

A Note on Additive Complements

Fang-Yu Ma

Abstract: Two infinite sequences A and B of non-negative integers are called additive complements, if their sum contains all sufficiently large integers. Let $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ be the counting functions of A and B . In this paper, we extend the results of Liu and Fang in 2016 and obtain some results on additive complements. For example, we prove that there exist additive complements A and B such that $\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = 2$ and $A(x)B(x) - x = 1$ for infinitely positive integers x .

1 Introduction

Two infinite sequences A and B of non-negative integers are called additive complements, if their sum $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ contains all sufficiently large integers. Let $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ be the counting functions of A and B , namely

$$A(x) = \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ a \leq x}} 1 \quad \text{and} \quad B(x) = \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ b \leq x}} 1.$$

In 1964, Danzer [1] conjectured that for additive complements A and B , if

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} \leq 1,$$

then

$$A(x)B(x) - x \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

In 1994, Sárközy and Szemerédi [2] proved the conjecture. Fang and Chen [3, 4] proved the following result: for additive complements A and B , if

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} > 2 \quad \text{or} \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} < 3 - \sqrt{3},$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11B13, 11B34.

Keywords. Additive complements, Counting functions, Upper limit.

then

$$A(x)B(x) - x \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

On the other hand, Chen and Fang [5] proved the following result.

Theorem A. For any integer a with $a \geq 2$, there exist additive complements A and B such that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = \frac{2a+2}{a+2}$$

and $A(x)B(x) - x = 1$ for infinitely positive integers x .

In 2016, Liu and Fang [6] extended the above result and proved the following result.

Theorem B. For any integer a, b with $2 \leq a \leq b$, there exist additive complements A and B such that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = \frac{2}{\frac{a-1}{ab-1} + 1}$$

and $A(x)B(x) - x = 1$ for infinitely positive integers x .

In this paper, we extend Theorem B and prove the following results.

Theorem 1.1. *There exist additive complements A and B such that*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = 2$$

and $A(x)B(x) - x = 1$ for infinitely positive integers x .

Theorem 1.2. *There exist additive complements A and B such that*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} \in \left(\frac{16}{9}, 2\right) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$

and $A(x)B(x) - x = 1$ for infinitely positive integers x .

Let

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} : A, B \text{ are additive complements, } A(x)B(x) - x = 1 \text{ for infinitely } x \right\},$$

and \mathcal{L}' be the derivative of \mathcal{L} , that is, the set of all the clusterpoints of \mathcal{L} . By Theorem A and Theorem B, we have $2 \in \mathcal{L}'$.

Theorem 1.3. *For any integer a, b with $b > a \geq 2$ and $b \geq a + 2$, we have $\frac{2}{1 + \frac{a}{b(a+1)}} \in \mathcal{L}'$.*

2 Proof of Theorem

We will use the following lemma and prove it in section 3.

Lemma 2.1. *For any sequence $\{b_j\}$ of positive integers with $b_0 = 1, b_j \geq 2, j \geq 1$, there exist additive complements A and B such that*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{1 + D_k},$$

where

$$D_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \left(\prod_{j=0}^i b_{k-j} \right)^{-1}, \quad (2.1)$$

and $A(x)B(x) - x = 1$ for infinitely positive integers x .

For formula (2.1), the expansion is

$$D_k = \frac{1}{b_k} + \frac{(-1)}{b_k b_{k-1}} + \frac{(-1)^2}{b_k b_{k-1} b_{k-2}} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{b_k b_{k-1} b_{k-2} \cdots b_1}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 For any sequence $\{b_j\}$ of positive integers with $b_0 = 1, b_j \geq 2, j \geq 1$ and $\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{b_j} = 0$, by (2.1) we have $\frac{1}{b_j} > D_j > 0$, then $\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} D_j = 0$. According to Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{1 + D_j} = 2.$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 For any sequence $\{d_i\}$ of positive integers with $d_i \in \{a, b\}$ for all $i \geq 1$, $b > a \geq 2, b \geq a + 2$, we construct a new sequence $\{b_k\}$ as

$$d_1, c, d_2, d_1, c, d_3, d_2, d_1, c, d_4, d_3, d_2, d_1, c, \cdots$$

where the positive integer $c > 2b$. Let the items that are equal to c in $\{b_k\}$ form a subsequence $\{b_{k_j}\}$.

By Lemma 2.1, we only need to compute $\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} D_k$ for the sequence $\{b_k\}$.

If $k \neq k_j$, then $b_k = a$ or b . If $b_k = a$, then $D_k \geq \frac{1}{b_k} - \frac{1}{b_k b_{k-1}} \geq \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2}$. By $b \geq a + 2$ and $a \geq 2$, we have $\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2} \geq \frac{1}{b} > \frac{1}{c}$. If $b_k = b$, then $D_k \geq \frac{1}{b_k} - \frac{1}{b_k b_{k-1}} \geq \frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{ba} = \frac{1}{b} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2b} > \frac{1}{c}$. $D_{k_j} \leq \frac{1}{b_{k_j}} = \frac{1}{c}$, so $\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} D_k = \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} D_{k_j}$.

$$D_{k_j} = \frac{1}{c} + \frac{(-1)}{cd_1} + \frac{(-1)^2}{cd_1 d_2} + \frac{(-1)^3}{cd_1 d_2 d_3} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^j}{cd_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_j} + \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{c^2 d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_j} + \cdots,$$

so

$$\left| D_{k_j} - \left(\frac{1}{c} + \frac{(-1)}{cd_1} + \frac{(-1)^2}{cd_1 d_2} + \frac{(-1)^3}{cd_1 d_2 d_3} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^j}{cd_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_j} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{c^2 d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_j}.$$

Let $j \rightarrow +\infty$, then $\frac{1}{c^2 d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_j} \rightarrow 0$ as $d_i \geq 2$. Hence

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} D_{k_j} = \frac{1}{c} \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} - \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 d_3} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^j}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_j} \right).$$

By $d_i \geq 2$ we can easily prove the existence of $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} - \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 d_3} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^j}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_j} \right)$.

Let

$$\Delta = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} - \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 d_3} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^j}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_j} \right).$$

Obviously, $1 > \Delta \geq 1 - \frac{1}{d_1} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $c > 2b \geq 2(a+2) \geq 8$, so

$$0 \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} D_{k_j} = \frac{\Delta}{c} < \frac{1}{8}, \quad \frac{16}{9} < \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} \leq 2.$$

Next we prove that if $\{d_i\}$ and $\{d'_i\}$ are different, the corresponding Δ are not equal. We note that Δ corresponding to $\{d_i\}$ is $\Delta(\{d_i\})$ and Δ corresponding to $\{d'_i\}$ is $\Delta(\{d'_i\})$.

If the first term of $\{d_i\}$ and $\{d'_i\}$ are not equal, that is, $d_1 \neq d'_1$. Suppose $d_1 = a, d'_1 = b$, then

$$\Delta(\{d_i\}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} - \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 d_3} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^j}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_j} \right) < 1 - \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{ad_2} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2},$$

$$\Delta(\{d'_i\}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d'_1} + \frac{1}{d'_1 d'_2} - \frac{1}{d'_1 d'_2 d'_3} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^j}{d'_1 d'_2 d'_3 \cdots d'_j} \right) > 1 - \frac{1}{b} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2},$$

thus $\Delta(\{d_i\}) \neq \Delta(\{d'_i\})$.

If the first m terms of $\{d_i\}$ and $\{d'_i\}$ are the same, but item $(m+1)$ th are different. Suppose

$$d_{m+1} = a, d'_{m+1} = b, \Delta_m = 1 - \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} - \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 d_3} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_m}.$$

If m is an even number, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\{d_i\}) &= \Delta_m + \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_m} \left(\frac{-1}{a} \right) + \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_m} \left(\frac{(-1)^2}{ad_{m+2}} \right) + \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_m} \left(\frac{(-1)^3}{ad_{m+2}d_{m+3}} \right) + \cdots \\ &< \Delta_m + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_m} \left(-\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{ad_{m+2}} \right) \leq \Delta_m + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_m} \left(-\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\{d'_i\}) &= \Delta_m + \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_m} \left(\frac{-1}{b} \right) + \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_m} \left(\frac{(-1)^2}{bd'_{m+2}} \right) + \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_m} \left(\frac{(-1)^3}{bd'_{m+2}d'_{m+3}} \right) + \cdots \\ &> \Delta_m + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_m} \left(-\frac{1}{b} \right) \geq \Delta_m + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_m} \left(-\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

thus $\Delta(\{d_i\}) \neq \Delta(\{d'_i\})$. In a similar way, if m is an odd number, we also have $\Delta(\{d_i\}) \neq \Delta(\{d'_i\})$.

According to the construction of $\{d_i\}$, it is known that there are uncountable sequences $\{d_i\}$. So there are uncountable unequal Δ , i.e. there are uncountable unequal real numbers. Thus there must be irrational numbers in these real numbers, i.e. there are irrational numbers in all $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} D_{k_j}$. According

to Lemma 2.1, we have $\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{1 + D_{k_j}}$. This completes this proof.

Lemma 2.2. For any integer a, b, l with $b > a \geq 2, b \geq a + 2$ and l is a positive odd number, there exist additive complements A and B such that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = \frac{2}{1 + \frac{1 - \frac{1}{a^{l+1}}}{b(1 + \frac{1}{a})(1 - \frac{1}{a^l b})}},$$

and $A(x)B(x) - x = 1$ for infinitely positive integers x .

Proof. Let sequence $\{b_j\}$ be

$$\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_l, b, \underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_l, b, \underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_l, b, \dots$$

By (2.1), we have $D_{(l+1)k} < \frac{1}{b(l+1)k} = \frac{1}{b}$ and $D_t > \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{ac} \geq \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2}$, where $t \neq (l+1)k$ and $c \in \{a, b\}$.

By $b \geq a + 2$ and $a \geq 2$, we have $\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2} \geq \frac{1}{b}$. According to Lemma 2.1, we have $\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} =$

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{1 + D_{(l+1)k}}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} D_{(l+1)k} &= \left(\frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{ba} + \frac{1}{ba^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{b(-a)^l} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{a^l b} + \left(\frac{1}{a^l b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{a^l b}\right)^3 + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{1 - \frac{1}{a^{l+1}}}{b(1 + \frac{1}{a})(1 - \frac{1}{a^l b})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = \frac{2}{1 + \frac{1 - \frac{1}{a^{l+1}}}{b(1 + \frac{1}{a})(1 - \frac{1}{a^l b})}}$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 According to Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\frac{2}{1 + \frac{1 - \frac{1}{a^{l+1}}}{b(1 + \frac{1}{a})(1 - \frac{1}{a^l b})}} \in \mathcal{L}.$$

So we have

$$\frac{2}{1 + \frac{a}{b(a+1)}} \in \mathcal{L}' \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow +\infty.$$

This completes the proof.

3 Proof of Lemma 2.1

Lemma 3.1. For any sequence $\{b_j\}$ of positive integers with $b_0 = 1, b_j \geq 2, j \geq 1$, every positive integer can be uniquely represented as $\sum_{j=0}^n \varepsilon_j \prod_{i=0}^j b_i$, where ε_j are integers satisfying $0 \leq \varepsilon_j \leq b_{j+1} - 1$.

Proof. Let $a_0 = 1, a_j = \prod_{i=0}^j b_i, j \geq 1$. For any positive integer m , there exists an integer n such that $a_n \leq m < a_{n+1}$. By division algorithm we have

$$m = \varepsilon_n a_n + r_n, 0 \leq r_n < a_n, 0 < \varepsilon_n \leq b_{n+1} - 1.$$

If $r_n = 0$, then $m = \varepsilon_n a_n$, thus we complete the proof. If $r_n \neq 0$, then there exists an integer $n_1 < n$ such that $a_{n_1} \leq r_n < a_{n_1+1}$. By division algorithm we have

$$r_n = \varepsilon_{n_1} a_{n_1} + r_{n_1}, 0 \leq r_{n_1} < a_{n_1}, 0 < \varepsilon_{n_1} \leq b_{n_1+1} - 1.$$

If $r_{n_1} = 0$, then $m = \varepsilon_n a_n + \varepsilon_{n_1} a_{n_1}$, thus we complete the proof. If $r_{n_1} \neq 0$, we repeat the above operations and in limited steps, we have

$$m = \sum_{j=0}^n \varepsilon_j a_j = \sum_{j=0}^n \varepsilon_j \prod_{i=0}^j b_i, \varepsilon_j = 0, 1, \dots, b_{j+1} - 1, \varepsilon_n \neq 0.$$

Next we prove the uniqueness. Assume that $m = \sum_{j=0}^n \varepsilon_j a_j = \sum_{i=0}^k \varepsilon'_i a_i, \varepsilon_n \neq 0, \varepsilon'_k \neq 0$. If $n \neq k$, suppose $n > k$, then $\varepsilon_n a_n > \sum_{i=0}^k \varepsilon'_i a_i$. Thus $n = k$ and we have

$$\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon'_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n (\varepsilon'_j - \varepsilon_j) a_j.$$

By $b_1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^n (\varepsilon'_j - \varepsilon_j) a_j \right.$, we have $b_1 \mid \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon'_0$, where $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon'_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, b_1 - 1\}$. Thus $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon'_0$ and we have

$$\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon'_1 = \sum_{j=2}^n (\varepsilon'_j - \varepsilon_j) (b_2 b_3 \cdots b_j).$$

By $b_2 \left| \sum_{j=2}^n (\varepsilon'_j - \varepsilon_j) (b_2 b_3 \cdots b_j) \right.$, we have $b_2 \mid \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon'_1$, where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon'_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, b_2 - 1\}$. Thus $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon'_1$ and we have

$$\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon'_2 = \sum_{j=3}^n (\varepsilon'_j - \varepsilon_j) (b_3 b_4 \cdots b_j).$$

We repeat the above operations and in limited steps, we have $\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon'_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. This completes the proof.

For any sequence $\{b_j\}$ of positive integers with $b_0 = 1, b_j \geq 2, j \geq 1$, let $a_0 = 1, a_j = \prod_{i=0}^j b_i, j \geq 1$. By Lemma 3.1, we can construct additive complements

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n \varepsilon_{2j} a_{2j}, \varepsilon_{2j} = 0, 1, \dots, b_{2j+1} - 1 \right\}, \\ B &= \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n \varepsilon_{2j+1} a_{2j+1}, \varepsilon_{2j+1} = 0, 1, \dots, b_{2j+2} - 1 \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where the summation is a finite sum. Let

$$y_k = (b_1 - 1) + (b_3 - 1)a_2 + (b_5 - 1)a_4 + \cdots + (b_{2k-1} - 1)a_{2k-2} + a_{2k}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$z_k = (b_2 - 1)a_1 + (b_4 - 1)a_3 + (b_6 - 1)a_5 + \cdots + (b_{2k} - 1)a_{2k-1} + a_{2k+1}, \quad (3.3)$$

obviously, $\{y_k\} \subset A, \{z_k\} \subset B$.

From here to the end, the additive complements A and B are given in (3.1), D_k is given in (2.1), y_k and z_k are given in (3.2) and (3.3).

Lemma 3.2. *For the additive complements A and B , we have*

$$\frac{A(y_k)B(y_k)}{y_k} = \frac{2}{1 + D_{2k}^*}, \quad \frac{A(z_k)B(z_k)}{z_k} = \frac{2}{1 + D_{2k+1}^*},$$

where $D_{2k}^* = D_{2k}, D_{2k+1}^* = D_{2k+1} - \frac{1}{b_{2k+1}b_{2k}b_{2k-1}\cdots b_2b_1}$.

Proof. For y_k , we have $A(y_k) = 2b_1b_3b_5\cdots b_{2k-1}, B(y_k) = b_2b_4b_6\cdots b_{2k}$. Then

$$\frac{A(y_k)B(y_k)}{y_k} = \frac{2a_{2k}}{(b_1 - 1) + (b_3 - 1)a_2 + (b_5 - 1)a_4 + \cdots + (b_{2k-1} - 1)a_{2k-2} + a_{2k}} = \frac{2}{1 + D_{2k}^*},$$

where $D_{2k}^* = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (b_{2i+1} - 1) \frac{a_{2i}}{a_{2k}} = \sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^i \left(\prod_{j=0}^i b_{2k-j} \right)^{-1}$.

For z_k , we have $A(z_k) = b_1b_3b_5\cdots b_{2k-1}b_{2k+1}, B(z_k) = 2b_2b_4b_6\cdots b_{2k}$. Then

$$\frac{A(z_k)B(z_k)}{z_k} = \frac{2a_{2k+1}}{(b_2 - 1)a_1 + (b_4 - 1)a_3 + (b_6 - 1)a_5 + \cdots + (b_{2k} - 1)a_{2k-1} + a_{2k+1}} = \frac{2}{1 + D_{2k+1}^*},$$

where $D_{2k+1}^* = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (b_{2i+2} - 1) \frac{a_{2i+1}}{a_{2k+1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^i \left(\prod_{j=0}^i b_{2k+1-j} \right)^{-1}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.3. *For any integers a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 and u , if $a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 \geq 0$ and $a_2x + b_2 > 0$ for all $0 \leq x \leq u$, then for all $0 \leq x \leq u$ we have*

$$\frac{a_1x + b_1}{a_2x + b_2} \leq \frac{a_1u + b_1}{a_2u + b_2}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *For the additive complements A and B , if*

$$x = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_2a_2 + \varepsilon_4a_4 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{2k-2}a_{2k-2} + \varepsilon_{2k}a_{2k} \in A, 0 \leq \varepsilon_j \leq b_{j+1} - 1,$$

then

$$\frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} \leq \frac{A(y_k)B(y_k)}{y_k}.$$

Proof. By noting that $x \in A$, we only need to consider $x \neq y_k$, i.e. the following two conditions.

Case 1 $x = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_2 a_2 + \varepsilon_4 a_4 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{2k} a_{2k}$, $2 \leq \varepsilon_{2k} \leq b_{2k+1} - 1$.

Case 2 $x = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_2 a_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{2m-2} a_{2m-2} + \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} (b_{2i+1} - 1) a_{2i} + a_{2k}$, where $\varepsilon_{2m-2} \leq b_{2m-1} - 2$, $1 \leq m \leq k$ (if $m = k$, then the sum is zero).

For Case 1, we have $A(x) \leq (\varepsilon_{2k} + 1) b_{2k-1} b_{2k-3} \cdots b_3 b_1$, $B(x) = b_{2k} b_{2k-2} \cdots b_2$.

If $3 \leq \varepsilon_{2k} \leq b_{2k+1} - 1$, then

$$\frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} \leq \frac{(\varepsilon_{2k} + 1) a_{2k}}{\varepsilon_{2k} a_{2k}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2k}} \leq \frac{4}{3} \leq \frac{A(y_k)B(y_k)}{y_k}.$$

If $\varepsilon_{2k} = 2$, then $x = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_2 a_2 + \varepsilon_4 a_4 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{2k-2} a_{2k-2} + 2a_{2k}$, where $\varepsilon_{2k-2} \leq b_{2k-1} - 1$. Thus $A(x) \leq 2b_{2k-1} b_{2k-3} \cdots b_3 b_1 + (\varepsilon_{2k-2} + 1) b_{2k-3} \cdots b_3 b_1$.

Hence by Lemma 3.3 ($x = \varepsilon_{2k-2}$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} &\leq \frac{2a_{2k} + (\varepsilon_{2k-2} + 1) b_{2k} a_{2k-2}}{2a_{2k} + \varepsilon_{2k-2} a_{2k-2}} \leq \frac{2a_{2k} + (b_{2k-1} - 1 + 1) b_{2k} a_{2k-2}}{2a_{2k} + (b_{2k-1} - 1) a_{2k-2}} \\ &= \frac{3}{2 + \frac{1}{b_{2k}} - \frac{1}{b_{2k} b_{2k-1}}} \leq \frac{2}{1 + D_{2k}^*} = \frac{A(y_k)B(y_k)}{y_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is proved by the fact that $0 < \frac{1}{b_{2k}} - \frac{1}{b_{2k} b_{2k-1}} < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < D_{2k}^* - (\frac{1}{b_{2k}} - \frac{1}{b_{2k} b_{2k-1}}) < \frac{1}{8}$.

For Case 2, if $m \leq k - 1$, we have $B(x) = b_{2k} b_{2k-2} \cdots b_2$ and $A(x) \leq b_{2k-1} b_{2k-3} \cdots b_3 b_1 + (b_{2k-1} - 1) b_{2k-3} \cdots b_3 b_1 + (b_{2k-3} - 1) b_{2k-5} \cdots b_3 b_1 + \cdots + (b_{2m+1} - 1) b_{2m-1} \cdots b_3 b_1 + (\varepsilon_{2m-2} + 1) b_{2m-3} \cdots b_3 b_1$.

By Lemma 3.3 ($x = \varepsilon_{2m-2}$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} &\leq \frac{\mathcal{N}}{a_{2k} + \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} (b_{2i+1} - 1) a_{2i} + \varepsilon_{2m-2} a_{2m-2}} \leq \frac{\mathcal{N}^*}{a_{2k} + \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} (b_{2i+1} - 1) a_{2i} + (b_{2m-1} - 2) a_{2m-2}} \\ &= \frac{2a_{2k} - b_{2k} b_{2k-2} b_{2k-4} \cdots b_{2m} a_{2m-2}}{a_{2k} + \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} (b_{2i+1} - 1) a_{2i} + (b_{2m-1} - 2) a_{2m-2}} = \frac{2 - (b_{2k-1} b_{2k-3} \cdots b_{2m+1} b_{2m-1})^{-1}}{1 + \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} (b_{2i+1} - 1) \frac{a_{2i}}{a_{2k}} + (b_{2m-1} - 2) \frac{a_{2m-2}}{a_{2k}}} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{1 + D_{2k}^*} = \frac{A(y_k)B(y_k)}{y_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{N} = a_{2k} + b_{2k} (b_{2k-1} - 1) a_{2k-2} + b_{2k} b_{2k-2} (b_{2k-3} - 1) a_{2k-4} + \cdots + b_{2k} b_{2k-2} \cdots b_{2m+2} (b_{2m+1} - 1) a_{2m} + b_{2k} b_{2k-2} \cdots b_{2m+2} b_{2m} (\varepsilon_{2m-2} + 1) a_{2m-2}$,

$\mathcal{N}^* = a_{2k} + b_{2k} (b_{2k-1} - 1) a_{2k-2} + b_{2k} b_{2k-2} (b_{2k-3} - 1) a_{2k-4} + \cdots + b_{2k} b_{2k-2} \cdots b_{2m+2} (b_{2m+1} - 1) a_{2m} + b_{2k} b_{2k-2} \cdots b_{2m+2} b_{2m} (b_{2m-1} - 2 + 1) a_{2m-2}$.

If $m = k$, then

$$x = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_2 a_2 + \varepsilon_4 a_4 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{2k-2} a_{2k-2} + a_{2k}, \varepsilon_{2k-2} \leq b_{2k-1} - 2.$$

Let

$$x' = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_2 a_2 + \varepsilon_4 a_4 + \cdots + (b_{2k-1} - 1)a_{2k-2} + a_{2k},$$

namely, ε_{2k-2} in x becomes $b_{2k-1} - 1$ in x' and the rest is the same. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= b_{2k-1}b_{2k-3} \cdots b_3b_1 + \varepsilon_{2k-2}b_{2k-3}b_{2k-5} \cdots b_3b_1 + \varepsilon_{2k-4}b_{2k-5} \cdots b_3b_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_2b_1 + \varepsilon_0 + 1, \\ A(x') &= b_{2k-1}b_{2k-3} \cdots b_3b_1 + (b_{2k-1} - 1)b_{2k-3}b_{2k-5} \cdots b_3b_1 + \varepsilon_{2k-4}b_{2k-5} \cdots b_3b_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_2b_1 + \varepsilon_0 + 1, \\ B(x) &= B(x') = b_{2k}b_{2k-2} \cdots b_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} \leq \frac{A(x')B(x')}{x'} \leq \frac{A(y_k)B(y_k)}{y_k}.$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.5. For the additive complements A and B , if

$$x = \varepsilon_1 a_1 + \varepsilon_3 a_3 + \varepsilon_5 a_5 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{2k-1} a_{2k-1} + \varepsilon_{2k+1} a_{2k+1} \in B, 0 \leq \varepsilon_j \leq b_{j+1} - 1,$$

then

$$\frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} \leq \frac{A(z_k)B(z_k)}{z_k}.$$

Proof. By noting that $x \in B$, we only need to consider $x \neq z_k$, i.e. the following two conditions.

Case 1 $x = \varepsilon_1 a_1 + \varepsilon_3 a_3 + \varepsilon_5 a_5 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{2k-1} a_{2k-1} + \varepsilon_{2k+1} a_{2k+1}$, $2 \leq \varepsilon_{2k+1} \leq b_{2k+2} - 1$.

Case 2 $x = \varepsilon_1 a_1 + \varepsilon_3 a_3 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{2m-1} a_{2m-1} + \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} (b_{2i+2} - 1)a_{2i+1} + a_{2k+1}$, where $\varepsilon_{2m-1} \leq b_{2m} - 2$, $1 \leq m \leq k$ (if $m = k$, then the sum is zero).

For Case 1, we have $A(x) = b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1} \cdots b_3b_1$, $B(x) \leq (\varepsilon_{2k+1} + 1)b_{2k}b_{2k-2} \cdots b_4b_2$.

If $3 \leq \varepsilon_{2k+1} \leq b_{2k+2} - 1$, we have

$$\frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} \leq \frac{(\varepsilon_{2k+1} + 1)a_{2k+1}}{\varepsilon_{2k+1}a_{2k+1}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2k+1}} \leq \frac{4}{3} \leq \frac{A(z_k)B(z_k)}{z_k}.$$

If $\varepsilon_{2k+1} = 2$, then $x = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3 a_3 + \varepsilon_5 a_5 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{2k-1} a_{2k-1} + 2a_{2k+1}$, where $\varepsilon_{2k-1} \leq b_{2k} - 1$. Thus $B(x) \leq 2b_{2k}b_{2k-2} \cdots b_4b_2 + (\varepsilon_{2k-1} + 1)b_{2k-2} \cdots b_4b_2$. By Lemma 3.3 ($x = \varepsilon_{2k-1}$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} &\leq \frac{2a_{2k+1} + (\varepsilon_{2k-1} + 1)b_{2k+1}a_{2k-1}}{2a_{2k+1} + \varepsilon_{2k-1}a_{2k-1}} \leq \frac{2a_{2k+1} + (b_{2k} - 1 + 1)b_{2k+1}a_{2k-1}}{2a_{2k+1} + (b_{2k} - 1)a_{2k-1}} \\ &= \frac{3}{2 + \frac{1}{b_{2k+1}} - \frac{1}{b_{2k+1}b_{2k}}} \leq \frac{2}{1 + D_{2k+1}^*} = \frac{A(z_k)B(z_k)}{z_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is proved by the fact that $0 < \frac{1}{b_{2k+1}} - \frac{1}{b_{2k+1}b_{2k}} < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < D_{2k+1}^* - \left(\frac{1}{b_{2k+1}} - \frac{1}{b_{2k+1}b_{2k}}\right) < \frac{1}{8}$.

For Case 2, if $m \leq k-1$, we have $A(x) = b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1} \cdots b_3b_1$ and $B(x) \leq b_{2k}b_{2k-2} \cdots b_4b_2 + (b_{2k} - 1)b_{2k-2} \cdots b_4b_2 + (b_{2k-2} - 1)b_{2k-4} \cdots b_4b_2 + \cdots + (b_{2m+2} - 1)b_{2m} \cdots b_4b_2 + (\varepsilon_{2m-1} + 1)b_{2m-2} \cdots b_4b_2$. Hence by Lemma 3.3 ($x = \varepsilon_{2m-1}$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} &\leq \frac{\mathcal{M}}{a_{2k+1} + \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} (b_{2i+2} - 1)a_{2i+1} + \varepsilon_{2m-1}a_{2m-1}} \leq \frac{\mathcal{M}^*}{a_{2k+1} + \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} (b_{2i+2} - 1)a_{2i+1} + (b_{2m} - 2)a_{2m-1}} \\ &= \frac{2a_{2k+1} - b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1}b_{2k-3} \cdots b_{2m+1}a_{2m-1}}{a_{2k+1} + \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} (b_{2i+2} - 1)a_{2i+1} + (b_{2m} - 2)a_{2m-1}} = \frac{2 - (b_{2k}b_{2k-2} \cdots b_{2m+2}b_{2m})^{-1}}{1 + \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} (b_{2i+2} - 1)\frac{a_{2i+1}}{a_{2k+1}} + (b_{2m} - 2)\frac{a_{2m-1}}{a_{2k+1}}} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{1 + D_{2k+1}^*} = \frac{A(z_k)B(z_k)}{z_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{M} = a_{2k+1} + b_{2k+1}(b_{2k} - 1)a_{2k-1} + b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1}(b_{2k-2} - 1)a_{2k-3} + b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1}b_{2k-2}(b_{2k-3} - 1)a_{2k-4} + \cdots + b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1} \cdots b_{2m+3}(b_{2m+2} - 1)a_{2m+1} + b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1} \cdots b_{2m+1}(\varepsilon_{2m-1} + 1)a_{2m-1}$,
 $\mathcal{M}^* = a_{2k+1} + b_{2k+1}(b_{2k} - 1)a_{2k-1} + b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1}(b_{2k-2} - 1)a_{2k-3} + b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1}b_{2k-2}(b_{2k-3} - 1)a_{2k-4} + \cdots + b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1} \cdots b_{2m+3}(b_{2m+2} - 1)a_{2m+1} + b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1} \cdots b_{2m+1}(b_{2m} - 2 + 1)a_{2m-1}$.

If $m = k$, then

$$x = \varepsilon_1a_1 + \varepsilon_3a_3 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{2k-1}a_{2k-1} + a_{2k+1}, \varepsilon_{2k-1} \leq b_{2k} - 2.$$

Let

$$x' = \varepsilon_1a_1 + \varepsilon_3a_3 + \cdots + (b_{2k} - 1)a_{2k-1} + a_{2k+1},$$

namely, ε_{2k-1} in x becomes $b_{2k} - 1$ in x' and the rest is the same. We have

$$B(x) = b_{2k}b_{2k-2} \cdots b_4b_2 + \varepsilon_{2k-1}b_{2k-2}b_{2k-4} \cdots b_4b_2 + \varepsilon_{2k-3}b_{2k-4} \cdots b_4b_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_3b_2 + \varepsilon_1 + 1,$$

$$B(x') = b_{2k}b_{2k-2} \cdots b_4b_2 + (b_{2k} - 1)b_{2k-2}b_{2k-4} \cdots b_4b_2 + \varepsilon_{2k-3}b_{2k-4} \cdots b_4b_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_3b_2 + \varepsilon_1 + 1,$$

$$A(x) = A(x') = b_{2k+1}b_{2k-1} \cdots b_3b_1.$$

Then

$$\frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} \leq \frac{A(x')B(x')}{x'} \leq \frac{A(z_k)B(z_k)}{z_k}.$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 2.1 For any positive integer x , if $x \notin A \cup B$, then

$$\frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = \frac{A(x-1)B(x-1)}{x} < \frac{A(x-1)B(x-1)}{x-1}.$$

So we only select $x \in A \cup B$ to compute $\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x}$.

According to Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{1 + D_k^*}.$$

Since $\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} D_k^* = \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} D_k$, then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)}{x} = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{1 + D_k}.$$

For $x_k = a_{2k} - 1$, we have $A(x_k) = b_1 b_3 b_5 \cdots b_{2k-1}$, $B(x_k) = b_2 b_4 b_6 \cdots b_{2k}$. Thus $A(x_k)B(x_k) - x_k = 1$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

References

- [1] L. Danzer: Über eine Frage von G. Hanani aus der additiven Zahlentheorie, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 214/215 (1964), 392–394.
- [2] A.Sárközy and E.Szemerédi: On a problem in additive number theory, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 64 (1994), 237–245.
- [3] J. H. Fang and Y. G. Chen: On additive complements, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 138 (2010), 1923–1927.
- [4] J. H. Fang and Y. G. Chen: On additive complements. III, *J. Number Theory* 141 (2014), 83–91.
- [5] Y. G. Chen and J. H. Fang: On additive complements, II, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 139 (2011), 881–883.
- [6] X. Y. Liu and J. H. Fang: A note on additive complements, *J. Advances in Mathematics (China)* 45 (2016), 533–536.

Fang-Yu Ma

School of Mathematics

Shandong University

Jinan 250100, P.R. China

E-mail: mfy17864193400@163.com