

Biorthogonal Approach to Infinite Dimensional Fractional Poisson Measure

Jerome B. Bendong

CSM, MSU-Iligan Institute of Technology,
Tibanga, 9200 Iligan City, Philippines
Email: jerome.bendong@g.msuiit.edu.ph

Sheila M. Menchavez

CSM, MSU-Iligan Institute of Technology,
Tibanga, 9200 Iligan City, Philippines
Email: sheila.menchavez@g.msuiit.edu.ph

José Luís da Silva

Faculdade de Ciências Exatas e da Engenharia,
CIMA, Universidade da Madeira,
Campus Universitário da Penteada,
9020-105 Funchal, Portugal
Email: joses@staff.uma.pt

May 9, 2022

Abstract

In this paper we use a biorthogonal approach to the analysis of the infinite dimensional fractional Poisson measure π_σ^β , $0 < \beta \leq 1$, on the linear space \mathcal{D}' . The Hilbert space $L^2(\pi_\sigma^\beta)$ of complex-valued functions is describe in terms of a system of generalized Appell polynomials $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ associated to the measure π_σ^β . The kernels $C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(\cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, of the monomials may be expressed in terms of the Stirling operators of the first and second kind as well as the falling factorials in infinite dimensions. Associated to the system $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$, there is a generalized dual Appell system $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ that is biorthogonal to $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$. The test and generalized function spaces associated to the measure π_σ^β are completely characterized using an integral transform as entire or holomorphic functions.

Keywords: Fractional Poisson measure, generalized Appell system, Wick exponential, test functions, generalized functions, Stirling operators.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	4
2.1	Tensor Powers of Nuclear Spaces	4
2.2	Holomorphy on Locally Convex Spaces	6

3	Finite Dimensional Fractional Poisson Measure	6
4	Infinite Dimensional Fractional Poisson Measure	10
4.1	Fractional Poisson Measure on the Linear Space \mathcal{D}'	10
4.2	Configuration Space	12
4.3	Fractional Poisson Measure on Γ	15
5	Generalized Appell System	16
5.1	Generalized Appell Polynomials	17
5.2	Generalized Dual Appell System	21
6	Test and Generalized Function Spaces	24
7	Conclusion and Outlook	29
A	Poisson Measure in Finite Dimensions	29
B	The Projective Limit	31
C	Kolmogorov extension theorem on configuration space	33
D	Stirling Operators	35
E	An Alternative Proof of the Theorem 5.7 (Biorthogonal Property)	37

1 Introduction

In this paper we develop a biorthogonal approach to the analysis of the infinite dimensional fractional Poisson measure (fPm) on the configuration space Γ or over \mathcal{D}' (the dual of the Schwartz test function space \mathcal{D}). As a special case of a non Gaussian measure (for which this biorthogonal approach was developed in [1, 29, 27]) the fPm revealed an interesting connection with the Stirling operators and falling factorials in the context of infinite dimensional analysis introduced recently in [15].

To describe our results more precisely, let us recall that there are different ways to introduce a total set of orthogonal polynomials in the Hilbert space of square integrable functions with respect to (wrt) a probability measure. For example, applying the Gram-Schmidt method to an independent sequence of functions or using generating functions. In the case at hand, that is, the fPm π_σ^β ($0 < \beta \leq 1$, σ a non-degenerate and non-atomic measure in \mathbb{R}^d), we have chosen the generating function procedure because the Gram-Schmidt method is not practical. In addition, the generating function is picked in a way such that at $\beta = 1$, we recover the classical Charlier polynomials, that is, π_σ^1 coincides with the standard Poisson measure π_σ on Γ , see [3] for more details. In explicit, given the map

$$\alpha : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}, \varphi \mapsto \alpha(\varphi)(x) := \log(1 + \varphi(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

we define the modified Wick exponential

$$e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); w) := \frac{\exp(\langle w, \alpha(\varphi) \rangle)}{l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi))} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle, \quad w \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where φ is properly chosen from a neighborhood of zero in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The monomials $\langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ generates a system of polynomials $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ which forms a total set in the space $L^2(\pi_\sigma^\beta)$

of square π_σ^β -integrable complex functions. The kernels $C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(\cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, possesses certain remarkable properties involving the Stirling operators of the first and second kind as well as the falling factorials $(w)_n$, $w \in \mathcal{D}'_\mathbb{C}$ introduced in [15]. We refer to Proposition 5.2 and Appendix D for more details and results. Other choice of generating functions like $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi; \cdot)$ is also possible (see the beginning of Section 5), but in this case, at $\beta = 1$, the corresponding system of polynomials do not coincides with the classical Charlier polynomials. Thus, our natural choice goes to the modified Wick exponential generating function $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); \cdot)$.

On the other hand, the construction of the generalized dual Appell system $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ turns out to be very appealing since it involves a differential operator of infinite order on the space of polynomials $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ over \mathcal{D}' and the adjoint of the Stirling operators. This careful choice of the system $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ leads us to the so called biorthogonal property between the two systems $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$, see Theorem 5.7.

The generalized Appell system $\mathbb{A}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha} := (\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}, \mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha})$ is used to introduce a family of test function spaces $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa$, $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$, which are nuclear spaces and continuously embedded in $L^2(\pi_\sigma^\beta)$. The dual space of $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa$ is given by the general duality theory as $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa}$. In this way, we obtain the chain of continuous embeddings

$$(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa \subset L^2(\pi_\sigma^\beta) \subset (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa}.$$

A typical example of a test function is the modified Wick exponential $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); \cdot) \in (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa$ (see Example 6.1) given as a convergent series in terms of the system $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ while a particular element in $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-1}$ is given by the generalized Radon-Nikodym derivative $\rho_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\alpha(w, \cdot)$, $w \in \mathcal{N}'_\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, the generalized function $\rho_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\alpha(w, \cdot)$ plays the role of the generating function of the system $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$, that is,

$$\rho_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\alpha(w, \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} Q_k^{\pi_\sigma^\beta, \alpha}((-w)_k).$$

The spaces $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{\pm\kappa}$ may be characterized in terms of an integral transform, called the $S_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ -transform. It turns out that all these spaces $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{\pm\kappa}$, $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$, are universal in the sense that the $S_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ -transform of their elements are entire functions (for $0 \leq \kappa < 1$) or holomorphic functions ($\kappa = 1$) and independent of the measure π_σ^β , see Theorem 6.6. This feature is well known in non Gaussian analysis.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some known concepts of nuclear spaces, its tensor product as well as the holomorphy on locally convex spaces. As a motivation to the generalization of fPm to infinite dimensions, we discuss its finite dimensional version in Section 3. We show that the monic polynomials $C_n^\beta(x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, obtained using Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process to the monomials x^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are orthogonal in $L^2(\pi_{\lambda,\beta}^2)$ ($\pi_{\lambda,\beta}^2$ is the Poisson measure in 2-dimensions) if, and only if, $\beta = 1$. In Section 4, we define the fPm π_σ^β in infinite dimensions which is a probability measure on $(\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}'))$. We also discuss the concept of configuration space Γ and then using the Kolmogorov extension theorem we define a unique measure π_σ^β on the configuration space $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ whose characteristic function coincides with that of π_σ^β on the distribution space \mathcal{D}' . In Section 5, we introduce the generalized Appell system associated with the fPm π_σ^β . This includes the system of generalized Appell polynomials and the dual Appell system which are biorthogonal with respect to the fPm π_σ^β . Finally in Section 6, we construct the test and generalized function spaces associated to the fPm π_σ^β and provide some properties as well as its characterization theorems.

For completeness, in the Appendices A–E we provide certain concepts and results already known in the literature. In particular, the Poisson measure in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the projective limit of measurable spaces, the Kolmogorov extension theorem on the configuration space, and the Stirling operators in infinite dimensions.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall the basic definitions and some known results of nuclear spaces as well as its tensor product. In addition, some facts on the holomorphic or analytic functions in infinite dimensions are discussed.

2.1 Tensor Powers of Nuclear Spaces

We first consider nuclear Fréchet spaces that may be characterized in terms of projective limits of a countable number of Hilbert spaces, see, e.g., [7], [8], [17], [21] for more details and proofs.

Let \mathcal{H} be a real separable Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and corresponding norm $|\cdot|$. Consider a family of real separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_p , $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with Hilbert norm $|\cdot|_p$ such that the space $\bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_p$ is dense in each \mathcal{H}_p , and

$$\cdots \subset \mathcal{H}_p \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}$$

with the corresponding system of norms being ordered, i.e.,

$$|\cdot| \leq |\cdot|_1 \leq \cdots \leq |\cdot|_p \leq \cdots \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition 2.1. The linear space

$$\mathcal{N} := \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_p$$

is called *nuclear* whenever for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a $q > p$ such that the canonical embedding $\mathcal{H}_q \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ is Hilbert-Schmidt class.

Now we assume that all the spaces $\mathcal{N} = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_p$ are nuclear and on \mathcal{N} we fix the *projective limit topology*, i.e., the coarsest topology on \mathcal{N} with respect to which each canonical embedding $\mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, is continuous. Equivalently, a sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of \mathcal{N} converges to $\xi \in \mathcal{N}$ if, and only if, $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ξ in \mathcal{H}_p for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$. With respect to this topology, \mathcal{N} is a Fréchet space (i.e., a complete metrizable locally convex space), and we use the notation

$$\mathcal{N} = \text{pr} \lim_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_p$$

to denote the space \mathcal{N} endowed with the corresponding projective limit topology. Such a topological space is called a *projective limit* or a *countable limit of the family* $(\mathcal{H}_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Let us denote \mathcal{H}_{-p} , $p \in \mathbb{N}$, the dual of \mathcal{H}_p with respect to the space \mathcal{H} with the corresponding Hilbert norm $|\cdot|_{-p}$. By the general duality theory, the dual space \mathcal{N}' of \mathcal{N} with respect to \mathcal{H} can then be written as

$$\mathcal{N}' := \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{-p}$$

with the *inductive limit topology*, i.e., the finest topology on \mathcal{N}' with respect to which all the embeddings $\mathcal{H}_{-p} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ are continuous. This topological space is denoted by

$$\mathcal{N}' = \text{ind} \lim_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{-p}$$

and is called an *inductive limit of the family* $(\mathcal{H}_{-p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$.

In this way we have obtained the chain of spaces

$$\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{N}'$$

called a *nuclear triple* or *Gelfand triple*. The dual pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ between \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' is then realized as an extension of the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathcal{H} , i.e.,

$$\langle g, \xi \rangle = (g, \xi), \quad g \in \mathcal{H}, \xi \in \mathcal{N}.$$

For each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, and every $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{H}$, consider the n -linear form $g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_n := \otimes_{i=1}^n g_i$ defined on \mathcal{H}^n by

$$(g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_n)(h_1, \dots, h_n) := \prod_{i=1}^n (h_i, g_i), \quad h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The linear space spanned by such n -linear forms is called the *algebraic n -th tensor power of \mathcal{H}* denoted by $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$. To introduce a topological structure on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ we define an inner product, also denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) , acting on elements $\otimes_{i=1}^n g_{1i}, \otimes_{j=1}^n g_{2j} \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ by

$$(\otimes_{i=1}^n g_{1i}, \otimes_{j=1}^n g_{2j}) := \prod_{k=1}^n (g_{1k}, g_{2k}). \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.2. The completion of $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ with respect to the norm induced by the inner product (2.1) is called the (*topological*) *n -th tensor power of \mathcal{H}* and is denoted by $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$.

For each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $\iota \in S_n$ where S_n is the permutation group over $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let us consider the sequence of unitary isomorphism $U_{\iota, n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, defined on the total set¹ of elements of the form $g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_n \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{H}$, given by

$$U_{\iota, n}(g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_n) := g_{\iota(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes g_{\iota(n)}.$$

With this sequence of unitary isomorphisms $U_{\iota, n}$, $\iota \in S_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we associate the sequence of operators P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, defined on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ by

$$P_n := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\iota \in S_n} U_{\iota, n}.$$

It is easy to check that $P_n \circ P_n = P_n$ and the adjoint operator of P_n coincides with P_n itself, i.e., P_n is an orthogonal projection. By definition, the *n -th symmetric tensor power $\mathcal{H}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$* of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is the range of the operator P_n . Here, each element $P_n(g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_n)$ is denoted by $g_1 \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} g_n$.

Now we introduce the tensor powers $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, of the nuclear space \mathcal{N} . First, consider the families of tensor powers of the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_p^{\otimes n}$ and $\mathcal{H}_p^{\hat{\otimes} n}$, both indexed by $p \in \mathbb{N}$. We will still use the notation $|\cdot|_p$ for the Hilbert norm on $\mathcal{H}_p^{\otimes n}$. By definition, the *n -th tensor power $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$* of \mathcal{N} and the *n -th symmetric tensor power $\mathcal{N}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$* of \mathcal{N} are the nuclear Fréchet spaces given by

$$\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n} := \text{pr} \lim_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_p^{\otimes n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}^{\hat{\otimes} n} := \text{pr} \lim_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_p^{\hat{\otimes} n}.$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{H}_{-p}^{\otimes n}$ (resp., $\mathcal{H}_{-p}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$) denotes the dual space of $\mathcal{H}_p^{\otimes n}$ (resp., $\mathcal{H}_p^{\hat{\otimes} n}$) with respect to $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, then the dual space $(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n})'$ of $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ and the dual space $(\mathcal{N}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$ of $\mathcal{N}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ can be written as

$$(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n})' = \text{pr} \lim_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{-p}^{\otimes n} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{N}^{\hat{\otimes} n})' = \text{pr} \lim_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{-p}^{\hat{\otimes} n},$$

¹A subset X of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that the closure of the linear space spanned by X coincides with \mathcal{H} .

respectively. As before we use the notation $|\cdot|_{-p}$ for the norm on $\mathcal{H}_{-p}^{\otimes n}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the dual pairing between $(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n})'$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$. Thus we have defined the nuclear triples

$$\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n} \subset \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \subset (\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n})' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}^{\hat{\otimes} n} \subset \mathcal{H}^{\hat{\otimes} n} \subset (\mathcal{N}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'.$$

To all the real spaces in this section, we can also consider their complexifications which will be distinguished by a subscript \mathbb{C} , i.e., the complexification of \mathcal{N} is $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and so on. This means that for $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have $\varphi = \varphi_1 + i\varphi_2$ where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{N}$.

2.2 Holomorphy on Locally Convex Spaces

In this section we provide some facts and notion of holomorphic or analytic functions to complex-valued functions defined on a locally convex topological vector space \mathcal{E} over the complex field \mathbb{C} , see [4], [12] and [13] for more details.

A function $G : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined on an open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is called *G-holomorphic* (or *Gâteaux holomorphic*) if for each $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ and for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}$ the complex-valued function defined on \mathbb{C} by

$$\mathbb{C} \ni z \mapsto G(\varphi_0 + z\varphi) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is analytic on some neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that if $G : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a G-holomorphic function, then for every $\eta \in \mathcal{U}$, there exist a sequence of homogeneous polynomials $\frac{1}{n!} \widehat{d^n G(\eta)}$ such that

$$G(\varphi + \eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \widehat{d^n G(\eta)}(\varphi) \quad (2.2)$$

for all φ in some open set $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$. G is said to be holomorphic, if for all η in \mathcal{U} there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{V} of zero such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \widehat{d^n G(\eta)}(\varphi)$ converges uniformly on \mathcal{V} to a continuous function. We say that G is holomorphic at φ_0 if there is an open set \mathcal{U} containing φ_0 such that G is holomorphic on \mathcal{U} . The following proposition can be found in [13].

Proposition 2.3. *G is holomorphic if, and only if, it is G-holomorphic and locally bounded.*

Let us now introduce spaces of entire functions which will be used later in the characterization theorems in Section 6. Let $\mathcal{E}_{2^{-l}}^k(\mathcal{H}_{-p, \mathbb{C}})$ denote the set of all entire functions on $\mathcal{H}_{-p, \mathbb{C}}$ of growth $k \in [1, 2]$ and type 2^{-l} , $p, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is a linear space with norm

$$n_{p,l,k}(\varphi) = \sup_{w \in \mathcal{H}_{-p, \mathbb{C}}} |\varphi(w)| \exp(-2^{-l}|z|_{-p}^k), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{2^{-l}}^k(\mathcal{H}_{-p, \mathbb{C}}).$$

The space of entire functions on $\mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ of growth k and minimal type is naturally introduced by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\min}^k(\mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}}) := \text{pr} \lim_{p,l \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}_{2^{-l}}^k(\mathcal{H}_{-p, \mathbb{C}}),$$

see e.g., [30, 7]. We will also need the space of entire functions on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of growth k and finite type given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\max}^k(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}) := \text{ind} \lim_{p,l \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}_{2^l}^k(\mathcal{H}_{p, \mathbb{C}}).$$

3 Finite Dimensional Fractional Poisson Measure

In this section we discuss the finite dimensional version of the fractional Poisson measure as a motivation to its generalization to infinite dimensions. The one dimensional version of the fractional Poisson analysis was studied in [6].

At first we introduce the Mittag-Leffler function E_β with parameter $\beta \in (0, 1]$. The Mittag-Leffler function is an entire function defined on the complex plane by the power series

$$E_\beta(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\beta n + 1)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.1)$$

The Mittag-Leffler function plays the same role for the fPm as the exponential function plays for Poisson measure. Note that for $\beta = 1$ we have $E_1(z) = e^z$.

For any $0 < \beta \leq 1$, the fPm $\pi_{\lambda,\beta}$ on \mathbb{N}_0 (or \mathbb{R}) with rate $\lambda > 0$ is defined for any $B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}_0)$ by

$$\pi_{\lambda,\beta}(B) := \sum_{k \in B} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} E_\beta^{(k)}(-\lambda),$$

where $E_\beta^{(k)}(z) := \frac{d^k}{dz^k} E_\beta(z)$ is the k -th derivative of the Mittag-Leffler E_β function. In particular, if $B = \{k\} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}_0)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we obtain

$$\pi_{\lambda,\beta}(\{k\}) := \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} E_\beta^{(k)}(-\lambda).$$

The Laplace transform of the measure $\pi_{\lambda,\beta}$ is given for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$l_{\pi_{\lambda,\beta}}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{zx} d\pi_{\lambda,\beta}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^z \lambda)^k}{k!} E_\beta^{(k)}(-\lambda) = E_\beta(\lambda(e^z - 1)). \quad (3.2)$$

Remark 3.1. The measure $\pi_{\lambda t^\beta, \beta}$ corresponds to the marginal distribution of the fractional Poisson process $N_{\lambda,\beta} = (N_{\lambda,\beta}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with parameter $\lambda t^\beta > 0$ defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Thus, we obtain

$$\pi_{\lambda t^\beta, \beta}(\{k\}) = P(N_{\lambda,\beta}(t) = k) = \frac{(\lambda t^\beta)^k}{k!} E_\beta^{(k)}(-\lambda t^\beta), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Remark 3.2. The fractional Poisson process $N_{\lambda,\beta}$ was proposed by O. N. Repin and A. I. Saichev [42]. Since then, it was well studied, see e.g. N. Laskin [33], F. Mainardi et al. [36, 37, 19], V. V. Uchaikin et al. [47], L. Beghin and E. Orsingher [5] M. Politi et al. [40], M. M. Meerschaert et al. [38], R. Biard and B. J. Sausseureau [9] and references therein.

An interesting property of the fPm $\pi_{\lambda,\beta}$ is given as a mixture of Poisson measures with respect to a probability measure ν_β on $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$. That probability measure ν_β is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}_+ with a probability density $W_{-\beta, 1-\beta}$. The Laplace transform of the measure ν_β (or its density $W_{-\beta, 1-\beta}$) is given by, see [20, Cor. A.5]

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-z\tau} W_{-\beta, 1-\beta}(\tau) d\tau = E_\beta(-z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

More precisely, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *For $0 < \beta \leq 1$, the fPm $\pi_{\lambda,\beta}$ is an integral (or mixture) of Poisson measure π_λ with respect to the probability measure ν_β , i.e.,*

$$\pi_{\lambda,\beta} = \int_0^\infty \pi_{\lambda\tau} d\nu_\beta(\tau), \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Denote the right hand side of (3.3) by $\mu := \int_0^\infty \pi_{\lambda\tau} W_{-\beta,1-\beta}(\tau) d\tau$. We compute the Laplace transform of μ and use Fubini's theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{zx} d\mu(x) &= \int_0^\infty e^{zx} \int_0^\infty d\pi_{\lambda\tau}(x) W_{-\beta,1-\beta}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty e^{zx} d\pi_{\lambda\tau}(x) \right) W_{-\beta,1-\beta}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{\tau\lambda(e^z-1)} W_{-\beta,1-\beta}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= E_\beta(\lambda(e^z - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that both the Laplace transforms of μ and $\pi_{\lambda,\beta}$ (cf. (3.2)) coincides. The result follows by the uniqueness of the Laplace transform. \square

Theorem 3.4 (Moments of $\pi_{\lambda,\beta}$, cf. [34]). *The fPm $\pi_{\lambda,\beta}$ has moments of all order. More precisely, the n -th moment of the measure $\pi_{\lambda,\beta}$ is given by*

$$m_{\lambda,\beta}(n) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n d\pi_{\lambda,\beta}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{m!}{\Gamma(m\beta + 1)} S(n, m) \lambda^m, \quad (3.4)$$

where $S(n, m)$ is the Stirling number of the second kind.

Here are the first few moments of the measure $\pi_{\lambda,\beta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\lambda,\beta}(0) &= 1 \\ m_{\lambda,\beta}(1) &= \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}, \\ m_{\lambda,\beta}(2) &= \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{\Gamma(2\beta + 1)}, \\ m_{\lambda,\beta}(3) &= \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} + \frac{6\lambda^2}{\Gamma(2\beta + 1)} + \frac{6\lambda^3}{\Gamma(3\beta + 1)}, \\ m_{\lambda,\beta}(4) &= \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} + \frac{14\lambda^2}{\Gamma(2\beta + 1)} + \frac{36\lambda^3}{\Gamma(3\beta + 1)} + \frac{24\lambda^4}{\Gamma(4\beta + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\beta = 1$, these moments become the moments of the Poisson measure, that is, $\pi_\lambda = \pi_{\lambda,1}$ and $m_{\lambda,1}$ simplify to:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\lambda,1}(0) &= 1, \\ m_{\lambda,1}(1) &= \lambda, \\ m_{\lambda,1}(2) &= \lambda + \lambda^2, \\ m_{\lambda,1}(3) &= \lambda + 3\lambda^2 + \lambda^3, \\ m_{\lambda,1}(4) &= \lambda + 7\lambda^2 + 6\lambda^3 + \lambda^4. \end{aligned}$$

In addition to the Poisson measure π_λ and fPm $\pi_{\lambda,\beta}$ in \mathbb{N}_0 we also need both of these measures in \mathbb{N}_0^2 or \mathbb{R}^2 , the reason becomes clear after Corollary 3.5. The d -dimensional Poisson distribution of the d -dimensional (\mathcal{F}_t) -Poisson process $N_{\vec{\lambda}}(1)$, $\vec{\lambda} \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^2 := (0, \infty)^2$, is given in Equation (A.4) in Appendix A. It follows that for the case $n = 2$, the 2-dimensional Poisson distribution is given by

$$\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^2(\{k_1, k_2\}) = P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^2 \{N_{\lambda_i}^i(1) = k_i\} \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right) = \frac{\lambda_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} e^{-\lambda_1} \frac{\lambda_2^{k_2}}{k_2!} e^{-\lambda_2}$$

The Laplace transform of $\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^2$ is given by

$$l_{\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^2}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{(x,s)} d\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^2(x) = \exp(\lambda_1(e^{s_1} - 1) + \lambda_2(e^{s_2} - 1)). \quad (3.5)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2), s = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. For any $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $\vec{\lambda} \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^2$, then a possible fractional generalization of $\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^2$, denoted by $\pi_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2$, is via its Laplace transform by replacing the first exponential function on the right hand side of (3.5) by the Mittag-Leffler function. More precisely, the Laplace transform of $\pi_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2$ is given by

$$l_{\pi_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{(x,s)} d\pi_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2(x) = E_{\beta}(\lambda_1(e^{s_1} - 1) + \lambda_2(e^{s_2} - 1)), \quad (3.6)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2), s = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

The moments of the measure $\pi_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2$, denoted by $m_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2(n_1, n_2)$, can be obtained by applying $\frac{d^{n_1}}{ds_1^{n_1}} \frac{d^{n_2}}{ds_2^{n_2}}$, $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, to Equation (3.6) and then evaluating at $s_1 = s_2 = 0$. As an example, here we compute the moments $m_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2(1, 1)$ and $m_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2(1, 2)$ of the measure $\pi_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2$ needed later on:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2(1, 1) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 x_2 d\pi_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{2\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\Gamma(2\beta + 1)}, \\ m_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2(1, 2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 x_2^2 d\pi_{\vec{\lambda},\beta}^2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{2\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\Gamma(2\beta + 1)} + \frac{6\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2}{\Gamma(3\beta + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process to the monomials x^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, to obtain monic polynomials $C_n^\beta(x)$ with $\deg C_n^\beta(x) = n$ with respect to the inner product

$$(p, q)_{\pi_{\lambda,\beta}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x)q(x) d\pi_{\lambda,\beta}(x).$$

These polynomials are determined by the moments of the measure $\pi_{\lambda,\beta}$. The first few of these polynomials are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_0^\beta(x) &= 1, \\ C_1^\beta(x) &= x - (x, C_0^\beta)_{\pi_{\lambda,\beta}} C_0^\beta(x) = x - m_{\lambda,\beta}(1), \\ C_2^\beta(x) &= x^2 - (x^2, C_0^\beta)_{\pi_{\lambda,\beta}} C_0^\beta(x) - \left(x^2, \frac{C_1^\beta}{\|C_1^\beta\|_{\pi_{\lambda,\beta}}^2} \right)_{\pi_{\lambda,\beta}} C_1^\beta(x), \\ &= x^2 - A(\beta, \lambda)x - m_{\lambda,\beta}(2) + A(\beta, \lambda)m_{\lambda,\beta}(1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(\beta, \lambda) = \frac{m_{\lambda,\beta}(3) - m_{\lambda,\beta}(1)m_{\lambda,\beta}(2)}{m_{\lambda,\beta}(2) - (m_{\lambda,\beta}(1))^2}.$$

When $\beta = 1$, the measure $\pi_{\lambda,1}$ becomes the Poisson measure π_λ and so

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(x) &= 1 \\ C_1(x) &= x - \lambda \\ C_2(x) &= x^2 - (1 + 2\lambda)x + \lambda^2. \end{aligned}$$

These polynomials are the classical Charlier polynomials.




Figure 1: Graph of the function in (3.7) as a function of β with $\vec{\lambda} = (1, 1)$.

Corollary 3.5. For $\beta \in (0, 1]$ it holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} C_1^\beta(x_1) C_2^\beta(x_2) d\pi_{\vec{\lambda}, \beta}^2(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

if, and only if, $\beta = 1$.

Proof. When $\beta = 1$, we have the well known orthogonal property of the Charlier polynomials, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} C_1(x_1) C_2(x_2) d\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^2(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} C_1(x_1) d\pi_{\lambda_1}(x_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} C_2(x_2) d\pi_{\lambda_2}(x_2) = 0.$$

On the other hand, for $\beta \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} C_1^\beta(x_1) C_2^\beta(x_2) d\pi_{\vec{\lambda}, \beta}^2(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_1 - m_{\lambda_1, \beta}(1)) (x_2^2 - A(\beta, \lambda_2)x_2 - m_{\lambda_2, \beta}(2) + A(\beta, \lambda_2)m_{\lambda_2, \beta}(1)) d\pi_{\vec{\lambda}, \beta}^2(x_1, x_2) \\ &= m_{\vec{\lambda}, \beta}^2(1, 2) - A(\beta, \lambda_2)m_{\vec{\lambda}, \beta}^2(1, 1) - m_{\lambda_1, \beta}(1)m_{\lambda_2, \beta}(2) + A(\beta, \lambda_2)m_{\lambda_1, \beta}(1)m_{\lambda_2, \beta}(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Equation (3.7) defines a function $F(\beta, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ which is equal to zero, given fixed $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ if, and only if, $\beta = 1$, see Figure 1. \square

Having in mind the above results, this motivate us to introduce a biorthogonal system of the fPm in higher dimension.

4 Infinite Dimensional Fractional Poisson Measure

After the above preparation, we are ready to define the fPm in infinite dimensions. We define the fPm in the linear space \mathcal{D}' and then a more careful analysis shows that fPm is indeed a probability measure on the configuration space Γ over \mathbb{R}^d .

4.1 Fractional Poisson Measure on the Linear Space \mathcal{D}'

Let $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^d$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d), z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be given. The d -dimensional Poisson measure has characteristic function given by

$$C_{\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^d}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(x, z)} d\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^d(x) = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k (e^{iz_k} - 1) \right). \quad (4.1)$$

Let us consider a *Radon measure* σ on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, a measure which is finite on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , i.e., $\sigma(\Lambda) < \infty$ for every $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (the family of all $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable sets with compact closure). Elements of $\mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are called finite volumes. Here, we assume σ to be non-degenerate (i.e., $\sigma(O) > 0$ for all non-empty open sets $O \subset \mathbb{R}^d$) and non-atomic (i.e., $\sigma(\{x\}) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$). In addition, we always assume that $\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d) = \infty$. Let $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of C^∞ -functions with compact support in \mathbb{R}^d and $\mathcal{D}' := \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the dual of \mathcal{D} with respect to the Hilbert space $L^2(\sigma) := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \sigma)$ such that we obtain the triple

$$\mathcal{D} \subset L^2(\sigma) \subset \mathcal{D}'. \quad (4.2)$$

The infinite-dimensional generalization of the Poisson measure with intensity measure σ , denoted by π_σ , is obtained by generalizing the characteristic function (4.1) to

$$C_{\pi_\sigma}(\varphi) := \int_{\mathcal{D}'} e^{i\langle w, \varphi \rangle} d\pi_\sigma(w) = \exp \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\sigma(x) \right), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (4.3)$$

This is achieved through the Bochner-Minlos theorem (see e.g. [7]) by showing that C_{π_σ} is the Fourier transform of a measure on the distribution space \mathcal{D}' , see [2] and references therein. Now, using the fact that the Mittag-Leffler function is a natural generalization of the exponential function, one conjectures that the characteristic functional

$$C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi) := \int_{\mathcal{D}'} e^{i\langle w, \varphi \rangle} d\pi_\sigma^\beta(w) = E_\beta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\sigma(x) \right), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (4.4)$$

defines an infinite-dimensional version of the fPm, denoted by π_σ^β . However, since the Mittag-Leffler function does not satisfy the factorization properties of the exponential, it is not obvious that this is the Fourier transform of a measure on \mathcal{D}' . Hence, we use the Bochner-Minlos theorem to show that $C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ is the Fourier transform of a probability measure π_σ^β on the distribution space \mathcal{D}' is stated in the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *For each $0 < \beta \leq 1$ fixed, the functional $C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ in Equation (4.4) is the characteristic functional on \mathcal{D} of a probability measure π_σ^β on the distribution space \mathcal{D}' .*

Proof. Using the properties of the Mittag-Leffler function, the functional $C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ is continuous and $C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(0) = 1$ follow directly. To show that the functional $C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ is positive definite, we use the complete monotonicity property of E_β , $0 < \beta < 1$, that is, we have the integral representation

$$E_\beta(-z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau z} d\nu_\beta(\tau), \quad (4.5)$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$, where the probability measure ν_β is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}_+ with a probability density $W_{-\beta, 1-\beta}$. Hence, for any $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{D}$, $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, using Equation (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k,j=1}^n C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi_k - \varphi_j) z_k \bar{z}_j &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{k,j=1}^n e^{\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(\varphi_k - \varphi_j)} - 1) d\sigma(x)} z_k \bar{z}_j d\nu_\beta(\tau) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{k,j=1}^n C_{\pi_{\tau\sigma}}(\varphi_k - \varphi_j) z_k \bar{z}_j d\nu_\beta(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of $C_{\pi_{\tau\sigma}}$, the integrand of the last integral may be written as

$$\sum_{k,j=1}^n C_{\pi_{\tau\sigma}}(\varphi_k - \varphi_j) z_k \bar{z}_j = \int_{\mathcal{D}'} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{i\langle w, \varphi_k \rangle} z_k \right|^2 d\pi_{\tau\sigma}(w) \geq 0.$$

This implies that $C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ is positive-definite. Thus by the Bochner-Minlos theorem, $C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ is the characteristic functional of a probability measure π_σ^β on the measurable space $(\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}'))$, where $\mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}')$ is the σ -algebra generated by the cylinder sets. \square

Remark 4.2. By the analytic property of the Mittag-Leffler function one may write (4.4) for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, as

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi) &= E_\beta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\sigma(x) \right) = E_\beta \left(\int_\Lambda (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\sigma(x) \right) \\ &= E_\beta \left(\int_\Lambda e^{i\varphi(x)} d\sigma(x) - \sigma(\Lambda) \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\beta^{(n)}(-\sigma(\Lambda))}{n!} \left(\int_\Lambda e^{i\varphi(x)} d\sigma(x) \right)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\beta^{(n)}(-\sigma(\Lambda))}{n!} \int_{\Lambda^n} e^{i(\varphi(x_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_n))} d\sigma^{\otimes n}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma^{\otimes n} = \sigma \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma$ is on the Cartesian product $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n := \mathbb{R}^d \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^d$. In the Poisson case, we have $\exp(-\sigma(\Lambda))$ instead of $E_\beta^{(n)}(-\sigma(\Lambda))$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ while the rest of the terms are the same. Hence, the main difference between these measures (π_σ^β and π_σ) is the different weight given in each n -particle space. In Subsection 4.3 we show that, indeed, the support of the measure π_σ^β is a ‘‘subset’’ of \mathcal{D}' , called the configuration space over \mathbb{R}^d .

We may now generalize the result of Lemma 3.3 to the present infinite dimensional setting.

Lemma 4.3. *For $0 < \beta \leq 1$, the fPm π_σ^β is an integral (or mixture) of Poisson measure π_σ with respect to the probability measure ν_β , i.e.,*

$$\pi_\sigma^\beta = \int_0^\infty \pi_{\tau\sigma} d\nu_\beta(\tau). \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Using the representation (4.5) of the Mittag-Leffler function, the characteristic functional (4.4) of π_σ^β can be rewritten as

$$C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi) = \int_0^\infty \exp \left(-\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - e^{i\varphi(x)}) d\sigma(x) \right) d\nu_\beta(\tau)$$

with the integrand being the characteristic function of the Poisson measure $\pi_{\tau\sigma}$, $\tau > 0$. This implies that the characteristic functional (4.4) coincides with the characteristic functional of the measure $\int_0^\infty \pi_{\tau\sigma} d\nu_\beta(\tau)$. The result follows by the uniqueness of the characteristic functional. \square

The fPm π_σ^β is indeed a probability measure on $(\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}'))$. In what follows, we are going to find an appropriate support for π_σ^β .

4.2 Configuration Space

Recall that $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^d , that is, the σ -algebra generated by the family of all open sets in \mathbb{R}^d and $\mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the system of all sets in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which are bounded and have compact closure. Below we recall the configuration space over \mathbb{R}^d and related concepts, see [2, 28] for more details.

Definition 4.4. The *infinite configuration space* $\Gamma := \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ over \mathbb{R}^d is defined as the set of all locally finite subsets from \mathbb{R}^d , that is,

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d : |\gamma \cap \Lambda| < \infty \text{ for every } \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)\},$$

where $|B|$ denotes the cardinality of the set B . The elements of the space Γ are called *configurations*.

Let $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the class of all real-valued continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d with compact support. Denote $\mathcal{M}^+ := \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{N}_0}^+ := \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{N}_0}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$) the space of all positive (resp. positive integer-valued) Radon measures on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition 4.5. Each configuration $\gamma \in \Gamma$ can be identified with a non-negative integer-valued Radon measure as follows

$$\Gamma \ni \gamma \mapsto \sum_{x \in \gamma} \delta_x \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{N}_0}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}^+,$$

where δ_x is the Dirac measure at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sum_{x \in \emptyset} \delta_x := 0$ (zero measure). The space Γ can be endowed with the topology induced by the vague topology on \mathcal{M}^+ , i.e., the weakest topology on Γ with respect to which all mappings

$$\Gamma \ni \gamma \mapsto \langle \gamma, f \rangle := \langle f \rangle_\gamma := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\gamma(x) = \sum_{x \in \gamma} f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$$

are continuous for any $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ be the Borel σ -algebra corresponding to the vague topology on Γ .

1. The σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ is generated by the sets of the form

$$C_{\Lambda, n} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid |\gamma \cap \Lambda| = n\}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and the set $C_{\Lambda, n}$ is a Borel set of Γ , that is, $C_{\Lambda, n} \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$. Sets of the form (4.7) are called cylinder sets.

2. For any $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we introduce a function $N_B : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$N_B(\gamma) := |\gamma \cap B|, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ is the minimal σ -algebra with which all functions N_Λ , $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, are measurable.

Definition 4.7. Let $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be given. The space of configurations contained in Y is denoted by Γ_Y , i.e.,

$$\Gamma_Y := \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid |\gamma \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Y)| = 0\}.$$

The σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_Y)$ may be introduced in a similar way

$$\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_Y) := \sigma(\{N_\Lambda|_{\Gamma_Y} \mid \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)\}),$$

where $N_\Lambda|_{\Gamma_Y}$ denotes the restriction of the mapping N_Λ to Γ_Y . This σ -algebra is σ -isomorphic to the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}_Y(\Gamma)$ defined on Γ by

$$\mathcal{B}_Y(\Gamma) := \sigma(\{N_\Lambda \mid \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d), \Lambda \subset Y\}),$$

that is, a bijective mapping exists between the σ -algebras $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_Y(\Gamma)$ which preserves the usual operations on σ -algebras (countable union and complement of sets).

Definition 4.8. Let $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be given. The *space of n -point configurations* $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$ over a set Y is the subset of Γ_Y defined by

$$\Gamma_Y^{(n)} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_Y \mid |\gamma| = n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}; \quad \Gamma_Y^{(0)} := \{\emptyset\}.$$

A topological structure may be introduced on $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$ through a natural surjective mapping from

$$\widetilde{Y}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_k \in Y, x_k \neq x_j \text{ if } k \neq j\}$$

onto $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sym}_Y^n : \widetilde{Y}^n &\longrightarrow \Gamma_Y^{(n)} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\mapsto \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, using the mapping sym_Y^n , one constructs a bijective mapping between $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$ and the symmetrization \widetilde{Y}^n/S_n of \widetilde{Y}^n , where S_n is the permutation group over $\{1, \dots, n\}$. In this way, sym_Y^n induces a metric on $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$. A set $U \subset \Gamma_Y^{(n)}$ is open in this topology if, and only if, the inverse image $(\text{sym}_Y^n)^{-1}(U)$ is open in \widetilde{Y}^n . We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_Y^{(n)})$ the corresponding Borel σ -algebra and $\mathcal{T}_Y^{(n)}$ the associated topology on $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$.

For $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, each space Γ_Λ can be described by the disjoint union

$$\Gamma_\Lambda = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)}.$$


In particular, this representation provides an equivalent description of the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda)$ as the σ -algebra of the disjoint union of the σ -algebras $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The corresponding topology is denoted by \mathcal{T}_Λ such that $(\Gamma_\Lambda, \mathcal{T}_\Lambda)$ is a topological space for each $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For each $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and any pair $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2$, let us consider the natural measurable projections

$$\begin{aligned} p_\Lambda : \Gamma &\longrightarrow \Gamma_\Lambda & p_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2} : \Gamma_{\Lambda_2} &\longrightarrow \Gamma_{\Lambda_1} \\ \gamma &\mapsto \gamma \cap \Lambda & \gamma &\mapsto \gamma \cap \Lambda_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

We now use the concepts on the projective limit (see Appendix B) in order to show that the measurable space $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ coincides with the projective limit. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. *The family $\{(\Gamma_\Lambda, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda)), p_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}, \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ is a projective system of measurable spaces with ordered index set $(\mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d), \subset)$ and the measurable space $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ is (up to an isomorphism) the projective limit together with the family of maps $p_\Lambda : \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma_\Lambda$ for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In addition, the commutative diagram on Figure 2 on page 32 now has the following form.*



Proof. It is clear from the construction before that the maps $p_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are measurable and satisfies

$$p_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2} \circ p_{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_3} = p_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_3}, \quad \Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \subset \Lambda_3 \text{ in } \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

so that the conditions of Definition B.1 are fulfilled and as a result $\{(\Gamma_\Lambda, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda)), p_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}, \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ is a projective system. On the other hand, it is easy to see from (4.8) that the following relation

$$p_{\Lambda_1} = p_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2} \circ p_{\Lambda_2}, \quad \Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \text{ in } \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

holds. By definition of $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$, the family of maps $p_\Lambda, \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy the conditions of Definition B.2 which concludes the proof. \square

4.3 Fractional Poisson Measure on Γ

Recall from Section 4.1 the measure σ on the underlying measurable space $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and the product measure $\sigma^{\otimes n}$ on $((\mathbb{R}^d)^n, \mathcal{B}((\mathbb{R}^d)^n))$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\sigma^{\otimes n}((\mathbb{R}^d)^n \setminus \widetilde{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n}) = 0$, since σ is non-atomic. It follows that $\sigma^{\otimes n}(B^n \setminus \widetilde{B^n}) = 0$, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For each $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let us consider the restriction of $\sigma^{\otimes n}$ to $(\widetilde{\Lambda^n}, \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\Lambda^n}))$, which is a finite measure, and then define the image measure $\sigma_\Lambda^{(n)}$ on $(\Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)}, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)}))$ under the mapping sym_Λ^n by

$$\sigma_\Lambda^{(n)} := \sigma^{\otimes n} \circ (\text{sym}_\Lambda^n)^{-1}.$$

For $n = 0$, we set $\sigma_\Lambda^{(0)}(\{\emptyset\}) := 1$. Now, for each $0 < \beta < 1$, one may define a probability measure $\pi_{\sigma, \Lambda}^\beta$ on $(\Gamma_\Lambda, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda))$ by

$$\pi_{\sigma, \Lambda}^\beta := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\beta^{(n)}(-\sigma(\Lambda))}{n!} \sigma_\Lambda^{(n)}. \quad (4.9)$$

Note that $E_\beta^{(n)}(-\sigma(\Lambda)) \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ due to (4.5) which is also known as the complete monotonicity of the Mittag-Leffler function, see [41]. In addition, $\pi_{\sigma, \Lambda}^\beta(\Gamma_\Lambda) = 1$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\sigma, \Lambda}^\beta(\Gamma_\Lambda) &= \pi_{\sigma, \Lambda}^\beta \left(\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\beta^{(n)}(-\sigma(\Lambda))}{n!} \sigma_\Lambda^{(n)}(\Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\beta^{(n)}(-\sigma(\Lambda))}{n!} \sigma(\Lambda)^n = E_\beta(0) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The family $\{\pi_{\sigma, \Lambda}^\beta \mid \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ of probability measures yields a probability measure on $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$. In fact, this family is consistent in the sense that the measure $\pi_{\sigma, \Lambda_1}^\beta$ is the image measure of $\pi_{\sigma, \Lambda_2}^\beta$ under p_{Λ_1, Λ_2} , that is,

$$\pi_{\sigma, \Lambda_1}^\beta = \pi_{\sigma, \Lambda_2}^\beta \circ p_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}^{-1}, \quad \forall \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d), \Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2.$$

By the Kolmogorov extension theorem on configuration space (see Appendix C) the family $\{\pi_{\sigma, \Lambda}^\beta \mid \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ determines uniquely a measure π_σ^β on $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ such that

$$\pi_{\sigma, \Lambda}^\beta = \pi_\sigma^\beta \circ p_\Lambda^{-1}, \quad \forall \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Actually, we don't need the whole family of local sets $\mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ rather than a sub-family $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ which satisfies (I1)–(I3) from Appendix C.

Let us now compute the characteristic functional of the measure π_σ^β . Given $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, we have $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \Lambda$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, that is

$$\langle \gamma, \varphi \rangle = \langle p_\Lambda(\gamma), \varphi \rangle, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Thus,

$$\int_\Gamma e^{i\langle \gamma, \varphi \rangle} d\pi_\sigma^\beta(\gamma) = \int_{\Gamma_\Lambda} e^{i\langle \gamma, \varphi \rangle} d\pi_{\sigma, \Lambda}^\beta(\gamma)$$

and the infinite divisibility (4.9) of the measure $\pi_{\sigma, \Lambda}^\beta$ yields for the right-hand side of the above equality

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\beta^{(n)}(-\sigma(\Lambda))}{n!} \int_{\Lambda^n} e^{i(\varphi(x_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_n))} d\sigma^{\otimes n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\beta^{(n)}(-\sigma(\Lambda))}{n!} \left(\int_\Lambda e^{i\varphi(x)} d\sigma(x) \right)^n$$

which corresponds to the Taylor expansion of the function

$$E_\beta \left(\int_\Lambda (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\sigma(x) \right) = E_\beta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\sigma(x) \right).$$

Hence, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, we obtain

$$\int_\Gamma e^{i\langle \gamma, \varphi \rangle} d\pi_\sigma^\beta(\gamma) = E_\beta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\sigma(x) \right). \quad (4.10)$$

Remark 4.10. 1. The characteristic functional of the measure π_σ^β given in (4.10) coincides with the characteristic functional (4.4) of the measure π_σ^β on the distribution space \mathcal{D}' . But now the functional (4.10) shows that the measure π_σ^β is supported on generalized functions of the form $\sum_{x \in \gamma} \delta_x \in \mathcal{D}'$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

2. Note that $\mathcal{D}' \supset \Gamma$ but in contrast to Γ , \mathcal{D}' is a linear space. Since $\pi_\sigma^\beta(\Gamma) = 1$, the measure space $(\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}'), \pi_\sigma^\beta)$ can, in this way, be regarded as a linear extension of the fractional Poisson space $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma), \pi_\sigma^\beta)$.

5 Generalized Appell System

In this section we introduce the generalized Appell system associated with the fPm π_σ^β . First we consider the analytic continuation of the characteristic functional $C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ to $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C} := \mathcal{D} \oplus i\mathcal{D}$. By definition, an element $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}$ decomposes into $\varphi = \varphi_1 + i\varphi_2$, $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence, computing $C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(-i\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, yields the Laplace transform of the measure π_σ^β , that is,

$$l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi) := C_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(-i\varphi) = E_\beta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{\varphi(x)} - 1) d\sigma(x) \right).$$

In particular, choosing $\beta = 1$ we obtain the Laplace transform of the classical Poisson measure $\pi_\sigma := \pi_\sigma^1$ with intensity σ on the configuration space Γ . In explicit

$$l_{\pi_\sigma}(\varphi) = \int_\Gamma e^{\langle \gamma, \varphi \rangle} d\pi_\sigma(\gamma) = \exp \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{\varphi(x)} - 1) d\sigma(x) \right), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (5.1)$$

For more details, we refer to [17, 25, 22, 23, 3] and reference therein.

The following two assumptions are satisfied by the fPm π_σ^β , $0 < \beta \leq 1$.

(A1) The measure π_σ^β has an analytic Laplace transform in a neighborhood of zero, that is, the mapping

$$\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C} \ni \varphi \mapsto l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathcal{D}'_C} e^{\langle w, \varphi \rangle} d\pi_\sigma^\beta(w) = E_\beta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{\varphi(x)} - 1) d\sigma(x) \right) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is holomorphic in a neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}$ of zero.

(A2) For any nonempty open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{D}'_C$ it should hold that $\pi_\sigma^\beta(\mathcal{U}) > 0$.

The assumption (A1) guarantees the existence of the moments of all order of the measure π_σ^β while (A2) guarantees the embedding of the test function space on $L^2(\pi_\sigma^\beta)$, see e.g., Section 3 in [24]. In addition, the Laplace transform $l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi)$ of the measure π_σ^β has the decomposition in terms of the moment kernels $M_n^{\sigma, \beta}$ (by the kernel theorem) given by

$$l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle M_n^{\sigma, \beta}, \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}, M_n^{\sigma, \beta} \in (\mathcal{D}'_C)^{\hat{\otimes} n}. \quad (5.2)$$

5.1 Generalized Appell Polynomials

In this section we follow [29] to introduce the system of Appell polynomials associated with the fPm π_σ^β . Let us consider the triple (4.2) such that

$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{N} \subset L^2(\sigma) \subset \mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{D}' \quad (5.3)$$

as described in Section 2.1. Also, the chain (5.3) holds for the tensor product of these spaces.

Then we introduce the normalized exponential $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi; z)$ by

$$e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi; w) = \frac{e^{\langle w, \varphi \rangle}}{l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi)}, \quad w \in \mathcal{D}'_C, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}. \quad (5.4)$$

Since $l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(0) = 1$ and $l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ is holomorphic, there exist a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}$ of zero, such that $l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi) \neq 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}_0$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}_0$, the normalized exponential $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi; z)$ can be expanded in a power series and by using the polarization identity allows us to apply the kernel theorem to obtain

$$e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi; w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle P_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle, \quad w \in \mathcal{D}'_C, \varphi \in \mathcal{U}_0, \quad (5.5)$$

for suitable $P_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w) \in (\mathcal{D}'_C)^{\hat{\otimes} n}$. The family

$$\mathbb{P}^{\sigma, \beta} = \left\{ \langle P_n^{\sigma, \beta}(\cdot), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \mid \varphi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}'_C)^{\hat{\otimes} n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \quad (5.6)$$

is called the *Appell system* associated to the fPm π_σ^β . Let us now consider the transformation $\alpha : \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}$ defined on a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}$ of zero, by

$$\alpha(\varphi)(x) = \log(1 + \varphi(x)), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Note that for $\varphi = 0 \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}$, $\alpha(\varphi) = 0$, and \mathcal{U}_α is chosen in such a way that α is invertible and holomorphic on \mathcal{U}_α so that using (2.2) we obtain

$$\alpha(\varphi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \widehat{d^n \alpha(0)}(\varphi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \varphi^n}{n}, \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$\widehat{d^n \alpha(0)}(\varphi) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_n} \alpha(t_1 \varphi + \dots + t_n \varphi) \Big|_{t_1 = \dots = t_n = 0}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For the inverse function g_α of α , we have

$$(g_\alpha \varphi)(x) = e^{\varphi(x)} - 1, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for some neighborhood \mathcal{V}_α of zero in $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}$ and a similar procedure as before yields the decomposition

$$g_\alpha(\varphi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \widehat{d^n g_\alpha(0)}(\varphi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi^n}{n!}. \quad (5.8)$$

Now using the function α , we introduce the modified normalized exponential $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); x)$ as

$$e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); w) := \frac{\exp(\langle w, \alpha(\varphi) \rangle)}{l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi))} = \frac{\exp(\langle w, \log(1 + \varphi) \rangle)}{E_\beta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) d\sigma(x) \right)} = \frac{\exp(\langle w, \log(1 + \varphi) \rangle)}{E_\beta(\langle \varphi \rangle_\sigma)} \quad (5.9)$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}'_\alpha \subset \mathcal{U}_\alpha$, $w \in \mathcal{D}'_\mathbb{C}$. Since $l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero, for each fixed $w \in \mathcal{D}'_\mathbb{C}$, $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\cdot); w)$ is a holomorphic function on some neighborhood $\mathcal{U}'_\alpha \subset \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ of zero. Then we have the map $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C} \ni \varphi \mapsto e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); w)$ and similarly the modified normalized exponential $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi), x)$ can be expanded in a power series, that is,

$$e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{U}'_\alpha, \quad w \in \mathcal{D}'_\mathbb{C}, \quad (5.10)$$

where the kernels $C_n^{\sigma, \beta} : \mathcal{D}'_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_0^{\sigma, \beta} = 1$. By Equation (5.10), it follows that for any $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the function

$$\mathcal{D}'_\mathbb{C} \ni w \mapsto \langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle$$

is a polynomial of order n on $\mathcal{D}'_\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 5.1. The family

$$\mathbb{P}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha} = \left\{ \langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(\cdot), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \mid \varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}^{\hat{\otimes} n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

is called the *generalized Appell system* associated to the fPm π_σ^β or the $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ -system.

In the following proposition we collect some properties of the kernels $C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(\cdot)$ which appeared in [27] but specific to the measure π_σ^β .

Proposition 5.2. For $z, w \in \mathcal{D}'_\mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the following properties hold

- (P1) $C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w) = \sum_{m=0}^n \mathbf{s}(n, m)^* P_m^{\sigma, \beta}(w)$, where $\mathbf{s}(n, m)$ is the Stirling operator of the first kind defined in (D.6) in Appendix D.
- (P2) $w^{\otimes n} = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{n}{k} \mathbf{S}(k, m)^* C_m^{\sigma, \beta}(w) \hat{\otimes} M_{n-k}^{\sigma, \beta}$, where $\mathbf{S}(n, m)$ is the Stirling operator of the second kind defined in (D.7) in Appendix D and $M_n^{\sigma, \beta} \in (\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$ are the moment kernels of π_σ^β determined by

$$l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle M_n^{\sigma, \beta}, \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}.$$

(P3) $C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(z+w) = \sum_{k+l+m=n} \frac{n!}{k!l!m!} C_k^{\sigma,\beta}(z) \hat{\otimes} C_l^{\sigma,\beta}(w) \hat{\otimes} M_m^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$, where $M_m^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$ is determined by

$$l_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi)) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \langle M_m^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}, \varphi^{\otimes m} \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

(P4) $C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(z+w) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} C_k^{\sigma,\beta}(z) \hat{\otimes} (w)_{n-k}$, where $(w)_n$ is the falling factorial on $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ determined by (D.1).

(P5) $C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(w) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} C_k^{\sigma,\beta}(0) \hat{\otimes} (\mathbf{s}(n-k, m)^* w^{\otimes m})$.

(P6) $\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\langle C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(\cdot), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle) = \delta_{n,0}$, where $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$, $\delta_{n,k}$ is the Kronecker delta function and $\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\cdot)$ is the expectation with respect to the measure π_{σ}^{β} .

(P7) For all $p' > p$ such that the embedding $\mathcal{H}_{p'} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$|C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(w)|_{-p'} \leq C_{\varepsilon} n! \varepsilon^{-n} \exp(\varepsilon |w|_{-p}), \quad w \in \mathcal{H}_{-p', \mathbb{C}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Proof. (P1) In view of Equation (5.5), we have

$$e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi); w) = \frac{\exp(\langle w, \alpha(\varphi) \rangle)}{l_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi))} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \langle P_m^{\sigma,\beta}(w), \alpha(\varphi)^{\otimes m} \rangle. \quad (5.11)$$

Using Equation (D.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi); w) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\langle P_m^{\sigma,\beta}(w), \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathbf{s}(n, m) \varphi^{\otimes n} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left\langle \mathbf{s}(n, m)^* P_m^{\sigma,\beta}(w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left\langle \sum_{m=0}^n \mathbf{s}(n, m)^* P_m^{\sigma,\beta}(w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the equality (5.10)

$$e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi); w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle$$

and comparing both series for $e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi), w)$ gives

$$C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(w) = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbf{s}(n, m)^* P_m^{\sigma,\beta}(w).$$

(P2) Similar as in the proof of (P1), we use Equation (5.10) and the fact that g_{α} is the inverse of α to obtain

$$e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\varphi; w) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \langle C_m^{\sigma,\beta}(w), g_{\alpha}(\varphi)^{\otimes m} \rangle. \quad (5.12)$$

Using Equation (D.10) we replace $g_{\alpha}(\varphi)^{\otimes m}$ in the above Equation (5.12) and making some standard manipulations yields

$$e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\varphi; z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\langle C_m^{\sigma,\beta}(w), \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathbf{S}(n, m) \varphi^{\otimes n} \right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left\langle \sum_{m=0}^n \mathbf{S}(n, m)^* C_m^{\sigma,\beta}(w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \right\rangle.$$

On the other hand, comparing the above series for $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi, w)$ and the Equation (5.5), we obtain

$$P_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w) = \sum_{m=0}^n \mathbf{S}(n, m)^* C_m^{\sigma, \beta}(w). \quad (5.13)$$

By Equation (5.4), we have the equality

$$e^{\langle w, \varphi \rangle} = e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi; w) l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi). \quad (5.14)$$

Now using the equations (5.5) and (5.2), we obtain the equation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle w^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} P_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w) \hat{\otimes} M_{n-k}^{\sigma, \beta}, \varphi^{\otimes n} \right\rangle$$

which implies that

$$w^{\otimes n} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} P_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w) \hat{\otimes} M_{n-k}^{\sigma, \beta}. \quad (5.15)$$

The claim follows by applying Equation (5.13) to Equation (5.15).

(P3) By definition of the modified normalized exponential, we have

$$e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); z + w) = e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); z) e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); w) l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi)).$$

For $l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi))$, we have the following decomposition

$$l_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi)) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \langle M_m^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}, \varphi^{\otimes m} \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad M_m^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(z + w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \langle C_k^{\sigma, \beta}(z), \varphi^{\otimes k} \rangle \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \langle C_l^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{\otimes l} \rangle \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \langle M_m^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}, \varphi^{\otimes m} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left\langle \sum_{k+l+m=n} \frac{n!}{k!l!m!} C_k^{\sigma, \beta}(z) \hat{\otimes} C_l^{\sigma, \beta}(w) \hat{\otimes} M_m^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}, \varphi^{\otimes n} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the result follows by comparing the coefficients in both sides of the equation.

(P4) Again, by definition of the modified normalized exponential, we have

$$e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); z + w) = e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); z) \exp(\langle w, \alpha(\varphi) \rangle).$$

By Equations (D.1) and (5.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(z + w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \langle C_k^{\sigma, \beta}(z), \varphi^{\otimes k} \rangle \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \langle (w)_m, \varphi^{\otimes m} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} C_k^{\sigma, \beta}(z) \hat{\otimes} (w)_{n-k}, \varphi^{\otimes n} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assertion follows immediately by comparing the coefficients in both sides of the equation.

(P5) The result follows from (P4) at $z = 0$ and (D.9).

(P6) Note that for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi); w)) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\exp(\langle \cdot, \alpha(\varphi) \rangle))}{l_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi))} = 1.$$

By polarization identity and comparison of coefficients, we obtain the result.

(P7) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then let $C_{\varepsilon}, \sigma_{\varepsilon} > 0$ be chosen in such a way that $|\alpha(\varphi)|_p \leq \varepsilon$ and $C_{\varepsilon} \geq 1/|l_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi))|$ for $|\varphi|_p = \sigma_{\varepsilon}$. By definition of $C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w)$ and the Cauchy formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle| &= |d^n \widehat{e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(0; w)}(\varphi)| \\ &\leq n! \frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^n} \left(\sup_{|\varphi|_p = \sigma_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\exp(|\alpha(\varphi)|_p |w|_{-p})}{|l_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi))|} \right) |\varphi|_p^n \\ &\leq n! \frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^n} \left(\sup_{|\varphi|_p = \sigma_{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{|l_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi))|} \right) \exp(\varepsilon |w|_{-p}) |\varphi|_p^n \\ &\leq C_{\varepsilon} n! \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{-n} \exp(\varepsilon |w|_{-p}) |\varphi|_p^n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p' > p$ be such that $i_{p', p}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Then by the kernel theorem, we have

$$|C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w)|_{-p'} \leq n! C_{\varepsilon} \exp(\varepsilon |w|_{-p}) \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \|i_{p', p}\|_{HS} \right)^n, \quad w \in \mathcal{H}_{-p, \mathbb{C}}.$$

For sufficiently small ε , we fix $\sigma_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \|i_{p', p}\|_{HS}$ so that

$$|C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w)|_{-p'} \leq n! C_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-n} \exp(\varepsilon |w|_{-p}).$$

This concludes the proof. \square

5.2 Generalized Dual Appell System

In what follows, we use again the approach in [29] of non-Gaussian analysis to introduce the generalized dual Appell system associated with the fPm π_{σ}^{β} .

Definition 5.3. The *space of smooth polynomials* $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ on \mathcal{D}' is the space consisting of finite linear combinations of monomial functions, that is,

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}') := \left\{ \varphi(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(\varphi)} \langle w^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \mid \varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}, w \in \mathcal{D}', N(\varphi) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}.$$

The space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ shall be equipped with the natural topology, such that the mapping

$$I : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}') \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$$

defined for any $\varphi(\cdot) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \cdot^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ by

$$I\varphi = \vec{\varphi} = (\varphi^{(0)}, \varphi^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi^{(n)}, \dots)$$

becomes a topological isomorphism from $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ to the topological direct sum of symmetric tensor powers $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ (see [7, 44]). Note that only a finite number of $\varphi^{(n)}$ is non-zero. With respect

to this topology, a sequence $(\varphi_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of smooth continuous polynomials, that is, $\varphi_m(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(\varphi_m)} \langle w^{\otimes n}, \varphi_m^{(n)} \rangle$ converges to $\varphi(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(\varphi)} \langle w^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ if, and only if, the sequence $(N(\varphi_m))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and $(\varphi_m^{(n)})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\varphi^{(n)}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Using Proposition 5.2-(P2), the space of smooth polynomials $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ can also be expressed in terms of the generalized Appell polynomials associated with the measure π_{σ}^{β} given by

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}') := \left\{ \varphi(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(\varphi)} \langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \mid \varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}, w \in \mathcal{D}', N(\varphi) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}')$ the dual space of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ with respect to $L^2(\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}) := L^2(\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{C}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{D}'), \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}; \mathbb{C})$ and obtain the triple

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}') \subset L^2(\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}) \subset \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}'). \quad (5.16)$$

The (bilinear) dual pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}$ between $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ and $\mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}')$ is then related to the (sesquilinear) inner product on $L^2(\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta})$ by

$$\langle F, \varphi \rangle_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}} = \langle F, \bar{\varphi} \rangle_{L^2(\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta})}, \quad F \in L^2(\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}), \varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}'),$$

where $\bar{\varphi}$ denotes the complex conjugate function of φ . Further we introduce the constant function $\mathbf{1} \in L^2(\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}) \subset \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}')$ such that $\mathbf{1}(w) = 1$ for all $w \in \mathcal{D}'$, so for any polynomial $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\varphi) := \int_{\mathcal{D}'} \varphi(w) d\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}(w) = \langle \mathbf{1}, \varphi \rangle_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}.$$

Now, we will describe the distributions in $\mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}')$ in a similar way as the smooth polynomials $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$, that is, for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}')$, we find elements $\Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$ and operators $Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ on $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$, such that

$$\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}').$$

To this end, we define first a differential operator $D(\Phi^{(n)})$ depending on $\Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$ such that when applied to the monomials $\langle w^{\otimes m}, \varphi^{(m)} \rangle$, $\varphi^{(m)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, gives

$$D(\Phi^{(n)}) \langle w^{\otimes m}, \varphi^{(m)} \rangle := \begin{cases} \frac{m!}{(m-n)!} \langle w^{\otimes(m-n)} \hat{\otimes} \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{(m)} \rangle, & \text{for } m \geq n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and extend by linearity from the monomials to elements in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$. If we consider the space of Schwartz test function $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ instead of using the space \mathcal{D} with the triple

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}),$$

then for $n = 1$ and $\Phi^{(1)} = \delta_t \in \mathcal{S}'_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})$, the differential operator $D(\delta_t)$ coincides with the Hida derivative, see [21]. Note that $D(\Phi^{(n)})$ is a continuous linear operator from $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ to $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ (see [29, Lemma 4.13]) and this enables us to define the dual operator

$$D(\Phi^{(n)})^* : \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}') \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}').$$

Thus below we need the evaluation of the operator $D(\Phi^{(n)})$ on the monomials $\langle P_m^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{(m)} \rangle$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in (5.6). We state this result in the next proposition and the proof can be found in [29, Lemma 4.14].

Proposition 5.4. For $\Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$ and $\varphi^{(m)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} m}$ we have

$$D(\Phi^{(n)})\langle P_m^{\sigma,\beta}(w), \varphi^{(m)} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{m!}{(m-n)!} \langle P_{m-n}^{\sigma,\beta}(w) \hat{\otimes} \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{(m)} \rangle, & \text{for } m \geq n \\ 0, & \text{for } m < n. \end{cases}$$

Now, we set $Q_n^{\sigma,\beta}(\Phi^{(n)}) := D(\Phi^{(n)}) * \mathbf{1}$ for $\Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$ and denote the so-called $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta}$ -system in $\mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}')$ by

$$\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta} := \left\{ Q_n^{\sigma,\beta}(\Phi^{(n)}) \mid \Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})', n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}.$$

The pair $\mathbb{A}^{\sigma,\beta} = (\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta}, \mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta})$ is called the Appell system generated by the measure π_{σ}^{β} . This system satisfies the biorthogonal property, see [29], given in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. For $\Phi^{(m)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} m})'$ and $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ we have

$$\langle\langle Q_n^{\sigma,\beta}(\Phi^{(m)}), \langle P_n^{\sigma,\beta}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \rangle\rangle_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}} = \delta_{m,n} n! \langle \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (5.17)$$

However, our aim is to construct the generalized dual Appell system $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ such that $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ become biorthogonal, that is, a system of generalized functions $Q_m^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}(\Phi^{(m)})$ which satisfies the biorthogonal property (5.17) with $P_n^{\sigma,\beta}$ replaced by $C_n^{\sigma,\beta}$. The reason to do this is because when $\beta = 1$ we obtain only one system of polynomials which are orthogonal, so called the Charlier polynomials, see [23].

First, recall the function $g_{\alpha}(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$ from Section 5.1. By Equation (D.10), we have

$$g_{\alpha}(\varphi)^{\otimes n} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{k!} \mathbf{S}(n, k) \varphi^{\otimes k}.$$

Then for any $\Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$, we have

$$\langle \Phi^{(n)}, g_{\alpha}(\varphi)^{\otimes n} \rangle = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{k!} \langle \Phi^{(n)}, \mathbf{S}(k, n) \varphi^{\otimes k} \rangle = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{k!} \langle \mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{\otimes k} \rangle, \quad (5.18)$$

where $\mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} k})'$. Now, we define the operator $G(\Phi^{(n)})$ by

$$G(\Phi^{(n)}) : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}') \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}'), \quad \varphi \mapsto G(\Phi^{(n)})\varphi := \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{k!} D(\mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)})\varphi.$$

Since $D(\mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)})$ is continuous for any $\Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$, it is easy to see that $G(\Phi^{(n)})$ is also continuous and so its adjoint $G(\Phi^{(n)})^* : \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}') \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}')$ exists.

Definition 5.6. For any $\Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$, we define the *generalized function* $Q_n^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\mathcal{D}')$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and is given by

$$Q_n^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}) := G(\Phi^{(n)})^* \mathbf{1}. \quad (5.19)$$

The family

$$\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha} := \left\{ Q_n^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}) \mid \Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})', n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

is said to be the *generalized dual Appell system* $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ associated with π_{σ}^{β} or the $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ -system and the pair $\mathbb{A}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha} := (\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}, \mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha})$ is called the *generalized Appell system* generated by the measure π_{σ}^{β} .

The following theorem states the biorthogonal property of the generalized Appell system $\mathbb{A}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$.

Theorem 5.7. For $\Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}n})'$ and $\varphi^{(m)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}m}$ we have

$$\langle\langle Q_n^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}), C_m^{\sigma,\beta}, \varphi^{(m)} \rangle\rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} = \delta_{n,m} n! \langle \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.2-(P1), we have

$$\langle C_m^{\sigma,\beta}, \varphi^{(m)} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^m \mathbf{s}(m, i)^* P_i^{\sigma,\beta}, \varphi^{(m)} \right\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^m \langle P_i^{\sigma,\beta}, \mathbf{s}(m, i) \varphi^{(m)} \rangle.$$

Then it follows from Proposition 5.4 (noted below with \star) and Proposition 5.2-(P6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle Q_n^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}), C_m^{\sigma,\beta}, \varphi^{(m)} \rangle\rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} &= \langle\langle \mathbf{1}, G(\Phi^{(n)}) \langle C_m^{\sigma,\beta}, \varphi^{(m)} \rangle \rangle\rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \langle\langle \mathbf{1}, G(\Phi^{(n)}) \langle P_i^{\sigma,\beta}, \mathbf{s}(m, i) \varphi^{(m)} \rangle \rangle\rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} \\ &\stackrel{\star}{=} \sum_{i=k}^m \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{k!} \frac{i!}{(i-k)!} \langle\langle \mathbf{1}, \langle P_{i-k}^{\sigma,\beta} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}, \mathbf{s}(m, i) \varphi^{(m)} \rangle \rangle\rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} \\ &= \sum_{i=k}^m \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{n! i!}{k! (i-k)!} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} (\langle P_{i-k}^{\sigma,\beta} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}, \mathbf{s}(m, i) \varphi^{(m)} \rangle) \\ &\stackrel{(P6)}{=} \sum_{i=k}^m \sum_{k=n}^m \frac{n! i!}{k! (i-k)!} \delta_{i,k} \langle \mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}, \mathbf{s}(m, k) \varphi^{(m)} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^m n! \langle \Phi^{(n)}, \mathbf{S}(k, n) \mathbf{s}(m, k) \varphi^{(m)} \rangle \\ &= \delta_{n,m} n! \langle \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained using Proposition D.3 in Appendix D. \square

Remark 5.8. In Appendix E, we provide an alternative proof for the biorthogonal property of the generalized Appell system $\mathbb{A}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ using the $S_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ -transform (to be introduced in Section 6) of the generalized function $Q_n^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\mathcal{D}')$. It is based on the fact that $\exp(\langle z, \varphi \rangle)$ is an eigenfunction of the generalized function $G(\Phi^{(n)})$.

Using Theorem 5.7, the space $\mathcal{P}'_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\mathcal{D}')$ can now be characterized in a similar way as the space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$. See [27] for the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 5.9. For every $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\mathcal{D}')$, there exists a unique sequence $(\Phi^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $\Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes}n})'$ such that

$$\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}(\Phi^{(n)})$$

and vice versa, every such series generates a generalized function in $\mathcal{P}'_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\mathcal{D}')$.

6 Test and Generalized Function Spaces

In this section, we construct the test function space and the generalized function space associated to the fPm π_σ^β and study some properties. Here, we consider a nuclear triple

$$\text{pr} \lim_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_p = \mathcal{N} \subset L^2(\sigma) \subset \mathcal{N}' = \text{ind} \lim_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{-p},$$

as described in Section 2.1 such that

$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{N} \subset L^2(\sigma) \subset \mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{D}'.$$

Let $\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^N \langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ be given. Then we use the fact that $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{N}$ so that $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$. Note that

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n} = \text{pr} \lim_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{p, \mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$$

and so $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}_{p, \mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, we introduce a norm $\|\cdot\|_{p, q, \kappa, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^2$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ by

$$\|\varphi\|_{p, q, \kappa, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^2 := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{1+\kappa} 2^{nq} |\varphi^{(n)}|_p^2.$$

Let $(\mathcal{H}_p)_{q, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^{\kappa}$ be the Hilbert space obtained by completing the space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p, q, \kappa, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^2$. The Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}_p)_{q, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^{\kappa}$ has inner product given by

$$((\varphi, \psi))_{q, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^{\kappa} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{1+\kappa} 2^{nq} (\varphi^{(n)}, \psi^{(n)})_p,$$

and admits the representation

$$(\mathcal{H}_p)_{q, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^{\kappa} := \left\{ \varphi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle C_m^{\sigma, \beta}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \in L^2(\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}) \mid \|\varphi\|_{p, q, \kappa, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{1+\kappa} 2^{nq} |\varphi^{(n)}|_p^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Then the test function space $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^{\kappa}$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^{\kappa} := \text{pr} \lim_{p, q \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{H}_p)_{q, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^{\kappa}.$$

The test function space $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^{\kappa}$ is a nuclear space which is continuously embedded in $L^2(\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta})$.

Example 6.1. The modified normalized exponential given in (5.9) has the norm

$$\|e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi); \cdot)\|_{p, q, \kappa, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{1+\kappa} 2^{nq} \frac{|\varphi|_p^{2n}}{(n!)^2}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

1. If $\kappa = 0$, we have

$$\|e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi); \cdot)\|_{p, q, 0, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^2 = \exp(2^q |\varphi|_p^2) < \infty, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

2. For $\kappa \in (0, 1)$, we use the Hölder inequality with the pair $(\frac{1}{\kappa}, \frac{1}{1-\kappa})$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi); \cdot)\|_{p, q, \kappa, \pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^{n\kappa}} \right)^{\kappa} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(2^{\kappa} 2^q |\varphi|_p^2)^n}{(n!)^{1-\kappa}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}} \right)^{1-\kappa} \\ &= 2^{\kappa} \exp \left((1-\kappa) 2^{\frac{\kappa+q}{1-\kappa}} |\varphi|_p^{\frac{2}{1-\kappa}} \right) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus, $e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi); \cdot) \in (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}^{\kappa}$, $\kappa \in [0, 1)$.

3. For $\kappa = 1$, we have

$$\|e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); \cdot)\|_{p,q,\kappa,\pi_\sigma^\beta}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{nq} |\varphi|_p^{2n}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C}.$$

Hence, we have $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); \cdot) \notin (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1$ if $\varphi \neq 0$, but $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); \cdot) \in (\mathcal{H}_p)_{q,\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1$ if $2^q |\varphi|_p^2 < 1$. Moreover, the set

$$\{e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); \cdot) \mid 2^q |\varphi|_p^2 < 1, \varphi \in \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{C}\}$$

is total in $(\mathcal{H}_p)_{q,\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1$.

For $\kappa = 1$, we collect below the most important properties of the space $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1$, see [27] for the proofs.

Theorem 6.2. 1. $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1$ is a nuclear space.

2. The topology in $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1$ is uniquely defined by the topology on \mathcal{N} , i.e., it does not depend on the choice of the family of norms $\{|\cdot|_p\}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. There exist $p', q' > 0$ such that for all $p \geq p'$, $q \geq q'$ the topological embedding $(\mathcal{H}_p)_{q,\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1 \subset L^2(\pi_\sigma^\beta)$ holds. $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1$ is continuously and densely embedded in $L^2(\pi_\sigma^\beta)$.

Proposition 6.3. Any test function φ in $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1$ has a uniquely defined extension to $\mathcal{N}'_\mathbb{C}$ an element of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^1(\mathcal{N}'_\mathbb{C})$. For all $p > p'$ such that the embedding $\mathcal{H}_p \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{p'}$ is of the Hilbert-Schmidt class and for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain the following bound

$$|\varphi(w)| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{p,q,1,\pi_\sigma^\beta} e^{\varepsilon|w|^{-p'}}, \quad \varphi \in (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1, \quad w \in \mathcal{H}_{-p,\mathbb{C}},$$

where $2^q > (\varepsilon \|i_{p',p}\|_{HS})^{-2}$ and

$$C = C_\varepsilon (1 - 2^{-q} \varepsilon^{-2})^{-1/2}.$$

For each $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, we denote by $(\mathcal{H}_{-p})_{-q,\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa}$ the Hilbert space dual of the space $(\mathcal{H}_p)_{q,\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa$ with respect to $L^2(\pi_\sigma^\beta)$ with the corresponding (Hilbert) norm $\|\cdot\|_{-p,-q,\kappa,\pi_\sigma^\beta}$. This space admit the following representation

$$(\mathcal{H}_{-p})_{-q,\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa} := \left\{ \Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\mathcal{D}') \mid \|\Phi\|_{-p,-q,\kappa,\pi_\sigma^\beta}^2 := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{1-\kappa} 2^{-nq} |\Phi^{(n)}|_{-p}^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

By the general duality theory, the dual space $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa}$ of $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa$ with respect to $L^2(\pi_\sigma^\beta)$ is then given by

$$(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa} := \bigcup_{p,q \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{H}_{-p})_{-q,\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa}.$$

Since $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}') \subset (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa$, the space $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa}$ can be viewed as a subspace of $\mathcal{P}'_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\mathcal{D}')$ and so we extend the triple in (5.16) to the chain of spaces

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}') \subset (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa \subset L^2(\pi_\sigma^\beta) \subset (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa} \subset \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\mathcal{D}').$$

The action of a distribution

$$\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}) \in (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa}$$

on a test function

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(w), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \in (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa$$

using the biorthogonal property in Theorem 5.7 is given by

$$\langle\langle \Phi, \varphi \rangle\rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \langle \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle.$$

Now we give two examples of the generalized functions in $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-1}$. For a more generalized case, see [27].

Example 6.4 (Generalized Radon-Nikodym derivative). We define a generalized function $\rho_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\alpha(w, \cdot) \in (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-1}$, $w \in \mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the following property

$$\langle\langle \rho_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\alpha(w, \cdot), \varphi \rangle\rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} = \int_{\mathcal{N}'} \varphi(x-w) d\mu(x), \quad \varphi \in (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1.$$

First, we have to establish the continuity of $\rho_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\alpha(w, \cdot)$. Let $w \in \mathcal{H}_{-p, \mathbb{C}}$ be given. Then, if $p \geq p'$ is sufficiently large and $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, we use Proposition 6.3, that is, there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{N}'} \varphi(x-w) d\pi_\sigma^\beta(x) \right| &\leq C \|\varphi\|_{p,q,1,\pi_\sigma^\beta} \int_{\mathcal{N}'} \exp(\varepsilon|x-w|_{-p'}) d\pi_\sigma^\beta(x) \\ &\leq C \|\varphi\|_{p,q,1,\pi_\sigma^\beta} \exp(\varepsilon|w|_{-p'}) \int_{\mathcal{N}'} \exp(\varepsilon|x|_{-p'}) d\pi_\sigma^\beta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is sufficiently small, the last integral exists by Lemma 9 from [29]. This implies that $\rho_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\alpha(w, \cdot) \in (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-1}$. Let us show that in $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-1}$ the generalized function $\rho_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\alpha(w, \cdot)$ admits the canonical expansion

$$\rho_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\alpha(w, \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} Q_n^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}((-w)_k). \quad (6.1)$$

Note that the right hand side of (6.1) defines an element in $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-1}$. Then it is sufficient to compare the action of both sides of (6.1) on a total set from $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1$. For $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$, we use the biorthogonal property of $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta}$ and $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta}$ -systems and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle \rho_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\alpha(w, \cdot), \langle C_n^{\sigma,\beta}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \rangle\rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} &= \left\langle\left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} Q_n^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}((-w)_k), \langle C_n^{\sigma,\beta}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \right\rangle\right\rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} \\ &= \langle (-w)_n, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 5.2-(P4) and (P6),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle \rho_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\alpha(w, \cdot), \langle C_n^{\sigma,\beta}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \rangle\rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} &= \int_{\mathcal{D}'} \langle C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(x-w), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle d\pi_\sigma^\beta(x) \\ &\stackrel{(P4)}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \int_{\mathcal{D}'} \langle C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(x) \hat{\otimes} (-w)_{n-k}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle d\pi_\sigma^\beta(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} \langle \langle C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(x) \hat{\otimes} (-w)_{n-k}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(P6)}{=} \langle (-w)_n, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown that $\rho_{\pi_\sigma}^\alpha(w, \cdot)$ is the generating function of the $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ -system, i.e.,

$$\rho_{\pi_\sigma}^\alpha(-w, \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} Q_k^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}((w)_k).$$

Example 6.5 (Delta function). For $w \in \mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}}$, we define a distribution by the following $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ -decomposition:

$$\delta_w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w)).$$

If $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough and $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, by Proposition 5.2-(P7), for any $w \in \mathcal{H}_{-p, \mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$\|\delta_w\|_{-p, -q, \pi_\sigma^\beta, \alpha}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-nq} |C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w)|_{-p}^2 \stackrel{(P7)}{\leq} C_\varepsilon^2 \exp(2\varepsilon|w|_{-p}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{-2n} 2^{-nq}$$

which is finite for sufficiently large $q \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $\delta_w \in (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-1}$. Now, for

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \in (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1$$

the action of δ_w is given by

$$\langle \delta_w, \varphi \rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle C_n^{\sigma, \beta}(w), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle = \varphi(w)$$

using the biorthogonal property of $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ and $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ -systems. This means that δ_w (in particular for w real) plays the role of a δ -function (evaluation map) in the calculus we discuss.

Recall Example 6.1 where the modified normalized exponential $e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\alpha(\varphi); \cdot)$ is a test function in $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^1$ only if $2^q |\varphi|_p^2 < 1$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We define the $S_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ -transform of a distribution $\Phi \in (\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-1} \subset \mathcal{P}'_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\mathcal{D}')$ by

$$S_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} \Phi(\varphi) := \langle \langle \Phi, e_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}(\varphi; \cdot) \rangle \rangle_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$$

if φ is chosen in the above way. By the biorthogonal property of $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ and $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ -systems, we have

$$S_{\pi_\sigma^\beta} \Phi(\varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \Phi^{(n)}, g_\alpha(\varphi)^{\otimes n} \rangle.$$

The following is the characterization theorem of the test and generalized function spaces associated to the fPm which is a standard result for this approach. For the proof of properties 2. and 3. we refer to [29, 27] and the proof of property 1. follows from an easy adaptation from Theorem 4.4 in [31] or Section 8.3 in [32].

Theorem 6.6. 1. The $S_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ -transform is a topological isomorphism from $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa$, $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ on $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{2/(1+\kappa)}(\mathcal{N}'_{\mathbb{C}})$.

2. The $S_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ -transform is a topological isomorphism from $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-1}$ on $\text{Hol}_0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

3. The $S_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ -transform is a topological isomorphism from $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa}$, $\kappa \in [0, 1)$ on $\mathcal{E}_{\max}^{2/(1-\kappa)}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

7 Conclusion and Outlook

In this paper, we constructed the generalized Appell system $\mathbb{A}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha} = (\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}, \mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha})$ associated to the fPm π_σ^β in infinite dimension. The Appell polynomials $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ (generated by the modified Wick exponential) and the dual Appell system $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ are biorthogonal to each other, see Theorem 5.7. It turns out that the kernels $C_n^{\sigma,\beta}(\cdot)$ of the system $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ are given in terms of the Stirling operators or in terms of the falling factorials on $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}}$, see Proposition 5.2. The system $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ is used to define the spaces of test functions $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa$, $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$, while $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma,\beta,\alpha}$ is suitable to describe the generalized functions spaces $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa}$ arising from π_σ^β , see Section 6. The spaces $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^\kappa$ and $(\mathcal{N})_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}^{-\kappa}$ are universal in the sense that their characterization via $S_{\pi_\sigma^\beta}$ -transform is independent of the measure π_σ^β (see Theorem 6.6) as is well known from non Gaussian analysis, [29, 27].

In a future work we plan to investigate the stochastic counterpart associated to the fPm, namely the fractional Poisson process N_λ^β in one and infinite dimensions. In particular, their representations in terms of known processes as well as possible applications.

A Poisson Measure in Finite Dimensions

In this appendix, we collect some well-known notions related to the Poisson measure in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , $d \in \mathbb{N}$. More details and properties can be found in [35, 26, 43].

In the following (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) denotes a probability space, that is, Ω is a sample space, \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra of events, and P is a probability measure on Ω . In addition, let Δ be a point and we set $\mathbb{R}_{+,\Delta} := \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\Delta\}$ ($\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty[$) and the associated σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{+,\Delta}) := \sigma(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+), \{\Delta\})$ such that we have a measurable space $(\mathbb{R}_{+,\delta}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{+,\Delta}))$.

Definition A.1 (Poisson process). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$ be a filtered probability space.

1. An (\mathcal{F}_t) -Poisson process N_λ with mean rate $\lambda > 0$ is a right-continuous adapted process, such that $N(0) = 0$, P -almost surely (P -a.s. in short) and for every $s < t$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$P(N_\lambda(t) - N_\lambda(s) = k \mid \mathcal{F}_s) = \frac{(\lambda(t-s))^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda(t-s)}.$$

2. The one dimensional Poisson distribution π_λ^1 with mean rate $\lambda > 0$ is the distribution of the random variable $N_\lambda(1)$ which assigns mass $\frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, that is,

$$\pi_\lambda^1(\{k\}) = P(N_\lambda(1) = k) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

It is simple to compute that the Laplace transform of π_λ^1 which is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{xs} d\pi_\lambda^1(x) = \exp(\lambda(e^s - 1)).$$

Remark A.2. 1. The characteristic function of π_λ^1 is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ikx} d\pi_\lambda^1(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{ikn} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda} = \exp(\lambda(e^{ik} - 1)), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

2. The Poisson distribution π_λ^1 arises as a limit of binomial distributions as follows. Let $p_n \in [0, 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence satisfying $np_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, with $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ fixed. Then, for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\binom{n}{k} p_n^k (1 - p_n)^{n-k} = \frac{(np_n)^k}{k!} \cdot \frac{(n)_k}{n^k} \cdot (1 - p_n)^{-k} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{np_n}{n}\right)^n \rightarrow \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda},$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$(n)_k := n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1)$$

is the k -th *descending factorial* (of n) with $(n)_0 := 1$.

3. The distribution π_λ^1 is infinitely divisible and the Poisson process N_λ with mean rate λ is increasing and has stationary independent increments. In addition, for each $t > 0$, $N_\lambda(t)$ has Poisson distribution $\pi_{\lambda t}^1$, that is,

$$P(N_\lambda(t) = k) = \pi_{\lambda t}^1(\{k\}) = \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

In general, if $B \subset \mathbb{N}_0$, then we have

$$P(N_\lambda(t) \in B) = \pi_{\lambda t}^1(B) = \sum_{k \in B} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

If $\lambda = 0$, then $P(N_0 = 0) = 1$, P -a.s.. Also we allow $\lambda = \infty$, in this case we put $P(N_\infty = \infty) = 1$, P -a.s., so that $\pi_\infty^1(\{k\}) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The following proposition collects the most important properties of the Poisson process N_λ .

Proposition A.3. *Suppose N_λ is a Poisson process with finite mean rate $\lambda > 0$.*

1. *The expectation of $N_\lambda(t)$, $t > 0$, is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}[N_\lambda(t)] = \lambda t.$$

2. *The probability generating function of $N_\lambda(t)$, $t > 0$, (or of $\pi_{\lambda t}^1$) is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}[s^{N_\lambda(t)}] = \exp[\lambda t(s-1)], \quad s \in [0, 1]. \tag{A.2}$$

3. *The Laplace transform of N_λ , $t > 0$, (or of $\pi_{\lambda t}$) is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\tau N_\lambda(t)}] = \exp[\lambda t(e^{-\tau} - 1)], \quad \tau \geq 0. \tag{A.3}$$

4. *The factorial moments of $N_\lambda(t)$, $t > 0$, are given by*

$$\mathbb{E}[(N_\lambda(t))_r] = (\lambda t)^r, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

5. *The variance of $N_\lambda(t)$, $t > 0$, is given by*

$$\text{Var}[N_\lambda(t)] = \lambda t.$$

Remark A.4. Equation (A.2) is valid for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and (A.3) is valid for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark A.5. The Poisson process N_λ may be constructed directly as follows. Let $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent exponential random variables with parameter λ , that is,

$$P(\xi_n > \tau) = e^{-\lambda\tau}.$$

Let $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of partial sums of $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, that is, $S_n := \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that S_n has distribution $\Gamma(\lambda, n)$, that is,

$$P_{S_n}(d\tau) = dP_{S_n}(\tau) = \frac{\lambda^n}{(n-1)!} \tau^{n-1} e^{-\lambda\tau} d\tau, \quad \tau \geq 0.$$

Then consider the right-continuous inverse of S_n

$$N_\lambda(t) := \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid S_n \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Hence, for any $t \geq 0$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$P(N_\lambda(t) = k) = P(S_k \leq t, S_{k+1} > t) = \int_0^t \frac{\lambda^n}{(n-1)!} \tau^{n-1} e^{-\lambda\tau} e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)} d\tau = \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}.$$

Moreover, it follows from the lack of memory of the exponential law that for every $0 \leq s \leq t$, the increment $N_\lambda(t+s) - N_\lambda(t)$ has distribution $\pi_{\lambda_s}^1$ and is independent of its natural filtration $\sigma(N_\lambda(u), u \leq t)$.

The notion of Poisson process and Poisson distribution may be generalized to higher dimensions.

Definition A.6 (*d*-dimensional Poisson process). Let $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ be such that $\lambda_i > 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, d$. A process $N_{\vec{\lambda}} = (N_{\lambda_1}^1, \dots, N_{\lambda_d}^d)$ is a *d*-dimensional (\mathcal{F}_t) -Poisson process if each $N_{\lambda_i}^i$ is a right-continuous adapted process such that $N_{\lambda_i}^i(0) = 0$, *P*-a.s. and for every $0 \leq s \leq t$

$$P \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^d \{N_{\lambda_i}^i(t) - N_{\lambda_i}^i(s) = k_i\} \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(\lambda_i(t-s))^{k_i}}{k_i!} e^{-\lambda_i(t-s)}.$$

The *d*-dimensional Poisson distribution $\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^d$ corresponds to the distribution of *d*-dimensional (\mathcal{F}_t) -Poisson process $N_{\vec{\lambda}}(1)$ at $t = 1$, that is, for every $(k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$

$$\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^d(\{k_1, \dots, k_d\}) = P \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^d \{N_{\lambda_i}^i(1) = k_i\} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\lambda_i^{k_i}}{k_i!} e^{-\lambda_i}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

In addition, the Laplace transform of $\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^d$ has the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{(x,s)} d\pi_{\vec{\lambda}}^d(x) = \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i (e^{s_i} - 1) \right).$$

B The Projective Limit

In this appendix we recall the concepts of projective limit of measurable spaces and projective limit of a family of measures. As main reference on the subject, we refer to [48], [10] and [45]. See also [16], [39] and [44]. Below, (I, \leq) denotes a directed partially ordered set.





Figure 2: The projective limit of a projective family.

Definition B.1 (Projective system). Let $(X_i, \Sigma_i)_{i \in I}$ be a system of measurable spaces and $p_{i,j} : X_j \rightarrow X_i$, $i \leq j$ in I , a system of measurable mappings such that $p_{i,j} \circ p_{j,k} = p_{i,k}$ for $i \leq j \leq k$, that is, the following diagram is commutative. When $i = j$, the map $p_{i,i}$ is the identity in X_i . Then we call $\{(X_i, \Sigma_i), p_{i,j}, I\}$ the projective system of the measurable spaces $(X_i, \Sigma_i)_{i \in I}$ with respect to the index set I .



Definition B.2 (Projective limit of measurable spaces). Let $\{(X_i, \Sigma_i), p_{i,j}, I\}$ be a projective system of measurable spaces as in Definition B.1. The projective limit of $\{(X_i, \Sigma_i), p_{i,j}, I\}$ is a measurable space (X_I, Σ_I) together with a family of maps $p_i : X_I \rightarrow X_i$, $i \in I$, such that

1. for all $i \leq j$ in I , $p_i = p_{i,j} \circ p_j$,
2. Σ_I is the smallest σ -algebra with respect to which all p_i 's are Σ_I/Σ_i -measurable.
3. The pair (X_I, Σ_I) has the universal property in the following sense. For any other measurable space (Y, Σ_Y) with a family of measurable maps $f_i : Y \rightarrow X_i$, $i \in I$, where $f_i = p_{i,j} \circ f_j$ for all $i \leq j$ in I , there exists a unique measurable map $f : Y \rightarrow X_I$ such that $p_i \circ f = f_i$ for all $i \in I$. In other words, the diagram of Figure 2 is commutative.

The projective limit (X_I, Σ_I) is unique up to isomorphisms, that is, given any other projective limit (X'_I, Σ'_I) of the same projective system, there exists a unique bijective map \mathcal{I} between (X'_I, Σ'_I) and (X_I, Σ_I) such that \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}^{-1} are both measurable, see Figure 3.

Remark B.3. A projective limit of a projective system $(X_i, \Sigma_i)_{i \in I}$ of measurable spaces always exists. One possible construction is as follows:

1. Define X_I by

$$X_I := \left\{ x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i \mid p_i(x) = p_{i,j}(p_j(x)), \forall i \leq j \right\}.$$




Figure 3: The projective limit uniqueness up to isomorphism.

2. The σ -algebra Σ_I is the restriction of the product σ -algebra to X_I .
3. For any $i \in I$ we define $p_i : X_I \rightarrow X_i$ to be the restriction to X_I of the canonical projection $\prod_{j \in I} X_j \rightarrow X_i$.
4. The maps $p_{i,j}, i, j \in I$, are those from the projective system.

Then $\{(X_I, \Sigma_I), p_i, I\}$ is the projective limit of the projective system $\{(X_i, \Sigma_i), p_{i,j}, I\}$.

We also note that the projective limit can be empty even when all the X_i are nonempty and $p_{i,j}$ are surjective. Let us give two examples of projective limit of a projective system, see [10, Chap. III, § 7].

Example B.4. 1. Suppose that the order relation on I is the relation of equality. Then for $i \in I$, only the pair (i, i) satisfies the relation \leq in I . Since $p_{i,i}$ is an identity mapping, the property 1 in Definition B.2 holds, for all $x \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$, i.e., the projective limit $X_I = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$.

2. Suppose that I is right directed, that is, for every $i, j \in I$, there exists $k \in I$ such that $i \leq k$ and $j \leq k$ and let $X_i = X$ for all $i \in I$. Then $p_{i,j}$ is the identity mapping of X onto itself whenever $i \leq j$. By the property 1 in Definition B.2, for $i \leq j$, we have $p_i(x) = p_j(x)$ for all $x \in X_I$. Thus, X_I is the diagonal D of the product $\prod_{i \in I} X$, defined by

$$D = \left\{ x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X \mid x_i \in X, x_i = x_j, \forall i, j \in I \right\}.$$

C Kolmogorov extension theorem on configuration space

In this section, we discuss a version of Kolmogorov extension theorem to the configuration space $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$. The following definitions and properties of measurable spaces can be found in [11], [18] and [39].

Definition C.1. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) and (X', \mathcal{A}') be two measurable spaces.

1. The spaces (X, \mathcal{A}) and (X', \mathcal{A}') are called isomorphic if, and only if, there exists a measurable bijective mapping $f : X \rightarrow X'$ such that its inverse f^{-1} is also measurable.

2. (X, \mathcal{A}) and (X', \mathcal{A}') are called σ -isomorphic if, and only if, there exists a bijective mapping $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ between the σ -algebras which preserves the operations in a σ -algebra.
3. (X, \mathcal{A}) is said to be countable generated if, and only if, there exists a denumerable class $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that \mathcal{D} generates \mathcal{A} .
4. (X, \mathcal{A}) is said to be separable if, and only if, it is countably generated and for each $x \in X$ the set $\{x\} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition C.2. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a countable generated measurable space. Then (X, \mathcal{A}) is called the standard Borel space if, and only if, there exists a Polish space (X', \mathcal{A}') (i.e., a metrizable, complete metric space which fulfills the second axiom of countability and the σ -algebra \mathcal{A}' coincides with the Borel σ -sigma) such that (X, \mathcal{A}) and $(X', \mathcal{B}(X'))$ are σ -isomorphic.

Example C.3. 1. Every locally compact, σ -compact space is a standard Borel space.
2. Polish spaces are standard Borel spaces.

Proposition C.4. 1. If (X, \mathcal{A}) is a countable generated measurable space, then there exists $E \subset \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that (X, \mathcal{A}) is σ -isomorphic to $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$. Thus (X, \mathcal{A}) is σ -isomorphic to a separable measurable space.

2. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) and (X', \mathcal{A}') be separable measurable spaces. Then (X, \mathcal{A}) is σ -isomorphic to (X', \mathcal{A}') if, and only if, they are isomorphic.

The following theorem states some operations under which separable standard Borel space are closed, see [39] and [11].

Theorem C.5. 1. Countable product, sum, and union are separable standard Borel spaces.
2. The projective limit is a separable standard Borel space.
3. Any measurable subset of a separable standard Borel space is also a separable standard Borel space.

We need also a version of Kolmogorov's extension theorem for separable standard Borel spaces.

Theorem C.6 (cf. [39, Chap. V, Theorem 3.2]). Let (X_n, \mathcal{A}_n) , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be separable standard Borel spaces. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be the projective limit of the space (X_n, \mathcal{A}_n) relative to the maps $p_{m,n} : X_n \rightarrow X_m$, $m \leq n$. If $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of probability measures such that μ_n is a measure on (X_n, \mathcal{A}_n) and $\mu_m = \mu_n \circ p_{m,n}^{-1}$ for $m \leq n$. Then there exists a unique measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) such that $\mu_n = \mu \circ p_n^{-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where p_n is the projection map from X on X_n .

This theorem can be extended to an index set I which is a directed set with an order generating sequence, i.e., there exists a sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in I such that for every $\alpha \in I$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\alpha < \alpha_n$. We apply this general framework to our configuration space Γ . Assume that (X, \mathfrak{X}) is a separable standard Borel space. To use $\mathcal{B}_c(X)$ makes this generality no sense, hence we have to introduce an abstract concept of local sets. Let \mathcal{J}_X be a subset of \mathfrak{X} with the properties:

- (I1) $\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{J}_X$ for all $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{J}_X$.
- (I2) If $\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_X$ and $A \in \mathfrak{X}$ with $A \subset \Lambda$ then $A \in \mathcal{J}_X$.
- (I3) There exists a sequence $\{\Lambda_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ from \mathcal{J}_X with $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n$ such that if $\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_X$ then $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We can then construct the configuration space as in Subsection 4.2 taking $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ and replacing $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$. Our aim is to show that $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ is a separable standard Borel space and thus by Theorem C.6 the measure π_σ^β in Subsection 4.3 exists.

It follows from Theorem C.5 that for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set Λ^n is a separable standard Borel space. Thus, by the same argument $\widetilde{\Lambda}^n/S_n$ is also a separable standard Borel space, see e.g. [46]. Now taking into account the isomorphism between $\widetilde{\Lambda}^n/S_n$ and $\Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)}$, we have $\Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)}$ is also a separable standard Borel space as well as Γ_Λ by Theorem C.5-(1). Therefore, given $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ as the projective limit of the projective system $\{(\Gamma_\Lambda, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda)), p_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}, \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{R}^d}\}$ of separable standard Borel spaces, by Theorem C.5-(2), $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ is a separable standard Borel space.

D Stirling Operators

In this appendix we discuss the Stirling operators which we use in Section 5 related to the Taylor expansion of a holomorphic function typical in Poisson analysis. For more details and other applications, see [14, 15].

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define the *falling factorial* by

$$(k)_n := n! \binom{k}{n} = k(k-1) \dots (k-n+1).$$

The latter expression allows us to define falling factorials as polynomials of a variable $z \in \mathbb{C}$ replacing k as

$$(z)_n := z(z-1) \dots (z-n+1).$$

The generating function of the falling factorials is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} (z)_n = \exp[z \log(1+u)].$$

The *Stirling numbers of the first kind*, denoted by $s(n, k)$, are defined as the coefficients of the expansion $(z)_n$ in z , in explicit,

$$(z)_n := \sum_{k=1}^n s(n, k) z^k,$$

while the *Stirling numbers of the second kind*, denoted by $S(n, k)$, are defined as the coefficients of the expansion z^n in $(z)_k$, that is,

$$z^n = \sum_{k=1}^n S(n, k) (z)_k.$$

Let us consider lifting the polynomials $(z)_n$ to $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}}$. We call these polynomials the falling factorials on $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}}$, denoted by $(w)_n$, for $w \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see [14]). The generating function of the falling factorials on $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by

$$\exp(\langle w, \log(1+\varphi) \rangle) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle (w)_n, \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad w \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (\text{D.1})$$

The falling factorial may be written recursively (see Proposition 5.4 in [14]) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (w)_0 &= 1, \\ (w)_1 &= w, \\ (w)_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= w(x_1)(w(x_2) - \delta_{x_1}(x_2)) \\ &\quad \times \dots \times (w(x_n) - \delta_{x_1}(x_n) - \delta_{x_2}(x_n) - \dots - \delta_{x_{n-1}}(x_n)), \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 2$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n$.

Now we define the *Stirling operators of the first kind* as the linear operators $\mathbf{s}(n, k) : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} k}$, $n \geq k$, satisfying

$$\langle (w)_n, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle w^{\otimes k}, \mathbf{s}(n, k) \varphi^{(n)} \rangle, \quad \varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}, w \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad (\text{D.2})$$

and the *Stirling operators of the second kind* as the linear operators $\mathbf{S}(n, k) : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} k}$, $n \geq k$, satisfying

$$\langle w^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle (w)_k, \mathbf{S}(n, k) \varphi^{(n)} \rangle, \quad \varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}, w \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (\text{D.3})$$

Remark D.1. The Stirling operators $\mathbf{s}(n, k)$ and $\mathbf{S}(n, k)$ introduced in [15] are defined on the space of measurable, bounded, compactly supported, symmetric functions, however, in this paper, we define these operators on the space $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ as a consequence of extending the falling factorials to the space of generalized functions $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ rather than using the space of Radon measures.

Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq n$ and $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i_1 + \dots + i_k = n$. We define the operator $\mathbb{D}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} k})$ (the space of linear operators from $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ into $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} k}$) by

$$(\mathbb{D}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{(n)} \varphi^{(n)})(x_1, \dots, x_k) := \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\iota \in S_k} \varphi^{(n)}(\underbrace{x_{\iota(1)}, \dots, x_{\iota(1)}}_{i_1}, \dots, \underbrace{x_{\iota(k)}, \dots, x_{\iota(k)}}_{i_k}), \quad (\text{D.4})$$

for $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^k$. In particular, we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{(n)} \varphi^{\otimes n} = \varphi^{i_1} \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} \varphi^{i_k}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (\text{D.5})$$

When $k = 1$, we denote $\mathbb{D}_n^{(n)} := \mathbb{D}^{(n)}$ such that for $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$,

$$(\mathbb{D}^{(n)} \varphi^{(n)})(x) = \varphi^{(n)}(x, \dots, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The operator $\mathbb{D}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{(n)}$ is continuous (see [14] and [15]), that is, its adjoint $(\mathbb{D}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{(n)})^* : (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} k})' \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$ exists and is well-defined. In fact, the operators $\mathbf{s}(n, k)$ and $\mathbf{S}(n, k)$ can be written explicitly in terms of the operator $\mathbb{D}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{(n)}$, (see Proposition 3.7 in [15]) that is, for any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq n$,

$$\mathbf{s}(n, k) = \frac{n!}{k!} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_k = n} \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{i_1 \dots i_k} \mathbb{D}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{(n)}. \quad (\text{D.6})$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}(n, k) = \frac{n!}{k!} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_k = n} \frac{1}{i_1! \dots i_k!} \mathbb{D}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{(n)}. \quad (\text{D.7})$$

Hence, the Stirling operators are continuous (see Proposition 3.7 in [15]) and so their adjoints $\mathbf{s}(n, k)^*$ and $\mathbf{S}(n, k)^*$ are well defined, that is,

$$\mathbf{s}(n, k)^* : (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} k})' \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}(n, k)^* : (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} k})' \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})' \quad (\text{D.8})$$

and satisfy

$$\langle w^{(k)}, \mathbf{s}(n, k) \varphi^{(n)} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{s}(n, k)^* w^{(k)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle w^{(k)}, \mathbf{S}(n, k) \varphi^{(n)} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{S}(n, k)^* w^{(k)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle,$$

for all $w^{(k)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} k})'$ and $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$. Hence, the Equations (D.2) and (D.3) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} (w)_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{s}(n, k)^* w^{\otimes k}, \\ w^{\otimes n} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{S}(n, k)^* (w)_k. \end{aligned} \tag{D.9}$$

Proposition D.2 (see [15, Prop. 3.15]). *For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$,*

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathbf{S}(n, k) \xi^{\otimes n} = \frac{1}{k!} (e^{\xi} - 1)^{\otimes k}, \tag{D.10}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathbf{s}(n, k) \xi^{\otimes n} = \frac{1}{k!} (\log(1 + \xi))^{\otimes k}. \tag{D.11}$$

Proposition D.3 (see [15, Prop. 3.19]). *For any $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{s}(k, i) \mathbf{S}(n, k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{S}(k, i) \mathbf{s}(n, k) = \delta_{n,i} \mathbf{1}^{(i)},$$

where $\mathbf{1}^{(i)}$ denote the identity operator on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes i}$.

E An Alternative Proof of the Theorem 5.7 (Biorthogonal Property)

In Section 5.2, we proved the biorthogonal property of $\mathbb{A}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ using the definitions of $\mathbb{P}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ and $\mathbb{Q}^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}$ -systems. Here, we use a property of the generalized function $Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(\Phi^{(n)})$ using the $S_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}$ -transform (see Theorem E.2 below) to provide an alternative proof of the Theorem 5.7.

Lemma E.1. *For every $\Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$, $z \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have*

$$G(\Phi^{(n)})(\exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle) = \langle \Phi^{(n)}, g_{\alpha}(\varphi)^{\otimes n} \rangle \exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle.$$

In other words, the function $\exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle$ is an eigenfunction of the generalized function $G(\Phi^{(n)})$.

Proof. It follows from (D.8) that $\mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} k})'$. We use the definition of the differential operator $D(\mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)})$ to the monomial $\langle z, \varphi \rangle^m$, $m \geq k$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}) \langle z, \varphi \rangle^m &= D(\mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}) \langle z^{\otimes m}, \varphi^{\otimes m} \rangle \\ &= \frac{m!}{(m-k)!} \langle z^{\otimes(m-k)} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{\otimes m} \rangle \\ &= \frac{m!}{(m-k)!} \langle z, \varphi \rangle^{m-k} \langle \mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{\otimes k} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we apply the above result (\star) to the Taylor series of the function $\exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}) (\exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle) &= D(\mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle z, \varphi \rangle^m}{m!} \\ &\stackrel{\star}{=} \langle \mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{\otimes k} \rangle \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-k)!} \langle z, \varphi \rangle^{m-k} \\ &= \langle \mathbf{S}(k, n)^* \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{\otimes n} \rangle \exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying the operator $G(\Phi^{(n)})$ to $\exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(\Phi^{(n)})(\exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle) &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{k!} D(\mathbf{S}(k, n) * \Phi^{(n)})(\exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle) \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{k!} \langle \mathbf{S}(k, n) * \Phi^{(n)}, \varphi^{\otimes k} \rangle \exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi^{(n)}, g_{\alpha}(\varphi)^{\otimes n} \rangle \exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is a consequence of Equation (5.18). \square

Theorem E.2. For $\Phi^{(n)} \in (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\hat{\otimes} n})'$, the generalized function $Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(\Phi^{(n)})$ satisfies

$$S_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}))(\varphi) = \langle \Phi^{(n)}, g_{\alpha}(\varphi)^{\otimes n} \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{V}_{\alpha} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma E.1, the $S_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}$ -transform of $Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(\Phi^{(n)})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}))(\varphi) &= \langle\langle G(\Phi^{(n)}) * \mathbf{1}, e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\varphi, \cdot) \rangle\rangle_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}} \\ &= \langle\langle \mathbf{1}, G(\Phi^{(n)}) e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\varphi, \cdot) \rangle\rangle_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}} \\ &= \frac{1}{l_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\varphi)} \int_{\mathcal{D}'} G(\Phi^{(n)})(\exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle) d\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}(z) \\ &= \frac{\langle \Phi^{(n)}, g_{\alpha}(\varphi)^{\otimes n} \rangle}{l_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\varphi)} \int_{\mathcal{D}'} \exp\langle z, \varphi \rangle d\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}(z) \\ &= \langle \Phi^{(n)}, g_{\alpha}(\varphi)^{\otimes n} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Now using the above result, we provide an alternative proof of Theorem 5.7.

Proof of Theorem 5.7 (Alternative). The $S_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}$ -transform of $Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(\Phi^{(n)})$ at $\alpha(\varphi)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}))(\alpha(\varphi)) &= \langle\langle Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}), e_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(\alpha(\varphi), \cdot) \rangle\rangle_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \langle\langle Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}), \langle C_m^{\sigma, \beta}, \varphi^{\otimes m} \rangle \rangle\rangle_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem E.2 with φ replaced by $\alpha(\varphi)$ we obtain

$$S_{\pi_{\sigma}^{\beta}}(Q_n^{\sigma, \beta, \alpha}(\Phi^{(n)}))(\alpha(\varphi)) = \langle \Phi^{(m)}, \varphi^{\otimes m} \rangle.$$

The result follows by comparison of coefficients and the polarization identity. \square

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Center for Research in Mathematics and Applications (CIMA-UMa) related with the Statistics, Stochastic Processes and Applications (SSPA) group, through the grant UIDB/MAT/04674/2020 of FCT-Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal and by the Complex systems group of the Premiere Research Institute of Science and Mathematics (PRISM), MSU-Iligan Institute of Technology. Financial support of the Department of Science and Technology – Accelerated Science and Technology Human Resource Development Program (DOST-ASTHRDP) of the Philippines under the Research Enrichment (Sandwich) Program is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] S. Albeverio, Y. Daletzky, Y. G. Kondratiev, and L. Streit. Non-Gaussian infinite dimensional analysis. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 138:311–350, 1996.
- [2] S. Albeverio, Y. G. Kondratiev, and M. Röckner. Analysis and geometry on configuration spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 154:444–500, 1998.
- [3] S. Albeverio, Y. G. Kondratiev, and M. Röckner. Analysis and geometry on configuration spaces: The Gibbsian case. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 157:242–291, 1998.
- [4] J. A. Barroso. *Introduction to Holomorphy*, volume 106 of *Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1985.
- [5] L. Beghin and E. Orsingher. Fractional Poisson processes and related planar random motions. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 14(61):1790–1827, 2009.
- [6] J. Bendong, J. L. Silva, and S. Menchavez. Fractional poisson analysis in dimension one. arXiv:2205.00059v1, 2022.
- [7] Y. M. Berezansky and Y. G. Kondratiev. *Spectral Methods in Infinite-Dimensional Analysis*, volume 1. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [8] Y. M. Berezansky, Z. G. Sheftel, and G. F. Us. *Functional Analysis*, volume 1. Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 1996.
- [9] R. Biard and B. Saussereau. Fractional Poisson process: long-range dependence and applications in ruin theory. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 51(3):727–740, 2014.
- [10] N. Bourbaki. *Elements of mathematics. Theory of sets*, volume [1] of *Adiwes international series in mathematics*. Hermann, 1968.
- [11] D. L. Cohn. *Measure Theory*. Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Stuttgart, 2013.
- [12] J. F. Colombeau. *Differential Calculus and Holomorphy: Real and Complex Analysis in Locally Convex Spaces*, volume 64 of *Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1982.
- [13] S. Dineen. *Complex Analysis in Locally Convex Spaces*, volume 57 of *Mathematical Studies*. North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1981.
- [14] D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev, E. Lytvynov, and M. J. Oliveira. An infinite dimensional umbral calculus. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 276(12):3714–3766, 2019.
- [15] D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev, E. Lytvynov, and M. J. Oliveira. Stirling operators in spatial combinatorics. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 282:1–45, 2022.
- [16] Z. Frolík. Projective limits of measure spaces. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Vol. 2: Probability theory*, pages 67–80, 1972.
- [17] I. M. Gel’fand and N. Ya. Vilenkin. *Generalized Functions*, volume 4. Academic Press, Inc., New York and London, 1968.
- [18] H.-O. Georgii. *Gibbs Measures and Phase Transitions*, volume XIV. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1988.

- [19] R. Gorenflo and F. Mainardi. On the fractional Poisson process and the discretized stable subordinator. *Axioms*, 4(3):321–344, 2015.
- [20] M. Grothaus, F. Jahnert, F. Riemann, and J. L. Silva. Mittag-Leffler Analysis I: Construction and characterization. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 268(7):1876–1903, 2015.
- [21] T. Hida, H.-H. Kuo, J. Potthoff, and L. Streit. *White Noise. An Infinite Dimensional Calculus*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [22] Y. Ito. Generalized Poisson functionals. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 77:1–28, 1988.
- [23] Y. Ito and I. Kubo. Calculus on Gaussian and Poisson white noises. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 111:41–84, 1988.
- [24] N. A. Kachanovsky and S. V. Koshkin. Minimality of Appell-like systems and embeddings of test function spaces in a generalization of white noise analysis. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, 5(3):13–25, 1999.
- [25] J. Kerstan, K. Matthes, and J. Mecke. *Infinitely Divisible Point Processes*. Wiley, Berlin, 1978.
- [26] J. F. C. Kingman. *Poisson Processes*. Oxford Studies in Probability·3. University of Bristol, 1993.
- [27] Y. G. Kondratiev, J. L. da Silva, and L. Streit. Generalized Appell systems. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, 3(3):28–61, 1997.
- [28] Y. G. Kondratiev and T. Kuna. Harmonic analysis on configuration spaces I. General theory. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 5(2):201–233, 2002.
- [29] Y. G. Kondratiev, L. Streit, W. Westerkamp, and J.-A. Yan. Generalized functions in infinite dimensional analysis. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 28(2):213–260, 1998.
- [30] Yu. G. Kondratiev. Spaces of entire functions of an infinite number of variables, connected with the rigging of a Fock space. *Selecta Mathematica Sovietica*, 10(2):165–180, 1991.
- [31] Yu. G. Kondratiev and L. Streit. Spaces of white noise distributions: Constructions, descriptions, applications I. *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 33:341–366, 1993.
- [32] H.-H. Kuo. *White Noise Distribution Theory*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, New York, London and Tokyo, 1996.
- [33] N. Laskin. Fractional Poisson process. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 8(3-4):201–213, 2003.
- [34] N. Laskin. Some applications of the fractional Poisson probability distribution. *J. Math. Phys.*, 50(11):113513, 12, 2009.
- [35] G. Last and M. Penrose. *Lectures on the Poisson Process*. Institute of Mathematical Statistics Textbooks. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [36] F. Mainardi, R. Gorenflo, and E. Scalas. A fractional generalization of the Poisson processes. *Vietnam J. Math.*, 32(Special Issue):53–64, 2004.
- [37] F. Mainardi, R. Gorenflo, and A. Vivoli. Renewal processes of Mittag-Leffler and Wright type. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 8(1):7–38, 2005.

- [38] M. M. Meerschaert, E. Nane, and P. Vellaisamy. The fractional Poisson process and the inverse stable subordinator. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 16(59):1600–1620, 2011.
- [39] K. R. Parthasarathy. *Probability Measures on Metric Spaces*. Probability and mathematical statistics. Academic Press, Inc., 1967.
- [40] M. Politi and T. Kaijozi. Full characterization of the fractional poisson process. *Europhys. Lett.*, 96(2):20004–p1–20004–p6, 2011.
- [41] H. Pollard. The completely monotonic character of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_a(-x)$. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 54:1115–1116, 1948.
- [42] O. N. Repin and A. I. Saichev. Fractional Poisson law. *Radiophys. Quantum Electron.*, 43(9):738–741, 2000.
- [43] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, volume 293 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 3rd edition, 1999.
- [44] H. H. Schaefer. *Topological Vector Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1971.
- [45] L. Schwartz. *Radon measures on arbitrary topological spaces and cylindrical measures*. Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math. 6, Oxford University Press, London, 1973.
- [46] H. Shimomura. Poisson measures on the configuration space and unitary representations of the group of diffeomorphism. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 34:599–614, 1994.
- [47] V. V. Uchaikin, D. O. Cahoy, and R. T. Sibatov. Fractional processes: from Poisson to branching one. *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg.*, 18(09):2717–2725, 2008.
- [48] Y. Yamasaki. *Measures on infinite-dimensional spaces*, volume 5 of *Series in Pure Mathematics*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1985.