

## ADELIC ROGERS INTEGRAL FORMULA

SEUNGKI KIM

ABSTRACT. We formulate and prove the extension of the Rogers integral formula ([23]) to the adèles of number fields. We also prove the second moment formulas for a few important cases, enabling a number of classical and recent applications of the formula to extend immediately to any number field.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Rogers integral formula ([23], also see Theorem 1.1 below) is one of the main tools in the geometry of numbers, that allows one to study the statistical properties of the points of a random lattice. It is the natural generalization of the celebrated Siegel integral formula ([34], case  $k = 1$  of Theorem 1.1 below), which Siegel stated at the end of the paper without proof.

**Theorem 1.1** (Rogers [23], Siegel [34] for case  $k = 1$ ). *Let  $k < n$  be positive integers, and  $f : (\mathbb{R}^n)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Borel integrable function. Let  $X_n = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  be the moduli space of the lattices in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of covolume 1, and  $\mu$  be the unique right  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant probability measure on  $X_n$ . Then*

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{X_n} \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ \text{indep.}}} f(x_1 g, \dots, x_k g) d\mu(g) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k.$$

In addition, let us interpret  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^k = \mathrm{Mat}_{k \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ , the set of all  $k \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{k \times n}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \text{no zero rows}}} f(Xg) d\mu(g) \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k} f(X) dX + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_D N(D)^n \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} f(D^{\mathrm{tr}} X) dX, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum over  $D$  is over all  $m \times k$  row-reduced echelon forms over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rank  $m$ ,  $N(D)$  is the density of the vectors  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^k$  such that  $Dx \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ .

Since their development in the mid-twentieth century, these formulas of Siegel and Rogers — called the *mean value formulas* in the literature — have been applied extensively to the study of lattice problems, such as the packing and covering of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by spheres, and they still stand as one of the most powerful tools for such problems. Indeed, Davenport-Rogers ([7]) had provided the best known lower bound  $\approx 1.68n2^{-n}$  for the sphere packing density in dimension  $n$ , until Ball ([5]), who improved it to  $\approx 2n2^{-n}$ . Much later, Venkatesh ([44]) adopted the method of Rogers ([22]) to further improve it to  $\approx 65963n2^{-n}$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ , which is the current best record. Meanwhile, Rogers ([26]) remains the best known bound on the covering density by a ball to this

day, although for the covering by the general convex bodies, there is a recent substantial improvement by Ordentlich-Regev-Weiss ([20]).

One also considers the distributional variants of these lattice problems, for instance, questions such as “What is the  $\mu$ -measure of lattices that yield at least this much packing density?” Investigating problems of this kind relies heavily, if not entirely, on the Rogers integral formula. The quoted problem above, in particular, had been intensively studied in a series of works by Rogers (see e.g. [24], [25]) and Schmidt (e.g. [29], [30]; also see the references in [30]). Recently, their ideas and methods have been further developed by the author ([12], [13]), Södergren (e.g. [37], [38]) and Strömbergsson-Södergren ([36]), with applications to the study of the Epstein zeta functions ([39], [35]).

Perhaps surprisingly, nowadays the mean value formulas have become a standard part of arsenal for homogeneous dynamics as well. Since the late 1990’s to the present day, they have been employed in countless works in the field for various purposes, including a number of influential works, such as Eskin-Margulis-Mozes ([9]) on the quantitative Oppenheim conjecture, Kleinbock-Margulis ([16]) and Athreya-Margulis ([3]) on one-parameter flows, Marklöf-Strömbergsson ([19]) and Athreya-Margulis ([4]) on counting problems, and so on. To quickly give one concrete application among many, the Rogers formula yields the upper bound portion of a logarithm law for free, that is, a statement of the form

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta(\Lambda g_t)}{\log t} = \alpha,$$

where  $\Delta$  is some function on  $X_n$ ,  $\Lambda$  is a generic element of  $X_n$ ,  $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a one-parameter subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\alpha$  is a constant depending only on  $\Delta$  and  $n$  — see [16], [3], [15] for instance.

Naturally, there has been numerous efforts to extend the mean value formulas to a variety of contexts. There are variants that impose various different conditions on the sum on the left-hand side of (1.1), such as summing over the primitive elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  — see Section 1 of Schmidt ([30]) for examples. More recently, there are versions for the rational points on Grassmannians ([14]), translation surfaces ([43], see also related [2]), and for cut-and-project sets ([27]), the latter two proved by ergodic theoretic methods. There are also extensions to  $S$ -unit lattices ([11]), to  $\mathrm{ASL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  ([8], [1]), and to sums over translates of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  ([10], [1]), which requires one to consider the quotient of  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  with respect to a congruence subgroup.

The goal of the present paper is to prove the following theorem, which extends the Rogers integral formula to the adèle of a number field.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $F$  be a number field, and  $\mathcal{X}_n$  be  $\mathrm{GL}(n, F) \backslash G_n$ , where*

$$G_n = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{A}_F) : \|\det A\|_{\mathbb{A}_F} = 1\}.$$

*Also let  $1 \leq k < n$ . Then for a Borel integrable function  $f : (\mathbb{A}_F^n)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we have*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^k \\ \text{rows indep.}}} f(Xg) d\mu = \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^k} f(X) d\alpha_F^{nk},$$

*where  $\mu$  is the unique right  $G_n$  invariant measure on  $\mathcal{X}_n$ , and  $\alpha_F$  is the Tamagawa measure on  $\mathbb{A}_F$ ; see Section 2.3 below for the definition.*

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^k \\ \text{no zero rows}}} f(Xg) d\mu \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^k} f(X) d\alpha_F^{nk} + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_D \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} f(D^{\text{tr}} X) d\alpha_F^{nm}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum over  $D$  is over all  $m \times k$  row-reduced echelon forms over  $F$  of rank  $m$ .

We note that the case  $k = 1$  has been proved by Thunder ([42, Lemma 1]), who applies it to refine Siegel's lemma. Also see Venkatesh ([44, Theorem 1]), where the case  $k = 1$  and of level 1 for the cyclotomic field is proven in the classical language.

The main idea of our proof of Theorem 1.2 is inspired by the original proof by Rogers of his formula ([23]), although his argument contains a gap. Rogers argues that the left-hand side of (1.1) is equal to the limit of the integral of the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ \text{indep.}}} f(x_1 g, \dots, x_k g)$$

along a certain family of unipotent orbits in  $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , which in turn is equal to the Lebesgue measure of  $f$ . We now know both claims to be true by hindsight, but in proving the former he cites an incorrect statement about the fundamental domain of  $X_n$  ([23], p. 256, lines 2-8). We circumvent this problem by replacing the integration over unipotent orbits by the estimates on certain Hecke operators. This idea first appeared in [14], in which it was implemented in the classical language.

We also provide the following second moment estimates for the functions that appear frequently in the applications. The numerous known results that rely on the  $k = 1, 2$  cases of the Rogers integral formula — e.g. [31], [3], [4] — may thus be extended almost immediately to the adelic context.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose  $f : \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function of the form  $f_{\mathbf{f}} f_{\infty}$ , where  $f_{\mathbf{f}}$  is the characteristic function of  $\prod_{\nu \neq \infty} A_{\nu}$ , where each  $A_{\nu}$  is an integrable subset of  $F_{\nu}^n$ , and  $f_{\infty}$  is the characteristic function of a ball or an annulus in  $(F \otimes \mathbb{R})^n$  centered at origin. Then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \left( \sum_{x \in F^n \setminus \{0\}} f(xg) \right)^2 d\mu = (\alpha_F^n(f))^2 + O_F(\alpha_F^n(f)).$$

In addition, we have the following analogue for the rational points on the projective spaces.

**Theorem 1.4.** *For  $B > 0$ , let  $P_B(g)$  be the number of the rational points  $x \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  such that  $H(xg) \leq B$  (see (2.2) for the definition of the height  $H$ ). Then there exists a function  $f_B : \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$P_B(g) = \sum_{x \in F^n \setminus \{0\}} f_B(xg).$$

In addition, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} (P_B(g))^2 d\mu = (\alpha_F^n(f_B))^2 + O_F(\alpha_F^n(f_B)),$$

and  $\alpha_F^n(f_B) = CB^n$  for an explicit constant  $C$  depending only on  $F$  and  $n$ .

The higher moments are more challenging to compute for general  $F$ , due to the presence of infinitely many units. We will visit this topic in a forthcoming paper.

**Organization.** In Section 2, we summarize the number-theoretic facts that we need throughout the paper. In Section 3, the level 1 case of Theorem 1.2, Theorem 3.1, is proved. We then extend Theorem 3.1 bit by bit in Section 4, culminating in Theorem 4.7, from which Theorem 1.2 follows easily by a standard approximation argument. Section 5 computes the second moments, yielding Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 above.

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by NSF grant CNS-2034176.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we clarify the basic facts and conventions that we use throughout this paper. It is by no means sufficient for an introduction or a guide to the subject matter. The reader who seeks such resource may refer to any standard text on algebraic number theory, e.g. Cassels-Fröhlich ([6]), Weil ([45]). For the Tamagawa measure, see Thunder ([42]) or Weil ([46]). For the Hecke operators, see Shimura ([33]), Chapter 3; it is written in the classical language, but all the results there extend to the adelic context in a straightforward manner.

**2.1. Number fields.** A number field  $F$  is an algebraic field extension over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of finite degree  $d$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_F$  the ring of integers of  $F$ , and by  $\mathbf{f}$  the set of all finite primes of  $F$ . There are exactly  $d$  different field embeddings  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ;  $r_1$  of these have their images contained in  $\mathbb{R}$ , thus called real embeddings, and the rest consist of  $r_2$  conjugate pairs of complex embeddings, so that  $d = r_1 + 2r_2$ . Following the common convention, we let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r_1}$  be the real embeddings, and  $\sigma_{r_1+j} = \bar{\sigma}_{r_1+r_2+j}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r_2$  be the pairs of complex embeddings. Each real embedding or a pair of conjugate complex embeddings is referred to as an infinite prime. We write  $\mathcal{P}_F$  for the set of all primes of  $F$ . Primes are also sometimes called places.

For each prime  $\nu$  of  $F$ , let  $F_\nu$  be the completion of  $F$  at  $\nu$ . If in addition  $\nu \in \mathbf{f}$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_\nu$  be the ring of integers of  $F_\nu$ , and we also choose its uniformizer  $\pi_\nu \in \mathcal{O}_\nu$ , so that  $(\pi_\nu)$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_\nu$ .

There exists the canonical embedding  $\rho$  of  $F$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{2r_2}$ , defined by

$$\rho(x) = (\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_d(x)).$$

The image  $\rho(F)$  spans a vector space of dimension  $d$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ , which we may identify with  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ . We endow an inner product on  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  by simply restricting to it the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{2r_2}$ , so that

$$\langle \rho(x), \rho(y) \rangle = \sum_i \sigma_i(x) \bar{\sigma}_i(y),$$

and

$$\|\rho(x)\|^2 = \langle \rho(x), \rho(x) \rangle = \sum_i |\sigma_i(x)|^2.$$

There exists also the logarithm map  $\text{Log} : F^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$  defined by

$$\text{Log}(x) = (\log |\sigma_1(x)|, \dots, \log |\sigma_{r_1}(x)|, 2 \log |\sigma_{r_1+1}(x)|, \dots, 2 \log |\sigma_{r_1+r_2}(x)|).$$

Its kernel is  $\mu_F$ , the set of the roots of unity in  $\mathcal{O}_F$ ; we write  $|\mu_F| = w_F$ .  $\text{Log}$  takes  $\mathcal{O}_F^*$  to a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$  of rank  $r := r_1 + r_2 - 1$ , called the unit lattice. Its covolume, with respect to the standard metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$ , is called the regulator  $R_F$ .

2.2. **Adeles.** The ring of finite adèles  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{f}}$  of  $F$  is the restricted direct product

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{f}} = \prod'_{\nu \in \mathbf{f}} F_{\nu}$$

with respect to  $(\mathcal{O}_{\nu})_{\nu \in \mathbf{f}}$ , which is the set of all elements  $x = (x_{\nu})_{\nu \in \mathbf{f}}$  such that all but finitely many  $x_{\nu} \in \mathcal{O}_{\nu}$ .

We also define the ring of infinite adèles  $\mathbb{A}_{\infty}$  to be

$$\prod_{\sigma | \infty} F_{\sigma},$$

where the product is taken over all infinite primes  $\sigma$ . We identify  $\mathbb{A}_{\infty}$  with  $F \otimes \mathbb{R}$  by their natural isomorphisms to  $\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ , and assign the metric compatible with that of  $F \otimes \mathbb{R}$  under this identification.

The adèle ring  $\mathbb{A}_F$  of  $F$  is the restricted direct product of  $F_{\nu}$  over all places of  $F$ , namely

$$\mathbb{A}_F = \prod'_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_F} F_{\nu} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{f}} \times \mathbb{A}_{\infty}.$$

For  $x$  an element of  $\mathbb{A}_F$  (or, more generally, of  $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$  for an algebraic group  $G$ , such as  $\mathbb{A}_F^n$  or  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{A}_F)$ ), let us write  $x_{\nu}$  for its coordinate at place  $\nu$ . Similarly, for  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_F$ , let us write  $x_{\mathcal{P}} = \prod'_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}} x_{\nu}$ . There is the natural diagonal embedding  $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_F$  that is the product of the embeddings  $F \hookrightarrow F_{\nu}$  over all  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_F$ . We identify  $F$  with the image of this embedding.

$\mathbb{A}_F$  is equipped with the restricted product topology, whose base consists of all sets of the form  $\prod_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_F} O_{\nu}$ , where each  $O_{\nu} \subseteq F_{\nu}$  is open, and for all but finitely many finite places  $O_{\nu} = \mathcal{O}_{\nu}$ . With this topology,  $\mathbb{A}_F$  is locally compact, separable, and Hausdorff, and thus much of the well-known results in functional analysis apply. In addition,  $F \subseteq \mathbb{A}_F$  becomes a discrete and cocompact (i.e.  $\mathbb{A}_F/F$  is compact) subgroup under this topology.

On each  $F_{\nu}$  we assign an “almost-norm”  $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$  as follows. If  $\nu$  is a finite prime lying over a rational prime  $p$ , then  $\|x_{\nu}\|_{\nu} = N_{\mathcal{O}_{\nu}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\nu)^{-\mathrm{ord}_{\nu} x}$ . If  $\nu$  is real, then  $\|x_{\nu}\|_{\nu}$  is the standard absolute value on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and if  $\nu$  is complex, then  $\|x_{\nu}\|_{\nu}$  is the square of the standard absolute value on  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{A}_F$ , we let

$$\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}_F} = \prod_{\nu} \|x\|_{\nu}.$$

The product formula states that if  $x \in F^*$ , then  $\|x\| = 1$ .

Another important fact we will use frequently is the strong approximation ([17]; see also [21, Theorem 2.3]), which states that, for any finite set of places  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}_F$ , and a connected absolutely almost simple algebraic group  $G$  over  $F$  such that  $G$  is simply connected and  $G_S = \prod_{\nu \in S} G(F_{\nu})$  is noncompact,  $G(F)$  is dense in  $G(\mathbb{A}^S) = \prod'_{\nu \notin S} G(F_{\nu})$ . In particular, this applies for  $G = \mathbf{A}^n$  (the affine  $n$ -space) and  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$ , but not for  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ .

2.3. **Tamagawa measure.** For each place  $\nu$  of  $F$ , we define the measure  $\alpha_{\nu}$  on  $F_{\nu}$  as follows:

- If  $\nu$  is finite,  $\alpha_{\nu}$  is the Haar measure on  $F_{\nu}$  normalized so that  $\alpha_{\nu}(\mathcal{O}_{\nu}) = 1$ .
- If  $\nu$  is real,  $\alpha_{\nu}$  is the usual Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- If  $\nu$  is complex,  $\alpha_{\nu}$  is twice the usual Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We also write

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{f}} = \prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{f}} \alpha_{\nu}, \alpha_{\infty} = \prod_{\sigma | \infty} \alpha_{\sigma},$$

for the corresponding measures on  $\mathbb{A}_f$  and  $\mathbb{A}_\infty$  respectively, and let

$$\alpha_F = |\Delta_F|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_f \alpha_\infty.$$

This is called the Tamagawa measure on  $\mathbb{A}_F$ . The choice of the constant factor ensures that  $\alpha_F(\mathbb{A}_F/F) = 1$ .

On each  $\mathrm{GL}(n, F_\nu)$ , there is the invariant measure

$$\omega_{n,\nu}(A) = |\det A|_\nu^{-n} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \alpha_\nu(a_{ij}),$$

where  $a_{ij}$  refers to the  $(i, j)$ -entry of  $A$ . On  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{A}_F)$ , we have the Tamagawa measure

$$\omega_n = \prod_{\nu|\infty} \omega_{n,\nu} \times \prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{f}} (1 - \|\pi_\nu\|_\nu)^{-1} \omega_{n,\nu}.$$

Define

$$G_n = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{A}_F) : \|\det A\|_{\mathbb{A}_F} = 1\}, \Gamma_n = \mathrm{GL}(n, F).$$

$G_n$  is equipped with a measure  $\mu_n$  such that

$$\omega_n = \mu_n \times \beta,$$

where  $\beta$  is the Haar measure on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  given by  $d\beta(x) = dx/x$ . Moreover,  $\mu_n(\Gamma_n \backslash G_n)$  is finite ([42, Section 3]). We write  $\mathcal{X}_n = \Gamma_n \backslash G_n$  for short, and normalize  $\mu_n$  so that  $\mu_n(\mathcal{X}_n) = 1$ .

**2.4. Determinant and height.** For a non-square matrix  $X$  of real or complex entries, we define  $\det X = \sqrt{\det X \bar{X}^{\mathrm{tr}}}$ . If  $X$  has entries in  $\mathbb{A}_\infty$ , we define (the infinite part of) its height  $H_\infty(X)$  by

$$H_\infty(X) = \prod_{\sigma|\infty} \|\det X_\sigma\|_\sigma.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{A}_\infty$ . Denote by  $x_i$  the  $i$ -th row vector of  $X$ . Then*

$$H_\infty(X) \leq \prod_{i=1}^m H_\infty(x_i).$$

Also, for  $n' < n$ , if  $Y$  is an  $m \times n'$  matrix consisting of any choice of the  $n'$  columns of  $X$ , then

$$H_\infty(Y) \leq H_\infty(X).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the corresponding inequalities in case  $X$  is a complex-valued matrix, namely

$$\det X \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \det x_i, \text{ and } \det Y \leq \det X.$$

The former follows from the well-known inequality

$$\det X \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \|x_i\|$$

which can be seen by observing that  $\det X = \|x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_m\|$ , which is maximized for fixed  $\|x_1\|, \dots, \|x_m\|$  when the  $x_i$ 's are pairwise orthogonal. The latter is an immediate consequence of the Cauchy-Binet formula.  $\square$

Given a fractional ideal  $I$  of  $F$ , we can associate with it the lattice  $\rho(I)$  in the Euclidean space  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ . Denote by  $\Delta_F$  the discriminant of  $F$ . It is known that ([32, Theorem 1], also see [41, Theorem 1])

$$(2.1) \quad \det \rho(I) = |\Delta_F|^{\frac{1}{2}} N_F(I).$$

In general, given an  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -submodule  $M$  of  $F^n$ , we can define  $\rho(M) \subseteq (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})^n$  by naturally extending  $\rho$  to  $F^n$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *For a lattice  $L$  in a Euclidean space, let  $\lambda_1(L)$  be the length of a shortest nonzero vector of  $L$ . Then for any fractional ideal  $I$  of  $F$*

$$\sqrt{d} N(I)^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq \lambda_1(\rho(I)).$$

*Similarly, take nonzero  $x \in F^n$ , and consider the rank one  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -submodule  $I \cdot x \subseteq F^n$ . Then*

$$\sqrt{d} N(I)^{\frac{1}{d}} H_{\infty}(x)^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq \lambda_1(\rho(I \cdot x)).$$

This lemma is well-known, and there is also a “reverse inequality”

$$\lambda_1(\rho(I)) \leq \sqrt{d \Delta_F^{\frac{1}{d}} N(I)^{\frac{1}{d}}};$$

see e.g. [18, Lemma 2.9].

*Proof.* Take any nonzero  $a \in I$ . Then

$$\|\rho(a)\|^2 = \sum_i |\sigma_i(a)|^2 \geq d \left( \prod_i |\sigma_i(a)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. The second inequality follows similarly.  $\square$

The following is in a sense a generalization of (2.1).

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{A}_f^n$  be an integrable set. Let  $M$  be the set of all elements  $x \in F^n$  such that  $x_f \in S$ . Then ratio of the natural density of  $\rho(M)$ , that is,*

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(\rho(M) \cap B_V)}{\text{vol}(B_V)}$$

*where  $B_V \subseteq (F \otimes \mathbb{R})^n$  is the ball of volume  $V$ , to that of  $\rho(\mathcal{O}_F^n)$  is given by  $\alpha_f^n(S)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to consider the case  $S = v + I^n$  for some  $v \in F^n$  and an integral ideal  $I$  of  $F$ , since  $S$  in general can be approximated arbitrarily well by taking unions and/or complements of finitely many sets of such form. But in this case, the lemma is obvious because  $|\mathcal{O}_F : I|^{-n} = \alpha_f^n(S)$ .  $\square$

In general, on each finite place  $\nu$ , the height of  $X_{\nu} \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(F_{\nu})$  is defined as follows. First suppose that  $m = 1$ , i.e.  $X_{\nu} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in F_{\nu}^n$ . Then we define

$$H_{\nu}(X_{\nu}) = \max_i \|a_i\|_{\nu}.$$

This extends naturally to general  $m \leq n$ , where we write  $x_i$  for the  $i$ -th row of  $X_{\nu}$ , and define

$$H_{\nu}(X_{\nu}) = H_{\nu}(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_m).$$

In fact, this is consistent with how we defined  $H_{\infty}$  above using the determinant. Accordingly, we write, for  $X \in \mathbb{A}_f$  and  $\mathbb{A}_F$  respectively,

$$(2.2) \quad H_{\mathbf{f}}(X) = \prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{f}} H_{\nu}(X_{\nu}), \quad H(X) = H_{\mathbf{f}}(X_{\mathbf{f}}) H_{\infty}(X_{\infty}).$$

The product formula implies that  $H(X)$  is invariant under the multiplication by  $F^*$ . In addition, for  $g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{A}_F)$ , the quantity  $H(Xg)$  is called the twisted height of  $X$  by  $g$ , a notion developed and studied in Thunder ([41]).

**2.5. Miscellaneous.** Throughout this paper, we adopt the following notation. We identify an element  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in (\mathbb{A}_F^n)^k$  with a  $k \times n$  matrix

$$X := \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{k1} & \dots & x_{kn} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{k \times n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$$

whose  $i$ -th row is equal to  $x_i$ . When we say  $X$  is linearly independent or etc., we mean the row vectors of  $X$  has those properties. In particular, for  $f : (\mathbb{A}_F^n)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{A}_F)$ , we write  $f(Xg)$  for  $f(x_1g, \dots, x_kg)$ .

We omit the subscripts when there exists no ambiguity as to what they should be, e.g.  $d\mu = d\mu_n, d\omega_{n,\nu} = d\omega_\nu$ , and so on. Also, if  $f$  is a function defined on  $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$  for some algebraic group  $G$  and  $x_S \in G_S$  for some finite set  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}_F$ ,  $f(x_S)$  is to be understood as  $f$  evaluated at  $x_\nu$  for  $\nu \in S$  and at  $\mathrm{Id}_{G(F_\nu)}$  for  $\nu \notin S$ . We extend this convention to other similar situations, e.g. if  $x \in F$  then  $f(x)$  is  $f$  evaluated at the diagonal embedding of  $x$  to  $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ .

### 3. THE LEVEL 1 CASE

**3.1. Outline of proof.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all functions  $f : \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = f_\infty f_{\mathbf{f}} = f_\infty \cdot \prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{f}} f_\nu$ , where  $f_\infty$  is a Riemann integrable function on  $(F \otimes \mathbb{R})^n$ , and for  $\nu$  finite  $f_\nu$  is the characteristic function of  $\pi_\nu^{e_\nu} \mathcal{O}_\nu^n$  for some  $e_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Accordingly, let  $\mathcal{S}^k$  be the set of all functions  $f : (\mathbb{A}_F^n)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k f^{(i)}(x_i)$  for  $f^{(i)} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Thus  $f_\nu^{(i)}$  is the characteristic function of  $\pi_\nu^{e_\nu^{(i)}} \mathcal{O}_\nu^n$  for some  $e_\nu^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $I^{(i)} = \nu^{e_\nu^{(i)}}$  be the ideal corresponding to the support of  $f_{\mathbf{f}}^{(i)}$ .

The goal of this section is to prove

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $D$  be an  $m \times k$  row-reduced echelon form over  $F$  of rank  $m$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{S}^k$ . Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(D^{\mathrm{tr}} Xg) d\mu_n = \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} f(D^{\mathrm{tr}} X) d\alpha_F^{nm}(X).$$

Without loss of generality, by reordering  $f^{(i)}$ 's and the columns of  $D$  if necessary, we may assume that the pivots of  $D$  are its first  $m$  columns, i.e.  $D$  is of the form

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & * & \dots & * \\ & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

The idea of the proof is to study the effect of the Hecke operators on the inner sum on the left-hand side of (3.1). To be more precise, for  $g \in G_n$  denote by  $g^*$  its inverse transpose. The map  $g \mapsto g^*$  then induces a degree two automorphism on  $\mathcal{X}_n$ , since it is compatible with the action of  $\Gamma_n$ , and therefore it holds that

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(Xg) d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(Xg^*) d\mu.$$



For each  $g \in G_n$  (or equivalently  $\Gamma_n g \in \mathcal{X}_n$ ), we will estimate

$$(3.2) \quad T_{\mathfrak{p}} \left( \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^k \\ \text{indep.}}} f(Xg^*) \right) = \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}} a_{\mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \int_{K_{\mathfrak{p}} a_{\mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^k \\ \text{indep.}}} f(X(gN(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{nd}} h)^*) d\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(h)$$

along a certain convenient sequence of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F$ ; here  $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{diag}(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}, 1, \dots, 1)$ ,  $K_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , and the normalizing scalar factor  $N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{nd}}$  here denotes the adèle

$$\left( \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\text{finite places}}, \underbrace{N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{nd}}, \dots, N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{nd}}}_{\text{infinite places}} \right).$$

Once this is done, Theorem 3.1 will follow from the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\phi : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be integrable. Then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \phi d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \phi d\mu.$$

*Proof.* This is immediate from the right invariance of  $d\mu$ . □

The lemma below is an adaptation of [23, Theorem 1] to our context.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  be a sequence of primes of  $F$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N\mathfrak{p}_i = \infty$ . Let  $\phi : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function such that, for almost every  $g \in \mathcal{X}_n$ ,  $T_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \phi(g)$  converges to a finite real number  $I$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\phi$  is integrable, and*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \phi d\mu = I.$$

*Proof.* For any real-valued function  $F$  and  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ , write  $[F]_h := \min(F, h)$ . For any  $h > I$ , the dominated convergence theorem implies

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} [T_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \phi]_h d\mu \rightarrow I$$

as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Also by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} [\phi]_h d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} T_{\mathfrak{p}_i} [\phi]_h d\mu \leq \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} [T_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \phi]_h d\mu.$$

Taking  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and then  $h \rightarrow \infty$  here, by the monotone convergence theorem we obtain the upper bound

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \phi d\mu \leq I.$$

In particular, this shows that  $\phi$  is integrable.

On the other hand, Fatou's lemma and Lemma 3.2 imply that

$$I = \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \phi d\mu \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} T_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \phi d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \phi d\mu,$$

yielding the lower bound that we need. □

**3.2. Hecke operator estimate: preparation.** We reproduce the right-hand side of (3.2) for convenience:

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \int_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{nd}} D^{\text{tr}} X g^* h^*) d\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(h).$$

By the strong approximation on  $\text{SL}_n$ , we can find  $k \in \text{SL}(n, F)$ ,  $l \in \prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{f}} \text{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}_{\nu})$ , and  $\eta \in \mathbb{A}_F^*$  of norm 1 such that

$$(kg^*)_{\mathfrak{f}} = l \cdot (a_{\eta})_{\mathfrak{f}},$$

where  $a_{\eta} = \text{diag}(\eta, 1, \dots, 1)$ . In fact, we may refine this approximation a little more, and require that, for each  $\nu \in \mathfrak{f}$ ,  $l_{\nu}$  be equal to the identity matrix modulo a sufficiently large power of  $\pi_{\nu}$  (depending only on  $g$  and  $f$ ), so that  $l_{\nu}^{-1}$  fixes the set  $\left(\prod_i \pi_{\nu}^{e(i)} \mathcal{O}_{\nu}^n\right) \cdot (a_{\eta})_{\nu}^{-1}$  when multiplied from the right.

We now choose  $\mathfrak{p}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $\mathfrak{p}$  is principal, i.e.  $\mathfrak{p} = (\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . If necessary, we adjust  $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by a factor of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ , so that  $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  maps to  $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  under the natural embedding  $\mathcal{O}_F \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .
- $\mathfrak{p}$  is coprime to  $I^{(i)}$  for any  $i$ .
- $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .
- $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ , so that  $a_{\eta} \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

By the Chebotarev density theorem, there are infinitely many such  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Under these assumptions, we compute that (3.3) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\omega_{\nu}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \int_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{nd}} D^{\text{tr}} X kg^* h^*) d\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_{\nu}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \int_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{nd}} D^{\text{tr}} X (kg^*)_{\infty} l(a_{\eta})_{\mathfrak{f}} h^*) d\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_{\nu}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \int_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{nd}} D^{\text{tr}} X (kg^*)_{\infty} h^* l(a_{\eta})_{\mathfrak{f}}) d\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_{\nu}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \int_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{nd}} D^{\text{tr}} X (kg^*)_{\infty} h^* (a_{\eta})_{\mathfrak{f}}) d\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_{\nu}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \int_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{nd}} D^{\text{tr}} X h^* a_{\eta} ((kg^*)^{-1} a_{\eta})_{\infty}^{-1}) d\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(h). \end{aligned}$$

But since  $H_{\infty}((kg^*)^{-1} a_{\eta}) = 1$ , for the purpose of the volume computation we may replace  $f_{\infty}(X)$  by  $f_{\infty}(X((kg^*)^{-1} a_{\eta})_{\infty}^{-1})$ . This leaves us with the expression

$$\frac{1}{\omega_{\nu}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \int_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{nd}} D^{\text{tr}} X h^* a_{\eta}) d\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(h).$$

To proceed, let us choose a set of coset representatives  $h \in \text{GL}(n, F_{\mathfrak{p}})$  of  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , so that

$$K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}} = \coprod_h hK_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be a set of the coset representatives of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By the standard theory of Hecke operators (see e.g. [33, Chapter 3]; Shimura develops the theory over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , but it applies to any PID as well), we can choose the set of the representatives to be

$$(3.4) \quad \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \text{Id}_j & & & \\ & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} & a_{1,\mathfrak{p}} & \cdots & a_{n-j-1,\mathfrak{p}} \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{array} \right) : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ a_{i,\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

It is evident that the cardinality of this set is  $1 + N(\mathfrak{p}) + \dots + N(\mathfrak{p})^{n-1}$ , and also that this is equal to  $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .

We may now express the integral under question as the sum

$$\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \sum_h \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{nd}} D^{\text{tr}} X h^* a_{\eta})$$

where  $h$  runs over the set (3.4).

For each  $h$ , we claim that we can choose  $\gamma \in \text{GL}(n, F)$  such that

$$(\gamma h^* a_{\eta})_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}), \quad (\gamma a_{\eta})_{\nu} \in (a_{\eta})_{\nu} \cdot \text{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_{\nu}) \text{ for all finite } \nu \neq \mathfrak{p},$$

so that we can rewrite the above sum as

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f_{\mathfrak{f}}(D^{\text{tr}} X a_{\eta}) f_{\infty}(N(\mathfrak{p})_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{nd}} D^{\text{tr}} X \gamma a_{\eta}).$$

A small preparation is in order. Let  $I = I^{(1)} + \dots + I^{(k)}$  be the smallest ideal containing all of  $I^{(i)}$ 's. There exists natural maps of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -modules

$$\iota : I \hookrightarrow I^{(i)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where the first map is the inclusion, and the second map is the restriction to the place  $\mathfrak{p}$ ; these also induce the  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -module isomorphisms

$$\bar{\iota} : I/\mathfrak{p}I \cong I^{(i)}/\mathfrak{p}I^{(i)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} =: \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Choose  $\mathcal{R}' \subseteq I$  so that  $\mathcal{R}'$  bijects to  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  under  $\iota$ . Next, using the strong approximation, choose  $\delta \in \mathcal{O}_F$  such that

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \delta_{\nu}, (\delta \eta)_{\nu} \in \nu^{-e_{\nu}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\nu} \text{ for all finite } \nu \neq \mathfrak{p}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{R} := \delta \mathcal{R}'$ . The reason we use this particular construction is so that both  $a$  and  $\eta a$  are integral at all finite places for any  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ , a property we will need below.

For each coset representative

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_j & & & \\ & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} & a_{1,\mathfrak{p}} & \cdots & a_{n-j-1,\mathfrak{p}} \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

from (3.4) so that

$$h^* = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_j & & & & \\ & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & & & \\ & -\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} a_{1,\mathfrak{p}} & 1 & & \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ & -\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} a_{n-j-1,\mathfrak{p}} & & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we choose  $\gamma = \gamma(h)$  to be

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_j & & & & \\ & \tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{p}} & & & \\ & a_1 & 1 & & \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ & a_{n-j-1} & & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a_i$  is the (unique) element of  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\iota(\delta^{-1}a_i) = a_{i,\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ; in particular we have  $(a_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} - a_{i,\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thanks to our choice of  $\mathcal{R}$ , one can now easily check that  $(\gamma h^* a_{\eta})_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and that  $(\gamma a_{\eta})_{\nu} \in (a_{\eta})_{\nu} \cdot \text{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_{\nu})$  for finite  $\nu \neq \mathfrak{p}$ , as desired.

Finally, we interpret (3.5) as a lattice-point counting function on a Euclidean space. Observe that  $f_{\mathfrak{f}}(D^{\text{tr}} X a_{\eta}) = 1$  if and only if the  $i$ -th row vector of  $(D^{\text{tr}} X)_{\nu}$  is contained in  $I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)} \times (I^{(i)})^{n-1}$ , where

$$I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)} = \prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{f}} \nu^{\text{ord}_{\nu} I^{(i)} - \text{ord}_{\nu} \eta}.$$

Therefore (3.5) equals

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}} a_{\mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \text{ indep.} \\ D^{\text{tr}} X \in \prod_i I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)} \times (I^{(i)})^{n-1}}} f_{\infty}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}} (D^{\text{tr}} X \gamma a_{\eta})_{\infty}),$$

where  $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{M}^{(j)}$  with

$$\mathcal{M}^{(j)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_j & & & & \\ & \tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{p}} & & & \\ & a_1 & 1 & & \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ & a_{n-j-1} & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} : a_i \in \mathcal{R} \right\}.$$

**3.3. Hecke operator estimate: the main term.** It turns out that the main contribution to (3.6) comes from the partial sum

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}} a_{\mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^{(0)}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \text{ indep.} \\ D^{\text{tr}} X \in \prod_i I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)} \times (I^{(i)})^{n-1}}} f_{\infty}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}} (D^{\text{tr}} X \gamma a_{\eta})_{\infty}).$$

In this section we estimate (3.7).

Write

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{10} & x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m0} & x_{m1} & \cdots & x_{m,n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

so that for  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^{(0)}$

$$X\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} x_{10}\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{p}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_{1i} & x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m0}\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{p}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_{mi} & x_{m1} & \cdots & x_{m,n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

holds for some  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathcal{R}$ . Also write

$$\bar{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \cdots & x_{m,n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We claim that, if  $\bar{X}$  is independent mod  $\mathfrak{p}$  (more precisely, if its reduction mod  $\mathfrak{p}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ), then the number of  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^{(0)}$  such that the vector

$$(3.8) \quad \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_{1i}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_{mi} \right)^{\text{tr}} \in I^{(1)} \times \cdots \times I^{(m)}$$

represents any given coset of  $I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(1)}/\mathfrak{p}I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(m)}/\mathfrak{p}I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(m)}$  is exactly  $N(\mathfrak{p})^{n-1-m}$ . To see this, first note that if  $\bar{X}$  was a fixed matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  whose rows are independent mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then it induces a surjective linear map from  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-1}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^m$  by left multiplication. Also, thanks to our choice of  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $(\eta a)_{\nu}$  is integral for any finite  $\nu$  and  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ , and thus

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_{1i}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_{mi} \right)^{\text{tr}} \in I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(m)}$$

holds for any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^{(0)}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{R}$  may be seen as a set of the coset representatives of  $I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)}/\mathfrak{p}I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)}$  for any  $i$ , as can be seen from the commuting diagram of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & I^{(i)}/\mathfrak{p}I^{(i)} \\ y \mapsto \delta \cdot y \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{y} \mapsto \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \bar{y} \\ I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)}/\mathfrak{p}I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)}. \end{array}$$

Here the left vertical map is an injection, and the right vertical map is an isomorphism. Thus taking (3.8) mod  $\mathfrak{p}$  proves the claim.

On the other hand, if  $\bar{X}$  is dependent mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then using the surjectivity of the projection  $\text{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}_F) \rightarrow \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  we can find  $A \in \text{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}_F)$  such that one row of  $A\bar{X}$  has entries in  $\mathfrak{p}I$ , and thus either  $H_{\infty}(\bar{X}) \gg_I N(\mathfrak{p})$  or  $H_{\infty}(\bar{X}) = 0$ , depending on whether  $\bar{X}$  is linearly independent over  $F$  or not. In the former case, by Lemma 2.1, this implies that  $H_{\infty}(X\gamma) \geq H_{\infty}(\bar{X}) \gg_I N(\mathfrak{p})$ , and that  $H_{\infty}(x_i\gamma) \gg_I N(\mathfrak{p})^{1/m}$  for some  $i$ , where  $x_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th row of  $X$ . In the latter case,  $AX$  has a row of form  $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$  for  $c_0 \in I$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{p}I$ , and not all of  $c_i$ 's can be zero, since  $X$  is linearly independent over  $F$ ; thus again  $H_{\infty}(x_i\gamma) \gg_I N(\mathfrak{p})^{1/m}$  for some  $i$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $\rho(x_i\gamma) \subseteq (F \otimes \mathbb{R})^n$  has length  $\gg_I N(\mathfrak{p})^{1/md}$ , and thus  $\rho(x_i\gamma) \cdot (a_{\eta})_{\infty}$  has length  $\gg_{I,\eta} N(\mathfrak{p})^{1/md}$ . But since  $f_{\infty}$  is compactly supported, for  $N(\mathfrak{p})$  sufficiently large we have

$$f_{\infty}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}}(D^{\text{tr}}X\gamma a_{\eta})_{\infty}) = 0,$$

i.e. such  $X$  does not contribute to the sum (3.7).

The considerations so far allow us to write (3.7) as

$$\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{n-1-m}}{\omega_\nu(K_{\mathfrak{p}} a_{\mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ D^{\text{tr}} X \in \prod_i I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)} \times (I^{(i)})^{n-1} \\ \text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{X} = m}} f_\infty(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}} (D^{\text{tr}} X a_\eta)_\infty).$$

Here  $\text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  means the rank over  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . But since

$$\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{n-1-m}}{\omega_\nu(K_{\mathfrak{p}} a_{\mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{p}})} = \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^m} (1 + o(1)),$$

there is no harm in considering

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^m} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ D^{\text{tr}} X \in \prod_i I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)} \times (I^{(i)})^{n-1} \\ \text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{X} = m}} f_\infty(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}} (D^{\text{tr}} X a_\eta)_\infty)$$

instead. We claim that, up to an error that vanishes as  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \infty$ , this is equal to

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^m} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ D^{\text{tr}} X \in \prod_i I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)} \times (I^{(i)})^{n-1}}} f_\infty(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}} (D^{\text{tr}} X a_\eta)_\infty).$$

(3.10) can be estimated by rewriting it in the classical language. By Lemma 2.3, the image under  $\rho$  of the elements  $X \in (F^n)^m$  such that  $D^{\text{tr}} X \in \prod_i I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)} \times (I^{(i)})^{n-1}$  forms a lattice  $L$  of rank  $mnd$  and determinant

$$|\Delta_F|^{mn/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{f}}^{mn}} f_{\mathfrak{f}}((D^{\text{tr}} X a_\eta)_{\mathfrak{f}}) d\alpha_{\mathfrak{f}}^{mn} \right)^{-1}.$$

Therefore (3.10) can be seen as a sum of  $f_\infty(D^{\text{tr}} X_\infty (a_\eta)_\infty)$  over the lattice vectors  $X_\infty$  of determinant  $N(\mathfrak{p})^{-m} \det L$ . Since  $f_\infty$  is Riemann integrable, we obtain that (3.10) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Delta_F|^{-mn/2} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{f}}^{mn}} f_{\mathfrak{f}}((D^{\text{tr}} X a_\eta)_{\mathfrak{f}}) d\alpha_{\mathfrak{f}}^{mn} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^{mn}} f_\infty((D^{\text{tr}} X a_\eta)_\infty) d\alpha_\infty^{mn} + o(1) \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}^n)^m} f(D^{\text{tr}} X) \|\eta\|^{-m} d\alpha_F^{mn} + o(1) \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}^n)^m} f(D^{\text{tr}} X) d\alpha_F^{mn} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

(recall  $\|\eta\| = 1$ ) matching the claim of Theorem 3.1.

We return to showing the equivalence of (3.9) and (3.10) up to a small error. We start by claiming that the condition  $\text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{X} = m$  in (3.9) can be replaced by  $\text{rk} \bar{X} = m$ . Since  $\text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{X} \leq \text{rk} \bar{X}$  always, it suffices to consider the case  $\text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{X} < \text{rk} \bar{X} = m$ . But we already proved above that such  $\bar{X}$ , and thus  $X$  too, has a row whose image via  $\rho$  has length  $\gg N(\mathfrak{p})^{1/md}$ , and thus  $X$  contributes zero to the sum for  $N(\mathfrak{p})$  sufficiently large.

Next we claim that the condition  $\text{rk} \bar{X} = m$  may be discarded altogether. To this end, consider those  $X$  such that  $\bar{X}$  is dependent. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the last row of  $\bar{X}$  is dependent on the other rows. Then  $x_m$ , the last row of  $X$ , lies in the rank  $\leq m$  submodule

$$N = \left( I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(m)} \times (I^{(m)})^{n-1} \right) \cap (F e_1 \oplus F x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F x_{m-1})$$

of  $I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(m)} \times (I^{(m)})^{n-1}$ , where  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in F^n$ . The sum (3.9) restricted to all such  $X$  is crudely bounded by

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^m} \sum_{\hat{X} \in \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)} \times (I^{(i)})^{n-1}} \prod_{i \neq m} f_{\infty}^{(i)}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}}(\hat{X} a_{\eta})_{\infty}) \sum_{x_m \in N} f_{\infty}^{(m)}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}}(x_m a_{\eta})_{\infty}).$$

The inner sum counts the number of the vectors of the rank  $\leq md$  lattice  $N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}}\rho(N) \cdot (a_{\eta})_{\infty}$  inside a bounded set, and thus it is of size  $O_{f,I,\eta}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{m/n})$ . Similarly, the outer sum is  $O_{f,I,\eta}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{m-1})$ , and thus (3.11) is of size  $O_{f,I,\eta}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{m/n-1}) = o(1)$ , as desired.

**3.4. Hecke operator estimate: error terms.** We now bound the intended error terms

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}} a_{\mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{p}})} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^{(j)}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \text{ indep.} \\ D^{\text{tr}} X \in \prod_i I_{\eta^{-1}}^{(i)} \times (I^{(i)})^{n-1}}} f_{\infty}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}}(D^{\text{tr}} X \gamma a_{\eta})_{\infty})$$

for each  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Our goal is to show that (3.12) vanishes as  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \infty$ . To this end, it suffices to bound the simpler

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{n-1}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^{(j)}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (J^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} g_{\infty}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}}(X \gamma)_{\infty})$$

where  $J = I_{\eta^{-1}} + I$  and  $g_{\infty}(X) = f_{\infty}(X(a_{\eta})_{\infty})$ , since this is no smaller than (3.12).

For  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^{(j)}$  and

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{10} & x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m0} & x_{m1} & \cdots & x_{m,n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$X\gamma$  is of form

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{10} & \cdots & x_{1,j-1} & x_{1j}\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{p}} + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a_{i-j}x_{1i} & x_{1,j+1} & \cdots & x_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m0} & \cdots & x_{m,j-1} & x_{mj}\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{p}} + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a_{i-j}x_{mi} & x_{m,j+1} & \cdots & x_{m,n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-j-1} \in \mathcal{R}$ . This time we let

$$\bar{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,j+1} & \cdots & x_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m,j+1} & \cdots & x_{m,n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We first consider the partial sum of (3.13) over those  $X$  for which  $\text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{X} = m$ . Then  $\bar{X} \bmod \mathfrak{p}$  induces a surjective map  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-j-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^m$ , and thus by the same argument as in the previous section, the restricted sum is equal to

$$\frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{j+m}} \sum_{\substack{X \in (J^n)^m \text{ indep.} \\ \text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{X} = m}} g_{\infty}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}}X_{\infty}).$$

Dropping the rank and independence conditions on  $X$ , this is a sum over a lattice in an  $mnd$ -dimensional Euclidean space of determinant  $O_{g,J}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{mnd}{nd}}) = O_{g,J}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-m})$ . Thus we see that this expression has size  $O_{g,J}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j})$ .

It remains to consider those  $X$  for which  $\text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{X} = m' < m$ . If  $m' = 0$ , then  $\bar{X} \in (\mathfrak{p}J)^{(n-j-1)m}$ , and (3.13) restricted to all such  $X$  is equal to

$$\frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^j} \sum_{X \in J^{jm} \times (\mathfrak{p}J)^{(n-j)m} \text{ indep.}} g_{\infty}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}} X_{\infty}).$$

This has size  $O_{g,J}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-(n-j-1)m-j})$ .

If  $m' \neq 0$ , then without loss of generality, let us assume that it is the first  $m'$  vectors of  $\bar{X}$  that are independent mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Also let us write

$$g_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{m'} g_{\infty}^{(i)}, g_2 = \prod_{i=m'+1}^m g_{\infty}^{(i)},$$

where  $g_{\infty}^{(i)}(X) = f_{\infty}^{(i)}(X a_{\eta})$ . Then the restriction of (3.13) to all the  $X$  with  $\text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{X} = m'$  is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{n-1}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^{(j)}} \sum_{\substack{Y_1 \in (J^n)^{m'} \\ \text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{Y}_1 = m'}} g_1(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}}(Y_1 \gamma)_{\infty}) \sum_{\substack{Y_2 \in (J^n)^{m-m'} \\ \bar{Y}_2 \bmod \mathfrak{p} \in \text{span}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\bar{Y}_1)}} g_2(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}}(Y_2 \gamma)_{\infty}),$$

where  $\bar{Y}$  denotes the matrix formed by the last  $n-j-1$  columns of  $Y$ , and  $\bar{Y}_2 \bmod \mathfrak{p} \in \text{span}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\bar{Y}_1)$  means that each row of  $\bar{Y}_2$  taken mod  $\mathfrak{p}$  is contained in the  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-j-1}$  spanned by the rows of  $\bar{Y}_1$ . Again using the fact that  $\bar{Y}_1$  induces a surjective mapping  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-j-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m'}$ , this is equal to

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{j+m'}} \sum_{\substack{Y_1 \in (J^n)^{m'} \\ \text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{Y}_1 = m'}} g_1(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}} Y_{1,\infty}) \sum_{Y_2 \in J^{j(m-m')} \times \kappa(Y_1)} g_2(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{nd}} Y_{2,\infty}),$$

where we write

$$\kappa(Y) = (\mathfrak{p}J)^{(n-j)(m-m')} + (\text{span}(\tilde{Y}) \cap J^{(n-j)})^{(m-m')} \subseteq (F^{(n-j)})^{(m-m')},$$

with  $\tilde{Y}$  being the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a_{i-j} x_{1i} & x_{1,j+1} & \cdots & x_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a_{i-j} x_{m'i} & x_{m',j+1} & \cdots & x_{m',n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\text{span}(\tilde{Y})$  the  $F$ -span of the rows of  $\tilde{Y}$ . If  $n-j-m' > 0$ , then

$$\det((\mathfrak{p}J)^{n-j} + \text{span}(\tilde{Y}) \cap J^{(n-j)}) = O_J(N(\mathfrak{p})^{n-j-m'}),$$

and hence  $\rho(\kappa(Y_1))$  has determinant  $O_J(N(\mathfrak{p})^{(n-j-m')(m-m')})$ . From this, we deduce that (3.14) is of size

$$O_{g,J}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-(n-j-m')(m-m')+(m-j-m')}) \ll N(\mathfrak{p})^{m-n}.$$

If  $n-j-m' = 0$  (note  $n-j-m' < 0$  is impossible), then  $\rho(\kappa(Y_1))$  has determinant  $O_J(1)$ , and (3.14) has size  $O_{g,j}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{m-j-m'})$ . In either case, it vanishes as  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \infty$ .



## 4. EXTENSIONS OF THEOREM 3.1

**4.1. Primitivity.** Let us say that the vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{f}} F_\nu^n$  are (locally) *primitive*, if for every finite place  $\nu$ , there exists an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu)$  such that its  $i$ -th row vector is  $(x_i)_\nu$ . Analogously, we say an  $m \times n$  matrix  $X$  with values in  $\prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{f}} F_\nu$  is *primitive* if  $X_\nu$  is primitive — that is, can be completed to an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu)$  — at every finite  $\nu$ . We extend these definitions to vectors and matrices over  $F$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_F$ , and so on, by taking appropriate natural embeddings or projections.

In case  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ , this coincides with the usual notion of primitivity for  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  (equivalently, for an  $m \times n$  integral matrix  $X$  whose  $i$ -th row is  $x_i$ ) which is that there exists an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  such that its  $i$ -th row vector is  $x_i$ . For if  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  were not primitive, then for some prime  $p$  they would be linearly dependent mod  $p$ , and hence there cannot exist an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  whose  $i$ -th row is  $x_i$ .

By the theory of the Smith normal form, each  $X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathcal{O}_\nu)$  is of the form

$$X = \gamma \cdot \mathrm{diag}(\pi_\nu^{a_1}, \dots, \pi_\nu^{a_m}) \cdot P,$$

where  $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathcal{O}_\nu)$ ,  $P \in \mathrm{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathcal{O}_\nu)$  is primitive, and  $0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_m$ , where the  $a_i$ 's are determined uniquely by  $X$ . We allow  $a_i$  to be  $\infty$ , interpreting  $\pi_\nu^\infty = 0$ . If any  $a_i = \infty$ , then the row vectors of  $X$  are linearly dependent over  $F_\nu$ , and the converse also holds. On the other end of the spectrum,  $a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0$  if and only if  $X$  is primitive. Moreover, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathcal{O}_\nu)$ . Then we can write*

$$X = hP,$$

where  $P \in \mathrm{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathcal{O}_\nu)$  is primitive, and  $h$  is a left coset representative of  $K_\nu = \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathcal{O}_\nu)$  in the double coset  $K_\nu \mathrm{diag}(\pi_\nu^{a_1}, \dots, \pi_\nu^{a_m}) K_\nu$  for some  $0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_m$ . Furthermore, the coset  $hK_\nu$  is uniquely determined by  $X$ .

*Proof.* The existence of such  $P$  and  $h$  is clear from the preceding discussion. For the uniqueness claim, suppose

$$X = hP = h'P'$$

for some primitive  $P, P'$  and  $h, h' \in K_\nu a K_\nu$ . Let  $\tilde{P}$  (resp.  $\tilde{P}'$ ) be the element of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu)$  whose first  $m$  rows are equal to those of  $P$  (resp.  $P'$ ). Similarly, let  $\tilde{h}$  (resp.  $\tilde{h}'$ ) be the element of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu)$  whose first  $m$  rows are equal to those of  $h$  (resp.  $h'$ ) followed by  $n - m$  zeros. Then we have

$$\tilde{h}\tilde{P} = \tilde{h}'\tilde{P}',$$

from which it is immediate that  $h^{-1}h' \in K_\nu$  and thus  $hK_\nu = h'K_\nu$ .  $\square$

For a sequence  $\nu^{a_1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \nu^{a_m}$  of nonzero ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_\nu$  and a function  $F$  on  $(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m$  invariant under  $K_\nu$  from the left, define the operator  $\mathcal{T}(\nu^{a_1}, \dots, \nu^{a_m})$  by

$$\mathcal{T}(\nu^{a_1}, \dots, \nu^{a_m})F(X) = \int_{K_\nu \mathrm{diag}(\pi_\nu^{a_1}, \dots, \pi_\nu^{a_m}) K_\nu} F(\gamma^{-1}X) d\omega_\nu(\gamma).$$

Note that this is different from  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$  from the earlier section. In general, for a sequence  $I_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_m$  of nonzero ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and a function  $F$  on  $(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m$ , define

$$\mathcal{T}(I_1, \dots, I_m)F(X) = \left( \prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{f}} \mathcal{T}(\nu^{\mathrm{ord}_\nu(I_1)}, \dots, \nu^{\mathrm{ord}_\nu(I_m)}) \right) F(X).$$

To clarify, the product on the right hand side is to be understood as function compositions; also note that there are only finitely many  $\nu \in \mathbf{f}$  for which the corresponding  $\mathcal{T}$  is not the identity map. Lastly, for an ideal  $I \neq 0$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , we define

$$\mathcal{T}(I)F(X) = \sum_{\substack{I_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_m \\ I_1 \cdots I_m = I}} T(I_1, \dots, I_m)F(X).$$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Choose  $\mathbf{s} \subseteq \mathbf{f}$ . Let  $f_{\mathbf{s}}$  be the characteristic function of  $\prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{s}} (\mathcal{O}_{\nu}^n)^m$ , and  $f_{\text{pr}, \mathbf{s}}$  be the characteristic function of the set of primitive  $m \times n$  matrices in  $\prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{s}} (\mathcal{O}_{\nu}^n)^m$ . Then*

$$f_{\mathbf{s}}(X) = \sum_I \mathcal{T}(I) f_{\text{pr}, \mathbf{s}}(X),$$

where  $I$  runs over all nonzero ideals generated by  $\mathbf{s}$ .

Conversely, for  $0 \leq i \leq m$  and  $\nu \in \mathbf{f}$ , let

$$\mathcal{T}^{(i)}(\nu) = \mathcal{T}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{m-i}, \underbrace{\nu, \dots, \nu}_i).$$

Then

$$f_{\text{pr}, \mathbf{s}}(X) = \prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{s}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i N(\nu)^{i(i-1)/2} \mathcal{T}^{(i)}(\nu) \right) f_{\mathbf{s}}(X).$$

*Proof.* The former equality is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.1. The latter follows from [33, Theorem 3.21] — originally due to Tamagawa ([40]) — which states that

$$\sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i N(\nu)^{i(i-1)/2} \mathcal{T}^{(i)}(\nu) \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}(\nu^i)$$

are inverse operators to one another.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Choose  $\mathbf{s} \subseteq \mathbf{f}$ . Let  $f = f_{\mathbf{f}} f_{\infty}$  be a function  $(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f_{\infty}$  is an integrable function on  $(\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^n)^m$ , and  $f_{\mathbf{f}}$  is the characteristic function of  $\prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{f}} (\mathcal{O}_{\nu}^n)^m$ . Also, let  $f_{\text{pr}} = f_{\text{pr}, \mathbf{s}} f_{\infty}$ , where  $f_{\text{pr}, \mathbf{s}}$  is the restriction of  $f_{\mathbf{f}}$  to those  $X$  such that  $X_{\nu}$  is primitive for  $\nu \in \mathbf{s}$ . Choose any  $g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{A}_F)$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(Xg) = \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} \sum_I \mathcal{T}(I) f_{\text{pr}}(Xg),$$

where  $I$  runs over all nonzero integral ideals generated by  $\mathbf{s}$ . Moreover,

$$\sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f_{\text{pr}}(Xg) = \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} \prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{s}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i N(\nu)^{i(i-1)/2} \mathcal{T}^{(i)}(\nu) \right) f(Xg).$$

*Proof.* Both follow from Proposition 4.2 by summing over all  $Xg$  such that  $X \in (F^n)^m$  is linearly independent.  $\square$

**4.2. Rogers integral formula, primitive case.** We now prove the main results of this section.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $f = f_{\mathbf{f}} f_{\infty}$ , where  $f_{\infty}$  is Riemann integrable on  $(\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^n)^m$ , and  $f_{\mathbf{f}}$  is the characteristic function of  $\prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{f}} (\mathcal{O}_{\nu}^n)^m$ . Also, let  $h \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{f}})$  be such that  $h_{\nu}$  has entries in  $\mathcal{O}_{\nu}$ , and  $h_{\nu} = \text{Id}$  for all but finitely many  $\nu \in \mathbf{f}$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(h^{-1}Xg) d\mu_n = \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} f(h^{-1}X) d\alpha_F^{nm}.$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} \mathcal{T}f(Xg) d\mu_n = \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} \mathcal{T}f(X) d\alpha_F^{nm}$$

for any element  $\mathcal{T}$  in the Hecke ring i.e. the ring generated by  $\mathbb{R}$  and the operators of the form  $\mathcal{T}(\nu^{a_1}, \dots, \nu^{a_m})$ .

*Proof.* For each  $\nu \in \mathfrak{f}$ , take the Smith normal form of  $h_\nu$ ; that is,  $h_\nu = \gamma_\nu a_\nu \delta_\nu$ , where  $\gamma_\nu, \delta_\nu \in K_\nu$  and  $a_\nu$  is a diagonal matrix. Then  $(h^{-1}Xg)_\nu \in (\mathcal{O}_\nu^n)^m$  if and only if  $Xg \in \gamma_\nu a_\nu (\mathcal{O}_\nu^n)^m$ . Now use the strong approximation to find  $k \in \text{SL}(m, \mathcal{O}_F)$  such that  $k_\nu \gamma_\nu = l_\nu \text{diag}(\eta_\nu, 1, \dots, 1)$  for  $\eta_\nu = \det \gamma_\nu \in \mathcal{O}_\nu^*$  and  $l_\nu \in \text{SL}(m, \mathcal{O}_\nu)$  sufficiently close to the identity so that it fixes the set  $a_\nu (\mathcal{O}_\nu^n)^m$ . Then, writing  $a = \prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{f}} a_\nu$ , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(h^{-1}Xg) = \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(h^{-1}k^{-1}Xg) = \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f_{\mathfrak{f}}(a^{-1}Xg) f_\infty(k^{-1}Xg).$$

Therefore Theorem 3.1 applies, and we conclude that it equals

$$\int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} f(a^{-1}X) d\alpha_F^{nm} = \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} f(h^{-1}X) d\alpha_F^{nm}$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** Choose  $\mathfrak{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{f}$ . Let  $f_{\text{pr}} = f_{\text{pr}, \mathfrak{s}} f_\infty$  be a function  $(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $f_\infty$  is Riemann integrable and  $f_{\text{pr}, \mathfrak{s}}$  is the characteristic function of the set of  $m \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{A}_F$  primitive over all  $\nu \in \mathfrak{s}$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f_{\text{pr}}(Xg) d\mu_n = \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} f_{\text{pr}}(X) d\alpha_F^{mn} = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \zeta_{\mathfrak{s}}(n-i)^{-1} \int_{(\mathbb{A}_\infty^n)^m} f_\infty(X) d\alpha_\infty^{mn},$$

where

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{s}}(s) = \prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{s}} (1 - N(\nu)^{-s})^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Write  $f = f_{\mathfrak{f}} f_\infty$ , where  $f_{\mathfrak{f}}$  is the characteristic function of  $\prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{f}} (\mathcal{O}_\nu^n)^m$ . By Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f_{\text{pr}}(Xg) d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} \prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{s}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i N(\nu)^{i(i-1)/2} \mathcal{T}^{(i)}(\nu) \right) f(Xg) d\mu.$$

For  $N > 0$ , let  $\mathfrak{s}_N$  be the set of all finite primes  $\nu$  such that  $N(\nu) < N$ . Using the dominated convergence theorem, the right-hand side can be rewritten as

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} \prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{s}_N} \left( \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i N(\nu)^{i(i-1)/2} \mathcal{T}^{(i)}(\nu) \right) f(Xg) d\mu.$$

By Theorem 4.4, this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} \prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{s}_N} \left( \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i N(\nu)^{i(i-1)/2} \mathcal{T}^{(i)}(\nu) \right) f(X) d\alpha_F^{nm} \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} \prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{s}_N} \left( \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i N(\nu)^{i(i-1)/2} \mathcal{T}^{(i)}(\nu) \right) \sum_I \mathcal{T}(I) f_{\text{pr}}(X) d\alpha_F^{nm} \\
&= \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} f_{\text{pr}}(X) d\alpha_F^{nm}.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to compare the volumes of  $f$  and  $f_{\text{pr}}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} f(X) d\alpha_F^{nm} &= \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} \sum_I \mathcal{T}(I) f_{\text{pr}}(X) d\alpha_F^{nm} \\
&= \sum_I Q^{(m)}(I) N(I)^{-n} \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} f_{\text{pr}}(X) d\alpha_F^{nm},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $I$  runs over all nonzero ideals generated by  $\mathfrak{s}$ , and  $Q^{(m)}(I) = \deg \mathcal{T}(I)$ . Proposition 4.6 below then completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Continue with the notations and assumptions in Theorem 4.5. We have*

$$\sum_I Q^{(m)}(I) N(I)^{-n} = \zeta_{\mathfrak{s}}(n) \zeta_{\mathfrak{s}}(n-1) \cdots \zeta_{\mathfrak{s}}(n-m+1).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the “local” version of the proposition, which goes as follows. Fix  $\nu \in \mathfrak{f}$ , and write  $q = N(\nu)$ ,  $Q_{\nu}^{(m)}(k) = \deg \mathcal{T}(\nu^k)$ , and  $\zeta_{\nu}(k) = (1 - q^{-k})^{-1}$ . Then we want to prove

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{\nu}^{(m)}(k) q^{-kn} = \zeta_{\nu}(n) \zeta_{\nu}(n-1) \cdots \zeta_{\nu}(n-m+1).$$

Later we will prove that

$$(4.2) \quad Q_{\nu}^{(m)}(k) = \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{q^{k+i} - 1}{q^i - 1}.$$

Let us assume this for now. We will prove (4.1) by induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 1$ , it is trivially true. For the induction step, start by rewriting the left-hand side of (4.1) as

$$\frac{1}{q^{m-1} - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k+m-1} - 1}{q^{kn}} \cdot Q_{\nu}^{(m-1)}(k).$$

By the induction hypothesis, this equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{q^{m-1} - 1} (q^{m-1} \zeta_{\nu}(n-1) \cdots \zeta_{\nu}(n-m+1) - \zeta_{\nu}(n) \cdots \zeta_{\nu}(n-m+2)) \\
&= \frac{1}{q^{m-1} - 1} \zeta_{\nu}(n-1) \cdots \zeta_{\nu}(n-m+2) (q^{m-1} \zeta_{\nu}(n-m+1) - \zeta_{\nu}(n)),
\end{aligned}$$

but one can easily verify that

$$\frac{q^{m-1} \zeta_{\nu}(n-m+1) - \zeta_{\nu}(n)}{q^{m-1} - 1} = \zeta_{\nu}(n) \zeta_{\nu}(n-m+1),$$

proving (4.1), as desired.

Thus it remains to prove (4.2) above. We again argue by induction on  $m$ . There is nothing to prove for the base case  $m = 1$ . For the general case, observe that each and every coset appearing in  $\mathcal{T}(\nu^k)$  has a representative of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\nu^{a_1} & * & * & \cdots & * \\ & \pi_\nu^{a_2 - a_1} & * & & * \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & \pi_\nu^{a_{m-1} - a_{m-2}} & * \\ & & & & \pi_\nu^{a_m - a_{m-1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $0 = a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_m = k$ , and each entry to the right of  $\pi_\nu^{a_i - a_{i-1}}$  is chosen uniquely mod  $\pi_\nu^{a_i - a_{i-1}}$ . Since

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} q^{(m-i)(a_i - a_{i-1})} = q^{a_1 + \dots + a_{m-1}},$$

it follows that

$$Q_\nu^{(m)}(k) = \sum_{0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{m-1} \leq k} q^{a_1 + \dots + a_{m-1}},$$

which in turn implies

$$Q_\nu^{(m)}(k) = \sum_{a=0}^k q^a Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(a)$$

(think  $a = a_{m-1}$ ). By the summation by parts, we obtain

$$Q_\nu^{(m)}(k) = Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(k) \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q - 1} - \sum_{a=1}^k \frac{q^a - 1}{q - 1} \left( Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(a) - Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(a - 1) \right).$$

The induction hypothesis implies

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(a) - Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(a - 1) \\ &= \frac{q^{a+1} - 1}{q - 1} \cdots \frac{q^{a+m-2} - 1}{q^{m-2} - 1} - \frac{q^a - 1}{q - 1} \cdots \frac{q^{a+m-3} - 1}{q^{m-2} - 1} \\ &= q^a Q_\nu^{(m-2)}(a) = \frac{q^a (q^{m-2} - 1)}{q^a - 1} Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(a - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\nu^{(m)}(k) &= Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(k) \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q - 1} - \frac{q^{m-2} - 1}{q - 1} \sum_{a=1}^k q^a Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(a - 1) \\ &= Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(k) \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q - 1} - Q_\nu^{(m)}(k - 1) \frac{q^{m-1} - q}{q - 1} \\ &= Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(k) \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q - 1} - \left( Q_\nu^{(m)}(k) - q^k Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(k) \right) \frac{q^{m-1} - q}{q - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{q^{m-1} - q}{q-1}\right) Q_\nu^{(m)}(k) &= \left(\frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q-1} + \frac{q^k(q^{m-1} - q)}{q-1}\right) Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(k) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{q^{m-1} - 1}{q-1} Q_\nu^{(m)}(k) &= \frac{q^{m+k-1} - 1}{q-1} Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(k) \\ \Rightarrow Q_\nu^{(m)}(k) &= \frac{q^{m+k-1} - 1}{q^{m-1} - 1} Q_\nu^{(m-1)}(k). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (4.2).  $\square$

**4.3. Rogers integral formula, the higher level case.** As an application of Theorem 4.5, we prove the following result. As will be seen later in this paper, the full adelic Rogers formula, Theorem 1.2, almost immediately follows from it.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $1 \leq m < n$ , and let  $f : (\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be of form  $f = f_{\mathbf{f}} f_\infty$  such that  $f_\infty$  is Riemann integrable on  $(\mathbb{A}_\infty^n)^m$ , and  $f_{\mathbf{f}}$  is the characteristic function of  $v + \eta \prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{f}} (\mathcal{O}_\nu^n)^m \subseteq (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{f}}^n)^m$  for some  $v \in (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{f}}^n)^m$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{f}}^*$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(Xg) d\mu_n = \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^m} f(X) d\alpha_F^{nm}.$$

Let  $K_\nu = \text{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu)$ , and let  $K_\nu(e)$  be the kernel of the projection  $K_\nu \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu / \pi_\nu^e \mathcal{O}_\nu)$  for a nonnegative integer  $e$ . Also write  $K_\nu(I) := K_\nu(\text{ord}_\nu(I))$  for a fractional ideal  $I$ , and  $K := \prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{f}} K_\nu$ . The idea is to fix an appropriate congruence subgroup  $K(I) := \prod_{\nu \in \mathbf{f}} K_\nu(I)$  of  $K$  respect to an integral ideal  $I$  of  $F$ , which we will determine later, such that  $f(X) = f(Xk)$  for  $k \in K(I)$ , and consider

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{|K : K(I)|} \sum_{k \in K/K(I)} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(Xgk)$$

instead, noting that this and  $\sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(Xg)$  yields the same value when integrated over  $\mathcal{X}_n$ . We will prove that (4.3) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{|K : K(I)|} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} \tilde{f}(Xg),$$

where  $\tilde{f}$  is a certain modification of  $f$  at finitely many places  $\nu|I$ , in which  $f_\nu$  is replaced by  $\sigma_\nu \cdot \tilde{f}_\nu$ , where  $\tilde{f}_\nu$  is the characteristic function of

$$S_\nu = \pi_\nu^{-c} \gamma \text{diag}(\pi_\nu^{a_1}, \dots, \pi_\nu^{a_{m'}}, \pi_\nu^e, \dots, \pi_\nu^e) P_{m'},$$

for some integers  $c, 1 \leq m' \leq m$  and  $0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{m'} < e$ ,  $\gamma \in K_\nu$ , and  $P_{m'}$  is the set of all elements in  $\text{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathcal{O}_\nu)$  whose first  $m'$  rows form a primitive  $m' \times n$  matrix, and  $\sigma_\nu$  is some constant such that

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\sigma_\nu \alpha_\nu^{nm}(S_\nu)}{|K_\nu : K_\nu(I)|} = \alpha_\nu^{nm}(v_\nu + \eta_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}).$$

Thus if we show that we can extend the Rogers integral formula to  $\tilde{f}$ , we would have proved Theorem 4.7.

Indeed, this can be done with the series of results we have developed so far. First, note that we may assume  $c = 0$ , by modifying  $\tilde{f}(X)$  by  $\tilde{f}(\kappa X)$ , where  $\kappa$  is an idele defined by

$$\kappa_l = \begin{cases} \pi_\nu^c & \text{if } l = \nu \\ N(\nu)^{-c/d} & \text{if } l|\infty \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

since this changes neither the integral over  $\mathcal{X}_n$  nor the integral over  $\mathbb{A}_F^{nm}$ .

Let us write  $a = \text{diag}(\pi_\nu^{a_1}, \dots, \pi_\nu^{a_{m'}}, \pi_\nu^e, \dots, \pi_\nu^e)$  for short. Then  $X_\nu \in S_\nu$  if and only if  $a^{-1}\gamma^{-1}X_\nu \in P_{m'}$ . Also, by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\tilde{f}_\nu(X) = \mathbf{1}_{P_{m'}}(a^{-1}\gamma^{-1}X) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m'} (-1)^i N(\nu)^{i(i-1)/2} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(i)}(\nu) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}}(a^{-1}\gamma^{-1}X),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(i)}F(X) = \frac{1}{\omega_{\nu, m'}(\tilde{K}_\nu \beta_\nu \tilde{K}_\nu)} \int_{\tilde{K}_\nu \beta_\nu \tilde{K}_\nu} F\left(\begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{-1} & \\ & I \end{pmatrix} X\right) d\omega_{\nu, m'},$$

where  $\tilde{K}_\nu = \text{GL}(m', \mathcal{O}_\nu)$ ,  $\beta_\nu = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \nu, \dots, \nu)$  with  $m' - i$  1's and  $i$   $\nu$ 's, and  $I$  is the  $(m - m') \times (m - m')$  identity matrix. This shows that  $\tilde{f}_\nu$  is a finite weighted sum of the functions of the form

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}}(h^{-1}a^{-1}\gamma^{-1}X)$$

for some  $h \in \text{GL}(m, F_\nu)$  with entries in  $\mathcal{O}_\nu$ . Therefore Theorem 4.4 applies to  $\tilde{f}$  as desired, yielding us Theorem 4.7, provided that we determine  $I$  and prove our claims about (4.3). We do this in the next section.

**4.4. Local analysis of (4.3).** Continue with the notations of the previous section. We choose the ideal  $I$  so that, for each  $\nu \in \mathfrak{f}$ ,  $K_\nu(I)$  fixes  $v_\nu + \eta_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}$  when multiplied from the right. Since  $v_\nu + \eta_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm} = \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}$  and thus we can set  $\text{ord}_\nu(I) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $\nu \in \mathfrak{f}$ , there indeed exists such an ideal  $I$ .

Fix  $\nu \in \mathfrak{f}$  for the rest of this section. Then we have

$$(Xgk)_\nu \in v_\nu + \eta_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm} \text{ for some } k \in K/K(I) \Leftrightarrow Xg \in (v_\nu + \eta_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm})K_\nu.$$

Hence we are led to consider the set  $(v_\nu + \eta_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm})K_\nu$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  be the smallest integer such that  $w_\nu := \pi_\nu^c v_\nu$  and  $\xi_\nu := \pi_\nu^c \eta_\nu$  are both integral. Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\xi_\nu = \pi_\nu^e$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We now have

$$(v_\nu + \eta_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm})K_\nu = \pi_\nu^{-c}(w_\nu + \pi_\nu^e \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm})K_\nu,$$

where, again,  $w_\nu$  is integral and  $e \geq 0$ .

By the theory of the Smith normal form, we have

$$w_\nu = \gamma a' P$$

for some  $\gamma \in K_\nu$  and  $P \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathcal{O}_\nu)$  primitive, and  $a' = \text{diag}(\pi_\nu^{a_1}, \dots, \pi_\nu^{a_m})$  with  $0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_m$ . Therefore, we have

$$(4.5) \quad (w_\nu + \pi_\nu^e \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm})K_\nu = \gamma(a'P + \pi_\nu^e \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm})K_\nu.$$

At this point we refine our choice of  $\text{ord}_\nu(I)$ . If  $e - a_1 \leq 0$ , then we set  $\text{ord}_\nu(I) = 0$ . In this case,  $w_\nu \in \pi_\nu^e \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}$  and so (4.5) is simply  $\pi_\nu^e \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}$ . If  $e - a_1 > 0$ , then we set  $\text{ord}_\nu(I) = e - a_1$ , and define  $1 \leq m' \leq m$  be the greatest integer such that  $e - a_{m'} > 0$ . Write  $a = \text{diag}(\pi_\nu^{a_1}, \dots, \pi_\nu^{a_{m'}}, \pi_\nu^e, \dots, \pi_\nu^e)$ . Then (4.5) becomes

$$\gamma a (a' a^{-1} P + \pi_\nu^e a^{-1} \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}) K_\nu = \gamma a (P + \pi_\nu^e a^{-1} \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}) K_\nu = \gamma a P_{m'},$$

where  $P_{m'}$  is as defined in the previous section. This shows that the “local” part of the summand of (4.3) at  $\nu$ , namely

$$\frac{1}{|K_\nu : K_\nu(I)|} \sum_{k \in K_\nu/K_\nu(I)} f_\nu(Xgk),$$

is equal to

$$\frac{1}{|K_\nu : K_\nu(I)|} \sigma_\nu \tilde{f}_\nu(Xg),$$

where  $\tilde{f}_\nu$  is the characteristic function of  $S_\nu = \pi_\nu^{-c} \gamma a P_{m'}$ , and  $\sigma_\nu$  is the order of the stabilizer of  $w_\nu + \pi_\nu^e \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}$ , or equivalently of  $P + \pi_\nu^e a^{-1} \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}$ , in  $K_\nu(I) \backslash K_\nu$ .

The lemmas below are dedicated to computing  $\sigma_\nu$  and proving the required property (4.4). We write  $q = N(\nu)$  for simplicity in what follows.

**Lemma 4.8.** *The order of the group  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu/\pi_\nu^l \mathcal{O}_\nu)$  is  $q^{(l-1)n^2} (q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})$ .*

*Proof.* The case  $l = 1$  is well-known:  $|\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu/\pi_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu)| = (q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})$ . For general  $l$ , consider the surjection  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu/\pi_\nu^l \mathcal{O}_\nu) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu/\pi_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu)$  induced by reduction modulo  $\pi_\nu$ . This map has kernel  $\mathrm{Id} + \pi_\nu \mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathcal{O}_\nu/\pi_\nu^l \mathcal{O}_\nu)$ , which has order  $q^{(l-1)n^2}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $1 \leq m' \leq m < n$ , and let  $P \in P_{m'}$ . Also let  $l = l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \cdots \geq l_{m'} > l_{m'+1} = \cdots = l_m = 0$ . Then the order of the stabilizer of  $P + \mathrm{diag}(\pi_\nu^{l_1}, \dots, \pi_\nu^{l_m}) \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}$  (mod  $\pi_\nu^l$ ) in  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu/\pi_\nu^l \mathcal{O}_\nu)$  is*

$$q^{lm'(n-m')} |\mathrm{GL}(n - m', \mathcal{O}_\nu/\pi_\nu^l \mathcal{O}_\nu)| \prod_{i=2}^{m'} q^{n(l_1 - l_i)}.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $P$  is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose  $(i, i)$ -entries are 1 for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and the rest of the entries are zero. Then the stabilizer consists of matrices of the form  $A + B$ , where  $A$  is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_{m' \times m'} & \\ \mathrm{Mat}_{(n-m') \times m'}(\mathcal{O}_\nu/\pi_\nu^l \mathcal{O}_\nu) & \mathrm{GL}(n - m', \mathcal{O}_\nu/\pi_\nu^l \mathcal{O}_\nu) \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $B$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix whose  $i$ -th row is an element of  $(\pi_\nu^{l_i} \mathcal{O}_\nu/\pi_\nu^l \mathcal{O}_\nu)^n$  for  $i = 2, \dots, m'$ , and all the remaining entries are zero. It is clear that the set of such matrices has the said order.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.10.** *Continue with the notations and assumptions above. Then we have*

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{|\mathrm{stab}(P + \mathrm{diag}(\pi_\nu^{l_1}, \dots, \pi_\nu^{l_m}) \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm})|}{|\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu/\pi_\nu^l \mathcal{O}_\nu)|} \zeta_\nu(n)^{-1} \cdots \zeta_\nu(n - m' + 1)^{-1} = q^{-n(l_1 + \cdots + l_{m'})}.$$



*Proof.* By the previous two lemmas,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\text{stab}(P + \text{diag}(\pi_\nu^{l_1}, \dots, \pi_\nu^{l_{m'}}) \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm})|}{|\text{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu / \pi_\nu^l \mathcal{O}_\nu)|} \\ &= \frac{q^{lm'(n-m')+(l-1)(n-m')^2} \prod_{i=2}^{m'} q^{n(l_1-l_i)} (q^{n-m'} - 1) \cdots (q^{n-m'} - q^{n-m'-1})}{q^{(l-1)n^2} (q^n - 1) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})} \\ &= q^{lm'(n-m')+(l-1)(n-m')^2-(l-1)n^2} \prod_{i=2}^{m'} q^{n(l_1-l_i)} \cdot q^{-m'(n-m')-nm'} \zeta_\nu(n) \cdots \zeta_\nu(n-m'+1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the left-hand side of (4.6) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{lm'(n-m')+(l-1)(n-m')^2-(l-1)n^2} \prod_{i=2}^{m'} q^{n(l_1-l_i)} \cdot q^{-m'(n-m')-nm'} \\ &= q^{-n(l_1+\dots+l_{m'})} q^{m'nl} \cdot q^{lm'(n-m')+(l-1)(n-m')^2-(l-1)n^2-m'(n-m')-nm'} \\ &= q^{-n(l_1+\dots+l_{m'})} q^{lm'(2n-m')+(l-1)(-2nm'+m'^2)-2nm'+m'^2} \\ &= q^{-n(l_1+\dots+l_{m'})}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

We now apply the Lemmas 4.8 through 4.10 for  $l = l_1 = e - a_1, \dots, l_{m'} = e - a_{m'}$ . Then since  $|K_\nu : K_\nu(I_\nu)| = |\text{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}_\nu / \pi_\nu^l \mathcal{O}_\nu)|$  and  $P_{m'}$  has volume  $\zeta_\nu(n)^{-1} \cdots \zeta_\nu(n-m'+1)^{-1}$ , Lemma 4.10 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sigma_\nu \alpha_\nu^{nm}(S_\nu)}{|K_\nu : K_\nu(I_\nu)|} \\ &= q^{n(mc-a_1-\dots-a_{m'}-(m-m')e)} \frac{\sigma_\nu \alpha_\nu^{nm}(P_{m'})}{|K_\nu : K_\nu(I_\nu)|} \\ &= q^{n(mc-a_1-\dots-a_{m'}-(m-m')e)} q^{-n(m'e-a_1-\dots-a_{m'})} \\ &= q^{nm(c-e)} \\ &= \alpha_\nu^{nm}(v_\nu + \eta_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu^{nm}), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed in (4.4).

**4.5. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** The fact that

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^k \\ \text{indep.}}} f(Xg) d\mu = \int_{(\mathbb{A}_F^n)^k} f(X) d\alpha_F^{nm}$$

follows immediately from Theorem 4.7 by a standard approximation argument, since any integrable  $f$  may be arbitrarily well-approximated by a finite sum of the functions covered by Theorem 4.7. The other formula follows from this and the equality

$$\sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^k \\ \text{no zero rows}}} f(X) = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_D \sum_{\substack{X \in (F^n)^m \\ \text{indep.}}} f(D^{\text{tr}} X),$$

where  $D$  runs over all  $m \times k$  row-reduced echelon forms over  $F$  of rank  $m$ .

## 5. SECOND MOMENT ESTIMATES

**5.1. Estimates for balls and annuli.** In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. We start by proving a few computational lemmas that we will need.

In what follows,  $V_n$  denotes the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In accordance with the metric we assigned on  $\mathbb{A}_\infty$ , the (closed) ball at origin of radius  $R$  in  $\mathbb{A}_\infty^n$  is the set of  $x \in \mathbb{A}_\infty^n$  satisfying

$$\sum_{\sigma \text{ real}} |x_\sigma|^2 + 2 \sum_{\sigma \text{ cplx}} |x_\sigma|^2 \leq R^2,$$

where  $|\cdot|$  is the standard Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , as appropriate. With respect to the measure  $\alpha_\infty^n$ , it has volume  $V_{nd}R^{nd}$ , same as the volume of the ball of radius  $R$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{nd}$  with respect to the standard Euclidean metric.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $f$  be the characteristic function of  $B \subseteq \mathbb{A}_\infty^n$ , which is either a ball of radius  $R$ , or an annulus of inner and outer radii  $R_1 < R_2$ , centered at the origin. Let  $\gamma \in F^*$  be such that the largest coordinate of  $\text{Log } \gamma$  is  $\log \|\gamma\|_\sigma \in (k-1, k]$  for some integer  $k \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_\infty^n} f(x)f(\gamma x)d\alpha^n \leq \alpha^n(B) \min \left( 1, \left( \frac{de^2}{e^k} \right)^n \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $P_\sigma : (F \otimes \mathbb{R})^n \rightarrow F_\sigma^n$  be the orthogonal projection onto the  $\sigma$ -coordinate. Also, for  $z \in F_\sigma^n$ , write  $P_\sigma^\perp(B, z) = \{y \in \prod_{i \neq \sigma} F_i^n : (y, z) \in B\}$  i.e. the ‘‘slice’’ of  $B$  at  $z$ . Then we have

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{A}_\infty^n} f(x)f(\gamma x)d\alpha^n \leq \int_{P_\sigma(\gamma^{-1}B)} P_\sigma^\perp(B, z)d\alpha_\sigma^n.$$

Assume first that  $\sigma$  is real, and suppose  $B$  is the ball. Write  $a = \|\gamma\|_\sigma^{-1}$ . Then  $P_\sigma(\gamma^{-1}B)$  is the ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at origin of radius  $aR$ . By changing to the polar coordinates, we can estimate the right-hand side of (5.1) by

$$\begin{aligned} & V_n V_{n(d-1)} \int_0^{aR} (R^2 - r^2)^{n(d-1)/2} r^{n-1} dr \\ &= V_n V_{n(d-1)} R^{nd} \int_0^{aR} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}\right)^{n(d-1)/2} \frac{r^{n-1}}{R^n} dr \\ &\leq \frac{V_n V_{n(d-1)}}{n} a^n R^{nd} \\ &\leq \frac{V_n V_{n(d-1)}}{n V_{nd}} a^n \alpha^n(B). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $B$  is the annulus, then the right-hand side of (5.1) is bounded by

$$V_n V_{n(d-1)} \int_{aR_1}^{aR_2} \left( (R_2^2 - r^2)^{n(d-1)/2} - (\max(0, R_1^2 - r^2))^{n(d-1)/2} \right) r^{n-1} dr.$$

We bound this in turn by

$$\begin{aligned}
& V_n V_{n(d-1)} \int_{aR_1}^{aR_2} (R_2^2 - r^2)^{n(d-1)/2} r^{n-1} dr \\
& \leq \frac{V_n V_{n(d-1)}}{n} a^n (R_2^n - R_1^n) R_2^{n(d-1)} \\
& \leq \frac{V_n V_{n(d-1)}}{n} a^n (R_2^{nd} - R_1^{nd}) \\
& \leq \frac{V_n V_{n(d-1)}}{n V_{nd}} a^n \alpha^n(B).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\sigma$  is complex, then  $P_\sigma(\gamma^{-1}B)$  is either the ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  at origin of radius between  $aR$ , or the annulus of inner and outer radii  $aR_1 < aR_2$ . Similarly as in the real case, we obtain the bound

$$\frac{V_{2n} V_{n(d-2)}}{2n V_{nd}} a^n \alpha^n(B)$$

for both cases. By Stirling's formula, one can compute that

$$\max\left(\frac{V_n V_{n(d-1)}}{n V_{nd}}, \frac{V_{2n} V_{n(d-2)}}{2n V_{nd}}\right) < (de)^n.$$

Collecting all our computations so far, we can bound (5.1) by

$$(ade)^n \alpha^n(B),$$

which is the desired bound.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** For  $\gamma \in F^*$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$M(\gamma, A) = \frac{1}{|\mu_F|} (\# \text{ units } u \text{ such that the largest coordinate of } \text{Log}(\gamma u) \text{ is in } A).$$

Then, if  $A = (-\infty, k]$  and  $(r+1)k - \log N\gamma \geq 0$ ,

$$M(\gamma, A) = \frac{1}{R_F} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{r+1}}{r!} ((r+1)k - \log N\gamma)^r + O_F(((r+1)k - \log N\gamma)^{r-1}).$$

If  $(r+1)k - \log N\gamma < 0$  then  $M(\gamma, A) = 0$ .

*Proof.*  $M(\gamma, A)$  is precisely the number of points of the unit lattice of  $F$ , translated by  $\text{Log } \gamma$ , whose maximum coordinate is at most  $k$ . If  $(r+1)k < \log N\gamma$ , then there exists no such points. If  $(r+1)k \geq \log N\gamma$ , this is the number of the points of the translate of the unit lattice inside the simplex formed by connecting the vertices  $(k, \dots, k, -rk + \log N\gamma)$ ,  $(k, \dots, k, -rk + \log N\gamma, k)$ , and so on, which is a regular  $r$ -simplex of side length  $\sqrt{2}((r+1)k - \log N\gamma)$ . The proof follows from the volume formula of a regular simplex and a standard lattice-point counting estimate.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** Fix  $\gamma \in F^*$ , and let  $f$  be as in Lemma 5.1. Then

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{O}_F^* / \mu_F} \int_{\mathbb{A}_\infty^n} f(x) f(\gamma u x) d\alpha^n = O_F(1 + |\log^r N\gamma|) \alpha^n(B).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.1, for each  $u \in \mathcal{O}_F^* / \mu_F$ , the integral inside the sum is either bounded by

$$\alpha^n(B), \text{ or } (de^2)^n e^{-nk} \alpha^n(B).$$

If  $k \geq \log de^2$ , we bound the integral by the latter, otherwise by the former. Summing over all units modulo  $\mu_F$ , we get

$$M(\gamma, (-\infty, \log de^2])\alpha^n(B) + \sum_{k \geq \log de^2} M(\gamma, (k-1, k])e^{-n(k-\log de^2)}\alpha^n(B),$$

which, using Lemma 5.2, can be given the crude bound

$$O_F(1 + |\log^r N\gamma|)\alpha^n(B).$$

□

We are now ready to estimate the second moment

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \left( \sum_{x \in F^n \setminus \{0\}} f(xg) \right)^2 d\mu,$$

where  $n \geq 3$ ,  $f$  is of the form  $f(x) = f_{\mathfrak{f}}(x_{\mathfrak{f}})f_{\infty}(x_{\infty})$ , with  $f_{\mathfrak{f}}$  being the characteristic function of  $\prod_{\nu \in \mathfrak{f}} A_{\nu}$  for integrable subsets  $A_{\nu}$  of  $F_{\nu}^n$ , and  $f_{\infty}$  being the characteristic function of a ball or an annulus centered at origin.

By Theorem 1.2, the above is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^{2n}} f(x_1)f(x_2)d\alpha^n(x_1)d\alpha^n(x_2) + \sum_{c \in F^*} \int_{\mathbb{A}^n} f(x)f(cx)d\alpha^n(x).$$

The former integral is simply  $\alpha_F(f)^2$ . We claim that the latter sum of integrals is  $O_F(\alpha_F(f))$ . Let us rewrite it as

$$2 \sum_{\substack{c \in F^* \\ N(c) \leq 1}} \int_{\mathbb{A}^n} f(x)f(cx)d\alpha^n.$$

Thanks to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Prin}_F \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^* \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\text{Prin}_F$  denotes the set of all principal fractional ideals of  $F$ , we can rewrite the above as

$$(5.2) \quad 2|\Delta_F|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{O}_F^*} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{f}}^n} f_{\mathfrak{f}}(x_{\mathfrak{f}})f_{\mathfrak{f}}(\gamma x_{\mathfrak{f}})d\alpha_{\mathfrak{f}}^n \int_{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^n} f_{\infty}(x_{\infty})f_{\infty}((\gamma u x)_{\infty})d\alpha_{\infty}^n,$$

where  $\gamma$  runs over the (pre-selected) set of representatives of principal fractional ideals of  $F$  with norm bounded by 1.

For any  $\delta_{\nu} \in F_{\nu}^*$ , it holds that

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{F_{\nu}^n} f_{\nu}(x_{\nu})f_{\nu}(\delta_{\nu}x_{\nu})d\alpha_{\nu}^n = \alpha_{\nu}^n(A_{\nu} \cap \delta_{\nu}^{-1}A_{\nu}) \leq \min(\alpha_{\nu}^n(A_{\nu}), \|\delta_{\nu}\|_{\nu}^{-n}\alpha_{\nu}^n(A_{\nu})).$$

Applying this to (5.2), we see that it is bounded by a constant term times

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_q \frac{1}{(Nq)^n} \sum_p \sum_{u \in \mathcal{O}_F^*} \alpha_{\mathfrak{f}}^n(f_{\mathfrak{f}}) \int_{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^n} f_{\infty}(x_{\infty})f_{\infty}((\gamma u x)_{\infty})d\alpha_{\infty}^n,$$

where  $q$  runs over all integral ideals of  $F$ , and  $p$  runs over the integral ideals coprime to  $q$ , such that  $pq^{-1}$  is principal, and  $Np < Nq$  if  $q \neq \mathcal{O}_F$  and  $p = \mathcal{O}_F$  if  $q = \mathcal{O}_F$ . Also

$\gamma = \gamma(pq^{-1})$  here is the chosen representative of  $pq^{-1}$ . We now apply Lemma 5.3 to bound this by

$$\sum_q \frac{1}{(Nq)^n} \sum_p O_F(1 + |\log^r N\gamma|) \alpha_F^n(f).$$

It remains to show that

$$\sum_q \frac{1}{(Nq)^n} \sum_p 1 + |\log^r N\gamma| = O_F(1).$$

By the Dedekind-Weber theorem, the left-hand side is bounded by a constant (depending on  $F$ ) times

$$\sum_q \frac{1}{(Nq)^{n-1}} (1 + \log^r Nq) \ll \zeta_F(n - 3/2),$$

as desired, completing the proof of Theorem 1.3

**5.2. Estimate for  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(F)$ .** In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4. We first construct the function  $f_B : \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$P_B(g) = \sum_{x \in F^n \setminus \{0\}} f_B(xg).$$

Recall that  $P_B(g)$  is the number of points on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(F)$  whose twisted height with respect to  $g$  is at most  $B$ . It suffices to consider the case  $B = 1$ , since our argument to follow will make it apparent that  $f_B(xg) = f_1(B_\infty^{-\frac{1}{d}} xg)$ , where

$$B_\infty^{-\frac{1}{d}} = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\text{finite places}}, \underbrace{B^{-\frac{1}{d}}, \dots, B^{-\frac{1}{d}}}_{\text{infinite places}}).$$

Let us call  $f_1 = f$  for short.

Let  $h = h_F$  be the class number of  $F$ , and choose a set of prime ideals  $\{I_1, \dots, I_h\}$  that also serve as the set of the representatives of the ideal classes of  $F$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq h$ , let  $a_i$  be the norm 1 idele such that  $(a_i)_\nu = \pi_\nu^{\text{ord}_\nu(I_i)}$  for  $\nu \in \mathfrak{f}$ , and  $(a_i)_\sigma = N(I_i)^{\frac{1}{d}}$  for  $\sigma \mid \infty$ . In addition, let

$$H = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{r_1+r_2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} : \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} x_{r_1+i} = 0 \right\},$$

and define  $D \subseteq H$  to be a fundamental domain of the unit lattice of  $F$ ,  $\text{Pr} : \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} \rightarrow H$  to be the orthogonal projection onto  $H$ , and  $\text{Log}^n : \mathbb{A}_\infty^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$  by

$$\text{Log}^n(x) = (\log \|x\|_{\sigma_1}, \dots, \log \|x\|_{\sigma_{r_1+r_2}}).$$

Then there exists a one-to- $w_F$  correspondence between

$$\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(F) \text{ and } S(g) := \left\{ (i, x) : \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq i \leq h, x \in F^n \setminus \{0\}, a_i xg \text{ primitive,} \\ (xg)_\infty \in (\text{Log}^n \circ \text{Pr})^{-1}(D) \end{array} \right\}.$$

To describe the correspondence, take  $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(F)$  and let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in F^n$  be any representative of  $\bar{z}$ . Then there exists exactly one  $1 \leq i \leq h$  such that  $a_i z g$  is  $F$ -equivalent to a primitive element of  $\mathbb{A}_F^n$ , that is, there exists an element  $c \in F^*$ , unique up to the units, such that  $ca_i z g$  is primitive. Furthermore, by the definition of  $D$ , there exists  $u \in \mathcal{O}_F^*$ , unique up to the roots of unity of  $F$ , such that the infinite part of  $uca_i z g$  lies in  $(\text{Log} \circ \text{Pr})^{-1}(D)$ . We take  $x = ucz$ , up to the roots of unity.

Therefore, if  $\phi = \phi_{\mathbf{f}}\phi_{\infty}$ , where  $\phi_{\mathbf{f}} : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{F}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the characteristic function of the primitive vectors, and  $\phi_{\infty} : \mathbb{A}_{\infty}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the characteristic function of the set of  $x_{\infty} \in (\text{Log} \circ \text{Pr})^{-1}(D)$  with  $H_{\infty}(x_{\infty}) \leq 1$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^h \phi(a_i x g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in S(g) \text{ and } H(xg) \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Accordingly we let

$$(5.5) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{w_F} \sum_{i=1}^h \phi(a_i x).$$

One may now apply this  $f$  to our formulas to study the statistics of the rational points on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . The formula below may be useful for such purposes; see Schanuel ([28]) or Thunder ([42]) for similar computations.

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $\phi$  be as above. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{F}}^n} \phi(x) d\alpha^n = \frac{V_n^{r_1} V_{2n}^{r_2} n^{r_1+r_2-1} 2^{nr_2} R_F}{|\Delta_F|^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_F(n)}.$$

*Proof.* From the previous sections (see e.g. the proof of Theorem 4.5), it is clear that

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{F}}^n} \phi_{\mathbf{f}} d\alpha^n = \frac{1}{\zeta_F(n)}.$$

It remains to concern ourselves with  $\phi_{\infty}$ . On each  $F_{\sigma}$  for  $\sigma \mid \infty$ , take the polar coordinates  $d\alpha_{\sigma}^n = r_{\sigma}^{n-1} dr_{\sigma} d\theta_{\sigma}$  if  $\sigma$  is real, and  $d\alpha_{\sigma}^n = 2^n r_{\sigma}^{2n-1} dr_{\sigma} d\theta_{\sigma}$  if  $\sigma$  is complex. Let  $e_{\sigma} = 1$  if  $\sigma$  is real and  $e_{\sigma} = 2$  for  $\sigma$  complex. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^n} \phi_{\infty} d\alpha^n &= (nV_n)^{r_1} (2nV_{2n})^{r_2} \int \prod_{\sigma \text{ real}} r_{\sigma}^{n-1} dr_{\sigma} \prod_{\sigma \text{ cplx}} r_{\sigma}^{2n-1} 2^n dr_{\sigma} \\ &= V_n^{r_1} V_{2n}^{r_2} n^{r_1+r_2} 2^{nr_2} \int \prod_{\sigma \mid \infty} r_{\sigma}^{e_{\sigma} n-1} e_{\sigma} dr_{\sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

where the region of integration is the set of all  $(r_{\sigma})_{\sigma \mid \infty} \subseteq (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{r_1+r_2}$  such that

$$\prod_{\sigma \mid \infty} r_{\sigma}^{e_{\sigma}} \leq 1, \text{ and } \left( e_{\sigma} \log r_{\sigma} - \frac{e_{\sigma}}{d} \log \prod_{\rho \mid \infty} r_{\rho}^{e_{\rho}} \right)_{\sigma \mid \infty} \in D.$$

To simplify, let us take the change of coordinates  $x_{\sigma} = e_{\sigma} \log r_{\sigma}$ . Then the above integral becomes

$$V_n^{r_1} V_{2n}^{r_2} n^{r_1+r_2} 2^{nr_2} \int \prod_{\sigma \mid \infty} e^{n x_{\sigma}} dx_{\sigma},$$

where the region of the integration is the set of all  $(x_{\sigma})_{\sigma \mid \infty}$  satisfying

$$\sum_{\sigma \mid \infty} x_{\sigma} \leq 0, \text{ and } \left( x_{\sigma} - \frac{e_{\sigma}}{d} \sum_{\rho \mid \infty} x_{\rho} \right)_{\sigma \mid \infty} \in D.$$

We make one more change of coordinates, by putting

$$y_1 = \sum_{\sigma} x_{\sigma}, y_i = x_{\sigma_i} - \frac{e_{\sigma_i}}{d} y_1 \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, r_1 + r_2,$$

or equivalently,

$$x_{\sigma_1} = \frac{e_{\sigma_1}}{d}y_1 - y_2 - \dots - y_{r_1+r_2}, \quad x_{\sigma_i} = y_i + \frac{e_{\sigma_i}}{d}y_1 \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, r_1 + r_2.$$

One compute the Jacobian matrix to be

$$\left( \frac{\partial x_{\sigma_i}}{\partial y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r_1+r_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e_{\sigma_1}}{d} & -1 & \dots & -1 \\ \frac{e_{\sigma_2}}{d} & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \frac{e_{\sigma_{r_1+r_2}}}{d} & & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

whose determinant is equal to 1. Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_\infty^n} \phi_\infty d\alpha^n = V_n^{r_1} V_{2n}^{r_2} n^{r_1+r_2} 2^{nr_2} \int e^{ny_1} dy_1 \dots dy_{r_1+r_2},$$

where the region of the integration is given by the conditions

$$y_1 \leq 0, (-y_2 - \dots - y_{r_1+r_2}, y_2, \dots, y_{r_1+r_2}) \in D.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_\infty^n} \phi_\infty d\alpha^n = V_n^{r_1} V_{2n}^{r_2} n^{r_1+r_2-1} 2^{nr_2} R_F.$$

This proves the proposition.  $\square$

Let us now estimate the second moment

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \left( \sum_{x \in F^n \setminus \{0\}} f_B(xg) \right)^2 d\mu.$$

As in the previous section, this is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^{2n}} f_B(x_1) f_B(x_2) d\alpha^n(x_1) d\alpha^n(x_2) + \sum_{c \in F^*} \int_{\mathbb{A}^n} f_B(x) f_B(cx) d\alpha^n(x),$$

and the first integral is simply

$$\alpha(f_B)^2 = (CB^n)^2$$

with

$$C = \frac{V_n^{r_1} V_{2n}^{r_2} n^{r_1+r_2-1} 2^{nr_2} h_F R_F}{|\Delta_F|^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_F(n) w_F}$$

by Proposition 5.4. The second sum of integrals can again be handled as in the previous section to be shown to be bounded by a constant times

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_q \sum_p \sum_{u \in \mathcal{O}_F^*} \int_{\mathbb{A}^n} f_B(x) f_B(\gamma ux) d\alpha^n \\ &= \sum_q \sum_p \sum_{u \in \mathcal{O}_F^*} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq h_F} \frac{B^n}{w_F^2} \int_{\mathbb{A}^n} \phi(a_i x) \phi(a_j \gamma ux) d\alpha^n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p, q, \gamma = \gamma(pq^{-1})$  are as in (5.4). Hence we are led to investigate

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{O}_F^*} \int_{\mathbb{A}^n} \phi(a_i x) \phi(a_j \gamma ux) d\alpha^n = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{O}_F^*} \int_{\mathbb{A}^n} \phi(x) \phi(a_j a_i^{-1} \gamma ux) d\alpha^n$$

for some  $1 \leq i, j \leq h_F$ . Applying (5.3) to the  $\mathbb{A}_f$  part of the integral, we find that we may bound this by

$$\frac{\alpha_f^n(\phi_f)}{|\Delta_F|^{\frac{n}{2}}(N\tilde{q})^n} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{O}_F^*} \int_{\mathbb{A}_\infty^n} \phi_\infty(x_\infty) \phi_\infty((a_j a_i^{-1} \gamma u x)_\infty) d\alpha_\infty^n,$$

where  $\tilde{q} = q \cdot I_1^{-\beta_1} \cdots I_h^{-\beta_h}$  with  $\beta_i = \min(1, \text{ord}_{I_i}(q))$ . Moreover, we may bound

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{O}_F^*} \phi_\infty((a_j a_i^{-1} \gamma u x)_\infty) \leq 1,$$

since for each  $x_\infty \in \mathbb{A}_\infty^n$ ,  $\phi_\infty((a_j a_i^{-1} \gamma u x)_\infty)$  is nonzero for at most one  $u \in \mathcal{O}_F^*$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{O}_F^*} \int_{\mathbb{A}_\infty^n} \phi(x) \phi(a_j a_i^{-1} \gamma u x) d\alpha^n \leq \frac{\alpha_F^n(\phi)}{|\Delta_F|^{\frac{n}{2}}(N\tilde{q})^n},$$

which shows that (5.6) is of size  $O_F(\alpha_F^n(f_B))$ , as desired.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Alam, A. Ghosh, J. Han, Higher moment formulae and limiting distributions of lattice points. arXiv:2111.00848.
- [2] J. Athreya, Y. Cheung, H. Masur, Siegel-Veech transforms are in  $L^2$ . *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 14 (2019), 1-19.
- [3] J. Athreya, G. Margulis, Logarithm laws for unipotent flows, I. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 3 (2009), no.3, 359-378.
- [4] J. Athreya, G. Margulis, Values of random polynomials at integer points. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 12 (2018), 9-16.
- [5] K. Ball, A lower bound for the optimal density of lattice packings. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 1992, no. 10, 217-221.
- [6] J. Cassels, A. Fröhlich, Algebraic number theory. Academic Press, London, 1967.
- [7] H. Davenport and C.A. Rogers, Hlawka's theorem in the geometry of numbers. *Duke Math. J.* 14, (1947). 367-375.
- [8] D. El-Baz, J. Marklof, I. Vinogradov, The distribution of directions in an affine lattice: two-point correlations and mixed moments. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 5 (2015), no. 5, 1371-1400.
- [9] A. Eskin, G. Margulis, S. Mozes, Upper bounds and asymptotics in a quantitative version of the Oppenheim conjecture. *Ann. Math.* 147 (1998), 93-141.
- [10] A. Ghosh, D. Kelmer, S. Yu, Effective density for inhomogeneous quadratic forms I: Generic forms and fixed shifts. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (doi:10.1093/imrn/rnaa206)
- [11] J. Han, Rogers' mean value theorem for S-arithmetic Siegel transform and applications to the geometry of numbers. arXiv:1910.01824.
- [12] S. Kim, On the distribution of lengths of short vectors in a random lattice. *Math. Z.* 282 (2016), no. 3-4, 1117-1126.
- [13] S. Kim, Random Lattice Vectors in a Set of Size  $O(n)$ . *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 5 (2020), 1385-1416.
- [14] S. Kim, Mean value formulas on sublattices and flags of the random lattice. *J. Number Theory*, to appear.
- [15] S. Kim, M. Skenderi, Higher-rank pointwise discrepancy bounds and logarithm laws for generic lattices. arXiv:2107.12510.
- [16] D. Kleinbock, G. Margulis, Logarithm laws for flows on homogeneous spaces. *Inv. Math.* 138 (1999), 451-494.
- [17] M. Kneser, Starke Approximation in algebraischen Gruppen, I. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 218 (1965), 190-203.
- [18] V. Lyubashevsky, C. Peikert, O. Regev, On ideal lattices and learning with errors over rings. *Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2010*, 1-23.
- [19] J. Marklöf, A. Strömbergsson, The distribution of free path lengths in the periodic Lorentz gas and related lattice point problems. *Ann. Math.* 172 (2010), 1949-2033.
- [20] O. Ordentlich, O. Regev, B. Weiss, New bounds on the density of lattice coverings. arXiv:2006.00340.
- [21] A. Rapinchuk. Strong approximation for algebraic groups. In E. Breuillard and H. Oh, eds., *Thin groups and superstrong approximation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [22] C. A. Rogers, Existence theorems in the geometry of numbers. *Ann. Math.* (1947), 994-1002.



- [23] C.A. Rogers, Mean values over the space of lattices. *Acta Math.* 94 (1955), 249-287.
- [24] C.A. Rogers, The moments of the number of points of a lattice in a bounded set. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London. A* 248 (1955), 225-251.
- [25] C.A. Rogers, The number of lattice points in a set. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 6(3) (1956), 305-320.
- [26] C.A. Rogers, Lattice covering of space. *Mathematika* 6 (1959), 33-39.
- [27] R. Rühr, Y. Smilansky, B. Weiss, Classification and statistics of cut and project sets. [arXiv:2012.13299](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.13299).
- [28] S. Schanuel, Heights in number fields, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 107 (1979), 433-449.
- [29] W. Schmidt, The measure of the set of admissible lattices. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9 (1958), 390-403.
- [30] W. Schmidt, Masstheorie in der Geometrie der Zahlen. *Acta Math.* 102 (1959), 159-224.
- [31] W. Schmidt, A metrical theorem in geometry of numbers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1960), 516-529.
- [32] W. Schmidt, On heights of algebraic subspaces and diophantine approximations. *Ann. Math.* 85 (1967), no.3, 430-472.
- [33] G. Shimura. Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.
- [34] C.L. Siegel, A mean value theorem in geometry of numbers. *Ann. Math.* 46(2) (1945), 340-347.
- [35] A. Strömbergsson and A. Södergren, On the location of the zero-free half-plane of a random Epstein zeta function. *Math. Ann.* 371 (2018), 1191-1227.
- [36] A. Strömbergsson and A. Södergren, On the generalized circle problem for a random lattice in large dimension. *Adv. Math.* 345 (2019), 1042-1074.
- [37] A. Södergren, On the Poisson distribution of lengths of lattice vectors in a random lattice. *Math. Z.* 269 (2011), 945-954.
- [38] A. Södergren, On the distribution of angles between the  $N$  shortest vectors in a random lattice. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 84 (2011), 749-764.
- [39] A. Södergren, On the value distribution of the Epstein zeta function in the critical strip. *Duke Math. J.* 162 (2013), no. 1, 1-48.
- [40] T. Tamagawa, On the  $\zeta$ -functions of a division algebra, *Ann. Math.*, 77 (1963), 387-405.
- [41] J. Thunder, Asymptotic estimates for rational points of bounded height on flag varieties. *Compositio Math.* 88 (1993), no.2, 155-186.
- [42] J. Thunder, An adelic Minkowski-Hlawka theorem and an application to Siegel's lemma. *J. Reine. Angew. Math.* 475 (1996), 167-185.
- [43] W. Veech, Siegel measures. *Ann. Math.* 148 (1998), 895-944.
- [44] A. Venkatesh, A note on sphere packings in high dimension. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2013, no. 7, 1628-1642.
- [45] A. Weil, Basic number theory. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [46] A. Weil, Adeles and algebraic groups. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1982.