CORRIGENDUM: WEAK PRODUCT SPACES OF DIRICHLET SERIES

OLE FREDRIK BREVIG AND KARL-MIKAEL PERFEKT

ABSTRACT. We correct the proof of Theorem 8 in [Weak product spaces of Dirichlet series, Integral Equations Operator Theory 86 (2016), no. 4, 453–473.]

1. Introduction

The claim "Since $1/(1+\tau^2)$ is a Muckenhoupt A_q -weight for all q>1..." on page 465 of [2] is false. Consequently, Equation 17 is false and Theorem 8 is unsubstantiated. In this corrigendum we show that a version of Equation 17 holds for the Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series considered in [2] and correct the proof of Theorem 8. These results will be presented as Theorem A and B below. The same mistake is repeated in the lead-up to the proof of [4, Theorem 5.1], and this is also rectified by Theorem A and Theorem B.

Unless otherwise stated, we retain the notation from [2]. Specifically, we set $\Gamma_{\tau} = \{s = \sigma + it : |t - \tau| < \sigma\}$ for τ in \mathbb{R} and consider the maximal function

(1)
$$F^*(\tau) = \sup_{s \in \Gamma_{\tau}} |F(s)|.$$

The following result yields a maximal function characterization of \mathcal{H}^p , the converse inequality being a trivial consequence of [1, Lemma 5].

Theorem A. Fix $0 . There is a constant <math>C_p \ge 1$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_{\chi}^{*}(\tau)|^{p} \frac{d\tau}{\pi(1+\tau^{2})} \leq C_{p} ||f||_{\mathscr{H}^{p}}^{p}$$

for every f in \mathcal{H}^p .

Consider next the square function

(2)
$$Sf(\tau) = \left(\int_{\Gamma_{-}} |f'(s)|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The following square function characterization of \mathcal{H}^p is precisely [2, Theorem 8].

Theorem B. Fix $0 . There are constants <math>A_p > 0$ and $B_p > 0$ such that

$$A_p \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \le \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Sf_\chi(\tau))^p \frac{d\tau}{\pi(1+\tau^2)} dm_\infty(\chi) \le B_p \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p$$

for every f in \mathcal{H}^p with $f(+\infty) = 0$.

Date: May 9, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B35. Secondary 30B50.

We shall establish Theorem A and Theorem B by replacing the conformally invariant Hardy spaces $H_i^p(\mathbb{C}_0)$ considered in [2] with the (unweighted) Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)$. For a Dirichlet series f in \mathcal{H}^p and a character χ in \mathbb{T}^{∞} , consider

(3)
$$F_{\chi}(s) = \frac{f_{\chi}(s)}{\pi^{1/p}(s+1)^{2/p}}.$$

It is well-known that if f is in \mathcal{H}^p , then for almost every χ in \mathbb{T}^{∞} the Dirichlet series f_{χ} is convergent in \mathbb{C}_0 and F_{χ} is in $H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)$. Moreover, $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p$ can be recovered by averaging $\|F_{\chi}\|_{H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)}^p$ over \mathbb{T}^{∞} . See Lemma 1 below. Our arguments will be based on this, the maximal function characterization of $H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)$ due to Burkholder, Gundy and Silverstein [5], and the square function characterization of $H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)$ due to Fefferman and Stein [6].

It is indeed straightforward to establish Theorem A by comparing the maximal functions f_{χ}^* and F_{χ}^* . However, for Theorem B, the presence of a derivative in (2) means that we need to compare the $L^2(\Gamma_{\tau})$ integrals of f_{χ}' and

(4)
$$F_{\chi}'(s) = \frac{f_{\chi}'(s)}{\pi^{1/p}(s+1)^{2/p}} - \frac{2}{p} \frac{f_{\chi}(s)}{\pi^{1/p}(s+1)^{2/p+1}}.$$

One clearly expects the first term to be dominant, so our job is to prove that the second term can be neglected. This will be achieved through a compactness argument which proceeds along standard lines.

Certain technical issues arise since we are working with Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series. We rely mostly on the standard toolbox of \mathcal{H}^p developed in [1], but one of our preliminary results does not appear in the literature and may be of some independent interest. To state it, we introduce the notation $T_{\sigma}f(s) = f(s+\sigma)$.

Theorem C. Fix
$$0 . If $f(s) = \sum_{n \geq N} a_n n^{-s}$ for some $N \geq 1$, then $\|T_{\sigma}f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq N^{-\sigma} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}$$$

for every $\sigma \geq 0$.

Theorem C is a direct consequence of the analogue of Hardy's convexity theorem for \mathcal{H}^p , which was recently established by the present authors in [3] by adapting Hardy's original proof [7].

Organization. The present corrigendum is comprised of three additional sections. Section 2 contains some preliminary results and definitions, including the proof of Theorem C. Section 3 and Section 4 are devoted to the proofs of Theorem A and Theorem B, respectively.

Acknowledgements. We thank Jiale Chen for making us aware of the error in [2].

2. Preliminaries

For $0 , every Dirichlet series in <math>\mathscr{H}^p$ is absolutely convergent for Re s > 1/2. As elucidated in [1, Section 2.1], it therefore follows from Birkhoff's ergodic theorem that the \mathscr{H}^p -norm of $T_{\sigma}f$ can computed using Carlson's formula whenever $\sigma > 1/2$,

(5)
$$||T_{\sigma}f||_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |f(\sigma + it)|^p dt.$$

We are now ready to establish Theorem C.

Proof of Theorem C. We assume that $a_N \neq 0$ because if not, we would get a stronger inequality by replacing N by N+1. If $\sigma=0$ there is nothing to do. For $\sigma > 0$ we will use Hardy's convexity theorem for \mathcal{H}^p [3, Theorem 3.8 (ii)], which states that the function $\sigma \mapsto \|T_{\sigma}f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}$ is logarithmically convex on $[0,\infty)$. Applying this to the points 0, σ and $\kappa > \sigma$ we find that

$$||T_{\sigma}f||_{\mathscr{H}^p} \leq ||f||_{\mathscr{H}^p}^{1-\sigma/\kappa} ||T_{\kappa}f||_{\mathscr{H}^p}^{\sigma/\kappa}.$$

Our plan is now to estimate the final quantity as $\kappa \to \infty$. If we assume that $\kappa > 1/2$, then we can use (5) to compute $||T_{\kappa}f||_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p$. The absolute convergence also implies that there is some $\kappa_0 > 1/2$ such that if $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$, then

$$|f(\kappa + it)| \le \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n^{\kappa}} \le 2|a_N|N^{-\kappa}.$$

Combining these observations, we find that $||T_{\sigma}f||_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq ||f||_{\mathcal{H}^p}^{1-\sigma/\kappa} N^{-\sigma}(2|a_N|)^{\sigma/\kappa}$ for every $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$. Letting $\kappa \to \infty$ we obtain the stated result.

For $0 , let <math>H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)$ be the Hardy space of analytic functions in the right half-plane $\mathbb{C}_0 = \{s = \sigma + it : \sigma > 0\}$, endowed with the (quasi-)norm

(6)
$$||F||_{H^{p}(\mathbb{C}_{0})}^{p} = \sup_{\sigma>0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(\sigma+it)|^{p} dt.$$

It follows from Hardy's convexity theorem [7] that the sup in (6) can be replaced by the limit as $\sigma \to 0^+$. In combination with results extracted from [1, Section 2.2], we have the following.

Lemma 1. Fix $0 and suppose that f is in <math>\mathcal{H}^p$. For almost every χ in \mathbb{T}^{∞} , the Dirichlet series f_{χ} converges in \mathbb{C}_0 and F_{χ} is in $H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)$. Moreover,

$$||f||_{\mathscr{H}^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} ||F_\chi||_{H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)}^p dm_\infty(\chi).$$

We have one more trivial preliminary result, which is stated separately for ease of reference, without proof.

Lemma 2. Decompose $\Gamma_{\tau} = \Gamma_{\tau,0} \cup \Gamma_{\tau,1}$, where

$$\Gamma_{\tau,0} = \{ s = \sigma + it : 0 < \sigma < 1 \text{ and } |t - \tau| < \sigma \}$$

and $\Gamma_{\tau,1} = \Gamma_{\tau} \setminus \Gamma_{\tau,0}$.

- (a) If s is in Γ_{τ} , then $|s+1|^2 \ge (1+\tau^2)/2$. (b) If s is in $\Gamma_{\tau,0}$, then $|s+1|^2 \le 6(1+\tau^2)$.

3. Proof of Theorem A

We know from [5, Theorem 1] that there is a constant $c_p \geq 1$ such that

(7)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |F^*(\tau)|^p d\tau \le c_p ||F||_{H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)}^p$$

for every F in $H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)$. The plan is to apply (7) to the functions F_{χ} from (3) and then to use Lemma 1. Decompose $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\tau,0} \cup \Gamma_{\tau,1}$ as above. The corresponding maximal functions will be denoted F_0^* and F_1^* , so that

$$F^*(\tau) = \max(F_0^*(\tau), F_1^*(\tau)).$$

It follows from Lemma 2 (b) that

(8)
$$|F_{\chi,0}^*(\tau)|^p \ge \frac{|f_{\chi,0}^*(\tau)|^p}{6\pi(1+\tau^2)}.$$

Using Lemma 2 (a) and the pointwise estimate $|f(s)|^p \le \zeta(2\operatorname{Re} s)||f||_{\mathscr{H}^p}^p$, valid for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$, from [1, Theorem 3], we find that

(9)
$$|F_{\chi,1}^*(\tau)|^p \le \frac{2\zeta(2)||f||_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p}{\pi(1+\tau^2)}.$$

Exploiting the inequalities $\max(a^p, b^p) \ge a^p - b^p$ and $a^p + b^p \ge \max(a^p, b^p)$ for $a, b \ge 0$, we obtain from (8), (9), and the pointwise estimate again, that

$$|F_{\chi}^*(\tau)|^p \geq \frac{|f_{\chi,0}^*(\tau)|^p}{6\pi(1+\tau^2)} - \frac{2\zeta(2)\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p}{\pi(1+\tau^2)} \geq \frac{|f_{\chi}^*(\tau)|^p}{\pi(1+\tau^2)} - \frac{13\zeta(2)\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p}{6\pi(1+\tau^2)}.$$

Inserting this into (7), integrating over χ and using Lemma 1, we find that

$$c_p \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \ge \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_{\chi}^*(\tau)|^p \frac{d\tau}{\pi(1+\tau^2)} dm_{\infty}(\chi) - \frac{13\pi^2}{36} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p.$$

This implies the stated result with $C_p = c_p + 13\pi^2/36$.

4. Proof of Theorem B

Throughout this section, we will use the triangle inequality in its weak form,

$$|a+b|^p \le 2^p (|a|^p + |b|^p),$$

which holds for 0 .

Proof of the upper bound in Theorem B. By the square function characterization of $H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)$ from [6, Theorem 8] and Lemma 1, there is a constant $b_p > 0$ such that

$$b_p \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \ge \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (SF_\chi(\tau))^p d\tau dm_\chi(\infty).$$

We get a lower bound for the right hand side by restricting the integral over Γ_{τ} in the definition of the square function (2) to $\Gamma_{\tau,0}$ and using the estimate from Lemma 2 (b) therein to conclude that

$$|b_p||f||_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \ge \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\tau,0}} \left| f_{\chi}'(s) - \frac{2}{p} \frac{f_{\chi}(s)}{(s+1)} \right|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{d\tau}{\pi (1+\tau^2)} dm_{\infty}(\chi).$$

From the triangle inequality for $L^2(\Gamma_{\tau})$ and the triangle inequality, we find that

$$b_{p} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p}}^{p} \geq \frac{2^{-p}}{6} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\tau,0}} |f_{\chi}'(s)|^{2} ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{d\tau}{\pi (1+\tau^{2})} dm_{\infty}(\chi)$$
$$- \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\tau,0}} \left| \frac{2}{p} \frac{f_{\chi}(s)}{(s+1)} \right|^{2} ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{d\tau}{\pi (1+\tau^{2})} dm_{\infty}(\chi).$$

Using Theorem A and the estimate $|s+1| \ge 1$, valid for s in \mathbb{C}_0 , we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\tau,0}} \left| \frac{2}{p} \frac{f_{\chi}(s)}{(s+1)} \right|^{2} ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{d\tau}{\pi (1+\tau^{2})} dm_{\infty}(\chi) \leq \left(\frac{2}{p} \right)^{p} \frac{C_{p}}{6} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p}}^{p}.$$

Letting $\widetilde{B}_p = 6 \cdot 2^p \cdot b_p + (4/p)^p \cdot C_p$, we thus have

$$\widetilde{B}_p \|f\|_{\mathscr{H}^p}^p \ge \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\tau,0}} |f_\chi'(s)|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{d\tau}{\pi (1+\tau^2)} \, dm_\infty(\chi).$$

Another application of the triangle inequality now gives that

$$\widetilde{B}_{p} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p}}^{p} \geq 2^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Sf_{\chi}(\tau))^{p} \frac{d\tau}{\pi (1+\tau^{2})} dm_{\infty}(\chi) \\
- \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\tau,1}} |f'_{\chi}(s)|^{2} ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{d\tau}{\pi (1+\tau^{2})} dm_{\infty}(\chi).$$

The final term can be estimated in different ways. One possibility is to apply Cauchy's formula to the function $g_{\chi} = T_{\sigma-1}(f_{\chi} - f(+\infty))$, where $s = \sigma + it$, integrating over the circle with centre 1 + it and radius 1/3, to conclude that

$$|f_{\chi}'(s)| \le 3\zeta(4/3)^{1/p} ||T_{\sigma-1}(f-f(+\infty))||_{\mathscr{H}^p} \le 3\zeta(4/3)^{1/p} 2^{-\sigma+1+1/p} ||f||_{\mathscr{H}^p},$$

where we also used the pointwise estimate of [1, Theorem 3], Theorem C, the triangle inequality, and the estimate $|f(+\infty)| \leq ||f||_{\mathcal{H}^p}$. Applying this estimate and extending the innermost integral to Γ_{τ} , we obtain by computation the stated result with $B_p = 2^{p/2} \widetilde{B}_p + 2\zeta(4/3)(6/\log 2)^p$.

As mentioned in the introduction, the main difficulty in the proof of Theorem B is to control the contribution from the second term in (4). This will be achieved through the following result.

Lemma 3. Fix 0 and define

$$\mathscr{R}_p(f) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_{\chi}(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{d\tau}{\pi (1 + \tau^2)} dm_{\infty}(\chi).$$

There is a constant $D_p > 0$ such that if $f(s) = \sum_{n \geq N} a_n n^{-s}$ for some $N \geq 2$, then

$$\mathscr{R}_p(f) \le \frac{D_p}{(\log N)^p} ||f||_{\mathscr{H}^p}^p.$$

Proof. It is instructive to first consider the case p=2. By interchanging the order of integration and using Theorem C, we find that

$$\mathcal{R}_{2}(f) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Gamma_{\tau}} |f_{\chi}(s)|^{2} ds \frac{d\tau}{\pi(1+\tau^{2})} dm_{\infty}(\chi)
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Gamma_{\tau}} ||T_{\sigma}f||_{\mathcal{H}^{2}}^{2} ds \frac{d\tau}{\pi(1+\tau^{2})}
\leq ||f||_{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Gamma_{\tau}} N^{-2\sigma} ds \frac{d\tau}{\pi(1+\tau^{2})} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{||f||_{\mathcal{H}^{2}}^{2}}{(\log N)^{2}}.$$

This is the stated result with $D_2 = 1/2$. In the case 0 , we extract a maximal function to obtain

$$\mathscr{R}_p(f) \le \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_{\chi}^*(\tau))^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\tau}} |f_{\chi}(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{d\tau}{\pi (1+\tau^2)} dm_{\infty}(\chi).$$

Next we use Hölder's inequality and Theorem A, which yields that

$$\mathscr{R}_{p}(f) \leq C_{p}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \|f\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p}}^{\frac{(2-p)p}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Gamma_{-}} |f_{\chi}(s)|^{p} ds \frac{d\tau}{\pi (1+\tau^{2})} dm_{\infty}(\chi) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Using Theorem C as before, we get the stated result with $D_p = C_p^{2/(2-p)} 2^{p/2} p^{-p}$. In the case 2 , we first use Hölder's inequality to estimate

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\tau}} |f_{\chi}(s)|^2 ds \le \int_0^{\infty} (2\sigma)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\int_{\tau-\sigma}^{\tau+\sigma} |f_{\chi}(\sigma+it)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} d\sigma.$$

Using this estimate and Minkowski's inequality, we find that $R_p(f)$ is bounded from above by

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2\sigma)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_{\tau-\sigma}^{\tau+\sigma} |f_\chi(\sigma+it)|^p dt \frac{d\tau}{\pi(1+\tau^2)} dm_\infty(\chi)\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} d\sigma\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Interchanging the order of integration and using Theorem C, we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2\sigma)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_{\tau-\sigma}^{\tau+\sigma} |f_{\chi}(\sigma+it)|^p dt \frac{d\tau}{\pi(1+\tau^2)} dm_{\infty}(\chi) \leq (2\sigma)^{p/2} N^{-\sigma p} ||f||_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p.$$

Computing the final integral over σ yields the stated result with $D_p = 2^{-p/2}$.

For $N \geq 2$, we let S_N denote the partial sum operator

$$S_N\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}\right) = \sum_{n < N} a_n n^{-s}.$$

Lemma 3 will be used to establish the following.

Lemma 4. Fix $0 . There are constants <math>E_p > 0$ and $N_p \ge 2$ such that

$$E_p \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \le \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Sf_\chi(\tau))^p \frac{d\tau}{\pi(1+\tau^2)} dm_\infty(\chi) + \|S_{N_p} f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p.$$

Proof. Let $N \geq 2$ be a number to be chosen later. By the square function characterization of $H^p(\mathbb{C}_0)$ from [6, Theorem 8] and Lemma 1, there is a constant $a_p > 0$ such that

$$a_p \|f\|_{\mathscr{H}^p}^p \le \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (SF_\chi(\tau))^p d\tau dm_\infty(\chi).$$

Recalling (4), we use the triangle inequality for $L^2(\Gamma_\tau)$, the triangle inequality, Lemma 2 (a), the estimate $|s+1|^2 \ge 1$, and the estimate $(2/p)^p \le e^{2/e}$, to see that

$$\frac{a_p}{2^{p+1}e^{2/e}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \le \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Sf_\chi(\tau))^p \frac{d\tau}{\pi(1+\tau^2)} dm_\infty(\chi) + \mathcal{R}_p(f),$$

where $\mathcal{R}_p(f)$ is as in Lemma 3. To actually apply Lemma 3, we need to subtract $S_N f$ from f. Using the triangle inequality, Lemma 3 twice, and then the triangle inequality again with the trivial estimates $1 \leq 2^p$ and $N \geq 2$, we find that

$$\mathscr{R}_p(f) \le 4^p D_p \left(\frac{2||S_N f||_{\mathscr{H}^p}^p}{(\log 2)^p} + \frac{||f||_{\mathscr{H}^p}^p}{(\log N)^p} \right)$$

We have obtained the stated result with $E_p = (\log 2)^p a_p/(8^{p+1}e^{2/e}D_p)$, if $N = N_p \ge 2$ is chosen so large that

$$\frac{4^p D_p}{(\log N_p)^p} \le \frac{a_p}{2^{p+2} e^{2/e}}.$$

Proof of the lower bound in Theorem B. We will argue by contradiction. Assume that there is no constant $A_p > 0$ such that

$$A_p \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \le \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Sf_{\chi}(\tau))^p \, \frac{d\tau}{\pi (1 + \tau^2)} \, dm_{\infty}(\chi)$$

for all f in \mathcal{H}^p with $f(+\infty) = 0$. We can then find a sequence of functions $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{H}^p such that $||f_k||_{\mathcal{H}^p} = 1$ for every $k \geq 1$, but such that

(10)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Sf_{k,\chi}(\tau))^p \frac{d\tau}{\pi(1+\tau^2)} dm_{\infty}(\chi) = 0.$$

If we can derive from (10) that also $||S_{N_p}f_k||_{\mathcal{H}^p} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, we will obtain a contradiction to Lemma 4. In view of the trivial estimate

(11)
$$||S_{N_p} f_k||_{\mathcal{H}^p} \le ||S_{N_p} f_k||_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \le \sum_{n < N_p} |a_{n,k}|,$$

it is enough to deduce from (10) that $a_{n,k} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ for $n < N_p$.

Let us first consider the simpler case $1 \leq p < \infty$. Since $||f_k||_{\mathscr{H}^p} = 1$ we know that $|a_{n,k}| \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 2$. By passing to subsequences, we may assume that there are complex numbers a_n such that $a_{n,k} \to a_n$ for $n < N_p$. If $a_2 = 0$, there is nothing to do. If $a_2 \neq 0$, we can find K_2 such that if $k \geq K_2$, then $|a_{2,k}| \geq |a_2|/2$. In this case, pick κ_2 so large that if $\sigma \geq \kappa_2$, then

$$|f'_{k,\chi}(s)| \ge \frac{|a_{2,k}|(\log 2)}{2^{\sigma}} - \sum_{n>2} (\log n) n^{-\sigma} \ge \frac{|a_2|(\log 2)}{2^{\sigma+1}} - \sum_{n>2} (\log n) n^{-\sigma} \ge \frac{|a_2|(\log 2)}{2^{\sigma+2}}.$$

Restricting the integral over Γ_{τ} in (10) to Re $s \geq \kappa_2$, we insert this estimate and let $k \to \infty$, to see that $a_2 = 0$.

Similarly, if $a_3 \neq 0$, we may find K_3 and κ_3 such that if $k \geq K_3$ and $\operatorname{Re} s \geq \kappa_3$, then

$$|f'_{k,\gamma}(s)| \ge |a_3|(\log 3)3^{-\sigma}/4 - |a_{2,k}|(\log 2)2^{-\sigma}.$$

We will now restrict our attention to the strip $\kappa_3 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq \kappa_3 + 1$. Since $a_{2,k} \to 0$, we can choose $K_{2,3}$ so large that if $k \geq \max(K_{2,3}, K_3)$ and $\kappa_3 \leq \sigma \leq \kappa_3 + 1$, then

$$|a_{2,k}|(\log 2)2^{-\sigma} \le |a_3|(\log 3)3^{-\sigma}/8.$$

This implies that $|f'_{k,\chi}(s)| \ge |a_3|(\log 3)3^{-\sigma}/8$ in the strip $\kappa_3 \le \sigma \le \kappa_3 + 1$ for every sufficiently large k. Restricting the integral over Γ_{τ} in (10) to this strip and letting $k \to \infty$, we find that $a_3 = 0$. We continue inductively and conclude that $a_n = 0$ for every $n < N_p$ and obtain the desired contradiction to Lemma 4 through (11).

The same argument works in the case $0 with one minor modification. We no longer have the estimate <math>|a_{n,k}| \le 1$, but by [1, Theorem 9] it holds that

$$|a_{n,k}| \le (2/p)^{\Omega(n)/2} ||f_k||_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p = (2/p)^{\Omega(n)/2},$$

where $\Omega(n)$ denotes the number of prime factors of n counting multiplicities. By the estimate $\Omega(n) \leq \log n/\log 2$ and a straightforward rough calculus estimate, we find that $|a_{n,k}| \leq n^{1/p}$. As a consequence, we simply need to choose a slightly larger κ_n for each $n < N_p$, and otherwise argue in a more or less identical manner.

References

- [1] Frédéric Bayart, Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and their composition operators, Monatsh. Math. 136 (2002), no. 3, 203–236.
- [2] Ole Fredrik Brevig and Karl-Mikael Perfekt, Weak product spaces of Dirichlet series, Integral Equations Operator Theory 86 (2016), no. 4, 453–473.
- [3] Ole Fredrik Brevig and Karl-Mikael Perfekt, A mean counting function for Dirichlet series and compact composition operators, Adv. Math. 385 (2021), Paper No. 107775, 48.
- [4] Ole Fredrik Brevig, Karl-Mikael Perfekt, and Kristian Seip, Volterra operators on Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series, J. Reine Angew. Math. 754 (2019), 179–223.
- [5] D. L. Burkholder, R. F. Gundy, and M. L. Silverstein, A maximal function characterization of the class H^p, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 157 (1971), 137–153.
- [6] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, Acta Math. 129 (1972), no. 3-4, 137–193.
- [7] G. H. Hardy, The Mean Value of the Modulus of an Analytic Function, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 14 (1915), no. 1, 269–277.

Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, 0851 Oslo, Norway $\it Email\ address$: obrevig@math.uio.no

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (NTNU), NO-7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

Email address: karl-mikael.perfekt@ntnu.no