

A new extension of generalized Drazin inverse in Banach algebras

Yanxun Ren¹ and Lining Jiang^{2*†}

^{1*}School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China.

²School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): jianglining@bit.edu.cn;
Contributing authors: renyanxun110@126.com;

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and study a new generalized inverse, called ag-Drazin inverses in a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} with unit $\mathbf{1}$. An element $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ is ag-Drazin invertible if there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathbf{ax} = \mathbf{xa}$, $\mathbf{axa} = \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{axa} \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$, where $\mathcal{A}^{acc} \triangleq \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A} : \mathbf{a} - \lambda\mathbf{1} \text{ is generalized Drazin invertible for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\}$. Using idempotent elements, we characterize this inverse and give some its representations. Also, we prove that $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ is ag-Drazin invertible if and only if $\mathbf{0}$ is not an accumulation point of $\sigma_d(\mathbf{a})$, where $\sigma_d(\mathbf{a})$ is the generalized Drazin spectrum of \mathbf{a} .

Keywords: Generalized Drazin inverse, Spectrum, Idempotent, Banach algebra

MSC Classification: 46H05, 46H99, 15A09

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, \mathcal{A} stands for a Banach algebra over complex filed \mathbb{C} with identity $\mathbf{1}$. We use $\text{iso } M$ and $\text{acc } M$ to denote the set of all isolated points and accumulation points of $M \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, respectively. For $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$, by $\{\mathbf{a}\}'$

2 *A new generalized inverse in Banach algebras*

we denote $\{b \in R : ab = ba\}$ and accordingly, by $\{a\}''$ we denote $\{\{a\}'\}'$. Let $\sigma(a)$ be the spectrum of a . Recall that a is a quasinilpotent element if $a \in \mathcal{A}^{qnil}$, where $\mathcal{A}^{qnil} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \sigma(a) = \{0\}\}$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $B(\lambda, \epsilon) = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu - \lambda| < \epsilon\}$, and $B(\lambda, \epsilon)^\circ = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |\mu - \lambda| < \epsilon\}$.

Recall that an element $a \in R$ is Drazin invertible [5] if there exists an element $x \in \{a\}'$ such that

$$xax = x \text{ and } a^k xa = a^k \text{ for some integer } k \geq 0.$$

The preceding x is unique if it exists, and denote it by a^D . The Drazin index of a , denoted by $\text{ind}(a)$, is the smallest non-negative integer k satisfying $a^k xa = a^k$. In particular, an element a is called group invertible if $\text{ind}(a) = 1$, and the group inverse of a is denoted by a^\sharp . By \mathcal{A}^\sharp we denote the set of all group invertible elements in \mathcal{A} . The concept of generalized Drazin inverse in Banach algebras was introduced by Koliha [7]. An element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is generalized Drazin invertible if there exists $x \in \{a\}'$ such that

$$xax = x \text{ and } a - a^2x \in \mathcal{A}^{qnil}.$$

If such x exists, then it is unique, and denote it by a^d . We use \mathcal{A}^d to denote the set of all generalized Drazin invertible elements of \mathcal{A} . The generalized Drazin spectrum of a is defined by $\sigma_d(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda 1 \notin \mathcal{A}^d\}$. Accordingly, $\rho_d(a) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_d(a)$. In particular, $\sigma_d(a)$ may be an empty set. For example, when a is a quasinilpotent element, one has $\text{acc } \sigma(a) = \emptyset$, and hence $\sigma_d(a) = \emptyset$. It follows from [7, Theorem 4.2] that $0 \notin \sigma_d(a)$ if and only if $0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma(a)$. More interesting properties of the g-Drazin inverse can be found in a recent papers [4, 8, 9].

In [10], Mosić introduced a new generalized inverse, which is an extension of generalized Drazin inverse in a Banach algebra. We call $a \in \mathcal{A}$ extended generalized Drazin invertible (in short, eg-Drazin invertible) if there exists $x \in \{a\}'$ such that

$$xax = x \text{ and } a - a^2x \in \mathcal{A}^d.$$

Such x is called an eg-Drazin inverse of a , and denote it by a^{ed} . \mathcal{A}^{ed} denotes the set of all eg-Drazin invertible elements of \mathcal{A} . It was proved in [10, Theorem 2] that a is eg-Drazin invertible if and only if a is generalized Drazin invertible if and only if $0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma(a)$.

Let $L(\mathcal{X})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Banach space \mathcal{X} . For $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$, by $n(T)$ and $d(T)$ we denote the dimension of null space $N(T)$ and the codimension of range space $R(T)$, respectively. We call T a Fredholm operator if both $n(T)$ and $d(T)$ are finite, and T a Browder operator if T is a Fredholm operator and $0 \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$. The Fredholm spectrum $\sigma_e(T)$ and the Browder spectrum $\sigma_b(T)$ are the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $T - \lambda I$ is not a Fredholm operator and Browder operator, respectively. Recall that T is a Riesz operator if $\sigma_e(T) = \{0\}$, i.e. $T - \lambda I$ is Browder for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ (see [2, Theorem 3.2]). Obviously, if T is Riesz, then $\sigma_d(T) \subseteq \{0\}$.

In [13], Živković-Zlatanović introduced a concept of generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators in $L(\mathcal{X})$. An operator $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible if there exists $S \in \{T\}'$ such that

$$STS = S \text{ and } T - T^2S \text{ is a Riesz operator.}$$

In [1], Abad and Zguitti further investigated generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators, and proved that T is a generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators if and only if $0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma_b(T)$ in Theorem 2.9 of [1].

Let $\mathcal{A}^{acc} \triangleq \{a \in \mathcal{A} : a - \lambda 1 \in \mathcal{A}^d \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\}$. Motivated by generalization of the Drazin inverse to the generalized Drazin inverse, to further extend the notion of generalized Drazin inverse, we use \mathcal{A}^{acc} rather than \mathcal{A}^{qnil} in the definition of generalized Drazin inverse.

Definition 1 We say that $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is \mathcal{A}^{acc} -generalized Drazin invertible (in short, ag-Drazin invertible) if there exists $x \in \{a\}'$ such that

$$xax = x \text{ and } a - a^2x \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}.$$

Such x is called a ag-Drazin inverse of a , and denote it by a^{ad} . We use \mathcal{A}^{ad} to denote the set of all ag-Drazin invertible elements of \mathcal{A} . Obviously, $\mathcal{A}^{acc} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{ad}$, and $\mathcal{A}^d = \mathcal{A}^{ed} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{ad}$ since $\mathcal{A}^{qnil} \subset \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. Moreover, if $T \in \mathcal{A}$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible then T is ag-Drazin invertible when $\mathcal{A} = L(\mathcal{X})$. The following example indicates that if $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$, then ag-Drazin inverses of a may be not unique.

Example 1 Let $\mathcal{A} = L(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Given an operator $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \frac{x_3}{3}, \dots).$$

Set

$$T_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, 0, 0, \dots)$$

and

$$T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, 0, \dots).$$

Then one has

$$TT_1(x_1, x_2, \dots) = T(x_1, 2x_2, 0, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, 0, \dots),$$

$$T_1T(x_1, x_2, \dots) = T_1(x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \frac{x_3}{3}, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, 0, \dots).$$

Thus, $TT_1 = T_1T$. Moreover, since

$$T_1TT_1(x_1, x_2, \dots) = T_1(x_1, x_2, 0, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, 0, 0, \dots)$$

and

$$(T - T^2T_1)(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, 0, \frac{x_3}{3}, \frac{x_4}{4}, \dots),$$

it follows that $T_1TT_1 = T_1$ and $\text{acc } \sigma(T - T^2T_1) = \{0\}$. Similarly, one can see that $TT_2 = T_2T$, T_2TT_2 and $\text{acc } \sigma(T - T^2T_2) = \{0\}$. So both T_1 and T_2 are ag-Drazin inverse of T , but $T_1 \neq T_2$.

In this paper, we investigate some basic properties of ag-Drazin inverses in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . Using idempotent elements, we give some characterizations of ag-Drazin inverses, and prove that a is ag-Drazin invertible if and only if there exists an idempotent $p \in \{a\}'$ such that $a + p \in \mathcal{A}^d$ and $ap \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$ if and only if there exists an idempotent $f \in \{a\}'$ such that af is invertible in $f\mathcal{A}f$ and $a(1-f) \in ((1-f)\mathcal{A}(1-f))^{acc}$. Moreover, we study the ag-Drazin inverses by means of generalized Drazin spectrum, and show that $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is ag-Drazin invertible if and only if $0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma_d(a)$.

2 The ag-Drazin inverses

In this section, we investigate the basic properties of ag-Drazin inverses in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . Harte defined the concept of a quasi-polar element in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} (see [6, Definition 7.5.2]). An element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be quasi-polar if there exists an idempotent $p \in \{a\}'$ such that $a(1-p) \in \mathcal{A}^{qnil}$ and $p \in a\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}a$. Koliha in his celebrated paper [7] proved that a is quasi-polar if and only if a is generalized Drazin invertible. In the following, we generalize this concept by means of new set \mathcal{A}^{acc} .

Definition 2 An element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be acc-quasi-polar if there exists an idempotent $q \in \{a\}'$ such that

$$q \in a\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}a \text{ and } a(1-q) \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}.$$

The following theorem proves that an element a is acc-quasi-polar if and only if a is ag-Drazin invertible. Moreover, using idempotent elements, we also give some characterizations of ag-Drazin inverses. Let $a \in R$, and $p \in R$ be an idempotent element. By \bar{p} we denote $1-p$. Then we can write

$$a = pap + pa\bar{p} + \bar{p}ap + \bar{p}a\bar{p}.$$

Every idempotent element $p \in \mathcal{A}$ induces a representation of an element $a \in R$ given by the following matrix:

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}_p,$$

where $a_1 = pap$, $a_2 = pa\bar{p}$, $a_3 = \bar{p}ap$ and $a_4 = \bar{p}a\bar{p}$. We use $\sigma(a_1, p\mathcal{A}p)$ and $\sigma(a_4, \bar{p}\mathcal{A}\bar{p})$ to denote the spectrum of a_1 in $p\mathcal{A}p$ and the spectrum of a_4 in $\bar{p}\mathcal{A}\bar{p}$, respectively.

Theorem 1 *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$.
- (2) a is acc-quasi-polar.

- (3) There exists an idempotent element $p \in \{a\}'$ such that $a + p \in \mathcal{A}^d$ and $ap \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$.
- (4) There exists an idempotent element $f \in \{a\}'$ such that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_f,$$

where a_1 is invertible in $f\mathcal{A}f$ and $a_2 \in (\overline{f\mathcal{A}f})^{acc}$.

- (5) There exists an idempotent element $f \in \{a\}'$ such that $a(1-f) \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$ and $af + 1 - f$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} .

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that x is a ag -Drazin inverse of a . Let $e = xa$. Then $e^2 = xaxa = xa = e$, $a(1-e) = a - a^2x \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$ and $ea = xaa = axa = ae$. From $e = xa = ax$ one has $e \in a\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}a$. Thus, a is acc -quasi-polar.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose that a is acc -quasi-polar. Then there exists $e^2 = e \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $ea = ae$, $a(1-e) \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$ and $e \in a\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}a$. Set $p = 1 - e$. Then $ap = pa$ and $ap = a(1-e) \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. From $e \in a\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}a$ that there exist $u, v \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $1-p = av = ua$, and hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} \end{pmatrix}_p \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_p = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_p \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix}_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-p \end{pmatrix}_p$$

which means that $u_{11}a_1 = a_1v_{11} = 0 = u_{21}a_1 = a_1v_{12}$ and $u_{22}a_2 = a_2v_{22} = 1-p$. It follows that a_2 is invertible in $\overline{p\mathcal{A}p}$. Since

$$uav + p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-p \end{pmatrix}_p \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix}_p + \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_p = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & v_{11} \end{pmatrix}_p,$$

one has $uav + p$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} . Let $c(uav + p) = 1$. Then $a(1-p) + p = c$, and hence $a + p = (1+ap)c = c(1+ap)$. Note that $ap \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$, one has $1+ap$ is generalized Drazin invertible. By [7, Theorem 5.2], $a + p$ is generalized Drazin invertible.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Since $a + p$ is generalized Drazin invertible, it follows that there exists an idempotent $h \in \{a\}''$ such that $a + p + h$ is invertible and $(a + p)h \in \mathcal{A}^{qnil}$. From $ap = pa$ one has $ph = hp$. Let

$$f = (1-h)(1-p).$$

Then $fa = af$ and $f^2 = (1-h)(1-p)(1-h)(1-p) = (1-h)(1-p) = f$. Hence, one has

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_f$$

where $a_1 = af$ and $a_2 = a\overline{f}$. It follows $(a + p + h)f = af$ that a_1 is invertible in $f\mathcal{A}f$. Next we prove that $a_2 \in (\overline{f\mathcal{A}f})^{acc}$. It suffices to prove that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mu\overline{f} - a_2$ is invertible in $\overline{f\mathcal{A}f}$ if $\mu \in B(\lambda, \epsilon)^\circ$. Obverse that $(a + p)h \in \mathcal{A}^{qnil}$, one has $ah(1-p) \in \mathcal{A}^{qnil}$ since

$$ah(1-p) = (a + p)h(1-p)$$

and

$$(a + p)h(1-p) = (1-p)(a + p)h.$$

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda - ah(1-p)$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} , which means that there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that $v_1 - ah(1-p)$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} when $v_1 \in B(\lambda, \epsilon_1)^\circ$. Since $ap \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$,

6 *A new generalized inverse in Banach algebras*

it follows that $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(ap)$, and hence there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that $v_2 - ap$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} when $v_2 \in B(\lambda, \epsilon_2)^\circ$. Let

$$\epsilon = \min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}.$$

Then both $\mu - ah(1-p)$ and $\mu - ap$ are invertible in \mathcal{A} when $\mu \in B(\lambda, \epsilon)^\circ$. Let d_1 be the inverse of $\mu - ah(1-p)$, and d_2 be the inverse of $\mu - ap$. Since h, p, a are commuting, the set $\{d_1, d_2, \bar{f}, h, p, a\}$ is commutative. Moreover, as

$$a_2 = a\bar{f} = ah(1-p) + ap(1-h) + aph,$$

one has

$$\mu\bar{f} - a_2 = \mu h(1-p) + \mu p(1-h) + \mu ph - ah(1-p) - ap(1-h) - aph.$$

Define

$$z = \bar{f}(d_1h(1-p) + d_2p(1-h) + d_2ph) \in \bar{f}\mathcal{A}\bar{f}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} z(\mu\bar{f} - a_2) &= \bar{f}(d_1h(1-p) + d_2p(1-h) + d_2ph)(\mu h(1-p) + \mu p(1-h) \\ &\quad + \mu ph - ah(1-p) - ap(1-h) - aph) \\ &= \bar{f}(d_1h(1-p)((\mu h(1-p)) - ah(1-p)) + d_2p(1-h)(\mu p(1-h) \\ &\quad - ap(1-h)) + d_2ph(\mu ph - aph)) \\ &= \bar{f}(d_1(\mu - ah(1-p))h(1-p) + d_2(\mu - ap)p(1-h) + d_2(\mu - ap)ph) \\ &= \bar{f}(h(1-p) + p(1-h) + ph) \\ &= \bar{f}(h + p - ph) = \bar{f}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mu\bar{f} - a_2$ is invertible in $\bar{f}\mathcal{A}\bar{f}$, which implies that $a_2 \in (\bar{f}\mathcal{A}\bar{f})^{acc}$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Let $c \in f\mathcal{A}f$ satisfy $ca_1 = a_1c = f$, and set $y = c + 1 - f$. Then

$$y(af + 1 - f) = caf + 1 - f = 1.$$

Similarly, one has $(af + 1 - f)c = 1$. Thus, $af + 1 - f$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} . Moreover, since $a(1-f) = a_2 \in (\bar{f}\mathcal{A}\bar{f})^{acc}$ and $\sigma(a(1-f)) = \{0\} \cup \sigma(a_2, \bar{f}\mathcal{A}\bar{f})$, one can easily obtain that $a(1-f) \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) Let g be the inverse of $af + 1 - f$. Observe that $(af + 1 - f)f = af$ means that $f = gaf$. Set $x = gf$. Then $xa = gfa = f = ax$ and $xax = gfagf = fgf = gf = x$, and moreover, one has $a - a^2x = a - aagf = a - af = a(1-f) \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. Thus, a is ag-Drazin invertible. \square

Suppose that $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$. Let $\text{card}(a)$ denote the number of elements in the $\sigma(a - a^2a^{ad})$. If there exists a^{ad} such that $\sigma(a - a^2a^{ad})$ is finite set, then $\text{card}(a) < +\infty$. Otherwise, $\text{card}(a) = +\infty$. Since the spectrum of element is not empty, it follows that $\text{card}(a) > 0$.

Proposition 2 *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$ with $\text{card}(a) < +\infty$.
- (2) $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ed}$.
- (3) $a \in \mathcal{A}^d$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that a is ag-Drazin invertible and $\text{card}(a) < +\infty$. Then there exists $x \in \mathcal{A}$ as an ag-Drazin inverse of a such that $\sigma(a - a^2x)$ is finite set, and hence $0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma(a - a^2x)$, which means that $a - a^2x \in \mathcal{A}^d$. Therefore, a is eg-Drazin invertible.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) see [10, Theorem 2].

(3) \Rightarrow (1) It is obvious since $\mathcal{A}^{qmil} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. \square

Remark 1 From the above proposition, one can see that if $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$ with $\text{card}(a) = +\infty$ then a is not generalized Drazin invertible.

Proposition 3 Let $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$ with $\text{card}(a) = +\infty$. Then

- (1) There exists an idempotent element $p \in \{a\}$ such that ap is invertible in $p\mathcal{A}p$ and $a(1-p) \in (\overline{p\mathcal{A}p})^{acc}$ with infinite spectrum.
- (2) There exists a sequence of nonzero isolated spectrum point of a which converges to 0.

Proof Suppose that $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$ with $\text{card}(a) = +\infty$. By Theorem 1, there exists an idempotent element $p \in \{a\}'$ such that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_p,$$

where a_1 is invertible in $p\mathcal{A}p$ and $a_2 \in (\overline{p\mathcal{A}p})^{acc}$. Then $B(0; \epsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(a_1, p\mathcal{A}p)$ when ϵ is enough small. Moreover, since $\text{card}(a) = +\infty$, $0 \in \text{acc } \sigma(a)$ by Proposition 2, and hence there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subseteq B(0; \epsilon)^\circ \cap \sigma(a)$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow +\infty$). Using the fact $\sigma(a) = \sigma(a_1, p\mathcal{A}p) \cup \sigma(a_2, \overline{p\mathcal{A}p})$, one can see that

$$B(0; \epsilon)^\circ \cap \sigma(a) = B(0; \epsilon)^\circ \cap \sigma(a_2, \overline{p\mathcal{A}p}),$$

and moreover, it follows from $a_2 \in (\overline{p\mathcal{A}p})^{acc}$ that $\{\lambda_n\} \subseteq \text{iso } \sigma(a_2, \overline{p\mathcal{A}p})$, which means that $\{\lambda_n\} \subseteq \text{iso } \sigma(a)$. This proves (1) and (2). \square

Remark 2 Let $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$ with $\text{card}(a) = +\infty$. By Proposition 3, one can see that there exists an idempotent $p \in \{a\}'$ such that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_p,$$

where a_1 is invertible in $p\mathcal{A}p$, $\sigma(a_2, \overline{p\mathcal{A}p}) = \{0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots\}$ ($|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \geq \dots$, and $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow +\infty$). Thus, one can find $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(0, \epsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(a_1, p\mathcal{A}p)$, and $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq N} \subseteq B(0, \epsilon)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. This also implies that $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq N}$ is a sequence of nonzero isolated spectrum points of a . Moreover, one can easily check that

$$\sigma(a_1, p\mathcal{A}p) \cap \sigma(a, \overline{p\mathcal{A}p}) \subseteq \{0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N\}.$$

Similar to [1], for each $n \geq N$, one can define two closed set:

$$\Delta_n = \{0, \lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{n+2}, \dots\}$$

and

$$\Delta'_n = (\sigma(a_1, p\mathcal{A}p) \setminus (\sigma(a_1, p\mathcal{A}p) \cap \sigma(a, \overline{p\mathcal{A}p}))) \cup \{0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\} = \sigma(a) \setminus \Delta_n.$$

Obviously, for all $n \geq N$, Δ_n and Δ'_n are disjoint nonempty closed subset of $\sigma(a)$.

8 *A new generalized inverse in Banach algebras*

Now, we state a lemma which will be need in the following.

Lemma 4 [3, Page 204-205] *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\sigma(a) = F_1 \cup F_2$, where F_1 and F_2 are disjoint nonempty closed set, then there exists a nontrivial idempotent element $e \in \{a\}''$ such that*

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_e,$$

where $\sigma(a_1) = F_1 \cup \{0\}$, $\sigma(a_2) = F_2 \cup \{0\}$, $\sigma(a_1, e\mathcal{A}e) = F_1$ and $\sigma(a_2, \bar{e}\mathcal{A}\bar{e}) = F_2$.

It was proved in [7, Theorem 4.4] that if a is generalized Drazin invertible, then there exists an idempotent element $p \in \{a\}'$ such that $a^d = (a+p)^{-1}(1-p)$. For ag-Drazin inverses, one has

Theorem 5 *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$.
- (2) *There exists an idempotent element $p \in \{a\}''$ such that $a+p$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} and $ap \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. In this case, $b = (a+p)^{-1}(1-p)$ is a ag-Drazin inverse of a .*
- (3) *There exists an idempotent element $p \in \{a\}'$ such that $a+p$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} and $ap \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$.*

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$. If $\text{card}(a) < +\infty$, then $a \in \mathcal{A}^d$ by Proposition 2, and hence (2) holds. On the other hand, if $\text{card}(a) = +\infty$, then let $n \geq N$ be enough large such that $\sup_{\lambda \in \Delta_n} |\lambda| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma(a) = \Delta'_n \cup \Delta_n$ due to Remark 2. It follows from Lemma 4 that there exists an idempotent element $e \in \{a\}''$ such that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_e,$$

where $\sigma(a_1) = \Delta'_n \cup \{0\}$, $\sigma(a_2) = \Delta_n$, $\sigma(a_1, e\mathcal{A}e) = \Delta'_n$ and $\sigma(a_2, \bar{e}\mathcal{A}\bar{e}) = \Delta_n$. Thus, $\sigma(a_2) = \{0, \lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{n+2}, \dots\}$, which means that $a(1-e) \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. Moreover, since $0 \notin \sigma(a_1, e\mathcal{A}e)$, one has a_1 is invertible in $e\mathcal{A}e$. Notice that $\sup_{\lambda \in \Delta_n} |\lambda| < \frac{1}{2}$ implies

$$a + 1 - e = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - e + a_2 \end{pmatrix}_e \text{ is invertible in } \mathcal{A}.$$

Let $p = 1 - e$. Obviously, $a+p$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} and $ap \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. Set $b = (a+p)^{-1}(1-p)$. Then

$$bab = (a+p)^{-1}(1-p)(a+p)(a+p)^{-1}(1-p) = (a+p)^{-1}(1-p) = b$$

and

$$a - a^2b = a - a(a+p)(a+p)^{-1}(1-p) = ap \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}.$$

Thus, b is a ag-Drazin inverse of a .

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It is obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Since $a+p$ is invertible, one has $a+p \in \mathcal{A}^d$. By Theorem 1, a is ag-Drazin invertible. \square

Corollary 6 *If $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$ with $\text{Card}(a) = +\infty$, then ag-Drazin inverses of a are not unique.*

Proof Let $n \geq N$ be enough large such that $\sup_{\lambda \in \Delta_n} |\lambda| < \frac{1}{2}$ due to Remark 2. Then

$$\Delta_n = \{0, \lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{n+2}, \dots\}.$$

By Theorem 5, there exists an idempotent element $e \in \{a\}''$ such that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_e,$$

where a_1 is invertible in $e\mathcal{A}e$ and $a_2 \in (\bar{e}\mathcal{A}\bar{e})^{acc}$. In this case,

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_e$$

is a ag-Drazin inverse of a , where b_1 is inverse of a_1 in $e\mathcal{A}e$. Let $n_1 > n$ and

$$\Delta_{n_1} = \{0, \lambda_{n_1+1}, \lambda_{n_1+2}, \dots\}.$$

Then there exists an idempotent element $f \in \{a\}''$ such that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a'_2 \end{pmatrix}_f,$$

where a'_1 is invertible in $f\mathcal{A}f$ and $a'_2 \in (\bar{f}\mathcal{A}\bar{f})^{acc}$. In this case,

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_f$$

is a ag-Drazin inverse of a , where c_1 is a inverse of a'_1 in $f\mathcal{A}f$. It follows from $\sigma(a_1) = \Delta'_n \cup \{0\} \neq \Delta'_{n_1} \cup \{0\} = \sigma(a'_1)$ that $a_1 \neq a'_1$, that is, $e \neq f$. Next, we will prove $b \neq c$. Indeed, if $c = b$, then $c_1 = b_1 \in e\mathcal{A}e \cap f\mathcal{A}f$, and hence

$$e = b_1 a_1 = b_1 e a = b_1 f a = c_1 a'_1 = f.$$

It is a contradiction with $e \neq f$. Thus, ag-Drazin inverses of a are not unique. \square

Remark 3 From the above corollary, we know that if $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad} \setminus \mathcal{A}^d$, then the set of all ag-Drazin inverse of a is an infinite set. Therefore, if $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$, then a has unique ag-Drazin inverse if and only if a is generalized Drazin invertible.

Let \overline{M} denote the closure of the set M . The proof of the following theorem about ag-Drazin inverses is inspired by that of Theorem 2.9 in [1].

Theorem 7 *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$ if and only if $0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_d(a)$.*

Proof Suppose that $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$. By Theorem 1, there exists an idempotent $f \in \{a\}'$ such that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_f,$$

where a_1 is invertible in $f\mathcal{A}f$ and $a_2 \in (\overline{f\mathcal{A}f})^{acc}$. Thus, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $a_1 - \lambda f$ is invertible and $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(a_2, \overline{f\mathcal{A}f})$ if $\lambda \in B(0; \epsilon)^\circ$, which means that $\lambda \notin \text{acc}\sigma_d(a)$.

Conversely, assume that $0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_d(a)$. If $0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma(a)$, then $a \in \mathcal{A}^d$, and hence $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$. On the other hand, if $0 \in \text{acc}\sigma(a)$, then $0 \in \text{acc}(\text{iso}\sigma(a))$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. If $\text{acc}(\text{iso}\sigma(a)) \neq \{0\}$. Set $\delta = \inf_{\lambda \in \text{acc}(\text{iso}\sigma(a)) \setminus \{0\}} |\lambda|$. Then there exists a sequence $(\lambda_n) \subset \text{acc}(\text{iso}\sigma(a)) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda (n \rightarrow \infty)$. Since $(\lambda_n) \subset \text{acc}(\text{iso}\sigma(a)) \setminus \{0\} \subset \text{acc}\sigma(a) = \sigma_d(a)$ and $0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_d(a)$, one has $\delta > 0$. Obviously, the set $F_1 = B(0, \frac{\delta}{2}) \cap \overline{\text{iso}\sigma(a)}$ is a closed subset of $\sigma(a)$. Let $F_2 = (\sigma_d(a) \setminus \{0\}) \cup (\overline{\text{iso}\sigma(a)} \setminus F_1)$. Then $F_1 \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(a) = F_1 \cup F_2$. Since $0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_d(a)$, one can obtain that $\overline{\sigma_d(a) \setminus \{0\}}$ is closed. Moreover, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mu \in \overline{\text{iso}\sigma(a)} \setminus F_1 \subset \sigma(a)$. There exists a sequence $(\mu_n) \subset \overline{\text{iso}\sigma(a)} \setminus F_1$ such that $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu (n \rightarrow \infty)$, and hence $\mu \neq 0$. If $\mu \in F_1$, then $\mu \in \text{iso}\sigma(a)$. It is a contradiction. Thus, $\mu \in F_2$, which means that F_2 is closed. From Lemma 4, there exists an idempotent element $e \in \{a\}''$ such that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_e,$$

where $\sigma(a_1, e\mathcal{A}e) = F_1$ and $\sigma(a_2, \overline{e\mathcal{A}e}) = F_2$. Hence, $a_1 \in (e\mathcal{A}e)^{acc}$ and a_2 is invertible in $\overline{e\mathcal{A}e}$. By Theorem 1, a is ag-Drazin invertible.

Case 2 If $\text{acc}(\text{iso}\sigma(a)) = \{0\}$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\sup_{\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(a)} |\lambda|$. Let $F_1 = B(0, \frac{\delta}{2}) \cap \overline{\text{iso}\sigma(a)}$ and $F_2 = (\sigma_d(a) \setminus \{0\}) \cup (\overline{\text{iso}\sigma(a)} \setminus F_1)$. Similar the proof of Case 1, one can obtain that a is ag-Drazin invertible. \square

Let $\sigma_{ad}(a)$ denote the ag-Drazin spectrum of a . From Theorem 7, one has $\sigma_{ad}(a) = \text{acc}\sigma_d(a)$. It was proved in [12] that $\sigma_d(ac) = \sigma_d(bd)$ provided that $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy $acd = dbd$ and $dba = aca$. In this case, one has $\sigma_{ad}(ac) = \text{acc}\sigma_d(ac) = \text{acc}\sigma_d(bd) = \sigma_{ad}(bd)$. Thus, one can obtain the following result.

Corollary 8 *Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$. If a, b, c, d satisfy $acd = dbd$ and $dba = aca$, then $\sigma_{ad}(ac) = \sigma_{ad}(bd)$.*

From the above corollary, one can easily get the following two results.

Corollary 9 *Let $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. If a, b, c satisfy $aca = aba$, then $\sigma_{ad}(ac) = \sigma_{ad}(ba)$.*

Corollary 10 *If $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\sigma_{ad}(ab) = \sigma_{ad}(ba)$.*

In [7], Koliha proved that if $a \in \mathcal{A}^d$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}^{qnil} \cap \{a\}'$ then $a + b \in \mathcal{A}^d$. For ag-Drazin inverses, one has

Proposition 11 *If $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$, and let $b \in \mathcal{A}^{qnil} \cap \{a\}'$, then $a + b \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$.*

Proof By Theorem 5, there exists an idempotent $p \in \{a\}''$ such that $a + p$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} and $ap \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. Since $ab = ba$, the set $\{a, b, p\}$ is commutative. Then $a + b + p \in \mathcal{A}^d$. Moreover, since $ab = ba$ and $bp \in \mathcal{A}^{qnil}$, it follows from Gelfand theory for commutative Banach algebras that

$$\sigma((a + b)p) \subset \sigma(ap) + \sigma(bp) = \sigma(ap),$$

which means that $(a + b)p \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. In view of Theorem 1, $a + b$ is ag-Drazin invertible. \square

Proposition 12 *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}^d$. If $ab = ba = 0$, then $a + b \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$.*

Proof By Theorem 5, there exists $x \in \{a\}''$ such that x is a ag-Drazin inverse of a . Then $xb = bx = x^2ab = 0$ and $ab^d = b^da = 0$, and hence

$$(a + b)(x + b^d)^2 = ax^2 + b(b^d)^2 = x + b^d$$

and $a + b - (a + b)^2(x + b^d) = (a - a^2x) + (b - b^2b^d)$. Since $b - b^2b^d \in \mathcal{A}^{qnil}$, one has $a + b - (a + b)^2(x + b^d) \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. Thus, $a + b$ is ag-Drazin invertible. \square

The following decomposition of an ag-Drazin invertible element generalizes the important core-quasinilpotent decomposition of a generalized Drazin invertible element given in [7, Theorem 6.4].

Theorem 13 *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$ if and only if $a = x + y$, where $xy = 0 = yx$, $x \in \mathcal{A}^\sharp$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$.*

Proof Supposet that $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$. Let $p = ab$, where b is a ag-Drazin inverse. Then $p^2 = p$ and $a(1 - p) \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. Since $apbap = pap = ap$ and $bapb = b$, $ap \in \mathcal{A}^\sharp$. Let $x = ap$ and $y = a(1 - p)$. Then $a = x + y$, $xy = 0 = yx$, $x \in \mathcal{A}^\sharp$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$.

Conversely, let $b = x^\sharp$. It follows from $by = b^2xy = 0 = yb$ that $ba = b(x + y) = bx = xb = (x + y)b = ab$, $bab = bxb = b$ and $a - aba = (x + y) - (x + y)b(x + y) = x + y - xbx = y \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. Thus, $a \in \mathcal{A}^{acc}$. \square

3 ag-Drazin inverse for operators

Let $L(\mathcal{X})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Banach space \mathcal{X} . In this section, we characterize an ag-Drazin inverse of $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ in terms of the direct sum of operators. Let \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 be two subspaces of \mathcal{X} . A closed subspace \mathcal{X}_1 is complement if there exists closed subspace \mathcal{X}_2 such that $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$. For $T \in B(\mathcal{X})$, we call \mathcal{X}_1 is T -invariant if $T(\mathcal{X}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_1$. We define $T|_{\mathcal{X}_1} : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1$ by $T|_{\mathcal{X}_1}x = Tx$, $x \in \mathcal{X}_1$. If \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 are two closed T -invariant subspaces of \mathcal{X} such that $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$, it is said that T is completely reduced by the pair $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2)$, and denote it by $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) \in \text{Red}(T)$.

Proposition 14 *Let $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $a \in \mathcal{A}^{ad}$.
- (2) *There exists $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that $T = T|_{\mathcal{X}_1} \oplus T|_{\mathcal{X}_2}$, where $T|_{\mathcal{X}_1}$ is generalized Drazin invertible and $\sigma_d(T|_{\mathcal{X}_2}) \subseteq \{0\}$.*
- (3) *There exists $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that $T = T|_{\mathcal{M}} \oplus T|_{\mathcal{N}}$, where $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is invertible and $\sigma_d(T|_{\mathcal{N}}) \subseteq \{0\}$.*

Proof (1) \implies (2) By Theorem 1, there exists an idempotent element $p \in \{a\}'$ such that $a + p$ is generalized Drazin invertible and $\sigma_d(ap) \subseteq \{0\}$. For $\mathcal{X}_1 = N(P)$ and $\mathcal{X}_2 = R(P)$, we have $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2) \in \text{Red}(T)$ and $T|_{\mathcal{X}_1} = (T+P)|_{\mathcal{X}_1}$ is generalized Drazin invertible. Since $T|_{\mathcal{X}_2} = (TP)|_{\mathcal{X}_2}$, $\sigma_d(T|_{\mathcal{X}_2}) \subseteq \{0\}$.

(2) \implies (3) Since $T|_{\mathcal{X}_1}$ is generalized Drazin invertible, there exists $(\mathcal{X}_{11}, \mathcal{X}_{12}) \in \text{Red}(T|_{\mathcal{X}_1})$ such that $T|_{\mathcal{X}_{11}}$ is invertible and $T|_{\mathcal{X}_{12}}$ is quasinilpotent. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{X}_{11}$ and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{X}_{12} \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$. Then $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that $T = T|_{\mathcal{M}} \oplus T|_{\mathcal{N}}$, where $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is invertible and $\sigma_d(T|_{\mathcal{N}}) \subseteq \{0\}$.

(3) \implies (1) Let $S = S_1 \oplus 0|_{\mathcal{N}}$, where S_1 is inverse of $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$. Obviously, S is a ag-Drazin inverse of T . \square

Recall that $F \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is a power finite rank operator if there exists some integer n such that F^n is a finite rank operator. The following indicates that $L(\mathcal{X})^{ad}$ is invariant under commuting power finite rank perturbation.

Proposition 15 *Let $T \in L(\mathcal{X})^{ad}$, and let $F \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is a power finite rank operator. If $TF = FT$, then $T + F \in L(\mathcal{X})^{ad}$.*

Proof In view of Theorem 7, one has $0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_d(T)$. It follows from [11, Lemma 2.1] that $\sigma_d(T) = \sigma_d(T + F)$, and hence $0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_d(T + F)$. Thus, $T + F$ is ag-Drazin invertible. \square

From Proposition 15, one can easily get the following result.

Corollary 16 *Let $T \in L(\mathcal{X})^{ad}$, and let $F \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is a finite rank operator. If $TF = FT$, then $T + F \in L(\mathcal{X})^{ad}$.*

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for helpful comments and suggestions. This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number 11871303).

References

- [1] O. Abad, H. Zguitti, A note on the generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators. *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 12(4) (2021) Paper No. 55, 17 pp.

- [2] P. Aiena, Fredholm and local spectral theory II, with application to Weyl-type theorems. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [3] J. B. Conway, A course in functional analysis, 2nd edn. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol, 96. Springer, New York, 1990
- [4] D. S. Cvetković-Ilić, X. J. Liu, Y. M. Wei, Some additive results for the generalized Drazin inverse in a Banach algebra. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 22 (2011) 1049-1058.
- [5] M. P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 68 (1958) 506-514.
- [6] R. E. Harte, Invertibility and singularity for bounded linear operators. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988
- [7] J. J. Koliha, A generalized Drazin inverse. *Glasgow Math. J.* 38(1) (1996) 367-381.
- [8] D. Mosić, Additive results for the generalized Drazin inverse in a Banach algebra. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 40 (4) (2017) 1465-1478.
- [9] D. Mosić, H. L. Zou, J. L. Chen, The generalized Drazin inverse of the sum in a Banach algebra. *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 8 (1) (2017), 90-105.
- [10] D. Mosić, Extended g-Drazin inverse in a Banach algebra. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 43(1) (2020) 879-892.
- [11] K. Yan, W. G. Su and X. C. Fang, On the stability of the spectral properties under commuting perturbations. *Filomat* 30 (6) (2016) 1511-1518.
- [12] K. Yan, Q. P. Zeng and Y. C. Zhu, Generalized Jacobson's lemma for Drazin inverses and its applications. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 68 (1) (2020) 81-93.
- [13] S. C. Živković-Zlatanović, M. D. Cvetković, Generalized Kato-Riesz decomposition and generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 65(6) (2017) 1171-1193.