texas Instruments DLP Discovery 4100 development kit, 1024×768 resolution), and a lens. The maximum binary modulation rate of DMD is up to 22 kHz. The focal length of the projection lens is 120 mm. The detection part consists of a single-pixel detector (Thorlabs PDA36A, active area of 13 mm²), a lens and a computer. The focal length of the focusing lens is 50 mm. The object is a “BIT” picture with white letters on a black background. Our experiments were conducted in an environment with no external light source, but noise form ambient light still exists. The noise of this ambient light depends on the working power of the light-emitting diode light source, and the higher the power, the greater the noise.
We set the number of measurements to 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000 and 5000. The actual resolution of the illumination patterns is all 128×128, and the illumination patterns for projection are conventional random patterns, temporally variable-resolution patterns, and TSV patterns. The experimental results are shown in Fig. 10, we can observe that the imaging quality of TSVGI is always better than CGI and TVGI. The imaging quality of TVGI looks better than CGI, but the image information is drowned out by noise. For quantitative analysis of the experimental results, we selected the PSNR of the ROI for comparison. The quantitative comparison results are shown in Fig. 11, it is obvious that the imaging quality of TVSGI in ROI is better than the other methods. The PSNR of both TVSGI and CGI in ROI increases with the number of measurements, but TVGI increases and then decreases. The reason is that TVGI has the worst robustness to noise, so the PSNR of TVGI is higher than CGI before the number of 4000 measurements, and the PSNR of TVGI is lower than CGI after the number of measurements is more than 4000. It can be concluded that combining the spatially variable-resolution structure with the temporally variable-resolution patterns not only improves the imaging quality of ROI, but also enhances the robustness to noise.
To verify the performance of the proposed method in performing higher resolution imaging, we generated higher actual resolution patterns for experimental comparison. The actual resolution of the illumination patterns is set as 256×256 pixels, and the illumination pattern for projection are conventional random patterns and TSV patterns. The lowest imaging resolution of TSVGI is set as 32×32 cells. The number of measurements is set as 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, and 5000. The reconstructed results are shown in Fig. 12, it is obvious that the PSNR of TSVGI is higher than CGI in ROI, despite the influence of ambient noise. The results again verify that our proposed illumination patterns have better performance than the method using conventional uniform resolution patterns.
We compared the above two groups of experimental results using different actual resolution patterns according to the difference in imaging quality between TSVGI and CGI. The comparison results of the difference in imaging quality are shown in Table 1, it is obvious that the improvement of PSNR of TSVGI in ROI compared to CGI is better when using patterns with 256×256 pixels than using patterns with 128×128 pixels. The main reason is that using methods with larger actual resolution patterns is slower to reach the maximum PSNR value. When the number of measurements is small, using patterns with low imaging resolution can obtain more contour information that contributes more to the improvement of imaging quality. In addition, the other reason is the introduction of the spatially variable-resolution structure also sacrifices the information of non-regions of interest and improves the imaging quality of the ROI. According to the characteristics of our method to obtain higher image quality with few measurements, it will be well applied for scenes that require fast imaging speed and do not need too high image quality, such as fast high-resolution imaging, fast target tracking, etc.

### Table 1. Quantitative comparison of the PSNR of TSVGI in ROI minus that of CGI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual resolution of patterns (pixels)</th>
<th>Number of Measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128×128</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256×256</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion and conclusions

Most computational ghost imaging is measured using a sequence of patterns with the same imaging resolution, which can make it difficult to balance imaging quality and imaging efficiency when performing imaging to obtain high-resolution image. In this paper, we propose to apply the temporal variable-resolution approach and the spatially variable-resolution structure to the design of illumination patterns in computational ghost imaging. Based on this we propose temporal variable-resolution patterns, and TSV patterns. The proposed illumination
patterns are verified by simulations and experiments, and the results prove that TVGI and TSVGI can obtain better imaging quality with the same number of measurements than CGI. In addition, the imaging quality of TSVGI in ROI is better than that of TVGI. It was experimentally verified that TSVGI has better performance in imaging to obtain higher resolution images. We also analyze the influence of noise on the proposed method, and the results show that TVGI has the worst robustness to noise, and TSVGI is more robust to noise than TVGI but still not as good as CGI, which is a shortcoming of our proposed method. This shortcoming can be improved if differential measurement is added to the optical system. The proposed method is expected to solve the current difficulties of computational ghost imaging to achieve high-quality imaging of high-resolution image.
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