An exact version of Shor’s order finding algorithm and its application to primitive finding problem

Muhammad Imran
Institute of Mathematics, Department of Algebra, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Muegyetem rkp. 3., Budapest, H-1111, Hungary.
E-mail: mimran@math.bme.hu

Abstract

We present an efficient exact version of Shor’s order finding algorithm when a multiple $m$ of the order $r$ is known. The algorithm consists of two main ingredients. The first ingredient is the exact quantum Fourier transform proposed by Mosca and Zalka. The second ingredient is an amplitude amplification version of Brassard and Hoyer combined with some ideas from the exact discrete logarithm procedure by Mosca and Zalka. As an application, we show how the algorithm serves as a subroutine of an efficient exact quantum algorithm for finding primitive elements in arbitrary finite fields.

1 Introduction

Shor’s quantum algorithm [Sho97] can determine the order of group elements efficiently, and it serves as the main tool for factoring integers. However, Shor’s algorithm is polynomial-time in the expected sense, which means it may fail with a small probability (that can though be made arbitrarily small). The same case happens with Simon’s algorithm [Sim97]. However, Brassard and Hoyer, in [BH97], came up with an exact quantum polynomial time for Simon’s problem. The Brassard-Hoyer algorithm utilizes a modified version of Grover’s technique in [Gro97] to derandomize Simon’s algorithm. Specifically, they propose a method that, assuming that we can construct a superposition in which the total squared amplitude of the ”desired” constituents (intuitively, the probability of success) is $\frac{1}{2}$, boosts this success probability to 1.

The question about the existence of exact quantum replacements for bounded quantum error probabilistic algorithms is a natural question, as it is analogous to derandomizing probabilistic classical algorithms. Besides, some earliest quantum algorithms that demonstrate the power of quantum computers, such as Deutsch-Jozsa procedure [DJ92] and Bernstein-Vazirani problem [BV97], are exact. It is a difficult open question whether Shor’s factoring algorithm can be derandomized. In [MZ03], Mosca and Zalka successfully derandomize Shor’s algorithm for discrete logarithm problem in a cyclic group of known order. Here we consider the question whether Shor’s order finding algorithm can be derandomized assuming some knowledge.

As knowing a multiple of the order $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$ would factor $m$ in randomized classical polynomial time, finding orders of group elements with a known multiple of the order is not necessarily as hard as factoring, so a multiple of the order may be a good candidate for such a help. An important example where this help is available is the case of computing
multiplicative orders (and testing primitivity) of elements of finite fields. In this paper, we show that, given a multiple of the order, we can adjust the total squared amplitude of the “desired states” in Shor’s order finding algorithm to $\frac{1}{2}$ and use the Brassard-Hoyer amplitude amplification to obtain an exact algorithm, see section 3. The idea of the exact version of Shor’s order finding algorithm presented in this paper can be generalized to an exact quantum algorithm for hidden subgroup problem in $\mathbb{Z}_m$, see [122].

As an application, we show how the algorithm serves as a subroutine of an efficient exact quantum algorithm for finding primitive elements in arbitrary finite fields, see section 4.

2 Amplitude amplifications

Both Mosca-Zalka amplitude amplification and Brassard-Hoyer amplitude amplification are based on the generalization of Grover search algorithm in [BHT98]. We present a brief review of the general amplitude amplification discussed by Brassad, Hoyer and Tapp in [BHT98].

Given an algorithm $A$ using no measurement, the amplitude amplification is a method to boost the success probability of the algorithm $A$. On initial input $|0\rangle$, the algorithm $A$ returns a pure superposition $A|0\rangle = \sum_{i \in I} |i\rangle|\Gamma_i\rangle$ for some index set $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$. We consider $\chi : I \to \{0,1\}$ a Boolean function that separates the desired outcome states (all states $|i\rangle|\Gamma_i\rangle$ with $\chi(i) = 1$) from the unwanted states (all states $|i\rangle|\Gamma_i\rangle$ with $\chi(i) = 0$) as follows. Let $A = \{i \in I \mid \chi(i) = 1\}$ and $B = \{i \in I \mid \chi(i) = 0\}$. We write $A|0\rangle = |\Gamma_a\rangle + |\Gamma_b\rangle$, where $|\Gamma_a\rangle = \sum_{i \in A} |i\rangle|\Gamma_i\rangle$ and $|\Gamma_b\rangle = \sum_{i \in B} |i\rangle|\Gamma_i\rangle$.

Hence the success probability of the algorithm $A$ is $a = \langle \Gamma_a | \Gamma_a \rangle = ||\Gamma_a||^2$. Therefore, the amplitude amplification operator for the algorithm $A$ is defined as

$$Q(A, \chi, \phi, \varphi) = -A S_0^\phi A^{-1} S_\chi^\varphi,$$

(1)

where $S_\chi^\varphi$ and $S_0^\phi$ are phase changing operators defined by

$$S_\chi^\varphi |i\rangle|\Gamma_i\rangle = \begin{cases} |\varphi^i\rangle|\Gamma_i\rangle & \text{if } \chi(i) = 1 \\ |i\rangle|\Gamma_i\rangle & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_0^\phi |i\rangle|\Gamma_i\rangle = \begin{cases} |\phi^i\rangle|\Gamma_i\rangle & \text{if } i = 0 \\ |i\rangle|\Gamma_i\rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The operator $Q$ is a generalization of Grover’s iterations applied in his quantum search algorithm [Gro97]. Moreover, by setting $\phi = \varphi = -1$, we have for every $j \geq 0$,

$$Q^j A|0\rangle = a_j |\Gamma_a\rangle + b_j |\Gamma_b\rangle$$

where

$$k_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \sin((2j + 1) \theta) \quad \text{and} \quad l_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-a}} \cos((2j + 1) \theta),$$

and $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ is defined so that $\sin^2 \theta = a = ||\Gamma_a||^2$.

A natural question to ask whether it is possible to boost the success probability to certainty. It turns out there are positive answers to this question. In [BH97], Brassard and Hoyer present an optimal value for the parameters $\phi$ and $\varphi$ such that whenever the success probability of an algorithm $A$ is $\frac{1}{2}$, then one application of the amplitude amplification $Q$ boosts the success probability to 1. This is the approach that Brassard and Hoyer use to derandomize Simon’s algorithm. Another positive answer is also presented in [MZ03] by
Mosca and Zalka. They use one application of $Q$ with parameters $\phi = \varphi = -1$ to increase the success probability $\frac{1}{4}$ of an algorithm $A$ to 1. They use this variant of amplitude amplification to derandomize Shor’s quantum algorithm for discrete logarithm.

As one may notice from some previous derandomizations, such as Simon’s algorithm and Shor’s discrete logarithm, the knowledge of the success probability of the algorithms makes the derandomizations possible. Therefore, in the next sections, we show how to use this amplitude amplification approaches to derandomize Shor’s order finding algorithm and to construct an exact algorithm for primitive finding problem.

3 Exact version of Shor’s order finding algorithm

The problem we consider is given an element group $x \in G$ and a multiple $m$ of the unknown order $r$ of $x$, determine the order $r$. The first part of the algorithm is the standard Fourier sampling. We use here an exact version based on the exact quantum Fourier transform of Mosca and Zalka [MZ03]. The standard Fourier sampling procedure maps $|0\rangle|0\rangle$ to $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |k\rangle|\Gamma_k\rangle$, where $|\Gamma_k\rangle = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \omega^{kj} |x^{j}\rangle$ and $\omega = e^{2\pi i/m}$. Write $j$ as $j_0 + rj_1$ ($0 \leq j_0 \leq r - 1$). Then

$$|\Gamma_k\rangle = \begin{cases} 1/r \sum_{j_0=0}^{r-1} \omega^{kj_0}|x^{j_0}\rangle & \text{if } m/r|k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

whence

$$|\Gamma_k|^2 = \begin{cases} 1/r & \text{if } m/r|k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In words, we have terms with $|k\rangle$ in the first register only for those $k$ which are multiples of $m/r$. Initially, any $k$ which is nonzero modulo $m$ is useful because $\frac{m}{\gcd(k,m)}$ is a proper divisor of $r$. We have $\sum_{k \neq 0} |\Gamma_k|^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. However, fortunately, if we already know a divisor $d$ of $r$ then those values $k$ that give us new information are the non-multiples of $\frac{m}{d}$. We have $\sum_{k \neq 0} |\Gamma_k|^2 = 1 - \frac{d}{r}$. The point is we do not know $r$.

The second part of the algorithm is based on the discussion in the previous paragraph. We maintain a divisor $d$ of $r$. We construct iterations of a procedure that increase $d$. Initially $d := 1$. As long as $d < r$, we find $k$ such that $dk \mod m \neq 0$. Then we replace $d$ with $\frac{m}{\gcd(k,m)}$ since this is another divisor of $r$ greater than $d$. Hence, $d$ keeps increasing as long as $d < r$ and it stops immediately when $d = r$ as $dk = 0 \mod m$ for all $k$ if and only if $d$ is a multiple of $r$.

Assume $d < r$. Let $\text{rep}(dk)$ be the smallest positive integer representative of $dk \mod m$. In this case, $\text{rep}(dk) = \frac{d m}{r}$ for all $k$. Then $\text{rep}(dk)$ divides $m$ and the $\frac{m}{\gcd(dk,m)} - 1 = \frac{r}{d} - 1$ positive integers of the form $td\frac{m}{r} < m$ are the nonzero multiple of $d\frac{m}{r}$ modulo $m$. Note that if $\frac{r}{d}$ is even, then the integers of the form $td\frac{m}{r}$ with $m/2 \leq t d\frac{m}{r} < m$ represent just half of multiples of $d\frac{m}{r}$ modulo $m$. However, if $\frac{r}{d}$ is odd, we need to add another multiple of $d\frac{m}{r}$ modulo $m$, say $d\frac{m}{r}$, with weight $\frac{1}{2}$. The problem is we do not know $d\frac{m}{r}$. However, fortunately, for at least one integer $0 \leq j \leq \log_2 m$, namely for $j = \lceil \log_2 d\frac{m}{r} \rceil$, the interval $(0, 2^j]$ contains only $d\frac{m}{r}$ and no other multiple of $d\frac{m}{r}$ as if $j - 1 < \log_2 d\frac{m}{r} \leq j$ then $d\frac{m}{r} \leq 2^j$ and $2d\frac{m}{r} > 2^j$.
Algorithm 1 Exact version of Shor’s order finding algorithm

1: Initialize: $d \leftarrow 1$, $Found \leftarrow 1$;
2: while $Found > 0$ do
3:     for $j = -1, \ldots, \lceil \log_2 m \rceil$ do
4:         $\chi_j (k, b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gcd(pk, m) \geq \frac{m}{p} \text{ or } b = 1 \text{ and } 0 < \gcd(pk, m) \leq 2^j; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$
5:         $U_j : |00\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_j \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{|k\rangle} |\Gamma_k \rangle |0\rangle |\chi_j (k, b)\rangle;$  \quad where $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$.
6:         Apply the amplitude amplified version of $U_j$ to obtain $|\psi_j' \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{|k, b\rangle} c_{\chi_j (k, b)} |k\rangle |\Gamma_k \rangle |0\rangle |\chi_j (k, b)\rangle$.
7:     end if
8:     $d \leftarrow \gcd(m, r)$;
9:     else
10:        $Found \leftarrow Found - 1$
11:     end if
12: end for
13: end while

As in each round before termination, the size of $d$ is increased by at least a factor 2 and it stops immediately when $d = r$, we need at most $\lceil \log_2 r \rceil$ rounds of iterations. The overall number of calls to the Fourier transform or its inverse is $O(\log m \log r)$. The required number of elementary gates is $(\log m)^{O(1)}$.

4 Exact quantum algorithm for primitive finding problem

It is well known that the density of primitive elements in a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is large enough so that the simple method of choosing a small number of elements in $\mathbb{F}_q$ at random is in fact a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm for finding primitive elements. However, the existence of a deterministic or even Las Vegas polynomial time algorithm for finding primitive elements in arbitrary finite fields is still an open question. Almost all known classical algorithms for testing a generator require factoring $q - 1$. In this section, we present an exact quantum algorithm for finding primitive elements using the algorithm in section 2 as a subroutine.

Assume $x$ is an element of $G = \mathbb{F}_q^*$. The exact algorithm consists of two parts. The first part is testing primutivity using the exact algorithm in section 2. The second part is an exact procedure to find an element in $G \setminus \langle x \rangle$.

The procedure for the second part is the following. We start with the uniform superposition $|G\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{y \in G} |y\rangle$ where $m = q - 1$. If $x$ is an element of order $r < m$, then

$$
\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{y \in G \setminus \langle x \rangle} |y\rangle \right|^2 = 1 - \frac{m}{r} \geq 1/2,
$$

and one can adjust the total squared amplitude of the desired states to $\frac{1}{q}$ as follows. Let $B$ denote the unitary operator that rotates $|0\rangle$ to $\sqrt{1 - \frac{m}{2(m-r)}} |0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{m}{2(m-r)}} |1\rangle$. Therefore, by attaching an additional one qubit register to $|G\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{y \in G} |y\rangle |0\rangle$ and applying $B$ on the second register, we have the superposition

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{y \in G} |y\rangle \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{m}{2(m-r)}} |0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{m}{2(m-r)}} |1\rangle \right).
$$

Let $\chi : G \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be the Boolean function defined as $\chi(y, b) = 1$ if and only if $y \in G \setminus \langle x \rangle$ and $b = 1$. Therefore, the total squared amplitudes of states $|y\rangle |b\rangle$ with
\[ \chi(y, b) = 1 \] is 1. Hence, we apply Brassard-Hoyer amplitude amplification to obtain an element \( y \in G \setminus \langle x \rangle \) with certainty. Let \( \mathcal{P} \) denote the exact procedure discussed previously.

Now we can find a primitive element in \( \mathbb{F}_q^\ast \) as follows. Let \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\ast \). For each round, we compute the order of \( x \) using the algorithm in section 3 with \( m = q - 1 \). If the order of \( x \) is less than \( q - 1 \), then we do the following procedure: find an element \( y \in \mathbb{F}_q^\ast \setminus \langle x \rangle \) and then replace \( x \) with \( \gcd(x, y) \).

**Algorithm 2** Exact algorithm for finding primitive elements

1: Choose an element \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q \);
2: Compute the order \( r \) of \( x \) using algorithm 1;
3: while \( r < q - 1 \) do
4: Use the procedure \( \mathcal{P} \) to obtain \( y \in \mathbb{F}_q^\ast \setminus \langle x \rangle \);
5: \( x \leftarrow \gcd(x, y) \);
6: end while

As in each round, the order of the new element \( x := \gcd(x, y) \) is increased by at least a factor of 2, we need at most \( \log_2 q \) rounds to find a primitive element in \( \mathbb{F}_q^\ast \). Therefore, the overall number of calls to the Fourier transform is \( O(\log^3 q) \) and the required number of elementary gates is \( (\log q)^{O(1)} \).
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