

ENDOSCOPY ON SL_2 -EIGENVARIETIES

CHRISTIAN JOHANSSON AND JUDITH LUDWIG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study p -adic endoscopy on eigenvarieties for SL_2 over totally real fields, taking a geometric perspective. We show that non-automorphic members of endoscopic L -packets of regular weight contribute eigenvectors to overconvergent cohomology at critically refined endoscopic points on the eigenvariety, and we precisely quantify this contribution. This gives a new perspective on and generalizes previous work of the second author. Our methods are geometric, and are based on showing that the SL_2 -eigenvariety is locally a quotient of an eigenvariety for GL_2 , which allows us to explicitly describe the local geometry of the SL_2 -eigenvariety. In particular, we show that it often fails to be Gorenstein.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1. A working model for computing spaces of overconvergent automorphic forms | 2 |
| 1.2. Results and methods | 4 |
| 1.3. Previous results | 8 |
| 1.4. Outline of the paper | 8 |
| 1.5. Notation | 9 |
| Acknowledgments | 10 |
| 2. Automorphic and Galois representations | 10 |
| 2.1. Locally symmetric spaces and local systems | 10 |
| 2.2. Automorphic representations | 12 |
| 2.3. Pseudorepresentations | 15 |
| 2.4. Deformation Theory | 16 |
| 3. Eigenvarieties | 20 |
| 3.1. Overconvergent cohomology for GL_2 | 20 |
| 3.2. Galois representations and the geometry of eigenvarieties | 24 |
| 3.3. Overconvergent cohomology for SL_2 | 29 |
| 3.4. Comparison and p -adic functoriality | 32 |
| 4. The local geometry of eigenvarieties | 35 |
| 4.1. Setup and the non-CM case | 35 |
| 4.2. Tangent spaces in the CM case | 38 |
| 4.3. The local structure in the CM case | 40 |
| 5. p -adic endoscopic forms | 42 |
| 5.1. Computation of the sheaf in the formal neighbourhood | 42 |
| 5.2. Computation of the fibre | 44 |
| 6. Definite quaternion algebras | 48 |
| 6.1. Setup and assumptions | 48 |
| 6.2. Results | 50 |
| Appendix A. Some geometry | 51 |
| A.1. Some quotient singularities | 51 |
| A.2. p -adic geometry | 52 |
| References | 53 |

1. INTRODUCTION

A central question in the theory of p -adic automorphic forms since its infancy has been to determine when p -adic automorphic forms are classical. The answers one might expect will depend on the nature of theory of p -adic automorphic forms that one is using. In this paper, we will be using the overconvergent cohomology of Ash–Stevens, but to begin with we keep the discussion at the level of any ‘overconvergent’ theory. In the overconvergent world, the original result of this nature is Coleman’s result that any overconvergent modular U_p -eigenform of weight $k \geq 2$ and slope less than $k - 1$ is classical, which has since been generalized to near-complete generality. Going further, one might ask the following vague question:

Question 1. *Assume that f is an overconvergent eigenform for all unramified Hecke operators and the Iwahori–Hecke algebra at p , and assume that the system of Hecke eigenvalues of f is classical of classical algebraic weight. Is f itself then classical?*

In view of the Fontaine–Mazur conjecture and folklore conjectures on the association of Galois representations to overconvergent eigenforms, the condition that the system of eigenvalues of f is classical is conjecturally a slight refinement of asserting that its Galois representation is geometric. Question 1 is naturally thought about in terms of eigenvarieties. By its very construction, an eigenvariety \mathcal{E} parametrizes the systems of Hecke eigenvalues of overconvergent automorphic forms, and it carries a coherent sheaf \mathcal{M} on it whose fibres are the corresponding eigenspaces¹. Let us assume for simplicity that we are in a ‘defect zero’-situation, so that classical points are Zariski dense in the eigenvariety. By Coleman’s theorem and its generalizations, all eigenforms are classical for classical eigensystems of regular weight and small slope, and these conditions are generic among all eigensystems of classical weight. To study Question 1 beyond the case of small slopes, one is led to studying the variation of the classical subspace and the local geometry of eigenvarieties near classical points. For GL_2/\mathbb{Q} for example, one can show that the dimension of the classical eigenspace is locally constant (cf. Proposition 3.2.12 of this paper), and thus the answer to Question 1 is affirmative if and only if \mathcal{M} is locally free at the point. This local freeness is in turn implied by smoothness of the eigenvariety, which was proved in essentially all cuspidal cases of weight ≥ 2 by Bellaïche [Bel12]. Thus the answer to Question 1 is essentially affirmative for GL_2/\mathbb{Q} . In the context of higher rank unitary groups, Question 1 has also been answered affirmatively in many (non-endoscopic) cases by Breuil–Hellmann–Schraen [BHS17, BHS19].

The goal of this paper is to investigate Question 1 for SL_2/F , where F is a totally real number field. For SL_2/\mathbb{Q} and its inner forms, one of us (J.L.) has shown that the answer to Question 1 is negative for critically refined endoscopic points, under certain technical assumptions [Lud18a, Lud18b]. This was achieved by showing, using the theory of automorphic representations for these groups [LL79, LR07], that the dimension of the classical eigenspace drops at such points. On the other hand, the dimension of the fibre of \mathcal{M} cannot drop by upper semicontinuity. It was speculated in [Lud18b] that the extra non-classical eigenforms should be related to non-automorphic members of the (classical) L -packet of the endoscopic point. In this paper, we make this idea precise by computing the whole eigenspace explicitly, including its decomposition into a classical and a non-classical part. At the same time, we substantially generalize the results of [Lud18a, Lud18b], removing the technical hypotheses of those works and allowing an arbitrary totally real field F . This requires a very different approach to understanding spaces of overconvergent automorphic forms and eigenvarieties for SL_2 , motivated by ideas from the geometrization of Langlands correspondences.

1.1. A working model for computing spaces of overconvergent automorphic forms. Before detailing the results and methods of this paper, we wish to give an impressionistic sketch of how we believe that the structure of spaces of overconvergent automorphic forms can be understood. We stay vague, having an eigenvariety \mathcal{E} with its coherent sheaf \mathcal{M} , for some split (for simplicity) reductive group G/F , with F a number field, which we assume has defect zero (i.e. $G(F_v)$ has essentially discrete series for all $v \mid \infty$). To obtain an object with better structural properties, one should take a suitable (direct) limit over all tame levels; this will play an important technical role in the paper but we will not elaborate on it here. As is now well established in Langlands philosophy, spectra of Hecke algebras should be close to coarse moduli spaces of Galois representations. In our overconvergent situation, there should be (very roughly speaking)

¹Or typically their duals, suitably interpreted, but we will not worry about this distinction in the introduction.

a moduli stack \mathfrak{X} of totally odd, trianguline Galois representations of $G_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ valued in the dual group² \widehat{G} , with coarse moduli space X . There should be maps

$$\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \mathcal{E},$$

and the map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ should (roughly speaking) be an isomorphism when \widehat{G} is acceptable, in the sense that its invariant theory is generated by unary invariants, cf. [Wei20]. The stack \mathfrak{X} maps to the corresponding stacks \mathfrak{X}_v of \widehat{G} -valued representations of $G_{F_v} := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)$ (taking a suitable stack of trianguline representations when $v \mid p$), giving us

$$g = (g_v)_v : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \prod_v \mathfrak{X}_v.$$

The sheaf \mathcal{M} on \mathcal{E} should arise as follows: For $v \nmid p$, there should be a universal family of smooth $G(F_v)$ -representations \mathcal{F}_v on \mathfrak{X}_v interpolating the local Langlands correspondence, cf. [EH14, Hei21, FS21]. For $v \mid p$, we expect there to be universal $T(F_v)$ -representation \mathcal{F}_v on \mathfrak{X}_v , where $T \subseteq G$ is a maximal torus. This gives us a sheaf

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigotimes_v^l g_v^* \mathcal{F}_v$$

on \mathfrak{X} . Writing π for the map $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, we then expect that

$$\mathcal{M} = \pi_* \mathcal{F}.$$

To simplify the discussion, assume in addition that G is semisimple and that \widehat{G} is acceptable, so that $X = \mathcal{E}$ (this is true for $G = SL_2$). Looking locally around an irreducible point $\rho \in X$, the map $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ is often an isomorphism. Hypothetically, this can fail because ρ has a non-trivial centralizer group S_ρ , or for reasons to do with triangulations. In this paper, we are mostly interested in the former situation. Locally around ρ in X , the geometry would look like

$$[Y/S'_\rho] \rightarrow Y // S'_\rho,$$

where Y is an affinoid variety with an action of the subgroup $S'_\rho \subseteq S_\rho$ which fixes the refinement, $[Y/S'_\rho]$ is the stacky quotient and $Y // S'_\rho$ is the GIT quotient. The pushforward map then takes a sheaf on $[Y/S'_\rho]$, viewed as S'_ρ -equivariant sheaf on Y , to its S'_ρ -invariants (as S'_ρ is finite and we are in characteristic 0, there is no higher cohomology).

Before saying more, let us contrast this to what should be happening for classical spaces of (algebraic) automorphic forms. In that case the same type of picture should apply, but one would now look at the moduli of representations $G_F \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ which are geometric with fixed Hodge–Tate weights (still assuming G semisimple, for simplicity). The corresponding moduli stack should be zero-dimensional, and irreducible points are isolated, looking like $[*/S_\rho]$. The same type of picture should apply — the sheaves $g_v^* \mathcal{F}_v$ restricted to $[*/S_\rho]$ are the local L -packets, the sheaf \mathcal{F} is the global L -packet, and pushing forward along

$$[*/S_\rho] \rightarrow * // S_\rho = *$$

singles out the automorphic part of the L -packet — computing the action of S_ρ on \mathcal{F} and taking invariants should amount to Arthur’s multiplicity formula. In the function field case, much of this picture has been realized in [LZ19], which was a motivation for our work.

The difference between the two settings is that the moduli stack \mathfrak{X} in the overconvergent setting is not 0-dimensional at ρ , and the map $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ may therefore be ramified at ρ . This turns out to induce a filtration on the fibre of \mathcal{M} at ρ , whose graded pieces are parts of the ‘overconvergent p -adic L -packet’ (which should be taken to be the fibre of \mathcal{F} at ρ , viewed as point of \mathfrak{X}). The top quotient in this filtration is the (dual of the) classical eigenspace, but as soon as there are more steps in the filtration, we will see non-classical eigenforms. In particular, the notion of local-global compatibility in the p -adic setting is more subtle, with the link between p -adic L -packets and what is visible in spaces of p -adic automorphic forms

²One should really consider the C -group here, but we ignore this point as it does not play a role in the situations we consider in the paper.

being more complicated. We expect that this picture, with straightforward modifications, applies to other theories of p -adic automorphic forms as well, such as completed cohomology³.

1.2. Results and methods. The picture that we have given above is of course highly conjectural and rather imprecise at this point. The goal of this paper is, nevertheless, to implement it in spirit for $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2/F}$ with F totally real, in order to compute eigenspaces. In our discussion, moduli spaces or stacks of $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{PGL}_2$ -representations will not feature⁴. Instead, we will use that the GL_2 -setting can be used as a working model for the stack \mathfrak{X} and its sheaf \mathcal{F} . Morally, this is a p -adic (or geometric) version of the observation of Labesse–Langlands [LL79] that local and global L -packets for SL_2 can be described in terms of representations of GL_2 . We will work with the overconvergent cohomology of Ash–Stevens [AS07], where the corresponding eigenvarieties for $\mathrm{GL}_{2/F}$ have been studied in great detail recently by Bergdall–Hansen [BH17].

Let us explain our arguments and results when $F = \mathbb{Q}$, which greatly simplifies many technical aspects. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the Coleman–Mazur eigencurve, constructed using overconvergent cohomology (cf. e.g. [JN19a, §6]), with tame level being full level N for some N coprime to p . We recall that $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ lives over a weight space \mathcal{W} , which is the moduli space of continuous characters of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . Given an affinoid family U of characters, we (roughly speaking) have a corresponding module \mathcal{D}_U of locally analytic distributions, dual to the module of locally analytic $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -valued functions on \mathbb{Z}_p . Overconvergent cohomology is then defined as

$$H^1(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U),$$

where Y_N is the disconnected modular curve with Iwahori level at p and full tame level N (it turns out that $H^i(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U) = 0$ for $i \neq 1$). There is an action of Hecke operators on $H^1(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U)$. At p , we have the usual U_p - and S_p -operators, and also $u_p = U_p^2 S_p^{-1}$, and U_p and u_p are induced from compact operators at the level of complexes. To simplify the comparison with the SL_2 -theory, we will use u_p instead of the more commonly used U_p when talking about slopes. When $H^1(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U)$ carries a slope decomposition

$$H^1(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U) = H^1(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \oplus H^1(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U)_{> h}$$

for some $h \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, we say that (U, h) is a slope datum. The eigencurve $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is glued together from the local pieces $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{U,h} = \mathrm{Spa} \mathbf{T}_{U,h}$, where $\mathbf{T}_{U,h} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}(U)}(H^1(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h})$ is the sub- $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -algebra generated by the Hecke operators T_ℓ for $\ell \nmid Np$ and U_p . The coherent sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{U,h}) = H^1(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}.$$

The disconnected modular curve has a surjective map $Y_N \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N)^\times$ with connected fibres, and the component Y_N^1 lying over $1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/N)^\times$ is the SL_2 -modular curve of full tame level N and Iwahori level at p . The weight space and the locally analytic distribution modules used to construct the SL_2 -eigencurve \mathcal{E} are exactly the same as those used for GL_2 . In particular, we have the same slope data (U, h) and the SL_2 -slope $\leq h$ -overconvergent cohomology modules $H^1(Y_N^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}$ are direct summands of the corresponding GL_2 -modules $H^1(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}$. Indeed, the map $Y_N \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N)^\times$ induces an action of the dual group H of $(\mathbb{Z}/N)^\times$ on $H^1(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}$, and we have

$$H^1(Y_N^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} = (H^1(Y_N, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h})_H.$$

The SL_2 -eigencurve \mathcal{E} is then glued together from the local pieces $\mathcal{E}_{U,h} = \mathrm{Spa} \mathbf{S}_{U,h}$, where $\mathbf{S}_{U,h} \subseteq \mathrm{End}(H^1(Y_N^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h})$ is the $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -subalgebra generated by the SL_2 -Hecke operators t_ℓ for $\ell \nmid Np$ and u_p , and the coherent sheaf \mathcal{M} is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_{U,h}) = H^1(Y_N^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}.$$

With a little bit of work (for example, using an interpolation theorem à la Chenevier), one may construct a surjective and finite morphism $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. The H -action on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ induces an H -action on $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ (by twisting), and one might reasonably think that the coarse quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} // H$ is equal to \mathcal{E} . This, together with the fact

³For $\mathrm{SL}_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$, one of us (C.J.) and James Newton have obtained results on local-global compatibility in completed cohomology which confirms this picture.

⁴However, deformation spaces of PGL_2 -representations will feature at an important point in our argument.

that $\mathcal{M} = (\pi_* \widetilde{\mathcal{M}})_H$, would enable us to compute the fibres of \mathcal{M} at classical points in terms of the fibres of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, and we know that the fibres of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ consist of classical eigenforms.

We are therefore left with studying the induced map $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} // H \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. Since we are only interested in the local geometry, we do this locally around the points we are interested in⁵. The classical points on $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ that we are interested in correspond to pairs $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ where π is a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ with $\pi^{K^p(N)I} \neq 0$, and α is a refinement (a choice U_p -eigenvalue on π_p^I), where $I \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the usual upper triangular Iwahori subgroup. Similarly, we will be interested in classical points on \mathcal{E} corresponding to pairs $y = (\Pi, \gamma)$, where Π is a global L -packet for $SL_2(\mathbb{A})$ which is cuspidal and cohomological, with $SL_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \cap K^p(N)I$ -fixed vectors, and γ is a refinement (we refer to the main text for precise definitions). Assume that $x = (\pi, \alpha) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ maps to $y = (\Pi, \alpha) \in \mathcal{E}$. The L -packet Π is endoscopic if and only if π has CM by an imaginary quadratic field \widetilde{F} . If $\rho = \rho_\pi : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is the Galois representation attached to π , we let S_ρ be the centralizer group of $\text{ad}^0 \rho$, viewed as a representation into $PGL_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. S_ρ is non-trivial if and only if π has CM, in which case it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. It acts on $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ by letting the non-trivial element act by the quadratic character corresponding to \widetilde{F} , which we may view as an element of H . We let $S'_\rho \subseteq S_\rho$ denote the subgroup that fixes x ; we have $S'_\rho \neq S_\rho$ if and only if \widetilde{F} is inert at p . We make one further technical assumption on π

- We assume that all U_p -eigenvalues on π_p^I have multiplicity one.

This is often referred to as ‘‘regularity’’ in the literature, and it is true for all π conditional on the Tate conjecture and true for all π corresponding to modular forms of weight 2 unconditionally, by [CE98]. It is also worth mentioning that this regularity condition holds in the CM case. We may now formulate our first main theorem, in the case $F = \mathbb{Q}$:

Theorem A. *If $-\alpha$ is also a refinement of π , assume that π has CM. Then the natural map $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}},x}$ of completed local rings induces an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}},x}^{S'_\rho}$. In particular, \mathcal{E} is smooth at y . If $-\alpha$ is a refinement of π and π does not have CM, then there are precisely 2 components (locally) going through y , and both are smooth.*

When S'_ρ is non-trivial, i.e. when π has CM by \widetilde{F} with p split in \widetilde{F} , then the action of S'_ρ on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}},x}$ is non-trivial exactly when the refinement α is critical. In particular, the map $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a local isomorphism at x unless we are in the critically refined CM case, in which case it has ramification degree 2. From this, we deduce our second main theorem, concerning classality. Let us write \mathcal{M}_y for the fibre of \mathcal{M} at y ; in the overconvergent setting it has a quotient $\mathcal{M}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_y^{cl}$ of classical forms.

Theorem B. *If π does not have CM or the refinement α is non-critical, then $\mathcal{M}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_y^{cl}$ is an isomorphism. When π has CM and α is critical, the kernel of $\mathcal{M}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_y^{cl}$ is non-trivial, and the kernel may be identified with the direct sum of the γ -eigenspaces of u_p in the $SL_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \cap K^p(N)I$ -fixed vectors of the non-automorphic members of Π .*

The interpretation of the kernel in the critically refined CM case is not without subtleties (see Remarks 5.2.6 and 6.2.8) but it is in accordance with the geometric perspective.

Let us now discuss the proofs of Theorems A and B. The key part is to prove Theorem A and the remarks after it concerning the action of S'_ρ ; Theorem B then follows from the identification $\mathcal{M} = (\pi_* \widetilde{\mathcal{M}})_H$ and the fact that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is locally free at x . Our proof of Theorem A relies heavily on Galois-theoretic techniques. Looking at the map $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, one may roughly divide the argument into two parts:

- (1) Show that the set-theoretic fibre $\pi^{-1}(y)$ consists of one or two H -orbits. The case of two orbits happens precisely when $-\alpha$ is a refinement of π and π does not have CM.
- (2) Show that the number of irreducible components of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ is equal to the number of orbits as in (1), and that if $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y} \twoheadrightarrow R$ is the irreducible component corresponding to an orbit with representative z , then $R \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}},z}^{S'_\rho}$ is an isomorphism.

⁵There are also technical complications that would make this tricky to do in general. In particular, we do not know if $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} // H \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is actually an isomorphism.

Galois-theoretic methods are essential for both parts. The proof of part (1) uses the family of Galois representations over $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and the fact that it is a refined, or trianguline, family to show that all elements in $\pi^{-1}(y)$ are twists by characters in H of the points mentioned (here and elsewhere we view $(\mathbb{Z}/N)^\times$ as $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$).

The proof of (2) is the most novel technical part of our argument. To simplify the exposition, let us restrict to the critically refined CM case, which is in some sense the most interesting (at least a posteriori, in light of Theorem B). Part (1) then establishes that $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ is a domain. Bellaïche [Bel12] established a local $R = T$ theorem

$$R_\rho^\alpha \cong \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}},x}$$

between $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}},x}$ and a deformation ring R_ρ^α parametrizing deformations of ρ that are weakly refined with respect to α , and showed that both rings are formally smooth. From Bellaïche's description of the tangent space of R_ρ^α , one may compute the action of S_ρ on $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}},x}$ and show that it is non-trivial (this relies on α being critical). We now consider the universal unrestricted deformation ring R_ρ of ρ , which surjects onto R_ρ^α , and look at the maps

$$R_\rho \twoheadrightarrow R_\rho^\alpha \cong \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}},x}.$$

Taking invariants for the action of S_ρ , we get a surjection

$$R_\rho^{S_\rho} \twoheadrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}},x}^{S_\rho}.$$

Let ρ^{univ} be the universal deformation of ρ . For $\ell \nmid Np$, $R_\rho^{S_\rho}$ contains the element $\text{tr}(\text{Frob}_\ell, \text{ad}^0 \rho^{univ})$, and this maps to the SL_2 -Hecke operator $t_\ell \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}},x}^{S_\rho}$. To complete the proof of part (2), it suffices to show that the functions $\text{tr}(\text{Frob}_\ell, \text{ad}^0 \rho^{univ})$ generate $R_\rho^{S_\rho}$ topologically. To prove this, we use the theory of PGL_2 -valued pseudorepresentations due to Lafforgue [Laf18], and the result of Emerson [Eme18] that the moduli space of pseudorepresentations is equal to the character variety in characteristic 0, together with results of Procesi on the invariant theory of PGL_2 (or rather, the invariant theory of SO_3 , using the exceptional isomorphism $\text{PGL}_2 \cong \text{SO}_3$). Since Emerson's results are for discrete groups, we have to use some extra tricks to be able to apply to them to the profinite group $G_\mathbb{Q}$.

This concludes our sketch of the case $F = \mathbb{Q}$. We will use notation that is similar to above also in the general case; we hope that our notation below is self-explanatory. The case of general totally real F follows the same rough ideas as for \mathbb{Q} , but is considerably more technical, and the results are in many ways more interesting and illuminating. One problem is that overconvergent cohomology can now appear in multiple degrees, and as a result the eigenvarieties will not be equidimensional. While it is known [JN19a] that there is a universal family of Galois representations⁶ over (the nilreduction of) $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, this family is not known to be trianguline away from the irreducible components of maximal dimension. For this reason, we make the following assumptions on π :

- (1) If $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$, then the reduction $\bar{\rho}_\pi$ is irreducible and generic;
- (2) For every $v \mid p$, the U_v -eigenvalues on the Iwahori-fixed vectors $\pi_v^{I_v}$ have multiplicity 1;
- (3) If $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and π has complex multiplication by a quadratic CM extension \tilde{F}/F , then $\tilde{F} \not\subseteq F(\zeta_{p^\infty})$.

The first assumption guarantees that the relevant overconvergent cohomology groups vanish outside the middle degree, using recent work of Caraiani–Tamiozzo [CT21], meaning that the corresponding point lands on the middle degree eigenvariety studied in [BH17], which has better geometric properties. The second assumption is the same regular assumption that we had when $F = \mathbb{Q}$ (but, for general F , it does not always hold). The third assumption ensures the vanishing of the Bloch–Kato Selmer group $H_f^1(G_F, \text{ad } \rho)$ by recent work of Newton–Thorne [NT20]. This vanishing is known in general when $F = \mathbb{Q}$, and is key to Bellaïche's $R = T$ -theorem and its recent generalization to general totally real F by Bergdall–Hansen [BH17]. All three assumptions are rather mild; for a discussion of the closely related condition of decency see [BH17, §1.6].

Let us now state our generalizations of Theorems A and B. We let π be a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ satisfying the above conditions, we let $\alpha = (\alpha_v)_{v \mid p}$ be a refinement,

⁶Or, more accurately, pseudorepresentations.

and we write $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ for the corresponding point on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. It induces a classical point $y = (\Pi, \gamma)$ on the SL_2 -eigenvariety. We let r denote the number of places $v \mid p$ for which $-\alpha_v$ is also a refinement of π_v . If π has CM by a quadratic extension \tilde{F}/F , then we let S_{in} denote the set of places $v \mid p$ which are inert in \tilde{F} . In the CM case, one has $r = \#S_{in}$.

Theorem C. *The following holds:*

- (1) Assume that π does not have CM. Then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ has 2^r irreducible components, all of which are smooth.
- (2) Assume that π has CM. If $r = 0$, then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ is irreducible. If $r \geq 1$, then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ has 2^{r-1} irreducible components.
- (3) Assume that π has CM and α is non-critical at all embeddings $\sigma : F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Then all irreducible components of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ are smooth.
- (4) Assume that π has CM and that $S_{in} = \emptyset$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}},x}^{S_p}$.

In the case when π has CM, $S_{in} \neq \emptyset$ and there are $\sigma \in S_p$ for which α is critical, our methods cannot at present say if the components are smooth or not, though we believe them to be singular. In the setting when π has CM and $S_{in} = \emptyset$, we can explicitly compute $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ and its geometric properties. Since $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}},x}$ is smooth [BH17], $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ is a particular type of quotient singularity. Its geometric properties can be summarized as follows:

Theorem D. *Assume that π has CM and $S_{in} = \emptyset$. Let n be the number of embeddings $\sigma : F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that α is critical at σ . Then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ is normal and Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover:*

- (1) If $n = 0$ or $n = 1$, then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ is regular.
- (2) If $n = 2$, then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ is a complete intersection but not regular.
- (3) If n is even and ≥ 4 , then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ is Gorenstein but not a complete intersection.
- (4) If n is odd and ≥ 3 , then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ is 2-Gorenstein but not Gorenstein.

To the best of our knowledge, these are the first higher dimensional examples of points on eigenvarieties which are singular and the structure of the singularity has been worked out explicitly; we comment more on this in §1.3. Finally, Theorem C leads to the following generalization of Theorem B:

Theorem E. *Consider the surjection $\mathcal{M}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_y^{cl}$ from the fibre of \mathcal{M} at y to its quotient of classical forms. Then the following holds:*

- (1) Assume either that π does not have CM, or that π has CM and α is non-critical at all embeddings $\sigma : F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Then $\mathcal{M}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_y^{cl}$ is an isomorphism.
- (2) Assume that π has CM and $S_{in} = \emptyset$. Let n be as in Theorem D. Then the kernel may be identified with the direct sum of n copies of the direct sum of the $\gamma = (\gamma_v)_{v \mid p}$ -eigenspaces of $(u_v)_{v \mid p}$ in the $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\tilde{F}}^\infty) \cap K^p(N)I$ -fixed vectors of the non-automorphic members of Π .

In other words, in case (2) the non-automorphic part of Π contributes with p -adic, non-classical, forms to the fibre $\mathcal{M}_{SL_2,y}^*$, with multiplicity n , showing that the seeming symmetry between the automorphic and non-automorphic members in Theorem B is rather particular to that situation.

Our arguments can also be applied in the setting of inner forms of GL_2/F and SL_2/F , coming from quaternion algebras B/F . The case when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even and B is ramified exactly at the infinite places is particularly interesting, as all cuspidal cohomological automorphic representations π of GL_2/F can be transferred to B^\times via the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence. The resulting eigenvarieties are equidimensional and reduced, so one may prove Theorems C, D and E in this context without the assumption that $\bar{\rho}$ is generic and irreducible. Moreover, the interpretation of the non-classical forms as coming from the non-automorphic members of the L -packet is more transparent in this case; see Remark 6.2.8.

Remark 1.2.1. We end this discussion of the paper with two remarks.

- (1) It is well known that the p -adic generalized eigenspace at critically refined CM points contains non-classical forms, cf. [Bel12, BH17]. Through the method of relating GL_2 and SL_2 , these generalized eigenforms are related to our non-classical eigenforms. Indeed, one might describe

our result as saying that certain of these generalized eigenforms are eigenforms for the SL_2 -Hecke algebra.

- (2) We expect that the method can be generalized to other situations where a larger, isogenous group can be used to understand endoscopy (depending on the availability of the various technical results that underpin it). We also expect (as indicated above), that the components of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E},y}$ are singular and that there are non-classical eigenforms when $S_{in} \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{cr} \neq \emptyset$ based on local-global compatibility heuristics; we hope to return to this in future work.

1.3. Previous results. Let us briefly compare our results to previous work on singularities and non-classical p -adic eigenforms, focusing on higher-dimensional situations and cohomological weights.

1.3.1. Failure of classicality and p -adic endoscopy. Beyond cases of small slope, works such as [Bel12], [BH17] and importantly [BHS17, BHS19] have established the link between classicality and the local geometry of the eigenvariety. Notably, [BHS17, BHS19] give an affirmative answer to Question 1 for certain higher rank unitary groups under technical conditions (which, in particular, force the points to be non-endoscopic), the most important being that the Weyl group element attached to the triangulation is a product of distinct simple reflections. When this latter condition fails, Hellmann–Hernandez–Schraen have recently announced that the eigenspace contains non-classical forms. In terms of our picture in §1.1, the non-classical forms constructed by Hellmann–Hernandez–Schraen should arise from the local sheaves \mathcal{F}_v for $v \mid p$. In particular, whereas the “obstruction to classicality” in our paper is global, coming from endoscopy, the obstruction of Hellmann–Hernandez–Schraen is local at p .

The phenomenon of endoscopy in the global p -adic Langlands program has, to the best of our knowledge, hardly been studied before beyond the works [Lud18b, Lud18a] of the second author. We hope that our work will provide a good starting point for further study.

1.3.2. Eigenvarieties at endoscopic points, and singularities. For some unitary and symplectic groups the local geometry of eigenvarieties at endoscopic (or more general unstable) points has been studied before. By unstable point we mean a point arising from an automorphic representation in an unstable L -packet.

We highlight a few results here. Bellaïche has studied the local geometry of eigenvarieties for a unitary group $U(3)$ at endoscopic points. In [Bel08] he showed that the eigenvariety is *non-smooth but irreducible* at certain classical points that arise from endoscopic automorphic representations; this was later generalized to $U(n)$ in [Bel15]. Bellaïche proves this by studying the family of pseudocharacters T on the eigenvariety and showing that its schematic reducibility locus at his endoscopic point is exactly the point itself. From there, he proves an abstract result on such families which allows him to conclude non-smoothness, but does not allow him to say much more about the geometry. In particular, his method differs from the one used in this paper, even though the endoscopic points he considers have some similarities with the ones used here.

The perhaps most notable use of endoscopic points on eigenvarieties is the seminal work [BC09] of Bellaïche and Chenevier on the Bloch–Kato conjectures, which has directly inspired many subsequent works. Another work on endoscopic points is that of Berger–Betina [BB19] studying the geometry of the Siegel eigenvariety for GSp_4 at points of critical slope arising from automorphic representations of Saito–Kurokawa type. Assuming smallness of a certain Selmer group, they show that the Siegel eigenvariety of paramodular level is smooth at such a point and that the irreducible component specializing to it is not globally endoscopic.

Finally, let us again mention [BHS19], which proves (under technical assumptions) that eigenvarieties for higher rank unitary groups fail to be smooth at classical points if the Weyl group element attached to the refinement is not a product of distinct simple reflections. They achieve very precise results on the local geometry of the trianguline variety at crystalline points. From this one may deduce much about the local geometry of the eigenvariety (using their patching method), though not precise equations.

1.4. Outline of the paper. Let us briefly outline the contents of this paper. Section 2 starts by briefly recalling material on locally symmetric spaces and automorphic representations for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ that we will need. The rest of the section is devoted to the theory of pseudorepresentations of Lafforgue and Galois deformation, primarily for PGL_2 -valued representations (or, equivalently, SO_3 -valued representations). Here we prove the key result, in the notation above, that $R_\rho^{S_\rho}$ is topologically generated by

the elements $\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \rho)$ (despite the formulation here, this is naturally a result about PGL_2 -valued representations).

Section 3 recalls the theory of overconvergent cohomology for $\mathrm{GL}_{2/F}$ and $\mathrm{SL}_{2/F}$. We use the conventions of [BH17], which we reference heavily. The key results proven are the existence and finer study of the p -adic functoriality map $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ (which we only need, and therefore only prove, locally on the weight space) and the study of the fibre $\pi^{-1}(y)$. The proof of the former uses the general Chenevier-style interpolation theorems proved in [JN19b] together with some techniques that are special to our situation, and the proof of the latter uses the family of Galois representations on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and the global triangulation theory of [KPX14].

Section 4 then proves Theorems C and D. The key here, besides the results of the previous sections, is the local $R = T$ -theorem of Bergdall–Hansen [BH17] and a detailed calculation of the action of S_ρ on the tangent spaces of the relevant deformation functors. Section 5 then proves Theorem E, using the results on the local geometry and the formula for the coherent sheaf on \mathcal{E} in terms of the coherent sheaf on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$.

Section 6 then briefly discusses the analogues of Theorems C, D and E for the inner forms of $\mathrm{SL}_{2/F}$ coming from quaternion algebras ramified exactly at the infinite places, and the paper concludes with an appendix discussing the quotient singularities appearing in Theorem D, and some local p -adic geometry.

1.5. Notation. Throughout this paper, p will denote a fixed prime number and F will be a totally real number field of degree $d := [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. For any place v of F , we write F_v for the completion of F at v . If w is a place of \mathbb{Q} , we write Σ_w for the set of embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_w}$. If v is a place of F , lying over a place w of \mathbb{Q} , we write Σ_v for the set of embeddings of $F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_w}$. Moreover, we set

$$F_w := \prod_{v|w} F_v, \quad \mathbb{A}_F^w := \prod_{v \nmid w} F_v.$$

Various common versions of this notation, such as $\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty} := \bigotimes'_{v|p\infty} F_v$, will also occur. Similarly, we let \mathcal{O}_v denote the ring of integers of F_v , and write

$$\mathcal{O}_w := \prod_{v|w} \mathcal{O}_v, \quad \mathcal{O}_F^w := \prod_{v \nmid w} \mathcal{O}_v,$$

etc. The residue field of F_v will be denoted by \mathbb{F}_v , and the cardinality of \mathbb{F}_v will be denoted by q_v . If v lies over a place w of \mathbb{Q} , we let $d_v := [F_v : \mathbb{Q}_w]$ denote the local degree. For simplicity, we fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ throughout the paper, and use the induced identification $\Sigma_\infty \cong \Sigma_p$.

To simplify notation, we will fix uniformizers ϖ_v of F_v for all finite places v of F . Nothing that we do will depend on these choices in a crucial way. We also set $\varpi_p = (\varpi_v)_{v|p}$, which is an element of $\mathcal{O}_p \cong \prod_{v|p} \mathcal{O}_v$.

If K is any field, we let G_K denote the absolute Galois group of K (with respect to some choice of separable closure of K). If K is a number field and S is a finite set of places containing the infinite places, we let $G_{K,S}$ denote the Galois group of the maximal extension of K that is unramified outside S .

If $H(-, -)$ denotes a cohomology theory (typically singular cohomology in this paper), we let

$$H^*(-, -) = \bigoplus_i H^i(-, -)$$

denote the total cohomology, viewed as a *graded* module. Any map, isomorphism, etc. of total cohomology groups is assumed to respect the grading.

In this paper, we will do p -adic analytic geometry in terms of adic spaces, and we will use standard notation and terminology from the theory of adic spaces without further mention. We refer to [Hub96, SW18] for a thorough discussion. In particular, a rigid analytic variety (or rigid space) over a non-archimedean field K will refer to an adic space over $\mathrm{Spa}(K, K^\circ)$ which is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^\circ)$ for some topologically finitely generated K -algebra A (i.e. an affinoid K -algebra in the sense of Tate's rigid analytic geometry). For simplicity, we will write $\mathrm{Spa}(A)$ for $\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^\circ)$ (for any Huber ring), as we did in the introduction.

Acknowledgments. This project has benefited greatly from ideas developed in a collaboration between C.J. and James Newton on p -adic local Langlands for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and local-global compatibility for completed cohomology of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, and we wish to thank him for this and for other useful conversations. We wish to thank John Bergdall for his comments on an earlier draft of this paper, in particular for spotting some mistakes and generously sharing his expertise on (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring. We also wish to thank Bergdall and David Hansen for answering our questions on [BH17], and more generally for stimulating conversations on the topic of this paper.

During part of this project, C.J. has been supported by Vetenskapsrådet Grant 2020-05016, *Geometric structures in the p -adic Langlands program*. J.L. acknowledges support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through TRR 326 *Geometry and Arithmetic of Uniformized Structures*, project number 444845124.

2. AUTOMORPHIC AND GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we give some background on the locally symmetric spaces and automorphic representations. We then discuss Lafforgue's pseudorepresentations and Galois deformation theory, proving results that later will be used in a crucial way to identify SL_2 -Hecke algebras inside GL_2 -Hecke algebras with certain rings of invariants.

2.1. Locally symmetric spaces and local systems. We recall some locally symmetric spaces for GL_2 and SL_2 over F . Define

$$D_\infty = \mathfrak{h}^{\Sigma_\infty},$$

where $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is the usual upper halfplane. It is acted on by $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_\infty)^\circ$ by Möbius maps (where, if G is a real Lie group, G° denotes the identity component), and hence by $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)^\circ := \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(F_\infty)^\circ$, the matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ with totally positive determinant. For any compact open subgroup $K \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\times)$, we define

$$Y_K := \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) / K K_\infty \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(F)^\circ \backslash D_\infty \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\times) / K,$$

where $K_\infty = (Z(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}))^{\Sigma_\infty} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^{\Sigma_\infty} = \mathrm{GL}_2(F_\infty)$ is a maximal compact connected subgroup modulo centre. The determinant map defines a continuous surjection

$$Y_K \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{\det(K)}^+$$

with connected fibres. Here, if $U \subseteq (\mathbb{A}_F^\times)^\times$ is a compact open subgroup

$$\mathrm{Cl}_U^+ := F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_F^\times / U (F_\infty^\times)^\circ = (F^\times)^\circ \backslash (\mathbb{A}_F^\times)^\times / U$$

denotes the ray class group of modulus $U (F_\infty^\times)^\circ$, which is a finite abelian group. In particular, we may identify $\mathrm{Cl}_{\det(K)}^+$ with the set of connected components in Y_K . For SL_2 , we set

$$Y_K^1 := \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \backslash D_\infty \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\times) / K$$

for any compact open subgroup $K \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\times)$. When $K \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\times)$ is a compact open subgroup, the natural inclusion $\mathrm{SL}_2 \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2$ gives a map $Y_{K \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\times)}^1 \rightarrow Y_K$, which is a finite covering map of the component of Y_K mapping to $1 \in \mathrm{Cl}_{\det(K)}^+$. This covering is explicitly given as

$$(\mathrm{SL}_2(F) \cap K) \backslash D_\infty \rightarrow (\mathrm{GL}_2(F)^\circ \cap K) \backslash D_\infty.$$

Let $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ be a non-zero ideal coprime to p . In this paper, we will need the level subgroup $K^p(\mathfrak{n}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$, defined as those matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^p)$ which are congruent to the identity modulo \mathfrak{n} . We let $I \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,p})$ be the Iwahori subgroup of matrices which are upper triangular modulo ϖ_p . We will need the following observation.

Lemma 2.1.1. *Let $K^p \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$ be a compact open subgroup. Then there exists a compact open subgroup $K_0^p \subseteq K^p$ such that the dashed arrow in the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathrm{SL}_2(F) \cap K_0^p I) \backslash D_\infty & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{GL}_2(F)^\circ \cap K_0^p I) \backslash D_\infty \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \text{---} & \downarrow \\ (\mathrm{SL}_2(F) \cap K^p I) \backslash D_\infty & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{GL}_2(F)^\circ \cap K^p I) \backslash D_\infty \end{array}$$

exists.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $K^p = K^p(\mathfrak{n})$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ prime to p , and we may look for a K_0^p of the form $K^p(\mathfrak{m})$ for some $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ (also prime to p). If $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ is an ideal, we write $U(\mathfrak{a})_+$ for the subgroup of \mathcal{O}_F^+ of totally positive units congruent to 1 modulo \mathfrak{a} . We need to find \mathfrak{m} as in the statement such that the image of $GL_2(F)^\circ \cap K^p(\mathfrak{m})I$ in $PGL_2(F)$ is contained in the image of $SL_2(F) \cap K^p(\mathfrak{n})I$, which is equivalent to

$$GL_2(F)^\circ \cap K^p(\mathfrak{m})I \subseteq (SL_2(F) \cap K^p(\mathfrak{n})I) \cdot Z(F).$$

By [Che51, Théorème 1], there exists an ideal \mathfrak{m} , prime to p , such that $U(\mathfrak{m})_+ \subseteq U(\mathfrak{n})_+^2$. Choose such an \mathfrak{m} which is also contained in \mathfrak{n} . Then, if $g \in GL_2(F)^\circ \cap K^p(\mathfrak{m})I$, its determinant is in $U(\mathfrak{m})_+$ and hence equal to z^2 for some $z \in U(\mathfrak{n})_+$. In particular, we see that

$$g = \left(g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \in (SL_2(F) \cap K^p(\mathfrak{n})I) \cdot Z(F)$$

as desired. This finishes the proof. \square

Let us now move on to discuss local systems on Y_K and Y_K^1 , following [BH17, §2.2] where it is described for general connected reductive groups. The procedure is the same in both cases, so we will just describe it in the case of Y_K . Consider the natural map

$$D_\infty \times GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) \rightarrow Y_K,$$

where $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ carries the *discrete* topology. Let $Z(K) = Z(F) \cap K$ (intersection taken inside $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$). Then the map above is a torsor for $(GL_2(F)^\circ \times K)/Z(K)$ (viewed as a *discrete* group), where $Z(K)$ is diagonally embedded in the product. Here, we let $(\gamma, k) \in GL_2(F)^\circ \times K$ act on $(\tau, g) \in D_\infty \times GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ by $(\gamma, k) \cdot (\tau, g) = (\gamma\tau, \gamma g k^{-1})$. Thus, any left $(GL_2(F)^\circ \times K)/Z(K)$ -module gives a local system on Y_K by descent. There is an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow GL_2(F)^\circ \rightarrow (GL_2(F)^\circ \times K)/Z(K) \rightarrow K/Z(K) \rightarrow 1.$$

In this paper, we will only (explicitly) need $(GL_2(F)^\circ \times K)/Z(K)$ -modules which are trivial on $GL_2(F)^\circ$, i.e. local systems descended from the cover

$$\mathfrak{Y} := GL_2(F)^\circ \backslash D_\infty \times GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) \rightarrow Y_K,$$

which has covering group $K/Z(K)$ (naturally acting from the right). Any right $K/Z(K)$ -module N gives a local system on Y_K , which we will also denote by N . Let $C_\bullet(\mathfrak{Y})$ be the complex of singular chains on \mathfrak{Y} and let N be a right K -module, trivial on $Z(K)$. Then the cohomology $H^*(Y_K, N)$ can be computed as the cohomology of the complex $\text{Hom}_K(C_\bullet(\mathfrak{Y}), N)$. We will also need to consider the action of Hecke operators. Let $\Delta \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ be a monoid containing a compact open subgroup $K \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$. Then, if N is a *left* Δ -module with trivial $Z(K)$ -action, we may define the action of Hecke operators $[K\delta K]$, $\delta \in \Delta$ on $H^*(Y_K, N)$, as in [BH17, §2.2]. Note that N is made into a right K -module (and hence a local system on Y_K) by inverting the left K -action. In general, the Hecke algebra of compactly supported bi- K -invariant locally constant functions on Δ (under convolution) will be denoted by $\mathbf{T}(\Delta, K)$, whenever $K \subseteq G$ is a compact open subgroup of a locally profinite group G and $K \subseteq \Delta \subseteq G$ is a monoid.

We finish by recording a consequence of Lemma 2.1.1 that will be important to us later on, as well as some simplifying notation. In this paper, we will only ever work with the level subgroup I at p . For any compact open subgroup $K^p \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$, we will set $K = K^p I$, and we set

$$Y_K^1 := Y_{K^p I \cap SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad Cl_K^+ := Cl_{\det(K)}^+.$$

We also let H_K denote the dual group of the finite abelian group Cl_K^+ , i.e. the group of characters of Cl_K^+ taking values in an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Finally, if $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ is a non-zero ideal which is prime to p , we simplify the notation even further. We set

$$Y_{\mathfrak{n}} = Y_{K^p(\mathfrak{n})I}, \quad Y_{\mathfrak{n}}^1 = Y_{K^p(\mathfrak{n})I}^1, \quad Cl_{\mathfrak{n}}^+ = Cl_{K^p(\mathfrak{n})I}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\mathfrak{n}} = H_{K^p(\mathfrak{n})I}.$$

Now let E be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Via the inclusion $H_K \subseteq H^0(Y_K, E)$, H_K acts on $H^*(Y_K, N)$ for any right $E[K]$ -module N ; we review this in more detail in §3.1.

Proposition 2.1.2. *With notation as in the previous paragraph and $K = K^p I$, the natural map*

$$\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, N)_{H_K} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K^1, N)$$

is an isomorphism. Here K^p runs through the compact open subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$; the intersections $K^p \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$ are then cofinal among the compact open subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$.

Proof. We start by describing the map. The map $Y_K^1 \rightarrow Y_K$ induces a map $H^*(Y_K, N) \rightarrow H^*(Y_K^1, N)$. The coinvariants $H^*(Y_K, N)_{H_{K^p}}$ are canonically isomorphic to the cohomology of N on the component of Y_K corresponding to $1 \in \mathrm{Cl}_K^+$, so the map $H^*(Y_K, N) \rightarrow H^*(Y_K^1, N)$ factors through the H_K -coinvariants, and one sees easily that these maps define a direct system as K^p varies. With this preparation, the proposition is then a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1.1. \square

Remark 2.1.3. When $F = \mathbb{Q}$, the maps $H^*(Y_K, N)_{H_K} \rightarrow H^*(Y_{K^p}^1, N)$ are isomorphisms even before taking the direct limit, since Y_K^1 is equal to the component of Y_K corresponding to $1 \in \mathrm{Cl}_K^+$.

2.2. Automorphic representations. In this subsection we indicate our conventions on automorphic forms and representations. We will also discuss the aspects of (classical) L -packets for SL_2 that we will need, as well as functoriality from GL_2 to SL_2 .

We start with GL_2 , where we will mostly try to follow [BH17] closely. Since we will exclusively deal with cohomological automorphic representations, we begin by recalling the definition of a cohomological weight from [BH17, Definition 2.4.1]:

Definition 2.2.1. A cohomological weight (for GL_2/F) is a pair $k = (k_1, k_2)$ of characters $F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ of the form

$$k_i(x) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty} \sigma(x)^{e_i(\sigma)}$$

for $e_i(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $e_1(\sigma) \geq e_2(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty$ and $\omega_k := k_1 k_2$ is trivial on a finite index subgroup of \mathcal{O}_F^\times .

If k is a cohomological weight, then $e_1(\sigma) + e_2(\sigma)$ is independent of σ and will be denoted by w . Setting $k_\sigma = e_1(\sigma) - e_2(\sigma)$, we see that a cohomological weight can be described in terms of a $d+1$ -tuple $((k_\sigma)_\sigma, w) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Sigma_\infty} \times \mathbb{Z}$ with $k_\sigma \equiv w$ modulo 2 for all σ . Using our fixed isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and the corresponding identification $\Sigma_\infty \cong \Sigma_p$ we can view a cohomological weight as a pair of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -valued characters; we will do so without further comment. For each $v \mid p$, we define

$$k_{i,v}(x) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_v} \sigma(x)^{e_i(\sigma)} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p,$$

where we use the identification $\Sigma_\infty \cong \Sigma_p$ mentioned above.

Given integers $k_\sigma \geq 0$ and w with $k_\sigma \equiv w \pmod{2}$, there is a (unique) discrete series representation $D_{k_\sigma+2, w}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ of weight $k_\sigma + 2$ and central character $x \mapsto x^{-w}$. If k is a cohomological weight then, following [BH17, §3.1], we set $D_k := \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty} D_{k_\sigma+2, w}$, viewed as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_\infty)$. We then say that a cuspidal automorphic representation π is *cohomological of weight k* if $\pi_\infty \cong D_k$. Let us also recall how cohomological representations show up in cohomology. If $n \geq 0$ and w are integers with $n \equiv w \pmod{2}$ and R is a ring, we define $\mathcal{L}_{n,w}(R)$ to be the R -module of polynomials with coefficients in R , of degree at most n , and give it the $\mathrm{GL}_2(R)$ -action

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot P \right) (X) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{w-n}{2}} (a + cX)^n P \left(\frac{b + dX}{a + cX} \right).$$

If k is a cohomological weight and $E \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is an extension of \mathbb{Q}_p containing the image of all embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, we set

$$\mathcal{L}_k(E) = \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} \mathcal{L}_{k_\sigma, w}(E).$$

It carries a left action of $\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} GL_2(E)$. Using the composite homomorphism

$$GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) \rightarrow GL_2(F_p) \rightarrow \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} GL_2(E),$$

where the first map is the projection and the second is the product of the maps $\sigma : GL_2(F_p) \rightarrow GL_2(E)$, we get a left action of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ which is trivial on $Z(K)$ for any sufficient small compact open $K \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_k(E)$ defines a local system on any Y_K . We have $H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k(E)) \cong H^*(Y_{K'}, \mathcal{L}_k(E))^K$ via the transition map whenever $K' \subseteq K$ is normal. The direct limit $H^*(Y, \mathcal{L}_k(E)) := \varinjlim_K H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k(E))$ carries commuting actions of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ and $\pi_0 := \pi_0(GL_2(F_\infty))$. If π is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, then the π^∞ -isotypic part $H^*(Y, \mathcal{L}_k(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))[\pi^\infty]$ of $H^*(Y, \mathcal{L}_k(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))$ is non-empty if and only if π is cohomological of weight k , in which case

$$H^d(Y, \mathcal{L}_k(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))[\pi^\infty] \cong \pi^\infty \otimes R(\pi_0)$$

as a $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) \times \pi_0$ -representation, where $R(\pi_0)$ is the regular representation of π_0 , and $H^i(Y, \mathcal{L}_k(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))[\pi^\infty] = 0$ for $i \neq d$. Here we have used the isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ to view π^∞ as a smooth $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ -representation on a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -vector space; we will continue to do such things without further discussion. Since

$$H^*(Y, \mathcal{L}_k(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)) = H^*(Y, \mathcal{L}_k(E)) \otimes_E \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p,$$

$H^*(Y, \mathcal{L}_k(E))$ is also concentrated in degree d , for any E as above.

We will need the notion of a (p -)refinement of an automorphic representation. Let π be a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation. For any $v \mid p$ we denote by I_v the Iwahori subgroup of $GL_2(F_v)$ defined as the preimage of the upper triangular matrices under the reduction map $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_v)$. Assume from now on that $\pi_v^{I_v} \neq 0$ for all $v \mid p$, as such π are the only ones that we will consider in this paper.

Definition 2.2.2. Let π be as above. A refinement $\alpha = (\alpha_v)_{v \mid p}$ of π is a choice of eigenvalue α_v of $U_v = [I_v \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} I_v]$ acting on $\pi_v^{I_v}$, for each $v \mid p$.

We define a refined automorphic representation to be a pair (π, α) where π is a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation and α is a refinement of π .

We recall that when π_v is an unramified principal series representation, the eigenvalues of U_v on $\pi_v^{I_v}$ are precisely the roots of the v -Hecke polynomial $X^2 - a_v(\pi)X + \omega_\pi(\varpi_v)q_v$. Here ω_π is the central character of π and $a_v(\pi)$ is the eigenvalue of $T_v = [K_v \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K_v]$ acting on $\pi_v^{K_v}$, where $K_v = GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$. The notion of refinement is defined in [BH17, Definition 3.4.2], and it is equivalent to the definition given above by [BH17, Proposition 3.4.4].

2.2.1. The Galois representation associated to a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation. Let π be a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight k as above. Then π has an associated p -adic Galois representation

$$\rho_\pi : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p),$$

depending on our fixed choice of isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ (which we suppress from the notation). We recall some of the main properties of ρ_π . Let $\rho_{\pi,v}$ denote its restriction to a place v of F . Then (see e.g. [BH17], Theorem 6.5.1 and Remark 6.5.2) ρ_π is irreducible and for any $v \mid p$, $\rho_{\pi,v}$ satisfies the following properties:

- (1) If $\sigma \in \Sigma_v$, then the set $HT_\sigma(\rho_{\pi,v})$ of σ -Hodge–Tate weights of $\rho_{\pi,v}$ is equal to $\{\frac{w-k_\sigma}{2}, \frac{w+k_\sigma}{2} + 1\}$.
- (2) If π_v is an unramified principal series representation then $\rho_{\pi,v}$ is crystalline and the characteristic polynomial of φ^{f_v} acting on $D_{crys}(\rho_{\pi,v})$ is given by the v -th Hecke polynomial $X^2 - a_v(\pi)X + \omega_\pi(\varpi_v)q_v$.
- (3) If π_v is an unramified special representation then $\rho_{\pi,v}$ is semistable (but not crystalline).

2.2.2. L-packets and classical functoriality from GL_2 to SL_2 . Let v be a finite place of F . We recall that given an irreducible smooth representation $\tilde{\pi}_v$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$, its restriction to $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v)$ breaks up into finitely many irreducible smooth representations, each occurring with multiplicity one ([LL79, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6]). A local L -packet of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v)$ is defined as a finite set $\{\pi_{v,i} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of irreducible smooth representations $\pi_{v,i}$ of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v)$, such that there exists an irreducible admissible representation $\tilde{\pi}_v$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ with

$$\tilde{\pi}_v|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v)} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi_{v,i}.$$

Starting from an irreducible smooth representation $\tilde{\pi}_v$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ we denote by $\Pi(\tilde{\pi}_v)$ the L -packet defined by $\tilde{\pi}_v$. Any irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v)$ occurs in the restriction of an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ and therefore belongs to an L -packet, see [LL79, Lemma 2.5]. Furthermore, suppose an irreducible smooth representation π_v of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v)$ occurs in the restriction of two representations $\tilde{\pi}_v$ and $\tilde{\pi}'_v$. Then there exists a character $\chi : F_v^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, trivial on $\det(Z(F_v)) = (F_v^\times)^2$, such that $\tilde{\pi}'_v \cong \tilde{\pi}_v \otimes \chi$, and moreover $\Pi(\tilde{\pi}_v) = \Pi(\tilde{\pi}'_v)$ (see [GK82, Lemma 2.4]). In particular two L -packets either agree or are disjoint, hence forming L -packets gives a partition of the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations into finite sets. L -packets of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v)$ have size 1, 2 or 4 [LL79, p.15]. Finally, let us recall that if $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is an unramified representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$, there exists a unique element π_v^0 in $\Pi(\tilde{\pi}_v)$ which has a non-zero $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$ -fixed vector. More generally, one has the following fact, which we record as a lemma for ease of referencing.

Lemma 2.2.3. *Let $\tilde{\pi}_v$ be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$. Then there is a member $\pi_v^0 \in \Pi(\tilde{\pi}_v)$ with $(\pi_v^0)^{I_{0,v}}$ if and only if $(\tilde{\pi}_v \otimes (\chi \circ \det))^{I_v} \neq 0$ for some smooth character χ of F_v^\times .*

In fact, if $(\tilde{\pi}_v \otimes (\chi \circ \det))^{I_v} \neq 0$ for some smooth character χ , then the packet $\Pi(\tilde{\pi}_v)$ is either a singleton or has size 2. The latter only occurs if, up to twist, $\tilde{\pi}_v \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)}(\psi \otimes \mathbf{1})$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial character and ψ is the unramified quadratic character of F_v^\times .

At the archimedean places one defines L -packets in the same way. In this paper we only consider cuspidal cohomological automorphic representations, so for us it suffices to recall the L -packet associated to the discrete series representations $D_{k+2,w}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ discussed above (in this discussion k is a non-negative integer). Upon restriction from $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, a discrete series representation $D_{k+2,w}$ decomposes into two irreducible representations D_{k+2}^+ and D_{k+2}^- and these form a so-called discrete series L -packet. As the notation suggests, the L -packet $\{D_{k+2}^+, D_{k+2}^-\}$ depends on k but not on w .

The natural projection defines a map of L -groups

$${}^L\mathrm{GL}_2 = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) = {}^L\mathrm{SL}_2.$$

Associated to this map there is a Langlands transfer, which we recall next. Again we only describe the part that is relevant for us. Let $\tilde{\pi} = \otimes_v \tilde{\pi}_v$ be a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$. The global L -packet of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ associated to $\tilde{\pi}$ is defined as

$$\Pi(\tilde{\pi}) := \left\{ \bigotimes_v' \pi_v \mid \pi_v \in \Pi(\tilde{\pi}_v), \pi_v = \pi_v^0 \text{ for almost all } v \right\}.$$

We refer to the L -packet $\Pi(\tilde{\pi})$ as the transfer of $\tilde{\pi}$. We briefly recall the relevant multiplicity results from [LL79] that complete the description of this transfer. In the following we write Π for a global L -packet when we do not wish to specify a representation $\tilde{\pi}$ that gives rise to it. For a finite set S of places of F , we let $\Pi_S := \{\otimes_{v \in S} \pi_v \mid \pi_v \in \Pi(\tilde{\pi}_v)\}$ and $\Pi^S := \{\otimes_{v \notin S}' \pi_v \mid \pi_v \in \Pi(\tilde{\pi}_v), \pi_v = \pi_v^0 \text{ for almost all } v\}$. For an irreducible admissible representation π of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, we let $m(\pi)$ be the multiplicity of π in the cuspidal automorphic spectrum.

One distinguishes between two kinds of global L -packets, namely stable L -packets and endoscopic (or unstable) L -packets. Stable L -packets have the property that the multiplicity is positive and constant ([LL79, Lemma 6.1]). Combined with the multiplicity one result of Ramakrishnan ([Ram00, Theorem 4.1.1]), we know that for a stable L -packet Π , we have $m(\pi) = 1$ for all $\pi \in \Pi$.

If Π is an endoscopic L -packet then the multiplicity varies. More precisely, $m(\pi) \in \{0, 1\}$ for $\pi \in \Pi$. If $\tilde{\pi}$ is a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, then $\tilde{\pi}$ gives rise to an endoscopic L -packet if and only if $\tilde{\pi}$ has complex multiplication by a totally imaginary quadratic extension \tilde{F} of F . In this case $\tilde{\pi}$ arises from an algebraic Größencharacter $\tilde{\theta} : \tilde{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_{\tilde{F}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ as described in [JL70, Section 12], and the L -packet $\Pi(\tilde{\pi})$ only depends on the restriction θ of $\tilde{\theta}$ to the subgroup of $\mathbb{A}_{\tilde{F}}^\times$ of elements of norm 1. We also use the notation $\Pi(\theta)$ for such an L -packet. Let v be a finite place of F such that $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is unramified. If v splits in \tilde{F} , then the local L -packet $\Pi(\theta)_v$ is a singleton consisting of an $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$ -unramified representation. If v is inert in \tilde{F} , then $\Pi(\theta)_v = \{\pi_{v,1}, \pi_{v,2}\}$ is an unramified L -packet of size two, as in Proposition 3.2.12 of [LR07], with a unique $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$ -unramified element.

Lemma 2.2.4. *Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of cohomological weight k , so $\pi_\infty \cong D_k = \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty} D_{k_\sigma+2, w}$. Assume that $\tilde{\pi}$ has complex multiplication. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty$ correspond to an archimedean place v_0 . Then for any representation $\pi^{v_0} = \bigotimes'_{v \neq v_0} \pi_v \in \Pi(\tilde{\pi})^{v_0}$ precisely one of the representations*

$$\pi^+ := \pi^{v_0} \otimes D_{k_\sigma+2}^+, \quad \pi^- := \pi^{v_0} \otimes D_{k_\sigma+2}^-$$

is automorphic and has multiplicity one, and the other representation has multiplicity zero.

Proof. This follows from [LL79] and [Ram00] as follows. As recalled above, $\Pi(\tilde{\pi}) = \Pi(\theta)$ for a suitable character θ . Our assumption on $\tilde{\pi}$ to be cuspidal cohomological forces θ to be what is called of *type (a)* in the classification of [LL79, Section 5, p.43]. For any $\pi \in \Pi(\theta)$, the multiplicity $m(\pi)$ is then given by the formula

$$m(\pi) = \frac{d(\pi)}{2} (1 + \langle \epsilon, \pi \rangle)$$

from [LL79, Proposition 6.7]. Here $d(\pi)$ a priori is a positive integer and $\langle \epsilon, \pi \rangle = \prod_v \langle \epsilon_v, \pi_v \rangle$ is a product of local signs $\langle \epsilon_v, \pi_v \rangle \in \{-1, 1\}$, with $\langle \epsilon_v, \pi_v \rangle = 1$ for almost all v (see p.47 [LL79]), and for any $v \mid \infty$, we have

$$\{\langle \epsilon_v, D_{k_\sigma+2}^+ \rangle, \langle \epsilon_v, D_{k_\sigma+2}^- \rangle\} = \{-1, 1\}.$$

This implies that exactly one of $m(\pi^+)$ and $m(\pi^-)$ is zero. From [Ram00, Theorem 4.1.1] we conclude that $d(\pi) = 1$ for any $\pi \in \Pi(\theta)$, hence the lemma follows. \square

2.3. Pseudorepresentations. In this section we will discuss some results on the pseudorepresentations introduced by V. Lafforgue in [Laf18]. Let E be a field of characteristic 0 and let H be a split connected reductive group over E . Let Γ be a (discrete) group and let A be an E -algebra. For any integer $n \geq 1$, let $E[H^n]^H$ denote the global functions on H^n which are invariant under the action of H on H^n by diagonal conjugation, and let $C(\Gamma^n, A)$ denote the set-theoretic functions from Γ^n to A . A pseudorepresentation of Γ valued in $H(A)$ is a collection $(\theta_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of E -algebra homomorphisms

$$\theta_n : E[H^n]^H \rightarrow C(\Gamma^n, A)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- For any $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and for any function $\zeta : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, s\}$, any $f \in E[H^r]^H$ and any s elements $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\theta_s(f^\zeta)(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) = \theta_r(f)(\gamma_{\zeta(1)}, \dots, \gamma_{\zeta(r)}),$$

where $f^\zeta \in E[H^s]^H$ is defined by $f^\zeta(h_1, \dots, h_s) = f(h_{\zeta(1)}, \dots, h_{\zeta(r)})$.

- For any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, any $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r, \gamma_{r+1} \in \Gamma$ and any $f \in E[H^r]^H$, we have

$$\theta_{r+1}(\hat{f})(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r, \gamma_{r+1}) = \theta_r(f)(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \gamma_{r+1}),$$

where $\hat{f} \in E[H^{r+1}]^H$ defined by $\hat{f}(h_1, \dots, h_r, h_{r+1}) = f(h_1, \dots, h_r h_{r+1})$.

We recall that any homomorphism $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow H(A)$ induces a pseudorepresentation θ by letting $\theta_n : E[H^n]^H \rightarrow C(\Gamma^n, A)$ be given by

$$\theta_n(f)(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = f(\rho(\gamma_1), \dots, \rho(\gamma_n)).$$

If B is an E -algebra, $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is homomorphism and θ is a pseudorepresentation valued in $H(A)$, then we may form a new pseudorepresentation $\phi \circ \theta := (\phi_n \circ \theta_n)_{n \geq 1}$, where ϕ_n is the E -algebra homomorphism $C(\Gamma^n, A) \rightarrow C(\Gamma^n, B)$ induced by ϕ . Thus we may, for fixed Γ and H , define a moduli functor sending an E -algebra A to the set of pseudorepresentations of Γ into $H(A)$. This functor is representable by an E -algebra by [Eme18, Theorem 3.0.6(ii)], which we call R^{ps} . Attached to Γ and H we also have the representation variety and the character variety; recall that the representation variety is the affine E -scheme $\text{Spec } R^{rep}$ representing the functor

$$A \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\text{Group}}(\Gamma, H(A))$$

and that the character variety is $\text{Spec } R^{char}$, with $R^{char} := (R^{rep})^H$. Association of a pseudorepresentation to a representation then defines a map

$$\text{Spec } R^{rep} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R^{ps}$$

which factors through the character variety, inducing a canonical map $R^{ps} \rightarrow R^{char}$. The following theorem is due to Emerson [Eme18, Theorem 6.0.5(iii)] (note that it crucially uses the assumption that E has characteristic 0). Let ρ^{univ} denote the universal homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow H(R^{rep})$, and let θ^{univ} denote the universal pseudorepresentation.

Theorem 2.3.1. *The canonical map $R^{ps} \rightarrow R^{char}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, the map $\iota : R^{ps} \rightarrow R^{rep}$ is injective, and θ^{univ} may be viewed as the pseudorepresentation attached to ρ^{univ} .*

We now set $H = \text{SO}_{2n+1}$ (we are really interested in $H = \text{PGL}_2$ for the purposes of this paper, but it is convenient to use the exceptional isomorphism $\text{PGL}_2 \cong \text{SO}_3$ via the ad^0 -representation). Inside $E[H]^H$ we have a function tr , recording the trace of a matrix in H . Let θ be a pseudorepresentation into $H(A)$. We may consider the function $\theta_1(\text{tr})$ on Γ (if θ is attached to a representation ρ , then $\theta_1(\text{tr})$ is simply $\text{tr } \rho$). We aim to prove the following:

Proposition 2.3.2. *Let θ^{univ} be the universal pseudorepresentation into $H = \text{SO}_{2n+1}$. Then R^{ps} is generated, as an E -algebra, by the elements $\theta_1^{univ}(\text{tr})(\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

An alternative formulation, if we view $\iota : R^{ps} \rightarrow R^{rep}$ as an inclusion, is that R^{ps} is the E -subalgebra of R^{rep} generated by the elements $\text{tr}(\rho^{univ}(\gamma)) \in R^{rep}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We will allow ourselves this point of view in the proof.

Proof. Let $S \subseteq R^{ps}$ denote the E -subalgebra generated by the traces; we wish to show that $S = R^{ps}$. The key step is to show that, for every n , θ_n^{univ} takes values in $C(\Gamma^n, S)$.

Let $n \geq 1$ be arbitrary. For each word $WD(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ in $X_1, \dots, X_n, X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_n^{-1}$ (i.e., an element of the free group on X_1, \dots, X_n), define a function in $E[H^n]^H$ by

$$(h_1, \dots, h_n) \mapsto \text{tr}(WD(h_1, \dots, h_n)).$$

By [Pro76, Theorem 7.1], $E[H^n]^H$ is generated, as an E -algebra, by these functions. From this it follows that θ_n^{univ} takes values in $C(\Gamma^n, S)$, by using the identity

$$\theta_n^{univ}(f)(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = f(\rho^{univ}(\gamma_1), \dots, \rho^{univ}(\gamma_n)).$$

The rest of the proof is then standard: We consider the pseudorepresentation $\theta = (\theta_n)_{n \geq 1}$, $\theta_n : E[H^n]^H \rightarrow C(\Gamma^n, S)$ which is “just θ^{univ} ”, but viewed as a pseudorepresentation taking values in $H(S)$. Let $i : S \rightarrow R^{ps}$ be the inclusion, then $i \circ \theta = \theta^{univ}$ by construction. On the other hand, the universal property of θ^{univ} implies that there must be a homomorphism $\pi : R^{ps} \rightarrow S$ such that $\theta = \pi \circ \theta^{univ}$. It follows that $\theta^{univ} = i \circ \pi \circ \theta^{univ}$, so the universal property of θ^{univ} implies that $i \circ \pi$ is the identity on R^{ps} . But this forces i to be surjective, which means that $S = R^{ps}$ as desired. \square

2.4. Deformation Theory. Let Λ be a complete local Noetherian ring, with residue field κ (we allow $\Lambda = \kappa$). We will make use of the following notation for some standard categories in deformation theory: We let \mathbf{Art}_Λ and \mathbf{CNL}_Λ denote the category of artinian and complete local Noetherian Λ -algebras with residue field κ , respectively. When A is any local ring, we let \mathfrak{m}_A denote the maximal ideal of A . Given

local homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B$, $A \rightarrow C$ of complete local Noetherian rings, we may form the completed tensor product

$$B \widehat{\otimes}_A C := \varprojlim_i \left(B/\mathfrak{m}_B^i \otimes_{A/\mathfrak{m}_A^i} C/\mathfrak{m}_C^i \right).$$

In particular, if $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ is a local homomorphism of complete local Noetherian rings, then the completed tensor product $A \mapsto A \widehat{\otimes}_\Lambda \Lambda'$ is a functor $\mathbf{CNL}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{CNL}_{\Lambda'}$. We let \mathbf{Set} denote the category of sets. If

$$X : \mathbf{CNL}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

is a representable functor (or $X : \mathbf{Art}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is a pro-representable functor), we write $\mathcal{O}(X)$ for the object in \mathbf{CNL}_Λ that (pro-)represents it.

Our goal in this section is an analogue of Proposition 2.3.2 for Galois deformation rings, which will be used later to relate the eigenvarieties for GL_2 and SL_2 at points corresponding to a refined automorphic representation (π, α) . Let S be any finite set of places of F containing the infinite places and the places above p such that ρ_π is unramified outside S . We now let $H = SO_3$ and let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which we think of as large, in particular we may assume that $\rho_\pi(G_F) \subseteq GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ (after conjugation if necessary), where \mathcal{O} is the ring of integers of E . We let k be the residue field of E .

Let

$$r = \text{ad}^0 \rho_\pi : G_{F,S} \rightarrow H(E).$$

By assumption r lands in $H(\mathcal{O})$ and so has a reduction $\bar{r} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow H(k)$. We have a universal framed deformation functor \mathfrak{X}_r^\square parametrising continuous lifts

$$\tilde{r} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow H(A)$$

to \mathbf{CNL}_E . It is representable by an object $R_r^\square \in \mathbf{CNL}_E$. We need the following lemma, whose proof is standard.

Lemma 2.4.1. *There exists a quotient Q of $G_{F,S}$ by a closed subgroup such that Q is topologically finitely generated and such that any continuous lift $\tilde{r} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow H(A)$ to an object $A \in \mathbf{CNL}_E$ factors through Q .*

Proof. Let $G' = \text{Ker } \bar{r} \subseteq G_{F,S}$ and let $G'' \subseteq G'$ be the closed subgroup cutting out the maximal pro- p quotient of G' . Then G'' is characteristic in G' and hence normal in $G_{F,S}$; the quotient $Q = G_{F,S}/G''$ is then topologically finitely generated and we claim that every \tilde{r} as above factors through Q .

It suffices to prove this for $A \in \mathbf{Art}_E$. When $A = E$, it follows since $\text{Ker}(H(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H(k))$ is pro- p . For a general $A \in \mathbf{Art}_E$, we filter $H(A)$ by the subgroups $H_i = \text{Ker}(H(A) \rightarrow H(A/\mathfrak{m}^i))$, where $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq A$ is the maximal ideal, and the result now follows since H_i/H_{i+1} is a finite-dimensional E -vector space for all $i \geq 1$, and all compact subgroups of finite-dimensional E -vector spaces are pro- p . \square

We now fix such a topologically finitely generated quotient Q of $G_{F,S}$ as in Lemma 2.4.1, and we can and will consider all lifts of r as representations of Q . Let $\Gamma \subseteq Q$ be a finitely generated dense subgroup. We view Γ as a discrete group; any continuous representation of Q is then determined by its restriction to Γ . We apply the material from §2.3 to Γ and the algebraic group H — we have a representation variety $X^{rep} = \text{Spec } R^{rep}$, the character variety $X^{char} = \text{Spec}(R^{rep})^H$ and the pseudorepresentation variety $X^{ps} = \text{Spec } R^{ps}$, and we may identify X^{char} and X^{ps} . Note that these are finite type schemes over E , since Γ is finitely generated. The representation $r|_\Gamma$ defines an E -point of X^{rep} , and we may form the completed local ring R_r^{rep} of R^{rep} at that point.

Lemma 2.4.2. *There is a canonical map $R_r^{rep} \rightarrow R_r^\square$, which is surjective.*

Proof. The ring R_r^{rep} classifies lifts of $r|_\Gamma$ to objects $A \in \mathbf{Art}_E$. So there is canonical map of functors

$$\mathfrak{X}_r^\square \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R_r^{rep}, -)$$

given by restriction from Q to Γ , which is injective since Γ is dense in Q . This gives the natural map $R_r^{rep} \rightarrow R_r^\square$, which is surjective since the map on functors of points is injective. \square

Now let θ be the pseudorepresentation of $r|_\Gamma$. This gives a point on X^{ps} , and our next goal is to compute the completed local ring R_θ^{ps} of R^{ps} at θ . We start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 2.4.3. *The orbit of $r|_\Gamma$ in X^{rep} is closed.*

Proof. We recall two notions from [BHKT19, Definition 3.5]. A homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow H(E')$, with E'/E algebraically closed, is said to be completely reducible if, whenever the Zariski closure of $\phi(\Gamma)$ is contained in a parabolic subgroup, it is moreover contained in a Levi subgroup of said parabolic. Moreover, ϕ is called irreducible if the image is not contained in any proper parabolic of H . Consider the map $f : X^{rep} \rightarrow X^{ps}$. Since θ defines a closed point of X^{ps} , it suffices to prove that the orbit of $r|_\Gamma$ inside X^{rep} is equal to $f^{-1}(\theta)$. In other words, we need to show that every representation whose pseudorepresentation equals θ is conjugate to $r|_\Gamma$ (over an algebraically closed extension). For completely reducible representations, this is [BHKT19, Theorem 4.5] (the proof being due to V. Lafforgue). Since r is irreducible (in the sense above), any $\Gamma \rightarrow H(E')$ with pseudorepresentation θ must be irreducible as well, and hence completely reducible. This finishes the proof. \square

Before computing R_θ^{ps} , we briefly discuss quotients of functors on \mathbf{CNL}_Λ by group functors, referring to [KW09, §2.4] for a more thorough discussion. We remark that while the general setup of [KW09] assumes that the residue field is finite, the discussion in [KW09, §2.4] does not use this assumption and we will freely use results from there without further comment. Let Λ be a complete local Noetherian ring and let $X : \mathbf{CNL}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be a functor acted on by a group functor $G : \mathbf{CNL}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Grp}$. The quotient X/G is defined by

$$A \mapsto X(A)/G(A).$$

If X and G are representable, G is smooth and the action is free (meaning that $G(A)$ acts freely on $X(A)$ for all $A \in \mathbf{CNL}_\Lambda$), then X/G is represented by the equalizer of

$$\mathcal{O}(X) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{O}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}(X),$$

where the two maps are dual to the action map $G \times X \rightarrow X$ and the projection map $G \times X \rightarrow X$. Moreover, the natural map $X \rightarrow X/G$ is a (trivial) G -torsor, hence smooth; for all of this see [KW09, Proposition 2.5]. We will also write $\mathcal{O}(X)^G$ for $\mathcal{O}(X/G)$.

Now we go back to R_θ^{ps} . Let \widehat{H} be the (representable) group functor on \mathbf{CNL}_E defined by

$$\widehat{H}(A) = \text{Ker}(H(A) \rightarrow H(E)),$$

for $A \in \mathbf{CNL}_E$. It acts naturally on R_r^{rep} . Moreover, let H_r be the centraliser (in H) of the image of r ; it is either trivial or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$ (as a group scheme over E). It also acts naturally on R_r^{rep} .

Proposition 2.4.4. *The natural map $R_\theta^{ps} \rightarrow R_r^{rep}$ induces an isomorphism $R_\theta^{ps} \cong \left((R_r^{rep})^{\widehat{H}} \right)^{H_r}$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove this after base changing from E to an algebraic closure \overline{E} . Indeed the completed tensor product functor $\mathbf{CNL}_E \rightarrow \mathbf{CNL}_{\overline{E}}$ is exact, commutes with equalizers and a homomorphism in \mathbf{CNL}_E is an isomorphism if and only if it maps to an isomorphism in $\mathbf{CNL}_{\overline{E}}$. So we may base change to \overline{E} , but for simplicity with still use the same notation for the base changed objects throughout this proof.

By Luna's étale slice theorem [Lun73, p. 97] there is an affine, locally closed subvariety $Y = \text{Spec } T \subseteq X^{rep}$, which contains r and is stable under H_r (being the stabilizer of r), such that we have a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times^{H_r} H & \longrightarrow & X^{rep} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y/H_r & \longrightarrow & X^{ps} \end{array}$$

where both horizontal maps are étale and the top horizontal map is H -equivariant. In particular, we have maps

$$Y \times H \rightarrow (Y \times H)/H_r = Y \times^{H_r} H \rightarrow X^{rep},$$

where the right map is the map appearing in the commutative square above. The left map is étale, since H_r acts freely on $Y \times H$, so the composition is étale. The point $(r, 1) \in Y \times H$ maps to $r \in X^{rep}$, so we get an \widehat{H} -equivariant isomorphism $T_r \widehat{\otimes}_E \mathcal{O}(\widehat{H}) \cong R_r^{rep}$ of completed local rings, where T_r is the completed local ring of T at r . Taking quotients, it follows that $T_r \cong (R_r^{rep})^{\widehat{H}}$.

To finish off, note that the étaleness of $Y/H_r \rightarrow X^{ps}$ induces an isomorphism $T_r^{H_r} \cong R_\theta^{ps}$ (here we use that H_r is finite to see that $T_r^{H_r}$ is the completed local ring of Y/H_r at the orbit of r). Combining this with the previous isomorphism then gives the proposition. \square

Now recall the deformation functor \mathfrak{X}_r of r . It is defined as the quotient of \mathfrak{X}_r^\square by the action of \widehat{H} . This action is free, so \mathfrak{X}_r is represented by $R_r = (R_r^\square)^{\widehat{H}}$.

Corollary 2.4.5. *The surjection $R_r^{rep} \rightarrow R_r^\square$ from Lemma 2.4.2 induces a surjection $R_\theta^{ps} \rightarrow R_r^{H_r}$.*

Proof. Surjectivity of $(R_r^{rep})^{\widehat{H}} \rightarrow R_r$ follows from smoothness of $R_r \rightarrow R_r^\square$, and the result then follows by taking H_r -invariants (which are exact since H_r is finite). \square

We now get to the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.4.6. *$R_r^{H_r}$ is topologically generated by the traces $\text{tr}(r(\text{Frob}_v))$ for $v \notin S$.*

Proof. Corollary 2.4.5 gives a surjection $R_\theta^{ps} \rightarrow R_r^{H_r}$ and, by Proposition 2.3.2, R_θ^{ps} is topologically generated by the traces $\text{tr}(r_\Gamma^{univ}(\gamma))$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where r_Γ^{univ} is the universal representation $\Gamma \rightarrow H(R^{rep})$. Let $r^{univ} : Q \rightarrow H(R_\rho)$ be the universal deformation of r . By a diagram chase, we see that the image of $\text{tr}(r_\Gamma^{univ}(\gamma))$ under the map $R^{ps} \rightarrow R_r^{H_r}$ is equal to $\text{tr}(r^{univ}(\gamma))$, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

To simplify notation, set $A = R_\theta^{ps}$, $B = R_r^{H_r}$ and set $A_n = A/\mathfrak{m}_A^n$ and $B_n = B/\mathfrak{m}_B^n$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We need to show that B_n is generated by $\text{tr}(r^{univ}(\text{Frob}_v))$ for $v \notin S$. By the first paragraph of this proof, B_n is generated by the elements $\text{tr}(r^{univ}(\gamma))$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since B_n is a finite-dimensional E -vector space, this means that we can find an E -vector space basis of finitely many polynomials in the $\text{tr}(r^{univ}(\gamma))$ for B_n . Since r^{univ} modulo \mathfrak{m}_B^n is continuous and $\{\text{Frob}_v \mid v \notin S\}$ is dense in Q , we may approximate this basis arbitrarily well using polynomials in the $\text{tr}(r^{univ}(\text{Frob}_v))$. Since a small perturbation of a basis of a finite dimensional E -vector space is still a basis, we see that B_n has an E -vector space basis consisting of polynomials in the $\text{tr}(r^{univ}(\text{Frob}_v))$. Thus B_n is generated by the $\text{tr}(r^{univ}(\text{Frob}_v))$ as an E -algebra, as desired. This finishes the proof. \square

Now set $\rho = \rho_\pi$. We finish this subsection by comparing R_r with the universal deformation ring R_ρ^ψ with fixed determinant $\psi = \det \rho$ of ρ . Sending a deformation to its projectivization gives a map

$$R_r \rightarrow R_\rho^\psi$$

which induces an isomorphism on tangent spaces, since both rings have tangent space $H^1(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$ and the induced map is the identity. Here and below, we write $\text{ad}^0 \rho$ instead of r when we want to think of it as a three-dimensional representation (and not a projective representation, or an orthogonal representation).

Proposition 2.4.7. *If $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and π has CM by the extension \widetilde{F}/F , assume that $\widetilde{F} \not\subseteq F(\zeta_{p^\infty})$. Then R_ρ^ψ is formally smooth of dimension $2d$.*

Proof. This follows from the vanishing of $H_f^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$ by an argument of Kisin. We make a few remarks since our setup does not match the available references (in particular, we don't want to assume that $p > 2$). First, our assumptions do imply that $H_f^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \rho) = 0$, by [NT20, Theorem 5.4] and [Bel12, Proposition 2.15(iv)]. Then, by the argument in [Kis04, Theorem 8.2] (see also the proof of [All19, Theorem 6.1.6]), the vanishing of $H_f^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$ implies that the tangent space $H^1(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$ has dimension at most $2d$. By [Kis03, Lemma 9.7] (the global Euler characteristic formula plus vanishing of H^3) and irreducibility of ρ ,

$$\dim H^1(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) - \dim H^2(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) = 2d.$$

It follows that $\dim H^1(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) = 2d$ and $\dim H^2(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) = 0$, which gives the result. \square

Corollary 2.4.8. *If $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and π has CM by the extension \widetilde{F}/F , assume that $\widetilde{F} \not\subseteq F(\zeta_{p^\infty})$. Then the natural map $R_r \rightarrow R_\rho^\psi$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Any map $A \rightarrow B$ in CNLE which is an isomorphism on tangent spaces and where B is formally smooth is an isomorphism, so the corollary follows from Proposition 2.4.7. \square

3. EIGENVARIETIES

In this section we set up the basics of overconvergent cohomology and eigenvarieties for GL_2 and SL_2 over F , and recall results on the family of Galois representation over GL_2 . We largely follow [BH17], with only some superficial changes. We then discuss the p -adic functoriality map between these eigenvarieties and study its fibres at points corresponding to refined automorphic representations, under some mild technical assumptions.

3.1. Overconvergent cohomology for GL_2 . Recall that $\mathcal{O}_p = \prod_{v|p} \mathcal{O}_v$, and that we have fixed a uniformizer $\varpi_v \in \mathcal{O}_v$ for every $v \mid p$ and defined $\varpi_p := \prod_{v|p} \varpi_v \in \mathcal{O}_p$. We let $\mathbf{e} := \prod_{v|p} e_v$, where e_v is the ramification index of F_v/\mathbb{Q}_p .

3.1.1. Locally analytic distribution modules on \mathcal{O}_p . To define overconvergent cohomology, we will work with the locally analytic distribution modules used in [BH17]. We recall the definitions from [BH17, §5.2]. Choose a \mathbb{Z}_p -linear isomorphism $\nu : \mathbb{Z}_p^d \cong \mathcal{O}_p$ (a global chart on \mathcal{O}_p) and consider the \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra

$$\mathbf{A}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) := \{f : \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p : f \circ \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_p\langle z_1, \dots, z_d \rangle\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) := \mathbf{A}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)[1/p]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle z_1, \dots, z_d \rangle$ via $f \mapsto f \circ \nu$ and this is a \mathbb{Q}_p -Banach algebra, with norm induced from the supremum norm on $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle z_1, \dots, z_d \rangle$.

We will use the following spaces of locally analytic functions on \mathcal{O}_p : For $\mathbf{s} = (s_v)_{v|p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{|d|}$ we define

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{s}, \circ}(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) := \{f : \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p : z \mapsto f(a + \varpi_p^{\mathbf{s}} z) \in \mathbf{A}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{O}_p\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{s}, \circ}(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)[1/p],$$

and the latter is again a \mathbb{Q}_p -Banach algebra. For any Noetherian \mathbb{Q}_p -Banach algebra R we equip

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R) := \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R$$

with its induced tensor product topology; this is a potentially orthonormalizable R -Banach module. When $\mathbf{s}' \geq \mathbf{s}$, the natural map $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{s}'}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ is injective with dense image and compact whenever $\mathbf{s}' \geq \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e}$.

The space of R -valued locally analytic functions on \mathcal{O}_p is defined as the direct limit

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, R) = \varinjlim_{|\mathbf{s}| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R),$$

where $|\mathbf{s}| = \min\{s_v : v \mid p\}$. We put the direct limit topology on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$. We denote the R -Banach space dual of $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ by $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ and set

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}_p, R) = \varprojlim_{|\mathbf{s}| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R).$$

We equip $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ with the projective limit topology. The Banach algebras R that we will use in practice come from p -adic weights. To make this precise, let us introduce the weight space that we shall be working with. It differs from that in [BH17], and has been chosen with our application to SL_2 in mind.

3.1.2. Weight space. We fix a finite extension $E \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, which is assumed to be ‘large’. All adic spaces we consider will be over E . Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^F \mathrm{GL}_2$. We set $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \prod_{v|p} \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\mathcal{O}_{F_v}} \mathrm{GL}_2$, and consider $\mathbf{T} = \prod_{v|p} \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\mathcal{O}_{F_v}} \mathbf{T}_v$, where \mathbf{T}_v is the (maximal) diagonal torus in $\mathrm{GL}_2/\mathcal{O}_{F_v}$. Then $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \prod_{v|p} \mathbf{T}_v(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_p^\times)^2$. This is a compact abelian p -adic Lie group and to it we may associate an analytic adic space $\mathcal{W}^{big} := \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p)]])^{rig} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(E)$, which we refer to as the ‘big’ weight space. This is the $2d$ -dimensional rigid analytic space over E such that, for any affinoid E -algebra R , the R -points are given by

$$\mathcal{W}^{big}(R) = \mathcal{W}^{big}(R, R^\circ) = \{\text{continuous characters } \kappa : \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow R^\times\}$$

(see [BH17, Definition 5.1.4] and the discussion following it). Any point $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}^{big}(R)$ has components $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 : \mathcal{O}_p^\times \rightarrow R^\times$, given by $\kappa_1(z) = \kappa\left(\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ and $\kappa_2(z) = \kappa\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}\right)$. Let us fix a classical cohomological weight $k = (k_1, k_2) = ((k_\sigma)_\sigma, w)$. In order to compare eigenvarieties on GL_2 and SL_2 locally around a point of weight k , we consider the following subspace of \mathcal{W}^{big} .

Definition 3.1.1. Let k be a cohomological weight. We define the k -weight space as the Zariski closed subspace $\mathcal{W}_k \subseteq \mathcal{W}^{big}$ such that for any E -algebra R :

$$\mathcal{W}_k(R) = \{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) \in \mathcal{W}^{big}(R) : \kappa_1 \kappa_2 = k_1 k_2\}.$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{W}_k is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathcal{O}_p^\times]])^{rig} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(E)$ by sending κ in the latter to $(\kappa, \kappa^{-1}k_1k_2)$ in the former, so \mathcal{W}_k is d -dimensional and smooth. For any E -affinoid algebra R , we will call an element of $\mathcal{W}_k(R)$ a p -adic weight, and we will restrict ourselves to these weights in what follows.

3.1.3. Monoid-action. Let R be a Noetherian E -Banach algebra. For any p -adic weight $\kappa : \mathrm{Spa}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_k$, the space of locally analytic distributions $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ can be equipped with a ‘weight κ ’-action by a certain monoid. We briefly recall the definitions, referring to [BH17, §5.3] for more details. For any continuous character $\chi : \mathcal{O}_p^\times \rightarrow R^\times$, there exists (by a theorem of Amice) a tuple $\mathfrak{s}(\chi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\{v|p\}}$ such that the extension $\chi_1 : \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow R$ of χ by zero is an element of $\mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}(\chi)}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$. Note that then also $z \mapsto \chi(cz+d) \in \mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}(\chi)}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ for any $c \in \varpi_p \mathcal{O}_p, d \in \mathcal{O}_p^\times$, as the map $z \mapsto cz+d$ is a polynomial (cf. [BH17, Lemma 5.3.1]).

Let $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) : \mathrm{Spa}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_k$ be a p -adic weight and define $\mathfrak{s}(\kappa) := \mathfrak{s}(\kappa_1 \kappa_2^{-1})$. For $v \mid p$, recall that I_v denotes the Iwahori subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$ of upper triangular matrices modulo ϖ_v , and that $I = \prod_{v|p} I_v \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(F_p)$. Consider the subgroup

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varpi_p \mathcal{O}_p \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_p).$$

For $v \mid p$, let $\Sigma_v := \{(\varpi_v^a \ \varpi_v^b) : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ and put $\Sigma := \prod_{v|p} \Sigma_v$. Let

$$\Sigma^+ = \{t \in \Sigma \mid t N_1 t^{-1} \subseteq N_1\}.$$

We set $\Delta_p := I \Sigma^+ I \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(F_p)$ and note that this is a monoid. Consider the submonoid of Δ_p given by

$$\Delta_p^\circ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F_p) \cap M_2(\mathcal{O}_p) \mid c \in \varpi_p \mathcal{O}_p \text{ and } d \in \mathcal{O}_p^\times \right\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{v|p\}}$. As $\mathrm{diag}(\varpi_p^{\mathbf{a}}, \varpi_p^{\mathbf{b}}) = \mathrm{diag}(\varpi_p^{\mathbf{b}}, \varpi_p^{\mathbf{a}}) \mathrm{diag}(\varpi_p^{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}}, 1)$, we can write any element $g \in \Delta_p$ uniquely as ξg° with $g^\circ \in \Delta_p^\circ$ and $\xi = \mathrm{diag}(\varpi_p^{\mathbf{b}}, \varpi_p^{\mathbf{a}})$ a central element with entries a power of the product of the uniformizers.

We equip \mathcal{O}_p with the continuous left action of Δ_p given by $g \cdot z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_p$ and $z \in \mathcal{O}_p$. For κ as above and $\mathfrak{s} \geq \mathfrak{s}(\kappa)$ we have a continuous R -linear right action of Δ_p on $\mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ defined by

$$f|_g(z) := \kappa_1 \kappa_2^{-1}(cz+d) \kappa_2(\det(g) \varpi_p^{-v(\det g)}) f(g \cdot z)$$

where $g = \xi g^\circ$ with $g^\circ = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_p^\circ, f \in \mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$, and $z \in \mathcal{O}_p$. We equip $\mathbf{D}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ with the dual left action, i.e., $(g \cdot \mu)(f) = \mu(f|_g)$ for $\mu \in \mathbf{D}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R), f \in \mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ and $g \in \Delta_p$. For $\mathfrak{s}' \geq \mathfrak{s}$, the action of Δ_p is compatible with the injective restriction map $\mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}'}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$, hence we get a continuous action of Δ_p on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$, and similarly Δ_p acts on the locally analytic distributions $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$.

Definition 3.1.2. Let $\kappa : \mathrm{Spa}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_k$ be a weight. For $\mathfrak{s} \geq \mathfrak{s}(\kappa)$ we define $\mathbf{A}_\kappa^{\mathfrak{s}} := \mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$, $\mathbf{D}_\kappa^{\mathfrak{s}} := \mathbf{D}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$, $\mathcal{A}_\kappa := \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ and $\mathcal{D}_\kappa := \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ as the respective R -modules equipped with the continuous action by Δ_p defined above.

3.1.4. Hecke algebras. We need some more notation. First, we fix a compact open subgroup $K_v \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ for each prime $v \nmid p$, equal to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$ for all but finitely many v . We write $K^p = \prod_{v \nmid p} K_v$ (and refer to it as the *tame level*), and set $K = K^p I$. We let $S(K)$ denote the union of the set of places $v \nmid p$ where K_v is not equal to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$ and the places dividing $p\infty$. For simplicity, we will assume that K is neat, which is the case when K^p is sufficiently small.

For our Hecke algebras, we consider the monoid

$$\Delta = \Delta_p \times \prod_{v \notin S(K)} \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v) \times \prod_{v \in S(K), v \nmid p} K_v \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty),$$

which contains K . We will write $\mathbf{T}(K) := \mathbf{T}(\Delta, K)$ for the corresponding Hecke algebra over \mathcal{O}_E . $\mathbf{T}(K)$ may be described as

$$\mathbf{T}(\Delta_p, I) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \notin S(K)}^I \mathbf{T}(\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v), K_v).$$

In particular, $\mathbf{T}(K)$ is commutative, and we may describe an explicit set of generators. When $v \notin S(K)$, the spherical Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}(\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v), K_v)$ is generated by $T_v = [K_v(\varpi_v \ 1)K_v]$, $S_v = [K_v(\varpi_v \ \varpi_v)K_v]$ and S_v^{-1} , where we recall that we have fixed uniformizers ϖ_v of F_v . The Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}(\Delta_p, I)$ is the (commutative) subalgebra of $\mathbf{T}(\mathrm{GL}_2(F_p), I)$ generated by the indicator functions $[I\delta I]$, where $\delta \in \Sigma^+$. Indeed, $\mathbf{T}(\Delta_p, I)$ is generated by the operators $U_v = [I_v(\varpi_v \ 1)I_v]$, $S_v = [I_v(\varpi_v \ \varpi_v)I_v]$ and S_v^{-1} for $v \mid p$.

Any left Δ_p -module N will be viewed as a left Δ -module via the natural projection $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta_p$. When $Z(K)$ acts trivially, this gives us a local system on Y_K , with an action of $\mathbf{T}(K)$.

3.1.5. Group actions on cohomology. Let $K = K^p I$ be as above and consider the compact open subgroup $\det(K) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_F^\times$. We will define an action ('twisting') of the character group H_K of the ray class group $Cl_K^+ = Cl_{\det(K)}^+$ on the cohomology $H^*(Y_K, N)$, for any E -local system N . This will play a crucial technical role in our paper. Recall that our finite extension $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of \mathbb{Q}_p is assumed to be sufficiently large, in particular we want all $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ -valued characters of Cl_K^+ to take values in E^\times .

To define the action of H_K , first note that if $\chi \in H_K$ then $\chi \circ \det : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) \rightarrow E^\times$ defines an element of $H^0(Y_K, E)$. Now consider the cup product

$$\cup : H^0(Y_K, E) \otimes H^n(Y_K, N) \rightarrow H^n(Y_K, N).$$

The following proposition is trivial, since cupping with classes in $H^0(Y_K, E)$ is merely multiplication by locally constant functions.

Proposition 3.1.3. *Let $\chi \in H_K$. For any $E[\Delta]$ -module N and any $n \geq 0$, the cup product*

$$H_K \times H^n(Y_K, N) \rightarrow H^n(Y_K, N), \quad (\chi, c) \mapsto \chi \cdot c := \chi \cup c$$

defines a group action of H_K on $H^n(Y_K, N)$.

The following lemma explains how the action of H_K interacts with Hecke operators.

Lemma 3.1.4. *For any $\delta \in \Delta$, with corresponding element $[K\delta K] \in \mathbf{T}(K)$, we have*

$$[K\delta K] \circ \chi = \chi(\det \delta) \chi \circ [K\delta K]$$

as elements in $\mathrm{End}(H^(Y_K, N))$.*

Proof. Recall the space $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)^\circ \backslash D_\infty \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\times)$ from §2.1. For any N as above, the cohomology $H^*(Y_K, N)$ is the cohomology of the complex

$$C_{\mathrm{ad}}^\bullet(K, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_K(C_\bullet(\mathfrak{Y}), N)$$

by descent, where $C_\bullet(\mathfrak{Y})$ is the complex of singular chains of \mathfrak{Y} . A singular n -simplex of \mathfrak{Y} can be written as (σ, g) , where $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\times)$ and σ is a singular n -simplex of D_∞ . Let $\phi \in C_{\mathrm{ad}}^\bullet(K, N)$ and decompose $K\delta K = \bigcup_i \delta_i K$ into right cosets. Then $[K\delta K]\phi = \sum_i \delta_i \phi$, where $\delta_i \phi(\sigma, g) = \delta_i \cdot \phi(\sigma, g\delta_i)$. Note that since $\chi(\det(g)) = 1$ for all $g \in K$, we have $\chi(\det \delta_i) = \chi(\det \delta)$ for all i . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi \cdot ([K\delta K](\phi))(\sigma, g) &= \chi(\det g)([K\delta K](\phi))(\sigma, g) \\ &= \chi(\det g) \sum_i \delta_i \cdot \phi(\sigma, g\delta_i). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
([K\delta K](\chi \cdot \phi))(\sigma, g) &= \sum_i \delta_i \cdot (\chi(\phi))(\sigma, g\delta_i) \\
&= \sum_i \chi(\det(g\delta_i)) \delta_i \cdot \phi(\sigma, g\delta_i) \\
&= \chi(\det \delta) \chi(\det g) \sum_i \delta_i \cdot \phi(\sigma, g\delta_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two expressions gives the lemma. \square

Remark 3.1.5. In particular, any Hecke operator $[K\delta K]$ with $\delta \in \Delta \cap SL_2(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ commutes with the action of H_K .

We now want to introduce the eigenvariety, and define an action of H_K on it. For that we need to check that the action of H_K respects slope decompositions. We now briefly recall the relevant aspects of the construction of the eigenvariety, cf. [HN17] and [BH17, §6.2]. For $v \mid p$ we set $u_v := [K(\varpi_v \varpi_v^{-1})K]$ and let

$$U_p := \prod_{v \mid p} U_v^{e_v}, \quad u_p = \prod_{v \mid p} u_v^{e_v}, \quad S_p = \prod_{v \mid p} S_v^{e_v}.$$

Note that $u_v = U_v^2 S_v^{-1}$ and similarly $u_p = U_p^2 S_p^{-1}$. Given an open affinoid subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{W}_k$, we get a corresponding weight $\kappa_\Omega : \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^\times$. To simplify notation, we will write \mathbf{A}_Ω^s instead of $\mathbf{A}_{\kappa_\Omega}^s$ for any $s \geq s(\Omega)$, etc. Both U_p and u_p are ‘controlling operators’, inducing compact operators on each term of a (fixed) choice of Borel–Serre complex $C_\bullet(K, \mathbf{A}_\Omega^s)$. While it is customary to use U_p as a controlling operator, we will use u_p since it is also an SL_2 -Hecke operator, which later will make it easier to compare eigenvarieties for GL_2 and SL_2 . As u_p acts compactly on each $C_i(K, \mathbf{A}_\Omega^s)$ we can form the Fredholm series

$$f_{\Omega, u_p}(t) = \det(1 - tu_p \mid C_*(K, \mathbf{A}_\Omega^s)).$$

As Ω runs over all affinoid open subspaces of \mathcal{W}_k these glue together to a Fredholm series $f_u(t) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}_k) \{\{t\}\}$ and we let $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_k \times \mathbb{A}^1$ be the corresponding Fredholm hypersurface. Let (Ω, h) be a slope-adapted pair for u_p (we refer to [BH17, §6.2] or [HN17, §4.1] for this notion). In particular, this means that we have a slope $\leq h$ decomposition

$$H^*(Y_K, \mathbf{D}_\Omega^s) = H^*(Y_K, \mathbf{D}_\Omega^s)_{\leq h} \oplus H^*(Y_K, \mathbf{D}_\Omega^s)_{> h}$$

where the term $H^*(Y_K, \mathbf{D}_\Omega^s)_{\leq h}$ is independent of s . In light of this independence, we will simply write $H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{\leq h}$ for it (cf. [Urb11, §2.3.12]). We get an induced morphism

$$\psi_{\Omega, h} : \mathbf{T}(K) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega)}(H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{\leq h}).$$

The $H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{\leq h}$ glue together to a graded $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ -module, which we denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K^p}$, and the $\psi_{\Omega, h}$ glue to a morphism $\psi : \mathbf{T}(K) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$. The eigenvariety $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p}$ is defined to be the relative adic spectrum over \mathcal{Z} of the coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ -subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$ generated by the image of ψ , and it is independent of the choice of controlling operator by [JN19b, Proposition 3.4.4]. Phrased differently, $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is obtain by gluing the ‘local pieces’ $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Omega, h} = \text{Spa}(\mathbf{T}_{\Omega, h})$, with $\mathbf{T}_{\Omega, h} := \text{Im}(\psi_{\Omega, h} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Omega))$, over \mathcal{Z} , where (Ω, h) ranges over slope-adapted pairs. The eigenvariety depends on K^p but, as indicated, we will suppress this dependence from the notation unless we need to deal with multiple tame levels.

The action of H_K on cohomology induces an action on the eigenvariety, which we will now describe on the local pieces. The $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ -module $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega)}(H^*(Y_K, N))$ has an H_K -module structure defined by $(h \cdot \phi)(m) := h\phi(h^{-1}m)$ for $\phi \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega)}(H^*(Y_K, N))$, $h \in H_K$ and $m \in H^*(Y_K, N)$.

- Proposition 3.1.6.** (1) *The action of H_K on $H^*(Y_K, \mathbf{D}_\Omega^s)$ commutes with changing s , and leaves $H^*(Y_K, \mathbf{D}_\Omega^s)_{\leq h}$ invariant.*
(2) *The induced action of H_K on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega)}(H^*(Y_K, \mathbf{D}_\Omega^s)_{\leq h})$ sends $\mathbf{T}_{\Omega, h}$ to itself.*
(3) *If $\phi : \mathbf{T}_{\Omega, h} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is a homomorphism and $\chi \in H_K$, then $\chi \circ \phi$ sends $[K\delta K]$ to $\chi(\det \delta)[K\delta K]$.*

Proof. Commutation with changing s is clear from the definitions (as, more generally, the action of H_K commutes with morphisms of local systems), and since the action of H commutes with u_p it preserves the slope decomposition by [HN17, Proposition 2.3.2(a)]. This proves (1). Parts (2) and (3) then follow directly from Lemma 3.1.4. \square

In particular, part (3) shows that the action of H_K has the desired twisting effect on systems of Hecke eigenvalues.

3.2. Galois representations and the geometry of eigenvarieties. In this subsection we discuss some further aspects of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and its geometry, using Galois representations as our main tool. Aside from the Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}(K) = \mathbf{T}(\Delta, K)$, we will also need the subalgebra $\mathbf{T}(K^p) \subseteq \mathbf{T}(K)$ where we remove all Hecke operators at places dividing p . If $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, then x corresponds to a homomorphism

$$\phi_x : \mathbf{T}(K) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p,$$

which lands inside $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$, by (the proof of) [BH17, Lemma 6.5.4]. The kernel of ϕ_x is a height 1 prime ideal that we will denote by \mathfrak{p}_x . We may restrict ϕ_x to $\mathbf{T}(K^p)$ and then reduce it modulo the maximal ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ to obtain a homomorphism $\mathbf{T}(K^p) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. The corresponding maximal ideal will be denoted by \mathfrak{m}_x . We remark that this notation is in conflict with the notation in [BH17], where our \mathfrak{p}_x is denoted by \mathfrak{m}_x , but we hope that this won't cause any confusion. We will also sometimes write ϕ_x for the induced homomorphism $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

By [JN19a, Theorem 5.4.5], there exists a continuous 2-dimensional pseudocharacter $T : G_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{red})$ characterised by

$$T(\text{Frob}_v) = T_v$$

for all $v \notin S(K)$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{red}$ denotes the nilreduction of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. Its determinant $D(g) := (T(g)^2 - T(g^2))/2$ is the continuous character $G_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{red})^\times$ characterised by

$$D(\text{Frob}_v) = q_v S_v$$

for $v \notin S(K)$. For every $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, $T_x = \phi_x \circ T$ arises from a semisimple continuous Galois representation $\rho_x : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. We write $\bar{\rho}_x$ for the (semisimple) reduction of ρ_x . A first consequence of the existence of T is that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{red}$ doesn't change if we remove a finite number of unramified Hecke operators away from p .

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let $K_1^p \subseteq K^p$ be a compact open subgroup and set $K_1 = K_1^p I$. Let (Ω, h) be a slope adapted pair for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p}$, and let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Omega, h} = \text{Spa } \mathbf{T}_{\Omega, h}$ be the corresponding local piece. Then the natural map $\mathbf{T}(K_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\Omega, h}^{red}$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{T}_{\Omega, h}^{red}$ be the image of $\mathbf{T}(K_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. Since $\mathbf{T}_{\Omega, h}^{red}$ is a finite $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ -module, A is a closed subalgebra. Let $v \in S(K_1) \setminus S(K)$. Consider the pseudocharacter $T_\Omega : G_F \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\Omega, h}^{red}$. By Chebotarev and the fact that A is closed, T_v contained in A , which proves the lemma. \square

Next, we recall the following variant of [BH17, Lemma 6.5.6], which has the same proof. By definition, a good neighbourhood of a point $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is a neighbourhood U of x which is a connected component of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\Omega, h}$, for some slope-adapted pair (Ω, h) .

Lemma 3.2.2. *Let $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. Assume that ρ_x is defined over the coefficient field E and is absolutely irreducible. Then there exists a good neighbourhood U of x and a continuous Galois representation $\rho_U : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}(U))$ such that ρ_U restricts to ρ_y for every $y \in U(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. Moreover, ρ_y is absolutely irreducible for all $y \in U(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$.*

Let us now briefly recall the middle degree eigenvariety, as constructed⁷ in [BH17, §6.4]. The geometry of the whole $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is difficult to control. There is a Zariski open subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, called the middle degree eigenvariety, which has better geometric properties. In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$ is equidimensional of dimension d

⁷The eigenvarieties $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$ in [BH17] are constructed using compactly supported cohomology instead of usual cohomology. To construct these eigenvarieties for usual cohomology, replace the use of Borel–Moore homology in [BH17] by usual homology.

and reduced [BH17, Proposition 6.4.6, Theorem 6.4.8]. By [BH17, Proposition 6.4.3], $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$ is characterized by the property that, for $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ with weight κ , $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ if and only $H^i(Y_K, \mathbf{D}_\kappa^s)_{\mathfrak{p}_x} = 0$ for all $i \neq d$ (and s sufficiently large). This condition is not easy to check. When $F = \mathbb{Q}$ one has $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$ (see the beginning of [JN19a, §6.1]). In general one now has the following result, using the powerful vanishing result of [CT21].

Theorem 3.2.3. *Let $\overline{\phi} : T(K^p) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be a system of Hecke eigenvalues with kernel \mathfrak{m} which has an associated Galois representation $\overline{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Assume that $\overline{\rho}$ is irreducible. Additionally, assume $\overline{\rho}$ is generic in the sense of [CT21, Definition 7.1.3(3)]: There exists a rational prime $\ell \nmid 2p$ such that:*

- (1) ℓ is totally split in F ;
- (2) If v is any place above ℓ in F , then $\overline{\rho}$ is unramified at v and the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}(Frob_v)$ have ratios different from ℓ and ℓ^{-1} .

Then $H^i(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_\kappa)_{\leq h, \mathfrak{m}} = 0$ unless $i = d$, for any $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}_k(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ and any h . Hence, if $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is a point with $\overline{\rho}_x$ generic and irreducible, then a fortiori $H^i(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{p}_x} = 0$ unless $i = d$, and x lies in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$.

Proof. The proof of [BH17, Theorem B.0.1] goes through to give this result, using [CT21, Theorem 7.1.6] instead of the weaker (thanks to [CT21, Lemma 7.1.8]) special case used in the proof of [BH17, Theorem B.0.2]. \square

As the reduction $\overline{\rho}_x$ is constant along connected components, we see that whole components of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ are contained in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$.

Next, we discuss ‘classical points’, i.e., how to realize refined automorphic representations (π, α) as points on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. For this (and other things later on), it will be convenient to discuss a different way of normalizing the Δ_p -action on \mathbf{D}_k^s for cohomological weights $k = (k_1, k_2)$. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_p$ and let $f \in \mathbf{A}^s(\mathcal{O}_p, E)$. We define a new action \star of Δ_p on $\mathbf{A}^s(\mathcal{O}_p, E)$ by

$$(f \star g)(z) = k_1 k_2^{-1} (cz + d) k_2 (\det(g)) f(gz),$$

In other words, we remove the normalizations that were forced upon us by the fact that general weights are only defined on \mathcal{O}_p^\times and not the whole F_p^\times . We write $\mathbf{A}_{k, \star}^s$ for $\mathbf{A}^s(\mathcal{O}_p, E)$ with the right action \star , and $\mathbf{D}_{k, \star}^s$ for $\mathbf{D}^s(\mathcal{O}_p, E)$ with the dual left action (also denoted by \star). Note that the action of I is unchanged, so we have an equality $H^*(Y_K, \mathbf{D}_{k, \star}^s) = H^*(Y_K, \mathbf{D}_k^s)$ which respects the action of the Hecke operators away from p . The Hecke operators at p are scaled by an easily computable factor. Taking (co)limits, we obtain $\mathcal{A}_{k, \star}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{k, \star}$. The benefit of this new normalization lies in the comparison with the local system \mathcal{L}_k . There is a Δ_p -equivariant surjective map

$$I_k : \mathcal{D}_{k, \star} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_k,$$

called the integration map, which is defined in [BH17, Definition 5.4.1] (see also [HN17, §3.2]). The map I_k is the first step $C_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_k$ of a resolution $C_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_k$, with

$$C_i = \bigoplus_{\ell(w)=i} \mathcal{D}_{w \cdot k, \star}$$

of Δ_p -modules. This is the (locally analytic, dual) BGG resolution; the sum goes over elements of the Weyl group of length i . All that is important for us is that all terms in C_\bullet are direct sums of things of the form $\mathcal{D}_{k', \star}$ for some cohomological weights k' , so we will not recall the definitions of the maps or of the dot-action $w \cdot k$ of the Weyl group, instead referring to [Urb11, §3.3] for more details. We then have the following result.

Proposition 3.2.4. *Let $\overline{\phi} : T(K^p) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be a system of Hecke eigenvalues with kernel \mathfrak{m} which has an associated Galois representation $\overline{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Assume that $\overline{\rho}$ is irreducible and generic. Then I_k induces a surjection $H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_{k, \star})_{\leq h, \mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_{\leq h, \mathfrak{m}}$.*

Proof. This follows directly by applying Theorem 3.2.3 to the resolution $C_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_k$. \square

Given a refined automorphic representation (π, α) of weight k , we get a system of Hecke eigenvalues $\phi_\pi : \mathbf{T}(K) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ as follows: For $v \nmid p$ such that $K_v = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$, we let $\phi_\pi(T_v)$ and $\phi_\pi(S_v)$ be the

eigenvalues of T_v and S_v acting on $\pi_v^{K_v}$, respectively. For $v \mid p$, we define $\phi_\pi(U_v) = k_{2,v}(\varpi_v^{-1})\alpha_v$ and we let $\phi_\pi(S_v)$ be $k_{1,v}k_{2,v}(\varpi_v^{-1})$ times the scalar that S_v acts on $\pi_v^{L_v}$ by.

Corollary 3.2.5. *Let (π, α) be a refined automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight k and let ϕ_π be as above. Assume that $\bar{\rho}_\pi$ is irreducible and generic. Then ϕ_π defines a point on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$ of weight k .*

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 3.2.4 and Theorem 3.2.3. \square

From now on, we will write $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ for the point constructed from (π, α) by Corollary 3.2.5, and we will refer to these points as ‘classical’.

Remark 3.2.6. All (π, α) of weight k do define points on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, but without some result like the corollary above we do not know in general if these points have weight k .

From now until the end of this subsection, let $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ be a point on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ coming from a refined automorphic representation such that $\bar{\rho}_x$ is irreducible and generic⁸. The Galois representation $\rho_x = \rho_\pi$ satisfies local-global compatibility with π at all places, by the work of many people (see [BH17, §6.5] for more details). A consequence is the following invariance result for the local geometry of the eigenvariety at classical points, which will be important to us. We thank John Bergdall for discussions related to this result. For the Coleman–Mazur eigencurve, see [Ber19, Proposition 2.6]. The result below can be deduced from [Sah17, Theorem 3.2], as pointed out to us by Bergdall, but we give a slightly different phrasing of the proof since we will use the same argument again in Proposition 3.2.12 below.

Proposition 3.2.7. *Let $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ be as above, and let $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ be the prime-to- p conductor of π . Let $K^p \subseteq K_1^p(\mathfrak{n})$ be any compact open subgroup. Then the natural map*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K_1^p(\mathfrak{n})} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p}$$

is a local isomorphism at x .

Proof. By Lemma 3.2.1 the natural map $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K_1^p(\mathfrak{n})} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p}$ induces a closed immersion $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K_1^p(\mathfrak{n})}^{red} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p}^{red}$, and hence a closed immersion $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K_1^p(\mathfrak{n}), mid} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p}^{red}$ with x inside $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p, mid}$. It therefore suffices to prove that any component of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p, mid}$ which contains x has a Zariski dense set of classical cuspidal regular points of conductor $\leq \mathfrak{n}$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p, mid}$ is equidimensional of dimension d , any component C of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p}$ passing through x has a Zariski dense set of points coming from refined automorphic representations (π', α') , and we may take this Zariski dense set to be contained in the intersection of $V = C \cap U$ of C with a good neighbourhood U as in Lemma 3.2.2, where we have a Galois representation ρ_V . Fix a prime $v \nmid p\infty$. The Weil–Deligne representation attached to $\rho_V|_{G_{F,v}}$ (by Grothendieck’s monodromy theorem in families, see e.g. [BC09, §7.8.3]) defines a morphism $V \rightarrow X_{WD}$ to the moduli space of 2-dimensional Weil–Deligne representations over E , as constructed e.g. in [Hel21]. At pure points X_{WD} is smooth, so using local-global compatibility and the structure of the components of X_{WD} one sees that, in fact, $\pi'_v|_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)}$ is constant on classical points of V . In particular, the conductor is constant as desired. \square

Our next goal is to prove that twists of classical points are classical. To do this, we first discuss local-global compatibility for the determinant D at arbitrary points of cohomological weight $k = (k_1, k_2)$. Before stating the result, we recall that an *algebraic character* $\chi : \mathbb{A}_F^\times \rightarrow \bar{E}$ of weight w is a character that has an open kernel and satisfies

$$\chi(a) = \prod_{\tau} \tau(a)^w$$

for all $a \in F^\times$. Algebraic characters correspond bijectively to geometric characters of G_F . The correspondence can be pinned down by the equality of the values of Frobenii at almost all places, and the weight w corresponds to the Hodge–Tate weights (since F is totally real, the Hodge–Tate weights of geometric characters are all equal). Moreover, the geometric character is crystalline at places above p if and only if the algebraic character is unramified at all places above p , i.e. $\chi|_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}^\times}$ is trivial for all $v \mid p$.

⁸These assumptions are not strictly speaking needed for all results that follow.

Proposition 3.2.8. *Let $f \in H^*(Y_K, \mathbf{D}_{k,\star}^s)$ be an eigenvector for $\mathbf{T}(K)$. Then the center $Z(\mathbb{A}_F)$ acts on f via an algebraic character of weight w on α which is unramified at all places above p (here $Z(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ acts via $\mathbf{T}(K)$), and we define $Z(F_\infty)$ to act trivially).*

Proof. By the definitions the open subgroup $(Z(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) \cap K)Z(F_\infty)$ acts trivially and $Z(F_p) \cap I = Z(\mathcal{O}_p)$, so it remains to compute the action of $Z(F)$. The action of $z \in Z(\mathbb{A}_F)$ on $f \in \text{Hom}(C_\bullet(\mathfrak{Y}), \mathbf{D}_{k,\star}^s)$ is, by the definitions, given by

$$(zf)(\sigma) = z_p \star f(\sigma z).$$

If $z \in Z(F)$, then z acts trivially on \mathfrak{Y} , so $\sigma z = \sigma$ for all $\sigma \in C_\bullet(\mathfrak{Y})$. Moreover, from the definitions we see that z_p acts by $k_1 k_2^{-1}(z_p) k_2(z_p^2) = k_1 k_2(z_p)$ on $\mathbf{D}_{k,\star}^s$, which is equal to $\prod_\tau \tau(z)^w$. The proposition follows. \square

Corollary 3.2.9. *Let $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ be a point of cohomological weight k . Then the determinant D_x is crystalline of parallel Hodge-Tate weight $w + 1$.*

Proof. From the identity $D(\text{Frob}_v) = q_v S_v$ for $v \notin S(K)$ we see that D is the cyclotomic character times the geometric character corresponding to the algebraic character in Proposition 3.2.8 (for a choice f of eigenvector corresponding to the system of eigenvalues x). The corollary follows. \square

We keep considering $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ as above. The Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}(K)$ has a subalgebra $\mathbf{S}(K)$ consisting of Hecke operators $[K\delta K]$ with $\det(\delta) = 1$. This subalgebra is generated by the operators u_v at $v \mid p$, and the operators $t_v = [K \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_v & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_v^{-1} \end{pmatrix} K]$ for $v \notin S(K)$. Let $z \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ be of weight k and assume that

$$\phi_x(t_v) = \phi_z(t_v)$$

for $v \notin S(K)$. Equivalently, $\text{ad}^0 \rho_x \cong \text{ad}^0 \rho_z$. Our goal is to show that there exists a finite order Hecke character χ , unramified at all $v \mid p$, such that $z = (\pi \otimes (\chi \circ \det), \alpha')$ for some refinement α' of $\pi \otimes (\chi \circ \det)$, under an additional assumption on x . We start by noting that, since $\text{ad}^0 \rho_x \cong \text{ad}^0 \rho_z$, the projective representations of ρ_x and ρ_z are isomorphic (by Proposition 2.3.2) and hence there exists a character $\chi : G_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ such that $\rho_z \cong \rho_x \otimes \chi$. Taking determinants, we see that $\chi^2 = (\det \rho_z)(\det \rho_x)^{-1}$. By Corollary 3.2.9, χ^2 is a crystalline character of Hodge-Tate weight 0, and hence of finite order. It follows that χ is of finite order and hence de Rham. To go further, however, we need to discuss the p -adic Hodge-theoretic properties of ρ_x and ρ_z , which are currently only known over \mathcal{E}_{mid} . Thus, we need:

Proposition 3.2.10. *The reduction $\bar{\rho}_z$ is irreducible and generic. In particular, the point z lies on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{mid}}$.*

Proof. Irreducibility and genericity are trivially preserved under twisting, proving the first statement, and the second then follows from Theorem 3.2.3. \square

By Lemma 3.2.2 we may choose a good neighbourhood U of z over which the pseudocharacter T on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ comes from a Galois representation ρ_U , which additionally is absolutely irreducible at all $z' \in U(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. The key p -adic Hodge-theoretic property of the representations $\rho_{z',v}$, for $v \mid p$, is that they are trianguline. This is a consequence of the global triangulation results of [KPX14]; we now recall what we need. We will need some notation for rank 1 (φ, Γ) -modules; see [KPX14, §6.2] for more details. Let $v \mid p$ and let L be a (large) finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p containing all embeddings of F_v into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. We write $\mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}$ for the Robba ring of F_v with coefficients in L . The rank 1 (φ, Γ_{F_v}) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}$ correspond bijectively to continuous characters

$$\delta : F_v^\times \rightarrow L^\times$$

and we write $\mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta)$ for the corresponding (φ, Γ_{F_v}) -module. $\mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta)$ is crystalline if and only if $\delta|_{\mathcal{O}_v^\times}$ is of the form

$$k : a \mapsto a^k := \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_v} \sigma(a)^{k_\sigma}$$

for an integer tuple $k = (k_\sigma)_\sigma$; in this case the σ -Hodge-Tate weight of $\mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta)$ is $-k_\sigma$. If, more generally, A is an affinoid \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $\delta : F_v^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ is a continuous character, then one may construct a family $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Spa}(A)}(\delta)$ of rank 1 (φ, Γ_{F_v}) -modules over $\text{Spa}(A)$, which specializes to the pointwise construction for any $A \rightarrow L$. Let us now briefly discuss some aspects of triangulations of semistable representations

that we will need. First, let D be an arbitrary rank 2 (φ, Γ_{F_v}) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}$. A triangulation of D is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta_1) \rightarrow D \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta_2) \rightarrow 0$$

of (φ, Γ_{F_v}) -modules; δ_1 and δ_2 are called the (ordered) parameters of the triangulation. Now, let $\rho : G_{F_v} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ be a semistable representation with corresponding (φ, Γ_{F_v}) -module $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho)$ and filtered (φ, N) -module $D_{\mathrm{st}}(\rho)$. By Berger's equivalence [Ber08, Théorème A], triangulations of $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho)$ correspond to short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{st}}(\rho) \rightarrow D_2 \rightarrow 0$$

of filtered (φ, N) -modules, where D_1 and D_2 have rank 1. Assume additionally that the φ^{f_v} -eigenvalues on $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(\rho)$ are distinct and that the Hodge–Tate weights are $k_1 < k_2$ (i.e. they are distinct) — we will now describe the possible triangulations of $D_{\mathrm{st}}(\rho)$ (all of them are strict in the sense of [KPX14, Definition 6.3.1]). The local Langlands correspondence assigns a smooth admissible $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ -representation to the (φ, N) -module $D_{\mathrm{st}}(\rho)$ (forgetting the filtration) which we denote by $\pi(\rho)$. The U_v -eigenvalues on $\pi(\rho)^{I_v}$ are equal to the φ^{f_v} -eigenvalues on $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(\rho)$. We will have one or two triangulations, depending on whether $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(\rho)$ has rank one or two. Let α be an eigenvalue of φ^{F-v} on $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(\rho)$. Following [BH17, Example A.2.1], one gets an embedding

$$\mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta'_1) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho),$$

where $\delta'_1 = a^{-k_1} \mathrm{ur}(\alpha)$; here $\mathrm{ur}(\alpha)$ denotes the character on F_v^\times which is trivial on \mathcal{O}_v^\times and sends ϖ_v to α . The image may not be saturated, so this may not give rise to a triangulation, but its saturation is of the form $\mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta_1)$ with $\delta_1 = a^{-s} \delta'_1$, with $s_\sigma = 0$ or $s_\sigma = k_{2, \sigma} - k_{1, \sigma}$. This gives us a triangulation

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta_1) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta_2) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\delta_2 = \det(\rho) \delta_1^{-1}$. If $s_\sigma = 0$, we say that the triangulation is non-critical at σ , otherwise we say that it is critical at σ . If the valuation of α is small compared to the Hodge–Tate weights, then the triangulation will be non-critical at all σ ; cf. [BH17, Remark 6.3.9].

Now we return to our point $z \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{mid}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, of cohomological weight k , and its neighbourhood U . Assume that $z' = (\pi_{z'}, \alpha_{z'}) \in U(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is a classical point of weight $k_{z'}$, and assume in addition that z' satisfies the very strong form of ‘numerical non-criticality’ given in [BH17, Remark 6.3.9] (in particular, the assumptions imply that $\pi_{z', v}$ is an unramified principal series for all $v \mid p$). Such points are Zariski dense in U (by the proof of [BH17, Proposition 6.4.6(4)] – see the remarks in the proof of [BH17, Proposition 6.5.8(2)]). For $v \mid p$, $\rho_{z', v}$ has a triangulation

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta_{2, z'}) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_{z', v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta_{1, z'}) \rightarrow 0$$

by the discussion above and the assumptions on $\alpha_{z'}$, where $\delta_{2, z'} = a^{-k_{z', 2}} \alpha_{z', v}$ and $\delta_{1, z'} = a^{-k_{z', 1} - 1} \beta_{z', v}$, where $\beta_{z', v}$ is the other U_v -eigenvalue on $(\pi_{z', v})^{I_v}$. The parameters $\delta_{i, z'}$ extend to families δ_i over all of U : δ_2 is given by $\delta_2(\varpi_v) = U_v \in \mathcal{O}(U)^\times$ and $\delta_2|_{\mathcal{O}_v^\times} = a^{-\kappa_U, 2}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta_1) = D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\det \rho_U) \otimes \mathcal{R}_{F_v, L}(\delta_2^{-1})$. In particular, $\delta_2|_{\mathcal{O}_v^\times} = a^{-\kappa_U, 1 - 1}$. With these preparations, we can now prove that z is a classical point.

Proposition 3.2.11. *Assume that φ^{f_v} acting $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(\rho_{x, v})$ does not have any repeated eigenvalues for all $v \mid p$. Then, with notation and assumptions as above, χ is unramified and $z = (\pi \otimes (\chi \circ \det), \alpha')$ for some refinement α' of $\pi \otimes (\chi \circ \det)$.*

Proof. Fix $v \mid p$; we need to prove that χ is unramified at v and that α'_v is an eigenvalue of φ^{f_v} on $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(\rho_{z, v})$. If U has multiple irreducible components going through y , replace U by one of these components. We restrict all constructions above to this component, and keep all the notation; in particular, the component will still be called U . Then, using the classical points described above, $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_{U, v})$ is a densely pointwise strictly trianguline (φ, Γ_{F_v}) -module on U of rank 2 in the sense of [KPX14, Definition 6.3.2]. By [KPX14, Corollary 6.3.10] (and possibly enlarging E), there is an affinoid U' and a map $f : U' \rightarrow U$ with an E -point w such that $f(w) = y$, and such that $D = f^* D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_U)$ has a (global) triangulation

$$(3.2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{U'}(\delta_2) \rightarrow D \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where Q embeds into $\mathcal{R}_{U'}(\delta_1)$ with cokernel killed by Fontaine's " p -adic $2\pi i$ " t . That this cokernel is killed by t implies that the specialization

$$(3.2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{F_v, E}(\delta_{2,z}) \rightarrow D_{rig}(\rho_{z,v}) \rightarrow Q_w \rightarrow 0$$

of (3.2.1) to w is exact. Note that Q_w might not be a free $\mathcal{R}_{F_v, E}$ -module, so this may not be a triangulation, but the free part of Q_w embeds into $\mathcal{R}_{F_v, E}(\delta_{1,z})$ and the torsion part is killed by t . Arguing as in [BH17, Example A.2.1] we deduce that by replacing $\mathcal{R}_{F_v, E}(\delta_{2,z})$ in equation (3.2.2) with its saturation in $D_{rig}(\rho_{z,v})$ we obtain a triangulation

$$(3.2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{F_v, E}(\delta'_{2,z}) \rightarrow D_{rig}(\rho_{z,v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{F_v, E}(\delta'_{1,z}) \rightarrow 0$$

with $\delta'_{2,z} = a^s \delta_{2,z}$ and $\delta'_{1,z} = a^{-s} \delta_{1,z}$, where $s_\sigma = 0$ or $s_\sigma = k_{2,\sigma} - k_{1,\sigma} - 1$ otherwise. In particular, both parameters are crystalline, and hence $D_{crys}(\rho_{z,v}) \neq 0$. However, if χ_v was ramified, we would have $D_{crys}(\rho_{z,v}) = 0$ since $\rho_{z,v} = \rho_{x,v} \otimes \chi_v$ and $\rho_{x,v}$ is semistable. We conclude that χ_v is unramified, as desired, and hence that $\rho_{z,v}$ is semistable and $\pi \otimes (\chi \circ \det)$ is a refinable automorphic representation.

To show that z is classical of the desired form, it remains to show that $\alpha'_v := \varpi_v^{k_2} U_v(z)$ is a refinement at v , i.e. an eigenvalue of φ^{f_v} on $D_{crys}(\rho_{z,v})$. But this follows directly by comparing the triangulation (3.2.3) with the possible triangulations of semistable representations as outlined above (note that φ^{f_v} does not have repeated eigenvalues on $D_{crys}(\rho_{z,v})$ by our assumptions, as this property is stable under twisting). \square

To finish this subsection, we will study the fibre of the coherent sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ near a classical point $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ with distinct refinements and such that $\overline{\rho}_x$ is irreducible and generic. By Proposition 3.2.4, there is a surjection

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_z \rightarrow H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_{k_z})[\mathfrak{p}_z]$$

for any classical point $z = (\pi_z, \alpha_z)$ of weight k_z satisfying the same assumptions as x , which is an isomorphism whenever the slope of α_z is sufficiently small (cf. [BH17, Proposition 6.3.8]).

Proposition 3.2.12. *The dimension $\dim H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_{k_z})[\mathfrak{p}_z]$ is constant on classical points in a neighbourhood of x .*

Proof. By the description of cuspidal cohomology, we have

$$H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_{k_z})[\mathfrak{p}_z] \cong \left(\bigoplus_{v|p} \pi_{z,v}^{I_v}[U_v = \alpha_{z,v}] \oplus \bigoplus_{v \nmid p} \pi_{z,v}^{K_v} \right)^{\oplus 2}.$$

Since $\dim \pi_{z,p}^{I_v}[U_v = \alpha_{z,v}] = 1$ by our assumption on distinct refinements, it suffices to prove that $\dim \pi_{z,v}^{K_v}$ is constant on classical points in a neighbourhood of x for $v \nmid p$. But by the argument in the proof of Proposition 3.2.7, $\pi_{z,v}|_{GL_2(\mathcal{O}_v)}$ is constant on classical points in a neighbourhood of x . This finishes the proof. \square

As noted above, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_z \cong H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_{k_z})[\mathfrak{p}_z]$ when z has small slope. By semicontinuity of the fibre dimension of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, we immediately get the following corollary to Proposition 3.2.12.

Corollary 3.2.13. *We have $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x \cong H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_{k_x})[\mathfrak{p}_x]$ if and only if $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is locally free at x .*

3.3. Overconvergent cohomology for SL_2 . We now discuss overconvergent cohomology and the corresponding eigenvarieties for SL_2 over F .

3.3.1. Weight space and the weight action on locally analytic distributions. Let $T_0 \subset SL_2/\mathcal{O}_F$ be the diagonal torus. Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_E[[T_0(\mathcal{O}_p)]])^{rig}$ be the rigid analytic space over E parametrizing continuous characters of $T_0(\mathcal{O}_p)$. We will often identify T_0 with \mathbb{G}_m by sending $\mathrm{diag}(t, t^{-1})$ to t , and use this to identify characters of T_0 with characters of \mathbb{G}_m . The weight space \mathcal{W} is related to \mathcal{W}_k from §3.1.2 by the natural inclusion $T_0 \subset T$, which induces a map

$$\mathrm{res} : \mathcal{W}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$$

by restriction along $T_0(\mathcal{O}_p) \subseteq T(\mathcal{O}_p)$. Explicitly, it is given by $(\chi_1, \chi_2) \mapsto \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = k_1 k_2 \chi_2^{-2}$, and in particular it is finite étale.

Let $\kappa : \mathrm{Spa}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ be a p -adic weight. We let $\mathfrak{s}(\kappa) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\{v|p\}}$ be a tuple such that the extension $\kappa! : \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow R$ of κ by zero is an element of $\mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}(\kappa)}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$. Just as for GL_2 , we can equip the spaces $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ with weight actions. Let $I_0 = I \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_p)$ be the product of the Iwahori subgroups $I_{0,v} = I_v \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$ for $v | p$. Still for $v | p$, let $\Sigma_{0,v} := \Sigma_v \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(F_v) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_v^a & \\ & \varpi_v^{-a} \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ and put $\Sigma_0 := \prod_{v|p} \Sigma_{0,v}$. Again consider the subgroup

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varpi_p \mathcal{O}_p \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of the unipotent radical and define

$$\Sigma_0^+ = \{t \in \Sigma_0 \mid tN_1t^{-1} \subseteq N_1\}.$$

We consider the monoid $\Delta_{0,p} := I_0 \Sigma_0^+ I_0 = \Delta_p \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(F_p)$. Now for κ as above and $\mathfrak{s} \geq \mathfrak{s}(\kappa)$ we have a continuous R -linear right action of $\Delta_{0,p}$ on $\mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ defined by

$$f|_g(z) := \kappa(cz + d)f(g \cdot z)$$

where $f \in \mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$, $z \in \mathcal{O}_p$ and $g = \xi g^\circ$ is the same decomposition as in the GL_2 case, i.e. $g^\circ = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_p^\circ$ satisfies $d \in \mathcal{O}_p^\times$. As in Definition 3.1.2, for any p -adic weight $\kappa : \mathrm{Spa}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathfrak{s} \geq \mathfrak{s}(\kappa)$ we define $\mathbf{A}_\kappa^{\mathfrak{s}} := \mathbf{A}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$, $\mathbf{D}_\kappa^{\mathfrak{s}} := \mathbf{D}^{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$, $\mathcal{A}_\kappa := \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ and $\mathcal{D}_\kappa := \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}_p, R)$ as the respective R -modules equipped with the continuous action by $\Delta_{0,p}$ defined above.

Remark 3.3.1. Note that, by definition, if $\kappa : \mathrm{Spa}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_k$ is a p -adic GL_2 -weight, then $\kappa_0 := \mathrm{res} \circ \kappa$ is a p -adic SL_2 -weight and the respective $R[\Delta_{0,p}]$ -modules $\mathbf{A}_\kappa^{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\kappa_0}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ agree. The only difference between these objects is that the former has an action of the bigger monoid Δ_p . By dualizing, the same remark applies for distribution modules.

3.3.2. Overconvergent cohomology and eigenvarieties. Overconvergent cohomology and eigenvarieties for SL_2 are defined according to the same general recipe as outlined for GL_2 in §3.1. We will briefly go through what we need in order to set up notation.

Let $K_v \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(F_v)$ be compact open subgroups for $v \nmid p\infty$ with $K_v = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$ for all but finitely many v . Set $K^p = \prod_{v \nmid p\infty} K_v$ and $K = K^p I_0$ and assume that they are neat. We define the abstract SL_2 -Hecke algebra $\mathbf{S}(K)$ as follows. Let $S(K)$ denote the union of set of places $v \nmid p\infty$ where $K_v \neq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$ and the places above p and ∞ . We define

$$\mathbf{S}(K) := \mathbf{S}(\Delta_{0,p}, I_0) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \notin S(K)} \mathbf{S}(\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v), K_v)$$

where $\mathbf{S}(\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v), K_v) = C_c(K_v \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F_v) / K_v, E)$ is the spherical Hecke algebra over E for $v \notin S(K)$, and $\mathbf{S}(\Delta_{0,p}, I_0)$ is the Hecke algebra (over E) for the Hecke pair $(\Delta_{0,p}, I_0)$. The latter is also the commutative subalgebra of the Iwahori–Hecke algebra $C_c(I_0 \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F_p) / I_0, E)$ generated by the $[I_0 \delta I_0]$, where $\delta \in \Sigma_0^+$. Note that when $K = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) \cap \tilde{K}$ for some compact open $\tilde{K} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ with $\tilde{K}_p = I$, then $\mathbf{S}(K)$ is naturally identified with the subalgebra $\mathbf{S}(\tilde{K}) \subseteq \mathbf{T}(\tilde{K})$ defined in §3.2, and in particular we have the SL_2 -Hecke operators defined in §3.1 in $\mathbf{S}(K)$.

We define $u_p := [K({}^p p^{-1})K] \in \mathbf{S}(K)$. Let $\Omega = \mathrm{Spa}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ be an open affinoid subdomain and let $\mathfrak{s} \geq \mathfrak{s}(\Omega)$. Then u_p acts compactly on the chain complex $C_\bullet(Y_K^1, \mathbf{A}_\Omega^{\mathfrak{s}})$ (for a choice of Borel–Serre complex) and we can form the Fredholm series

$$f_{\Omega, u_p}(t) = \det(1 - tu_p \mid C_\bullet(Y_K^1, \mathbf{A}_\Omega^{\mathfrak{s}})).$$

As Ω varies these glue together to a Fredholm series $f_{u_p}(t) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}_0)\{\{t\}\}$ and we let $\mathcal{Z}_{u_p} \subset \mathcal{W} \times \mathbb{A}_E^1$ be the corresponding Fredholm hypersurface. For any slope-adapted pair (Ω, h) for u_p we get a slope $\leq h$ decomposition

$$H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_\Omega) = H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{\leq h} \oplus H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{> h}$$

(cf. [Urb11, §2.3.12]) and an induced morphism

$$\psi_{\Omega, h} : \mathbf{S}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega)}(H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{\leq h}).$$

The $H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{\leq h}$ glue together to a graded $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{u_p}}$ -module, which we denote by \mathcal{M} and the $\psi_{\Omega, h}$ glue to a morphism $\psi: \mathbf{S}(K) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{M})$. From this, we may construct the eigenvariety $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{K^p}$ as for GL_2 . As for GL_2 , we may define⁹ a middle degree eigenvariety \mathcal{E}_{mid} , whose points are characterised by the condition that $x \in \mathcal{E}_{mid}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ if and only if $H^i(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_\kappa)_{\mathfrak{p}_x} = 0$ for $i \neq d$, where κ is the weight of x .

Our next goal is to define classical systems of Hecke eigenvalues. These will be associated with L -packets, as opposed to individual automorphic representations. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be a cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, and let Π be the corresponding L -packet of admissible irreducible representations of $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Recall that we have a level $K = K^p I_0 \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ attached to our eigenvariety $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{K^p}$; we assume that there exists a $\pi \in \Pi$ with $(\pi^\infty)^K \neq 0$. Note that π_v is uniquely determined by Π for $v \notin S(K)$ by the remarks preceding Lemma 2.2.3. For each $v \mid p$, a refinement γ_v at v of Π is then a choice of u_v -eigenvalue γ_v on $\tau_v^{I_0, v}$, for some member τ_v of the local L -packet Π_v . A refinement of Π is then a collection $\gamma = (\gamma_v)_v$ for refinements at all places $v \mid p$. By Lemma 2.2.4, given a refined L -packet (Π, γ) , there exists an *automorphic* $\pi \in \Pi$ such that $(\pi^\infty)^K \neq 0$ and γ_v is a u_v -eigenvalue of $\pi_v^{I_0, v}$ for all $v \mid p$; we will use this without further comment. For a refined L -packet (Π, γ) of level K , we may therefore define a system of Hecke eigenvalues $\phi = \phi_{(\Pi, \gamma)}: \mathbf{S}(K) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ by setting $\phi(t_v)$ to be the eigenvalue of t_v acting on $\pi_v^{SL_2(\mathcal{O}_v)}$ for $v \notin S(K)$, and letting $\phi(u_v) = k_v(\varpi_v)\gamma_v$ for $v \mid p$, where k is the weight of Π . After twisting $\tilde{\pi}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\tilde{\pi}$ has level containing $\tilde{K} = \tilde{K}^p I$ with $S(\tilde{K}) = S(K)$, $K \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) \cap \tilde{K}$, and that there exists a (not necessarily unique) refinement α of $\tilde{\pi}$ such that $\phi_{(\Pi, \gamma)}$ is the restriction of $\phi_{(\pi, \alpha)}$ to $\mathbf{S}(\tilde{K}) = \mathbf{S}(K)$. We will always assume that $\tilde{\pi}$ is chosen this way.

Equipped with this, we now define the classical points on \mathcal{E} that we will be interested in. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{(\Pi, \gamma)}$ be the prime ideal of $\mathbf{S}(K)$ corresponding to $\phi_{(\Pi, \gamma)}$. We will use the following vanishing result.

Lemma 3.3.2. *Assume that the reduction $\bar{\rho}$ modulo p of $\rho_{\tilde{\pi}}$ is generic and irreducible, and let k' be any cohomological weight for SL_2 . Let $\phi'_{(\Pi, \gamma)}$ denote the intersection $\phi_{(\Pi, \gamma)} \cap \mathbf{S}(K')$ for a compact open subgroup $K' = (K')^p I_0 \subseteq K$. Then $H^i(Y_{K'}^1, \mathcal{D}_{k'})_{\mathfrak{p}'_{(\Pi, \gamma)}} = 0$ if $i \neq d$.*

Proof. Note that the effect of introducing K' in the formulation is simply to say that we do not need all of $\mathbf{S}(K)$; we may remove a finite number of Hecke operators away from p . By Lemma 2.1.1, we may assume that $\tilde{K}' \subseteq \tilde{K}$ is such that $H^i(Y_{K'}^1, \mathcal{D}_{k'})$ injects into $H^i(Y_{\tilde{K}'}^1, \mathcal{D}_{k'})$ by shrinking it further if necessary. The localization $H^i(Y_{K'}^1, \mathcal{D}_{k'})_{\mathfrak{p}_{(\Pi, \gamma)}}$ then injects into

$$\bigoplus_x H^i(Y_{\tilde{K}'}^1, \mathcal{D}_{k'})_{\mathfrak{p}_x},$$

where the sum is taken over those systems of GL_2 -Hecke eigenvalues x appearing in $H^i(Y_{\tilde{K}'}^1, \mathcal{D}_{k'})$ which lift $\phi_{(\Pi, \gamma)}$. Since the corresponding Galois representations are all twists of $\rho_{\tilde{\pi}}$, vanishing follows from Theorem 3.2.3. \square

As for GL_2 , we have the twisted version $\mathcal{D}_{k, \star}$ of \mathcal{D}_k , the integration map $I_k: \mathcal{D}_{k, \star} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_k$ and the locally analytic dual BGG resolution of \mathcal{L}_k , and under the assumptions of Lemma 3.3.2 the integration map induces a surjection

$$H^d(Y_{K'}^1, \mathcal{D}_{k, \star})_{\mathfrak{p}_{(\Pi, \gamma)}} \rightarrow H^d(Y_{K'}^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_{\mathfrak{p}_{(\Pi, \gamma)}},$$

and $\phi_{(\Pi, \gamma)}$ lies on \mathcal{E}_{mid} . By the general arguments proving [BH17, Proposition 6.4.6, Theorem 6.4.8], \mathcal{E}_{mid} is equidimensional of dimension d and reduced (and, by definition, Zariski open in \mathcal{E}). Finally, we record the existence of a three-dimensional pseudocharacter over the Zariski closure $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$ of \mathcal{E}_{mid} (we remark that $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$ is exactly the union of irreducible components of \mathcal{E} of dimension d).

Proposition 3.3.3. *There exists a three-dimensional pseudocharacter $t: G_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{mid})$ which is unramified outside $S(K)$ and characterized by the property $t(Frob_v) = t_v$ for all $v \notin S(K)$.*

⁹We remark that the construction of the middle degree eigenvariety and the characterization of its points given in [BH17] is completely general and uses nothing special to $GL_{2/F}$. Of course, it may be empty in general.

Proof. The proof is standard so we content ourselves with a sketch. The classical points $y = (\Pi, \gamma)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$ whose L -packet Π comes from a cuspidal $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -automorphic representation π of cohomological weight (and unramified outside $S(K)$) are Zariski dense. For each such $y = (\Pi, \gamma)$ coming from π , there is an associated Galois representation $\mathrm{ad}^0 \rho_\pi$ such that for $v \notin S(K)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{ad}^0 \rho_\pi(\mathrm{Frob}_v))$ is the t_v -eigenvalue on $(\pi^\infty)^K$. The corresponding pseudocharacters then glue together by the technique in [Che14, Example 2.32]. \square

3.4. Comparison and p -adic functoriality. Our final goal in this section is to provide a comparison between the GL_2 - and SL_2 -eigenvarieties, with the goal of computing the local geometry of \mathcal{E} at the points we are interested in, as well as the fibre of \mathcal{M} at those points.

Let (π, α) be a refined automorphic representation for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, of cohomological weight k . We further assume that $\bar{\rho}_\pi$ is irreducible and generic and that, for any $v \mid p$, $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(\rho_{\pi, v})$ has no φ^{f_v} -eigenvalue of multiplicity > 1 . Let $\Pi = \Pi(\pi)$ be the global L -packet for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ corresponding to π . We choose a tame level $K^p = \prod_{v \nmid p} K_v^p$ such that $(\pi^\infty)^K \neq 0$, with $K = K^p I$. For simplicity, we assume that $K^p \subseteq K_1^p(\mathfrak{m})$ with \mathfrak{m} the prime-to- p conductor of π . We then get a classical point $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p}$. The restriction of the eigensystem ϕ_x to $\mathbf{S}(K)$ defines the eigensystem of (Π, γ) , where u_v acts by γ_v on $\pi_v^{I_v}[U_v = \alpha_v]$, and hence a classical point $y = (\Pi, \gamma)$ on $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_K := \mathcal{E}_{(K \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty))}$. It is the local structure of \mathcal{E} around y that we wish to understand.

To this end, consider the weight space \mathcal{W}_k for GL_2 , with its restriction map

$$\mathrm{res} : \mathcal{W}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{W}.$$

This map is finite étale, so by Proposition A.2.2 there is an open affinoid neighbourhood U in \mathcal{W}_k of k which maps isomorphically onto its image in \mathcal{W} by res . We use this to identify U and k with their respective images in \mathcal{W} and to view them as both GL_2 - and SL_2 -weights; we hope that this does not cause confusion. Shrinking U if necessary, we may assume that there is an $h \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ such that (U, h) is slope adapted both for SL_2 and GL_2 , and that x and y have slope $\leq h$ (for u_p — recall that all slopes in this paper are with respect to u_p for both SL_2 and GL_2). The natural map $Y_K^1 \rightarrow Y_K$ then gives us a map

$$H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \rightarrow H^d(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h},$$

equivariant for the action of $\mathbf{S}(K)$ on both sides, where $\mathbf{S}(K)$ is viewed as a subalgebra of $\mathbf{T}(K)$ for the action on the left hand side. We may factor this map as

$$(3.4.1) \quad H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \twoheadrightarrow H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_K} \hookrightarrow H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h},$$

with $\mathbf{S}(K) := \mathbf{S}(K \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty))$ acting on all three terms and the maps are equivariant (we note that $H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_K}$ can be obtained from $H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)$ either by first taking the slope $\leq h$ -part and then taking H_K -coinvariants or vice versa).

We now consider four a priori different Hecke algebras. First, there is $\mathbf{T}_{U, h}$ acting on $H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}$. To emphasize the level we will call it $\mathbf{T}_{U, h}^K$; note that $\mathbf{T}_{U, h}^K$ is the local piece of the eigenvariety $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ corresponding to (U, h) . Second, the subalgebra of $\mathbf{T}_{U, h}^K$ generated by $\mathcal{O}(U)$ and the image of $\mathbf{S}(K)$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{S}_{U, h}^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$. Third, the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}(U)}(H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_K})$ generated by $\mathcal{O}(U)$ and the image of $\mathbf{S}(K)$ will be denoted by $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{U, h}^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$. Finally, the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}(U)}(H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h})$ generated by $\mathcal{O}(U)$ and the image of $\mathbf{S}(K)$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{S}_{U, h}^K$; note that $\mathbf{S}_{U, h}^K$ is the local piece of \mathcal{E}_K corresponding to (U, h) . All these Hecke algebras are finite over $\mathcal{O}(U)$. From the definitions and the diagram (3.4.1) we obtain natural maps between these Hecke algebras, summarized in the diagram

$$(3.4.2) \quad \mathbf{T}_{U, h}^K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{U, h}^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{S}}_{U, h}^K \leftarrow \mathbf{S}_{U, h}^K.$$

For our analysis, we also want to relate $\mathbf{T}_{U, h}^K$ and $\mathbf{S}_{U, h}^K$ more directly, by p -adically interpolating classical functoriality. By shrinking U if necessary, we may assume that U is connected and that the intersection $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid} \cap \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbf{T}_{U, h}^K)$ is a disjoint union of connected components of $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbf{T}_{U, h}^K)$, which means that it is affinoid corresponding to a ring $\mathbf{T}_{U, h}^{K, mid}$. We may then construct the p -adic functoriality map.

Proposition 3.4.1. *There is a (necessarily unique) $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -algebra map $\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{U,h}^{K,mid}$ making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{S}(K) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{T}(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{T}_{U,h}^{K,mid} \end{array}$$

commute.

Proof. This is the p -adic interpolation of classical functoriality from GL_2 to SL_2 . The proof is standard, so we will only sketch it. Classical weights are Zariski dense in U by connectedness, so classical points $x' = (\pi', \alpha')$ of small slope are Zariski dense in $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^{K,mid})$ since it is equidimensional of dimension d . These points ‘map’ to points $y' = (\Pi', \gamma')$ on $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K)$ by the same procedure that associated y to x earlier in this subsection. Since $\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^{K,mid}$ is reduced, the map now exists by the interpolation theorem [JN19b, Theorem 3.2.1]. \square

Our next step is to take the completed localization of all terms in diagram (3.4.2) at \mathfrak{p}_y . We write the diagram thus obtained as:

$$(3.4.3) \quad \mathbf{T}_y^K \leftarrow \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{S}}_y^K \leftarrow \mathbf{S}_y^K.$$

Note that \mathbf{T}_y^K can also be described as the completed localization of $\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^{K,mid}$ at \mathfrak{p}_y , and hence the p -adic functoriality map from Proposition 3.4.1 induces a map $\mathbf{S}_y^K \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_y^K$ whose image is $\mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$. Note also that

$$\mathbf{T}_y^K = \prod_{z \in \pi_K^{-1}(y)} \mathbf{T}_z^K,$$

where $\pi_K : \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{T}_{U,h}^K \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$ is the map induced by the inclusion, and \mathbf{T}_z^K is the completed local ring of $\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^K$ at z . To study these Hecke algebras, we will need to consider what happens when we vary K . If $K' = (K')^p I \subseteq K$, then we have a diagram

$$(3.4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{T}_y^{K'} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K'} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathbf{S}}_y^{K'} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{S}_y^{K'} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{T}_y^K & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathbf{S}}_y^K & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{S}_y^K \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are the usual level changing maps, but the dashed arrow comes from Lemma 2.1.1 and exists whenever K' is small enough (depending on K). To finish this section, we will show that all vertical arrows are surjective. For the map $\mathbf{T}_y^{K'} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_y^K$, this follows from Lemma 3.2.1. Surjectivity of the remaining maps also follow from the same argument (using Chebotarev) once we have established the existence of suitable pseudocharacters.

For $\mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$, consider the representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{y}} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{T}_y^K)$ obtained from Lemma 3.2.2 and the map $\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^K \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_y^K$, and let $T_0 : G_F \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_y^K$ be the pseudocharacter corresponding to $\mathrm{ad}^0(\rho_{\mathfrak{y}})$. Since $T_0(\mathrm{Frob}_v) = t_v \in \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$ for all $v \notin S(K)$, T_0 is valued in $\mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$. Chebotarev then gives us surjectivity of $\mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K'} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$, which also implies surjectivity of $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_y^{K'} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{S}}_y^K$. Finally, surjectivity of $\mathbf{S}_y^{K'} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_y^K$ follows from Proposition 3.3.3.

Let us now take stock of the situation. We have a commutative diagram

$$(3.4.5) \quad \mathbf{T}_y^K \longleftarrow \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{S}}_y^K \longleftarrow \mathbf{S}_y^K$$

where the map $\mathbf{S}_y^K \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$ is the p -adic functoriality map. In the rest of this section, we will prove that this p -adic functoriality map is an isomorphism, while simultaneously proving that \mathbf{S}_y^K and $\mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$ are independent of the tame level K . This is the main result of this section; the next section will analyse

the situation further using Galois deformation theory. To prove these results, we first have to analyse \mathbf{T}_y^K . The set $\pi_K^{-1}(y)$ changes as K changes, so \mathbf{T}_y^K is visibly not independent of K , and we have to replace it with something that is. Recall the product decomposition

$$\mathbf{T}_y^K = \prod_{z \in \pi_K^{-1}(y)} \mathbf{T}_z^K.$$

We now consider the set $\pi_K^{-1}(y)$. Let $z \in \pi_K^{-1}(y)$. By Proposition 3.2.11, there exists a finite image Hecke character χ , unramified at all $v \mid p$, such that

$$z = (\pi \otimes (\chi \circ \det), \alpha_z)$$

for some refinement α_z . We do *not* assert that $\chi \in H_K$, since we have not determined how ramified χ is away from p . Twisting by χ^{-1} , we obtain a point (π, α') , where α' is a refinement of π , possibly different from α . Note that $(\pi, \alpha') \in \pi_K^{-1}(y)$. Let Φ denote the set of all points $(\pi, \alpha') \in \pi_K^{-1}(y)$ (i.e. all points in $\pi_K^{-1}(y)$ whose corresponding automorphic representation is π); this set is independent of K . If π has CM by \tilde{F}/F we let $C_\pi = \{1, \psi\}$ where ψ is the quadratic character corresponding to \tilde{F}/F ; otherwise set $C_\pi = 1$. The group C_π acts on Φ by twisting.

Proposition 3.4.2. *The natural map*

$$\mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K} \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z^K$$

is injective, and these Hecke algebras are naturally independent of K . Moreover, C_π acts on $\prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z^K$ and

$$\mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K} \subseteq \left(\prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z^K \right)^{C_\pi}.$$

Proof. We start by proving injectivity. Let $L \in \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$ be such that it maps to 0 in $\prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z^K$. We have to show that $L = 0$. For this, it suffices to show that L maps to 0 in \mathbf{T}_z^K for any $z \in \pi_K^{-1}(y)$. By the discussion above, there exists a finite order Hecke character χ , unramified at all $v \mid p$, and a point $z' \in \Phi$ such that z' is the twist of z by χ . Choose $K' = (K')^p I \subseteq K$ prime to p such that $\chi \in H_{K'}$. Then twisting by χ defines an automorphism of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K'}$ sending z to z' . In particular, χ defines an isomorphism $\chi : \mathbf{T}_z^{K'} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{z'}^{K'}$, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K'} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{T}_z^{K'} & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \mathbf{T}_{z'}^{K'} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{T}_z^K & & \mathbf{T}_{z'}^K \end{array}$$

↘

commutes. By Lemma 3.2.7, the middle and right vertical maps are isomorphisms and by the discussion above the left vertical map is surjective. Since L maps to 0 in \mathbf{T}_z^K , a simple diagram chase implies that it must map to 0 in $\mathbf{T}_{z'}^K$ as well, finishing the proof of injectivity.

For independence of K , Lemma 3.2.7 implies that $\prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z^{K'} \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z^K$ is an isomorphism. It then follows from the injectivity above that the surjective map $\mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K'} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$ is an isomorphism.

Finally, we prove the statements regarding the C_π -action. If C_π is trivial, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, for small enough K , $\psi \in H_K$ which defines the action (by twisting), and it is clear that $\mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$ lies inside the C_π -invariants. The statement for all K then follows from independence of K . \square

With this, we can now prove that the p -adic functoriality map $\mathbf{S}_y^K \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 3.4.3. *The p -adic functoriality map $\mathbf{S}_y^K \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$ is an isomorphism, and these Hecke algebras are naturally independent of K .*

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 & & \swarrow & & \searrow \\
 \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K'} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathbf{S}}_y^{K'} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{S}_y^{K'} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathbf{S}}_y^K & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{S}_y^K \\
 & & \curvearrowleft & &
 \end{array}$$

obtained from diagram (3.4.4) by removing GL_2 -Hecke algebras and instead inserting the p -adic functoriality maps. Choose $K' = (K')^p I \subseteq K$ small enough so that the dashed arrow exists. By Proposition 3.4.2 the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism. The injectivity of $\mathbf{S}_y^K \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}$ then follows from a simple diagram chase (crucially using the dashed arrow), and the theorem then follows immediately. \square

4. THE LOCAL GEOMETRY OF EIGENVARIETIES

In this section we compute the local structure of the SL_2 -eigenvariety at classical points under certain assumptions. We build on the description from Theorem 3.4.3 by using Galois deformation theory and the results on pseudorepresentations and deformation theory from §2.3 and §2.4.

4.1. Setup and the non-CM case. Our setup in this whole section will be as §3.4, with one additional assumption. We now recall this briefly: (π, α) is a refined cohomological automorphic representation for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight k . We make the following assumptions on π throughout the rest of this paper:

- (1) If $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$, then $\overline{\rho}_\pi$ is irreducible and generic;
- (2) For every $v \mid p$, the U_v -eigenvalues on $\pi_v^{I_v}$ have multiplicity 1;
- (3) If $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and π has complex multiplication by a quadratic CM extension \tilde{F}/F , then $\tilde{F} \not\subseteq F(\zeta_{p^\infty})$.

Conditions (1) and (2) were present in §3.4 (and, more generally, heavily used in §3). Condition (3) is new and plays a crucial role because it implies that the Bloch–Kato Selmer group $H_f^1(G_F, \mathrm{ad}^0 \rho_\pi)$ vanishes (more on this in Proposition 4.1.1 below). Our assumptions are closely related to decency in the sense of [BH17, Definition 6.6.1]; they may be described as the most general conditions under which we currently know decency. Unlike the decency hypothesis itself, they are trivially invariant under twisting¹⁰. We record these statements in a proposition.

Proposition 4.1.1. *If π satisfies conditions (1)–(3), then (π, α) is decent for any choice of refinement α . In particular, the adjoint Bloch–Kato Selmer group $H_f^1(G_F, \mathrm{ad} \rho_\pi)$ vanishes. Moreover, if χ is a finite Hecke character of F unramified at all $v \mid p$, then $\pi \otimes (\chi \circ \det)$ satisfies conditions (1)–(3).*

Proof. As mentioned above, conditions (1)–(3) are trivially invariant under twisting, so we turn to verifying decency. By definition, $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ decent if it lies on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$, if condition (2) above is satisfied, and if $H_f^1(G_F, \mathrm{ad} \rho_\pi) = 0$. By Theorem 3.2.3, condition (1) implies that x lies on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$, and finally condition (3) implies that $H_f^1(G_F, \mathrm{ad} \rho_\pi) = 0$ by [Bel12, Proposition 2.15(iv)] (when $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and π has CM) and [NT20, Theorem 5.4] (the remaining cases), so (π, α) is decent. \square

For an extensive discussion of the decency condition we refer to [BH17, §1.6]. As in §3.4 the pair (π, α) gives rise to a global refined L -packet (Π, γ) of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, and we get classical points $x = (\pi, \alpha) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p}$ and $y = (\Pi, \gamma) \in \mathcal{E}_{K^p}$. In light of Proposition 3.4.2 and Theorem 3.4.3 the choice of K^p does not matter and we will drop it from the notation. We also abbreviate $S := S(K)$. From those results, we may summarize our situation as follows: Let Λ be the completed local ring of weight space at k . The completed local ring \mathbf{S}_y of \mathcal{E} at y is the sub- Λ -algebra of $\prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z$ generated by the SL_2 -Hecke operators, and lies inside the C_π -invariants. Here Φ is the set of refined automorphic representations $z = (\pi, \alpha_z)$ such that α_z maps to γ and \mathbf{T}_z is completed local ring of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ at z , and C_π is the set of quadratic characters η such that $\rho_\pi \otimes \eta \cong \rho_\pi$ — C_π is non-trivial if and only if π has CM, and in that case C_π has order 2 with the non-trivial element denoted by ψ . This means that:

¹⁰The problematic condition is that $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ lies on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$. It is not clear to us how to prove Proposition 3.2.10 with only the assumption that $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$.

- $\#\Phi = 2^r$, where r is the number of places $v \mid p$ such that π_v is an unramified principal series and $\alpha_v = -\beta_v$, where β_v is the other U_v -eigenvalue on $\pi_v^{I_v}$.

In particular, if π has CM by \tilde{F}/F , then r is the number of places $v \mid p$ in F which are inert in \tilde{F} .

Let us now introduce the Galois deformation rings that will be relevant to us. Let $\rho = \rho_\pi$, and let R_ρ be the (unrestricted) universal deformation ring of ρ with fixed determinant $\det \rho$, as introduced (with slightly different notation) in §2.4. By Proposition 2.4.7, R_ρ is formally smooth of dimension $2d$. We will need a different description of the tangent space of R_ρ than the one used in the proof of Proposition 2.4.7. If L/\mathbb{Q}_p is a finite extension and σ is finite dimensional continuous G_L -representation over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, we write $H_f^1(G_L, \sigma)$ for the local (crystalline) Bloch–Kato Selmer group and $H_{/f}^1(G_L, \sigma)$ for the quotient

$$H_{/f}^1(G_L, \sigma) := H^1(G_L, \sigma) / H_f^1(G_L, \sigma).$$

There is a natural map

$$(4.1.1) \quad H^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \rho) \rightarrow \prod_{v \mid p} H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho),$$

which is injective since the kernel $H_f^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$ vanishes by Proposition 4.1.1. In fact, it is an isomorphism:

Proposition 4.1.2. *The natural map (4.1.1) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We know that $H^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$ has dimension $2d$, so since the map is injective it suffices to show that $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$ has dimension $2[F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ for all $v \mid p$. By the local Euler characteristic formula we have

$$\dim H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) = \dim H^0(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) + \dim H^2(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) + 3[F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p],$$

and by [Pot13, Equation 3-2] we have

$$\dim H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) = \dim H^0(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) + \dim D_{dR}(\text{ad}^0 \rho) / D_{dR}^+(\text{ad}^0 \rho).$$

It follows that

$$\dim H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) = \dim H^2(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) + 3[F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p] - \dim D_{dR}(\text{ad}^0 \rho) / D_{dR}^+(\text{ad}^0 \rho).$$

To conclude, we note that $\dim D_{dR}(\text{ad}^0 \rho) / D_{dR}^+(\text{ad}^0 \rho) = [F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and that $H^2(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) = 0$ (since $D_{\text{rig}}(\text{ad}^0 \rho)$ has a generic triangulation in the sense of [Ber20]; this is proved in the proof of [BH17, Proposition 6.6.5]). \square

Now let $z = (\pi, \alpha_z) \in \Phi$. We will recall the structure of the Hecke algebras \mathbf{T}_z from [BH17], where it is equated with a certain Galois deformation ring. For any finite place v of F , let ρ_v denote the restriction of ρ to a decomposition group at v , and let \mathfrak{X}_v denote the (not necessarily representable) deformation functor for ρ_v with fixed determinant $\det \rho_v$. Recall that we have a finite extension E/\mathbb{Q}_p — the coefficient field — which we assume to be large enough for our constructions. Let $\eta \in E$. Following [Ber20], we will define a subfunctor $\mathfrak{X}_v^{\text{Ref}, \eta} = \mathfrak{X}_v^{\text{Ref}, \eta}$. Recall that x has cohomological weight $k = (k_1, k_2)$, and consider the restriction $k_{2,v}$ of k_2 to \mathcal{O}_v^\times , via the natural embedding $\mathcal{O}_v^\times \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p^\times$, and define $\text{LT}(k_{2,v}) : F_v^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ to be the extension of $k_{2,v}$ to F_v^\times defined by sending ϖ_v to 1. As $\text{LT}(k_{2,v})$ is bounded, it extends to a character of G_{F_v} which we also denote by $\text{LT}(k_{2,v})$. The subfunctor $\mathfrak{X}_v^{\text{Ref}, \eta} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_v$ of weakly refined deformations (with respect to η) is defined by declaring that a deformation $\tilde{\rho}_v$ of ρ_v to A is in $\mathfrak{X}_v^{\text{Ref}, \eta}(A)$ if and only if

$$D_{\text{crys}}^+(\tilde{\rho}_v \otimes \text{LT}(k_{2,v}))^{\varphi^{f_v} = \tilde{\eta}}$$

is free of rank one for some lift $\tilde{\eta}$ of $\varpi_v^{k_2} \eta$ to A . Here the character $\kappa_{2,v} : \mathcal{O}_v^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ is a lift of $k_{2,v}$ (viewed as a character on \mathcal{O}_v^\times) and encodes (and is built out of) the Hodge–Tate–Sen weights of $\tilde{\rho}_v$ which lift $-k_{2,v}$ (now viewed as a Σ_v -tuple of integers); we refer to [Ber20, §2.3, 2.4] for the details (we remark that $\tilde{\rho}$ determines $\kappa_{2,v}$ uniquely). We note that, for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_v$, $k_{2,\sigma}$ is the smallest σ -Hodge–Tate–Sen weight of ρ_v . By construction, $\tilde{\rho}_v \otimes \text{LT}(k_{2,v})$ has exactly one σ -Hodge–Tate–Sen weight equal to 0 (for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_v$). Let α_v and β_v be the φ^{f_v} -eigenvalues on $D_{\text{crys}}(\rho_v)$ (with β_v only considered if ρ_v is crystalline). Then $\mathfrak{X}_v^{\text{Ref}, \eta}$ is empty unless $\eta = \alpha_v$ or $\eta = \beta_v$, as $\varpi_v^{-k_{2,v}} \alpha_v$ and $\varpi_v^{-k_{2,v}} \beta_v$ are the eigenvalues for φ^{f_v} acting on $D_{\text{crys}}^+(\rho_v \otimes \text{LT}(k_{2,v}))$. By [Ber20, Proposition 3.1], valid also in the semistable non-crystalline

case as pointed out in [BH17, Footnote 26], $\mathfrak{X}_v^{\text{Ref},\eta} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_v$ is relatively representable for $\eta = \alpha_v, \beta_v$. Now let $\eta = (\eta_v)_{v|p} \in \prod_{v|p} E$. We may then define a global weakly refined deformation functor

$$\mathfrak{X}_\rho^{\text{Ref},\eta} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_\rho,$$

where \mathfrak{X}_ρ is the unrestricted deformation functor for ρ (represented by R_ρ), as the subfunctor of those deformations $\tilde{\rho}$ of ρ whose restriction to each $v | p$ lies in $\mathfrak{X}_v^{\text{Ref},\eta_v}$. By relative representability of the $\mathfrak{X}_v^{\text{Ref},\eta_v}$, $\mathfrak{X}_\rho^{\text{Ref},\eta}$ is representable by a quotient $R_\rho^{\text{Ref},\eta}$ of R_ρ . We note that if η is a refinement of π , then $R_\rho^{\text{Ref},\eta}$ surjects onto the completed local ring $\mathbf{T}_{(\pi,\eta)}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, by the argument in the proof of [BH17, Theorem 6.6.3] (the only difference being that we fix the determinant). Let $\mathfrak{t}_\rho^{\text{Ref},\eta}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_v^{\text{Ref},\eta_v}$ denote the tangent spaces of $\mathfrak{X}_\rho^{\text{Ref},\eta}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_v^{\text{Ref},\eta_v}$, respectively.

Proposition 4.1.3. *Let $v | p$.*

- (1) *If $\eta_v = \alpha_v$ or β_v , then $H_f^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho_v) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_v^{\text{Ref},\eta_v}$ and the quotient $\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref},\eta_v}$ has dimension $[F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.*
- (2) *If η is a refinement of π , then $R_\rho^{\text{Ref},\eta}$ is formally smooth of dimension d and the surjection $R_\rho^{\text{Ref},\eta} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{(\pi,\eta)}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $\mathbf{T}_{(\pi,\eta)}$ is topologically generated by the T_v -operators for $v \notin S$.*
- (3) *If π_v is an unramified principal series, then $H_f^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho_v) = \mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref},\alpha_v} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref},\beta_v}$.*

Proof. We prove parts (1) and (2) together. Part (1) is essentially proved by [BH17, Proposition 6.6.5, Lemma 6.6.7], except that we only get $\dim \mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref},\eta_v} \leq [F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. To prove equality, let $\eta = (\eta_v)_{v|p}$ be a refinement of π , and then note that, from the definition and Proposition 4.1.2, we have

$$\mathfrak{t}_\rho^{\text{Ref},\eta} \cong \bigoplus_{v|p} \mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref},\eta_v}.$$

Thus $R_\rho^{\text{Ref},\eta}$ has a tangent space of dimension $\leq d$, but its quotient $\mathbf{T}_{(\pi,\eta)}$ has Krull dimension d (for surjectivity of $R_\rho^{\text{Ref},\eta} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{(\pi,\eta)}$, see e.g. [Ber20, Proposition 4.3]). The equality in (1) follows, and (2) follows as well.

For part (3) it suffices to prove that $\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref},\alpha_v} \cap \mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref},\beta_v} = 0$ by part (1), since $\dim H_f^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho_v) = 2[F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. But any deformation in $\mathfrak{t}_v^{\text{Ref},\alpha_v} \cap \mathfrak{t}_v^{\text{Ref},\beta_v}$ has to be crystalline, and hence lie in $H_f^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho_v)$. This shows that $\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref},\alpha_v} \cap \mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref},\beta_v} = 0$. \square

We can now compute most of the structure of \mathbf{S}_y in the non-CM case.

Theorem 4.1.4. *Assume that π does not have CM. Then the map $\mathbf{S}_y \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z$ is surjective for every $z \in \Phi$, and the kernels are distinct. In particular, \mathbf{S}_y has 2^r irreducible components, all of which are smooth.*

Proof. Choose $z = (\pi, \alpha_z) \in \Phi$. We consider the surjective map $R_\rho \rightarrow R_\rho^{\text{Ref},\alpha_z} \cong \mathbf{T}_z$. By Theorem 2.4.6 (note H_r is trivial as π does not have CM) and Corollary 2.4.8, R_ρ is topologically generated by the traces of Frobenius at $v \notin S$ of ad^0 of the universal deformation, which map to $t_v \in \mathbf{T}_z$, $v \notin S$. In particular, these elements topologically generate \mathbf{T}_z and are in the image of $\mathbf{S}_y \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z$, proving the surjectivity. Since \mathbf{S}_y is equidimensional of dimension d and reduced, and the map

$$\mathbf{S}_y \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z$$

is injective, we conclude that the kernels of the maps $\mathbf{S}_y \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z$ account for all minimal primes in \mathbf{S}_y . It remains to show that they are distinct. For this, it suffices to show that the kernels of the maps $R_\rho \rightarrow R_\rho^{\text{Ref},\alpha_z}$ are distinct. But this follows immediately from the description of tangent spaces in Proposition 4.1.3 and its proof. \square

4.2. Tangent spaces in the CM case. After Theorem 4.1.4, we focus our attention on the CM case, which is rather more interesting. So, for the rest of section 4, we assume that π has CM by a (necessarily unique) quadratic CM extension \tilde{F} of F . As before, we write ψ for the quadratic character corresponding to the extension \tilde{F}/F . The extension \tilde{F}/F is unramified at all $v \mid p$ in F , and $\psi(\text{Frob}_v) = 1$ if v splits in \tilde{F} and $\psi(\text{Frob}_v) = -1$ if v is inert in \tilde{F} . We will assume that our tame level K^p is small enough so that $\psi \in H_K$. In particular, ψ defines a twisting automorphism on the eigenvariety $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ and if η is a refinement of π , then the twist

$$(\pi \otimes (\psi \circ \det), (\psi(\varpi_v)\eta_v)_v) = (\pi, (\psi(\varpi_v)\eta_v)_v)$$

of (π, η) by ψ is equal to (π, η) if and only if all $v \mid p$ in F split in \tilde{F} . Consider $\rho = \rho_\pi$. Since $\rho \cong \rho \circ \psi$, there is a matrix $X \in \text{GL}_2(E)$ such that

$$\rho(g) = X\rho(g)\psi(g)X^{-1}$$

for all $g \in G_F$. In particular, if A is an Artinian local E -algebra, then the rule

$$(4.2.1) \quad \tilde{\rho} \mapsto (g \mapsto X\tilde{\rho}(g)\psi(g)X^{-1})$$

gives a well defined involution on $\mathfrak{X}_\rho(A)$ compatible with changing A which is independent of the choice of X . We will refer to this action on \mathfrak{X}_ρ (and R_ρ) as ‘twisting by ψ ’. Under the isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{X}_\rho \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\text{ad}^0 \rho}$$

from Corollary 2.4.8, the twisting involution corresponds to the conjugation action of the non-trivial element centralizing $\text{ad}^0 \rho$ (here viewed as PGL_2 -valued representation), and we will use this without further comment. Note that the formula (4.2.1) also defines a ‘twisting action’ on \mathfrak{X}_v for any $v \mid p$, such that the natural map $\mathfrak{X}_\rho \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_v$ is equivariant. We stress here that we are using the matrix X from (4.2.1) from the global situation (which is unique up to a scalar) to define the action on \mathfrak{X}_v ; we are not choosing an arbitrary matrix X satisfying equation (4.2.1) only for g in G_{F_v} .

The goal of this subsection is to compute the twisting action of ψ on the tangent space of \mathfrak{X}_ρ ; we will then use the results in §4.3 to understand \mathbf{S}_y . Recall from Proposition 4.1.2 that we have isomorphism

$$H^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \rho) \cong \bigoplus_{v \mid p} H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho).$$

Under this isomorphism, the twisting action of ψ corresponds to the local twisting actions on the summands defined above (note that these actions preserve $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$ and hence descend to $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$). In particular, our goal is reduced to understanding the twisting action on $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$. This divides naturally into two cases: v inert in \tilde{F} or v split in \tilde{F} .

We begin with the case when v is inert. In this case ψ_v is the quadratic character corresponding to the unique unramified quadratic extension of F_v , and $\beta_v = -\alpha_v$. From Proposition 4.1.3 we have the description

$$H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) = \mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_v} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, -\alpha_v}.$$

Since $D_{\text{crys}}(\psi_v)$ is the filtered φ -module with φf_v acting by -1 and Hodge–Tate weights all being 0, we see that the twisting action of ψ_v interchanges the two subspaces $\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_v}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, -\alpha_v}$. This more or less determines the action in this case. We record this observation in the form of a proposition.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let $v \mid p$, and assume that v is inert in \tilde{F} . Then the twisting action of ψ_v on $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$ interchanges the two subspaces $\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_v}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, -\alpha_v}$.*

We now move on to the case when v is split in \tilde{F} , which is more interesting. In this case ψ_v is trivial, but note however that the twisting action may not be trivial, since we are still conjugating by a non-scalar matrix in $\text{GL}_2(E)$. We note that in this case the twisting action preserves the subspaces $\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_v}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, \beta_v}$, since the condition defining these subspaces only depends upon the isomorphism class of the deformation (which is unchanged by the twisting action, unlike the deformation class in general). Since ρ is induced from $G_{\tilde{F}}$, we can conjugate it if necessary so that the homomorphism

$$\rho : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(E)$$

lands inside the normalizer of the diagonal matrices. In particular, we may choose the matrix X above to be

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The restriction of ρ to G_{F_v} may then be written as

$$\rho_v = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for two characters $\chi_1, \chi_2 : G_{F_v} \rightarrow E^\times$. From this, we see that in the decomposition

$$(4.2.2) \quad H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad } \rho) = \bigoplus_{i,j=1,2} H^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_i \chi_j^{-1}),$$

twisting acts trivially on the terms with $i = j$ and by -1 on the terms with $i \neq j$, and it preserves $H_f^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad } \rho)$. To understand the relationship between this decomposition and the subspaces $\mathfrak{t}_{v,f}^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_v}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{v,f}^{\text{Ref}, \beta_v}$, we now consider the map

$$(4.2.3) \quad H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad } \rho) \cong \text{Ext}^1(\rho_v, \rho_v) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\rho_v \otimes \text{LT}(\kappa_{2,v}), \rho_v \otimes \text{LT}(\kappa_{2,v})) \cong H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad } \rho)$$

which is obtained by sending a lift $\tilde{\rho}_v$ of ρ_v to $E[\epsilon]$ to $\tilde{\rho}_v \otimes \text{LT}(\kappa_{2,v})$, as in the definition of the weakly refined deformation functor. We note that this endomorphism of $H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad } \rho)$ is equivariant for the twisting action (i.e. conjugation by X), but it is not injective. However, one has the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2.2. *Let $L : H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) \rightarrow H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad } \rho)$ be the restriction of the map in equation (4.2.3) to the subspace $H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) \subseteq H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad } \rho)$. Then $L^{-1}(H_f^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad } \rho)) = H_f^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$. In particular, L induces an injection $H_f^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) \rightarrow H_f^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad } \rho)$ which we will also denote by L .*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\rho}_v$ be a lift of ρ_v to $E[\epsilon]$ lying in $H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$, i.e. with determinant $\det \rho_v$. If $\tilde{\rho}_v \otimes \text{LT}(\kappa_{2,v})$ is crystalline, then its determinant $\det \rho_v \cdot \text{LT}(\kappa_{2,v})^2$ is crystalline as well, which implies that $\text{LT}(\kappa_{2,v})$ is crystalline and in fact equal to $\text{LT}(\kappa_{2,v})$, so $\tilde{\rho}_v$ must be crystalline as well. Conversely, if $\tilde{\rho}_v$ is crystalline, then $\kappa_{2,v} = k_{2,v}$ and the twist $\tilde{\rho}_v \otimes \text{LT}(\kappa_{2,v})$ is crystalline as well. \square

We can also characterize the image of L . Let $\chi : G_{F_v} \rightarrow E^\times$ be a de Rham character with Hodge–Tate weights $k_{\chi, \sigma}$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_v$. By applying D_{Sen} , one gets an isomorphism

$$H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi \chi^{-1}) = \text{Ext}_{/f}^1(\chi, \chi) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D_{Sen}(\chi), D_{Sen}(\chi)).$$

These spaces are of dimension $[F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, with one dimension corresponding deforming each Hodge–Tate weight. In particular, given a subset $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma_v$, we can define $H^1(G_{F_v}, \chi \chi^{-1})^\Delta$ to be the subspace of deformations whose σ -Hodge–Tate weight is constant for $\sigma \in \Delta$. By construction $H^1(G_{F_v}, \chi \chi^{-1})^\Delta$ is the preimage of a subspace $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi \chi^{-1})^\Delta \subseteq H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi \chi^{-1})$ of dimension $[F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p] - \#\Delta$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $\Delta_i \subseteq \Sigma_v$ be the set of σ such that the σ -Hodge–Tate weight $k_{\chi_i, \sigma}$ of χ_i is equal to $k_{2, \sigma}$. Note that Δ_1 and Δ_2 are disjoint and that their union is Σ_v .

Lemma 4.2.3. *Consider the injective map $L : H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho) \rightarrow H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad } \rho)$. Under the decomposition in equation (4.2.2), the image of L is*

$$H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_1^{-1})^{\Delta_1} \oplus H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}) \oplus H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_2 \chi_1^{-1}) \oplus H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_2 \chi_2^{-1})^{\Delta_2}.$$

In particular, this space has dimension $[F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

Proof. We start by proving the last statement. From the remarks above, the sum of the dimensions of $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_1^{-1})^{\Delta_1}$ and $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_2 \chi_2^{-1})^{\Delta_2}$ is $[F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. Then, a computation using the local Euler characteristic formula and [Pot13, Equation 3-2] shows that $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1})$ has dimension $\#\Delta_1$ and $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_2 \chi_1^{-1})$ has dimension $\#\Delta_2$, since $k_{\chi_1, \sigma} \neq k_{\chi_2, \sigma}$ for all σ . This proves the dimension formula.

To prove the rest of the lemma, it therefore suffices to prove that the image of L is contained in the given subspace, since both spaces have the same dimension. For this, let $\tilde{\rho}_v \in H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$ be a deformation to $E[\epsilon]$ and consider $L(\tilde{\rho}_v) = \tilde{\rho}_v \otimes \text{LT}(\kappa_{2,v})$. By construction, the Hodge–Tate weights

of $\tilde{\rho}_v \otimes \text{LT}(\kappa_{2,v})$ that reduce to 0 modulo ϵ are in fact 0. After applying D_{Sen} , this precisely translates into the condition that the components of $L(\tilde{\rho}_v)$ in $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_1^{-1})$ and $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_2 \chi_2^{-1})$ lie in $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_1^{-1})^{\Delta_1}$ and $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_2 \chi_2^{-1})^{\Delta_2}$, respectively, proving the lemma. \square

We can now prove the main result on the twisting action in the split case. For the purpose of this theorem, we label the eigenvalues of φ^{f_v} on $D_{\text{crys}}(\rho_v)$ so that α_v is the eigenvalue on $D_{\text{crys}}(\chi_1)$ and β_v is the eigenvalue on $D_{\text{crys}}(\chi_2)$. This means that α_v is the non-critical refinement of ρ_v and β_v is the critical refinement.

Theorem 4.2.4. *We use the notation as above. Then, in terms of the decomposition (4.2.2) and the notation of Lemma 4.2.3, we have*

$$L(\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_v}) = H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}) \oplus H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_2 \chi_2^{-1})^{\Delta_2}$$

and

$$L(\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_v}) = H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_1^{-1})^{\Delta_1} \oplus H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_2 \chi_1^{-1}).$$

In particular, the dimension of the -1 -eigenspace of $\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_v}$ is equal to the number of $\sigma \in \Sigma_v$ for which the refinement α_v of ρ_v is critical, and similarly for β_v .

Proof. (Cf. the proof of [Bel12, Theorem 2.16].) We prove the statement for α_v ; the proof for β_v is the same. Consider $\tilde{\rho}_v \in H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho_v)$ and its image $L(\tilde{\rho}_v)$ under L , viewed as an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \rho_v \otimes \text{LT}(k_{2,v}) \rightarrow L(\tilde{\rho}_v) \rightarrow \rho_v \otimes \text{LT}(k_{2,v}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\rho_v = \chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$ we get two extensions

$$0 \rightarrow \rho_v \otimes \text{LT}(k_{2,v}) \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \chi_2 \otimes \text{LT}(k_{2,v}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \rho_v \otimes \text{LT}(k_{2,v}) \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \chi_1 \otimes \text{LT}(k_{2,v}) \rightarrow 0$$

which, by Lemma 4.2.3 are elements of $H^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}) \oplus H^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_2 \chi_2^{-1})^{\Delta_2}$ and $H^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_1^{-1})^{\Delta_1} \oplus H^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_2 \chi_1^{-1})$, respectively, and $L(\tilde{\rho}_v) = \tau_1 + \tau_2$. Now if $\tilde{\rho}_v \in \mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_v}$, then τ_2 is crystalline by [Ber17, Lemma 7.2], which shows that

$$L(\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_v}) \subseteq H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}) \oplus H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_2 \chi_2^{-1})^{\Delta_2}.$$

Equality then follows since both spaces have the same dimension. Finally, we see that the -1 -eigenspace of $\mathfrak{t}_{v,/f}^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_v}$ is (isomorphic to) $H_{/f}^1(G_{F_v}, \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1})$, whose dimension is equal to the number of $\sigma \in \Sigma_v$ for which $k_{\chi_1, \sigma} > k_{\chi_2, \sigma}$, i.e. the number of σ for which α_v is critical. \square

4.3. The local structure in the CM case. We now apply the computations of the previous subsection to the problem of understanding \mathbf{S}_y . We return to the setup from §4.1, and keep the assumption from §4.2 that π has CM by \tilde{F} . We will use the following notation throughout the rest of this paper: If W is any E -vector space with an involution, we will write W^+ for the $+1$ -eigenspace of the involution and W^- for the -1 -eigenspace of the involution, and we will write W_+ and W_- for the largest quotients of W on which the involution acts by $+1$ and -1 , respectively. Note that the natural maps $W^+ \rightarrow W_+$ and $W^- \rightarrow W_-$ are isomorphisms — this is sometimes useful and we will use it without further comment, though we will also want to distinguish between them. In what follows, the involution will always mean the twisting action coming from the non-trivial element $\psi \in C_\pi$.

Next, we have the set Φ of points $z = (\pi, \alpha_z)$ mapping to y , and the inclusion

$$\mathbf{S}_y \subseteq \prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z.$$

As in §4.1, we focus on the map

$$R_\rho \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \Phi} R_\rho^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_z} \cong \prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z.$$

We will use the identifications $\mathbf{T}_z \cong R_\rho^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_z}$ throughout this subsection without further comment. Let S_p denote the set of places of F above p . We divide S_p into a disjoint union

$$S_p = S_{in} \cup S_{sp},$$

where S_{in} is the set of $v \mid p$ which are inert in \tilde{F} and S_{sp} is the set $v \mid p$ which split in \tilde{F} . We will use the following piece of notation: If V is a finite dimensional E -vector space, then we write $E[[V]]$ for the completion of the symmetric algebra $\text{Sym}^\bullet V$ along the ideal $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Sym}^n V$. $E[[V]]$ is then isomorphic to a power series ring in $\dim V$ variables by choosing a basis for V . If $v \in S_p$, we set

$$V_v = H_{f|_v}^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 \rho)^\vee.$$

If $V_p := \bigoplus_{v \mid p} V_v$, then the isomorphism (4.1.1) gives us an isomorphism $R_\rho \cong E[[V_p]]$. If $\eta = (\eta_v)_{v \mid p}$ is a refinement of π , we also define

$$V_{v, \eta_v} = (t_v^{\text{Ref}, \eta_v})^\vee,$$

which we view as a *direct summand* of V_v via Proposition 4.1.3(3). If we set

$$V_{p, \alpha_z} = \bigoplus_{v \mid p} V_{v, \alpha_z, v},$$

then we have $R_\rho^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_z} \cong E[[V_{p, \alpha_z}]]$. Our first statement about \mathbf{S}_y concerns the number of its irreducible components.

Theorem 4.3.1. *Let f_z denote the natural map $R_\rho^+ \rightarrow R_\rho^{\text{Ref}, \alpha_z}$. If $r = 0$, then \mathbf{S}_y is irreducible. If $r \geq 1$, then $\text{Ker } f_z = \text{Ker } f_{z'}$ if and only if z and z' lie in the same orbit of Φ under the action of ψ . As a consequence, \mathbf{S}_y has exactly 2^{r-1} irreducible components.*

Proof. If $r = 0$, then $\Phi = \{x\}$ and $\mathbf{S}_y \subseteq \mathbf{T}_x$, proving the result since \mathbf{T}_x is smooth. Assume that $r \geq 1$; we prove the ‘‘if and only if’’ statement. Since the map $R_\rho \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z$ is equivariant with respect to twisting, and twisting identifies \mathbf{T}_z and $\mathbf{T}_{z'}$ when z and z' are twists of one another, the ‘‘if’’ part follows. Now assume that z and z' are not in the same orbit (in particular, we must have $r \geq 2$). Then we can find $v, v' \in S_{in}$ such that $\alpha_{z, v} = \alpha_{z', v}$ but $\alpha_{z, v'} = -\alpha_{z', v'}$. Now choose $x_1 \in V_{v, \alpha_z}$ and $x_2 \in V_{v', \alpha_{z, v'}}$, and let $y_1 \in V_{v, -\alpha_z}$ and $y_2 \in V_{v', -\alpha_{z, v'}}$ be the twists of x_1 and x_2 , respectively. By construction, $x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 \in R_\rho^+$. On the other hand, we have $x_1, x_2 \in V_{p, \alpha_z}$, $y_1, y_2 \notin V_{p, \alpha_z}$, $x_1, y_2 \in V_{p, \alpha_{z'}}$ and $y_1, x_2 \in V_{p, \alpha_{z'}}$, also by construction. In particular, $x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2$ maps to $x_1 x_2$ in \mathbf{T}_z but maps to 0 in $\mathbf{T}_{z'}$, showing that the kernels of f_z and $f_{z'}$ are distinct.

Finally, to show that this implies that \mathbf{S}_y has 2^{r-1} components, consider

$$R_\rho^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_y \subseteq \left(\prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z \right)^+ \cong \prod_{z \in \Phi'} \mathbf{T}_z,$$

where $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ is a set of orbit representatives for the twisting action, and hence has order 2^{r-1} . We remark here that R_ρ^+ does map into \mathbf{S}_y by Theorem 2.4.6 and Corollary 2.4.8. Since \mathbf{S}_y is equidimensional of dimension d and the \mathbf{T}_z are smooth of dimension d , the minimal primes of \mathbf{S}_y are exactly the kernels of the maps $\mathbf{S}_y \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z$ for $z \in \Phi'$, and they are distinct since their preimages under $R_\rho^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_y$ are distinct. \square

It remains to determine the structure of the components, for which we have to determine the image of $\mathbf{S}_y \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z$. The methods developed here will determine the image of $R_\rho^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z$, but this image may be smaller. It will not be smaller if either $R_\rho^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z$ or $R_\rho^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_y$ are surjective though, which leads us to the two main theorems of this section.

Theorem 4.3.2. *Assume that α is non-critical at all $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$. Then the components of \mathbf{S}_y are smooth.*

Proof. First, note that if α is non-critical at all σ , then so is α_z for all z . The theorem will then follow from showing that $f_z : R_\rho^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z$ is surjective (for any $z \in \Phi$). Recall that $R_\rho \cong E[[V_p]]$ and that $\mathbf{T}_z \cong E[[V_{p, \alpha_z}]]$, and that when α is non-critical at all σ ,

$$V_{p, \alpha_z} = \bigoplus_{v \in S_{in}} V_{v, \alpha_z, v} \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in S_{sp}} V_v^+.$$

We need to show that the image of $R_\rho^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z$ contains a spanning set of V_{p, α_z} . If $v \in S_{sp}$ and $x \in V_v^+$, then x itself lies in R_ρ^+ and hence in the image of f_z . If $v \in S_{in}$ and $x \in V_{v, \alpha_z, v}$, let $y \in V_{v, -\alpha_z, v}$ denote its twist. Then $x + y \in R_\rho^+$ and $f_z(x + y) = x$, proving that x lies in the image. By the description of V_{p, α_z} above, this finishes the proof. \square

Our second main theorem, which we consider to be the most interesting one, concerns the case when $S_{in} = \emptyset$.

Theorem 4.3.3. *Assume that $S_{in} = \emptyset$. Then $\mathbf{S}_y = \mathbf{T}_x^+$.*

Proof. The map $R_p \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_x$ is surjective and equivariant for the twisting action (which preserves \mathbf{T}_x , since $S_{in} = \emptyset$). It follows that $R_p^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_x^+$ is surjective, which forces $\mathbf{S}_y = \mathbf{T}_x^+$. \square

Let us now explicate what Theorem 4.3.3 says. Since $\mathbf{T}_x \cong E[[V_{p,\alpha}]]$, we see that \mathbf{T}_x^+ is the image of the natural map

$$E[[V_{p,\alpha}^+ \oplus \text{Sym}^2 V_{p,\alpha}^-]] \rightarrow E[[V_{p,\alpha}]].$$

If we set $m = \dim V_{p,\alpha}^+$, then m is the number of $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$ for which α is non-critical at σ , and $n = \dim V_{p,\alpha}^-$ is the number of $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$ for which α is critical at σ . Choose bases X_1, \dots, X_m of $V_{p,\alpha}^+$ and Y_1, \dots, Y_n of $V_{p,\alpha}^-$. Then \mathbf{S}_y is isomorphic to the subring

$$E[[X_i, Y_j Y_k \mid i = 1, \dots, m, j, k = 1, \dots, n]] \subseteq E[[X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]].$$

This is a simple but yet interesting type of quotient singularity, whose geometric properties we recall in the appendix. In particular, we deduce the following varied behaviour of the local rings \mathbf{S}_y from Proposition A.1.1.

Corollary 4.3.4. *Assume that $S_{in} = \emptyset$ and let n be the number of $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$ such that α is critical at σ . Then the local ring \mathbf{S}_y is normal and Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover:*

- (1) *If $n = 0$ or $n = 1$, then \mathbf{S}_y is smooth.*
- (2) *If $n = 2$, then \mathbf{S}_y is a complete intersection but not regular.*
- (3) *If n is odd and ≥ 3 , then \mathbf{S}_y is 2-Gorenstein (but not Gorenstein).*
- (4) *If n is even and ≥ 4 , then \mathbf{S}_y is Gorenstein but not a complete intersection.*

Let us finish this section by summarizing our results in the case $F = \mathbb{Q}$.

Corollary 4.3.5. *Let $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ be a refined cuspidal cohomological automorphic representation for $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and assume that π_p^I does not have a repeated U_p -eigenvalue. Then the corresponding point y on the SL_2 -eigencurve is smooth, unless π does not have CM and $-\alpha$ is also a refinement of π , in which case there are two components going through y (locally), both of which are smooth.*

5. p -ADIC ENDOSCOPIC FORMS

In this section we prove our main theorems on classicality of p -adic eigenforms with classical eigenvalues. We begin in §5.1 by deriving a formula for the family of smooth $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p,\infty})$ -representations on \mathcal{E} in a (formal) neighbourhood of y . The main ingredients here are Lemma 2.1.1 and the computation of the fibres $\pi_K^{-1}(y)$. In §5.2 we combine this formula with the results on the local geometry at y from §4 to study the fibres.

In this section, the notation, setup and assumptions are the same as in §4. Additionally, we use the notation for ± 1 -eigenspaces of involutions etc., introduced in §4.3.

5.1. Computation of the sheaf in the formal neighbourhood. Recall from §3 that we have the eigenvarieties $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K^p}$ and \mathcal{E}_{K^p} together with their graded coherent sheaves $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K^p}$ and \mathcal{M}_{K^p} . In section we describe the fibre of \mathcal{M}_{K^p} at y , proving Theorem E. To do this, we first establish a formula for the formal completion of \mathcal{M}_{K^p} at y in terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K^p}$ in this subsection. Since the map

$$Y_K^1 \rightarrow Y_K$$

rarely identifies Y_K^1 with the identity component of Y_K , it turns out to be better to work with the direct limit $\varinjlim_{K^p} \mathcal{M}_{K^p}$ instead (locally around y). In many ways this is natural — the relationship between SL_2 and GL_2 is cleaner at the level of automorphic representation than at the level of their fixed vectors.

We start by recalling some material from §3. First, recall that we have the common weight space U from §3.4, which is a small neighbourhood of the cohomological weight k of π that we may shrink as we see fit. Recall that h is the slope of x and y . By construction, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^*(\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K) = H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K^p}(\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^K) = H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}.$$

From (3.4.2) we have the sequence of Hecke algebras

$$\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^K \leftarrow \mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{S}}_{U,h}^K \leftarrow \mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K$$

and their localizations

$$\mathbf{T}_y^K \leftarrow \mathbf{S}_y^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{S}}_y^K \leftarrow \mathbf{S}_y^K,$$

and we recall that we have proved that the second and third maps are isomorphisms and that these SL_2 -Hecke algebras are independent of K^p ; this common algebra is what we have called \mathbf{S}_y . The goal of this subsection is to compute

$$\mathcal{M}_y^\wedge := \varinjlim_{K^p} \mathcal{M}_{K^p, y}^\wedge$$

in terms of the corresponding objects for GL_2 , where $\mathcal{M}_{K^p, y}^\wedge$ denotes the formal completion of \mathcal{M}_{K^p} at y (we will use similar notation for formal completions of other coherent sheaves as well). More precisely, for $z \in \Phi$, set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_z^\wedge := \varinjlim_{K^p} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K^p, z}^\wedge.$$

To actually define these direct limits, we need transition maps.

Lemma 5.1.1. *Let $K_0^p \subseteq K^p$ be compact open subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$, and set $K = K^p I$ and $K_0 = K_0^p I$. Then the natural maps $H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \rightarrow H^*(Y_{K_0}^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}$ and $H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \rightarrow H^*(Y_{K_0}, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}$ induce maps*

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^p, y}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{K_0^p, y}^\wedge \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K^p, z}^\wedge \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_0^p, z}^\wedge$$

for any $z \in \Phi$.

Proof. We construct the map $\mathcal{M}_{K^p, y}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{K_0^p, y}^\wedge$; the other map is constructed in exactly the same way. We need to show that $H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \rightarrow H^*(Y_{K_0}^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}$ induces a map

$$H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{S}_y \rightarrow H^*(Y_{K_0}^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{K_0}} \mathbf{S}_y.$$

Using the map $\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{K_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K$, we get a map

$$H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{K_0}} \mathbf{S}_y \rightarrow H^*(Y_{K_0}^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{K_0}} \mathbf{S}_y$$

and it remains to show that $H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{K_0}} \mathbf{S}_y = H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{S}_y$. Recall that Λ is the completed local ring of U at k . Set $\mathbf{S}_\Lambda^K = \mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U)} \Lambda$ and similarly for K_0 ; then we are reduced to showing that

$$(H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U)} \Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_\Lambda^{K_0}} \mathbf{S}_y \rightarrow (H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U)} \Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_\Lambda^K} \mathbf{S}_y$$

is an isomorphism. This then follows if we know that $\mathbf{S}_\Lambda^K \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_\Lambda^{K_0}} \mathbf{S}_y = \mathbf{S}_y$, which is equivalent to knowing that y is the only point on \mathcal{E}_{K^p} mapping to y on $\mathcal{E}_{K_0^p}$ (here we are already using that $\mathbf{S}_y = \mathbf{S}_y^{K_0} = \mathbf{S}_y^K$). Let y' be a point on \mathcal{E}_{K^p} mapping to y on $\mathcal{E}_{K_0^p}$. Then y' lies on $\mathcal{E}_{K^p, \mathrm{mid}}^{\mathrm{SL}_2}$ by Lemma 3.3.2, and hence has an associated Galois representation by Proposition 3.3.3, so $y' = y$ as desired by Chebotarev. \square

Then we have the following:

Proposition 5.1.2. *We have*

$$\mathcal{M}_y^\wedge = \left(\prod_{z \in \Phi} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_z^\wedge \right)_+$$

and it is concentrated in degree d .

Proof. We start by considering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(Y_{K_0}, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_{K_0}} & \longrightarrow & H^*(Y_{K_0}^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \\ \uparrow & \swarrow \text{dashed} & \uparrow \\ H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_K} & \longrightarrow & H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \end{array}$$

where the dashed arrow exists for small enough $K_0 = K_0^p I$ by Lemma 2.1.1 and all arrows are injective. Tensoring with \mathbf{S}_y and using the vanishing results (Theorem 3.2.3 and Lemma 3.3.2), we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^d(Y_{K_0}, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_{K_0}} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{K_0}} \mathbf{S}_y & \longrightarrow & H^d(Y_{K_0}^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{K_0}} \mathbf{S}_y \\ \uparrow & \swarrow \text{dashed} & \uparrow \\ H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_K} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{S}_y & \longrightarrow & H^d(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{S}_y. \end{array}$$

Here the horizontal arrows are injective by flatness of \mathbf{S}_y , the dashed arrow and the right vertical map exist and are injective by (the proof of) Lemma 5.1.1 and flatness, and the left vertical map exists since the composition of the lower horizontal map and the right vertical map lands inside $H^d(Y_{K_0}, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_{K_0}} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{K_0}} \mathbf{S}_y$.

In particular, the solid arrows exist for all $K_0^p \subseteq K^p$, not just those for which the dashed arrow exists. Taking direct limits, we get that

$$\mathcal{M}_y^\wedge = \varinjlim_{K^p} (H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_K} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{S}_y).$$

Next, note that

$$H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_K} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{S}_y = H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_K} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{S}_y = H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_K} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}} \mathbf{S}_y.$$

Moreover,

$$H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h, H_K} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}} \mathbf{S}_y = \left(H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}} \mathbf{S}_y \right)_{H_K}$$

and we have

$$H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_{U,h}^{\mathrm{GL}_2, K}} \mathbf{S}_y = H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{T}_y^K = \prod_{z \in \pi_K^{-1}(y)} H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{T}_z.$$

Now note that

$$\varinjlim_{K^p} \left(\prod_{z \in \pi_K^{-1}(y)} H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{T}_z \right)_{H_K} = \varinjlim_{K^p} \left(\prod_{z \in \Phi} H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{T}_z \right)_+$$

by the same type of argument as in Proposition 3.4.2. Summing up, we see that

$$\mathcal{M}_y^\wedge = \varinjlim_{K^p} \left(\prod_{z \in \Phi} H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{T}_{U,h}^K} \mathbf{T}_z \right)_+ = \left(\prod_{z \in \Phi} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_z^\wedge \right)_+$$

as desired (which is concentrated in degree d). \square

5.2. Computation of the fibre. We start by comparing the spaces of classical forms of GL_2 and SL_2 . Write $H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y$ for the quotient of $H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)$ by \mathfrak{p}_y , and similarly for GL_2 . Since the Hecke action is semisimple, $H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y$ is also (canonically isomorphic to) the localization of $H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)$ at \mathfrak{p}_y , or the \mathfrak{p}_y -torsion submodule $H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)[\mathfrak{p}_y]$.

Proposition 5.2.1. *We have*

$$\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y = \left(\prod_{z \in \Phi} \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_z \right)_+$$

and both sides are concentrated in degree d .

Proof. Both $H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y$ and $H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_z$ are concentrated in degree d by cuspidality of π . By Proposition 2.1.2 we have

$$\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y = \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_{y, H_K}.$$

A similar (but simpler) calculation to that in the proof of Proposition 5.1.2 then gives us

$$\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_{y, H_K} = \varinjlim_{K^p} \left(\prod_{z \in \Phi} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_z \right)_+$$

and commuting the direct limit with the product and the coinvariants gives us the proposition. \square

Let $\mathfrak{m}_y \subseteq \mathbf{S}_y$ and $\mathfrak{m}_z \subseteq \mathbf{T}_z$ be the maximal ideals of these local rings. If $\mathfrak{m}_k \subseteq \mathcal{O}(U)$ is the maximal ideal corresponding to k , then natural map

$$H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U)} \mathcal{O}(U)/\mathfrak{m}_k \rightarrow H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_k)_{\leq h}$$

is an isomorphism (by the Tor-spectral sequence of [HN17, Theorem 3.3.1] and vanishing outside degree d). By [BH17, Proposition 8.1.3], $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K^p, z}^\wedge$ is locally free over \mathbf{T}_z . Thus, by Corollary 3.2.12, the integration map

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K^p, y} := \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K^p, y}^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbf{T}_z} \mathbf{T}_z/\mathfrak{m}_z = H^d(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_k)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathbf{T}(K)} \mathbf{T}(K)/\mathfrak{p}_z \rightarrow H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_z$$

is an isomorphism. We want to compute the fibre

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^p, y} := \mathcal{M}_{K^p, y}^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_y} \mathbf{S}_y/\mathfrak{m}_y,$$

which is the maximal semisimple quotient of $H^d(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_k)_{\leq h}$ on which $\mathbf{S}(K)$ acts through ϕ_y (the ‘co-eigenspace’). To do this, we will divide into cases and combine Proposition 5.1.2 with the results on the structure of \mathbf{S}_y from §4.

To start with, let us assume that π does not have CM. Then Proposition 5.1.2 reads

$$\mathcal{M}_y^\wedge = \prod_{z \in \Phi} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_z^\wedge,$$

corresponding to the embedding of rings $\mathbf{S}_y \subseteq \prod_{z \in \Phi} \mathbf{T}_z$, and each $\mathbf{S}_y \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z$ is surjective by Theorem 4.1.4. Set $\mathcal{M}_y = \mathcal{M}_y^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_y} \mathbf{S}_y/\mathfrak{m}_y$; note that $\mathcal{M}_y^{K^p} = \mathcal{M}_{K^p, y}$. This gives us the following result.

Theorem 5.2.2. *Assume that π does not have CM. Then the integration map $\mathcal{M}_y \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. From the discussion above, we see that

$$\mathcal{M}_y = \mathcal{M}_y^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_y} \mathbf{S}_y/\mathfrak{m}_y = \prod_{z \in \Phi} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_z^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbf{S}_y} \mathbf{S}_y/\mathfrak{m}_y = \prod_{z \in \Phi} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_z^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbf{T}_z} \mathbf{T}_z/\mathfrak{m}_z = \prod_{z \in \Phi} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_z.$$

Each \mathcal{M}_z^* maps isomorphically onto $\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_z$ via the integration map. Combining this with Proposition 5.2.1 gives the result. \square

Now assume that π has CM by a quadratic extension \widetilde{F}/F . Recall that S_{cr} is the set of places $v \mid p$ where $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ is critically refined, and S_{in} is the set of places $v \mid p$ which are inert in \widetilde{F} .

Theorem 5.2.3. *Assume that π has CM and that $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ does not have any critical refinements (i.e. $S_{cr} = \emptyset$). Then the integration map $\mathcal{M}_y \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The proof is essentially identical to that of Theorem 5.2.3. Assume first that $S_{in} \neq \emptyset$ and let $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ be a set of orbit representatives for the twisting action. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_y^\wedge = \prod_{z \in \Phi'} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_z^\wedge,$$

corresponding to the inclusion $\mathbf{S}_y \subseteq \prod_{z \in \Phi'} \mathbf{T}_z$, and each $\mathbf{S}_y \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z$ is surjective by Theorem 4.3.2. Moreover,

$$\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y = \prod_{z \in \Phi'} \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_z$$

by Proposition 5.2.1. One may then argue exactly as in the proof of Theorem 5.2.2 (changing Φ to Φ').

Now assume that $S_{in} = \emptyset$, so that $\Phi = \{x\}$. Then $\mathbf{S}_y = \mathbf{T}_x$ by Theorem 4.3.2 (or Theorem 4.3.3), and we see that

$$\mathcal{M}_y = (\mathcal{M}_x^*)_+ = \left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)_+ = \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y$$

as desired, using Propositions 5.1.2 and 5.2.1. \square

Finally, we come to the most interesting case. Assume that $S_{in} = \emptyset$ and $S_{cr} \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 4.3.3 we have $\mathbf{S}_y = \mathbf{T}_x^+$, and by Proposition 5.1.2 we have

$$\mathcal{M}_y^\wedge = (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge)_+.$$

As in Corollary 4.3.4, let n be the number of $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$ for which α is critical at σ . From that corollary we see that

$$\mathfrak{m}_x^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_y \mathbf{T}_x \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$$

and that $\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_y \mathbf{T}_x$ has dimension n ; it is dual to the $+1$ -eigenspace of the tangent space of \mathbf{T}_x . Set $\mathfrak{n}_y = \mathfrak{m}_y \mathbf{T}_x$. Tensoring the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{n}_y \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_x/\mathfrak{n}_y \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x \rightarrow 0$$

with the flat \mathbf{T}_x -module $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge$ we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_x \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge / \mathfrak{n}_y \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge / \mathfrak{n}_y \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking coinvariants, we get

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}_x \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge / \mathfrak{n}_y \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge)_+ \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge / \mathfrak{n}_y \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge)_+ \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x)_+ \rightarrow 0.$$

We compute the three terms of this short exact sequence:

Lemma 5.2.4. *We have $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$ - and u_v -equivariant (for all $v \mid p$) isomorphisms:*

- (1) $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x)_+ = \left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)_+ = \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y;$
- (2) $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge / \mathfrak{n}_y \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge)_+ = \mathcal{M}_y;$
- (3) $(\mathfrak{m}_x \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge / \mathfrak{n}_y \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge)_+ \cong \left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)_-^{\oplus n}.$

Proof. Part (1) follows by Proposition 5.2.1 since $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x = \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x$ via the integration map.

For part (2), we have

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge / \mathfrak{n}_y \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge)_+ = (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge / \mathfrak{m}_y \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge)_+ = (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge)_+ / \mathfrak{n}_y (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge)_+ = \mathcal{M}_y.$$

It remains to prove part (3). First, we write $\mathfrak{m}_x \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge / \mathfrak{n}_y \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge = \mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{n}_y \otimes_{\mathbf{T}_x} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge$. Since $\mathfrak{m}_x^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_y$, the \mathbf{T}_x -action on $\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{n}_y$ factors through $\mathbf{T}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x = E$ and we get

$$\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{n}_y \otimes_{\mathbf{T}_x} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge = \mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{n}_y \otimes_E \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x.$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{n}_y$ is dual to the -1 -eigenspace of the tangent space $(\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee$, twisting acts by -1 on $\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{n}_y$. As $n = \dim_E \mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{n}_y$, we obtain

$$(\mathfrak{m}_x \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge / \mathfrak{n}_y \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\wedge)_+ = (\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{n}_y \otimes_E \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x)_+ \cong \left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)_-^{\oplus n}$$

as desired. \square

We summarize what we have proved, and elaborate further on the statement in Remark 5.2.6.

Theorem 5.2.5. *Let n be the number of $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$ for which α is critical at σ . The fibre \mathcal{M}_y sits in a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)_-^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_y \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, the non-classical part of the L -packet of π contributes with p -adic, non-classical, forms to the fibre \mathcal{M}_y , with multiplicity n .

Remark 5.2.6. We make some remarks on Theorem 5.2.5, mainly concerning the interpretation of the exact sequence.

- (1) Because of our setup, $\mathcal{M}_{K^p, y}$ is the maximal quotient of $H^d(Y_K^1, \mathcal{D}_k)$ on which $\mathbf{S}(K)$ acts via ϕ_y , rather than the ϕ_y -eigenspace. It is more common, and easier in terms of established vocabulary, to think of classical spaces of automorphic forms as *subspaces* of p -adic automorphic forms, rather than quotients as in the distribution-valued cohomology that we use here. This slight niggle can be solved by applying (smooth) duality. Applying this to the exact sequence in Theorem 5.2.5, we get

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y)^\vee \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_y)^\vee \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x)_-^{\oplus n, \vee} \rightarrow 0.$$

With some work, including duality between $H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{D}_k)$ and $H_*(Y_K, \mathcal{A}_k)$ (cf. the proof of [HN17, Proposition 3.1.5]), Poincaré duality (in the form [Bel21, Theorem III.3.11]) as well as the interaction between the integration map and duality, one obtains an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)[\phi_y] \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{A}_k)[\phi_y] \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)[\phi_x])_+^{\oplus n} \rightarrow 0.$$

This puts us back in a setting where we are discussing eigenspaces rather than quotients. This passage between \mathcal{A} 's and \mathcal{D} 's using duality is entirely analogous to the passage between completed homology and completed cohomology in Emerton's construction of eigenvarieties.

- (2) Let us now discuss the term $\left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)_-^{\oplus n}$ from Theorem 5.2.5. We have

$$\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \cong \pi^{p\infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{v|p} \pi_v^{I_v} [U_v = \alpha_v] \otimes \bigotimes_{v|\infty} H^*(\mathfrak{g}_v, K_v, \pi_\infty \otimes \mathcal{L}_k)$$

as representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$, the GL_2 -Iwahori-Hecke algebra at p , and $\prod_{v|\infty} \pi_0(GL_2(\mathbb{R}))$, where, for $v|\infty$, \mathfrak{g}_v is the Lie algebra of $GL_2(F_v)$ and K_v is the maximal compact connected subgroup of $GL_2(F_v)$. The twisting action on $\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x$ breaks up into a product of local twisting actions on the right hand side, with $\varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y) = \varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x)_+$ being the automorphic part for SL_2 and $\varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x)_-$ being the non-automorphic part. The local L -packets at places $v|p$ are singletons, so the action at those places are trivial, whereas the local action at a place $v|\infty$ breaks up the two-dimensional space $H^*(\mathfrak{g}_v, K_v, \pi_\infty \otimes \mathcal{L}_k)$ into one-dimensional $+1$ - and -1 -eigenspaces. In particular, one sees that

$$\varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x)_+ \cong \varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x)_-$$

abstractly as representations for $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$ and the SL_2 -Iwahori-Hecke algebra at p . One might thus wonder about our interpretation of Theorem 5.2.5, where we say that the non-automorphic part of the L -packet contributes to the non-classical part of the fibre. We offer a few counterpoints to this. One is that we hope the proof, together with the heuristic offered in the introduction, justify our interpretation. To further strengthen this point we present what happens in the case of definite quaternion algebras in the next section, where the $+1$ - and -1 -eigenspaces are *non-isomorphic*. Second, we note that one may reinstate the difference between $\varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x)_+$ and $\varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x)_-$ by taking into account some kind of action at the infinite places, which remembers whether the forms are holomorphic or anti-holomorphic discrete series at infinity. It is not clear to the authors what kind of action at infinity that one should expect a “ p -adic automorphic representation” to have, but it seems likely to us that it should have some structure that,

when specialized to $\mathrm{SL}_{2/F}$, will allow us to distinguish between $\varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x)_+$ and $\varinjlim_{K^p} (H^*(Y_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x)_-$.

- (3) In the case when $S_{in} \neq \emptyset$ and α is critical at some σ , we currently lack the necessary control over the local geometry to determine whether there are non-classical forms in the fibre or not. As mentioned in the introduction, we suspect is that there are non-classical forms and that the components are singular, based on local-global compatibility heuristics.
- (4) Finally, we remark that [Lud18b] uses Emerton’s construction of eigenvarieties via completed (co)homology, whereas we have used overconvergent cohomology. One might reasonably wonder whether this makes a difference for the end result. The answer to this should be no, in a very strong sense: The d -dimensional parts of both eigenvarieties are canonically isomorphic, as are the fibres of the coherent sheaves on the d -dimensional parts. The isomorphism of d -dimensional parts of eigenvarieties follows from a standard argument using the interpolation theorem, whereas the isomorphism of fibres of coherent sheaves is unpublished work of one of us (C.J) and David Hansen. Moreover, that work strongly suggests that if one replaces Emerton’s eigenvariety by a suitable “derived” version (perhaps that of [Fu21]), the whole eigenvarieties and their coherent sheaves should be isomorphic. For groups which are compact modulo centre at infinity, this comparison is essentially contained in [Loe11]. In light of these results and heuristics, we regard the choice between overconvergent cohomology and completed cohomology as a matter of convenience.

6. DEFINITE QUATERNION ALGEBRAS

As is well known, it can be advantageous to work with non-split inner forms of GL_2 (or SL_2) instead of the split form. In particular, if F has even degree over \mathbb{Q} , there is a unique quaternion algebra B with centre F which is split at all finite places and ramified at all infinite places. In this case, the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence gives us a canonical bijection between infinite dimensional automorphic representations of $(B \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F)^\times$ and cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ that are discrete series at all infinite places. In this section, we will very briefly outline the results that our methods give if one works with B instead of GL_2 . The main differences are the following:

- (1) One can drop the condition that $\bar{\rho}_\pi$ is irreducible and generic;
- (2) Automorphic and non-automorphic members of the same L -packet for the corresponding inner form of $\mathrm{SL}_{2/F}$ can no longer be isomorphic at all finite places; cf. Remark 5.2.6.

In particular, point (2) makes it clear that, even abstractly, the extra contribution comes from the non-automorphic part of the L -packet.

6.1. Setup and assumptions. In this section, we assume that $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even. As above, we let B denote the quaternion algebra with centre F which is split at all finite places and ramified at all infinite places. Let $\mathrm{Nm} : B \rightarrow F$ be the reduced norm map. We define algebraic groups G_B and G_B^1 over F by

$$G_B(R) = (B \otimes_F R)^\times$$

and

$$G_B^1(R) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Nm} : G_B(R) \rightarrow R^\times).$$

Then G_B is an inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_{2/F}$ and G_B^1 is an inner form of $\mathrm{SL}_{2/F}$. By assumption $B \otimes_F F_v \cong M_2(F_v)$ (2×2 -matrices) for all finite places v , and we will fix such isomorphisms and use them to equate $G_B(F_v)$ and $G_B^1(F_v)$ with $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v)$, respectively (and similarly for \mathbb{A}_F^S -points, where S is a finite set of places containing the infinite places). Let us now very briefly recall overconvergent cohomology and eigenvarieties for G_B and G_B^1 . We will use the same weight spaces as we did for GL_2 and SL_2 , and the distribution modules are exactly the same as $G_B(F_v) = \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ and $G_B^1(F_v) = \mathrm{SL}_2(F_v)$ for all $v \mid p$. If $K \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ is a compact open subgroup, the locally symmetric space for G_B with level K is

$$X_K := G_B(F)^\circ \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) / K,$$

where $G_B(F)^\circ = G_B(F) \cap \prod_{v \mid \infty} G_B(F_v)^\circ$. This is a finite discrete topological space, and it carries a surjection

$$X_K \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_K^+$$

induced by the reduced norm. If $\alpha \in Cl_K^+$, we will denote the preimage of α in X_K by $X_K^{Nm=\alpha}$. The centre of G_B can be canonically identified with the centre Z of $GL_{2/F}$, and as for $GL_{2/F}$ we set $Z(K) = Z(F) \cap K$. Just as in §2.1, any right $K/Z(K)$ -module N induces a local system on X_K which we will also denote by N . In particular, all the local systems we used on Y_K have counterparts on X_K , corresponding to the same $K/Z(K)$ -module. Similarly, for any compact open $K \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$, we have the locally symmetric space

$$X_K^1 := G_B^1(F) \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) / K$$

for G_B^1 , which is also finite and discrete. As before, if $K \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ is compact open, we will write $X_K^1 := X_{K \cap SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)}^1$. If $K \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ and $K' \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ are compact opens with $K' \subseteq K$, then we have an induced map

$$X_{K'}^1 \rightarrow X_K$$

whose image lands inside $X_K^{Nm=1}$. We will use the same notation for compact open subgroups as in §2.1 and the rest of this paper. In particular, if $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ is an ideal coprime to p , we write $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for $X_{K^p(\mathfrak{m})I}$ (and define $X_{\mathfrak{m}}^1$ similarly). We record the analogue of Lemma 2.1.1.

Lemma 6.1.1. *Let $K^p \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$ be a compact open subgroup and set $K = K^p I$. For sufficiently small compact opens $K_0^p \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p\infty})$, the dashed arrow in the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{K_0}^1 & \longrightarrow & X_{K_0}^{Nm=1} \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ X_K^1 & \longrightarrow & X_K^{Nm=1} \end{array}$$

exists, where $K_0 = K_0^p I$.

Proof. One may reduce to the case $K^p = K^p(\mathfrak{m})$ and $K_0^p = K^p(\mathfrak{n})$ for some ideals $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F$ coprime to p . Let

$$C(\mathfrak{m}) = \{x \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F \mid x \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}\}.$$

Then

$$X_{\mathfrak{m}}^{Nm=1} = \{[g] \in G_B(F)^\circ \backslash GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) / K^p(\mathfrak{m})I \mid Nm(g) \in (F^\times)^\circ \cdot C(\mathfrak{m})\}.$$

Recall the notation $U(\mathfrak{m})_+ = C(\mathfrak{m}) \cap (F^\times)^\circ$ for the totally positive units congruent to 1 modulo \mathfrak{m} . Now assume that \mathfrak{n} is sufficiently small that $U(\mathfrak{n})_+ \subseteq U(\mathfrak{m})_+^2$; this is possible by [Che51, Théorème 1]. Under this assumption, we may define a map $X_{\mathfrak{n}}^{Nm=1} \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}}^1$ as follows: If $[g] \in X_{\mathfrak{n}}^{Nm=1}$, one may choose $\gamma \in G_B(F)^\circ$ and $k \in K^p(\mathfrak{n})I$ such that $Nm(\gamma g k) = 1$, and we send $[g]$ to $[\gamma g k]$. One then easily checks that this is well defined and makes the diagram above commute. \square

We can now define overconvergent and classical cohomology using the same local systems (and weight spaces) that we used for GL_2 and SL_2 . We get eigenvarieties $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_B$ for G_B and \mathcal{E}_B for G_B^1 , which are equidimensional and reduced of dimension d , since X_K and X_K^1 only have cohomology in degree 0. It is standard to interpolate the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence to closed immersions

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_B \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{E},$$

which identifies $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_B$ with the union of the d -dimensional irreducible components of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ (by contrast, \mathcal{E}_B is a union of d -dimensional irreducible components of \mathcal{E} , but it is subtle to determine if it is all of them).

Finally, let us briefly recall the functorial transfer from G_B to G_B^1 , which is exactly parallel to that from GL_2 to SL_2 . Given an infinite dimensional automorphic representation π of $G_B(\mathbb{A}_F)$, we may form the corresponding L -packet Π of $G_B^1(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -representations by taking all irreducible subquotients of the restriction of π to $G_B^1(\mathbb{A}_F)$. The main difference from the case of GL_2 and SL_2 is that, if we write

$$\pi = \pi^\infty \otimes \pi_\infty$$

as the tensor product of a representation π^∞ of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ and a representation π_∞ of $G_B(F_\infty)$, then π_∞ remains irreducible when restricted to $G_B^1(F_\infty)$. In particular, decomposing $\pi|_{G_B^1(\mathbb{A}_F)}$ is equivalent to decomposing $\pi^\infty|_{SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)}$.

6.2. Results. With this setup, all of the arguments that we used for GL_2 and SL_2 hold essentially verbatim, with the important simplification that we do not have to worry about having overconvergent cohomology in multiple degrees. Let π be an infinite dimensional automorphic representation of $G_B(\mathbb{A}_F)$, corresponding to a cuspidal automorphic representation on $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of cohomological weight k via the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence. We make the following assumptions on π :

- (1) For every $v \mid p$, the U_v -eigenvalues on $\pi_v^{I_v}$ have multiplicity 1;
- (2) If π has complex multiplication by a quadratic CM extension \tilde{F}/F , then $\tilde{F} \not\subseteq F(\zeta_{p^\infty})$.

Compared to working with GL_2 , we no longer need the assumption that $\bar{\rho}_\pi$ is irreducible and generic, since we only have cohomology in degree 0. Let α be a refinement of π and let $x = (\pi, \alpha)$ be the corresponding classical point on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_B$. Let Π be the L -packet of $G_B^1(\mathbb{A}_F)$ corresponding to π and let γ be the refinement induced by α ; we write $y = (\Pi, \gamma)$ for the corresponding classical point on \mathcal{E}_B (and we assume that we have chosen tame levels so that these points appear as classical points). As before, \mathbf{S}_y denotes the completed local ring of \mathcal{E}_B at y , \mathbf{T}_x denotes the completed local ring of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_B$ at x , and 2^r is the number of refinements of π whose corresponding refinement of Π is γ (as before). We then obtain exactly the same results on the geometry of \mathbf{S}_y as we did for SL_2 ; we summarize them as follows:

Theorem 6.2.1. *Assume that π does not have CM. Then \mathbf{S}_y has 2^r irreducible components, all of which are smooth.*

Theorem 6.2.2. *Assume that π has CM by \tilde{F}/F . Then the following holds*

- (1) *If $r = 0$, then \mathbf{S}_y is irreducible. If $r \geq 1$, \mathbf{S}_y has 2^{r-1} irreducible components.*
- (2) *If α is non-critical for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$, then all irreducible components of \mathbf{S}_y are smooth.*
- (3) *Assume that all places $v \mid p$ of F are split in \tilde{F} . Then $\mathbf{S}_y = \mathbf{T}_x^+$.*

In this, we are using the fact that \mathbf{T}_x is smooth. This is not strictly speaking contained in the smoothness results of [BH17] since the transfer of x might not lie on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{mid}$, but the proof goes through since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_B$ is equidimensional of dimension d .

To finish, we discuss the analogues of the results of §5. As before, Φ denotes the set of $z = (\pi, \alpha')$ with α' inducing γ . We begin with the computation of the classical eigenspace.

Proposition 6.2.3. *We have*

$$\varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y = \left(\prod_{z \in \Phi} \varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_z \right)_+.$$

We let \mathcal{M}_{B, K^p} denote the coherent sheaf on \mathcal{E}_B , characterized by the property

$$\mathcal{M}_{B, K^p}(\mathrm{Spa} \mathbf{S}_{U, h}^K) = H^0(X_K^1, \mathcal{D}_U)_{\leq h}$$

for any slope adapted pair (U, h) . Similar to before, $\mathcal{M}_{B, K^p, y}$ denotes the fibre of \mathcal{M}_{B, K^p} at y , and set $\mathcal{M}_{B, y} = \varinjlim_{K^p} \mathcal{M}_{B, K^p, y}$. Then we have the following results for the structure of $\mathcal{M}_{B, y}$.

Theorem 6.2.4. *Assume that π does not have CM. Then the integration map $\mathcal{M}_{B, y} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y$ is an isomorphism.*

Theorem 6.2.5. *Assume that π has CM and that α is non-critical for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$, where $x = (\pi, \alpha)$. Then the integration map $\mathcal{M}_{B, y} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y$ is an isomorphism.*

Theorem 6.2.6. *Assume that π has CM by \tilde{F}/F and that all places $v \mid p$ in F split in \tilde{F} . Let n be the number of $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$ such that α is critical at σ . Then $\mathcal{M}_{B, y}$ sits in a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)_-^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{B, y} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y \rightarrow 0.$$

To finish, we follow up on Remark 5.2.6(2). We continue to assume that we in the situation of Theorem 6.2.6. Let $\Pi^{aut} \subseteq \Pi$ denote the subset consisting of automorphic members of the L -packet, and let $\Pi^{non-aut} = \Pi \setminus \Pi^{aut}$. Then, from the definitions and multiplicity one, we have

$$\varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y = \left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)_+ \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Pi^{aut}} \left(\sigma^{p,\infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{v|p} \sigma_v^{I_{0,v}} [u_v = \gamma_v] \right)$$

and

$$\left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)_- \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Pi^{non-aut}} \left(\sigma^{p,\infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{v|p} \sigma_v^{I_{0,v}} [u_v = \gamma_v] \right)$$

as $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ -representations. Here we are using the fact that the L -packets Π_v , for $v \mid p$, are singletons. The following proposition then shows that, as $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p,\infty})$ -representations, these two spaces have no common subrepresentations.

Proposition 6.2.7. *Assume that $\sigma \in \Pi^{aut}$ and $\tau \in \Pi^{non-aut}$. Then $\sigma^{p,\infty} \not\cong \tau^{p,\infty}$.*

Proof. One can argue as follows: $\pi_v|_{SL_2(F_v)}$ is multiplicity free for all finite places v , Π_v is a singleton for $v \mid p$, and $\sigma_v^{I_{0,v}} [u_v = \gamma_v] = \pi_v^{I_v} [U_v = \alpha_v]$ is one-dimensional. Therefore the space $\left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)$, which is isomorphic to $\pi^{p,\infty}$ as a $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p,\infty})$ -representation, is multiplicity free as a representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p,\infty})$. The proposition then follows directly. \square

Remark 6.2.8. This shows that the sub- and quotient representations in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)_-^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{B,y} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K^p} H^0(X_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y \rightarrow 0$$

of $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{p,\infty})$ -representations have no common subquotients, and that the non-classical part is a contribution from the non-automorphic part of the L -packet.

Remark 6.2.9. This provides an explanation for the phenomenon observed in [Lud18a], to which we refer for details on the terminology used in this remark (the setting there is slightly different, but the key fact is that we work with a quaternion algebra that is ramified at all infinite places). Choose an idempotent e of the type considered in [Lud18a], that picks out a particular member $\sigma \in \Pi$. The corresponding eigenvariety of idempotent type e is a Zariski closed subvariety of the eigenvariety which contains y , and its corresponding coherent sheaf will have fibre equal to $e\mathcal{M}_{B,y}$, sitting in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow e \left(\varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(X_K, \mathcal{L}_k)_x \right)_-^{\oplus n} \rightarrow e\mathcal{M}_{B,y} \rightarrow e \varinjlim_{K^p} H^*(X_K^1, \mathcal{L}_k)_y \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $e\mathcal{M}_{B,y} \cong \sigma^{p,\infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{v|p} \sigma_v^{I_{0,v}} [u_v = \gamma_v]$ if σ is automorphic, and

$$e\mathcal{M}_{B,y} \cong \left(\sigma^{p,\infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{v|p} \sigma_v^{I_{0,v}} [u_v = \gamma_v] \right)^{\oplus n}$$

if σ is non-automorphic. This gives a general form of (the analogue of) the main theorem of [Lud18a], for the quaternion algebras considered here.

APPENDIX A. SOME GEOMETRY

A.1. Some quotient singularities. Here we recall some facts about a certain type of quotient singularity. Let $H = \mathbb{Z}/2$, where the non-trivial element acts on $A = E[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ by sending each x_i to $-x_i$. The ring of invariants $B = A^H$ is a complete local domain. Its geometry is summarized by the following proposition.

Proposition A.1.1. *B is normal and Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover:*

- (1) *If $n = 1$, then B is regular.*

- (2) If $n = 2$, then B is a complete intersection but not regular.
- (3) If n is odd and ≥ 3 , then B is 2-Gorenstein (but not Gorenstein).
- (4) If n is even and ≥ 4 , then B is Gorenstein but not a complete intersection.

Proof. We may equivalently work with the affine form $R = E[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq A$ and $S = R^H$ instead. That S is Cohen-Macaulay and normal is a general property of quotient singularities (indeed of rational singularities). When $n = 1$, $S = E[x_1^2]$ and part (1) follows. Part (2) follows from the description $S = E[x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2] \cong E[u, v, w]/(uw - v^2)$ when $n = 2$.

From now on assume $n \geq 3$. Let $U \subseteq \text{Spec } S = X$ be the complement of the origin and write j for the inclusion. Then $\omega_X = j_*(\omega_U)$. In particular, $H^0(X, \omega_X) = H^0(U, \omega_U)$. Set $U_i = X \setminus \{x_i = 0\}$, then U is the union of the U_i and $H^0(U_i, \omega_U)$ consists of all top forms

$$\frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{x_i^b} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n,$$

where the degrees of all terms in $f \in R$ have the same parity d , and $d - b \equiv n$ modulo 2. Gluing, we see that $H^0(X, \omega_X)$ consists of the top forms

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

where all terms in $g \in R$ have the same parity as n . In particular, ω_X is free of rank 1 when n is even, so S is Gorenstein, but when n is odd the top forms $x_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, form a minimal set of generators of ω_X in any neighbourhood of 0, so S is then not Gorenstein. A similar calculation replacing ω_X by $\omega_X^{\otimes 2}$ shows that S is 2-Gorenstein when n is odd.

It remains to show that B is not a complete intersection when n is even. Let T be the polynomial ring $T = E[u_i, v_{jk}]$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $1 \leq j < k \leq n$. T surjects onto S by sending u_i to x_i^2 and v_{jk} to $x_j x_k$. Let I be the kernel of this surjection. Consider the elements $f_{ij} = u_i u_j - v_{ij}^2 \in I$. We claim that the f_{ij} form a regular sequence, but that they do not generate I . Comparing the dimensions of S and T and the length of that sequence, this would imply that S is not a complete intersection (by [Sta, Tag 09PZ]). Set $Z_{ij} = \{f_{ij} = 0\} \subseteq \text{Spec } T$. To show that the f_{ij} form a regular sequence, it suffices to show, for each $i < j$, that no irreducible component of

$$Y_{ij} = \bigcap_{(a,b) \neq (i,j)} Z_{ab}$$

is contained in Z_{ij} . Let $x \in Z_{ij} \cap Y_{ij}$ be any point. By deforming the v_{ij} -coordinate of x but not the other coordinates, we see that we stay in Y_{ij} but move out of Z_{ij} . This shows that no component of Y_{ij} is contained in Z_{ij} , as desired, and finishes the proof that the f_{ij} form a regular sequence. It remains to show that they do not generate I . To see this, consider, for example, the element $v_{12}v_{23} - u_2v_{13} \in I$. If

$$v_{12}v_{23} - u_2v_{13} = \sum_{i < j} g_{ij} f_{ij}$$

for some $g_{ij} \in T$, then we would obtain a contradiction by setting $u_i = 1$ for all i and $v_{jk} = -1$ for all $j < k$. This finishes the proof. \square

A.2. p -adic geometry. Here we record a well known result about the local geometry of rigid analytic spaces, which we have not found in the literature in the form we need. To start, let us explicitly record the following (even more well known) proposition; see e.g. [Bos14, Chapter 4, Proposition 2].

Proposition A.2.1. *Let $X = \text{Spa}(A)$ be an affinoid rigid space and let $x \in X$ be a point corresponding to a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Then the natural map $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ induces an isomorphism $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$.*

We will make use of this in the paper without further mention, and we also use the following result.

Proposition A.2.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of rigid analytic varieties over a non-archimedean field K , and let $x \in X$ be a K -point with image $f(x) = y \in Y$. Assume that f is locally quasi-finite at x . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) f is a local isomorphism at x .
- (2) f is étale at x .

(3) f induces an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$.

Proof. That (1) implies (2) is trivial, and that (2) implies (3) is clear since f induces an isomorphism on residue fields (since x is defined over the base field K). It remains to prove that (3) implies (1).

First, note that $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is flat since it induces an isomorphism on completions and the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ are Noetherian (using that completion of Noetherian local rings is faithfully flat). In other words, f is flat at x . Since flatness is an open property, we may shrink X to ensure that f is flat. Second, using [Hub96, Proposition 1.5.4], we may assume that X and Y are affinoid and f is finite. Since the subset of rank 1 points in X is Hausdorff and $f^{-1}(y)$ is finite, a standard topology argument allows us to shrink X and Y so that $f^{-1}(y) = \{x\}$. Let A and B be the affinoid K -algebras so that $X = \text{Spa } B$ and $Y = \text{Spa } A$, and consider $f^* : A \rightarrow B$. Then

$$B \otimes_A \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y} \cong \prod_{x' \in f^{-1}(y)} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x'} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x},$$

so f^* has rank 1 (since it has so after tensoring with $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y}$) and is therefore an isomorphism, as desired. This finishes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [All19] Patrick B. Allen. On automorphic points in polarized deformation rings. *Amer. J. Math.*, 141(1):119–167, 2019.
- [AS07] Avner Ash and Glenn Stevens. p -adic deformations of arithmetic cohomology. preprint, 2007.
- [BB19] Tobias Berger and Adel Betina. On Siegel eigenvarieties at Saito-Kurokawa points, 2019.
- [BC09] Joël Bellaïche and Gaëtan Chenevier. *Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups*, volume 324. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 2009.
- [Bel08] Joël Bellaïche. Nonsmooth classical points on eigenvarieties. *Duke Math. J.*, 145(1):71–90, 2008.
- [Bel12] Joël Bellaïche. Critical p -adic L -functions. *Invent. Math.*, 189(1):1–60, 2012.
- [Bel15] Joël Bellaïche. Unitary eigenvarieties at isobaric points. *Canad. J. Math.*, 67(2):315–329, 2015.
- [Bel21] Joël Bellaïche. *The eigenbook—eigenvarieties, families of Galois representations, p -adic L -functions*. Pathways in Mathematics. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021.
- [Ber08] Laurent Berger. Équations différentielles p -adiques et (ϕ, N) -modules filtrés. Number 319, pages 13–38. 2008. Représentations p -adiques de groupes p -adiques. I. Représentations galoisiennes et (ϕ, Γ) -modules.
- [Ber17] John Bergdall. Parabolic variation over p -adic families of (ϕ, Γ) -modules. *Compos. Math.*, 153(1):132–174, 2017.
- [Ber19] John Bergdall. Upper bounds for constant slope p -adic families of modular forms. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 25(4):Paper No. 59, 24, 2019.
- [Ber20] John Bergdall. Smoothness of definite unitary eigenvarieties at critical points. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 759:29–60, 2020.
- [BH17] John Bergdall and David Hansen. On p -adic L -functions for Hilbert Modular Forms. 2017. To appear in *Memoirs of the A.M.S.*
- [BHK19] Gebhard Böckle, Michael Harris, Chandrashekar Khare, and Jack A. Thorne. \widehat{G} -local systems on smooth projective curves are potentially automorphic. *Acta Math.*, 223(1):1–111, 2019.
- [BHS17] Christophe Breuil, Eugen Hellmann, and Benjamin Schraen. Smoothness and classicality on eigenvarieties. *Invent. Math.*, 209(1):197–274, 2017.
- [BHS19] Christophe Breuil, Eugen Hellmann, and Benjamin Schraen. A local model for the trianguline variety and applications. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 130:299–412, 2019.
- [Bos14] Siegfried Bosch. *Lectures on formal and rigid geometry*, volume 2105 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [CE98] Robert F. Coleman and Bas Edixhoven. On the semi-simplicity of the U_p -operator on modular forms. *Math. Ann.*, 310(1):119–127, 1998.
- [Che51] Claude Chevalley. Deux théorèmes d’arithmétique. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 3:36–44, 1951.
- [Che14] Gaëtan Chenevier. The p -adic analytic space of pseudocharacters of a profinite group and pseudorepresentations over arbitrary rings. In *Automorphic forms and Galois representations. Vol. 1*, volume 414 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 221–285. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [CT21] Ana Caraiani and Matteo Tamiozzo. On the étale cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties with torsion coefficients, 2021.
- [EH14] Matthew Emerton and David Helm. The local Langlands correspondence for GL_n in families. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 47(4):655–722, 2014.
- [Eme18] Kathleen Emerson. *Comparison of Different Definitions of Pseudocharacter*. PhD thesis, 2018. Copyright - Database copyright ProQuest LLC; ProQuest does not claim copyright in the individual underlying works; Last updated - 2020-11-09.
- [FS21] Laurent Fargues and Peter Scholze. Geometrization of the local Langlands correspondence, 2021.
- [Fu21] Weibo Fu. A derived construction of eigenvarieties, 2021.
- [GK82] S. S. Gelbart and A. W. Knap. L -indistinguishability and R groups for the special linear group. *Adv. Math.*, 43:101–121, 1982.
- [Hel21] Eugen Hellmann. On the derived category of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra, 2021.

- [HN17] David Hansen and James Newton. Universal eigenvarieties, trianguline Galois representations, and p -adic Langlands functoriality. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 730:1–64, 2017.
- [Hub96] Roland Huber. *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces*. Aspects of Mathematics, E30. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996.
- [JL70] H. Jacquet and R. P. Langlands. *Automorphic forms on $GL(2)$* , volume 114. Springer, Cham, 1970.
- [JN19a] Christian Johansson and James Newton. Extended eigenvarieties for overconvergent cohomology. *Algebra Number Theory*, 13(1):93–158, 2019.
- [JN19b] Christian Johansson and James Newton. Irreducible components of extended eigenvarieties and interpolating Langlands functoriality. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 26(1):159–201, 2019.
- [Kis03] Mark Kisin. Overconvergent modular forms and the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 153(2):373–454, 2003.
- [Kis04] Mark Kisin. Geometric deformations of modular Galois representations. *Invent. Math.*, 157(2):275–328, 2004.
- [KPX14] Kiran S. Kedlaya, Jonathan Pottharst, and Liang Xiao. Cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ) -modules. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(4):1043–1115, 2014.
- [KW09] Chandrashekar Khare and Jean-Pierre Wintenberger. Serre’s modularity conjecture. II. *Invent. Math.*, 178(3):505–586, 2009.
- [Laf18] Vincent Lafforgue. Chtoucas pour les groupes réductifs et paramétrisation de Langlands globale. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(3):719–891, 2018.
- [LL79] J.-P. Labesse and R. P. Langlands. L -indistinguishability for $SL(2)$. *Can. J. Math.*, 31:726–785, 1979.
- [Loe11] David Loeffler. Overconvergent algebraic automorphic forms. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 102(2):193–228, 2011.
- [LR07] Joshua M. Lansky and A. Raghuram. Conductors and newforms for $SL(2)$. *Pac. J. Math.*, 231(1):127–153, 2007.
- [Lud18a] Judith Ludwig. L -indistinguishability on eigenvarieties. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 17(2):425–440, 2018.
- [Lud18b] Judith Ludwig. On endoscopic p -adic automorphic forms for SL_2 . *Doc. Math.*, 23:383–406, 2018.
- [Lun73] Domingo Luna. Slices étales. In *Sur les groupes algébriques*, pages 81–105. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Paris, Mémoire 33. 1973.
- [LZ19] Vincent Lafforgue and Xinwen Zhu. Décomposition au-dessus des paramètres de Langlands elliptiques, 2019.
- [NT20] James Newton and Jack A. Thorne. Adjoint Selmer groups of automorphic Galois representations of unitary type, 2020.
- [Pot13] Jonathan Pottharst. Analytic families of finite-slope Selmer groups. *Algebra Number Theory*, 7(7):1571–1612, 2013.
- [Pro76] C. Procesi. The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices. *Advances in Math.*, 19(3):306–381, 1976.
- [Ram00] Dinakar Ramakrishnan. Modularity of the Rankin-Selberg L -series, and multiplicity one for $SL(2)$. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 152(1):45–111, 2000.
- [Sah17] Jyoti Prakash Saha. Conductors in p -adic families. *Ramanujan J.*, 44(2):359–366, 2017.
- [Sta] The Stacks Project authors. *The Stacks Project*.
- [SW18] Peter Scholze and Jared Weinstein. Berkeley lectures on p -adic geometry. 2018. To appear in Annals of Math. Studies.
- [Urb11] Eric Urban. Eigenvarieties for reductive groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(3):1685–1784, 2011.
- [Wei20] Matthew Weidner. Pseudocharacters of homomorphisms into classical groups. 2020. To appear in Transformation Groups.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND THE UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG, 412 96 GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN

Email address: chrjohv@chalmers.se

IWR, UNIVERSITY OF HEIDELBERG, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD 205, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY

Email address: judith.ludwig@iwr.uni-heidelberg.de