

# THE ADJOINT REIDEMEISTER TORSION FOR COMPACT 3-MANIFOLDS ADMIT A UNIQUE DECOMPOSITION

ESMA DIRICAN ERDAL

ABSTRACT. Let  $M$  be a triangulated, oriented, connected compact 3-manifold with connected non-empty boundary. Such a manifold admits a unique decomposition into  $\Delta$ -prime 3-manifolds. In this paper, we show that the adjoint Reidemeister torsion has a multiplicative property on the disk sum decomposition of compact 3-manifolds without a corrective term.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Along this paper we concern the class of triangulated, oriented, connected compact 3-manifolds with connected non-empty boundary. Let  $\chi$  denote the class of 3-manifolds  $M$  with connected non-empty boundary such that every 2-sphere in  $M$  bounds a 3-cell. Let  $M$  and  $M'$  be two manifolds in the class  $\chi$ . Then the disk sum (boundary connected sum)  $M \Delta M'$  can be formed by pasting a 2-cell on the boundary of  $M$  to a 2-cell on the boundary of  $M'$ . The operation of disk sum  $\Delta$  is well-defined, associative, and commutative up to homeomorphism. A manifold  $M \in \chi$  is called  $\Delta$ -prime if it is not a 3-cell, and whenever  $M \cong P \Delta P'$ , either  $P$  or  $P'$  is a 3-cell. In [5], Gross proved the following decomposition theorem:

**Theorem 1.0.1** ([5]). *For any 3-manifold  $M$  (different from a 3-cell) with connected non-empty boundary, there is an isomorphism*

$$M \cong M_1 \Delta M_2 \Delta \dots \Delta M_k,$$

where the summands  $M_i$  are  $\Delta$ -prime 3-manifolds, and they are uniquely determined up to order and homeomorphism.

In 1935, Reidemeister introduced a new invariant, called now *Reidemeister torsion*, to classify 3-dimensional lens spaces (up to PL equivalence) [10]. Later, Franz classified higher dimensional lens spaces by extending the notion of this invariant [3]. In 1969, Kirby and Siebenmann showed that Reidemeister torsion is a topological invariant for manifolds [4]. The invariance for arbitrary simplicial complexes was proved by Chapman [2] and thus the classification of lens spaces of Reidemeister and Franz was shown to be a topological invariant. In 1961, Milnor disproved Hauptvermutung by using this invariant. He constructed two homeomorphic but combinatorially distinct finite simplicial complexes. Then he described Reidemeister torsion with the Alexander polynomial which plays an important role in knot theory and links [6, 7].

The twisted chain complex of 3-manifolds  $M$  with connected non-empty boundary is never acyclic, so the computation of the adjoint Reidemeister torsion of  $M$

---

*Date:* May 10, 2022.

*Key words and phrases.* Adjoint Reidemeister torsion, Compact 3-manifolds, Disk sum.

involves a corrective term coming from the homologies. In this paper, we show that the adjoint Reidemeister torsion of  $M$  has multiplicativity property without a corrective term. More precisely, we establish a multiplicative adjoint Reidemeister torsion formula for  $M$  in terms of the adjoint Reidemeister torsions of  $\Delta$ -prime 3-manifolds in the unique disk sum decomposition:

**Theorem 1.0.2.** *If  $M = \bigtriangleup_{i=1}^n (M_i)$  and  $\psi_i : \pi_1(M_i) \rightarrow G$  is a given representation for each  $i$ , then the followings hold:*

- (i) *There exists a unique representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$ ,*
- (ii) *For a given basis  $\mathbf{h}_p^M$  of  $H_p(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_\varrho})$  and a fixed basis  $\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2} = \varphi_0(\mathbf{c}_0)$  of  $H_0(\mathbb{D}^2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\varphi_0}})$ , there exists a basis  $\mathbf{h}_p^{M_i}$  of  $H_p(M_i; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_{M_i}}})$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that the following formula is valid*

$$\mathbb{T}_\varrho(M, \{\mathbf{h}_p^M\}_{p=0}^3) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{T}_{\psi_i}(M_i, \{\mathbf{h}_p^{M_i}\}_{p=0}^3).$$

## 2. THE ADJOINT REIDEMEISTER TORSION

Along this paper  $G$  denotes a complex reductive algebraic group  $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$  or  $PSL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $X$  be a CW-complex with finite dimension  $n$  and let  $\tilde{X}$  denote its universal covering. Let us denote the non-degenerate Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by  $\mathcal{B}$  which is defined by  $\mathcal{B}(A, B) = 4 \text{Trace}(AB)$ . For a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G$ , let us consider the action of  $\pi_1(X)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  via the adjoint of  $\rho$ . The integral group ring is defined by

$$\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p m_i \gamma_i ; m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma_i \in \pi_1(X), p \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Let  $K$  be a cell-decomposition of  $X$  and  $\tilde{K}$  be a lifting of  $K$ . By using the cellular chain complex  $C_*(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z})$ , one can define the twisted chains as follows

$$(2.0.1) \quad C_*(K; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_\rho}) := C_*(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} / \sim,$$

where  $\sigma \otimes t \sim \gamma \cdot \sigma \otimes \gamma \cdot t, \forall \gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ , the action of  $\pi_1(X)$  on  $\tilde{X}$  is the deck transformation, and the action of  $\pi_1(X)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the adjoint action.

Let  $\{e_j^p\}_{j=1}^{m_p}$  be the generators for  $C_p(K; \mathbb{Z})$ . Fixing a lift  $\tilde{e}_j^p$  of  $e_j^p$  in  $\tilde{X}$  for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, m_p\}$ , we get a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ -basis  $c_p = \{\tilde{e}_j^p\}_{j=1}^{m_p}$  for  $C_p(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z})$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_k\}_{k=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathbf{c}_p = c_p \otimes_\rho \mathcal{A}$  is a *geometric basis* for  $C_p(K; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_\rho})$ .

Consider the following chain complex

$$C_* := C_*(K; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_\rho}) = (0 \rightarrow C_n(K; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_\rho}) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(K; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_\rho}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_0(K; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_\rho}) \rightarrow 0).$$

For  $p \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ , let

$$B_p(C_*) = \text{Im}\{\partial_{p+1} : C_{p+1} \rightarrow C_p\},$$

$$Z_p(C_*) = \text{Ker}\{\partial_p : C_p \rightarrow C_{p-1}\},$$

and  $H_p(C_*) = Z_p(C_*)/B_p(C_*)$  be  $p$ -th homology group of the chain complex  $C_*(K; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_\rho})$ . Then there are the following short exact sequences

$$(2.0.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow Z_p(C_*) \xrightarrow{i} C_p(C_*) \xrightarrow{\partial_p} B_{p-1}(C_*) \longrightarrow 0,$$



Choose a basis for each of these homology groups such as  $\mathbf{h}_i^X$  for  $H_i(X; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_\rho})$ ,  $\mathbf{h}_i^{X_1}$  for  $H_i(X_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\rho|_{X_1}}})$ ,  $\mathbf{h}_i^{X_2}$  for  $H_i(X_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\rho|_{X_2}}})$ , and  $\mathbf{h}_i^{Y_\mu}$  for  $H_i(Y_\mu; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\rho|_{Y_\mu}}})$ . The long exact sequence (2.0.5) can be viewed as a chain complex. Indeed, the following formula holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{\rho|_{X_1}}(X_1, \{\mathbf{h}_i^{X_1}\}) \mathbb{T}_{\rho|_{X_2}}(X_2, \{\mathbf{h}_i^{X_2}\}) &= \mathbb{T}_\rho(X, \{\mathbf{h}_i^X\}) \prod_{\mu=1}^k \mathbb{T}_{\rho|_{Y_\mu}}(Y_\mu, \{\mathbf{h}_i^{Y_\mu}\}) \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{H}_*, \{\mathbf{h}_{**}\}). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 2.0.3 can be found in [7] or in [9]. For further information and the detailed proof, the reader is also referred to [6, 8, 11, 12] and the references therein.

*Notation 1.* The torsion  $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{H}_*, \{\mathbf{h}_{**}\})$  is called the *corrective term* and the same terminology is also used in [1].

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**3.1. Auxiliary Results.** To prove Theorem 1.0.2, we give the following auxiliary results.

Let  $\mathbb{D}^2$  be a closed disk. Since  $\pi_1(\mathbb{D}^2)$  is trivial, any representation  $\rho : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow G$  is trivial. Note that the adjoint Reidemeister torsion is a simple homotopy invariant and  $\mathbb{D}^2$  is simple homotopy equivalent to the point  $*$ . Let  $K = \tilde{K} = \{e_0\}$  denote the single 0-cell of the point  $*$ . As  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(\mathbb{D}^2)] = \mathbb{Z}\langle e_0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(3.1.1) \quad C_0(K; \text{Ad}_\rho) = C_0(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} / \sim \cong \mathfrak{g}.$$

Fix a  $\mathcal{B}$ -orthonormal  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathbf{c}_0 = \{e_0 \otimes \mathbf{a}_k\}_{k=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$  is the geometric basis of  $C_0(K; \text{Ad}_\rho)$ .

Consider the following chain complex

$$(3.1.2) \quad C_* := C_*(K; \text{Ad}_\rho) = (0 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(K; \text{Ad}_\rho) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0).$$

Since the following equalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} B_0(C_*) &= \text{Im}\{\partial_1 : C_1(C_*) \rightarrow C_0(C_*)\} = 0, \\ Z_0(C_*) &= \text{Ker}\{\partial_0 : C_0(C_*) \rightarrow C_{-1}(C_*)\} = C_0(K; \text{Ad}_\rho), \end{aligned}$$

the homology of  $\mathbb{D}^2$  twisted by  $\rho$  can be given as follows

$$H_0(\mathbb{D}^2; \text{Ad}_\rho) = H_0(C_*) = Z_0(C_*)/B_0(C_*) \cong C_0(K; \text{Ad}_\rho) \cong \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then there are the following short exact sequences

$$(3.1.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow Z_0(C_*) \xrightarrow{\iota} C_0(C_*) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} B_{-1}(C_*) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$(3.1.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow B_0(C_*) \xrightarrow{\iota} Z_0(C_*) \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} H_0(C_*) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here,  $\iota$  and  $\varphi_p$  are the inclusion and the natural projection, respectively.

Let  $s_0 : B_{-1}(C_*) \rightarrow C_0(C_*)$  and  $\ell_0 : H_0(C_*) \rightarrow Z_0(C_*)$  be sections of the homomorphisms  $\partial_0 : C_0(C_*) \rightarrow B_{-1}(C_*)$ ,  $\varphi_0 : Z_0(C_*) \rightarrow H_0(C_*)$ , respectively. Since  $B_0(C_*) = B_{-1}(C_*) = \{0\}$ , the homomorphism  $\varphi_0$  becomes an isomorphism so the section  $\ell_0$  is the inverse of this isomorphism. Moreover, we have

$$(3.1.5) \quad C_0(C_*) = \ell_0(H_0(C_*)).$$



Let  $\mathbf{h}_p^M$  and  $\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}$  be given bases of  $H_p(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_e})$  and  $H_0(\mathbb{D}^2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{e_{\mathbb{D}^2}}})$ . First, we denote the vector spaces in the long exact sequence  $\mathcal{H}_*$  (from right to left) by  $C_p(\mathcal{H}_*)$  for  $p \in \{0, \dots, 11\}$ . Then we consider the short exact sequences

$$(3.2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow Z_p(\mathcal{H}_*) \hookrightarrow C_p(\mathcal{H}_*) \xrightarrow{\partial_p} B_{p-1}(\mathcal{H}_*) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(3.2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow B_p(\mathcal{H}_*) \hookrightarrow Z_p(\mathcal{H}_*) \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} H_p(\mathcal{H}_*) \rightarrow 0.$$

For each  $p$ , let us consider the isomorphism  $s_p : B_{p-1}(\mathcal{H}_*) \rightarrow s_p(B_{p-1}(\mathcal{H}_*))$  obtained by the First Isomorphism Theorem as a section of  $C_p(\mathcal{H}_*) \rightarrow B_{p-1}(\mathcal{H}_*)$ . Using the exactness of  $\mathcal{H}_*$  in the short exact sequence (3.2.3), we obtain

$$B_p(\mathcal{H}_*) = Z_p(\mathcal{H}_*).$$

Hence, the sequence (3.2.2) becomes

$$(3.2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow B_p(\mathcal{H}_*) \hookrightarrow C_p(\mathcal{H}_*) \twoheadrightarrow B_{p-1}(\mathcal{H}_*) \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying the Splitting Lemma for the sequence (3.2.4), we have

$$(3.2.5) \quad C_p(\mathcal{H}_*) = B_p(\mathcal{H}_*) \oplus s_p(B_{p-1}(\mathcal{H}_*)).$$

Thus, the Reidemeister torsion of  $\mathcal{H}_*$  satisfies the following formula

$$(3.2.6) \quad \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{H}_*, \{\mathbf{h}_p\}_{p=0}^{11}, \{0\}_{p=0}^{11}) = \prod_{p=0}^{11} [\mathbf{h}'_p, \mathbf{h}_p]^{(-1)^{(p+1)}}.$$

Here,  $\mathbf{h}'_p$  denotes the new basis  $\mathbf{b}_p \sqcup s_p(\mathbf{b}_{p-1})$  of  $C_p(\mathcal{H}_*)$  for all  $p$ . Since Reidemeister torsion does not depend on bases  $\mathbf{b}_p$  and sections  $s_p$ , we can chose the suitable bases  $\mathbf{b}_p$  and sections  $s_p$  to show that the existence of the homology bases  $\mathbf{h}_p^{M_1}$ ,  $\mathbf{h}_p^{M_2}$  in which the Reidemeister torsion of  $\mathcal{H}_*$  in equation (3.2.6) is equal to 1.

- We consider the first part of the long exact sequence  $\mathcal{H}_*$  :

$$0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} H_0(\mathbb{D}^2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{e_{\mathbb{D}^2}}}) \xrightarrow{\partial'_0} H_0(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}}) \oplus H_0(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}}) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} H_0(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_e}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} 0.$$

First, we use equation (3.2.5) for the vector space  $C_0(\mathcal{H}_*) = H_0(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_e})$ . Since  $\text{Im } \varphi_0 = \{0\}$ , we get

$$(3.2.7) \quad C_0(\mathcal{H}_*) = \text{Im } \partial_0 \oplus s_0(\text{Im } \varphi_0) = \text{Im } \partial_0.$$

If we choose the basis  $\mathbf{h}^{\text{Im } \partial_0}$  of  $\text{Im } \partial_0$  as  $\mathbf{h}_0^M$ , then  $\mathbf{h}_0^M$  becomes the new basis  $\mathbf{h}'_0$  of  $C_0(\mathcal{H}_*)$  by equation (3.2.7). Since  $\mathbf{h}_0^M$  is also the given basis  $\mathbf{h}_0$  of  $C_0(\mathcal{H}_*)$ , the following equality holds

$$(3.2.8) \quad [\mathbf{h}'_0, \mathbf{h}_0] = 1.$$

Let us consider equation (3.2.5) for  $C_1(\mathcal{H}_*) = H_0(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}}) \oplus H_0(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}})$ . Then we obtain

$$(3.2.9) \quad C_1(\mathcal{H}_*) = \text{Im } \partial'_0 \oplus s_1(\text{Im } \partial_0).$$

Recall that the basis  $\mathbf{h}^{\text{Im } \partial_0}$  of  $\text{Im } \partial_0$  was chosen as  $\mathbf{h}_0^M$  in the previous step. Note also that  $\text{Im } \partial'_0$  is isomorphic to  $H_0(\mathbb{D}^2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{e_{\mathbb{D}^2}}})$  so we can take the basis  $\mathbf{h}^{\text{Im } \partial'_0}$  of  $\text{Im } \partial'_0$  as  $\partial'_0(\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2})$ . By equation (3.2.9), we get a new basis for  $C_1(\mathcal{H}_*)$  as follows

$$\mathbf{h}'_1 = \left\{ \partial'_0(\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}), s_1(\mathbf{h}_0^M) \right\}.$$

Let  $n_0^M$ ,  $n_0^{M_\ell}$ , and  $n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}$  denote the dimension of spaces  $H_0(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_\ell})$ ,  $H_0(M_\ell; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_\ell}})$ , and  $H_0(\mathbb{D}^2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{e_{\mathbb{D}^2}}})$  for  $\ell = 1, 2$ . Since  $H_0(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}})$  and  $H_0(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}})$  are subspaces of  $C_1(\mathcal{H}_*)$ , we have

$$n_0^{M_1} + n_0^{M_2} = n_0^M + n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2} = \dim(C_1(\mathcal{H}_*)).$$

Recall that  $\mathbf{h}_0^M = \left\{ \mathbf{h}_{0,j}^M \right\}_{j=1}^{n_0^M}$  is the given basis of  $H_0(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_\ell})$ . There are non-zero vectors  $(a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, \dots, a_{i, n_0^{M_1} + n_0^{M_2}})$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_0^{M_1} + n_0^{M_2}\}$  such that

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}} a_{i,j} \partial'_0(\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}) + \sum_{j=n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}+1}^{n_0^M + n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}} a_{i,j} s_1(\mathbf{h}_{0,j}^M) \right\}_{i=1}^{n_0^{M_1}},$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}} a_{i,j} \partial'_0(\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}) + \sum_{j=n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}+1}^{n_0^M + n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}} a_{i,j} s_1(\mathbf{h}_{0,j}^M) \right\}_{i=n_0^{M_1}+1}^{n_0^{M_1} + n_0^{M_2}}$$

are bases of  $H_0(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}})$  and  $H_0(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}})$ , respectively. Moreover,  $A = [a_{i,j}]$  is the  $((n_0^{M_1} + n_0^{M_2}) \times (n_0^{M_1} + n_0^{M_2}))$  invertible matrix.

Let us take the bases of  $H_0(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}})$  and  $H_0(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}})$  as follows

$$\mathbf{h}_0^{M_1} = \left\{ (\det A)^{-1} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}} a_{1,j} \partial'_0(\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}) + \sum_{j=n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}+1}^{n_0^M + n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}} a_{1,j} s_1(\mathbf{h}_{0,j}^M) \right], \right.$$

$$\left. \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}} a_{i,j} \partial'_0(\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}) + \sum_{j=n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}+1}^{n_0^M + n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}} a_{i,j} s_1(\mathbf{h}_{0,j}^M) \right\}_{i=2}^{n_0^{M_1}} \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{h}_0^{M_2} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}} a_{i,j} \partial'_0(\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}) + \sum_{j=n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}+1}^{n_0^M + n_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}} a_{i,j} s_1(\mathbf{h}_{0,j}^M) \right\}_{i=n_0^{M_1}+1}^{n_0^{M_1} + n_0^{M_2}}.$$

Then  $\mathbf{h}_1 = \{\mathbf{h}_0^{M_1}, \mathbf{h}_0^{M_2}\}$  becomes the initial basis of  $C_1(\mathcal{H}_*)$  and we have

$$(3.2.10) \quad [\mathbf{h}'_1, \mathbf{h}_1] = 1.$$

Considering the space  $C_2(\mathcal{H}_*) = H_0(\mathbb{D}^2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{e_{\mathbb{D}^2}}})$  in equation (3.2.5) and using the fact that  $\text{Im } \varphi_1 = \{0\}$ , we can write the space  $C_2(\mathcal{H}_*)$  as follows

$$(3.2.11) \quad C_2(\mathcal{H}_*) = \text{Im } \varphi_1 \oplus s_2(\text{Im } \partial'_0) = s_2(\text{Im } \partial'_0).$$

By equation (3.2.11),  $s_2(\partial'_0(\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2})) = \mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}$  becomes a new basis  $\mathbf{h}'_2$  of  $C_2(\mathcal{H}_*)$ . Since  $\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}$  is also the given basis  $\mathbf{h}_2$  of  $C_2(\mathcal{H}_*)$ , we get

$$(3.2.12) \quad [\mathbf{h}'_2, \mathbf{h}_2] = 1.$$

For the space  $C_3(\mathcal{H}_*) = \{0\}$  in the sequence  $\mathcal{H}_*$ , we use the convention  $1 \cdot 0 = 1$ . Then we obtain

$$(3.2.13) \quad [\mathbf{h}'_3, \mathbf{h}_3] = 1.$$

- Let us consider the second part of the sequence  $\mathcal{H}_*$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$

$$(3.2.14) \quad 0 \xrightarrow{\partial'_i} H_i(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}}) \oplus H_i(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}}) \xrightarrow{\partial_i} H_i(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_e}) \xrightarrow{\partial''_i} 0.$$

Now we denote the vector spaces in the short exact sequence (3.2.14) (from right to left) as  $C_{3i}(\mathcal{H}_*)$ ,  $C_{3i+1}(\mathcal{H}_*)$  and  $C_{3i+2}(\mathcal{H}_*)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

Note that the spaces  $C_{3i}(\mathcal{H}_*)$  equals to  $\{0\}$ . If we use the convention  $1 \cdot 0 = 1$  for each  $i = 2, 3$ . Then we get

$$(3.2.15) \quad [\mathbf{h}'_{3i}, \mathbf{h}_{3i}] = 1.$$

By the exactness of  $\mathcal{H}_*$ , we get the following isomorphism:

$$H_i(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}}) \oplus H_i(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}}) \xrightarrow{\partial_i} H_i(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_e})$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

We use equation (3.2.5) for the space  $C_{3i+1}(\mathcal{H}_*) = H_i(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_e})$ . Since  $\text{Im } \partial''_i = \{0\}$ , the following equality holds

$$(3.2.16) \quad C_{3i+1}(\mathcal{H}_*) = \text{Im } \partial_i \oplus s_{3i+1}(\text{Im } \partial''_i) = \text{Im } \partial_i.$$

Since  $\text{Im } \partial_i$  equals to  $H_i(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_e})$ , we can take the basis  $\mathbf{h}^{\text{Im } \partial_i}$  of  $\text{Im } \partial_i$  as  $\mathbf{h}_i^M$ . By equation (3.2.16),  $\mathbf{h}_i^M$  becomes a new basis  $\mathbf{h}'_{3i+1}$  of  $C_{3i+1}(\mathcal{H}_*)$ . As  $\mathbf{h}_i^M$  is also the given basis  $\mathbf{h}_{3i+1}$  of  $C_{3i+1}(\mathcal{H}_*)$ , the following equation holds

$$(3.2.17) \quad [\mathbf{h}'_{3i+1}, \mathbf{h}_{3i+1}] = 1.$$

Considering equation (3.2.5) for  $C_{3i+2}(\mathcal{H}_*) = H_i(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}}) \oplus H_i(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}})$  and using the fact that  $\text{Im } \partial'_i = \{0\}$ , we obtain

$$(3.2.18) \quad C_{3i+2}(\mathcal{H}_*) = \text{Im } \partial'_i \oplus s_{3i+2}(\text{Im } \partial_i) = s_{3i+2}(\text{Im } \partial_i).$$

Since  $H_i(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}}) \oplus H_i(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}})$  and  $H_i(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_e})$  are isomorphic, the section  $s_{3i+2}$  can be considered as the inverse of the isomorphism  $\partial_i$ . In the previous step, the basis  $\mathbf{h}^{\text{Im } \partial_i}$  of  $\text{Im } \partial_i$  was chosen as  $\mathbf{h}_i^M$ . By equation (3.2.18),  $s_{3i+2}(\mathbf{h}_i^M)$  becomes a new basis  $\mathbf{h}'_{3i+2}$  of  $C_{3i+2}(\mathcal{H}_*)$ .

Let  $n_i^M$  and  $n_i^{M_\ell}$  denote the dimension of spaces  $H_i(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_e})$  and  $H_i(M_\ell; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_\ell}})$  for  $\ell = 1, 2$ . Note that  $H_i(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}})$  and  $H_i(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}})$  are subspaces of  $C_{3i+2}(\mathcal{H}_*)$  and  $\mathbf{h}_i^M = \left\{ \mathbf{h}_{i,j}^M \right\}_{j=1}^{n_i^M}$  is the given basis of  $H_i(M; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_e})$ . Moreover, the exactness of  $\mathcal{H}_*$  yields

$$n_i^{M_1} + n_i^{M_2} = n_i^M = \dim(C_{3i+2}(\mathcal{H}_*)).$$

There are non-zero vectors  $(a_{k,1}, a_{k,2}, \dots, a_{k,n_i^M})$  for  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_i^M\}$  such that

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n_i^M} a_{k,j} s_{3i+2}(\mathbf{h}_{i,j}^M) \right\}_{k=1}^{n_i^{M_1}}, \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n_i^M} a_{k,j} s_{3i+2}(\mathbf{h}_{i,j}^M) \right\}_{k=n_i^{M_1}+1}^{n_i^M}$$

are bases of  $H_i(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}})$  and  $H_i(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}})$ , respectively. Moreover,  $A = [a_{i,j}]$  is the  $(n_i^M \times n_i^M)$  invertible matrix.



Let us take the bases of  $H_i(M_1; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_1}})$  and  $H_i(M_2; \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}_{\psi_2}})$  as follows

$$\mathbf{h}_{3i+2}^{M_1} = \left\{ (\det A)^{-1} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n_i^M} a_{1,j} s_{3i+2} \left( \mathbf{h}_{i,j}^M \right) \right], \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n_i^M} a_{k,j} s_{3i+2} \left( \mathbf{h}_{i,j}^M \right) \right\}_{k=2}^{n_i^M} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{h}_{3i+2}^{M_2} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n_i^M} a_{k,j} s_{3i+2} \left( \mathbf{h}_{i,j}^M \right) \right\}_{k=n_i^M+1}^{n_i^M}.$$

Then  $\mathbf{h}_{3i+2} = \{\mathbf{h}_{3i+2}^{M_1}, \mathbf{h}_{3i+2}^{M_2}\}$  becomes the initial basis of  $C_{3i+2}(\mathcal{H}_*)$  and we have

$$(3.2.19) \quad [\mathbf{h}'_{3i+2}, \mathbf{h}_{3i+2}] = 1.$$

Equations (3.2.8), (3.2.10), (3.2.12), (3.2.13), (3.2.17), (3.2.19) yield

$$(3.2.20) \quad \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{H}_*, \{\mathbf{h}_p\}_{p=0}^{11}, \{0\}_{p=0}^{11}) = \prod_{p=0}^{11} [\mathbf{h}'_p, \mathbf{h}_p]^{(-1)^{(p+1)}} = 1.$$

Combining Theorem 2.0.3 and equation (3.2.20), the following formula is valid

$$(3.2.21) \quad \mathbb{T}_\varrho(M, \{\mathbf{h}_p^M\}_{p=0}^3) = \frac{\mathbb{T}_{\psi_1}(M_1, \{\mathbf{h}_p^{M_1}\}_{p=0}^3) \mathbb{T}_{\psi_2}(M_2, \{\mathbf{h}_p^{M_2}\}_{p=0}^3)}{\mathbb{T}_{\varrho_{\mathbb{D}^2}}(\mathbb{D}^2, \{\mathbf{h}_0^{\mathbb{D}^2}\})}.$$

By Lemma 3.1.1 and equation (3.2.21), we have

$$(3.2.22) \quad \mathbb{T}_\varrho(M, \{\mathbf{h}_p^M\}_{p=0}^3) = \mathbb{T}_{\psi_1}(M_1, \{\mathbf{h}_p^{M_1}\}_{p=0}^3) \mathbb{T}_{\psi_2}(M_2, \{\mathbf{h}_p^{M_2}\}_{p=0}^3).$$

Applying equation (3.2.22) inductively finishes the proof of Theorem 1.0.2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Borghini, S., A gluing formula for reidemeister–turaev torsion, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, **194** (5), 1535–1561, (2015)
- [2] Chapman, T. A.: Topological invariance of Whitehead torsion, *Amer. J. Math.* **96** 3, 488–497 (1974)
- [3] Franz W. : Über die Torsion einer Überdeckung. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **173**, 245–254 (1935)
- [4] Kirby R. C., Siebenmann L. C.: On triangulation of manifolds and Hauptvermutung. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75**, 742–749 (1969)
- [5] Gross J.L., A unique decomposition theorem for 3-manifolds with connected boundary, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 142 (1969), 191–199.
- [6] Milnor J.: A duality theorem for Reidemeister torsion. *Ann. of Math.* **76** (1), 137–147 (1962)
- [7] Milnor J.: Whitehead torsion. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72**, 358–426 (1966)
- [8] Porti J.: Torsion de Reidemeister pour les Varieties Hyperboliques. *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 (612), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1997).
- [9] Porti J.: Reidemeister torsion, hyperbolic three-manifolds, and character varieties, arXiv:1511.00400v2, (2016).
- [10] Reidemeister K.: Homotopieringe und Linsenräume. *Hamburger Abhandl.* **11**, 102–109 (1935)
- [11] Witten E.: On quantum gauge theories in twodimensions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **141**, 153–209 (1991)
- [12] Turaev V.: *Torsions of 3-Dimensional Manifolds.* **208**. Birkhauser Verlag (2002)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, İZMİR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 35430, İZMİR, TURKEY  
 Email address: esmadiricanerdal@gmail.com, esmadirican131@gmail.com