

THE J -INVARIANT OVER SPLITTING FIELDS OF TITS ALGEBRAS

MAKSIM ZHYKHOVICH

ABSTRACT. We describe the J -invariant of a semi-simple algebraic group G over a generic splitting field of a Tits algebra of G in terms of the J -invariant over a base field. As a consequence we prove a 10 years old conjecture of Quéguiner-Mathieu, Semenov and Zainoulline on the J -invariant of groups of type D_n . In the case of type D_n we also provide explicit formulas for the first component and in some cases for the second component of the J -invariant.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chow motives were introduced by Grothendieck, and since then they became a fundamental tool for investigating the structure of algebraic varieties. The study of Chow motives and motivic decompositions has several outstanding applications to other topics. For example, Voevodsky's proof of the Milnor conjecture relied on Rost's computation of the motivic decomposition of a Pfister quadric. In [Ka10] Karpenko established the relation between the motivic decomposition and the canonical dimension of a projective homogeneous variety, which allowed to compute the canonical dimension in many cases.

In [PSZ08] Petrov, Semenov, and Zainoulline investigated the structure of the motives of generically split projective homogeneous varieties and introduced a new invariant of an algebraic group G , called the J -invariant. In the case of quadratic forms an equivalent invariant was introduced previously by Vishik in [Vi05]. The J -invariant is a discrete invariant which encodes the motivic decomposition of the variety of Borel subgroups in G . The J -invariant of G consists of several non-negative integer components (j_1, \dots, j_r) with degrees $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_r$. The integers r and d_1, \dots, d_r depend only on the type of G and are known for all types (see table [PSZ08, §4.13]).

The J -invariant proved to be an important tool for solving several long-standing problems. For example, it plays an important role in the progress on the Kaplansky problem about possible values of the u -invariant, see [Vi07]. Another example is the proof of a conjecture of Serre about groups of type E_8 and its finite subgroups, where the J -invariant plays a crucial role (see [GS10] and [Se16]). More recently, Petrov and Semenov generalized the J -invariant for groups of inner type to arbitrary oriented cohomology theories in the sense of Levine–Morel [LM] satisfying some axioms (see [PS21]).

Let (A, σ) be a central simple algebra with orthogonal involution and trivial discriminant. Let $G = \text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$ be the connected component of the automorphism group of (A, σ) . The group G is adjoint of type D_n . Let $J(G) = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$. It is known that the first component j_1 is zero if the algebra A is split. In particular, j_1 becomes zero over the function field of the Severi-Brauer variety of A , which is a generic splitting field of A .

In [QSZ12] Quéguiner-Mathieu, Semenov and Zainoulline stated a conjecture that the remaining components does not change after generic splitting of A .

Conjecture 1.1 ([QSZ12, Remark 7.3]). *If $J(G) = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$, then $j_i = (j_i)_{F_A}$ for $i = 2, \dots, r$.*

Note that, in the settings of Conjecture 1.1 the central simple algebra A is a Tits algebra of the algebraic group $\mathrm{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$. In the present paper we prove Conjecture 1.1 and moreover generalize it to the case of an arbitrary semi-simple algebraic group G of inner type. Let A be a Tits algebra of G . The main result of the paper (Theorem 4.1) describes the connection between the J -invariant of G over a generic splitting field of A and the J -invariant over a based field. In particular, we prove that all components of the J -invariant of G of degree greater than 1 does not change after extending to a generic splitting field F_A of A . Moreover the main theorem provides some control on how the components of degree 1 can change over the field F_A . In the case $G = \mathrm{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$ we improve this control in Proposition 5.1, which together with the main theorem allows to prove Conjecture 1.1 (see Corollary 5.2).

The main result of the paper allows to split a Tits algebra of an algebraic group without losing much information on the J -invariant of the group (only components of degree one may be affected). This may be a useful tool to compute the J -invariant, since the algebraic groups with trivial Tits algebras are considered as “less complex objects” comparing to those groups with non-trivial Tits algebras. For example, in the settings of Conjecture 1.1 the group $\mathrm{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$ over the field F_A becomes isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGO}^+(q_\sigma)$, where q_σ is the respective quadratic form adjoint to the split algebra with involution $(A, \sigma)_{F_A}$. Hence Conjecture 1.1 allows to reduce the computation of the J -invariant (except the first component) of algebras with orthogonal involution to the case of quadratic forms. Note that recently the similar approach was used to investigate the motivic equivalence of algebras with involutions, see [CQZ22].

Section 5 of the paper is devoted to the computation of the first two components j_1 and j_2 of the J -invariant of the group $\mathrm{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$ of type D_n , where (A, σ) is a central simple algebra with orthogonal involution. This question was already investigated in [QSZ12]. Namely, in [QSZ12, Corollary 5.2] the upper bounds for j_1 and j_2 were provided in terms of 2-adic valuations of indices of algebras A , C_+ and C_- , where C_+ and C_- are the components of the Clifford algebra of (A, σ) . We slightly improve the upper bound for j_1 and then show that it is in fact the exact value of j_1 (see Theorem 5.8). In Proposition 5.13 we also provide a formula for the second component j_2 in some cases. Note that over a generic splitting field of the algebra A we lose the information about the first component j_1 and by Conjecture 1.1 other components remain unchanged. Therefore the formula for j_1 and Conjecture 1.1 (Corollary 5.2) allow to completely reduce the computation of the J -invariant of the group $\mathrm{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$ to the case of quadratic forms.

The proofs in this paper rely on the computations of rational cycles, the properties of generically split varieties, the theory of upper motives and the index reduction formula.

2. PRELIMINARIES. NOTATION.

2.1. Chow motives. Let F be a field. In the present paper we work in the category of the Grothendieck-Chow motives over F with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p for a fixed prime number p (see [EKM]).

For a smooth projective variety X over F we denote by $M(X)$ the motive of X in this category. We consider the Chow ring $\mathrm{CH}(X)$ of X modulo rational equivalence and we write $\mathrm{Ch}(X)$ for the Chow ring with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p .

For a motive M over F and a field extension E/F we denote by M_E the extension of scalars. A motive M is called *split* (resp. *geometrically split*), if it is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of Tate motives (resp. if M_E is split over some field extension E/F).

Let G be a semisimple algebraic group of inner type. Let X be a projective homogeneous variety under the action of G . Note that the motive of X splits over any field extension, over which the group G splits (in the sense of algebraic groups). By \overline{X} we denote the variety X_E over a splitting field E of the group G . The Chow ring $\text{CH}(\overline{X})$ does not depend on the choice of E and, therefore, we do not specify the splitting fields in the formulas below. By $\overline{\text{CH}}(X)$ we denote the image of the restriction homomorphism $\text{CH}(X) \rightarrow \text{CH}(\overline{X})$. We say that a cycle from $\text{CH}(\overline{X})$ is F -rational if it belongs to $\overline{\text{CH}}(X)$.

The *Poincaré polynomial* $P(X, t)$ of X is defined as $\sum_{i \geq 0} \dim \text{Ch}^i(\overline{X}) t^i$. Similarly, for direct motivic summand M of X we define the Poincaré polynomial $P(M, t)$ by replacing \overline{X} by \overline{M} in the formula, where \overline{M} denotes the motive M over a splitting field of G .

Recall that the *Krull-Schmidt principle* holds for any motivic direct summand M of a projective homogeneous variety X . Namely, M decomposes in a unique way in a finite direct sum of indecomposable motives, see [ChM06]. The *upper motive* $U(X)$ of X is defined as an indecomposable summand of $M(X)$ with the property that the Chow group $\text{Ch}^0(U(X))$ is non-zero. It follows by the Krull-Schmidt principle that the isomorphism class of $U(X)$ is uniquely determined by X .

Given two projective homogeneous varieties X_1 and X_2 (under possibly different algebraic groups) over F , the upper motives of the varieties X_1 and X_2 satisfy the following isomorphism criterion.

Proposition 2.1 ([Ka13, Corollary 2.15]). *The upper motives $U(X_1)$ and $U(X_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if the varieties X_1 and X_2 possess 0-cycles of degree 1 modulo p respectively over $F(X_2)$ and $F(X_1)$.*

2.2. Tits algebras and the Picard group. Let G_0 be a split semisimple algebraic group of inner type of rank n over F . We fix a split maximum torus T in G_0 and a Borel subgroup B of G_0 containing T . Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a set of simple roots with respect to B_0 and let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be the respective set of fundamental weights. Enumeration of roots and weight follows Bourbaki.

Denote by Λ_ω the respective weight lattice and by Λ_ω^+ the cone of dominant weights. There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of the irreducible finite dimensional representations of G_0 and the elements of Λ_ω^+ . This correspondence associates with an irreducible representation of G_0 its highest weight.

Let now G be an arbitrary (not necessarily split) semisimple algebraic group over F of inner type which is a twisted form of G_0 . With each element $\omega \in \Lambda_\omega^+$ one can associate a unique central simple algebra A_ω such that there exists a group homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_1(A)$ having the property that the representation $\rho \otimes F_{\text{sep}}$ of the split group $G \otimes F_{\text{sep}}$ is the representation with the highest weight ω . The algebra A_ω is called the *Tits algebra* of G corresponding to ω . In particular, to any fundamental weight ω_i corresponds a Tits algebra A_{ω_i} .

Any projective homogeneous G -variety X is the variety of parabolic subgroups in G of some fixed type, where the type corresponds to a subset Θ of the set of simple roots Π . The Picard group $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ can be identified with a free \mathbb{Z} -module generated by ω_i , $i \in \Pi \setminus \Theta$. Consider the

group homomorphism $\alpha_X : \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(F)$ sending ω_i to the Brauer-class of the Tits algebra A_{ω_i} corresponding to the fundamental representation with the highest weight ω_i .

By [MT95, §2] the following sequence of groups is exact

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(F).$$

This sequence allows to express the group $\text{Pic}(X)$ in terms of the Tits algebras of G .

3. J -INVARIANT

The J -invariant of a semisimple algebraic group was introduced in [PSZ08] by Petrov, Semenov and Zainoulline. In this section we briefly recall the definition and the main properties of the J -invariant following [PSZ08].

Let G_0 be a split semisimple algebraic group over a field F and B a Borel subgroup of G_0 . An explicit presentation of $\text{Ch}^*(G_0)$ in terms of generators and relations is known for all groups and all primes p . Namely, by [Kac85, Theorem 3]

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Ch}^*(G_0) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[e_1, \dots, e_r] / (e_1^{p^{k_1}}, \dots, e_r^{p^{k_r}})$$

for some non-negative integers r , k_i and some homogeneous generators e_1, \dots, e_r with degrees $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_r$ coprime to p . A complete list of numbers r , k_i and d_i is provided in [PSZ08, page 21] for any split group G_0 .

We introduce an order on the set of additive generators of $\text{Ch}^*(\overline{G})$, i.e., on the monomials $e_1^{m_1} \dots e_r^{m_r}$. To simplify the notation, we denote the monomial $e_1^{m_1} \dots e_r^{m_r}$ by e^M , where M is an r -tuple of integers (m_1, \dots, m_r) . The codimension (in the Chow ring) of e^M is denoted by $|M|$. Note that $|M| = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i m_i$.

Given two r -tuples $M = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and $N = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ we say $e^M \leq e^N$ (or equivalently $M \leq N$) if either $|M| < |N|$ or $|M| = |N|$ and $m_i \leq n_i$ for the greatest i such that $m_i \neq n_i$. This gives a well-ordering on the set of all monomials (r -tuples).

Let now $G = {}_\xi G_0$ be an inner twisted form of G_0 given by a cocycle $\xi \in Z^1(F, G_0)$ and let $X = {}_\xi(G/B)$ be the variety of Borel subgroups in G . Since X and G/B are isomorphic over any splitting field of G , we identify the Chow groups $\text{Ch}(\overline{X})$ and $\text{Ch}(G_0/B)$.

Denote by $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(G_0)$ the image of the composite map

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Ch}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{Ch}^*(G_0/B) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Ch}^*(G_0),$$

where π is the surjective pullback of the canonical projection $G_0 \rightarrow G_0/B$ and res is the scalar extension to a splitting field of G .

Definition 3.3 ([PSZ08, Definition 4.6]). For each i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, set j_i to be the smallest non-negative interger such that the subring $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(G_0)$ contains an element a with the greatest monomial $e_i^{p^{j_i}}$ with respect to the order on $\text{Ch}^*(G_0)$ as above, i.e., of the form

$$a = e_i^{p^{j_i}} + \sum_{e^M < e_i^{p^{j_i}}} c_M e^M, \quad c_M \in \mathbb{F}_p.$$

The r -tuple of integers (j_1, \dots, j_r) is called the J -invariant of G modulo p and is denoted by $J_p(G)$.

Remark 3.4. Note that the J -invariant of G up to a permutation of some components may depend on the choice of the cocycle ξ (see [QSZ12, §3]). Below by considering the J -invariant of a group G we also fix a cocycle ξ .

Remark 3.5. According to [GiZ12, Proposition 5.1] and [KM06, Theorem 6.4] the sequence (3.2) of graded rings is exact in the middle term if and only if the cocycle ξ is generic.

In [PSZ08] the following motivic interpretation of the J -invariant was provided.

Proposition 3.6 ([PSZ08, Theorem 5.13]). *Let X be the variety of Borel subgroups in G . Then the Chow motive of X with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p decomposes into a direct sum*

$$(3.7) \quad M(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{R}_p(G)(i)$$

of twisted copies of an indecomposable motive $\mathcal{R}_p(G)$ for some finite multiset I of non-negative integers. Moreover the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{R}_p(G)$ is given by

$$(3.8) \quad P(\mathcal{R}_p(G), t) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1 - t^{d_i p^i}}{1 - t^{d_i}}.$$

Remark 3.9. Note that the J -invariant allows to compute not only the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{R}_p(G)$ by formula 3.8 but also all twisting numbers in the motivic decomposition (3.7) of X . Namely, we have

$$\frac{P(X, t)}{P(\mathcal{R}_p(G), t)} = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i,$$

where a_i is the number of the copies of $\mathcal{R}_p(G)$ with the twisting number i in the motivic decomposition (3.7). Note that the Poincaré polynomial $P(X, t)$ can be explicitly computed by Solomon formula (see [Ca72, 9.4 A]).

The motivic decomposition from Proposition 3.6 holds for more general class of varieties. Namely, a projective homogeneous G -variety X is called *generically split* if the group G splits over the generic point of X . In particular, the variety of Borel subgroups in G is generically split. Another examples are Pfister quadrics and Severi-Brauer varieties. By [PSZ08, Theorem 5.17] the Chow motive of any generically split G -variety with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p decomposes into a direct sum of twisted copies of the motive $\mathcal{R}_p(G)$.

Proposition 3.10 ([PS10, Theorem 5.5]). *Let X be a generically split G -variety and recall that $\overline{\text{Ch}}^i(X)$ denotes the subring of F -rational cycles in $\text{Ch}(X)$. Then*

$$\frac{P(X, t)}{P(\mathcal{R}_p(G), t)} = P(\overline{\text{Ch}}(X), t).$$

In particular, the number of copies of the motive $\mathcal{R}_p(G)(i)$ in the complete motivic decomposition of X is equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\text{Ch}}^i(X)$.

Remark 3.11. The above proposition shows that the subring $\overline{\text{CH}}(X) \subset \text{CH}(\overline{X})$ of F -rational cycles encodes the complete motivic decomposition of a generically split variety X over F . In contrast, in general in order to find the complete motivic decomposition of a projective homogeneous G -variety X one usually needs to describe F -rational projectors in the Chow group of the product $\overline{X} \times \overline{X}$.

We finish this section with several observations, which will be useful later in this paper.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ be two projective homogeneous varieties over a field F . Assume that \mathcal{Y} possesses a zero-cycle of degree 1 over the function field $F(\mathfrak{X})$. Then the cycle $a \in \text{Ch}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}})$ is F -rational if and only if the cycle $a \times 1 \in \text{Ch}(\overline{\mathfrak{X} \times \mathcal{Y}})$ is F -rational.*

Proof. The direct implication is clear. To show the inverse implication we assume that $a \times 1 \in \text{Ch}(\overline{\mathfrak{X} \times \mathcal{Y}})$ is F -rational.

Let $\alpha \in \text{Ch}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ with $\overline{\alpha} = a \times 1$. Let $x \in \text{Ch}_0(\mathcal{Y}_{F(\mathfrak{X})})$ be a zero-cycle of degree 1. Let $\beta \in \text{CH}(X \times \mathcal{Y})$ be a preimage of x under the flat pull-back

$$\text{Ch}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow \text{Ch}(\mathcal{Y}_{F(\mathfrak{X})})$$

along the morphism induced by the generic point of \mathfrak{X} . Since $\overline{\beta} = 1 \times [\mathbf{pt}] + \sum_{i \in I} a_i \times b_i$, where $\dim b_i > 0$ for all $i \in I$, we have

$$\overline{(pr_{\mathfrak{X}})_*(\alpha\beta)} = (pr_{\mathfrak{X}})_*(\overline{\alpha\beta}) = (pr_{\mathfrak{X}})_*(a \times [\mathbf{pt}] + \sum_{i \in I} (aa_i \times b_i)) = a,$$

where $pr_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is the projection $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ on the first factor.

It follows from the above equality that the cycle a is F -rational. □

Definition 3.13. Let G be a semisimple algebraic group of inner type, A a Tits algebra of G and X a projective homogeneous variety such that $U(X) \simeq R_p(G)$. Let $w \in \text{Ch}^1(\overline{X})$ be a cycle such that for some lifting $\tilde{w} \in \text{CH}^1(\overline{X})$ of w holds $\alpha_X(\tilde{w}) = l[A] \in \text{Br}(F)$, where l is an integer coprime to p . We define $j_{G,A}$ to be the smallest integer $j \geq 0$ such that the cycle $w^{p^j} \in \text{Ch}(\overline{X})$ is F -rational.

Remark 3.14. Note that the definition of $j_{G,A}$ does not depend on the choice of the variety X and the cycle w . Indeed, if Y and v is another choice of a variety and a cycle satisfying the above conditions, then using the exact sequence (2.2) for the variety $X \times Y$ we can obtain an F -rational cycle $w \times 1 - \lambda(1 \times v) \in \text{Ch}(\overline{X \times Y})$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Then for every $j \geq 0$ we have

$$(w \times 1 - \lambda(1 \times v))^{p^j} = w^{p^j} \times 1 - \lambda(1 \times v^{p^j}) \in \overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times Y).$$

Hence, we get the following equivalences

$$w^{p^j} \in \overline{\text{Ch}}(X) \iff w^{p^j} \times 1 \in \overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times Y) \iff 1 \times v^{p^j} \in \overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times Y) \iff v^{p^j} \in \overline{\text{Ch}}(Y),$$

where the first and the last equivalences hold by Lemma 3.12.

4. MAIN RESULT

Let F be a field and p a prime number. Let G be a semi-simple algebraic group over a field F of inner type. Let $J(G) = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$ be the J -invariant of G and let $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_r$ be the respective degrees of the components. Denote by $J^1(G) = (j_1, \dots, j_l)$, $l \leq r$, the family of all components of degree 1, that is $d_l = 1$ and $d_{l+1} > 1$.

Let A be a Tits algebra of G . Denote by F_A the function field of the Severi-Brauer variety $\text{SB}(A)$. The main theorem of this paper describes the J -invariant of G_{F_A} in terms of the J -invariant of G over a base field F .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $J(G_{F_A}) = (j'_1, \dots, j'_r)$. Then the following holds*

- (1) $j_i = j'_i$ for every component of degree > 1 .
- (2) $J^1(G) \cup \{0\} = J^1(G_{F_A}) \cup \{j_{G,A}\}$ as multisets.

(3) In particular, if $J(G) \neq J(G_{F_A})$, then $j_k \neq j'_k = 0$ for some component j_k of degree 1.

Before proving the main theorem we need to investigate the relation between F -rational cycles on the variety $\overline{X \times \text{SB}(A)}$ and F_A -rational cycles on the variety \overline{X} .

Let X be a generically split G -variety. Let A be an algebra which splits over a function field of X (in particular, this is the case for a Tits algebra of G). We denote by h the hyperplane class in $\text{Ch}^1(\text{SB}(A))$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $y = a_k \times h^k + \sum_{i>k} a_i \times h^i$ be a homogeneous element in $\text{Ch}(\overline{X \times \text{SB}(A)})$, where $a_i \in \text{Ch}(\overline{X})$. If y is rational over F , then*

- (1) $1 \times h^k$ is rational over F ,
- (2) a_k is rational over F_A .

Proof. We first prove the second statement. We have

$$(pr_X)_*(y \cdot (1 \times h^i)) = (pr_X)_*(a_k \times [pt] + \dots) = a_k,$$

where $i = \deg(A) - 1 - k$, $[pt]$ is the class of a rational point in $\text{Ch}(\overline{\text{SB}(A)})$ and $pr_X : X \times \text{SB}(A) \rightarrow X$ is the projection on the first factor. Since y and $1 \times h^i$ are both rational over F_A , it follows that a_k is also rational over F_A .

We now prove the first statement. Consider the surjective pullback

$$f : \text{Ch}(X \times (X \times \text{SB}(A))) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(X_{F(X \times \text{SB}(A))}).$$

Since X is split over $F(X \times \text{SB}(A))$, there exists a cycle a_k^* in $\text{Ch}(X_{F(X \times \text{SB}(A))})$, such that $\deg(a_k \cdot a_k^*) = 1$. Let α be the image in $\text{Ch}(\overline{X \times (X \times \text{SB}(A))})$ be some lifting of a_k^* via f . Let $\beta = (pr_2)^*(y)$, where $pr_2 : X \times X \times \text{SB}(A) \rightarrow X \times \text{SB}(A)$ is the projection on the second factor. We have

$$\beta = a_k \times 1 \times h^k + \sum_{i>k} a_i \times 1 \times h^i$$

and

$$\alpha = a_k^* \times (1 \times 1) + \sum_{j \in I} b_j \times (c_j),$$

where $\text{codim } c_j > 0$ and $\text{codim } b_j < \text{codim } a_k^*$ for all $j \in I$. Then

$$\alpha \cdot \beta = [pt] \times 1 \times h^k + \sum c_l \times (d_l),$$

where $c_l \in \text{Ch}(\overline{X})$ and $\dim c_l > 0$. Hence $(pr_{2,3})_*(\alpha \cdot \beta) = 1 \times h^k$, where $pr_{2,3} : X \times (X \times \text{SB}(A)) \rightarrow X \times \text{SB}(A)$ is the projection on the product of second and third factors. Since both cycles α and β are F -rational, the cycle $1 \times h^k$ is also F -rational. \square

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{F}_p -vector subspace of F_A -rational cycles in $\text{Ch}(\overline{X})$. For every $i = 1, \dots, n$ we fix an F -rational lifting y_i of a_i via the surjection

$$\text{Ch}(\overline{X \times \text{SB}(A)}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(X_{F(\text{SB}(A))}).$$

Consider the set

$$(4.3) \quad J = \{0 \leq j \leq \deg A \mid 1 \times h^j \text{ is } F\text{-rational in } \text{Ch}(\overline{X \times \text{SB}(A)})\}.$$

Recall that we denote by $\overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times \text{SB}(A))$ the subring of F -rational cycles in $\text{Ch}(\overline{X \times \text{SB}(A)})$. We can now describe $\overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times \text{SB}(A))$ as an \mathbb{F}_p -vector space in terms of \mathcal{A} and J .

Proposition 4.4. *The set $\mathcal{B} = \{y_i \cdot (1 \times h^j) \mid i \in [1, n], j \in J\}$ form a basis of the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $\overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times \text{SB}(A))$.*

Proof. Since $a_i, i \in [1, n]$ are linearly independent, the same holds for the elements from \mathcal{B} . Denote by $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ the \mathbb{F}_p -vector subspace in $\text{Ch}(\overline{X} \times \overline{\text{SB}}(A))$ generated by the elements from \mathcal{B} . Our goal is to show that $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle = \overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times \text{SB}(A))$

Clearly $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle \subset \overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times \text{SB}(A))$. Assume that the subspaces are not equal and among the homogeneous elements in $\overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times \text{SB}(A)) \setminus \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ choose an element

$$y = a \times h^k + \sum_{i>k} a_i \times h^i, b_i \in \text{CH}(\overline{X}), a \neq 0,$$

with the maximal $k \geq 0$. By Lemma 4.2 the cycle a is rational over L and $k \in J$. Hence we can write $a = \lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_n a_n$ for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Consider the cycle

$$y' = y - (\lambda_1 y_1 + \dots + \lambda_n y_n) \cdot (1 \times h^k).$$

By our assumption on y we see that $y' = 0$ and we get a contradiction. It follows that $\overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times \text{SB}(A)) = \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ and therefore \mathcal{B} is indeed a basis of $\overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times \text{SB}(A))$. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Recall that we work with Chow groups and motives modulo p . Without loss of generality we can pass to a field extension of degree coprime to p and assume that the index of A is a power of p . Since in this case the algebra A and its p -primary component have same splitting fields, we can also assume that the degree n of A is a power of p .

Let X be a generically split G -variety. Then the variety $X \times \text{SB}(A)$ is also generically split for the group $G' = G \times \text{PGL}_1(A)$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.1 and our assumption on algebra A , we have $\mathcal{R}_p(G) \simeq \mathcal{R}_p(G')$. Applying Proposition 3.10 for the generically split varieties $X \times \text{SB}(A)$ and X_{F_A} we obtain

$$P(X \times \text{SB}(A), t) = P(\mathcal{R}_p(G), t) \cdot P(\overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times \text{SB}(A)), t)$$

and

$$P(X_L, t) = P(\mathcal{R}_p(G_{F_A}), t) \cdot P(\overline{\text{Ch}}(X_{F_A}), t).$$

Let us compare now the left and the right sides of these polynomial equalities. We have $P(X \times \text{SB}(A), t) = P(X, t)P(\text{SB}(A), t) = P(X, t)P(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, t) = P(X, t)\frac{t^n-1}{t-1}$. Note also that $P(X, t) = P(X_{F_A}, t)$. The first factor on the right hand sides of the equalities can be expressed in terms of the corresponding J -invariant using formula (3.8). Note that the last factor in the second equality divides the last factor in the first equality by Proposition 4.4 and the quotient is given by the polynomial

$$F(t) = \sum_{i \in J} t^i,$$

where the set J is defined by the formula (4.3).

Now dividing the first polynomial equality by the second equality we get

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{t^n - 1}{t - 1} = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{t^{d_i p^{j_i}} - 1}{t^{d_i p^{j'_i}} - 1} \cdot F(t).$$

The first statement of the theorem follows directly from the above polynomial equality. Indeed, if $j_i > j'_i$ for some component $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ with $d_i > 1$, then the primitive $d_i p^{j_i}$ -root of unity

$\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ is a complex root of the right-hand side polynomial from equality (4.5). However ζ is not a complex root of the left-hand side polynomial in (4.5), since n is a power of p , $d_i > 1$ and p does not divide d_i .

Before proving statements (2) and (3) of the theorem we will first find the explicit form of the polynomial $F(t) = \sum_{i \in J} t^i$, which is defined by the set J . It follows from polynomial equality (4.5), that $F(t) = t^{\deg F} F(1/t)$. Hence the set J is symmetric with respect to its midpoint.

Another property

$$x \in J, y \in J \implies x + y \in J$$

follows from the definition of the set J , since the product of two F -rational cycles is F -rational.

Using the mentioned above two properties of the set J it is easy to check that

$$F(t) = 1 + t^j + t^{2j} + \dots + t^{(k-1)j} = \frac{t^{kj} - 1}{t^j - 1},$$

where k is such that $(k-1)j < n \leq kj$.

Finally it follows from (4.5), that kj is a power of p and, thus, is equal to n . Therefore the polynomial $F(t)$ has the following form $\frac{t^n - 1}{t^j - 1}$, where $j = \min J \setminus \{0\}$ (we set $j = n$, if $J = \{0\}$).

Using the description of $F(t)$ the formula (4.5) implies that

$$(t^j - 1) \prod_{i=1}^r (t^{d_i p^{j'_i}} - 1) = (t - 1) \prod_{i=1}^r (t^{d_i p^{j_i}} - 1).$$

It follows from the above polynomial equality that $J(G_{F_A}) \cup \{j\} = J(G) \cup \{0\}$ as multisets. Moreover by the definition of j we have $j = j_{G,A}$ and we obtain the statement (2) of the theorem.

In the case $J(G_{F_A}) \neq J(G)$, by statement (2), we have $j \neq 0$. Hence, $j_k \neq j'_k = 0$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, which proves the statement (3) of the theorem. \square

With the same notations as in the above theorem, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6. *Assume that there exists a component j_m of degree 1 of $J(G)$, such that all other components of degree one are zero (in particular, this is the case when there is only one component of degree 1). Assume also that j_m becomes zero over F_A . Then $j_m = j_{G,A}$.*

5. J -INVARIANT OF ALGEBRAS WITH INVOLUTIONS

Let (A, σ) be a degree $2n$ central simple algebra over F , endowed with an involution of orthogonal type and trivial discriminant. Recall, that the Clifford algebra of (A, σ) splits as a direct product $C(A, \sigma) = C_+ \times C_-$ of two central simple algebras over F .

Let $G = \text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$ be the connected component of the automorphism group of (A, σ) . Since (A, σ) has trivial discriminant, the group G is an inner twisted form of $G_0 = \text{PGO}_{2n}^+$. Both groups G and G_0 are adjoint of type D_n . Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be the respective set of fundamental weights. Note that A is a Tits algebra A_{ω_1} of G . We fix fundamental weights ω_+ and ω_- , which are a permutation of ω_{n-1} and ω_n , in such a way that the Tits algebras A_{ω_+} and A_{ω_-} are respectively the components C^+ and C^- of the Clifford algebra $C(A, \sigma)$.

Note that in this section we assume $p = 2$, which is the only torsion prime of the group G .

Let X be the variety of Borel subgroups in G . Recall, that the Picard group $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ can be identified with a free \mathbb{Z} -module generated by ω_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. We denote by w_i the images of ω_i in $\text{Ch}^1(\overline{X}) = \text{CH}^1(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$.

In [QSZ12] Quéguiner-Mathieu, Semenov and Zainouline introduced the notion of the J -invariant of algebras with orthogonal involutions. The J -invariant of (A, σ) is denoted by $J(A, \sigma)$. By definition, $J(A, \sigma)$ is the J -invariant of the respective group $G = \text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$, where in the definition of $J(G)$ we take a cocycle whose class corresponds to (A, σ) and a designation of the components C^+ and C^- (note that the choice of the designation does not affect the value of $J(G)$ see [QSZ12, §3]).

The J -invariant $J(A, \sigma)$ is an r -tuple (j_1, \dots, j_r) , where $r = m + 1$ if $n = 2m$ or $n = 2m + 1$ (see table [PSZ08, §4.13]). Note that the first two components j_1 and j_2 are of degree 1 and $d_i = 2i - 3$ for $i \geq 2$. For every component j_i we also have an explicit upper bound k_i (see table [PSZ08, §4.13]). In particular, $j_1 \leq k_1 = v_2(n)$, where $v_2(-)$ denotes the 2-adic valuation. According to [QSZ12, §3] one can take $e_1 = \pi(w_1)$ and $e_2 = \pi(w_+)$ for the generators in $\text{Ch}(G_0)$ corresponding respectively to the components j_1 and j_2 .

The goal of this section is to prove Conjecture 1.1 and to explicitly compute j_1 .

By [QSZ12, Corollary 5.2] the first component j_1 of $J(A, \sigma)$ is zero if the algebra A is split. We denote by F_A the function field of the Severi-Brauer variety of A , which is a generic splitting field of A . By Theorem 4.1 we have $J(A, \sigma)_{F_A} = (0, j'_2, j_3, \dots, j_r)$, that is all components of degree > 1 does not change over F_A . However, to prove Conjecture 1.1 we still need to check that $j_2 = j'_2$. In order to show this we will use the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *The first component j_1 of the $J(A, \sigma)$ is equal to $j_{G,A}$, where $G = \text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$.*

Proof. Let X be the variety of Borel subgroups in G . Assume n is odd. By the fundamental relation we have $[A] = 2[C_+] = 2[C_-] \in \text{Br}(F)$, where C_+ and C_- are two components of the Clifford algebra of (A, σ) . Using the exact sequence (2.2) we see that $w_1 \in \overline{\text{Ch}}(X)$. Hence, by definition $j_1 = j_{G,A} = 0$.

Assume now that n is even. By definition, j_1 is the smallest non-negative integer, such that there exists an F -rational homogeneous cycle of the form $w_1^{2^{j_1}} + \delta \in \text{Ch}(\overline{X})$, where δ is an element from the kernel of the map $\pi : \text{Ch}(\overline{X}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(G_0)$. Since n is even, we have $[A] + [C_+] + [C_-] = 0 \in \text{Br}(F)$. Hence, the cycle $w_1 + w \in \text{Ch}^1(\overline{X})$ is F -rational, where we set $w = w_+ + w_-$. Therefore, in the definition of j_1 we can replace w_1 by w .

Recall that the kernel of π is an ideal in $\text{Ch}(\overline{X}) \simeq \text{Ch}(G_0/B)$ generated by the rational elements of positive codimension for the twisted form $\xi(G_0/B)$ given by a generic cocycle ξ (see Remark 3.5). Our goal is to show that we can assume $\delta = 0$ in the definition of j_1 .

Let Y be a variety of isotropic right ideal in (A, σ) of reduced dimension $n - 1$ (it corresponds to the parabolic subgroup of type $\{1, 2, \dots, n - 2\}$). We have the natural projection $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Since Y is generically split, the projection f is a cellular fibration. Therefore, by [PSZ08, Theorem 3.7] the motive $M(Y)$ is a direct summand of $M(X)$. Moreover the Chow group of this motivic summand as a subgroup of $\text{Ch}(X)$ can be identified with $\text{Ch}(Y)$ via the pull-back f^* , so we can assume $\text{Ch}(Y) \subset \text{Ch}(X)$. Since the cycle w (and also $w^{2^{j_1}}$) is defined in $\text{Ch}(\overline{Y})$, the restriction of the F -rational cycle $w^{2^{j_1}} + \delta \in \text{Ch}(\overline{X})$ on the subgroup $\text{Ch}(\overline{Y})$ is also F -rational and has the similar form $w^{2^{j_1}} + \tilde{\delta}$, where $\tilde{\delta} \in \text{Ch}(\overline{Y}) \cap \text{Ker } \pi$. Using [PS10, Theorem 5.5] and the explicit formula (3.8) for the Poincaré polynomial $P(R_2(\xi G_0), t)$, where ξ is a generic cocycle, we get that in the generic case a rational cycle in $\text{Ch}(\overline{Y})$ has codimension either zero or at

least $2^{k_1} \geq 2^{j_1}$. It follows that any cycle in $\text{Ch}(\overline{Y}) \cap \text{Ker } \pi$ has codimension at least 2^{k_1} and any cycle of codimension 2^{k_1} is F -rational. Hence, $\tilde{\delta}$ is F -rational and $w^{2^{j_1}} \in \overline{\text{Ch}}(X)$. Since $\alpha_X(\omega_+ + \omega_-) = [A]$, by definition of $j_{G,A}$ we have $j_{G,A} = j_1$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Conjecture 1.1 holds.*

Proof. The Conjecture follows from Theorem 4.1 and the above proposition. \square

Let $J(A, \sigma) = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)$. Then by the above corollary we have $J(A, \sigma)_{F_A} = (0, j_2, \dots, j_r)$. Note that the group $\text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$ over the field F_A becomes isomorphic to $\text{PGO}^+(q_\sigma)$, where q_σ is the respective quadratic form adjoint to the split algebra with involution $(A, \sigma)_{F_A}$. Hence, we can reduce the computation of the components j_2, \dots, j_r of $J(A, \sigma)$ to the case of quadratic forms. However, over the field F_A we lose information about the first component j_1 . Our next goal is to find an explicit formula of j_1 .

We know that $j_1 \leq k_1 = v_2(n)$. Thus, $j_1 = 0$ if n is odd. Starting from now in this section we assume that n is a positive even integer.

Note that in this case all three algebras A , C_+ and C_- have exponent 2. Therefore the indices of these algebras are powers of 2. We denote by i_A (respectively by i_+, i_-) the 2-adic valuation of the index of A (respectively of C_+, C_-).

We start the computation of j_1 by collecting upper bounds for j_1 . Clearly we have

$$(5.3) \quad j_1 \leq k_1.$$

By [QSZ12, Corollary 5.2] we have another upper bound for j_1

$$(5.4) \quad j_1 \leq i_A.$$

Finally in the lemma below we prove that

$$(5.5) \quad j_1 \leq \max\{i_+, i_-\}.$$

Lemma 5.6. *Inequality 5.5 holds.*

Proof. Let X be a variety of Borel subgroups in $\text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$. Let $i = \max\{i_+, i_-\}$. Since $[A] + [C_+] + [C_-] = 0 \in \text{Br}(F)$, the cycle $w_1 + w_+ + w_- \in \text{Ch}^1(\overline{X})$ is F -rational. We have

$$(w_1 + w_+ + w_-)^{p^i} = w_1^{p^i} + w_+^{p^i} + w_-^{p^i}.$$

By [PS10, Proposition 4.2] the cycles $w_+^{p^i}$ and $w_-^{p^i}$ are F -rational and, hence, $w_1^{p^i}$ is also F -rational. It follows from the very definition of j_1 that $j_1 \leq i$. \square

Combining upper bounds 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 we get

$$(5.7) \quad j_1 \leq \min\{k_1, i_A, \max\{i_+, i_-\}\}.$$

It appears that the above upper bound is the exact value of j_1 .

Theorem 5.8. *The following formula holds for the first component j_1 of $J(A, \sigma)$*

$$(5.9) \quad j_1 = \min\{k_1, i_A, \max\{i_+, i_-\}\}.$$

Proof. Since inequality 5.7 is already proven, it remains to show that

$$j_1 \geq \min\{k_1, i_A, \max\{i_+, i_-\}\}.$$

Let X be the variety of totally isotropic ideals in (A, σ) of reduced dimension n (the variety X is the twisted form of the variety of maximal totally isotropic subspaces of a quadratic form).

Since the discriminant of σ is trivial, the variety X has two connected components X_+ and X_- corresponding to the components C_+ and C_- of the Clifford algebra $C(A, \sigma)$. Note that X_+ and X_- are projective homogeneous under the action of the group $\text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$.

Note that the variety $X = X^+ \times \text{SB}(A)$ and the cycle $1 \times h \in \text{Ch}^1(\overline{X^+ \times \text{SB}(A)})$ satisfy the conditions in Definition 3.13 for the group $G = \text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$ and central simple algebra A .

Therefore, by Proposition 5.1, j_1 is equal to the smallest integer j , such that $1 \times h^{2^j} \in \text{Ch}(\overline{X^+ \times \text{SB}(A)})$ is F -rational. If j is such a smallest integer, then $h^{2^j} \in \text{Ch}(\overline{\text{SB}(A)})$ is $F(X^+)$ -rational. It follows that $2^j \geq \text{ind } A_{F(X^+)}$. On the other hand, by Index Reduction Formula [MPW96, page 594] we have $\text{ind } A_{F(X^+)} = \min\{2^{k_1}, 2^{i_A}, 2^{i_-}\}$. Hence, the following inequality holds

$$(5.10) \quad j_1 = j \geq \min\{k_1, i_A, i_-\}.$$

It follows that

Repeating the same arguments but now for the variety $X^- \times \text{SB}(A)$ we get another inequality

$$(5.11) \quad j_1 = j \geq \min\{k_1, i_A, i_+\}.$$

It follows from inequalities 5.10 and 5.11 that $j_1 \geq \min\{k_1, i_A, \max\{i_+, i_-\}\}$ and we conclude that formula 5.9 holds. \square

Corollary 5.12. *Assume that (A, σ) is half-spin, that is one of the components of the Clifford algebra $C(A, \sigma)$ is split. Then $j_1 = \min\{k_1, i_A\}$.*

The J -invariant of (A, σ) contains two components j_1 and j_2 of degree one. The value of j_1 was computed in Theorem 5.8. By [QSZ12, Corollary 5.2] we have $j_2 \leq \min\{i_+, i_-\}$. It appears that this upper bound is the exact value of j_2 in the following case.

Proposition 5.13. *If $\min\{i_+, i_-\} < \min\{k_1, i_A\}$, then $j_2 = \min\{i_+, i_-\}$.*

Proof. Denote by j_i^+ (resp. j_i^-) the i -th component of the J -invariant of (A, σ) over the function field of X^+ (resp. of X^-). Note that over such a function field the Clifford invariant of (A, σ) is trivial. By Corollary 5.12 and using Index Reduction Formula [MPW96, page 594] we have

$$j_1^+ = \min\{k_1, v_2(\text{ind } A_{F(X^+)})\} = \min\{k_1, i_A, i_-\}.$$

Similarly we get $j_1^- = \min\{k_1, i_A, i_+\}$.

Recall that at least one of the numbers i_+ or i_- is less than $\min\{k_1, i_A\}$. Hence, $j_1^+ = i_-$ or $j_1^- = i_+$. Let $*$ be a symbol $+$ or $-$, such that $j_1^* = \min\{i_+, i_-\}$. Observe that $j_2^* = 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.1 we have $j_1^* \in \{j_1, j_2\}$. If $i_+ \neq i_-$, then $j_1 > j_1^*$ and we have $j_2 = j_1^*$. Assume now $i_+ = i_-$, then $j_1 = j_1^*$. It follows from Theorem 4.1 that $j_2 = j_{G, C_*}$. Using Index Reduction formula one can check that $j_{G, C_*} \geq i_+$. Therefore, in this case we also have $j_2 = \min\{i_+, i_-\}$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I am grateful to Anne Quéguiner-Mathieu for introducing me Conjecture 1.1 and for usefull discussions. I would like to thank Nikita Semenov for numerous usefull discussions on the subject of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [Ca72] R. CARTER, Simple groups of Lie type, John Wiley & Sons, 1972.
- [ChM06] V. CHERNOUSOV, A. MERKURJEV, Motivic decomposition of projective homogeneous varieties and the Krull–Schmidt theorem, *Transform. Groups* **11** (2006), issue 3, 371–386.
- [CQZ22] C. DE CLERCQ, A. QUÉGUINER-MATHIEU AND M. ZHYKHOVICH Critical varieties and motivic equivalence for algebras with involution, to appear in *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*
- [GiZ12] S. GILLE, K. ZAINOULLINE, Equivariant pretheories and invariants of torsors, *Transf. Groups* **17** (2012), 471–498.
- [EKM] R. ELMAN, N. KARPENKO, A. MERKURJEV, The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms, *Colloquium Publications*, vol. 56. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [GS10] S. Garibaldi, N. Semenov, *Degree 5 invariant of E_8* , Int. Math. Res. Not. 2010, no. 19, 3746–3762.
- [Ka10] N. KARPENKO, Canonical dimension, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians* (2010), volume II (New Delhi), Hindustan Book Agency, 146–161.
- [Ka13] N. KARPENKO, Upper motives of algebraic groups and incompressibility of Severi–Brauer varieties, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **677** (2013), 179–198.
- [Kac85] V. KAC, Torsion in cohomology of compact Lie groups and Chow rings of reductive algebraic groups, *Invent. Math.* **80** (1985), 69–79.
- [KM06] N. KARPENKO, A. MERKURJEV, Canonical p -dimension of algebraic groups, *Adv. Math.* **205** (2006), 410–433.
- [LM] M. LEVINE, F. MOREL, Algebraic cobordism, *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2007).
- [MPW96] A. MERKURJEV, I. PANIN, A. WADSWORTH, Index reduction formulas for twisted flag varieties. I. *K-Theory* **6** (1996), issue 3, 517–596.
- [MT95] A. MERKURJEV, J-P. TIGNOL, The multipliers of similitudes and the Brauer group of homogeneous varieties, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **461**, (1995), 13–47.
- [PS10] V. Petrov, N. Semenov, *Generically split projective homogeneous varieties*, Duke Math. J. **152** (2010), 155–173.
- [PS12] V. Petrov, N. Semenov, *Generically split projective homogeneous varieties. II*, J. of K-theory **10** (2012), issue 1, 1–8.
- [PSZ08] V. Petrov, N. Semenov, K. Zainoulline, *J-invariant of linear algebraic groups*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. **41** (2008), 1023–1053.
- [PS21] V. PETROV, N. SEMENOV, Hopf-theoretic approach to motives of twisted flag varieties, *Compos. Math.* **157** (2021), no. 5, 963–996.
- [QSZ12] A. Quéguiner-Mathieu, N. Semenov, K. Zainoulline, *The J-invariant, Tits algebras and triality*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **216** (2012), issue 12, 2614–2628.
- [Se16] N. Semenov, *Motivic construction of cohomological invariants*, Comment. Math. Helv. **91** (2016), issue 1, 163–202.
- [Vi05] A. VISHIK, On the Chow groups of quadratic Grassmannians, *Doc. Math.* **10** (2005), 111–130.
- [Vi07] A. VISHIK, Fields of u -invariant $2^r + 1$, In: Algebra, Arithmetic and Geometry, Manin Festschrift, Birkhäuser (2007).

ZHYKHOVICH: MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, LUDWIG-MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, THERESIENSTR. 39, D-80333 MÜNCHEN, GERMANY

Email address: zhykhovich@math.lmu.de