

THE LIPSCHITZ-FREE SPACE OVER LENGTH SPACE IS LOCALLY ALMOST SQUARE BUT NEVER ALMOST SQUARE

RAINIS HALLER, JAAN KRISTJAN KAASIK, AND ANDRE OSTRAK

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Lipschitz-free space over a metric space M is locally almost square whenever M is a length space. Consequently, the Lipschitz-free space is locally almost square if and only if it has the Daugavet property. We also show that a Lipschitz-free space is never almost square.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper we consider only nontrivial real Banach spaces and metric spaces which have more than one element.

Let M be a metric space with a distinguished point $0 \in M$. By $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ we denote the Banach space of all Lipschitz functions from M to \mathbb{R} vanishing at 0 with respect to the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(p) - f(q)|}{d(p, q)} : p, q \in M, p \neq q \right\},$$

i.e., $\|f\|$ is the Lipschitz constant of $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$. It is well known that $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ is a dual space, whose canonical predual is the *Lipschitz-free space*

$$\mathcal{F}(M) := \overline{\text{span}}\{\delta_p : p \in M\} \subset \text{Lip}_0(M)^*,$$

where $\delta_p(f) = f(p)$ for every $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ (see, e.g. [9, 15] for the proof and for a more detailed study of these Banach spaces).

A metric space (M, d) is called a *length space* if, for every pair of different points $p, q \in M$, the distance $d(p, q)$ is equal to the infimum of the length of rectifiable simple paths joining them. In the definition of a length space, one usually does not specify that the paths are simple, i.e., injective. However, it seems to be well known and not hard to prove that this extra assumption can be made without loss of generality.

We are interested in length spaces because of the following characterisation of certain geometric properties of the Lipschitz-free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ and the Lipschitz functions space $\text{Lip}_0(M)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a complete metric space. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B04; Secondary 46B20.

Key words and phrases. Lipschitz-free space, length metric space, almost square, locally almost square, diameter 2 property.

- (1) M is length;
- (2) $\text{Lip}_0(M)$, i.e., $\mathcal{F}(M)^*$, has the Daugavet property;
- (3) $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the Daugavet property;
- (4) $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the strong diameter 2 property;
- (5) $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the diameter 2 property;
- (6) $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the slice diameter 2 property;
- (7) the unit ball of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ does not have strongly exposed points;
- (8) M has property (Z).

This result is directly stated in [4, Theorem 1.5]. In fact, the main contribution of [4] was the implication (8) \Rightarrow (1). The implications (3) \Rightarrow (1) and (7) \Leftrightarrow (8) were already obtained in [7], and (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (1) \Rightarrow (8) were obtained in [12]. The implications (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (7) hold in the more general setting when $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is replaced with any Banach space.

According to [2], a Banach space X with the unit sphere S_X is said to be

- (a) *almost square* (briefly, *ASQ*) if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of S_X , there exists $y \in S_X$ such that

$$\|x_i \pm y\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$;

- (b) *locally almost square* (briefly, *LASQ*) if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $x \in S_X$, there exists $y \in S_X$ such that

$$\|x \pm y\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

These notions have been considered in several papers (e.g., in [1, 5, 8]). Since every ASQ Banach space has the strong diameter 2 property [2, Proposition 2.5] and every LASQ Banach space has the slice diameter 2 property [13, Proposition 2.5], it is natural to wonder whether almost squareness or locally almost squareness of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is also characterised by M being length.

In this paper, we show that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is never ASQ and that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is LASQ whenever M is length.

However, some natural questions arise from these results. By [2, 3], an ASQ Banach space is weakly almost square (briefly, WASQ) and has the symmetric strong diameter 2 property (briefly, SSD2P). Furthermore, if a Banach space is WASQ, then it is LASQ, and, if a Banach space has the SSD2P, then it has the strong diameter 2 property. It is known that the Lipschitz-free space $\mathcal{F}([0, 1]) = L_1([0, 1])$ is WASQ (see, e.g., [2] or [13]), but does not have the SSD2P (see, e.g., [10]). We don't know whether the Lipschitz-free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is WASQ whenever M is length or if there exists a Lipschitz-free space which has the SSD2P.

Every Lipschitz functions space with the Daugavet property has the SSD2P, but the converse is not true (see, e.g., [6]). A very recent study

[11] shows that the diameter 2 property, the strong diameter 2 property, and the SSD2P are all different for the Lipschitz functions spaces. However, the metric characterisations of these diameter 2 properties for the Lipschitz functions spaces seem to be unknown.

Notations. For $p, q \in M$ with $p \neq q$, we denote by $m_{p,q}$ the norm one element $\frac{\delta_p - \delta_q}{d(p,q)}$ in $\mathcal{F}(M)$. If $p = q$, then we consider $m_{p,q} = 0$. We call the elements $m_{p,q}$ the *elementary molecules*.

Recall that a function $\gamma: [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow M$ is called a *path from p to q* , when γ is continuous and $\gamma(\alpha) = p$ and $\gamma(\beta) = q$. We denote a path from p to q usually by $\gamma_{p,q}$. For a rectifiable path $\gamma: [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow M$ we denote its length by $L(\gamma)$, i.e.,

$$L(\gamma) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha = t_0 \leq \dots \leq t_n = \beta \right\}.$$

By default we assume that the domain interval for a path is $[0, 1]$.

Given a point p in M and $r > 0$, we denote by $B(p, r)$ the open ball in M centred at p of radius r .

2. MAIN LEMMA

The following is our technical tool for obtaining that the Lipschitz-free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is LASQ whenever M is length (see Theorem 3.1 below).

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a length space, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $C_n = (4^n - 1)/3$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for every $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i m_{p_i, q_i} \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \leq 1$, there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \leq C_n$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m > 0$, $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m \in M$, and simple paths $\gamma_{a_1, b_1}, \dots, \gamma_{a_m, b_m}$ such that*

- (a) $\|x - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j m_{a_j, b_j}\| < \varepsilon$;
- (b) $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j < \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i + \varepsilon$;
- (c) *images of paths $\gamma_{a_1, b_1}, \dots, \gamma_{a_m, b_m}$ are pairwise disjoint or may intersect only at endpoints;*
- (d) *for every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have $L(\gamma_{a_j, b_j}) < d(a_j, b_j) + \varepsilon \delta_j$, where $\delta_j = \min\{1, \frac{d(a_j, b_j)}{C_n \alpha_j}\}$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . The statement clearly holds for $n = 1$. Assume now that it holds for some particular n . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i m_{p_i, q_i} \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i \leq 1$. We need only to consider the case where $p_{n+1} \neq q_{n+1}$. Let

$$\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})}{C_{n+1}(1 + d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}))}$$

and let

$$x' = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i m_{p_i, q_i}.$$

By assumption there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \leq C_n$, $\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_m > 0$, $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m \in M$, and simple paths $\gamma_{a_1, b_1}, \dots, \gamma_{a_m, b_m}: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ such that

- (a') $\|x' - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha'_j m_{a_j, b_j}\| < \varepsilon'$;
- (b') $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha'_j < \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i + \varepsilon'$;
- (c') images of paths $\gamma_{a_1, b_1}, \dots, \gamma_{a_m, b_m}$ are pairwise disjoint or may intersect only at endpoints;
- (d') for every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have $L(\gamma_{a_j, b_j}) < d(a_j, b_j) + \varepsilon' \delta_j$, where $\delta_j = \min\{1, \frac{d(a_j, b_j)}{C_n \alpha'_j}\}$.

Let

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha'_j m_{a_j, b_j} + \lambda_{n+1} m_{p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}}.$$

Then $\|x - y\| = \|x' - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha'_j m_{a_j, b_j}\| < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$.

Since M is a length space, there is a simple path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ from p_{n+1} to q_{n+1} such that $L(\gamma) < d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) + \varepsilon \delta$, where $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})}{C_{n+1} \lambda_{n+1}}\}$. The path γ may intersect the paths $\gamma_{a_1, b_1}, \dots, \gamma_{a_m, b_m}$ elsewhere than just at endpoints. Let us solve this problem.

We start by finding a special partition $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_l = 1$ of the interval $[0, 1]$ and by adjusting γ in the subintervals of that partition if necessary. Set $t_0 = 0$ and $u_0 = \gamma(t_0)$. We proceed by induction. Assume that we have found some $t_{k-1} < 1$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We will find t_k and then set $u_k = \gamma(t_k)$ and

$$\beta_k = \lambda_{n+1} \frac{d(u_{k-1}, u_k)}{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})}.$$

For any $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let

$$T_j = \{t \in [0, 1]: \gamma(t) \in \text{Im } \gamma_{a_j, b_j}\}.$$

If $\{t \in \bigcup_{j=1}^m T_j: t > t_{k-1}\} = \emptyset$, then set $t_k = 1$ and $l = k$. Notice that $\gamma|_{(t_{k-1}, t_k)}$ does not intersect any γ_{a_j, b_j} . From u_{k-1} to u_k we consider the path $\gamma_{u_{k-1}, u_k} = \gamma|_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}$. By the choice of γ , we have

$$L(\gamma_{u_{k-1}, u_k}) < d(u_{k-1}, u_k) + \varepsilon \delta = d(u_{k-1}, u_k) + \varepsilon \min\left\{1, \frac{d(u_{k-1}, u_k)}{C_{n+1} \beta_k}\right\}.$$

Otherwise, assume that $\{t \in \bigcup_{j=1}^m T_j: t > t_{k-1}\} \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$J = \{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}: t_{k-1} \in T_j\}.$$

If $\{t \in \bigcup_{j \in J} T_j : t > t_{k-1}\} = \emptyset$, then let

$$t_k = \min \left\{ t \in \bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \notin J}}^m T_j : t > t_{k-1} \right\}.$$

Again, notice that $\gamma|_{(t_{k-1}, t_k)}$ does not intersect any γ_{a_j, b_j} . From u_{k-1} to u_k we consider the path $\gamma_{u_{k-1}, u_k} = \gamma|_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}$. By the choice of γ , we have

$$L(\gamma_{u_{k-1}, u_k}) < d(u_{k-1}, u_k) + \varepsilon \delta = d(u_{k-1}, u_k) + \varepsilon \min \left\{ 1, \frac{d(u_{k-1}, u_k)}{C_{n+1} \beta_k} \right\}.$$

But when $\{t \in \bigcup_{j \in J} T_j : t > t_{k-1}\} \neq \emptyset$, let

$$t_k = \max \left\{ t \in \bigcup_{j \in J} T_j : t > t_{k-1} \right\}.$$

In this case, there exists a $j \in J$ such that $u_k \in \text{Im } \gamma_{a_j, b_j}$. Fix such a j . Let $r = \gamma_{a_j, b_j}^{-1}(u_{k-1})$ and $s = \gamma_{a_j, b_j}^{-1}(u_k)$. If $r < s$, then define the path γ_{u_{k-1}, u_k} as the restriction of the path γ_{a_j, b_j} to $[r, s]$. Otherwise, define γ_{u_{k-1}, u_k} as the restriction of the inverse path of γ_{a_j, b_j} to $[1 - r, 1 - s]$.

We will now split $\gamma_{a_1, b_1}, \dots, \gamma_{a_m, b_m}$. For every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let

$$S_j = \left\{ t \in [0, 1] : \gamma_{a_j, b_j}(t) \in \{\gamma(t_0), \dots, \gamma(t_l)\} \right\},$$

and note that $|S_j| \leq 2$. If $S_j = \emptyset$, then let $c_j = a_j$ and $d_j = a_j$; otherwise, let $c_j = \gamma_{a_j, b_j}(\min S_j)$ and $d_j = \gamma_{a_j, b_j}(\max S_j)$, and we consider the paths γ_{a_j, c_j} , γ_{c_j, d_j} , and γ_{d_j, b_j} as the restrictions of path γ_{a_j, b_j} to intervals $[0, \min S_j]$, $[\min S_j, \max S_j]$, and $[\max S_j, 1]$, respectively. For every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let

$$\alpha_j = \alpha'_j \frac{d(a_j, c_j)}{d(a_j, b_j)}, \quad \alpha_{m+j} = \alpha'_j \frac{d(c_j, d_j)}{d(a_j, b_j)}, \quad \alpha_{2m+j} = \alpha'_j \frac{d(d_j, b_j)}{d(a_j, b_j)}.$$

Note that

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha_j m_{a_j, c_j} + \alpha_{m+j} m_{c_j, d_j} + \alpha_{2m+j} m_{d_j, b_j}) + \sum_{k=1}^l \beta_k m_{u_{k-1}, u_k}.$$

We may and do assume that $a_j \neq c_j$, $c_j \neq d_j$, and $d_j \neq b_j$ for any particular $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$; otherwise, the corresponding elementary molecule is 0 and we drop it from this representation of y .

All the paths γ_{a_j, c_j} , γ_{c_j, d_j} , γ_{d_j, b_j} , and γ_{u_{k-1}, u_k} , where $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, are simple and pairwise disjoint or intersect just at the endpoints, except γ_{c_j, d_j} and γ_{u_{k-1}, u_k} may be the same paths or inverse paths to each other for some j and k (note that for every k there exists at most one such j). If γ_{c_j, d_j} and γ_{u_{k-1}, u_k} are the same paths, then $m_{c_j, d_j} = m_{u_{k-1}, u_k}$ and we gather these elementary molecules in the representation of y by taking into account that

$$\alpha_{m+j} m_{c_j, d_j} + \beta_k m_{u_{k-1}, u_k} = (\alpha_{m+j} + \beta_k) m_{c_j, d_j}.$$

If γ_{u_{k-1}, u_k} and γ_{c_j, d_j} are inverse paths to each other, then $m_{u_{k-1}, u_k} = -m_{c_j, d_j}$ and we gather these elementary molecules in the representation of y by taking into account that

$$\alpha_{m+j} m_{c_j, d_j} + \beta_k m_{u_{k-1}, u_k} = (\alpha_{m+j} - \beta_k) m_{c_j, d_j}$$

if $\alpha_{m+j} \geq \beta_k$, and

$$\alpha_{m+j} m_{c_j, d_j} + \beta_k m_{u_{k-1}, u_k} = (\beta_k - \alpha_{m+j}) m_{d_j, c_j}$$

if $\alpha_{m+j} < \beta_k$.

This representation of y together with the remaining paths are the ones we were looking for. Note that the obtained representation of y includes at most $4m + 1$ elementary molecules. We have previously shown that $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon$. It is also clear that the images of all the corresponding paths are pairwise disjoint or intersect only at endpoints.

From $L(\gamma_{a_j, b_j}) < d(a_j, b_j) + \varepsilon' \delta_j$, where $\delta_j = \min\{1, \frac{d(a_j, b_j)}{C_n \alpha'_j}\}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L(\gamma_{a_j, c_j}) &< d(a_j, c_j) + \varepsilon' \delta_j, \\ L(\gamma_{c_j, d_j}) &< d(c_j, d_j) + \varepsilon' \delta_j, \\ L(\gamma_{d_j, b_j}) &< d(d_j, b_j) + \varepsilon' \delta_j. \end{aligned}$$

We want to show that

$$\begin{aligned} L(\gamma_{a_j, c_j}) &< d(a_j, c_j) + \varepsilon \delta_j^1, \\ L(\gamma_{c_j, d_j}) &< d(c_j, d_j) + \varepsilon \delta_j^2, \\ L(\gamma_{d_j, b_j}) &< d(d_j, b_j) + \varepsilon \delta_j^3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j^1 &= \min\left\{1, \frac{d(a_j, c_j)}{C_{n+1} \alpha'_j}\right\}, \\ \delta_j^2 &= \min\left\{1, \frac{d(c_j, d_j)}{C_{n+1}(\alpha_{m+j} + \beta_k)}\right\}, \\ \delta_j^3 &= \min\left\{1, \frac{d(d_j, b_j)}{C_{n+1} \alpha'_{2m+j}}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\varepsilon > C_{n+1} \varepsilon'$, $C_{n+1} \delta_j^1 \geq \delta_j$, and $C_{n+1} \delta_j^3 \geq \delta_j$. Therefore it suffices to show that $\varepsilon' \delta_j \leq \varepsilon \delta_j^2$, or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})}{C_{n+1}(1 + d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}))} \min\left\{1, \frac{d(a_j, b_j)}{C_n \alpha'_j}\right\} \\ \leq \varepsilon \min\left\{1, \frac{d(c_j, d_j)}{C_{n+1}(\alpha_{m+j} + \beta_k)}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality clearly holds if the latter minimum is 1. We are left with proving that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})}{1 + d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})} \min\left\{1, \frac{d(a_j, b_j)}{C_n \alpha'_j}\right\} &\leq \frac{d(c_j, d_j)}{\alpha_{m+j} + \beta_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha'_j}{d(a_j, b_j)} + \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $1 \geq \frac{d(a_j, b_j)}{C_n \alpha'_j}$, then

$$\frac{\alpha'_j}{d(a_j, b_j)} + \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})}\right) \frac{C_n \alpha'_j}{d(a_j, b_j)}.$$

If $1 \leq \frac{d(a_j, b_j)}{C_n \alpha'_j}$, then

$$\frac{\alpha'_j}{d(a_j, b_j)} + \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})} \leq \frac{1}{C_n} + \frac{1}{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})}.$$

It remains to show that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3m} \alpha_j + \sum_{k=1}^l \beta_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i + \varepsilon.$$

First, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{3m} \alpha_j &= \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha'_j \left(\frac{d(a_j, c_j)}{d(a_j, b_j)} + \frac{d(c_j, d_j)}{d(a_j, b_j)} + \frac{d(d_j, b_j)}{d(a_j, b_j)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha'_j \frac{d(a_j, c_j) + d(c_j, d_j) + d(d_j, b_j)}{d(a_j, b_j)} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha'_j \frac{L(\gamma_{a_j, b_j})}{d(a_j, b_j)} < \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha'_j \frac{d(a_j, b_j) + \varepsilon' \delta_j}{d(a_j, b_j)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha'_j + \varepsilon' \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\alpha'_j \delta_j}{d(a_j, b_j)} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha'_j + \varepsilon' m < \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i + (C_n + 1) \varepsilon' \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i + \frac{C_n + 1}{C_{n+1}} \varepsilon < \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^l \beta_k &= \lambda_{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^l \frac{d(\gamma(t_{k-1}), \gamma(t_k))}{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})} \leq \frac{\lambda_{n+1} L(\gamma)}{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})} \\ &< \lambda_{n+1} + \frac{\lambda_{n+1} \varepsilon \delta}{d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})} \leq \lambda_{n+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3m} \alpha_j + \sum_{k=1}^l \beta_k < \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i + \varepsilon.$$

□

3. LOCALLY ALMOST SQUARE LIPSCHITZ-FREE SPACES

The following theorem characterises locally almost square Lipschitz-free spaces.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a complete metric space. The Lipschitz-free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is LASQ if and only if M is length.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is LASQ, then $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the slice diameter 2 property, and therefore M is length by Theorem 1.1.

Assume now that M is a length space. Let $x \in S_{\mathcal{F}(M)}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 2.1, there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m > 0$, $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m \in M$, and simple paths $\gamma_{a_1, b_1}, \dots, \gamma_{a_m, b_m}$ such that

- (a) $\|x - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j m_{a_j, b_j}\| < \varepsilon/5$;
- (b) $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j < 1 + \varepsilon/5$;
- (c) images of paths $\gamma_{a_1, b_1}, \dots, \gamma_{a_m, b_m}$ are pairwise disjoint or intersect only at endpoints;
- (d) for every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have $L(\gamma_{a_j, b_j}) < d(a_j, b_j) + \varepsilon \delta_j/5$, where $\delta_j = \frac{d(a_j, b_j)}{m\alpha_j}$.

It suffices to find $y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ and a Lipschitz function $g \in S_{\text{Lip}_0(M)}$ (we fix $0 \in M$ below) such that, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, one has $g(y_j) = 1$ and

$$\|m_{a_j, b_j} \pm y_j\| \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{5m\alpha_j}.$$

Indeed, assume that such y_1, \dots, y_m and g exist and let $y = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j y_j$. Then

$$\|y\| \geq g\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j y_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j g(y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \|y_j\| = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{2} \|m_{a_j, b_j} + y_j - m_{a_j, b_j} + y_j\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{2} (\|m_{a_j, b_j} + y_j\| + \|m_{a_j, b_j} - y_j\|) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{5m\alpha_j}\right) < 1 + \frac{2\varepsilon}{5}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|x \pm \frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\| &\leq \left\|x - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j m_{a_j, b_j}\right\| + \left\|\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j m_{a_j, b_j} \pm y\right\| + \left|\|y\| - 1\right| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{5} + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \|m_{a_j, b_j} \pm y_j\| + \frac{2\varepsilon}{5} \leq 1 + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we will define $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite subset $\{u_0, \dots, u_{2K}\}$ of $\text{Im } \gamma_{a_j, b_j}$, then we define y_j as a linear combination $\sum_{k=1}^{2K} \lambda_k m_{u_k, u_{k-1}}$ with specific $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2K} \in \mathbb{R}$, and finally, we define g on $\{u_0, \dots, u_{2K}\}$.

Let

$$A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m\}.$$

Choose $R > 0$ such that

- (1) for all $p, q \in A$ with $p \neq q$, one has $d(p, q) > 2R$;
- (2) for every $p \in A$ and every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $p \neq a_j$ and $p \neq b_j$, one has $B(p, R) \cap \text{Im } \gamma_{a_j, b_j} = \emptyset$,

and let $B = \bigcup_{p \in A} B(p, R)$.

The sets $\text{Im } \gamma_{a_1, b_1} \setminus B, \dots, \text{Im } \gamma_{a_m, b_m} \setminus B$ are pairwise disjoint and compact, therefore there exists $r \in (0, R)$ such that, for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $i \neq j$, one has

$$d(\text{Im } \gamma_{a_i, b_i} \setminus B, \text{Im } \gamma_{a_j, b_j} \setminus B) > 2r.$$

We start by defining a finite subset of $\text{Im } \gamma_{a_1, b_1}$. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$2(K-2)r > d(a_1, b_1) - 2R$$

and let

$$s = \frac{d(a_1, b_1) - 2R}{2(K-2)}.$$

Then $0 < s < r$.

We will find a special partition of the interval $[0, 1]$ and the corresponding points in $\text{Im } \gamma_{a_1, b_1}$. Let $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{2K} = 1$, and let $u_0 = a_1$ and $u_{2K} = b_1$. Let

$$t_1 = \max\{t: d(\gamma_{a_1, b_1}(t), a_1) = R\}$$

and

$$t_{2K-1} = \min\{t: d(\gamma_{a_1, b_1}(t), b_1) = R\},$$

and let $u_1 = \gamma_{a_1, b_1}(t_1)$ and $u_{2K-1} = \gamma_{a_1, b_1}(t_{2K-1})$. Assume that we have found some t_k and u_k , where $1 \leq k \leq 2K - 4$. Let

$$t_{k+1} = \max\{t: d(\gamma_{a_1, b_1}(t), u_k) = s\}$$

and let $u_{k+1} = \gamma_{a_1, b_1}(t_{k+1})$.

Note that $t_{2K-3} \leq t_{2K-1}$. Let t_{2K-2} be such that $t_{2K-3} \leq t_{2K-2} \leq t_{2K-1}$ and

$$d(u_{2K-3}, \gamma_{a_1, b_1}(t_{2K-2})) = d(u_{2K-1}, \gamma_{a_1, b_1}(t_{2K-2})),$$

and let $u_{2K-2} = \gamma_{a_1, b_1}(t_{2K-2})$. Then $0 = t_0 \leq \dots \leq t_{2K} = 1$ and the obtained set $\{u_0, \dots, u_{2K}\}$ is a finite subset of $\text{Im } \gamma_{a_1, b_1}$, where $u_k = \gamma_{a_1, b_1}(t_k)$. In order to make M into a pointed metric space, set $0 = u_1$.

Define

$$y_1 = \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\frac{d(u_{2k-2}, u_{2k-1})}{d(a_1, b_1)} m_{u_{2k-2}, u_{2k-1}} - \frac{d(u_{2k-1}, u_{2k})}{d(a_1, b_1)} m_{u_{2k-1}, u_{2k}} \right).$$

Let us show that

$$\|m_{a_1, b_1} + y_1\| \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{5m\alpha_1}.$$

For every Lipschitz function $f \in S_{\text{Lip}_0(M)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(a_1, b_1) |f(m_{a_1, b_1} + y_1)| \\ &= |f(u_0) - f(u_{2K}) + \sum_{k=1}^K (f(u_{2k-2}) - f(u_{2k-1}) - f(u_{2k-1}) + f(u_{2k}))| \\ &= 2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^K (f(u_{2k-2}) - f(u_{2k-1})) \right| \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^K d(u_{2k-2}, u_{2k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{2K} d(u_{k-1}, u_k) \leq L(\gamma_{a_1, b_1}) \leq d(a_1, b_1) + \frac{\varepsilon\delta_1}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|m_{a_1, b_1} + y_1\| \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{5m\alpha_1}.$$

Analogously one can show that

$$\|m_{a_1, b_1} - y_1\| \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{5m\alpha_1}.$$

Define the function g on $\{u_0, \dots, u_{2K}\}$ by

$$g(p) = \begin{cases} R & \text{if } p = u_0 \text{ or } p = u_{2K}; \\ 0 & \text{if } p = u_k, \text{ where } k \text{ is odd or } p = u_{2K-2}; \\ s & \text{in other cases.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the Lipschitz constant of g on the set $\{u_0, \dots, u_{2K}\}$ is equal to 1 and that

$$\begin{aligned} g(y_1) &= \frac{1}{d(a_1, b_1)} \sum_{k=1}^K (g(u_{2k-2}) - g(u_{2k-1}) - g(u_{2k-1}) + g(u_{2k})) \\ &= \frac{1}{d(a_1, b_1)} (2R + 2(K-2)s) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

For every $i \in \{2, \dots, m\}$, we analogously define a finite subset of $\text{Im } \gamma_{a_i, b_i}$, an $y_i \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, and extend the definition of g onto the finite subset. Let C be the set of all points where g is defined after this process. The function g is correctly defined on the set C because the images of paths $\gamma_{a_1, b_1}, \dots, \gamma_{a_m, b_m}$ are pairwise disjoint or intersect only at the endpoints, where the values of g are equal to R . By McShane's extension theorem, extend g to the whole of M while preserving the Lipschitz constant.

It remains to verify that the Lipschitz constant of $g|_C$ is equal to 1. Let $p, q \in C$ with $p \neq q$. If $p \in B \cap C$ or $q \in B \cap C$, then $d(p, q) \geq R$ because $B \cap C = A$, and since $0 \leq g(p) \leq R$ and $0 \leq g(q) \leq R$, it follows that

$$|g(p) - g(q)| \leq R \leq d(p, q).$$

Assume now that $p, q \in C \setminus B$. Then there are uniquely determined $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $p \in \text{Im } \gamma_{a_i, b_i} \setminus B$ and $q \in \text{Im } \gamma_{a_j, b_j} \setminus B$. If $i = j$, then we are done. Otherwise $d(p, q) > 2r$ by our choice of r , and since $0 \leq g(p) < r$ and $0 \leq g(q) < r$, it follows that

$$|g(p) - g(q)| < r < d(p, q).$$

□

4. LIPSCHITZ-FREE SPACE IS NEVER ALMOST SQUARE

According to [14], a Banach space X is s -almost square (briefly, s -ASQ) for $s \in (0, 1]$ if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of S_X , there exists $y \in S_X$ such that

$$\|x_i \pm sy\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Note that 1-ASQ means precisely ASQ. By [14, Propositions 1.6 and 1.7], every slice of the unit ball of an s -ASQ Banach space has diameter equal to $2s$, and therefore the unit ball of an s -ASQ Banach space cannot contain strongly exposed points. Thus, by Theorem 1.1, a complete metric space M has to be length whenever the Lipschitz-free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is s -ASQ.

Our main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Lipschitz-free space is never ASQ. In fact, Lipschitz-free space is not s -ASQ for any $0 < s \leq 1$.*

This theorem is a straightforward consequence of the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let M be a length space. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n \in M$ such that, for every $y \in B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}$,*

$$(4.1) \quad \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|m_{p_i, q_i} + y\| > 1 + \|y\| - \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $8/n \leq \varepsilon$ and let $\theta > 0$ be such that $8\theta \leq \varepsilon$. Let $p, q \in M$ with $p \neq q$, let $r = d(p, q)/(2n)$, and let γ be a rectifiable path from p to q . We define points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in M$ inductively. Set $p_1 = p$ and, if we have fixed p_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, then we define $p_{i+1} = \gamma(\max A_i)$, where

$$A_i = \{t \in [0, 1] : d(\gamma(t), p_i) = d(p, q)/n\}.$$

Let $q_1, \dots, q_n \in M$ be such that $q_i \in B(p_i, \theta r) \setminus \{p_i\}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Let $y \in B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}$ and then let $f \in S_{\text{Lip}_0(M)}$ be such that $f(y) = \|y\|$. In order to show that the inequality (4.1) holds, it suffices to consider only the case $y = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{m} m_{u_j, v_j}$. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, set $B_i = B(p_i, r)$. Then B_1, \dots, B_n are pairwise disjoint.

Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the set

$$J = \{j \in \{1, \dots, m\} : u_j \in B_i \text{ or } v_j \in B_i\}$$

has at most $2m/n$ elements. Define $g \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ by setting $g|_{M \setminus B_i} = f|_{M \setminus B_i}$, $g(q_i) = f(q_i)$, $g(p_i) = f(q_i) + d(p_i, q_i)$, and, by McShane's extension theorem, extending g to be defined in the whole of M while preserving the Lipschitz constant. Then $\|g\| \leq 1 + 2\theta$ because, for every $a \notin B_i$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |g(a) - g(p_i)| &= |f(a) - f(q_i) + d(p_i, q_i)| \leq d(a, q_i) + d(p_i, q_i) \\ &\leq d(a, p_i) + 2d(p_i, q_i) \leq d(a, p_i) + 2\theta r \leq (1 + 2\theta)d(a, p_i). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (f - g)(y) &= (f - g)\left(\sum_{j \in J} \frac{1}{m} m_{u_j, v_j}\right) \leq (\|f\| + \|g\|) \sum_{j \in J} \frac{1}{m} \|m_{u_j, v_j}\| \\ &\leq (2 + 2\theta) \frac{2m}{mn} = \frac{4(1 + \theta)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$g(y) = f(y) - (f - g)(y) \geq \|y\| - \frac{4(1 + \theta)}{n},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|m_{p_i, q_i} + y\| &\geq \frac{g}{\|g\|}(m_{p_i, q_i} + y) \geq \frac{1}{1 + 2\theta} \left(\frac{g(p_i) - g(q_i)}{d(p_i, q_i)} + g(y) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{1 + 2\theta} \left(1 + \|y\| - \frac{4(1 + \theta)}{n} \right) \\ &> 1 + \|y\| - 2\theta(1 + \|y\|) - \frac{4}{n} \geq 1 + \|y\| - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Estonian Research Council grant (PRG1901). The research of A. Ostrak was supported by the University of Tartu ASTRA Project PER ASPERA, financed by the European Regional Development Fund.

REFERENCES

1. Trond A. Abrahamsen, Petr Hájek, and Stanimir Troyanski, *Almost square dual Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **487** (2020), no. 2, 124003, 11. MR 4073466
2. Trond A. Abrahamsen, Johann Langemets, and Vegard Lima, *Almost square Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **434** (2016), no. 2, 1549–1565. MR 3415738
3. Trond A. Abrahamsen, Olav Nygaard, and Märt Põldvere, *New applications of extremely regular function spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **301** (2019), no. 2, 385–394. MR 4023351
4. Antonio Avilés and Gonzalo Martínez-Cervantes, *Complete metric spaces with property (Z) are length spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **473** (2019), no. 1, 334–344. MR 3912824
5. Julio Becerra Guerrero, Ginés López-Pérez, and Abraham Rueda Zoca, *Some results on almost square Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **438** (2016), no. 2, 1030–1040. MR 3466077
6. Bernardo Cascales, Rafael Chiclana, Luis C. García-Lirola, Miguel Martín, and Abraham Rueda Zoca, *On strongly norm attaining Lipschitz maps*, J. Funct. Anal. **277** (2019), no. 6, 1677–1717. MR 3985517
7. Luis García-Lirola, Antonín Procházka, and Abraham Rueda Zoca, *A characterisation of the Daugavet property in spaces of Lipschitz functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **464** (2018), no. 1, 473–492. MR 3794100
8. Luis García-Lirola and Abraham Rueda Zoca, *Unconditional almost squareness and applications to spaces of Lipschitz functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **451** (2017), no. 1, 117–131. MR 3619230
9. Gilles Godefroy and Nigel J. Kalton, *Lipschitz-free Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **159** (2003), no. 1, 121–141, Dedicated to Professor Aleksander Pełczyński on the occasion of his 70th birthday. MR 2030906
10. Rainis Haller, Johann Langemets, Vegard Lima, and Rihhard Nadel, *Symmetric strong diameter two property*, Mediterr. J. Math. **16** (2019), no. 2, Paper No. 35, 17. MR 3917942
11. Rainis Haller, Andre Ostrak, and Märt Põldvere, *Diameter two properties for spaces of Lipschitz functions*, in preparation, May 2022.
12. Yevgen Ivakhno, Vladimir Kadets, and Dirk Werner, *The Daugavet property for spaces of Lipschitz functions*, Math. Scand. **101** (2007), no. 2, 261–279. MR 2379289

13. Damian Kubiak, *Some geometric properties of the Cesàro function spaces*, J. Convex Anal. **21** (2014), no. 1, 189–200. MR 3235311
14. Eve Oja, Natalia Saealle, and Indrek Zolk, *Quantitative versions of almost squareness and diameter 2 properties*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math. **24** (2020), no. 1, 131–145. MR 4183387
15. Nik Weaver, *Lipschitz algebras*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2018, Second edition of [MR1832645]. MR 3792558

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF TARTU, NARVA
MNT 18, 51009, TARTU, ESTONIA

Email address: `rainis.haller@ut.ee`

Email address: `jaan.kristjan.kaasik@ut.ee`

Email address: `andre.ostrak@ut.ee`