

ANNULUS DECOMPOSITION OF HANDLEBODY-KNOTS

YI-SHENG WANG

ABSTRACT. By Thurston's hyperbolization theorem, handlebody-knots of genus 2, like classical knots, can be classified into four classes based on essential surfaces of non-negative Euler characteristic in their exteriors. The class analogous to that of torus knots comprises irreducible, atoroidal, cylindrical handlebody-knots, which are characterized by the absence of essential disks and tori and the existence of an essential annulus in their exteriors. By Funayoshi-Koda, they are precisely those non-hyperbolic handlebody-knots with a finite symmetry group, and are the main subject of the paper.

The paper purposes a classification scheme for such handlebody-knots building on Johansson's characteristic submanifold theory and the Koda-Ozawa classification of essential annuli in handlebody-knot exteriors. We introduce the notion of annulus diagrams for such handlebody-knots, and classify their possible shapes. In terms of annulus diagrams, a classification result for irreducible, atoroidal handlebody-knots whose exteriors contain a type 2 annulus and a characterization of the simplest non-trivial handlebody-knot are obtained. Implications thereof for handlebody-knot symmetries are also discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

A genus g handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is a genus g handlebody HK embedded in an oriented 3-sphere \mathbb{S}^3 ; when $g = 1$, it is equivalent to a classical knot. The present work concerns genus 2 handlebody-knots, abbreviated to handlebody-knots hereafter.

It follows from Thurston's hyperbolization theorem [26], [24], [17] that classical knots are divided into four categories: trivial, torus, satellite and hyperbolic knots, based on essential surfaces with non-negative Euler characteristics in knot exteriors. In a similar manner, by the hyperbolization theorem, together with the equivariant torus theorem by Holzmann [13] and the fixed point theorem by Tollefson [27], handlebody-knots can be classified into four categories:

- | | |
|---------------------------|--|
| I reducible; | III irreducible, atoroidal, cylindrical; |
| II irreducible, toroidal; | IV hyperbolic. |

A *reducible* handlebody-knot is one whose exterior $E(\text{HK}) := \overline{\mathbb{S}^3 - \text{HK}}$ contains an essential disk; it is an analogue of the trivial knot. A *cylindrical* (resp. *toroidal*) handlebody-knot is characterized by the existence of an essential annulus (resp. torus) in its exterior, and a handlebody-knot is *hyperbolic* if its exterior admits a hyperbolic metric with totally geodesic boundary [7], [3].

The present paper, a sequel of [28], [29], studies handlebody-knots in Category III, an analogue to torus knots. Handlebody-knots in this group are of particular interest as they are precisely those non-hyperbolic handlebody-knots with a finite symmetry group by Funayoshi-Koda [8]; the (positive) symmetry group $\text{MCG}_{(+)}(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$, introduced in Koda [19], is the (positive) mapping class group of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$. The present work concerns the characterization and classification of irreducible, atoroidal, cylindrical handlebody-knots, and seeks to address the following two questions:

Question 1.1. When is a cylindrical handlebody-knot irreducible and atoroidal?

Date: May 10, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57K12, 57K30; Secondary, 57M15, 57S05, 57S15.

Key words and phrases. handlebody-knots, characteristic submanifold, essential annulus, knot symmetry.

The work was supported by Academia Sinica, and MoST (grant no. 110-2115-M-001-004-MY3), Taiwan.

Question 1.2. Given an irreducible, atoroidal, cylindrical handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$, how essential annuli are configured in $E(\text{HK})$?

Annuli in a handlebody-knot exterior $E(\text{HK})$ are classified into seven types by Kodama-Ozawa [18], and as observed in Funayoshi-Koda [8, Lemma 3.2], only four of them can exist in an irreducible-atoroidal-handlebody-knot exterior. Conversely, their existence does *not* entail the irreducibility and atoroidality of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ in general.

Essential annuli of these four types are characterized by their boundary in relation to the handlebody HK [18, Proof of Theorem 3.3]. A type 2 annulus A has exactly one component of ∂A that bounds a disk in HK , while a type 3-2 (resp. type 3-3) annulus A has parallel (resp. non-parallel) boundary components in ∂HK which bound no disk in HK and are disjoint from an essential disk in HK . A type 4-1 annulus A also has parallel $\partial A \subset \partial\text{HK}$, but no essential disk in HK disjoint from ∂A exists. Non-separating essential annuli, that is, essential annuli of type 2 and type 3-3 by the definition, are the primary focus of the paper.

Type 2 annuli can be classified into two subtypes: A is of type 2-1 if the disk bounded by a component of ∂A is non-separating in HK ; otherwise A is of type 2-2. Type 3-3 annuli can also be divided into subfamilies as follows: By the definition, there is a disk $\mathcal{D}_A \subset \text{HK}$ disjoint from ∂A . Let W_1, W_2 be the components of $\text{HK} - \mathring{\mathfrak{N}}(A)$, and r_1, r_2 be the boundary slope of ∂A with respect to $(\mathbb{S}^3, W_1), (\mathbb{S}^3, W_2)$. The unordered pair (r_1, r_2) is well-defined since $\mathcal{D}_A \subset \text{HK}$ is unique, up to isotopy [29, Lemma 2.9], and is called *the boundary slope pair* of A . Furthermore, it is either of the form $(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{q}{p}), pq \neq 0$ or $(\frac{p}{q}, pq), q \neq 0$, where p, q are coprime integers [29, Lemma 2.12]. When $(r_1, r_2) = (0, 0)$, we say A has a *trivial boundary slope*.

Given an annulus $A \subset E(\text{HK})$, consider the new pair $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ induced by the union HK_A of HK and a regular neighborhood $\mathfrak{N}(A)$ of A in $E(\text{HK})$. Then $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is a handlebody-knot if and only if A is of type 2 or of type 3-3 with a boundary slope pair $(\frac{p}{q}, pq)$ [29, Corollary 2.13]. $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is often “simpler” than $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$, yet at the same time, it retains many topological properties of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$. For instance, in terms of irreducibility and atoroidality of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ and the cores $l_+, l_- \subset \partial E(\text{HK}_A)$ of the two annuli in the frontier of $\mathfrak{N}(A)$ in $E(\text{HK})$, [29] develops irreducibility and atoroidality tests for handlebody-knots $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ whose exteriors admit a type 3-3 annulus with a boundary slope pair $(p, p), p \neq 0$.

Following the same line of thoughts, we consider here type 2 annuli, and obtain Theorem 1.1 in answering to Question 1.1. To state the result, recall that a set of disjoint simple loops $\{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$ in the boundary of a 3-manifold M is *primitive* if there exists a set of disjoint disks $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ in M such that $l_i \cap \partial D_j$ is a point when $i = j$ and empty otherwise.

Theorem 1.1 (Propositions 2.10 and 2.11). *Let A be an annulus in $E(\text{HK})$.*

- (i) *Suppose A is of type 2-1. Then $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible and atoroidal if and only if $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is either irreducible and atoroidal or trivial with $\{l_+, l_-\}$ not primitive in $E(\text{HK}_A)$.*
- (ii) *Suppose A is of type 2-2. Then $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible and atoroidal if and only if $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is either irreducible and atoroidal or trivial with l_+, l_- not homotopically trivial in $E(\text{HK}_A)$.*

Theorem 1.1 is used to construct irreducible, atoroidal handlebody-knots whose exteriors contain a type 2 annulus in Section 5; these examples show the existence of various configurations of annuli in a handlebody-knot exterior. Other irreducibility tests are developed by Ishii-Kishimoto [14] via quandle invariants, Bellettini-Paolini-Wang [2] via homomorphisms from fundamental groups, and Okazaki [23] via Alexander polynomial.

To tackle Question 1.2, we employ Johannson’s characteristic submanifold theory [16] (see also [4]), which guarantees the existence and uniqueness of a characteristic annulus

decomposition of $E(\text{HK})$, namely, a union S of disjoint essential annuli that satisfies (I) every component of the exterior $E(S) := \overline{E(\text{HK}) - \mathfrak{R}(S)}$ is either admissibly Seifert/I-fibered or simple, where $\mathfrak{R}(S)$ is a regular neighborhood of $S \subset E(\text{HK})$, and (II) the condition (I) fails if any component of S is removed [3]. The *characteristic diagram* Λ_{EXT} of $E(\text{HK})$ is then a labeled graph defined as follows. Assign a node to every component of $E(S)$, and to each component of $\mathfrak{R}(S)$, assign an edge that joins node(s) corresponding to component(s) of $E(S)$ meeting the component of $\mathfrak{R}(S)$. In addition, we label each node v with the genus $g(\partial C_v)$ of the boundary of the corresponding component $C_v \subset E(S)$ when $g(\partial C_v) > 1$, and use a *hollow* node \circ if C_v is simple and a *solid* node \bullet otherwise.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 4.2). *Table 1 lists all possible characteristic diagrams of an irreducible-atoroidal-cylindrical-handlebody-knot exterior $E(\text{HK})$.*

We remark that Theorem 4.2 (see also Proposition 4.1) implies that the notion of W -system introduced in Neumann-Swarup [22] and Johannson's characteristic submanifold coincide in the case of $E(\text{HK})$, where a W -system of $E(\text{HK})$ is a maximal set of *canonical* annuli in $E(\text{HK})$, and an essential annulus is *canonical* if any other essential annulus can be isotoped away from it.

Corollary 1.3. *The components of S constitutes a W -system of $E(\text{HK})$, and $\#S \leq 3$.*

Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.1(v) entail the following.

Corollary 1.4. *Let \mathcal{E} be the set of isotopy classes of essential annuli in $E(\text{HK})$. Then*

- (i) $|\mathcal{E}| \leq 3$ if and only if Λ_{EXT} is not Figs. 1c, 1g;
- (ii) $|\mathcal{E}| = 5$ if and only if Λ_{EXT} is Fig. 1c;
- (iii) $|\mathcal{E}| = \infty$ if and only if Λ_{EXT} is Fig. 1g.

The characteristic diagram Λ_{EXT} depends only on the topology of $E(\text{HK})$ and is unable to differentiate handlebody-knots with homeomorphic exteriors—such examples have been constructed, for instance, in [21], [15], [20], [1]. We can nevertheless enhance the characteristic diagram Λ_{EXT} by labeling each edge with the type of the corresponding annulus in S . The resulting edge-labeled diagram, denoted by Λ_{HK} , is called the *annulus diagram* of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$. Λ_{HK} contains finer information; for example, $(\mathbb{S}^3, 5_1)$ and $(\mathbb{S}^3, 6_4)$ in the Ishii-Kishimoto-Moriuchi-Suzuki handlebody-kont table [15] have homeomorphic exteriors but different annulus diagrams:




FIGURE 1.1. Annulus diagrams Λ_{HK} of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$.


One main result of the paper is the following classification of irreducible, atoroidal handlebody-knots whose exteriors contain a type 2 annulus in terms of annulus diagrams.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 4.19, Proposition 5.9). *Suppose $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible and atoroidal and $E(\text{HK})$ admits a type 2 annulus A .*

- (i) *If A is of type 2-1, then Λ_{HK} is*



(ii) If A is of type 2-2, then Λ_{HK} is one of the following:



where \square can be a solid or hollow node.

(iii) Conversely, all diagrams in (i) and (ii) can be realized by some irreducible, atoroidal handlebody-knots.

In the case the characteristic diagram Λ_{EXT} of $E(\text{HK})$ is of θ -shape, namely (1h) and (1i) in Table 1, a sharper statement can be obtained.

Theorem 1.6 (Theorems 4.15, 4.22). *Suppose $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible and atoroidal. Then*

- (i) *the characteristic diagram Λ_{EXT} of $E(\text{HK})$ is (1h) or (1i) in Table 1 if and only if $E(\text{HK})$ admits, up to isotopy, three annuli, two of which are of type 2-2 and the other is of type 3-3 with a trivial boundary slope.*
- (ii) *the characteristic diagram Λ_{EXT} of $E(\text{HK})$ is (1i) in Table 1 if and only if $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is equivalent to $(\mathbb{S}^3, 4_1)$ in the handlebody-knot table [15].*

The analysis on different combinations of non-separating annuli in $E(\text{HK})$ in Section 4.2 have implications also for type 3-3 annuli.

Theorem 1.7 (Corollaries 4.11, 4.17, Lemmas 4.8, 4.16). *Suppose $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible and atoroidal and $A \subset E(\text{HK})$ an essential annulus.*

- (i) *If A is of type 3-3 with a boundary slope pair $(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{q}{p})$, $pq \neq 0$, then A is the unique annulus in $E(\text{HK})$, up to isotopy.*
- (ii) *If A is of type 3-3 with a trivial boundary slope pair, then A is the unique type 3-3 annulus in $E(\text{HK})$, up to isotopy.*
- (iii) *$E(\text{HK})$ contains at most two non-isotopic type 3-3 annuli.*

Note the distinction between “ A is the unique type XXX annulus” and “ A is the unique annulus” in $E(\text{HK})$, up to isotopy; in the former, $E(\text{HK})$ may contain annuli of other types. We remark that (i) is Corollary 4.11, while (ii) follows from Lemma 4.8 and Corollary 4.17 and (iii) from Lemma 4.16, Theorem 1.2, and Theorem 1.6.


As an application of Theorem 1.5, we compute the symmetry groups of handlebody-knots whose exteriors contain a type 2 annulus.

Theorem 1.8 (Theorems 6.9, 6.10, 6.11). *Suppose $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible and atoroidal and $A \subset E(\text{HK})$ a type 2 annulus.*

- (i) *If A is of type 2-1, then $MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) < \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) < \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*
- (ii) *If A is the unique type 2-2 annulus in $E(\text{HK})$, up to isotopy, then $MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \simeq \{1\}$ and $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) < \mathbb{Z}_2$.*
- (iii) *If A is the unique type 2-2 annulus, but not the unique annulus in $E(\text{HK})$, up to isotopy, then $MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \simeq \{1\} \simeq MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$.*
- (iv) *If $E(\text{HK})$ admits a type 2-2 annulus non-isotopic to A , then $MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) < \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) < \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Theorem 1.8 implies $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, 4_1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, 4_1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ as the reflection against the xy -plane and rotation around the z -axis by π in Fig. 1.3 represents two non-trivial mapping classes. To our knowledge, $(\mathbb{S}^3, 4_1)$ is the only known example that attains the upper bound in Theorem 1.8 (iv); on the other hand, no handlebody-knot whose exterior contains a unique type 2 annulus has been found to have a non-trivial symmetry group so far. We speculate the following sharper statements both are true.

Problem 1.3. Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.8, $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ if and only if $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is equivalent to $(\mathbb{S}^3, 4_1)$.

FIGURE 1.3. Rigid symmetries of $(\mathbb{S}^3, 4_1)$.

Problem 1.4. Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.8, suppose A is the unique type 2 annulus in $E(\text{HK})$, up to isotopy. Then $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \simeq \{1\}$.

The rigid motions shown in Fig. 1.3 suggest the following variant of the Nielsen realization problem.

Problem 1.5. Let $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ be an irreducible, atoroidal handlebody-knots. Then there exists a subgroup $G < \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ such that $\pi_0 : \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \rightarrow MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ restricts to an isomorphism on G .

Handlebody-knot symmetries is itself a topic of independent interest. To our knowledge, apart from $(\mathbb{S}^3, 4_1)$, for only five others in the handlebody-knot table [15], the symmetry group is computed:

$$MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, 5_1) \simeq MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, 6_1) \simeq MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, 6_{11}) \simeq \{1\},$$

$$MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, 5_2) \simeq MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, 5_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, 6_4) \simeq MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, 6_4) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

The first two are computed by Koda [19] using results from Motto [21] and Lee-Lee [20], while the third one follows from [28] and Theorem 1.5; the last two are computed in [29]. They can all be realized as subgroups of homeomorphism groups.


The paper is organized as follows. Basic properties of a type 2 annulus A in $E(\text{HK})$ is reviewed in Section 2.1, which is followed by a discussion on the reducibility and triviality of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ in relation to the essentiality of $A \subset E(\text{HK})$; building on this, Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 2.3. A parallel investigation for type 3-3 annuli is carried out in [29, Section 4].

Section 3 reviews Johannson's characteristic submanifold theory, and develops a completeness criterion (Theorem 3.10) needed later for our analysis of configurations of essential annuli of various types in a handlebody-knot exterior. Properties of the characteristic diagram of the exterior of an irreducible, atoroidal handlebody-knot is detailed in Section 4.1, where we prove Theorem 1.2 and define the annulus diagram for such a handlebody-knot via the Koda-Ozawa classification of essential annuli. Theorems 1.5(i),(ii), 1.6 and 1.7 are proved in Section 4.2 after an extensive search for possible combinations of non-separating annuli.

Section 5 introduces the looping construction, which along with the criteria developed in Section 2, allows us to produce irreducible, atoroidal handlebody-knots whose exterior contain a type 2 annulus with a given annulus diagram, and thus prove Theorem 1.2(iii). Section 6 closes the paper with some applications of the main results to handlebody-knot symmetries—in particular, Theorem 1.8.

2. IRREDUCIBILITY AND ATOROIDALITY

We work in the piecewise linear category. Given a subpolyhedron X of M , we denote by \bar{X} , \mathring{X} , $\mathfrak{N}(X)$, and $\partial_M X$ the closure, the interior, a regular neighborhood, and the frontier of X in M , respectively. The *exterior* $E(X)$ of X in M is defined to be the complement of \mathring{X}

TABLE 1. Complete list of characteristic diagrams of $E(\text{HK})$.

if $X \subset M$ is of positive codimension, and defined to be the closure of $M - X$ otherwise. Submanifolds of a manifold M are assumed to be proper and in general position except in some obvious cases where submanifolds are in ∂M . A surface S other than a disk in a three-manifold M is *essential* if it is incompressible and ∂ -incompressible. A disk $D \subset M$ is *essential* if D does not cut a 3-ball off from M . When M is a handlebody-knot, an essential disk is also called a *meridian* disk. We denote by (\mathbb{S}^3, X) an embedding of X in the oriented 3-sphere \mathbb{S}^3 , and given a loop $l \subset X$, $[l]$ denotes the homology class represented by l in $H_1(X)$. All three-manifolds here are assumed to be orientable.

2.1. Type 2 annuli. Here we review the definition of a type 2 annulus A in the exterior $E(\text{HK})$ of a handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$, and fix the notation used throughout the paper. Recall that HK_A denotes the union $\text{HK} \cup \mathfrak{R}(A)$, and the frontier of $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ in HK are two annuli A_+, A_- . Denote by l_+, l_- the essential loops of A_+, A_- , respectively, and orient them so that $[l_+] = [l_-] \in H_1(\mathfrak{R}(A))$.

Definition 2.1. A is of type 2 if ∂A is essential in ∂HK and exactly one component of ∂A bounds an essential disk in HK . A is said to be *unknotting* if $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is trivial.

We denote by l_A the component of ∂A that bounds a disk in HK and by $\mathcal{D}_A \subset \text{HK}$ the disk it bounds.

Definition 2.2. A type 2 annulus $A \subset E(\text{HK})$ is of type 2-1 if \mathcal{D}_A non-separating; otherwise it is of type 2-2.

If A is of type 2-1, then $\partial \text{HK}_A - l_+ \cup l_-$ is a four-times punctured sphere, so they are non-separating, whereas if A is of type 2-2, $\partial \text{HK}_A - l_+ \cup l_-$ is the disjoint union of a once-punctured torus and twice-punctured disk; in particular, one of l_+, l_- is separating and the

other is not. By convention, we assume l_- is separating. The observation implies the next lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *If A is of type 2-1, then $\{[l_+], [l_-]\}$ is a basis of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$. If A is of type 2-2, then $[l_-]$ is trivial while the quotient $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))/\langle [l_+] \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.*

2.2. Essentiality, triviality and reducibility. Here we examine the relation between essentiality of a type 2 annulus $A \subset E(\text{HK})$ and the reducibility and triviality of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is reducible. Then it is trivial if and only if it is atoroidal.*

Proof. Observe first that there exists a separating essential disk $D \subset E(\text{HK})$. The disk D splits $E(\text{HK})$ into two knot exteriors $E(K_1)$, $E(K_2)$, for some knots K_1, K_2 in \mathbb{S}^3 . Then $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is trivial if and only if both K_1, K_2 are trivial and therefore if and only if $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is atoroidal. \square

Lemma 2.3. *If A is of type 2-1, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is reducible.
- (ii) A is inessential.
- (iii) $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is reducible and there exists a disk D meeting $l_+ \cup l_-$ at one point.

Proof. Note first that by the definition A is incompressible.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $D \subset E(\text{HK})$ be a compressing disk of $\partial E(\text{HK})$. Minimize $\#D \cap A$ in the isotopy class of A . If $D \cap A = \emptyset$, then $\partial D \subset \partial E(\text{HK})$ is separating, and hence $D \subset E(\text{HK})$ is separating. Since $\partial A \subset \partial \text{HK}$ is non-parallel and non-separating, components of ∂A lie in different components of $\partial E(\text{HK}) - \partial D$, contradicting that A is connected. If $D \cap A \neq \emptyset$, then, since A is incompressible, any outermost disk in D cut off by $D \cap A$ is a ∂ -compressing disk of A by the minimality.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) & (ii) \Rightarrow (i): Since A is incompressible, it is ∂ -compressible. Let D be a ∂ -compressing disk of A . Then D induces a disk in $E(\text{HK}_A)$ meeting $l_+ \cup l_-$ at one point, and $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is reducible. On the other hand, the disk component of the frontier of a regular neighborhood $\mathfrak{N}(A \cup D)$ of $A \cup D \subset E(\text{HK})$ implies that $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is reducible

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): The disk D induces a ∂ -compressing disk of A . \square

Remark 2.4. The union $l_+ \cup l_-$ in the condition (iii) of Lemma 2.3 is necessary, there exists an irreducible handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ with $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ trivial, and one of l_+, l_- is primitive; for instance, $(\mathbb{S}^3, 5_2)$ in the handlebody-knot table [15].

Lemma 2.5. *Let A be of type 2-1. Then $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is trivial if and only if $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is trivial and $\{l_+, l_-\}$ is primitive.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: By Lemma 2.3 there exists a disk D meeting $l_+ \cup l_-$ at one point, say $D \cap l_+ \neq \emptyset$. Then the frontier of a regular neighborhood $\mathfrak{N}(A_+ \cup D)$ of $A_+ \cup D \subset E(\text{HK}_A) - l_-$ is an essential separating disk $D' \subset E(\text{HK}_A)$, which splits $E(\text{HK}_A)$ into two parts: a solid torus where l_+ lies and D is a meridian disk and the exterior $E(K)$ of a knot (\mathbb{S}^3, K) where $l_- \subset \partial E(K)$ is a meridian of (\mathbb{S}^3, K) . If $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is non-trivial, then (\mathbb{S}^3, K) is non-trivial and $\partial E(K)$ induces an incompressible torus T in $E(\text{HK}_A)$. T is also incompressible in $E(\text{HK})$, for given any compressing disk D of T , one can always isotope A away from D , given the incompressibility of A , contradicting $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is trivial. So (\mathbb{S}^3, K) is trivial, and $E(K)$ is a solid torus with l_- primitive in $E(K)$, and hence the assertion.

“ \Leftarrow ”: By [30] (see also [10]), there exists a basis $\{x_+, x_-\}$ of $\pi_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ with x_{\pm} in the conjugate classes determined by l_{\pm} , respectively. Since $\pi_1(E(\text{HK}))$ is the HNN extension of $\pi_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ with respect to $\pi_1(A)$, $\pi_1(E(\text{HK}))$ is free, so $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is trivial. \square

Lemma 2.6. *If A is of type 2-2, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is reducible.
- (ii) A is inessential.

(iii) $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is reducible and l_- is homotopically trivial in $E(\text{HK}_A)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let D be an essential disk in $E(\text{HK})$. Minimize $\#D \cap A$ in the isotopy class of A . Suppose $D \cap A = \emptyset$. Then ∂D lies in the once-punctured torus T in $\partial\text{HK}_A - l_+ \cup l_-$. If ∂D is separating, then ∂D is parallel to l_- , and so A is compressible. If ∂D is non-separating, then there is a loop l in T meeting ∂D once. The frontier of a regular neighborhood of $D \cup l$ in $E(\text{HK}_A) - l_-$ is an essential separating disk disjoint from A , and therefore, as in the previous case, A is compressible. Suppose $D \cap A$ contains a circle, then any innermost disk in D cut off by $D \cap A$ is a compressing disk of A . If $D \cap A$ contains only arcs, then an outermost disk D' in D cut off by $D \cap A$ either is a ∂ -compressing disk of A or induces an essential disk D'' disjoint from A in $E(\text{HK})$; either way implies A is inessential.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) & (ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose A is compressible. Then any compressing disk D induces a disk $D' \subset E(\text{HK}_A)$ with $\partial D' = l_-$ and a disk $D'' \subset E(\text{HK})$ with $\partial D'' = l_A$, and therefore (iii) and (i).

Suppose A is ∂ -compressible and D is a ∂ -compressing disk of A . Then D induces a disk $D' \subset E(\text{HK}_A)$ with $D' \cap l_+$ a point and $D' \cap A_- = \emptyset$; the frontier of a regular neighborhood $\mathfrak{N}(A_+ \cup D')$ in $E(\text{HK}_A) - A_-$ is a separating disk D'' with $\partial D''$ parallel to l_- ; this implies A is compressible, and so (ii) and (iii) by the previous case.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) & (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) follow from Dehn's lemma. \square

Lemma 2.7. *If $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is trivial and l_- is homotopically trivial, then $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is trivial.*

Proof. Denote by $D \subset E(\text{HK}_A)$ a disk bounded by l_- . Then D splits $E(\text{HK}_A)$ into two solid tori, in one of which l_+ is primitive. Therefore $\pi_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ has a basis $\{x, y\}$ with x in the conjugacy class determined by l_+ . The assertion then follows from the fact that $\pi_1(E(\text{HK}))$ is the HNN extension of $\pi_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ with respect to $\pi_1(A)$. \square

The converse of Lemma 2.7 is not true in general.

2.3. Irreducibility and atoroidality. Here we present criteria for $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ to be irreducible and atoroidal in terms of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ and l_+, l_- . Recall first two results on atoroidality: One is a corollary of [29, Lemma 4.1], and can also be derived from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6, while the other, a converse of the first, is a direct consequence of [29, Lemma 4.9].

Corollary 2.8. *If $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible and atoroidal, then $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is atoroidal.*

Proof. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6, A is incompressible, and therefore if there exists any incompressible torus $T \subset E(\text{HK}_A)$, then any compressing disk of T can be isotoped away from A , contradicting the atoroidality of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Suppose $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is atoroidal and $l_- \subset E(\text{HK}_A)$ are not homotopically trivial if A is of type 2-2. Then $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is atoroidal.*

Proposition 2.10. *Suppose A is of type 2-1. Then $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible and atoroidal if and only if $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ either is irreducible and atoroidal or is trivial with $\{l_+, l_-\}$ not primitive in $E(\text{HK}_A)$.*

Proof. " \Rightarrow ": By Corollary 2.8, $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is atoroidal, and hence it is irreducible or trivial by Lemma 2.2. Since $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is non-trivial, if $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is trivial, $\{l_+, l_-\}$ is not primitive in $E(\text{HK}_A)$ by Lemma 2.5.

" \Leftarrow ": By Corollary 2.9, $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is atoroidal, and therefore $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is either irreducible or trivial by Lemma 2.2. The latter is not possible, owing to Lemmas 2.3 or 2.5. \square

Proposition 2.11. *Suppose A is of type 2-2. Then $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible and atoroidal if and only if $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ either is irreducible and atoroidal or is trivial with $l_- \subset E(\text{HK}_A)$ not homotopically trivial.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: By Corollary 2.8 and Lemma 2.2, $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_A)$ is irreducible or trivial; for the latter, l_- cannot be homotopically trivial since $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible by Lemma 2.6.

“ \Leftarrow ”: By Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.9, $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is either irreducible or trivial; the latter is ruled out by Lemma 2.6. \square

3. CHARACTERISTIC SUBMANIFOLDS

Here we review Johannson’s characteristic submanifold theory [16] (see also [4]), and define the notion of a characteristic diagram, which we employ to study irreducible, atoroidal handlebody-knot exteriors in Section 4.

3.1. Characteristic submanifold theory.

Definition 3.1. Given a compact n -manifold M , a boundary-pattern \underline{m} for M is a finite set of compact, connected $(n-1)$ -submanifolds of ∂M such that the intersection of any i of them is empty or an $(n-i)$ -manifold.

Basic and yet important examples include the following. An i -faced disk is a disk D whose boundary-pattern \underline{d} consists of i elements with their union $|\underline{d}|$ being ∂D . When $i \leq 3$ (resp. $i = 4$), (D, \underline{d}) is called a small-faced disk (resp. square). The empty boundary-pattern is denoted by $\underline{\phi}$, and the completion $\overline{\underline{m}}$ of a boundary-pattern \underline{m} for M is the boundary-pattern given by

$$\overline{\underline{m}} := \{G \in \underline{m}\} \cup \{\text{components of } \overline{M - |\underline{m}|}\},$$

where $|\underline{m}|$ is the union of G , $G \in \underline{m}$. Throughout the paper, an annulus (or arc) is assumed to carry the boundary-pattern $\underline{\phi}$.

Given a manifold (M, \underline{m}) with boundary-pattern, and a submanifold $N \subset M$ of positive codimension, if $N \cap \partial M$ meets every intersection of elements of $\overline{\underline{m}}$ transversely, then N inherits a natural boundary-pattern given by

$$\underline{n} := \{G \cap \partial N \mid \forall G \in \underline{m}\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Similarly, \underline{n} defines a boundary-pattern for a codimension-zero submanifold N of M , provided the intersection $\partial_M N \cap \partial M$ meets every intersection of elements in $\overline{\underline{m}}$ transversely. The boundary-pattern \underline{n} for N is called the *submanifold boundary-pattern*, while in the codimension-zero case, its completion $\overline{\underline{n}}$ is called a *proper boundary-pattern* for N . Throughout the paper, a submanifold $N \subset M$ is assumed to satisfy the transversality condition, and unless otherwise specified, N always carries the submanifold boundary-pattern \underline{n} . We drop \underline{n} from the notation when there is no risk of confusion, but specify in the notation the proper boundary-pattern $\overline{\underline{n}}$ whenever useful. When N is considered as the exterior $E(W)$ of some submanifold W in M , the proper boundary-pattern is always assumed and denoted by $\overline{\underline{n}}$.

Definition 3.2. An arc γ in a surface (S, \underline{s}) with boundary-pattern is essential if no component of $(E(\gamma), \underline{s})$ is a small-faced disk.

A surface S in a 3-manifold (M, \underline{m}) with boundary-pattern is essential if no component X of $(E(S), \overline{\underline{m}})$ contains a small-faced disk that meets the frontier $\partial_M X$ in an essential arc in $\partial_M X$. A codimension-zero submanifold N in (M, \underline{m}) is essential if its frontier $\partial_M N$ is essential in (M, \underline{m}) .

In the case $\underline{m} = \overline{\underline{\phi}}$, the definition is equivalent to the one in terms of incompressibility and ∂ -incompressibility.

A 3-manifold (M, \underline{m}) with boundary-pattern can be *I-fibered* (resp. *Seifert fibered*) if it admits an I-bundle (resp. Seifert bundle) structure $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ with B equipped with a boundary-pattern \underline{b} such that

$$\underline{m} = \{\pi^{-1}(G) \mid G \in \underline{b}\} \cup \{\text{components of } \overline{\partial M - \pi^{-1}(\partial B)}\}. \quad (3.2)$$

If (M, \underline{m}) is I-fibered over (B, \underline{b}) , a component of $\overline{\partial M - \pi^{-1}(\partial B)}$ is called a *lid* of (M, \underline{m}) (with respect to π), and any other element in \underline{m} is called a *side* of (M, \underline{m}) (with respect to

π). (M, \underline{m}) is called a *cylindrical shell* if it can be I-fibered over an annulus. An annulus A in (M, \underline{m}) is parallel to an element $\mathbf{A} \in \underline{m}$ (resp. another annulus A' in (M, \underline{m})) if a component of $(E(A \cup \mathbf{A}), \underline{\tilde{m}})$ (resp. $(E(A \cup A'), \underline{\tilde{m}})$) is a cylindrical shell meeting both the regular neighborhoods of A and of \mathbf{A} (resp. of A'). The following is a corollary of the vertical-horizontal theorem [16, Proposition 5.6; Corollary 5.7].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose (M, \underline{m}) is I-fibered over (B, \underline{b}) with $\chi(B) < 0$. Let A be an essential annulus in (M, \underline{m}) . Then the boundary ∂A is in the lid(s) $\mathbf{L} \in \underline{m}$, and there exists an isotopy $F_t : (A, \partial A) \rightarrow (M, \mathbf{L})$ with $F_0 = \text{id}$ and $F_1(A)$ the preimage of an essential loop in B .*

Definition 3.3. An \mathcal{F} -manifold W in (M, \underline{m}) is a codimension-zero essential submanifold of M such that each component of W can be I- or Seifert fibered. An \mathcal{F} -manifold W in M is full if there exists no component Y of $E(W)$ such that $Y \cup W$ is an \mathcal{F} -manifold in (M, \underline{m}) .

Definition 3.4. An \mathcal{F} -manifold W in M is complete if for any component Y of $(E(W), \underline{\tilde{m}})$ and any essential square, annulus or torus S in Y , one of the following holds.

If $S \cap \partial_M Y \neq \emptyset$, then Y can be fibered as a product I-bundle or S^1 -bundle over S . (C1)

If $S \cap \partial_M Y = \emptyset$, then S is parallel to a component of $\partial_M Y$ in Y . (C2)

Definition 3.5. A characteristic submanifold W for (M, \underline{m}) is a full, complete \mathcal{F} -manifold in (M, \underline{m}) .

3.2. Characteristic submanifolds of atoroidal manifolds. The subsection develops completeness criteria needed in the subsequent sections. As irreducible atoroidal handlebody-knots are our primary concern, we henceforth assume M is orientable, irreducible, ∂ -irreducible, atoroidal, and equipped with the boundary-pattern $\overline{\phi}$, and drop $\overline{\phi}$ from the notation when no confusion may arise.

In this case, the existence and uniqueness of characteristic submanifolds are guaranteed, and they enjoy the engulfing property [16].

Theorem 3.2 ([16, Proposition 9.4; Corollary 10.9]). *There exists a characteristic submanifold W for M , and two characteristic submanifolds W_1, W_2 for M are ambient isotopic.*

Theorem 3.3 ([16, Proposition 10.8]). *Let W be a characteristic submanifold for M . Then, for every \mathcal{F} -manifold $X \subset M$, there exists an ambient isotopy F_t such that $F_1(X) \subset W$.*

We now describe an alternative characterization of characteristic submanifolds, a corollary of [4, Theorem 2.9.3]; we first recall the notion of simpleness.

Definition 3.6. A manifold (X, \underline{x}) with boundary-pattern is simple if any component of a characteristic submanifold of (X, \underline{x}) is a regular neighborhood of a square, annulus or torus in \underline{x} .

Theorem 3.4. *Given a full \mathcal{F} -manifold $W \subset M$, then W is a characteristic submanifold for M if and only if, for every component $Y \subset (E(W), \underline{\tilde{m}})$, Y either is simple or is a cylindrical shell.*

Below we examine topological properties of submanifolds of M that can be I- or Seifert fibered.

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be an essential codimension-zero submanifold of M . Then $g(\partial X) = 1$ if and only if (X, \underline{x}) can be Seifert fibered over an n -faced disk with at most one exceptional fiber, and \underline{x} non-empty and containing disjoint elements; additionally, it has exactly one exceptional fiber when $n = 2$.*

Proof. The direction “ \Leftarrow ” is clear. To see the direction “ \Rightarrow ”, note first that by the essentiality of X and the boundary-pattern $\overline{\phi}$ on M , the intersection $X \cap \partial M$ is non-empty and consists of disjoint annuli A_1, \dots, A_m in ∂X . This implies X is a solid torus by the atoroidality of M . Since M is ∂ -irreducible, $H_1(A_i) \rightarrow H_1(X)$ cannot be trivial, and therefore, (X, \underline{x})

can be Seifert fibered over an n -faced disk (D, \underline{d}) with $n = 2m > 0$. In the case $n = 2$, by the essentiality of $\partial_M X$, the Seifert fibering must contain at least one exceptional fiber. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let $X \subset M$ be an essential codimension-zero submanifold with $g(\partial X) = 1$. Then (X, \underline{x}) admits an essential annulus meeting $\partial_M X$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, the frontier of a regular neighborhood of an element in \underline{x} is an essential annulus meeting $\partial_M X$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Given an essential codimension-zero submanifold $X \subset M$, if (X, \underline{x}) is I -fibered over (B, \underline{b}) , then $\underline{b} = \underline{\phi}$, that is, \underline{x} consists of only lids.*

Proof. By the definition (3.2), the lid(s) of (X, \underline{x}) is(are) element(s) in \underline{x} . On the other hand, since the boundary pattern on M is $\underline{\phi}$, the submanifold boundary-pattern \underline{x} consists of disjoint elements. Thus \underline{x} can contain only the lid(s). \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let $(X, \underline{x}) \xrightarrow{\pi} (B, \underline{\phi})$ be an I -bundle and $g(\partial X) > 1$. Then every essential annulus in (X, \underline{x}) disjoint from the sides of (X, \underline{x}) is parallel to a side $A \in \underline{x}$ if and only if B is a pair of pants.*

Proof. The direction “ \Leftarrow ” follows from Lemma 3.1. We prove the direction “ \Rightarrow ” by contradiction. Observe first that since $g(\partial X) > 1$, the Euler characteristic $\chi(B) \leq -1$ by the equality $2\chi(B) = 2 - 2g(\partial X)$. In particular, B is a closed surface \hat{B} with k open disks removed such that k and the genus $g(\hat{B})$ satisfy $3 - 2g(\hat{B}) \leq k$ when B is orientable and $3 - g(\hat{B}) \leq k$ otherwise. Take l to be a non-separating loop in B if B is orientable with $g(\hat{B}) > 0$ or is non-orientable with $g(\hat{B}) > 1$, and to be a loop cutting a Mobius band off from B if \hat{B} is a projective space, and to be a loop cutting a pair of pants from B if \hat{B} is a 2-sphere. Then if B is not a pair of pants, the preimage of l is an essential annulus in X disjoint from the sides and not parallel to any side of (X, \underline{x}) . \square

The following is a corollary of [16, Proposition 4.6].

Lemma 3.9. *Let $S \subset M$ be a surface consisting of essential annuli, and X a component of $(E(S), \underline{m})$. Then X is atoroidal, and given an annulus $A \subset X$ disjoint from $\partial_M X$, A is essential in X if and only if A is essential in M .*

Theorem 3.10 (Completeness Criterion). *Let $W \subset M$ be a full \mathcal{F} -manifold. Then W is complete if and only if, for every component Y of $(E(W), \underline{m})$, either Y is a cylindrical shell or $g(\partial Y) > 1$, Y cannot be I -fibered over a pair or pants, and every essential annulus in Y disjoint from $\partial_M Y$ is parallel to a component of $\partial_M Y$.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: Given a component Y of $(E(W), \underline{m})$, either Y admits an essential square or annulus that meets $\partial_M Y$ or it does not. By (C1) in Definition 3.4, Y is a cylindrical shell if it is the former. Suppose it is the latter. Then, since Y contains no essential square, it cannot be I -fibered over a pair of pants, and by Corollary 3.6, $g(\partial Y)$ cannot be 1. The rest follows directly from (C2) of Definition 3.4.

“ \Leftarrow ”: It is clear that the conditions (C1) and (C2) in Definition (3.4) are satisfied if Y is a cylindrical shell. So, we suppose otherwise; by Theorem 3.4, it suffices to show that Y is simple. Let W_y be the characteristic submanifold of Y ; note that since Y is a component of $(E(W), \underline{m})$, $Y \subset M$ is equipped with the proper boundary-pattern. If $W_y = \emptyset$, then Y is simple by the definition. If $W_y \neq \emptyset$ but $\partial_Y W_y = \emptyset$, then $Y = W_y$. Since $g(\partial Y) > 1$, by Lemma 3.5, it cannot be Seifert fibered, so Y admits an I -bundle structure, contradicting the assumption by Lemma 3.8.

Suppose $\partial_Y W_y \neq \emptyset$, and let X_y be a component of W_y , and A be a component of the frontier $\partial_Y X_y$. Then A is disjoint from $\partial_M Y$ since W_y contains a regular neighborhood of $\partial_M Y$ by Theorem 3.3. Therefore A cannot be a square, given the boundary-pattern $\underline{\phi}$ on M ; it cannot be a torus either by Lemma 3.9. A thereby is an annulus. By the assumption, A is

parallel to a component A' of $\partial_M Y$ in Y . Let $P \subset Y$ be the cylindrical shell between A and A' . Then $P \cap X_y$ is non-empty by the fullness of W_y , so $P \subset X_y$, and hence X_y is a regular neighborhood of a component of $\partial_M Y$. Therefore Y is simple. \square

3.3. Characteristic diagram. Let M be as in the previous subsection.

Definition 3.7 (Characteristic Surfaces). A characteristic surface S of M is a union of components of $\partial_M W$ such that

- no two components of S are parallel, and
- every component of $\partial_M W$ is parallel to some component of S ,

where $W \subset M$ is a characteristic submanifold.

The existence of a characteristic surface follows from the existence of a characteristic submanifold of M . More precisely, let C be the set consisting of the closures of components of $M - \partial_M W$, each carrying the proper boundary-pattern, and S_0 be the union of components of $\partial_M W$ not adjacent to a cylindrical shell $C \in C$. For each cylindrical shell $C \in C$, choose a component A_c of $\partial_M C$, and let S_c be the union of A_c for all cylindrical shells $C \in C$. Since cylindrical shells in C are disjoint, the union $S := S_0 \cup S_c$ is a characteristic surface of M . The uniqueness of S follows from Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.11. *Given two characteristic surfaces S_1, S_2 of M , there exists an ambient isotopy F_t such that $F_1(S_1) = S_2$.*

Definition 3.8. An annulus $A \subset M$ is characteristic if it is isotopic to a component of a characteristic surface S of M .

Definition 3.9. Given a characteristic surface S of M , denote by $E(S)$ the complement $M - \mathring{\mathfrak{R}}(S)$. Then the associated characteristic diagram is a labeled graph defined as follows:

- Assign a solid node \bullet to each component $E(S)$ that can be I-or Seifert fibered.
- Assign a hollow node \circ to each component $E(S)$ that is simple.
- To each component of $\mathfrak{R}(S)$, assign an edge that joins node(s) corresponding to component(s) of $E(S)$ meeting the component of $\mathfrak{R}(S)$.
- If the genus $g(\partial X)$ of the component $X \subset E(S)$ is larger than 1, then label the corresponding node with $g(\partial X)$.

Two characteristic diagrams are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism between their underlying graphs sending solid (resp. hollow) nodes to solid (resp. hollow) nodes with the same label.

For the sake of simplicity, we say a component $X \subset E(S)$ is of genus g if $g(\partial X) = g$. By Corollary 3.11, we have the following.

Corollary 3.12. *Two characteristic diagrams of M are isomorphic.*

4. CLASSIFICATION

Throughout the section, $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is an irreducible, cylindrical, atoroidal handlebody-knot, and we denote by Λ_{EXT} the characteristic diagram of $E(\text{HK})$.

4.1. Annulus diagram of handlebody-knots.

Proposition 4.1.

- (i) *There exists exactly one labeled node in Λ_{EXT} , and it is labeled with 2.*
- (ii) *Unlabeled nodes in Λ_{EXT} are solid, and each corresponds to a Seifert-fibered solid torus that is not a cylindrical shell.*
- (iii) *No loop in Λ_{EXT} contains a solid node.*
- (iv) *All edges are adjacent to the labeled node.*
- (v) *If the labeled node is solid, it corresponds to an I-bundle over a pair of pants or a Mobius band or Klein bottle with one open disk removed.*

- (vi) *If the labeled node is solid, then Λ_{EXT} is not a bigon.*
- (vii) *Every node in Λ_{EXT} is at most trivalent.*

Proof. Let S be the characteristic surface of $E(\text{HK})$ that induces Λ_{EXT} , and W a characteristic submanifold of $E(\text{HK})$ inducing S . Suppose the complement $E_{hk}(S) := E(\text{HK}) - \mathfrak{R}(S)$, contains n components X_1, \dots, X_n . Then the equality of Euler characteristic numbers

$$-2 = 2 - 2g(\partial E(\text{HK})) = \chi(\partial E(\text{HK})) = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(\partial X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (2 - 2g(\partial X_i))$$

implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (g(\partial X_i) - 1) = 1.$$

In particular, there exists exactly one genus 2 component in $E_{hk}(S)$; every other component is of genus 1, and therefore is Seifert-fibered by Lemma 3.5 but is not a cylindrical shells by the definition of S . This proves (i) and (ii).

We prove (iii) by contradiction. Suppose there is a loop with a solid node in Λ_{EXT} , and denote by A the annulus corresponding to the loop, and $X \subset E_{hk}(S)$ the component corresponding to the solid node. Also, let X' be the union X and $\mathfrak{R}(A)$, the component of $\mathfrak{R}(S)$ containing A . If the node is unlabeled, then $\partial X'$ consists of two tori T_1, T_2 , each meets both $\partial_M X'$ and $\partial E(\text{HK})$ since $\partial E(\text{HK})$ contains no torus. This implies the frontier of a regular neighborhood of $T_1 \cup T_2$ in X' are incompressible tori, contradicting the atoroidality. If the node is labeled, then X' can be I-fibered, contradicting the fullness of $W \subset E(\text{HK})$.

To see (iv), it suffices to show there is no edge connecting two unlabeled solid nodes, given (ii) and (iii). Suppose such an edge exists, and $X_1, X_2 \subset E_{hk}(S)$ are the components corresponding to the solid nodes and A the annulus corresponding to the edge between. Then $X_1 \cup \mathfrak{R}(A) \cup X_2$ is Seifert fibered, contradicting the fullness of $W \subset E(\text{HK})$.

Coming now to (v), we observe first that the component U in $E_{hk}(S)$ corresponding to a labeled solid node cannot be Seifert fibered by Lemma 3.5, and hence is I-fibered. Since the lid(s) of U has Euler characteristic -2 , the base is either a pair of pants or a Mobius band, torus, or Klein bottle with one open disk removed. Suppose the base is a torus with one open disk removed. Then the characteristic diagram is $\bullet \text{---} \bullet \ 2$ by (iv); Denote by A the annulus corresponding to the edge, and let V be the solid torus corresponding to the unlabeled node. Choose generators of $H_1(A)$ and $H_1(V)$ so that the homomorphism $H_1(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{Z} \simeq H_1(V)$ has $m \geq 0$. Since A is essential, $m \neq 0, 1$. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(A) \xrightarrow{(m,0)} H_1(V) \oplus H_1(U) \rightarrow H_1(E(\text{HK})) \rightarrow 0$$

then implies $H_1(E(\text{HK})) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_m$, a contradiction.

We prove (vi) by contradiction. Suppose Λ_{EXT} is a bigon, and let U (resp. V) be the components of $E_{hk}(S)$ corresponding to the labeled (resp. unlabeled) node, and A_1, A_2 the annuli corresponding to the edges. Then by (v), U is an admissible I-bundle over a Mobius band with one open disk removed. Choose generators of $H_1(A_i), H_1(V), H_1(U)$ so that $H_1(A_i) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{Z} \simeq H_1(V), i = 1, 2$, and

$$H_1(A_1) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \simeq H_1(U) \quad \text{and} \quad H_1(A_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \simeq H_1(U).$$

Then by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(A_1 \cup A_2) \rightarrow H_1(V) \oplus H_1(U) \rightarrow H_1(E(\text{HK})) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(A_1 \cup A_2) \rightarrow 0,$$

either $(m, 0, 1)$ or $(0, 0, 1)$ in $H_1(V) \oplus H_1(U)$ induces an element of order 2 in $H_1(E(\text{HK}))$, a contradiction.

Lastly, for (vii), in view of (iv), it suffices to consider the labeled node. The case where the labeled node is solid follows from (v), so we assume the labeled node is hollow, and $Y \subset$

$\text{Comp}S$ is the corresponding component. Suppose $\partial_{E(\text{HK})}Y$ has more than 3 components. Then there exists an annular component A in $\partial Y - \partial_{E(\text{HK})}Y$. Let A_1, A_2 be the components of $\partial_{E(\text{HK})}Y$ that meet ∂A . Then consider the frontier A' of a regular neighborhood of $A_1 \cup A \cup A_2$ in Y . A' cannot be inessential, for otherwise there exists an essential square in Y , contradicting the completeness of $W \subset E(\text{HK})$, but A' cannot be essential either by the simpleness of Y , given Theorem 3.3. \square

Definition 4.1. We say the characteristic diagram of $E(\text{HK})$ is of type (e, l, b, \square) if Λ_{EXT} has e edges, l loops, and b bigons, and $\square = \bullet$ or \circ if the labeled node in Λ_{EXT} is solid or hollow, respectively.

Theorem 4.2. *Characteristic diagrams of $E(\text{HK})$ are classified, up to isomorphism, by their types (e, l, b, \square) into 13 classes in Table 1.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, we have $1 \leq e \leq 3$, $l = 0$ or 1 , and $b = 0, 1, 3$, and two characteristic diagrams are isomorphic if and only if they have the same type. \square

Remark 4.3. Table 1 lists all possible characteristic diagrams Λ_{EXT} . It is however not clear whether all configurations do occur. To our knowledge, no known example has been found so far with a characteristic diagram of the type

$$(2, 0, 0, \bullet), (3, 0, 1, \square), \text{ or } (3, 0, 0, \square), \quad \square = \bullet \text{ or } \circ.$$

The characteristic diagram Λ_{EXT} of $E(\text{HK})$ can be enhanced by adding to each edge the type of the annulus it corresponds to. Recall that essential annuli in $E(\text{HK})$ are classified into four types [18], [8]: type 2, type 3-2, type 3-3, and type 4-1 as described in Section 1. Type 2 annuli are divided into two subfamilies: types 2-1 and 2-2, while type 3-3 annuli can be further classified based on their boundary slope pairs.

There is also a finer classification for a type 3-2 annulus A [8]. Recall that by the definition, there exists an essential disk $\mathcal{D}_A \subset \text{HK}$ disjoint from ∂A , and it is shown that, the disk $\mathcal{D}_A \subset \text{HK}$ is uniquely determined by A , up to isotopy [8, Corollary 2.2], and hence A determines the solid torus $V_A := \text{HK} - \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{D}_A)$ in \mathbb{S}^3 , up to isotopy. There are two possibilities: either A is essential in the exterior $E(V_A)$ —that is, the core of V_A is a non-trivial torus or cable knot in \mathbb{S}^3 with A the cabling annulus—or A is ∂ -compressible in $E(V_A)$ [8, Lemma 3.5]. A is said to be of *type 3-2i* if it is the former, and of *type 3-2ii* otherwise. In the latter case, V_A is trivially embedded in \mathbb{S}^3 , namely $E(V_A)$ being a solid torus, by the atoroidality of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$, and if r is the boundary slope of A with respect to (\mathbb{S}^3, V_A) , then r is never a reciprocal of an integer; otherwise A is ∂ -compressible in $E(\text{HK})$. Following the designation in [18], we label each edge in Λ_{EXT} as follows.

Definition 4.2 (Labeling Scheme). The label \mathbf{h}_i is assigned to each edge corresponding to a type 2- i annulus, $i = 1, 2$.

The label \mathbf{k}_1 or $\mathbf{k}_2(r)$ is assigned to each edge corresponding to a type 3-2i or 3-2ii annulus, respectively, where r is the boundary slope of A with respect to (\mathbb{S}^3, V_A) .

The label $\mathbf{l}(r_1, r_2)$ is assigned to each edge corresponding to a type 3-3 annulus with the boundary slope pair (r_1, r_2) . If $(r_1, r_2) = (0, 0)$, the label is shortened to \mathbf{l}_0 .

The label \mathbf{em} is assigned to each edge corresponding to a type 4-1 annulus.

The resulting labeled graph, denoted by Λ_{HK} , is called the annulus diagram of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$.

Note that an edge in Λ_{HK} has the label \mathbf{h}_i , $\mathbf{l}(r_1, r_2)$, or \mathbf{l}_0 if and only if it is not a cut-edge.

4.2. Handlebody-knots that admit a non-separating annulus. Here we investigate different combinations of disjoint non-separating essential annuli in $E(\text{HK})$. Throughout the subsection, $A \subset E(\text{HK})$ is an essential annulus, and A_+, A_- denote the annuli in the frontier of $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ in $E(\text{HK})$ with l_+, l_- their cores, respectively. We orient l_+, l_- so that $[l_+] = [l_-] \in H_1(\mathfrak{R}(A))$, and denote the components of ∂A by l_1, l_2 unless A is of type

2, where, as in Section 2, l_A, l are used instead. In addition, by “unique”, we understand “unique, up to isotopy”.

Recall first a result on type 4-1 annuli, which follows from [8, Lemma 3.7].

Lemma 4.4. *If A is of type 4-1, then there exists no non-separating annulus disjoint from A in $E(\text{HK})$.*

We start our investigation with type 3-3 annuli. Given a type 3-3 annulus, we fix an oriented disk $\mathcal{D}_A \subset \text{HK}$ disjoint from ∂A . Recall also the definition of meridional basis from [29].

Definition 4.3. Suppose A is of type 3-3 with a boundary slope pair $(\frac{p}{q}, pq)$. Then a meridional basis of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ is a basis given by the homology classes of the boundary of two oriented, disjoint, non-parallel meridian disks $D_1, D_2 \subset \text{HK}_A$ disjoint from \mathcal{D}_A with $[\partial D_1] - [\partial D_2] = [\partial \mathcal{D}_A] \in H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose A is of type 3-3 with a boundary slope pair $(\frac{p}{q}, pq)$ and $\{b_1, b_2\}$ a meridional basis of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$. If $[l_+] = (p_1, p_2)$ in terms of $\{b_1, b_2\}$, then $[l_-] = (p_1 \mp 1, p_2 \pm 1)$ and $p_1 + p_2 = \pm p$.*

Proof. Denote by V_1, V_2 the solid tori in $\text{HK} - \mathring{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathcal{D}_A)$, and by U the solid torus $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \mathfrak{R}(A)$. Then l_+, l_- are two parallel curves in ∂U , and they separate the two disks in $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{D}_A) \cap \partial U \subset \partial U$, so $[l_+] - [l_-] = \pm[\partial \mathcal{D}_A] \in H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ and therefore the first assertion. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \langle [\partial \mathcal{D}_A] \rangle \rightarrow H_1(E(\text{HK}_A)) \rightarrow H_1(U) \simeq \langle b_1 = b_2 \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

and note that the slopes of $l_+, l_- \subset \partial U$ are $\frac{p}{q}$ with respect to (\mathbb{S}^3, U) . Hence $p_1 + p_2 = \pm p$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose A is of type 3-3 with a boundary slope pair (r_1, r_2) .*

If $(r_1, r_2) = (\frac{p}{q}, \frac{q}{p})$, $pq \neq 0$, then $\{[l_+], [l_-]\}$ is a basis of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$.

If $(r_1, r_2) = (\frac{p}{q}, pq)$, $pq \neq 0$, then $\langle [l_+], [l_-] \rangle$ is a subgroup of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ with index $|p|$.

If $(r_1, r_2) = (0, 0)$, then $\langle [l_+], [l_-] \rangle$ is a rank one subgroup of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$.

Proof. Denote by V_1, V_2 the solid tori in $\text{HK} - \mathring{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathcal{D}_A)$, and by U the union $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \mathfrak{R}(A)$.

Suppose $(r_1, r_2) = (\frac{p}{q}, \frac{q}{p})$, $|p|, |q| > 1$. Then U is a Seifert fibered space with two exceptional fibers, and therefore the exterior $E(U)$ of U in \mathbb{S}^3 is a solid torus W , whose core is a (p, q) -torus knot in \mathbb{S}^3 . Since l_+, l_- are parallel to the core of W in W by [25], $[l_+] = [l_-]$ generates $H_1(W)$. On the other hand, we have $E(\text{HK}_A) = W - \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{D}_A)$, that is, $E(\text{HK}_A)$ is obtained by removing a regular neighborhood of an arc in W dual to \mathcal{D}_A , so $H_1(W, E(\text{HK}_A)) = 0$. This together with $H_2(W) = 0$ implies the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_2(W, E(\text{HK}_A)) \rightarrow H_1(E(\text{HK}_A)) \rightarrow H_1(W) \rightarrow 0$$

given by the inclusion $E(\text{HK}_A) \hookrightarrow W$. Since $[\mathcal{D}_A]$ generates $H_2(W, E(\text{HK}_A))$, $\pm[\partial \mathcal{D}_A] = [l_+] - [l_-]$, and $[l_+] = [l_-]$ generates $H_1(W)$, we have $\{[l_+], [l_-]\}$ is a basis of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$.

Suppose $(r_1, r_2) = (\frac{p}{q}, pq)$, $q \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 4.5, $[l_+] = (p_1, p_2)$ and $[l_-] = (p_1 \mp 1, p_2 \pm 1)$ with $p_1 + p_2 = p$ in terms of a meridional basis of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$, and hence the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ p_1 \mp 1 & p_2 \pm 1 \end{vmatrix} = \pm(p_1 + p_2) = \pm p$$

In other words, when $p \neq 0$, $\langle [l_+], [l_-] \rangle \subset H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ is a subgroup of rank two with index $|p|$. When $p = 0$, since $[l_+] - [l_-] = \mp(1, -1)$, at least one of $[l_+], [l_-] \in H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ is non-trivial, so $\langle [l_+], [l_-] \rangle$ is a subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . \square

Corollary 4.7. *Suppose A is a type 3-3 annulus with a non-trivial boundary slope pair, and A' is a non-separating annulus disjoint from A . Then $\partial A, \partial A'$ are parallel in ∂HK . In particular, A' is of type 3-3 with the same boundary slope pair.*

Proof. Let P be the planar surface $\partial E(\text{HK}_A) - \mathring{A}_+ \cup \mathring{A}_-$. Denote by $l_{1\pm}, l_{2\pm}$ the components of ∂A_{\pm} and by l'_1, l'_2 the components of $\partial A'$. Since $l'_1, l'_2 \subset P$, one of l'_1, l'_2 is parallel to one of $l_{1\pm}, l_{2\pm}$; it may be assumed that l'_1 is parallel to l_{1+} . By Lemma 4.6, $[l_+] \neq \pm[l_-]$ and none of $[l_+], [l_-]$ is trivial in $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$. These, together with $[l'_1] = [l'_2] \in H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$, imply that l'_2 is parallel to either l_{2+} or l_{1+} . The latter is impossible since l'_1, l'_2 are not parallel in ∂HK and hence not parallel in P . Therefore $\partial A'$ is parallel to ∂A_+ and hence to ∂A . \square

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose A is of type 3-3 annulus with a trivial boundary slope, and A' is a type 3-3 annulus disjoint from A . Then A, A' are parallel in $E(\text{HK})$.*

Proof. Suppose ∂A and $\partial A'$ are parallel in ∂HK . Let $B_1, B_2 \subset \partial \text{HK}$ be the annuli cut off by $\partial A, \partial A'$. Then $A \cup A' \cup B_1 \cup B_2$ bounds a solid torus V in $E(\text{HK})$ by the atoroidality of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$. Since A has a trivial boundary slope pair, the linking number $\ell k(l_1, l_2)$ is 0 and hence the core of A is a preferred longitude with respect to (\mathbb{S}^3, V) ; this implies $H_1(A) \rightarrow H_1(V)$ is an isomorphism, so A, A' are parallel through V .

Suppose ∂A and $\partial A'$ are not parallel. Let l'_1, l'_2 be the components of $\partial A'$. Then since $\partial \text{HK} - \partial A$ is a four-times punctured sphere, it may be assumed that l_1, l'_1 are parallel in ∂HK , and l_2, l'_2 are not. Let $B_1 \subset \partial \text{HK}$ be the annulus cut off by l_1, l'_1 . Then $B_1 \cup A \cup A'$ induces an annulus $A'' \subset E(\text{HK})$ disjoint from $A \cup A'$ with $\partial A''$ parallel to l_2, l'_2 . Let $B_2, B_3 \subset \partial \text{HK}$ be the annuli cut off by $\partial A''$ and $l_2 \cup l'_2$. Then the torus $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup A \cup A' \cup A''$ bounds a solid torus W in $E(\text{HK})$ since $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is atoroidal.

Let $P_1, P_2 \subset \partial \text{HK}$ be the pairs of pants cut off by $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3$. Then P_1, P_2 can be regarded as a planar surface in $E(W)$. By [18, Lemma 3.5], P_1, P_2 are inessential in $E(W)$.

Case 1: P_1 is compressible. Let D be a compressing disk of P_1 that minimizes

$$\#\{D \cap P_2 \mid D \text{ a compressing disk of } P_1\}.$$

Subcase 1.1: $D \cap P_2 = \emptyset$. D is either in HK or in $E(\text{HK})$. Since ∂D is essential in P_1 , ∂D is essential in ∂HK , so D is a compressing disk of ∂HK in \mathbb{S}^3 . On the other hand, $\partial A \cup \partial A' \cup \partial A''$ contains three mutually non-parallel simple loops in ∂HK that bound no disks in HK , so every meridian disk in HK meets $\partial A \cup \partial A' \cup \partial A''$, and hence $D \subset E(\text{HK})$, but this contradicts $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible.

Subcase 1.2: $D \cap P_2 \neq \emptyset$. Note first that $D \cap P_2$ only contains circles. Let $D' \subset D$ be the disk cut off by a circle in $D \cap P_2$ innermost in D . By the minimality $\partial D'$ is essential in P_2 ; hence D' is a compressing disk of ∂HK in \mathbb{S}^3 , a contradiction as in **Subcase 1.1**.

The same argument applies to the case where P_2 is compressible.

Case 2: P_1, P_2 are incompressible. First observe that, since none of the components of $\partial A \cup \partial A' \cup \partial A''$ is separating in ∂HK , P_1 (resp. P_2) meets B_i for each i . Let D be a ∂ -compressing disk of P_1 that minimizes

$$\#\{D \cap P_2 \mid D \text{ a } \partial\text{-compressing disk of } P_1\}.$$

Then by the minimality and incompressibility of P_2 , $D \cap P_2$ is either empty or some arcs.

Subcase 2.1: $D \cap P_2 = \emptyset$. Denote by γ the arc $D \cap E(W)$, and note that $\gamma \subset B_u := B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3$ if $D \subset \text{HK}$; otherwise $\gamma \subset A_u := A \cup A' \cup A''$. Also, γ is inessential in either case: in the former case, it follows from the fact that none of B_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, has two boundary components lying in P_1 , whereas for the latter, it results from the ∂ -incompressibility of A, A', A'' .

Let D' be the disk cut off from B_u (resp. A_u). Then $D \cup D'$ induces a disk D'' disjoint from B_u (resp. A_u). Since D is a ∂ -compressing disk of P_1 in $E(W)$, $\partial D''$ is essential in P_1 , contradicting the incompressibility of P_1 .

Subcase 2.2: $D \cap P_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let $D' \subset D$ be a disk cut off by an arc in $D \cap P_2$ outermost in D . Denote by γ the arc $D \cap \partial W$; as with **Subcase 2.1**, γ is either in A_u or in B_u , and is

inessential. Let D'' be the disk cut off by γ from A_u or B_u . Then $D' \cup D''$ induces a disk D''' disjoint from P_1 with $\partial D''' \subset P_2$. By the minimality of $\#D \cap P_2$, $\partial D'''$ is essential in P_2 , contradicting the incompressibility of P_2 . \square

Lemma 4.9. *If $\{[l_+], [l_-]\}$ is a basis of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$, then A is the unique annulus in $E(\text{HK})$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{R}(A) \subset E(\text{HK})$ is a characteristic submanifold of $(E(\text{HK}), \underline{\phi})$, and we employ Theorem 3.10. Since $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ is a full \mathcal{F} -manifold of $(E(\text{HK}), \underline{\phi})$, it amounts to show that every essential annulus A' in $E(\text{HK}_A)$ disjoint from A_+, A_- is parallel to A_+, A_- , where $E(\text{HK}_A) \subset (E(\text{HK}), \underline{\phi})$ is endowed with the proper boundary pattern. Denote by l' a core of A' .

Case 1: A' is non-separating in $E(\text{HK})$. Note that the same argument for Corollary 4.7 implies that $\partial A'$ is parallel to ∂A_+ or ∂A_- in $\partial E(\text{HK}_A)$; it may be assumed that it is the former. Denote by B_1, B_2 the annuli cut off by $\partial A_+, \partial A'$ from $\partial E(\text{HK}_A)$. Then $A \cup A' \cup B_1 \cup B_2$ bounds a solid torus W in $E(\text{HK}_A)$ by Corollary 2.8. Let X be the closure of the complement $E(\text{HK}_A) - W$ and l_w a core of W , and orient l', l_w so that $[l'] = [l_+]$ and $[l'] = k[l_w]$ with $k > 0$ in $H_1(W)$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(A') \xrightarrow{(\iota_1, \iota_2)} H_1(W) \oplus H_1(X) \xrightarrow{\iota_3 - \iota_4} H_1(E(\text{HK}_A)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\iota_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are induced by the inclusions. Note that ι_4 sends $[l']$ to $[l_+]$ and $[l_-]$ to itself, and ι_1 sends $[l']$ to $k[l_w]$. Since $\{[l_+], [l_-]\}$ is a basis of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$, the image of $[l_w]$ under ι_3 is $m[l_+] + n[l_-]$, for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the identity $\iota_3 \circ \iota_1 = \iota_4 \circ \iota_2$ gives us $km[l_+] + kn[l_-] = [l_+]$, and therefore $n = 0, k = m = 1$. This implies $H_1(A') \xrightarrow{\iota_1} H_1(W)$ is an isomorphism, and hence A' is parallel to A_+ through W in $E(\text{HK}_A)$.

Case 2: A' is separating in $E(\text{HK})$. $\partial A'$ being parallel in ∂HK and being not separating components of ∂A imply that $\partial A'$ is parallel in $\partial E(\text{HK}_A)$. Let $B \subset \partial E(\text{HK}_A)$ be the annulus cut off by $\partial A'$. Then $B \cup A'$ bounds a solid torus W in $E(\text{HK}_A)$ by Corollary 2.8. Set $X := \overline{E(\text{HK}_A) - W}$, and consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(A') \xrightarrow{(\iota_1, \iota_2)} H_1(W) \oplus H_1(X) \xrightarrow{\iota_3 - \iota_4} H_1(E(\text{HK}_A)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\iota_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are induced by the inclusions. Let l_w be a core of W , and orient l', l_w so that $[l'] = k[l_w]$ with $k > 0$. Note that k is necessarily larger than 1 by the essentiality of A' . Since $[l_+], [l_-] \in H_1(X)$ and $H_2(E(\text{HK}_A), X) = 0$, $\iota_4 : H_1(X) \rightarrow H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ is an isomorphism. Let the image of $[l_w]$ under ι_3 be $m[l_+] + n[l_-]$, and the image of $[l']$ under ι_2 be $m'[l_+] + n'[l_-]$, for some $m, n, m', n' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $x = ([l_w], m[l_+] + n[l_-]) \in H_1(W) \oplus H_1(X)$ is in the kernel of $\iota_3 - \iota_4$, and therefore, there exists $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the image of $c[l']$ under (ι_1, ι_2) is x ; in other words, we have the equality

$$(kc[l_w], m'c[l_+] + n'c[l_-]) = ([l_w], m[l_+] + n[l_-]) \in H_1(W) \oplus H_1(X),$$

but this implies $k = c = 1, m = m', n = n'$, contradicting $k > 1$. \square

Lemma 4.10. *$\{[l_+], [l_-]\}$ is a basis of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ if and only if A is of type 2-1 or of type 3-3 with the boundary slope pair $(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{q}{p})$, $pq \neq 0$.*

Proof. “ \Leftarrow ” follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 4.6. “ \Rightarrow ” also results from the same lemmas as $\{[l_+], [l_-]\}$ can form a basis of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$ only if A is of type 2 or of type 3-3. \square

Lemmas 4.9 and 4.10 give us the following uniqueness result.

Corollary 4.11. *If A is of type 2-1 or of type 3-3 with the boundary slope pair $(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{q}{p})$, $pq \neq 0$, then A is the unique annulus in $E(\text{HK})$.*

Lemma 4.12. *Let A, A' be two disjoint type 2-2 annuli in $E(\text{HK})$. If $\partial A, \partial A'$ are parallel in ∂HK , then A, A' are parallel in $E(\text{HK})$.*

Proof. Let $B_1, B_2 \subset \partial\text{HK}$ be the annuli cut off by $\partial A, \partial A'$. Then $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup A \cup A'$ bounds a solid torus W in $E(\text{HK})$ by the atoroidality of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$. Observe that l_A is a longitude of (\mathbb{S}^3, W) since it bounds a disk in HK . This implies $H_1(A) \rightarrow H_1(W)$ is an isomorphism, and hence A, A' are parallel through W in $E(\text{HK})$. \square

Lemma 4.13. *Let A be a type 2-2 annulus. Then there exists another type 2-2 annulus A' disjoint from and non-parallel to A if and only if there exists a type 3-3 annulus A'' with a trivial slop pair disjoint from A .*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: Let $l_{A'} \subset \partial A'$ be the component that bounds a disk in HK and $l' \subset \partial A'$ another component. Then $l_A, l_{A'}$ are parallel and bound an annulus B in ∂HK , and l, l' are non-parallel in ∂HK by Lemma 4.12. The union $A \cup A' \cup B$ induces a type 3-3 annulus, which has a trivial boundary slope pair since $\ell k(l, l') = \ell k(l_A, l_{A'}) = 0$.

“ \Leftarrow ”: Let l'_1, l'_2 be components of $\partial A''$. Then one of them, say l'_1 , is parallel to l in ∂HK . Let $B \subset \partial\text{HK}$ be the annulus cut off by l, l'_1 . Then the union $A \cup B \cup A''$ induces an annulus whose boundary components parallel to l_A, l'_2 and hence an annulus of type 2-2. \square

Corollary 4.14. *Let A, A', A'' be three disjoint type 2-2 annuli in $E(\text{HK})$. Then at least two of them are parallel in $E(\text{HK})$.*

Proof. Let $l' \subset \partial A', l'' \subset \partial A''$ be the components that do not bound a disk in HK , and $l_{A'} \subset \partial A', l_{A''} \subset \partial A''$ the other components. Then $l_A, l_{A'}, l_{A''}$ are parallel in ∂HK by the definition of a type 2-2 annulus.

Suppose A, A' are not parallel in $E(\text{HK})$. Then l, l' are longitudes of the solid tori V, V' in $\text{HK} - \mathring{U}$, where $U \subset \text{HK}$ is the 3-ball cut off by the disks bounded by $l_A, l_{A'}$. In particular, l'' is parallel to either l or l' , so by Lemma 4.12, A'' is parallel to A or A' . \square

Recall that Λ_{EXT} denotes the characteristic diagram of $E(\text{HK})$ and Λ_{HK} the annulus diagram of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$.

Theorem 4.15 (Characteristic diagram of a type $(3, 0, 3, \square)$). *If Λ_{EXT} is of type $(3, 0, 3, \square)$,*

then Λ_{HK} is , where $\square = \bullet$ or \circ , and the unlabeled node corresponds to a Seifert fibered solid torus without exceptional fiber.

Proof. Let A, A', A'' be the non-separating annuli corresponding to the edges of Γ . None of them is of type 2-1 by Corollary 4.11 or of type 3-3 with a non-trivial boundary slope pair by Corollaries 4.11 and 4.7 since no two of them separate $E(\text{HK})$. Therefore, A, A', A'' are of type 2-2 or of type 3-3 with a trivial boundary slope. By Lemma 4.8, at most one of them is of type 3-3, whereas by Corollary 4.14, at most two of them is of type 2-2. This

implies Λ_{HK} is .

Let W be the component corresponding to the unlabeled node, and A the type 3-3 annulus. If a core of A is a (p, q) -curve with respect to (\mathbb{S}^3, W) , then the linking number of the components of ∂A in \mathbb{S}^3 is $\pm pq$. Since A has a trivial boundary slope, $pq = 0$, and by the essentiality of A , $q \neq 0$ and therefore $(p, q) = (0, \pm 1)$. Thus W has no exceptional fiber. \square

Lemma 4.16. *$E(\text{HK})$ contains a non-characteristic, non-separating annulus A if and only if Λ_{EXT} is of type $(1, 0, 0, \bullet)$. In addition, A is of type 3-3 with a boundary slope pair $(\frac{p}{q}, pq)$, $pq \neq 0$, and is the unique non-separating annulus in $E(\text{HK})$.*

Proof. “ \Leftarrow ”: Let X be the component corresponding to the labeled node. By Proposition 4.1, X is I-fibered over a Klein bottle B with an open disk removed. Any non-separating simple loop l in B induces an essential annulus A in X and hence in $E(\text{HK})$ by Lemma 3.9.

Since l cannot be isotoped away from essential separating loops that are not parallel to ∂B in B by [9, Theorem 3.3], A is not characteristic.

“ \Rightarrow ”: By Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.9, we may assume A is an essential annulus in a component X of a characteristic submanifold of $E(\text{HK})$ with A non-parallel to any component of $\partial_{E(\text{HK})}X$. By Proposition 4.1, X is either an I-bundle with $\chi(\partial X) < 0$ or a Seifert fibered solid torus. The latter is impossible because $\#\partial_{E(\text{HK})}X \leq 3$ by Theorem 4.2 and, when $\#\partial_{E(\text{HK})}X = 3$, it has no exceptional fiber by Theorem 4.15.

Therefore, X is an I-bundle over a Mobius band or Klein bottle with an open disk removed; in particular, Λ_{EXT} is of type $(2, 0, 0, \bullet)$ or of type $(1, 0, 0, \bullet)$. It may be assumed that A is vertical with respect to the I-bundle structure by [16, Corollary 5.7]. In the former case, up to isotopy, there are only two essential annuli disjoint from $\partial_{E(\text{HK})}X$ and not parallel to any component of $\partial_{E(\text{HK})}X$. Since both are separating, they cannot be A . Thus X is an I-bundle over a Klein bottle with an opened disk removed B , and Λ_{EXT} is of type $(1, 0, 0, \bullet)$.

By [9, Theorem 3.3], every two non-separating simple loops in a Klein bottle with an opened disk removed are isotopic, so A is the unique non-separating annulus in $E(\text{HK})$. Now, to determine the type of A , first note that the annulus $A' := \partial_{E(\text{HK})}X \subset E(\text{HK})$ is an annulus non-isotopic to A , so A is not of type 2-1 or of type 3-3 with a boundary slope pair $(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{q}{p})$, $pq \neq 0$, by Corollary 4.11. Denote by X' the solid torus $\overline{E(\text{HK}) - X}$ and observe that, by the essentiality of $A' = X \cap X' \subset E(\text{HK})$, the homomorphism

$$H_1(A') \simeq \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{k} \mathbb{Z} \simeq H_1(X')$$

induced by the inclusion neither is trivial nor is an isomorphism, namely $k \neq 0, \pm 1$. On the other hand, the decomposition $E(\text{HK}_A) = (X - \mathfrak{R}(A)) \cup X'$ gives us the isomorphism:

$$H_1(E(\text{HK}_A)) \simeq \langle v_+, v_-, u \rangle / v_+ + v_- = \pm ku, \quad (4.1)$$

where u is a generator of $H_1(X')$, $v_{\pm} = [l_{\pm}]$, and l_{\pm} are the cores of the frontier $\partial_{E(\text{HK})}\mathfrak{R}(A)$. If A is of type 2-2, then by Lemma 2.1, v_- is trivial in $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$, so $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. If A is of type 3-3 with a trivial boundary slope, then at least one of v_+, v_- is not a generator by Lemma 4.5, contradicting (4.1), as both $\{v_+, u\}$ and $\{v_-, u\}$ form a basis of $H_1(E(\text{HK}_A))$. Therefore A is of type 3-3 with a boundary slope pair $(\frac{p}{q}, pq)$, $pq \neq 0$. \square


The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.16.

Corollary 4.17. *If A is of type 2 or of type 3-3 with a trivial boundary slope or a boundary slope pair $(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{q}{p})$, $pq \neq 0$, then A is characteristic.*

Corollary 4.18. *Up to isotopy, non-separating annuli in $E(\text{HK})$ are mutually disjoint.*

Theorem 4.19 (Classification Theorem).

- (i) *If $E(\text{HK})$ admits a type 2-1 annulus, then Λ_{HK} is $h_1 \circlearrowright 2$.*
- (ii) *If $E(\text{HK})$ admits a type 2-2 annulus, then Λ_{HK} is one of the following:*



Proof. (i) follows from Corollary 4.11. To see (ii), let S be a characteristic surface of $E(\text{HK})$. By Proposition 4.1, S consists of at most three annuli, and by the assumption and Corollary 4.17, it may be assumed that S contains a type 2-2 annulus.

Case 1: $\#S = 1$. This implies Λ_{HK} is $h_2 \circlearrowright 2$.

Case 2: $\#S = 2$. Let $A' \in S$ be the other annulus. Then by Corollaries 4.11 and 4.7, it is not of type 2-1, or of type 3-3 with a non-trivial boundary slope. By Lemma 4.13 and

Corollary 4.17, it is not of type 2-2 or of type 3-3 with a trivial boundary slope pair since $\#S = 2$. Therefore A' is separating, and by Lemma 4.4, it is not of type 4-1, so Λ_{HK} is

$$h_2 \circlearrowleft \xrightarrow{k_1} \bullet \quad \text{OR} \quad h_2 \circlearrowright \xrightarrow{k_2} \bullet$$

Case 3: $\#S = 3$. Let A', A'' be the other two annuli. Then at least one of them, say A' , is non-separating by Theorem 4.2. On the other hand, A' cannot be of type 2-1 or of type 3-3 with a non-trivial boundary slope by Corollaries 4.11 and 4.7, so A' is of type 2-2 or of type 3-3 with a trivial boundary slope; this implies that A'' is of type 3-3 with a trivial boundary slope or of type 2-2, respectively, by Lemma 4.13 and Corollary 4.17. Therefore

$$\Lambda_{\text{HK}} \text{ is } h_2 \circlearrowleft \begin{matrix} 2 \\ | \\ l_0 \\ | \\ h_2 \end{matrix} \text{ OR } h_2 \circlearrowright \begin{matrix} 2 \\ | \\ l_0 \\ | \\ h_2 \end{matrix} .$$

□

We now give a characterization of $(\mathbb{S}^3, 4_1)$ in terms of characteristic diagrams.

Lemma 4.20. *Suppose the annulus diagrams of the handlebody-knots $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}), (\mathbb{S}^3, \widetilde{\text{HK}})$*

both are $h_2 \circlearrowleft \begin{matrix} 2 \\ | \\ l_0 \\ | \\ h_2 \end{matrix}$. Then $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ and $(\mathbb{S}^3, \widetilde{\text{HK}})$ are equivalent.

Proof. Let A (resp. \tilde{A}) and A_0, A_1 (resp. \tilde{A}_0, \tilde{A}_1) be the type 3-3 annulus and the two type 2-2 annuli in $E(\text{HK})$ (resp. $E(\widetilde{\text{HK}})$), respectively, and denote by l_{A_0}, l_{A_1} (resp. $\tilde{l}_{A_0}, \tilde{l}_{A_1}$) the boundary components of A_0, A_1 (resp. \tilde{A}_0, \tilde{A}_1) that bound disks $\mathcal{D}_{A_0}, \mathcal{D}_{A_1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{A}_0}, \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{A}_1}$) in HK (resp. $\widetilde{\text{HK}}$), respectively. Also, let $U \subset E(\text{HK}), \tilde{U} \subset E(\widetilde{\text{HK}})$ be the I-bundles and W, \tilde{W} their exteriors in $E(\text{HK}), E(\widetilde{\text{HK}})$, respectively. Note that W (resp. \tilde{W}) are Seifert fibered solid torus with frontier in $E(\text{HK}) A \cup A_0 \cup A_1$ (resp. $\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{A}_0 \cup \tilde{A}_1$), and l_{A_0}, l_{A_1} (resp. $\tilde{l}_{A_0}, \tilde{l}_{A_1}$) lie in different lids of U (resp. \tilde{U}); see Fig. 4.1.

To show $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}), (\mathbb{S}^3, \widetilde{\text{HK}})$ are equivalent, we first construct a homeomorphism

$$f_0 : (U, A, A_0, A_1, l_{A_0}, l_{A_1}) \rightarrow (\tilde{U}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0, \tilde{A}_1, \tilde{l}_{A_0}, \tilde{l}_{A_1}).$$

To do this, we identify U, \tilde{U} with $P \times I, \tilde{P} \times I$, respectively, where P, \tilde{P} are pairs of pants. Let C, C_0, C_1 (resp. $\tilde{C}, \tilde{C}_0, \tilde{C}_1$) be the components of ∂P (resp. $\partial \tilde{P}$), and identify $(C_0 \times I, C_0 \times 0)$ and $(C_1 \times I, C_1 \times 1)$ with (A_0, l_{A_0}) and (A_1, l_{A_1}) (resp. $(\tilde{C}_0 \times I, \tilde{C}_0 \times 0)$ and $(\tilde{C}_1 \times I, \tilde{C}_1 \times 1)$ with $(\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{l}_{A_0})$ and $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{l}_{A_1})$), respectively.

It is not difficult to see there exist homeomorphisms $g_i : P \times i \rightarrow \tilde{P} \times i$ that map $(C \times i, C_0 \times i, C_1 \times i)$ to $(\tilde{C} \times i, \tilde{C}_0 \times i, \tilde{C}_1 \times i)$, $i = 0, 1$. On the other hand, since the mapping class group of a three-times punctured sphere is given by the permutation group on the punctures, g_0, g_1 can be extended to f_0 .




FIGURE 4.1. Decompose $E(\text{HK})$ and HK .

Now, let $V, V_0, V_1 \subset \text{HK}$ (resp. $\tilde{V}, \tilde{V}_0, \tilde{V}_1 \subset \widetilde{\text{HK}}$) be the 3-ball and two solid tori cut off by $\mathcal{D}_{A_0}, \mathcal{D}_{A_1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{A}_0}, \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{A}_1}$) such that $\mathcal{D}_{A_i}, P \times i \subset \partial V_i$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{A}_i}, \tilde{P} \times i \subset \partial \tilde{V}_i$), $i = 0, 1$. Then the exterior $E(V \cup W)$ (resp. $E(\tilde{V} \cup \tilde{W})$) of $V \cup W$ (resp. $\tilde{V} \cup \tilde{W}$) in \mathbb{S}^3 is $U \cup V_0 \cup V_1$ (resp. $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_0 \cup \tilde{V}_1$); see Fig. 4.1, and f_0 can be extended to a homeomorphism

$$f_1 : (E(V \cup W), U, V_0, V_1) \rightarrow (E(\tilde{V} \cup \tilde{W}), \tilde{U}, \tilde{V}_0, \tilde{V}_1)$$

as follows. Extend first the restriction $f_0|_{P \times i}$ to a homeomorphism

$$\tilde{f}_0 : \partial(V_0 \cup V_1) \rightarrow \partial(\tilde{V}_0 \cup \tilde{V}_1)$$

that sends a meridian of V_i to a meridian of \tilde{V}_i , $i = 0, 1$; this can be done because $\partial V_i - \dot{P} \times i$ consists of an annulus and the disk \mathcal{D}_{A_i} . Then extend \tilde{f}_0 to a homeomorphism from $V_0 \cup V_1$ to $\tilde{V}_0 \cup \tilde{V}_1$, which, together with f_0 , induces f_1 .

Next, observe that $\partial E(V \cup W)$ (resp. $\partial E(\tilde{V} \cup \tilde{W})$) consists of an annulus $A^b := \partial W \cap (U \cup V_0 \cup V_1) \subset \partial W$ (resp. $\tilde{A}^b := \partial \tilde{W} \cap (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_0 \cup \tilde{V}_1) \subset \partial \tilde{W}$) and the disks $\mathcal{D}_{A_0}, \mathcal{D}_{A_1} \subset \partial V$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{A}_0}, \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{A}_1} \subset \partial \tilde{V}$). Thus we can extend the restriction $f_1|_{A^b}$ to a homeomorphism

$$\tilde{f}_1 : (W, A^b) \rightarrow (\tilde{W}, \tilde{A}^b).$$

Gluing \tilde{f}_1 and f_1 together yields a homeomorphism

$$f_2 : (E(V), U, V_1, V_2, W) \rightarrow (E(\tilde{V}), \tilde{U}, \tilde{V}_1, \tilde{V}_2, \tilde{W}).$$

Since $V \subset \text{HK}$, $\tilde{V} \subset \widetilde{\text{HK}}$ are 3-balls, by the Alexander trick, $f_2|_{\partial V}$ can be extended to a homeomorphism

$$\tilde{f}_2 : (V, \partial V) \rightarrow (\tilde{V}, \partial \tilde{V}).$$

Gluing \tilde{f}_2 and f_2 together yields a homeomorphism

$$(\mathbb{S}^3, U, W, V_1, V_2, V) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^3, \tilde{U}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{V}_1, \tilde{V}_2, \tilde{V}),$$

and hence an equivalence between $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ and $(\mathbb{S}^3, \widetilde{\text{HK}})$. \square




FIGURE 4.2. Annulus diagram of $(\mathbb{S}^3, 4_1)$.

A double looping $(\mathbb{S}^3, \Gamma^\circ)$ of (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) is the spatial graph obtained by looping at both trivalent nodes of Γ . Taking a regular neighborhood of a looping Γ° (resp. double looping Γ°) in \mathbb{S}^3 , we obtained a handlebody-knot, denoted by $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_\Gamma^\circ)$ (resp. $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_\Gamma^\circ)$), whose exterior contains a canonical type 2 annulus A_Γ° induced by the new loop in $(\mathbb{S}^3, \Gamma^\circ)$.

A spatial graph (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) is said to be *irreducible* and *atoroidal* if the induced handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \mathfrak{R}(\Gamma))$ is irreducible and atoroidal.

Lemma 5.1. *If (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) is irreducible and atoroidal, then the handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_\Gamma^\circ)$ induced by a looping of (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) is irreducible and atoroidal, and $A_\Gamma^\circ \subset E(\text{HK}_\Gamma^\circ)$ is of type 2-1 and is the unique annulus if Γ is a θ -graph, and is of type 2-2 if Γ is a handcuff graph.*

Proof. The disk bounded by a component of ∂A_Γ° in HK_Γ° is dual to the two edges being looped, so A_Γ° is of type 2-1 if Γ is a θ -graph and of type 2-2 otherwise. The essentiality of A_Γ° follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6, and the irreducibility and atoroidality of $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_\Gamma^\circ)$ from Propositions 2.10 and 2.11. \square

Corollary 5.2. *If (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) is irreducible and atoroidal, then any handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_\Gamma^\circ)$ obtained by a double looping of (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) is irreducible and atoroidal, and its exterior contains two non-isotopic type 2-2 annuli.*

Proof. The two canonical annuli are of type 2-2 since any looping $(\mathbb{S}^3, \Gamma^\circ)$ is a spatial handcuff graph. The rest follows from Lemma 5.1. \square

As an application of Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.2, we consider the spine (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) of $(\mathbb{S}^3, 5_2)$ in [15] as shown in Fig. 5.3a. Then Fig. 5.3b is a looping of (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) and its associated handlebody-knot has the annulus diagram $h_1 \circlearrowright 2$; on the other hand, the double

looping of (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) in Fig. 5.3c induces a handlebody-knot whose annulus diagram is





(a) Spine of $(\mathbb{S}^3, 5_2)$.(b) Looping of $(\mathbb{S}^3, 5_2)$.(c) Double looping of $(\mathbb{S}^3, 5_2)$.

FIGURE 5.3. Handlebody-knots with unknotting type 2 annuli.

5.2. Unknotting annuli of type two. In this subsection, we construct handlebody-knots whose exterior contain an *unknotting* annulus of type 2, in contrast to Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.2.

Let (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) be a spatial θ -graph that is a union of a non-trivial knot (\mathbb{S}^3, K) and a tunnel τ of (\mathbb{S}^3, K) . Let κ_1, κ_2 be the arcs of K cut off by τ . Then a *tunnel* looping of $(\mathbb{S}^3, K \cup \tau)$ is a looping obtained by looping $k_i \tau$ at a trivalent node of $\Gamma = K \cup \tau$, $i = 1$ or 2 .

Lemma 5.3. *The handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_\Gamma^\circ)$ induced by a tunnel looping of (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) is irreducible and atoroidal, and $E(\text{HK}_\Gamma^\circ)$ admits an unknotting type 2-1 annulus.*

Proof. It follows from the construction itself and Proposition 2.10 since (\mathbb{S}^3, K) is non-trivial. \square

To produce unknotting type 2-2 annuli, we let (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) be the union of a non-split link (\mathbb{S}^3, L) and a tunnel τ of (\mathbb{S}^3, L) .

Lemma 5.4. *The handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_\tau^L)$ induced by a looping of (\mathbb{S}^3, Γ) is irreducible and atoroidal, and $E(\text{HK}_\tau^L)$ admits an unknotting type 2-2 annulus.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.11 since (\mathbb{S}^3, L) is non-split. \square

Now, to show that all annulus diagrams in Theorem 4.19 can be realized by some handlebody-knots, we consider first the union of an $(n, 2)$ -torus link $(\mathbb{S}^3, L_n = l_1 \cup l_2)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with a tunnel τ as depicted in Fig. 5.4a. Denote by $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_n)$ the handlebody-knot induced by the looping of $(\mathbb{S}^3, L_n \cup \tau)$ in Fig. 5.4b. Note that $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_2)$ is equivalent to $(\mathbb{S}^3, 4_1)$, while $\{(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_n)\}_{n>2}$ is Koda's handlebody-knot family in [19, Example 3]; in particular, Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 give an alternative way to see they are all irreducible.




FIGURE 5.4. Construction of Koda's handlebody-knot family.

Observe that if $n > 2$ and is even, the handlebody-knot exterior $E(\text{HK}_n)$ contains a type 3-2 annulus A given as follows: let A_c be a cabling annulus in $E(L_n)$ with $\tau \cap E(L_n) \subset A_c$. Denote by B the annulus $\partial\mathfrak{R}(l_2) - \mathfrak{R}(A_c)$, where $\mathfrak{R}(l_2)$ is the component of $\mathfrak{R}(L_n)$ containing l_2 ; note that the looping construction can be performed entirely in $\mathfrak{R}(l_2)$. The frontier of $\mathfrak{R}(l_2) \cup \mathfrak{R}(A_c) \subset E(l_1)$ then induces an essential annulus $A \subset E(\text{HK}_n)$ of type 3-2ii as A is ∂ -compressible in $E(l_1)$.

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose $n > 2$ and is even. Then the annulus diagram of the handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_n)$ obtained by the looping of $(\mathbb{S}^3, L_n \cup \tau)$ in Fig. 5.4b is $h_2 \circlearrowleft \frac{k_2(\frac{n}{2})}{2}$.*




FIGURE 5.5. Handlebody-knot exteriors that contain a type 3-2i annulus.

Next we consider the union of the 2-component link (\mathbb{S}^3, L_n) with n odd and the tunnel τ in Fig. 5.5a. Then the looping of $(\mathbb{S}^3, L_n \cup \tau)$ in Fig. 5.5b induces a handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_n)$ whose exterior contains a type 3-2i annulus given by the cabling annulus of the $(n, 2)$ -torus knot component of (\mathbb{S}^3, L_n) , so we have the following.

Corollary 5.6. *The annulus diagram of the handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}_n)$ obtained by the looping of $(\mathbb{S}^3, L_n \cup \tau)$ in Fig. 5.5b is $h_2 \circlearrowright_{2}^{k_1} \bullet$.*

Lastly, to produce handlebody-knots with the annulus diagram $h_2 \circlearrowright_{2}$, we recall that given a handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ with a type 2-2 annulus $A \subset E(\text{HK})$, the loops l_+, l_- determines a spine Γ_A of HK_A . Denote by l_1, l_2 the constituent loops in Γ_A with l_2 disjoint from a disk in HK_A bounded by l_+ . Then we have the following criterion for the non-uniqueness of $A \subset E(\text{HK})$.

Lemma 5.7. (1) *Suppose $E(\text{HK})$ contains a type 3-2 annulus A' . Then $\ell k(l_1, l_2) \neq \pm 1$.*
 (2) *Suppose $E(\text{HK})$ contains a type 2-2 annulus A' not isotopic to A , and (\mathbb{S}^3, l_1) is a trivial knot. Then $(\mathbb{S}^3, l_1 \cup l_2)$ is either a trivial link or a Hopf link.*

Proof. (1): Let $W \subset E(\text{HK})$ be the solid torus cut off by A' , and l_w an oriented core of W . Note that the core $l_w \subset \partial W$ of A' is a (p, q) -curve with respect to (\mathbb{S}^3, l_w) with $|q| > 1$ since $A' \subset E(\text{HK})$ is essential. Orient l_1, l_2 so that $[l_2] = [l'_a] \in H_1(\text{HK}_A)$. Then if the linking number $\ell k(l_1, l_w)$ is m , the linking number $\ell k(l_1, l_2)$ is $qm \neq \pm 1$.

(2): Observe first that (\mathbb{S}^3, l_2) is trivial by the existence of A' . Therefore, $(\mathbb{S}^3, l_1 \cup l_2)$ is trivial if it is split. Suppose it is non-split. Then the disk $D \subset E(l_2)$ induced by A' meets l_1 at exactly one point. Denote by W the exterior $\overline{E(l_2) - \mathfrak{R}(D)}$, and observe that, since (\mathbb{S}^3, l_1) is trivial, the ball-arc pair $(W, l_1 \cap W)$ is trivial, so $(\mathbb{S}^3, l_1 \cup l_2)$ is a Hopf link. \square




FIGURE 5.6. Handlebody-knot exteriors that contain a unique annulus.

Consider now the handcuff graph given by the union of the 2-component link (\mathbb{S}^3, L_n) with n odd and the tunnel τ in Fig. 5.6a.

Corollary 5.8. *The handlebody-knot induced by the looping of $(\mathbb{S}^3, L_n \cup \tau)$ in Fig. 5.6b is irreducible and atoroidal with the annulus diagram $h_2 \circlearrowright_{2}$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 5.4 and 5.7 since the linking number of (\mathbb{S}^3, L_n) is ± 1 , and it is not a Hopf link, for every odd n . \square

The handlebody-knots induced by the loopings in Figs. 5.3b, 5.3c, 5.4b, 5.5b, and 5.6b imply the following.

Proposition 5.9. *Annulus diagrams in Theorem 4.19 can all be realized by some handlebody-knots.*

6. HANDLEBODY-KNOT SYMMETRIES AND GEOMETRIC REALIZATION

In this section, we compute the symmetry groups of handlebody-knots whose exteriors contain a type 2 annulus, based on the classification in Theorem 4.19. We start by fixing the notation and reviewing some properties regarding mapping class groups.

6.1. Mapping class group. Given subpolyhedra X_1, \dots, X_n of a manifold M , the space of self-homeomorphisms of M preserving X_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, setwise (resp. pointwise) is denoted by

$$\mathcal{H}omeo(M, X_1, \dots, X_n) \quad (\text{resp. } \mathcal{H}omeo(M, \text{rel } X_1, \dots, X_n)),$$

and the mapping class group of (M, X_1, \dots, X_n) is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} MCG(M, X_1, \dots, X_n) &:= \pi_0(\mathcal{H}omeo(M, X_1, \dots, X_n)) \\ &(\text{resp. } MCG(M, \text{rel } X_1, \dots, X_n) := \pi_0(\mathcal{H}omeo(M, \text{rel } X_1, \dots, X_n))). \end{aligned}$$

The “+” subscript is added when considering only orientation-preserving homeomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}omeo_+(M, X_1, \dots, X_n) &(\text{resp. } \mathcal{H}omeo_+(M, \text{rel } X_1, \dots, X_n)), \\ MCG_+(M, X_1, \dots, X_n) &(\text{resp. } MCG_+(M, \text{rel } X_1, \dots, X_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Given $f \in \mathcal{H}omeo(M, X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $[f]$ denotes the mapping class it represents. In the case $M = \mathbb{S}^3$, the mapping class group is also called the *symmetry* group. Below we recall some properties of mapping class groups of surfaces and three-manifolds

Lemma 6.1 (Cutting Homomorphism, [6, Proposition 3.20]). *Let Σ be a closed surface and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ mutually disjoint and non-homotopic simple loops in Σ . Then there is a well-defined homomorphism*

$$\text{cut} : MCG_+(\Sigma, [\alpha_1], \dots, [\alpha_n]) \rightarrow MCG_+(\Sigma - \mathfrak{R}(\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_n))$$

whose kernel is generated by the Dehn twists about $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, where the group

$$MCG_+(\Sigma, [\alpha_1], \dots, [\alpha_n])$$

is the subgroup of $MCG_+(\Sigma)$ given by homeomorphisms that preserve the isotopy classes of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, respectively.

Then next two lemmas are proved in [5] and [8] (see also [28, Remark 2.1]).

Lemma 6.2 ([5, Lemma 2.3]). *If $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is atoroidal, then*

$$MCG_+(E(\text{HK}), \text{rel } \partial E(\text{HK})) \simeq \{1\}.$$

Lemma 6.3 ([8]). *$(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is irreducible and atoroidal if and only if $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is finite.*

Lemma 6.4. *Let (W, \underline{w}) be a solid torus with boundary pattern, where $\underline{w} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ with G_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, all annuli and $|\underline{w}| = \partial W$. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{H}omeo_+(W, G_1, \dots, G_n)$ does not swap the components of ∂G_1 —which holds automatically when $n > 2$. Then f is isotopic to id in $\mathcal{H}omeo_+(W, G_1, \dots, G_n)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, it may be assumed that $G_i \cap G_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|i-j| \leq 1$. Denote by U_k the union $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_k$ and set $U_0 = \emptyset$. Observe that, if $f|_{U_{k-1}} = \text{id}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, then f can be isotoped in

$$\mathcal{H}omeo_+(W, G_k, \dots, G_n, \text{rel } U_{k-1}), \tag{6.1}$$

so that $f|_{U_k} = \text{id}$. To see this, we first isotope $f|_{U_k}$ to id in $\mathcal{H}omeo(U_k, \text{rel } U_{k-1})$ as follows: In the case $k = 1$, it results from the assumption that f does not swap components of ∂G_1 , whereas if $1 < k < n$, it follows from the fact that $MCG(U_k, \text{rel } U_{k-1}) = \{1\}$. If $k = n$, it is a consequence of f sending meridian disks of W to themselves. Via a regular neighborhood of U_k in W , the isotopy of $f|_{U_k}$ can be extended to an isotopy in (6.1) that isotopes f so that

$f|_{U_\epsilon} = \text{id}$. Hence by induction, we may assume $f \in \mathcal{H}\text{omeo}(W, \text{rel } \partial W)$, and the assertion follows since $MCG(W, \text{rel } W) \simeq \{1\}$. \square

Lemma 6.5. *Let W be a solid torus and $A \subset \partial W$ an annulus with $H_1(A) \rightarrow H_1(W)$ non-trivial and not an isomorphism. Then $MCG(W, A) \simeq MCG_+(W, A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. Identify W with the subspace of \mathbb{C}^2

$$\{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z_1 = re^{i\theta}, z_2 = \sqrt{2-r^2}e^{i\phi}, -\pi \leq \theta, \phi \leq \pi, 0 \leq r \leq 1\},$$

and $A \subset W$ with

$$\{z_1 = e^{ip(t+s)}, z_2 = e^{iqt}, -\pi \leq t \leq \pi, -\epsilon \leq s \leq \epsilon\},$$

where p, q are coprime integers with $q > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{\pi}{q}$. Let c_A, c_W be the cores of A, W given by $s = 0, r = 0$, respectively, and orient them so that $[c_A] = q[c_W] \in H_1(W)$. By the assumption, $q \neq 0$ or ± 1 , and therefore $\ell k(c_A, c_W)$ is non-trivial and every homeomorphism f of (W, A) either preserves the orientations of both c_A, c_W or reverses them. This implies f is orientation-preserving.

On the other hand, the conjugation

$$c : (z_1, z_2) \in W \mapsto (\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) \in W$$

preserves A but swaps its boundary components, so it is non-trivial in $MCG_+(W, A)$. By Lemma 6.4, it generates the entire group since any homeomorphism $f \in \mathcal{H}\text{omeo}(W, A)$ either swap components of ∂A or preserve them. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let W be a solid torus and $A_1, A_2 \subset \partial W$ two disjoint annuli with $H_1(A_i) \rightarrow H_1(W)$, $i = 1, 2$, isomorphisms. Then $MCG_+(W, A_1 \cup A_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $MCG(W, A_1 \cup A_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. Identify W with $\mathbb{Q} \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$, where S^1 is the unit circle $\{z = e^{i\theta}\}$ and \mathbb{Q} is the square given by

$$\{(x, y) \mid -1 \leq x, y \leq 1\}.$$

Identify A_1, A_2 with the annuli given by $y = \pm 1$, and their cores c_1, c_2 with the loops given by $x = 0$, and denote by B_1, B_2 the annuli in the closure of $W - A$.

Consider $r_i \in \mathcal{H}\text{omeo}_+(W, A_1 \cup A_2)$, $i = 1, 2$, defined by the assignments:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q} \times S^1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \times S^1 \\ (x, y, z) &\mapsto (-x, -y, z), \\ (x, y, z) &\mapsto (-x, y, \bar{z}) \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Note that r_1, r_2 both are of order 2 and commute with each other. In addition, r_1 swaps A_1, A_2 and also B_1, B_2 , whereas r_2 swaps A_1, A_2 but preserves B_1, B_2 , so their composition $r_1 \circ r_2$ swaps B_1, B_2 but preserves A_1, A_2 . Since every $f \in \mathcal{H}\text{omeo}(W, A_1 \cup A_2)$ either swaps A_1, A_2 (resp. B_1, B_2) or preserves them. By Lemma 6.4, $\{[r_1], [r_2]\}$ generates $MCG_+(W, A_1 \cup A_2)$.

To see $MCG(W, A_1 \cup A_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, consider $m \in \mathcal{H}\text{omeo}(W, A_1 \cup A_2)$ defined by the assignment

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q} \times S^1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \times S^1 \\ (x, y, z) &\mapsto (-x, y, z), \end{aligned}$$

which is orientation-reversing, commutes with r_i , $i = 1, 2$, and together with r_i , $i = 1, 2$, generates $MCG(W, A_1 \cup A_2)$. \square

Lemma 6.7. *Let W be a solid torus and $A_1, A_2, A_3 \subset \partial W$ three disjoint annuli with $H_1(A_i) \rightarrow H_1(W)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, isomorphisms. Then $MCG_+(W, A_1, A_2 \cup A_3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $MCG(W, A_1, A_2 \cup A_3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. Identify W with $\mathcal{H} \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, where $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the unit circle, and $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{C}$ the regular hexagon with center at origin and vertices $v_k = e^{\frac{2\pi k}{6}}$, $k = 1, \dots, 6$. Identify A_k with the product of the edge e_k connecting v_{2k-1}, v_{2k} and S^1 , $k = 1, 2, 3$. Denote by $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{H}omeo_+(W, A_1, A_2 \cup A_3)$ the homeomorphism given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \times S^1 &\rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times S^1 \\ (z_1, z_2) &\mapsto (-\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2); \end{aligned}$$

\mathbf{r} swaps A_2, A_3 and hence represents a non-trivial mapping class in $MCG_+(W, A_1, A_2 \cup A_3)$. Since every $f \in \mathcal{H}omeo_+(W, A_1, A_2 \cup A_3)$ either swaps A_2, A_3 or preserves them, by Lemma 6.4, either $[f] = [\mathbf{r}]$ or $[f]$ is trivial, so $MCG_+(W, A_1, A_2 \cup A_3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$. On the other hand, there is an orientation-reversing homeomorphism $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{H}omeo(W, A_1, A_2 \cup A_3)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \times S^1 &\rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times S^1 \\ (z_1, z_2) &\mapsto (z_1, \bar{z}_2), \end{aligned}$$

which is of order 2 and commutes with \mathbf{r} , and they together generate $MCG(W, A_1, A_2 \cup A_3)$. \square

The next lemma follows from [11, Section 2] (see also [12, Theorem 1]).

Lemma 6.8. *Given a handlebody-knot $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ and an essential surface S in $E(\text{HK})$, the natural homomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, S) &\rightarrow MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}), \\ MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, \mathfrak{R}(S)) &\rightarrow MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \end{aligned}$$

are injective.

6.2. Symmetry groups of handlebody-knots. Throughout the subsection, $(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is an irreducible, atoroidal handlebody-knot, and A is a type 2 annulus in $E(\text{HK})$. Recall from Section 2, l, l_A denote the boundary components of A with l_A bounding a disk in HK . Also, we identify the intersection $\mathfrak{R}(A) \cap \partial\text{HK}$ with $\mathfrak{R}(l \cup l_A) = \mathfrak{R}(l) \cup \mathfrak{R}(l_A)$.

Theorem 6.9. *If A is of type 2-1, then $MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) < \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) < \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. Note first that the injection $MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, \mathfrak{R}(A)) \rightarrow MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ in Lemma 6.8 is an isomorphism since A is unique by Theorem 4.19, composing its inverse with the homomorphism $MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, \mathfrak{R}(A)) \xrightarrow{\Phi} MCG_+(\mathfrak{R}(A), A_+ \cup A_-)$ given by restriction to $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ yields the homomorphism

$$MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \simeq MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, \mathfrak{R}(A)) \rightarrow MCG_+(\mathfrak{R}(A), A_+ \cup A_-).$$

By Lemma 6.6, it then suffices to show the injectivity of Φ as it entails the injectivity of

$$MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, \mathfrak{R}(A)) \rightarrow MCG(\mathfrak{R}(A), A_+ \cup A_-).$$

To see Φ is injective, let $[f] \in MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, \mathfrak{R}(A))$ with $\Phi([f]) = 1$. This implies $f|_{\partial\text{HK} - \mathfrak{R}(l \cup l_A)}$ does not permute punctures of the four-times punctured sphere $\partial\text{HK} - \mathfrak{R}(l \cup l_A)$, and thus $[f]_{\partial\text{HK} - \mathfrak{R}(l \cup l_A)} = 1 \in MCG_+(\partial\text{HK} - \mathfrak{R}(l \cup l_A))$ since $[f]_{\partial\text{HK} - \mathfrak{R}(l \cup l_A)}$ is of finite order by Lemma 6.3. Similarly, $[f]_{\partial\text{HK}}$ is of finite order in $MCG_+(\partial\text{HK}, [l], [l_A])$, and hence by Lemma 6.1, it is the identity. Because $f|_{\partial\text{HK}}$ is isotopic to id , f can be isotoped in $\mathcal{H}omeo(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ so that $f|_{\partial\text{HK}} = \text{id}$. Applying Lemma 6.2, one can further isotope f to id in $\mathcal{H}omeo(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{rel } \partial\text{HK})$. \square

Theorem 6.10. *If $A \subset E(\text{HK})$ is the unique type 2-2 annulus, then $MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \simeq \{1\}$ and $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) < \mathbb{Z}_2$. If in addition $E(\text{HK})$ admits an annulus A' of another type, then $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \simeq MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \simeq \{1\}$.*

Proof. As in the previous case, the uniqueness of A gives us the homomorphism

$$MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \simeq MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, \mathfrak{R}(A)) \xrightarrow{\Phi} MCG_+(\mathfrak{R}(A), A_+ \cup A_-).$$

The first assertion follows from the injectivity of Φ because a homeomorphism $f \in \mathcal{H}omeo_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, \mathfrak{R}(A))$ can neither swap A_+, A_- or swap $\mathfrak{R}(l), \mathfrak{R}(l_A)$ by the definition of a type 2-2 annulus. On the other hand, The second assertion can be derived from the first as follows: by Theorem 4.19, A' is the unique type 3-2 annulus in $E(\text{HK})$. Let $W \subset E(\text{HK})$ be the solid torus cut off by A' . Then by the essentiality of A , $H_1(A) \rightarrow H_1(W)$ is non-trivial and not an isomorphism. On the other hand, by Lemma 6.8, there is a homomorphism

$$MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) \simeq MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, A) = MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, W) \rightarrow MCG(W, A).$$

Now, if $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ is non-trivial, then by the first assertion, $MCG(W, A)$ contains a mapping class represented by an orientation-reversing homeomorphism, contradicting Lemma 6.5.

It therefore suffices to show Φ is injective. Let $[f] \in MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ with $\Phi([f]) = 1 \in MCG_+(\mathfrak{R}(A), A_+ \cup A_-)$. We can isotope $g := f|_{\partial\text{HK}}$ in $\mathcal{H}omeo_+(\partial\text{HK}, \mathfrak{R}(l \cup l_A))$ so that $g|_{\mathfrak{R}(l \cup l_A)} = \text{id}$. Let D be the meridian disk disjoint from l_A and dual to l . Then one can further isotope g in $\mathcal{H}omeo_+(\partial\text{HK}, \text{rel } \mathfrak{R}(l \cup l_A))$ so that $g|_{\mathfrak{R}(\partial D)} = \text{id}$. In other words, $f|_{\partial\text{HK}}$ represents a mapping class in $MCG_+(\partial\text{HK}, \text{rel } \mathfrak{R}(\partial D \cup l))$. Now, the homomorphism induced by the inclusion

$$MCG_+(\partial\text{HK}, \text{rel } \mathfrak{R}(\partial D \cup l)) \rightarrow MCG_+(\partial\text{HK})$$

is injective by [6, Theorem 3.18], and by Lemma 6.3, $[f|_{\partial\text{HK}}] \in MCG_+(\partial\text{HK})$ is of finite order, so $[f|_{\partial\text{HK}}] \in MCG_+(\partial\text{HK}, \text{rel } \mathfrak{R}(\partial D \cup l))$ is also of finite order. The group $MCG_+(\partial\text{HK}, \text{rel } \mathfrak{R}(\partial D \cup l))$ is, however, torsion free, and hence $f|_{\partial\text{HK}}$ is isotopic to id in $\mathcal{H}omeo_+(\partial\text{HK})$. We may thence isotope f in $\mathcal{H}omeo_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ so that $f|_{\partial\text{HK}} = \text{id}$. By Lemma 6.2, f can be further isotoped to id in $\mathcal{H}omeo_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{rel } \partial\text{HK})$. \square

Theorem 6.11. *If $A \subset E(\text{HK})$ is of type 2-2 but not the unique type 2-2 annulus, then $MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) < \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $MCG(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) < \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.19, $E(\text{HK})$ admits a unique type 3-3 annulus A_0 , and exactly two non-isotopic annuli A, A' , which cut off a solid torus $W \subset E(\text{HK})$ and form a characteristic surface of $E(\text{HK})$; this together with Lemma 6.8 gives us the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}) &\simeq MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, A_0, A_1 \cup A_2) \\ &= MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, W) \xrightarrow{\Phi} MCG_+(W, A_0, A_1 \cup A_2). \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove that Φ is injective, in view of Lemma 6.7.

Let $[f] \in MCG_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK}, W)$ with $\Phi([f]) = 1 \in MCG_+(W, A_0, A_1 \cup A_2)$. Note that $\partial\text{HK} \cap W$ consists of three annuli B_0, B_1, B_2 ; denote by c_0, c_1, c_2 their cores, respectively. Since $\Phi([f]) = 1$, $f|_{\partial\text{HK} - (B_0 \cup B_1 \cup B_2)}$ does not permute punctures of $\partial\text{HK} - (B_0 \cup B_1 \cup B_2)$, which is two copies of the three-times punctured sphere, and therefore $[f|_{\partial\text{HK} - (B_0 \cup B_1 \cup B_2)}] = 1 \in MCG_+(\partial\text{HK} - (B_0 \cup B_1 \cup B_2))$. On the other hand by Lemma 6.3, $[f|_{\partial\text{HK}}]$ is of finite order in $MCG_+(\partial\text{HK}, [c_0], [c_1], [c_2])$, and hence is trivial therein by Lemma 6.1; in particular, $f|_{\partial\text{HK}}$ is isotopic to id in $\mathcal{H}omeo_+(\partial\text{HK})$. We can thereby isotope f in $\mathcal{H}omeo_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{HK})$ so that $f|_{\partial\text{HK}} = \text{id}$; applying Lemma 6.2, we can further isotope f to id in $\mathcal{H}omeo_+(\mathbb{S}^3, \text{rel } \partial\text{HK})$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bellettini, M. Paolini, Y.-S. Wang: *A complete invariant for connected surfaces in the 3-sphere*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **29** (2020), 1950091.
- [2] G. Bellettini, M. Paolini, Y.-S. Wang: *Numerical irreducibility criteria for handlebody links*, Topology Appl. **284** (2020), 107361.

- [3] F. Bonahon: *Geometric structure on 3-manifolds*, Handbook of Geometric Topology, edited by R.J. Daverman and R.B. Sher, Elsevier (2001), 93–164.
- [4] R. D. Canary, D. McCullough: *Homotopy Equivalences of 3-manifold and Deformation Theory of Kleinian Groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **172** (2004).
- [5] S. Cho, Y. Koda: *Topological symmetry groups and mapping class groups for spatial graphs*, Michigan Math. J. **62** (2013), 131–142.
- [6] B. Farb, D. Margalit: *A Primer on Mapping Class Groups*, Princeton University Press, (2011).
- [7] R. Frigerio, C. Petronio: *Construction and recognition of hyperbolic 3-manifolds with geodesic boundary* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), 3243–3282.
- [8] K. Funayoshi, Y. Koda: *Extending automorphisms of the genus-2 surface over the 3-sphere*, Q. J. Math. **71** (2020), 175–196.
- [9] D. Gomez: *The fundamental group of the punctured Klein bottle and the simple loop conjecture*, Graduate J. Math. **2** (2017), 59–65.
- [10] C. Gordon: *On primitive sets of loops in the boundary of a handlebody*, Topology Appl. **27** (1987), 285–299.
- [11] A. Hatcher: *Homeomorphisms of sufficiently large P^2 -irreducible 3-manifolds*, Topology **15** (1976) 343–347.
- [12] A. Hatcher: *Spaces of incompressible surfaces*, arXiv:math/9906074 [math.GT].
- [13] W. H. Holzmann: *An equivariant torus theorem for involutions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **326** (1991), 887–906.
- [14] A. Ishii, K. Kishimoto: *The quandle coloring invariant of a reducible handlebody-knot*, Tsukuba J. Math. **35** (2011), 131–141.
- [15] A. Ishii, K. Kishimoto, H. Moriuchi, M. Suzuki: *A table of genus two handlebody-knots up to six crossings*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **21**(4), (2012) 1250035.
- [16] K. Johannson: *Homotopy Equivalences of 3-Manifolds with Boundaries*, Lecture Notes in Math. **761**, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1979.
- [17] M. Kapovich: *Hyperbolic Manifolds and Discrete Groups*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston, 2010.
- [18] Y. Koda, M. Ozawa, with an appendix by C. Gordon: *Essential surfaces of non-negative Euler characteristic in genus two handlebody exteriors*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 4, 2875–2904.
- [19] Y. Koda: *Automorphisms of the 3-sphere that preserve spatial graphs and handlebody-knots*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **159** (2015), 1–22.
- [20] J. H. Lee, S. Lee: *Inequivalent handlebody-knots with homeomorphic complements*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **12** (2012), 1059–1079.
- [21] M. Motto: *Inequivalent genus two handlebodies in S^3 with homeomorphic complements*, Topology Appl. **36**, (1990), 283–290.
- [22] W. D. Neumann, G. A. Swarup: *Canonical decompositions of 3-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **1** (1997), 21–40.
- [23] S. Okazaki: *An invariant derived from the Alexander polynomial for handlebody-knots*, Osaka J. Math. **57** (2020), 737–750.
- [24] J.-P. Otal: *Le théorème d’hyperbolisation pour les variétés fibrées de dimension 3*, Astérisque **235**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1996.
- [25] H. Seifert: *Topologie dreidimensionaler gefaserner Räume*, Acta Math. **60** (1933), 147–288.
- [26] W. P. Thurston: *Three-dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1982), 357–381.
- [27] J. L. Tollefson: *Involutions of sufficiently large 3-manifolds*, Topology **20** (1981), 323–352.
- [28] Y.-S. Wang: *Unknotting annuli and handlebody-knot symmetry*, Topology Appl. **305** (2021), 107884.
- [29] Y.-S. Wang: *Rigidity and symmetry of cylindrical handlebody-knots*, to appear in Osaka J. Math..
- [30] H. Zieschang: *On simple systems of paths on complete pretzels*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **92** (1970), 127–137