

Spanning tree-connected subgraphs and spanning closed trails with small degrees.

MORTEZA HASANVAND

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

morteza.hasanvand@alum.sharif.edu

Abstract

Let G be a graph, let m be a positive integer, and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. In this paper, we show that if for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2m) + m + \Omega_m(G[S]),$$

then G has a spanning m -tree-connected subgraph H containing an arbitrary given subgraph with maximum degree at most m such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq f(v)$, where $G[S]$ denotes the induced subgraph of G with the vertex set S and $\Omega_m(G_0)$ is a parameter to measure m -tree-connectivity of a given graph G_0 . By applying this result, we prove that every k -edge-connected graph G has a spanning m -tree-connected subgraph H such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq \lceil \frac{m}{k}(d_G(v) - 2m) \rceil + 2m$, where $k \geq 2m$; also if G is k -tree-connected, then H can be found such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq \lceil \frac{m}{k}(d_G(v) - m) \rceil + m$, where $k \geq m$. Next, we prove that if for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Omega_2(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 3/2) + 1,$$

then G admits a spanning closed trail meeting each vertex v at most $f(v)$ times. From this result, we conclude that every 4-tough graph of order at least three admits a spanning closed trail meeting each vertex at most twice. This result confirms a weaker version of a long-standing conjecture due to Chvátal (1973).

Keywords:

Spanning tree; spanning Eulerian; spanning closed trail; connected factor; toughness.

1 Introduction

In this article, all graphs have no loop, but multiple edges are allowed and a simple graph is a graph without multiple edges. Let G be a graph. The vertex set, the edge set, the maximum degree, and the number of components of G are denoted by $V(G)$, $E(G)$, $\Delta(G)$, and $\omega(G)$, respectively. The degree $d_G(v)$ of a vertex

v is the number of edges of G incident to v . The set of edges of G that are incident to v is denoted by $E_G(v)$. We denote by $d_G(C)$ the number of edges of G with exactly one end in $V(C)$, where C is a subgraph of G . For a set $X \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[X]$ the induced subgraph of G with the vertex set X containing precisely those edges of G whose ends lie in X . Let f a nonnegative integer-valued functions on $V(G)$. A spanning closed f -**trail (walk)** in a graph refers to a spanning closed trail (walk) meeting each vertex v at most $f(v)$ times. Hamiltonian cycles can be considered as spanning closed 1-trails and 1-walks. For a spanning subgraph H with a given integer-valued function h on $V(H)$, the **total excess of H from h** is defined as follows:

$$te(H, h) = \sum_{v \in V(H)} \max\{0, d_H(v) - h(v)\}.$$

According to this definition, $te(H, h) = 0$ if and only if for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq h(v)$. For a set A of integers, an A -**factor** is a spanning subgraph with vertex degrees in A . Let F be a spanning subgraph of G . For an edge set E , we denote by $F - E$ the graph obtained from F by removing the edges of E from F . Likewise, we denote by $F + E$ the graph obtained from F by inserting the edges of E into F . For convenience, we use e instead of E when $E = \{e\}$. For two edge sets E_1 and E_2 , we also use the notation $E_1 + E_2$ for the union of them. A component of F is said to be **trivial**, if it consists of only one vertex. Likewise, F is said to be **trivial**, if it has no edge. A vertex set S of a graph G is called **independent**, if there is no edge of G connecting vertices in S . Let $S \subseteq V(G)$. The graph obtained from G by removing all vertices of S is denoted by $G \setminus S$. Denote by $e_G(S)$ the number of edges of G with both ends in S . Let P be a partition of $V(G)$. Denote by $e_G(P)$ the number of edges of G whose ends lie in different parts of P . The graph obtained from G by contracting all vertex sets of P is denoted by G/P . Note that the edge set of G/P can be considered as an edge subset of $E(G)$. A graph G is called m -**tree-connected**, if it has m edge-disjoint spanning trees. In addition, an m -tree-connected graph G is called **minimally m -tree-connected**, if for any edge e of G , the graph $G \setminus e$ is not m -tree-connected. In other words, $|E(G)| = m(|V(G)| - 1)$. The vertex set of any graph G can be expressed uniquely as a disjoint union of vertex sets of some induced m -tree-connected subgraphs. These subgraphs are called the **m -tree-connected components** of G . For a graph G , we define the parameter $\Omega_m(G) = m|P| - e_G(P)$ to measure tree-connectivity, where P is the unique partition of $V(G)$ obtained from the m -tree-connected components of G . Note that $\Omega_1(G)$ is the same number of components of G , while $\Omega_m(G)$ is less or equal than the number of m -tree-connected components of G . In Subsection 2, we will show that $\omega(G) = \Omega_1(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}\Omega_2(G) \leq \frac{1}{3}\Omega_3(G) \leq \dots \leq |V(G)|$ and also G is m -tree-connected if and only if $\Omega_m(G) = 1$. The definition implies that the null graph K_0 with no vertices is not m -tree-connected and $\Omega_m(K_0) = 0$. In this paper, we assume that all graphs are nonnull, except for the graphs that obtained by removing vertices. We say that a graph F is m -**sparse**, if $e_F(S) \leq m|S| - m$, for all nonempty subsets $S \subseteq V(G)$. It is not hard to show that a graph F is m -sparse if and only if whose m -tree-connected components are minimal. We will show that every m -tree-connected graph H containing the m -sparse graph F with the minimum number of edges is minimally m -tree-connected. Moreover, it is not hard to check that $\Omega_m(F) < \Omega_m(F \setminus e)$, for any edge e of F . Clearly, 1-sparse graphs are forests. Note also that several basic tools in this paper for working with sparse and tree-connected graphs can be obtained using matroid theory. A graph is termed **essentially λ -edge-connected**, if all edges of any edge cut of

size strictly less than λ are incident to a common vertex. Let t be a positive real number, a graph G is said to be **t -tough**, if $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \max\{1, \frac{1}{t}|S|\}$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$. Likewise, G is said to be **m -strongly t -tough**, if $\frac{1}{m}\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \max\{1, \frac{1}{t}|S|\}$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$. We will show that tough enough graphs with sufficiently large order are also m -strongly tough enough. For convenience, we abbreviate the term ‘2-strongly’ to strongly, and for notational simplicity, we write $\Omega(G)$ for $\Omega_2(G)$. Throughout this article, all variables k and m are positive integers.

Recently, the present author [16] investigated spanning trees with small degrees and established the following theorem. This result is an improvement of several results due to Win (1989) [32], Ellingham and Zha (2000) [12], and Ellingham, Nam, and Voss (2002) [11].

Theorem 1.1.([16]) *Let G be a graph with a factor F . Let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2 + \omega(G[S]),$$

then G has a connected factor H containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}$.

For edge-connected graphs, we derived the following result from Theorem 1.1 which is an improvement of some results due to Liu and Xu (1998) [21], Ellingham, Nam, and Voss (2002) [11], and the present author (2015) [15].

Theorem 1.2.([16]) *Let G be a connected graph. Then every matching of G can be extended to a connected factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_H(v) \leq \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-2}{k} \rceil + 2, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-edge-connected;} \\ \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-1}{k} \rceil + 1, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-tree-connected.} \end{cases}$$

In addition, we derived the following result which gives a sufficient toughness-type condition for the existence of spanning closed f -walks. This result confirmed a long-standing conjecture due to Jackson and Wormald (1990) [18] who conjectured that every $\frac{1}{n-1}$ -tough graph with $n \geq 2$ admits a spanning closed n -walk.

Theorem 1.3.([16]) *Let G be a graph and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 1$, then G has a spanning closed f -walk passing through the edges of an arbitrary given matching.*

In this paper, we investigate tree-connected factors with small degrees and generalize Theorem 1.1 toward this concept by proving the following theorem. In Section 6, we conclude that tough enough graphs of order at least $2m$ admit an m -tree-connected factor with maximum degree at most $2m + 1$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a graph with a factor F and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2m) + m + \Omega_m(G[S]),$$

then G has an m -tree-connected factor H containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + \{d_F(v) - m\}$.

In Section 5, we derive the following result on edge-connected graphs from Theorem 1.4. As a consequence, every $(r - 2m)$ -edge-connected r -regular graph with $r \geq 4m$ must have an m -tree-connected graph with maximum degree at most $3m$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a graph. Then every factor of G with maximum degree at most m can be extended to an m -tree-connected factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_H(v) \leq \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{m(d_G(v) - 2m)}{k} \rceil + 2m, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-edge-connected and } k \geq 2m; \\ \lceil \frac{m(d_G(v) - m)}{k} \rceil + m, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-tree-connected and } k \geq m. \end{cases}$$

In Section 7, we derive the following result from Theorem 1.4 which gives a sufficient strong-toughness-type condition for the existence of spanning closed f -trails. As a consequence, every strongly $\frac{1}{n-3/2}$ -tough graph with $n \geq 2$ admits a spanning closed n -trail.

Theorem 1.6. *Let G be a 2-tree-connected graph and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\frac{1}{2}\Omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - \frac{3}{2}) + 2$, then G has a spanning closed f -trail.*

In 1973 Chvátal [7] conjectured that tough enough graphs of order at least three admit a Hamiltonian cycle. In Subsection 7.3, we confirm a weaker version this conjecture by giving a sufficient toughness condition for the existence of spanning closed 2-trails.

Conjecture 1.7.([7]) *There exists a positive real number t_0 such that every t_0 -tough graph of order at least three admits a spanning closed 1-trail.*

2 Basic tools

In this subsection, we present some basic tools for working with tree-connected graphs. We begin with the following well-known result which gives a criterion for a graph to have m edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Theorem 2.1.(Nash-Williams [25] and Tutte [31]) *A graph G is m -tree-connected if and only if for every partition P of $V(G)$, $e_G(P) \geq m(|P| - 1)$.*

For every vertex v of a graph G , consider an induced m -tree-connected subgraph of G containing v with the maximal order. It is known that these subgraphs are unique and decompose the vertex set of G [5]. In fact, these subgraphs are the m -tree-connected components of G that already introduced in the Introduction. The following observation simply shows that these subgraphs are well-defined.

Observation 2.2. *Let G be a graph with $X \subseteq V(G)$ and $Y \subseteq V(G)$. If $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are m -tree-connected and $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, then $G[X \cup Y]$ is also m -tree-connected.*

Proof. Let P be a partition of $X \cup Y$. Define P' and P_0 to be the partitions of X and Y with

$$P' = \{A \cap X : A \in P \text{ and } A \cap X \neq \emptyset\} \text{ and } P_0 = \{A \in P : A \cap X = \emptyset\} \cup \{Y \cap \bigcup_{A \cap X \neq \emptyset} A\}.$$

Since $|P'| + (|P_0| - 1) = |P|$, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$e_{G[X \cup Y]}(P) \geq e_{G[X]}(P') + e_{G[Y]}(P_0) \geq m(|P'| - 1) + m(|P_0| - 1) = m(|P| - 1).$$

Again, by applying Theorem 2.1, the graph $G[X \cup Y]$ must be m -tree-connected. \square

The next observation presents a simple way for deducing tree-connectivity of a graph from whose special factors and whose contractions.

Observation 2.3. *Let G be a graph with $X \subseteq V(G)$. If $G[X]$ and G/X are m -tree-connected, then G itself is m -tree-connected.*

Proof. It is enough to apply the same argument in the proof of Observation 2.2, by setting $Y = V(G)$. Note that we again have $e_{G[Y]}(P_0) \geq m(|P_0| - 1)$, since G/X is m -tree-connected. \square

2.1 Sparsity of minimally tree-connected graphs

Let G be a graph satisfying $|E(G)| = m(|V(G)| - 1)$. It is known that G is m -sparse if and only if G is m -tree-connected. We shall below form a similar stronger version.

Theorem 2.4. *If G is an m -sparse graph satisfying $|E(G)| = m(|V(G)| - 1)$, then for every partition P of $V(G)$, $e_G(P) \geq m(|P| - 1) + p_0$, where p_0 is the number of vertex sets $X \in P$ such that $G[X]$ is not m -tree-connected.*

Proof. Since G is m -sparse, for every nonempty subset X of $V(G)$, $e_G(X) \leq m(|X| - 1)$. Let P_0 be the set of all $A \in P$ such that $G[A]$ is not m -tree-connected. We claim that $e_G(A) \leq m(|A| - 1) - 1$ for every $A \in P_0$. Since $G[A]$ is not m -tree-connected, there exists a partition P' of A such that $e_{G[A]}(P') < m(|P'| - 1)$. Thus

$e_G(A) = e_{G[A]}(P') + \sum_{X \in P'} e_G(X) < m(|P'| - 1) + \sum_{X \in P'} m(|X| - 1) = m(|A| - 1)$. Hence the claim holds. Therefore,

$$e_G(P) = |E(G)| - \sum_{X \in P} e_G(X) \geq m(|V(G)| - 1) - \sum_{X \in P} m(|X| - 1) - |P_0| = m(|P| - 1) - |P_0|.$$

This can complete the proof. \square

The following corollary is a prominent application of Theorem 2.4 and plays a basic role in this paper.

Corollary 2.5. *Let G be an m -sparse graph. Let Q be a minimal m -tree-connected subgraph of G including two given vertices x and y . If $z \in V(Q) \setminus \{x, y\}$, then $d_Q(z) \geq m + 1$.*

Proof. Let A be a proper subset of $V(Q)$ including x and y . According to the minimality of Q , the graph $Q[A]$ is not tree-connected. Thus by Theorem 2.4, we must have $d_Q(A) = e_Q(P) \geq m(|P| - 1) + 1 = m + 1$, where $P = \{A, V(Q) \setminus A\}$. Hence the proof is completed. \square

The next corollary is a very useful tool for finding a pair of edges such that replacing them preserves sparsity of a given sparse factor.

Corollary 2.6. *Let G be a graph with an m -sparse factor F . If $xy \in E(G) \setminus E(F)$ and Q is a minimal m -tree-connected subgraph of F including x and y , then for every $e \in E(Q)$, the graph $F - e + xy$ remains m -sparse.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $F - e + xy$ is not m -sparse. Thus there is a vertex subset A of $V(F)$ including x and y such that $e_F(A) \geq m(|A| - 1)$ and $e \notin E(F[A])$. This implies that $A \neq V(Q)$. Since F is m -sparse, we must have $e_F(A) = m(|A| - 1)$. Also, since Q is m -tree-connected, $e_F(A \cap B) = e_F(A) + e_F(B) - e_F(A \cup B) \geq m(|A| - 1) + m(|B| - 1) - m(|A \cup B| - 1) = m(|A \cap B| - 1)$, where $B = V(Q)$. Therefore, $e_F(A \cap B) = m(|A \cap B| - 1)$. According to Theorem 2.4, the graph $F[A \cap B]$ must be m -tree-connected, which contradicts minimality of Q . Hence the proof is completed. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let G be a graph with an m -tree-connected factor H and let $xy \in E(G) \setminus E(H)$. If Q is a minimal m -tree-connected subgraph of G including x and y , then for every $e \in E(Q)$, the graph $H - e + xy$ remains m -tree-connected.*

Proof. By the assumption, Q is minimally m -tree-connected and so it is m -sparse. Let H' be a minimally m -tree-connected factor of H containing Q . By Corollary 2.14, H' is m -sparse. Thus by Corollary 2.6, $H' - e + xy$ remains m -tree-connected and so does $H - e + xy$. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let G be a graph with an m -sparse factor F . If $e \in E(G) \setminus E(F)$ joins different tree-connected components of F , then $F + e$ is still m -sparse.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $F + e$ is not m -sparse. Thus there is a vertex set A including both ends of e such that $e_F(A) = m(|A| - 1)$. By Theorem 2.4, $F[A]$ is m -tree-connected. Hence both ends of e lies in the same m -tree-connected component of G which is a contradiction. \square

2.2 Edge-density and the existence of non-trivial tree-connected components

The following theorem shows that graphs with bounded edge-density must have m^+ -tree-connected subgraphs. We say that a graph G is m^+ -tree-connected, if it is non-trivial and $G - e$ remains m -tree-connected for every edge e .

Theorem 2.9. *Every graph G of order at least two containing at least $m(|V(G)| - 1) + 1$ edges has an m^+ -tree-connected subgraph with at least two vertices.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on $|V(G)|$. For $|V(G)| = 2$, the proof is clear. Assume $|V(G)| \geq 3$. Suppose the theorem is false. Thus by Theorem 2.1, there exists an edge e and a partition P of $V(G)$ such that $e_{G_0}(P) < m(|P| - 1)$, where $G_0 = G - e$. Note that $e_G(P) \leq m(|P| - 1)$. By induction hypothesis, for every $A \in P$, we have $e_G(A) \leq m(|A| - 1)$, whether $|A| = 1$ or not. Therefore,

$$m(|V(G)| - 1) < |E(G)| = e_G(P) + \sum_{A \in P} e_G(A) \leq m(|P| - 1) + m \sum_{A \in P} (|A| - 1) \leq m(|V(G)| - 1).$$

This result is a contradiction, as desired. \square

The following corollary gives useful information about the existence of non-trivial m -tree-connected components. We will use this result in the subsequent subsection.

Corollary 2.10.([33]) *Every graph G of order at least two containing at least $m(|V(G)| - 1)$ edges has an m -tree-connected subgraph with at least two vertices.*

The need edge-density can slightly be improved as the next corollary, when the existence of a non-trivial edge-connected subgraph is considered.

Corollary 2.11.([30, Section 2]) *Every graph G of order at least two containing at least $(m - 1)(|V(G)| - 1) + 1$ edges has an m -edge-connected subgraph with at least two vertices.*

Corollary 2.12. *A graph G is m -sparse if and only if its m -tree-connected components are m -sparse.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that G is not m -sparse. Thus by Theorem 2.9, the graph G has a non-trivial m^+ -tree-connected subgraph $G[A]$. Since $G[A]$ is not m -sparse, the m -tree-connected component of G containing it is not m -sparse, which is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.13. *Every graph M with maximum degree at most m must be m -sparse.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that M is not m -sparse. Thus by Theorem 2.9, the graph M has a non-trivial m^+ -tree-connected subgraph $M[A]$. Since $M[A]$ is $(m+1)$ -edge-connected, its minimum degree is at least $m+1$ and so does M which is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.14. *Let G be an m -tree-connected graph with an m -sparse factor M . If $G - e$ is not m -tree-connected for every $e \in E(G) \setminus E(M)$, then G must be minimally m -tree-connected.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that G is not m -sparse. Thus by Theorem 2.9, the graph G has a non-trivial m^+ -tree-connected subgraph $G[A]$. Since $M[A]$ is m -sparse, there must be an edge $e \in E(G) \setminus E(M)$ with both ends in A . Therefore, $G[A] - e$ must be m -tree-connected and so does $G - e$ which is a contradiction. \square

We shall here prove the following edge-connected version of Corollary 2.14 based on Theorem 2.9. This result was proved in [23, 26] when M is the trivial factor.

Corollary 2.15. *Let G be a k -edge-connected graph with a k_0 -edge-connected factor G_0 and with a $(k - k_0)$ -sparse factor M , where k and k_0 are two nonnegative integers with $k \geq k_0$. If $G - e$ is not k -edge-connected for every $e \in E(G) \setminus E(G_0 \cup M)$, then the graph $G \setminus E(G_0)$ must be $(k - k_0)$ -sparse.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that H is not m -sparse, where $H = G \setminus E(G_0)$ and $m = (k - k_0)$. Thus by Theorem 2.9, the graph H has a non-trivial m^+ -tree-connected subgraph $H[A]$. Since $M[A]$ is m -sparse, there must be an edge $e \in E(H) \setminus E(M)$ with both ends in A . Since $G - e$ is not k -edge-connected, there is a vertex set X having exactly one end of e such that $d_G(X) = k$. Since $H[A]$ is $(m+1)$ -edge-connected, we must have $d_G(X) \geq d_{H[A]}(X \cap A) + d_{G_0}(X) \geq (m+1) + k_0 = k+1$, which is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.16. *If G is a graph and e is an edge $e \in E(G)$, then*

$$m \leq \Omega_m(G) \leq \Omega_m(G - e) \leq \Omega_m(G) + 1.$$

In addition, $m = \Omega_m(G)$ if and only if G is m -tree-connected, and $\Omega(G)_m = \Omega_m(G - e)$ if and only if e whose ends lie in the same m -tree-connected components of $G - e$. Consequently, $\Omega_m(G - e) = \Omega_m(G) + 1$ when G is m -sparse.

Proof. Let P and P_e be the partitions of $V(G)$ obtained from the m -tree-connected components of G and $G - e$. By applying Corollary 2.10 to the contracted graph G/P , we must have $e_G(P) < m(|P| - 1)$ provided that $|P| \geq 2$. This implies that $m \leq \Omega_m(G)$ and the equality holds if and only if $|P| = 1$. If e whose ends lie in the same vertex of P_e , then $|P| = |P_e|$ and $e_G(P) = e_{G-e}(P_e)$ which can imply that $\Omega_m(G) = \Omega_m(G - e)$. Assume that e joins different vertex sets of P_e . If $|P| = |P_e|$, then $e_G(P) = e_{G-e}(P_e) + 1$ which can imply

that $\Omega_m(G - e) = \Omega_m(G) + 1$. Assume that $|P| \neq |P_e|$. This implies that e whose ends lie in the same m -tree-connected component of G . Let X be the vertex of P including both ends of e and let P_x be the partition of X obtained from the vertex sets in P_e . By applying Corollary 2.10 to the contracted graph $(G - e)[X]/P_x$, one can conclude that $e_{(G - e)[X]}(P_x) = m(|P_x| - 1) - 1$ and $e_{G[X]}(P_x) = m(|P_x| - 1)$. This can imply that $|P| = |P_e| - |P_x| + 1$ and $e_G(P) = e_{G - e}(P_e) + 1 - m(|P_x| - 1)$, and hence $\Omega_m(G - e) = \Omega_m(G) + 1$. Note that when G is sparse, both ends of e cannot be in an m -tree-connected component of $G - e$ by counting the number of edges of this subgraph. \square

2.3 Comparing partition-connectivity measures

The following theorem describes a relationship between tree-connectivity measures of graphs.

Theorem 2.17. *For every graph G , we have*

$$\Omega_1(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}\Omega_2(G) \leq \frac{1}{3}\Omega_3(G) \leq \dots \leq |V(G)|.$$

Proof. Let P and P' be the partitions of $V(G)$ obtained from the m -tree-connected and $(m + 1)$ -tree-connected components of G , where $m \geq 1$. For every $A \in P$, define P_A to be the partition of A obtained from the $(m + 1)$ -tree-connected components of $G[A]$. It is easy to see that $P_A \subseteq P'$, and also $\cup_{A \in P} P_A = P'$. By applying Corollary 2.10 to the graph $G[A]$, we must have $e_{G[A]}(P_A) \leq (m + 1)(|P_A| - 1)$, whether $|P_A| = 1$ or not. Thus

$$e_G(P') - e_G(P) = \sum_{A \in P} e_{G[A]}(P_A) \leq (m + 1) \sum_{A \in P} (|P_A| - 1) = (m + 1)(|P'| - |P|).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{m}\Omega_m(G) = |P| - \frac{1}{m}e_G(P) \leq |P| - \frac{1}{m+1}e_G(P) \leq |P'| - \frac{1}{m+1}e_G(P') = \frac{1}{m+1}\Omega_{m+1}(G)$$

This equality can complete the proof. \square

3 Structures of tree-connected factors with the minimum total excess

Here, we state following fundamental theorem, which gives much information about m -tree-connected factors with the minimum total excess. This result develops some results in [11, 13, 16, 32] to a tree-connected version and can also develop the main result of this section in terms of total excess as the paper [13].

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be an m -tree-connected graph and let h be an integer-valued function on $V(G)$. Let M be a factor of G with $\Delta(M) \leq m$. If H is a minimally m -tree-connected factor of G containing M with the minimum total excess from h , then there exists a subset S of $V(G)$ with the following properties:*

1. $\Omega_m(G \setminus S) = \Omega_m(H \setminus S)$.
2. $S \supseteq \{v \in V(G) : d_H(v) > h(v)\}$.
3. For each vertex v of S , $d_H(v) \geq h(v)$.

Proof. Define $V_0 = \emptyset$ and $V_1 = \{v \in V(H) : d_H(v) > h(v)\}$. For any $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $u \in V(G) \setminus S$, let $\mathcal{A}(S, u)$ be the set of all minimally m -tree-connected factors H' of G such that $d_{H'}(v) \leq h(v)$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus V_1$, and H' and H have the same edges, except for some of the edges of G whose ends are in X , where $H[X]$ is the m -tree-connected component of $H \setminus S$ containing u . Note that the graph $H'[X]$ must be m -tree-connected. Now, for each integer n with $n \geq 2$, recursively define V_n as follows:

$$V_n = V_{n-1} \cup \{v \in V(G) \setminus V_{n-1} : d_{H'}(v) \geq h(v) \text{ for all } H' \in \mathcal{A}(V_{n-1}, v)\}.$$

Now, we prove the following claim.

Claim. Let x and y be two vertices in different m -tree-connected components of $H \setminus V_{n-1}$. If $xy \in E(G) \setminus E(H)$, then $x \in V_n$ or $y \in V_n$.

Proof of Claim. By induction on n . For $n = 1$, the proof is clear. Assume that the claim is true for $n - 1$. Now we prove it for n . Suppose, to the contrary, that vertices x and y are in different m -tree-connected components of $H \setminus V_{n-1}$, $xy \in E(G) \setminus E(H)$, and $x, y \notin V_n$. Let X and Y be the vertex sets of the m -tree-connected components of $H \setminus V_{n-1}$ containing x and y , respectively. Since $x, y \notin V_n$, there exist $H_x \in \mathcal{A}(V_{n-1}, x)$ and $H_y \in \mathcal{A}(V_{n-1}, y)$ with $d_{H_x}(x) < h(x)$ and $d_{H_y}(y) < h(y)$. By the induction hypothesis, x and y are in the same m -tree-connected component of $H \setminus V_{n-2}$ with the vertex set Z so that $X \cup Y \subseteq Z$. Let Q be a minimal m -tree-connected subgraph of H including x and y . Notice that the vertices of Q lie in Z and also Q includes at least a vertex z of $Z \cap V_{n-1}$ so that $d_H(z) \geq h(z)$. By Corollary 2.5, we have $d_Q(z) > m \geq d_M(z)$ and so there is an edge $zz' \in E(Q) \setminus E(M)$. By Corollary 2.6, the graph $H - zz' + xy$ must be m -tree-connected. Now, let H' be the factor of G with

$$E(H') = E(H) - zz' + xy - E(H[X]) + E(H_x[X]) - E(H[Y]) + E(H_y[Y]).$$

By repeatedly applying Observation 2.3, one can easily check that H' is m -tree-connected. For each $v \in V(H')$, we have

$$d_{H'}(v) \leq \begin{cases} d_{H_x}(v) + 1, & \text{if } v \in \{x, y\}; \\ d_H(v), & \text{if } v = z', \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{H'}(v) = \begin{cases} d_{H_x}(v), & \text{if } v \in X \setminus \{x, z'\}; \\ d_{H_y}(v), & \text{if } v \in Y \setminus \{y, z'\}; \\ d_H(v), & \text{if } v \notin X \cup Y \cup \{z, z'\}. \end{cases}$$

If $n \geq 3$, then it is not hard to see that $d_{H'}(z) < d_H(z) \leq h(z)$ and H' lies in $\mathcal{A}(V_{n-2}, z)$. Since $z \in V_{n-1} \setminus V_{n-2}$, we arrive at a contradiction. For the case $n = 2$, since $z \in V_1$, it is easy to see that $h(z) \leq d_{H'}(z) < d_H(z)$ and $te(H', h) < te(H, h)$, which is again a contradiction. Hence the claim holds.

Obviously, there exists a positive integer n such that $V_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_{n-1} = V_n$. Put $S = V_n$. Since $S \supseteq V_1$, Condition 2 clearly holds. For each $v \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1}$ with $i \geq 2$, we have $H \in \mathcal{A}(V_{i-1}, v)$ and so

$d_H(v) \geq h(v)$. This establishes Condition 3. Because $S = V_n$, the previous claim implies Condition 1 and completes the proof. \square

4 Sufficient conditions depending on tree-connectivity measures

Our aim in this subsection is to prove Theorem 1.4. We begin with the following lemma that allows us to make the proof shorter. This lemma is an extension of Lemma 4.1 [16].

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a graph with a factor F . If a maximal factor M of F satisfying $\Delta(M) \leq m$ can be extended to an m -tree-connected factor T , then F itself can be extended to an m -tree-connected factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_H(v) \leq d_T(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - m\}.$$

Proof. Define $G_0 = T \cup F$. Let T_0 be an m -tree-connected factor of G_0 containing M such that $d_{T_0}(u) \leq d_T(u)$ for all $u \in A = \{v \in V(G) : d_M(v) < m\}$. According to the maximality of M , the vertex set A must be an independent set of $F \setminus E(M)$. Otherwise, we can insert a new edge of $F \setminus E(M)$ into M to expand it to a larger factor with maximum degree at most m which is a contradiction. Note that T is a natural candidate for T_0 . Consider T_0 with the maximum $|E(T_0) \cap E(F)|$. Define $H = T_0 \cup F$. We claim that H is the desired factor we are looking for. Let $v \in V(H)$. If $d_M(v) = m$, then

$$d_H(v) \leq d_{G_0}(v) \leq d_T(v) + d_F(v) - d_M(v) = d_T(v) + d_F(v) - m.$$

So, suppose $v \in A$. Define F_0 to be the factor of F with $E(F_0) = E(F) \cap E(T_0)$. To complete the proof, we are going to show that $d_{F_0}(v) \geq \min\{m, d_F(v)\}$, which can imply that $d_H(v) \leq d_{T_0}(v) + d_F(v) - d_{F_0}(v) \leq d_T(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - m\}$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $d_{F_0}(v) < \min\{m, d_F(v)\}$. Pick $vx \in E(F) \setminus E(F_0)$ so that $vx \notin E(T_0) \cup E(M)$. Let Q be a minimal m -tree-connected subgraph of T_0 including v and x . Since Q is m -edge-connected, $d_Q(v) \geq m$. On the other hand, $d_{F_0}(v) < m$. Thus there exists an edge $vy \in E(Q) \setminus E(F_0)$ so that $vy \in E(T_0) \setminus E(F)$ (we might have $x = y$). Define $T'_0 = T_0 - vy + vx$. Since $vy \notin E(M)$, the graph T'_0 contains the edges of M . By Corollary 2.6, the graph T'_0 is still m -tree-connected. According to this construction, $d_{T'_0}(u) = d_{T_0}(u)$ for all $u \in V(G) \setminus \{x, y\}$. Moreover, $d_{T'_0}(x) = d_{T_0}(x) + 1$ and




Figure 1: An example showing all possibilities of the vertices y , v , and x .

$d_{T'_0}(y) = d_{T_0}(y) - 1$ when $x \neq y$, and $d_{T'_0}(x) = d_{T_0}(x)$ when $x = y$. Since $vx \in E(F) \setminus E(M)$ and $v \in A$, we

must have $x \notin A$. Therefore, $d_{T'_0}(u) \leq d_{T_0}(u) \leq d_T(u)$ for all $u \in A$. Since $|E(T'_0) \cap E(F)| > |E(T_0) \cap E(F)|$, we derive a contradiction to the maximality of T_0 , as desired. \square

The following lemma establishes a simple but important property of minimally m -tree-connected graphs.

Lemma 4.2. *Let H be a minimally m -tree-connected graph. If $S \subseteq V(H)$, then*

$$\Omega_m(H \setminus S) = \sum_{v \in S} (d_H(v) - m) + m - e_H(S).$$

Proof. Let P be the partition of $V(H) \setminus S$ obtained from the m -tree-connected components of $H \setminus S$. Obviously, $e_H(P \cup \{\{v\} : v \in S\}) = \sum_{v \in S} d_H(v) - e_H(S) + e_{H \setminus S}(P)$. Since $|E(H)| = m(|V(H) - 1|)$ and for any $A \in P$, $e_H(A) = m(|A| - 1)$, one can easily check that $m(|P| + |S| - 1) = e_H(P \cup \{\{v\} : v \in S\})$. Therefore, we must have $m|P| - e_{H \setminus S}(P) = \sum_{v \in S} (d_H(v) - m) + m - e_H(S)$, which can complete the proof. \square

The following theorem is essential in this section.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a graph with $X \subseteq V(G)$ and with a factor F . Let f be a positive integer-valued function on X . If for all $S \subseteq X$,*

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2m) + m + \Omega_m(G[S]),$$

then G has an m -tree-connected factor H containing F such that for each $v \in X$, $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + \{d_F(v) - m\}$.

Proof. Note that G must automatically be m -tree-connected, because of $\Omega_m(G \setminus \emptyset) \leq m$. For each $v \in V(G) \setminus X$, define $f(v) = d_G(v) + 1$. Choose a factor M of F with $\Delta(M) \leq m$. Let H be a minimally m -tree-connected factor of G containing M with the minimum total excess from f . Define S to be a subset of $V(G)$ with the properties described in Theorem 3.1. If $v \in V(G) \setminus X$, then $d_H(v) \leq d_G(v) < f(v) + d_F(v)$. This implies that $S \subseteq X$. By Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 3.1,

$$\sum_{v \in S} f(v) + te(H, f) = \sum_{v \in S} d_H(v) = \Omega_m(H \setminus S) + m|S| - m + e_H(S),$$

and hence

$$\sum_{v \in S} f(v) + te(H, f) = \Omega_m(G \setminus S) + m|S| - m + e_H(S).$$

Since $e_H(S) \leq m|S| - \Omega_m(G[S])$, by the assumption, we therefore have

$$te(H, f) \leq \Omega_m(G \setminus S) - \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2m) - m - \Omega_m(G[S]) \leq 0.$$

Hence $te(H, f) = 0$. Since M is arbitrary, by Lemma 4.1, one can conclude that the factor F itself can be extended to an m -tree-connected connected factor H such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - m\}$. Hence the theorem is proved. \square

The next corollary gives a sufficient condition, similar to toughness condition, that guarantees the existence of a highly tree-connected factor with bounded maximum degree.

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be an m -tree-connected graph with a factor F . Let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2m) + 2m,$$

then G has an m -tree-connected factor H containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + \{d_F(v) - m\}$.

Proof. Since G is m -tree-connected, it is obvious that $\Omega_m(G \setminus \emptyset) = m$. Let S be a nonempty subset of $V(G)$. Since $\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \geq m$, we must have $\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2m) + 2m \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2m) + m + \Omega_m(G[S])$. Now, it is enough to apply Theorem 4.3. \square

When we consider independent sets X , the theorem becomes simpler as the following result.

Corollary 4.5. *Let G be a graph with an independent set $X \subseteq V(G)$ and with a factor F . Let f be a positive integer-valued function on X . If for all $S \subseteq X$,*

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - m) + m,$$

then every factor F can be extended to an m -tree-connected factor H such that for each $v \in X$, $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - m\}$.

Proof. Let S be a subset of X . Since X is an independent set, we must have $\Omega_m(G[S]) = m|S|$ which implies that $\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - m) + m = \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2m) + m + \Omega_m(G[S])$. Now, it is enough to apply Theorem 4.3. \square

5 Highly edge-connected graphs

5.1 Edge-connected and tree-connected graphs

Highly edge-connected graphs are natural candidates for graphs satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 4.3. We examine them in this subsection, beginning with the following extended version of Lemma 4.8 in [16].

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a graph with $S \subseteq V(G)$. Then*

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \begin{cases} \sum_{v \in S} \frac{m(d_G(v) - 2m)}{k} + \frac{2m}{k} \Omega_m(G[S]), & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-edge-connected, } k \geq 2m, \text{ and } S \neq \emptyset; \\ \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{m(d_G(v) - m)}{k} - m \right) + m + \frac{m}{k} \Omega_m(G[S]), & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-tree-connected and } k \geq m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let P be the partition of $V(G) \setminus S$ obtained from the m -tree-connected components of $G \setminus S$. Obviously, we have

$$e_G(P \cup \{\{v\} : v \in S\}) = \sum_{v \in S} d_G(v) - e_G(S) + e_{G \setminus S}(P).$$

If G is k -edge-connected and $S \neq \emptyset$, then there are at least k edges of G with exactly one end in C , for any $C \in P$. Thus $e_G(P \cup \{\{v\} : v \in S\}) \geq k|P| - e_{G \setminus S}(P) + e_G(S)$ and so if $k \geq 2m$, then

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) = m|P| - e_{G \setminus S}(P) \leq m|P| - \frac{2m}{k}e_{G \setminus S}(P) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \frac{md_G(v)}{k} - \frac{2m}{k}e_G(S).$$

When G is k -tree-connected, we have $e_G(P \cup \{\{v\} : v \in S\}) \geq k(|P| + |S| - 1)$ and so if $k \geq m$, then

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) = m|P| - e_{G \setminus S}(P) \leq m|P| - \frac{m}{k}e_{G \setminus S}(P) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{d_G(v)}{k} - m \right) + m - \frac{m}{k}e_G(S).$$

Since $e_G(S) \leq m|S| - \Omega_m(G[S])$, these inequalities can complete the proof. \square

Now, we are ready to generalize Theorems 1.2 as mentioned in the abstract.

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be a graph with $X \subseteq V(G)$. Then G has an m -tree-connected factor H containing an arbitrary given factor M with $\Delta(M) \leq m$ such that for each $v \in X$,*

$$d_H(v) \leq \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{m}{k}(d_G(v) - 2m) \right\rceil + 2m, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-edge-connected and } k \geq 2m; \\ \left\lceil \frac{m}{k}(d_G(v) - m) \right\rceil + m, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-tree-connected and } k \geq m; \\ \left\lceil \frac{m}{k}d_G(v) \right\rceil + m, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-edge-connected, } k \geq 2m, \text{ and } X \text{ is independent;} \\ \left\lceil \frac{m}{k}d_G(v) \right\rceil, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-tree-connected, } k \geq m, \text{ and } X \text{ is independent.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for an arbitrary given vertex u , the upper can be reduced to $\lfloor \frac{m}{k}d_G(u) \rfloor$.

Proof. Since G is m -tree-connected, it is obvious that $\Omega_m(G \setminus \emptyset) \leq m$. Let S be a nonempty subset of X so that $\Omega_m(G[S]) \geq m$. If G is k -edge-connected and $k \geq 2m$, then by Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \frac{m}{k}(d_G(v) - 2m) + \frac{2m}{k}\Omega_m(G[S]) < 1 + \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2m) + m + \omega(G[S]),$$

where $f(u) = \lceil \frac{md_G(u)+1}{k} \rceil - 1$ and $f(v) = \lceil \frac{m(d_G(v)-2m)}{k} \rceil + 2m$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}$. Note that $f(u) = \lfloor \frac{m}{k}d_G(u) \rfloor \geq 1$. If G is k -tree-connected, then by Lemma 5.1, we also have

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{m}{k}(d_G(v) - m) - m \right) + m + \frac{m}{k}\Omega_m(G[S]) < 1 + \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2m) + m + \Omega_m(G[S]),$$

where $f(u) = \lceil \frac{md_G(u)+1}{k} \rceil - 1$ and $f(v) = \lceil \frac{m(d_G(v)-m)}{k} \rceil + m$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}$. Thus the first two assertions follow from Theorem 4.3. Now, suppose that X is an independent set. If G is k -edge-connected, then by Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \frac{m}{k}d_G(v) < 1 + \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - m) + m,$$

where $f(u) = \lceil \frac{md_G(u)+1}{k} \rceil - 1$ and $f(v) = \lceil \frac{md_G(v)}{k} \rceil + m$ for all $v \in X \setminus \{u\}$. If G is k -tree-connected, then by Lemma 5.1, we also have

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{m}{k} d_G(v) - m \right) + m < 1 + \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - m) + m,$$

where $f(u) = \lceil \frac{md_G(u)+1}{k} \rceil - 1$ and $f(v) = \lceil \frac{md_G(v)}{k} \rceil$ for all $v \in X \setminus \{u\}$. Thus the second two assertions follow from Corollary 4.5. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Every $2m$ -edge-connected graph G has an m -tree-connected factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_H(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rceil + m.$$

Furthermore, for an arbitrary given vertex u , the upper can be reduced to $\lfloor \frac{d_G(u)}{2} \rfloor$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 5.2 with $k = 2m$. \square

5.2 Alternative proofs for $2m$ -edge-connected graphs

In the following, we shall give two simpler proofs for Corollary 5.3 inspired by the proofs that introduced in [2, 21, 29] for the special case $m = 1$. For this purpose, we need some well-known results. Note that the second one was also implicitly appeared in [4] for $m = 2, 6$. Moreover, two interesting developments of this corollary are given in [1].

Theorem 5.4.(Mader [22], see Section 3 in [27]) *Let G be a $2m$ -edge-connected graph with $z \in V(G)$. If $d_G(z) \geq 2m + 2$, then there are two edges xz and yz incident to z such that after removing them, and inserting a new edge xy for the case $x \neq y$, the resulting graph is still $2m$ -edge-connected.*

The second proof of Corollary 5.3. By induction on the sum of all $d_G(v) - 2m - 1$ taken over all vertices v with $d_G(v) \geq 2m + 2$. First suppose that $\Delta(G) \leq 2m + 1$. Let $M \subseteq E_G(u)$ be an edge set of size m or $m + 1$ with respect to $d_G(u) = 2m$ or $d_G(u) = 2m + 1$. We claim that $G \setminus M$ is m -tree-connected and so the theorem obviously holds by setting $H = G \setminus M$. Otherwise, Theorem 2.1 implies that there is a partition P of $V(G)$ such that $m(|P| - 1) > e_{G \setminus M}(P) \geq e_G(P) - |M| \geq m|P| - |M|$. This implies that the edges of M join different parts of P , $|M| = m + 1$, $d_G(u) = 2m + 1$, and $d_G(C) = 2m$ for all $C \in P$, where $d_G(C)$ denotes the number of edges of G with exactly one end in C . It is not hard to check that $(E_G[U, \bar{U}] \cup E_G(u)) \setminus M$ forms an edge cut of size $2m - 1$ for G , which is contradiction, where $u \in U \in P$ and $E_G[U, \bar{U}]$ denotes the set of edges of G with exactly one end in U .

Now, suppose that there is a vertex z with $d_G(z) \geq 2m + 2$. By Theorem 5.4, there are two edges xz and yz incident to z such that after removing them, and inserting a new edge xy for the case $x \neq y$, the resulting graph G' is still $2m$ -edge-connected. By the induction hypothesis, the graph G' has a factor H'

containing m edge-disjoint spanning trees T_1, \dots, T_m such that $d_{H'}(u) \leq \lfloor d_{G'}(u)/2 \rfloor$ and for each vertex v with $v \neq u$, $d_{H'}(v) \leq \lceil d_{G'}(v)/2 \rceil + m$. If $xy \notin E(T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_m)$, then the theorem clearly holds. Thus we may assume that $xy \in E(T_1)$ and z and x lie in the same component of $T_1 - xy$. Define $T'_1 = T_1 - xy + yz$. It is easy to see that T'_1 is connected and $T'_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_m$ is the desired factor of G we are looking for. \square

Before stating the third proof, let us establish the following lemma and state the next two well-known results.

Lemma 5.5. *Let G be a graph with a factor M satisfying $\Delta(M) \leq m$. If G can be decomposed into an m -tree-connected factor H and a factor F having an orientation such that for each vertex v , $d_F^+(v) \geq l_0(v)$, then G can also be decomposed into an m -tree-connected factor H' containing M and a factor F' having an orientation such that for each vertex v , $d_{F'}^+(v) \geq l_0(v)$, where l_0 is a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$.*

Proof. Decompose G into a minimally m -tree-connected factor H and a factor F having an orientation such that for each vertex v , $d_F^+(v) \geq l_0(v)$. Consider the pair (H, F) with the maximum $|E(H) \cap E(M)|$. We claim that H contains the edges of M . Suppose, to the contrary, that there is an edge $vx \in E(M) \cap E(F)$. We may assume that vx is directed from v to x in F . Let Q be a minimal m -tree-connected factor of G including v and x . Since $d_Q(v) \geq m > d_{H \cap M}(v)$, there is an edge $vy \in E(Q) \setminus E(M)$. We define $H_0 = H + vx - vy$ and $F_0 = F - vx + vy$, and we orient the edge vy from v to y in F_0 . Obviously, for each vertex u , we still have $d_{F_0}^+(u) = d_F^+(u)$. Moreover, by Corollary 2.6, the graph H_0 is still m -tree-connected. Thus the new pair (H_0, F_0) has the desired properties while $|E(H_0) \cap E(M)| > |E(H) \cap E(M)|$, which is a contradiction. Hence the proof is completed. \square

Theorem 5.6. (Nash-Williams [24], see Theorem 2.1 in [2]) *Every $2m$ -edge-connected graph G has an m -arc-strong orientation such that for each vertex v , $\lfloor d_G(v)/2 \rfloor \leq d_G^+(v) \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil$.*

Theorem 5.7. (Edmonds [8]) *Let G be a directed graph with $u \in V(G)$. If $d_G^-(X) \geq m$ for all $X \subsetneq V(G)$ with $u \in X$, then G has an m -tree-connected factor H such that $d_H^+(u) = 0$, and $d_H^+(v) = m$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}$, where $d_G^-(X)$ denotes the number of incoming edges to X in G .*

Now, we are in a position to provide the third proof of Corollary 5.3 by proving the following stronger version.

Theorem 5.8. *Let G be a graph with a factor M satisfying $\Delta(M) \leq m$. If G is $2m$ -edge-connected, then it can be decomposed into an m -tree-connected factor H containing M and a factor F having an orientation such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_F^+(v) \geq \lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - m.$$

Furthermore, for an arbitrary given vertex u the lower can be increased to $\lceil \frac{d_G(u)}{2} \rceil$.

Proof. Consider an m -arc-strong orientation for G with the properties stated in Theorem 5.6. We may assume that the out-degree of u is equal to $\lceil d_G(u)/2 \rceil$; otherwise, we reverse the orientation of G . Take H to be an m -tree-connected factor of G with the properties stated in Theorem 5.7. For each vertex v , we have $d_H(v) = d_H^-(v) + d_H^+(v) \leq d_G^-(v) + d_G^+(v) \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil + m$. In particular, $d_H(u) \leq d_G^-(u) + d_G^+(u) \leq \lceil d_G(u)/2 \rceil$. These imply that for each vertex v , $d_H^+(v) \geq \lfloor \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \rfloor - m$, and $d_H^+(u) \geq \lceil \frac{d_G(u)}{2} \rceil$. Now, it is enough to apply Lemma 5.5 to complete the proof. \square

6 Tough enough graphs

6.1 The existence of m -tree-connected $[m, 2m + 1]$ -factors

As we have already shown in Theorem 2.17, m -strongly tough enough graphs are tough enough. In this subsection, we shall prove the converse statement and examine tough enough graphs for Corollary 4.4. For this purpose, we only need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6.1. *Let G be a graph and let ε be a real number with $\varepsilon \leq 1$. If S is a vertex subset of $V(G)$ with the maximum $\Omega_m(G \setminus S) - \varepsilon|S|$ and with the maximal $|S|$, then every component of $G \setminus S$ is m -tree-connected or has maximum degree at most m .*

Proof. Let v be a vertex of $G \setminus S$ such that $d_{G \setminus S}(v) \geq m + 1$ and v is incident to an edge joining different m -tree-connected components of $G \setminus S$. Take $S' = S \cup \{v\}$. It is not difficult to check that $\Omega_m(G \setminus S') \geq \Omega_m(G \setminus S) + 1$ and so $\Omega_m(G \setminus S') - \varepsilon|S'| \geq \Omega_m(G \setminus S) + \varepsilon|S|$, whether v lies in a non-trivial m -tree-connected component of $G \setminus S$ or v itself is an m -tree-connected component of $G \setminus S$. By the maximality of S , the graph $G \setminus S$ has no such vertices and hence the proof is completed. \square

Lemma 6.2.([17]) *Let H be a graph. If φ is a nonnegative real function on $V(H)$, then there is an independent subset I of $V(H)$ such that*

$$\sum_{v \in V(H)} \varphi(v) \leq \sum_{v \in I} \varphi(v)(d_H(v) + 1).$$

Now, we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.3. *Let G be a graph and let ε and c be two nonnegative real numbers with $\varepsilon \leq 1 \leq c$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) + \frac{m+1}{2} \text{iso}(G \setminus S) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{m}|S| + c,$$

then for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq \varepsilon|S| + cm.$$

Proof. Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ with the properties described in Lemma 6.1. Denote by σ the number of non-trivial components of $G \setminus S$ which are m -tree-connected. Let C be the induced subgraph of G consisting of the vertices of trivial m -tree-connected components of $G \setminus S$. By Lemma 6.2, there is an independent set I of C such that

$$\sum_{v \in V(C)} \left(m + \varepsilon - \frac{d_C(v)}{2}\right) \leq \sum_{v \in I} \left(m + \varepsilon - \frac{d_C(v)}{2}\right) (d_C(v) + 1).$$

If $V(C)$ is empty, then we must automatically have $\Omega_m(G \setminus S) = m\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \max\{m, \varepsilon|S| + cm\}$. We may therefore assume that $V(C)$ is not nonempty and so does I . Since $d_C(v) \leq m$, we must have

$$\sum_{v \in I} \left(m + \varepsilon - \frac{d_C(v)}{2}\right) (d_C(v) + 1) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in I} (2m + 2\varepsilon - m)(m + 1) = \sum_{v \in I} \left(\frac{1}{2}m^2 + \left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\right)m + \varepsilon\right),$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{v \in V(C)} \left(m + \varepsilon - \frac{d_C(v)}{2}\right) \leq \sum_{v \in I} \left(\frac{1}{2}m^2 + \left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\right)m + \varepsilon\right). \quad (1)$$

Let $S' = (S \cup V(C)) \setminus I$ so that $iso(G \setminus S') = |I|$ and $\omega(G \setminus S') = \sigma + |I|$. Thus by the assumption,

$$m\left(\sigma + \sum_{v \in I} \left(\frac{1}{2}m + \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \leq m\left(\omega(G \setminus S') + \frac{m+1}{2} iso(G \setminus S')\right) \leq \varepsilon|S'| + cm = \varepsilon(|S| + |V(C)| - |I|) + cm,$$

which implies that

$$m\sigma + \sum_{v \in I} \left(\frac{1}{2}m^2 + \left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\right)m + \varepsilon\right) - \sum_{v \in V(C)} \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon|S| + cm. \quad (2)$$

Therefore, Inequalities (1) and (2) can conclude that

$$\Omega_m(G \setminus S) = m\sigma + \sum_{v \in V(C)} \left(m - \frac{d_C(v)}{2}\right) \leq \varepsilon|S| + cm.$$

Hence the theorem holds. \square

The following theorem gives a sufficient toughness-type condition for a graph to have an m -tree-connected factor with maximum degree at most $2m + 1$.

Corollary 6.4. *Let G be a graph. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) + \frac{m+1}{2} iso(G \setminus S) \leq \frac{1}{m}|S| + 1,$$

then G admits an m -tree-connected factor H such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq 2m + 1$, and also $d_H(u) \leq m + 1$ for an arbitrary given vertex u .

Proof. By applying Theorem 6.3 with setting $\varepsilon = c = 1$, we must have $\Omega_m(G \setminus S) \leq |S| + m$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$. Hence the assertion follows from Corollary 4.4 with setting $f(u) = m + 1$ and $f(v) = 2m + 1$ for each $v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}$. Note that G must automatically be m -tree-connected, because of $\Omega_m(G \setminus \emptyset) \leq m$. \square

The following corollary gives a sufficient toughness condition for the existence of an m -tree-connected factor with maximum degree at most $2m + 1$. It is natural to ask whether higher toughness can guarantee the existence of an m -tree-connected factor with maximum degree at most $2m$. The special case $m = 1$ of this question verifies Chvátal's Conjecture [7] for Hamiltonian path and has not yet been settled.

Corollary 6.5. *Every m^2 -tough graph G of order at least $2m$ has an m -tree-connected factor H with $\Delta(H) \leq 2m + 1$.*

Proof. First assume that $m \geq 3$ so that $m(m + 3)/2 \leq m^2$. If $|V(G)| \leq m^2$, then G must be complete and the proof is straightforward. Assume that $|V(G)| > m^2$. Let S be a subset of $V(G)$. If $|S| \geq m^2$, then $\omega(G \setminus S) + \frac{m+1}{2} \text{iso}(G \setminus S) \leq \frac{m+3}{2} \omega(G \setminus S) \leq \frac{m+3}{2m^2} |S| \leq \frac{1}{m} |S|$. Assume that $|S| < m^2$ and so $\omega(G \setminus S) = 1$. If $\text{iso}(G \setminus S) = 0$, then $\omega(G \setminus S) + \frac{m+1}{2} \text{iso}(G \setminus S) = 1$. If $\text{iso}(G \setminus S) = 1$, then $S = |V(G)| - 1$ and so $\omega(G \setminus S) + \frac{m+1}{2} \text{iso}(G \setminus S) = \frac{m+3}{2} \leq \frac{1}{m} |S| + 1$. Now, it is enough to apply Corollary 6.4. For the case $m = 2$, the proof can be obtained by following the proof of Theorem 7.11. \square

6.2 Sharpness: graphs with no m -tree-connected $[m, 2m + 1]$ -factors

Our aim in this subsection is to present a family of tough graphs with no m -tree-connected $[m, 2m + 1]$ -factors and show that the coefficient $1/m$ of Theorem 7.11 is sharp. For our purpose, we first need to establish the following simple lemma.

Lemma 6.6. *For every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there is a simple graph G satisfying $e_G(P) \geq (m - \varepsilon)(|P| - 1)$ for every partition P of $V(G)$, while G is not m -tree-connected.*

Proof. Let G_0 be an essentially $(2m + 2)$ -edge-connected $2m$ -regular graph of order at least $1/\varepsilon + 1$. Take M to be a set of $m + 1$ edges of G_0 which are not incident to a common vertex. We claim that $G = G_0 \setminus M$ is the desired graph. Since $|E(G)| = m(|V(G)| - 1) - 1$, the graph G is not m -tree-connected. Let P be a partition of $V(G)$ of size at least two. If $|P| = 2$, then $e_G(P) \geq 2m \geq m(|P| - 1)$. We may assume that $|P| \geq 3$. If $|X| \geq 2$ for a vertex set $X \in P$, then $d_{G_0}(X) \geq 2m + 2$ and hence $e_G(P) \geq e_{G_0}(P) - |M| \geq \sum_{A \in P} d_G(A)/2 - |M| \geq m|V(G)| + 1 - (m + 1) = m(|V(G)| - 1)$. Otherwise, $|P| = |V(G)|$ and hence $e_G(P) = |E(G)| = m(|V(G)| - 1) - 1 \geq (m - \varepsilon)(|P| - 1)$. This can complete the proof. \square

The following theorem shows that the coefficient $1/m$ of Theorem 6.3 is sharp and cannot be improved even for the existence of tree-connected factors with bounded maximum degree.

Theorem 6.7. *Let Δ and m be two positive integers satisfying $\Delta \geq 2m$. If $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, then there is a k -connected simple graph G having no m -tree-connected factor with maximum degree at most Δ , while for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) + \beta \text{iso}(G \setminus S) \leq \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{m - \varepsilon}(|S| - s) + 1\right\},$$

where k and β are two arbitrary given positive integers.

Proof. Let s and p be two integers satisfying $s \geq k$ and $p > \Delta s$. We consider H as a fixed graph satisfying Lemma 6.6 and replace each of its vertices by a copy of the complete graph K_n such that every vertex in the new graph is adjacent to at most one edge of H , where n is a fixed positive integer satisfying $n \geq (m - \varepsilon)(\beta + 1)|V(H)| + 1$. We call the resulting graph H^n . For every integer i with $1 \leq i \leq p$, let H_i be a copy of the graph H^n and let U_i be the set of all vertices of a complete subgraph of H_i corresponding to K_n . First, add all possible edges between all vertices of U_i and U_j . Next, add a new complete graph R_s and join all vertices of it to all other vertices of the graphs H_i . We call the desired graph G .

Obviously, G must be s -connected. Suppose, to the contrary, that G has an m -tree-connected factor G_0 with maximum degree at most Δ . According to the construction of G , since H_i is not m -tree-connected, there must be at least one edge between R_s and $H_i \setminus U_i$ in G_0 . Thus there are at least p edges of G_0 with exactly one end in R_s . Therefore, there is a vertex of G_0 in $V(R_s)$ with degree at least $p/s > \Delta$ which is a contradiction. Let $S \subseteq V(G)$. If $\omega(G \setminus S) = iso(G) = 1$, then $|S| = |V(G)| - 1$ and so $\omega(G \setminus S) + \beta iso(G \setminus S) = (\beta + 1) \leq \frac{1}{m - \varepsilon}(|S| - s) + 1$. We may assume that $\omega(G \setminus S) \geq 2$. For every integer i with $1 \leq i \leq p$, let $S_i = S \cap V(H_i)$. Obviously, S must contain all vertices of R_s in the construction of G . Thus $|S| = s + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} |S_i|$. Define ω_i to be the number of components of $H_i \setminus S_i$ having no vertices of U_i . According to the construction of G , we must have $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \omega_i + 1$. If $|S_i| \leq n - 2$, then S_i must contain at most $n - 2$ vertices of any complete subgraph of H_i corresponding to K_n and so $iso(H_i \setminus S_i) = 0$. Let P be the partition of $V(H)$ obtained from the components of $H_i \setminus S_i$ (by contracting the vertices of each inserted copy of K_n into a single vertex). According to the construction of H , we must have

$$(m - \varepsilon)\omega_i = (m - \varepsilon)(\omega(H_i \setminus S_i) - 1) = (m - \varepsilon)(|P| - 1) \leq e_G(P) \leq |S_i|,$$

which implies that $\omega_i + \beta iso(H_i \setminus S_i) = \omega_i \leq \frac{1}{m - \varepsilon}|S_i|$. If $|S_i| \geq n - 1$, then we must have $|S_i| \geq (m - \varepsilon)(\beta + 1)|V(H_i)|$, which again implies that $\omega_i + \beta iso(H_i \setminus S) \leq (\beta + 1)|V(H)| \leq \frac{1}{m - \varepsilon}|S_i|$. Therefore, one can conclude that

$$\frac{|S| - s}{\omega(G \setminus S) - 1 + \beta iso(G \setminus S)} \geq \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} |S_i|}{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} (\omega_i + \beta iso(H_i \setminus S_i))} \geq m - \varepsilon.$$

These inequalities can complete the proof. \square

7 Applications to spanning closed f -trails

7.1 A sufficient toughness-type condition

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for the existence of spanning closed f -trails.

Theorem 7.1. *Let G be a graph and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 3/2) + 1 + \frac{1}{2}\Omega(G[S]),$$

then G has a spanning closed f -trail.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, G has a 2-tree-connected factor H such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq 2f(v) + 1$. Since H has a spanning Eulerian subgraph [19], it admits a spanning closed f -trail and so does G . \square

The following corollary gives a sufficient condition for the existence of spanning closed f -walks.

Corollary 7.2. *Let G be a graph and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 3/2) + 1 + \omega(G[S]),$$

then G has a spanning closed f -walk (passing through the edges of an arbitrary given matching).

Proof. Duplicate the edges of G and call the resulting graph G' . It is easy to check that $\frac{1}{2}\Omega(G' \setminus S) = \omega(G \setminus S)$ and $\frac{1}{2}\Omega(G'[S]) = \omega(G[S])$ for every $S \subseteq V(G)$. By Theorem 7.1, the graph G' has a spanning closed f -trail which implies that G admits a spanning closed f -walk. To prove that this walk can pass through the edges of an arbitrary given matching M , we should use Theorem 4.3 directly. More precisely, we first consider the factor M' obtained from M by duplicating the edges of it. Next, we find two edge-disjoint spanning trees T_1 and T_2 of G' such that $T_1 \cup T_2$ contains M' and for each vertex v , $d_{T_1}(v) + d_{T_2}(v) \leq 2f(v) + 1$. We may assume that T_1 contains M . Finally, we add some of the edges of T_2 to T_1 to make a spanning Eulerian subgraph containing M using Lemma 7.4. This Eulerian graph implies that G admits the desired spanning closed f -walk. \square

The following corollary partially confirms a conjecture in [16].

Corollary 7.3. *Let G be a graph with an independent set $X \subseteq V(G)$ and let f be a positive integer-valued function on X . If for every $S \subseteq X$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1/2) + 1,$$

then G has a spanning closed walk passing through the edges of an arbitrary given matching meeting each $v \in X$ at most $f(v)$ times.

Proof. Apply Corollary 7.2 and the fact that $\omega(G[S]) = |S|$ when S is an independent set. \square

By restricting our attention to independent sets, Theorem 7.1 becomes simpler and surprisingly this special case can be strengthened as the following theorem. Indeed, this version discounts the condition $\Omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1/2) + 1$, for all $S \subseteq A$, when we know that G admits a spanning closed trail meeting each $v \in A$ at most $f(v)$ times. For this purpose, we need the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 7.4.(see [10]) *Let G be a connected graph with $Q \subseteq V(G)$. If $|Q|$ is even, then G has a spanning forest F such that $Q = \{v \in V(F) : d_F(v) \text{ is odd}\}$.*

The following theorem improves Theorem 7.1 when X is an independent set.

Theorem 7.5. *Let G be a 2-tree-connected graph with an independent set $X \subseteq V(G)$ and let f be a positive integer-valued function on X . If for every $S \subseteq X$, G has a spanning closed trail meeting each $v \in S$ at most $f(v)$ times or*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1/2) + 1,$$

then G has a spanning closed trail meeting each $v \in X$ at most $f(v)$ times.

Proof. Let H be a 2-tree-connected factor of G with the minimum total excess from h , where $h(v) = 2f(v) + 1$, for each $v \in X$, and $h(v) = d_G(v) + 1$, for each $v \in V(G) \setminus X$. Define S to be a subset of X with the properties described in Theorem 3.1. If $\Omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1/2) + 1$, then by an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3, one can conclude that $te(H, h) = 0$. Thus the graph H admits a spanning closed trail meeting each $v \in X$ at most $f(v)$ times, and so does G . If $\Omega(G \setminus S) > \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1/2) + 1$, then by the assumption, G contains a spanning Eulerian subgraph L such that for each $v \in S$, $d_L(v) \leq 2f(v)$. We are going to replace some of the edges of L by some of the edges of H to obtain a new Eulerian graph. Define P to be the partition of $V(G) \setminus S$ obtained from the 2-tree-connected components of $H \setminus S$. Take T_1 and T_2 to be two edge-disjoint spanning trees of H such that $T_1[A]$ and $T_2[A]$ are connected for all $A \in P$. First, for any $A \in P$, remove the edges of $L[A]$ from L and replace them by the edges of $T_1[A]$. Call the resulting graph L_1 . This graph is connected, since all graphs $T_1[A]$ together with L/P are connected, where $A \in P$. Define Q to be the set of all vertices of L_1 with odd degrees so that $Q \cap S = \emptyset$. Since L is Eulerian, the number of edges of L with exactly one end in A is even, and so does L_1 . This implies that $|Q \cap A|$ is even. By applying Lemma 7.4 to every graph $T_2[A]$, one can conclude that there is a spanning forest F of T_2 such that $Q = \{v \in V(F) : d_F(v) \text{ is odd}\}$. Finally, add the edges of this forest to L_1 and call the resulting Eulerian graph L_2 . Recall that there is no edge of $E(G) \setminus E(H)$ joining different parts of P . Since X is independent, for each $v \in X \setminus S$, we must have $E_{L_2}(v) \subseteq E_H(v)$ and so $d_{L_2}(v) \leq d_H(v) \leq 2f(v) + 1$. Also, for each $v \in S$, we have $d_{L_2}(v) = d_L(v) \leq 2f(v)$. Thus G has a spanning closed trail meeting each $v \in X$ at most $f(v)$ times. \square

Corollary 7.6. *Let G be a graph with an independent set $X \subseteq V(G)$ and let f be a positive integer-valued function on X . If for every $S \subseteq X$, G has a spanning closed walk meeting each $v \in S$ at most $f(v)$ times or*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1/2) + 1,$$

then G has a spanning closed walk meeting each $v \in X$ at most $f(v)$ times.

Proof. Duplicate the edges of G and apply Theorem 7.5 to the resulting graph. Note that G must automatically be connected, because by setting $S = \emptyset$, we have $\omega(G \setminus \emptyset) \leq 1$ or G has spanning closed walk. \square

Remark 7.7. Note that Corollary 7.6 can be developed to a version including Corollary 7.3. More precisely, for a given fixed matching M of G , one can replace the following statements: If for every $S \subseteq X$, G has a spanning closed walk passing through the edges of M meeting each $v \in S$ at most $f(v)$ times or $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1/2) + 1$, then G has a spanning closed walk passing through the edges of M meeting each $v \in X$ at most $f(v)$ times. The proof of this version can be obtained by combining the proof ideas of Theorem 7.5 and Corollary 7.2. We leave it to the reader.

7.2 Graphs with high edge-connectivity

It is known that every $(r-1)$ -edge-connected r -regular graph with $r \geq 4$ admits a spanning closed 3-trail [15]. We present below a more powerful version for this result and push down the needed edge-connectivity around $2r/3$. The special cases $k \in \{1, 2\}$ of the following theorem were former found in [18, 20].

Theorem 7.8. *Let G be a k -edge-connected graph.*

1. *If $k \geq 4$, then G has a spanning closed f -trail, where $f(v) = \lceil \frac{d_G(v) + k/2 - 4}{k} \rceil + 1$ for each vertex v .*
2. *If $k \leq 3$, then G has a spanning closed f -walk, where $f(v) = \lceil \frac{d_G(v) - 1}{k} \rceil + 1$ for each vertex v .*

Proof. The proof of $k = 1$ is straightforward. For $k = 2$, apply Lemma 5.1 with $m = 1$ and Corollary 7.2. For $k \geq 4$, apply Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 7.1. It remains to prove the special case $k = 3$. By Theorem 1.2, the graph G has a spanning tree T such that for each vertex v , $d_T(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v) - 2}{3} \rceil + 2$. Also, by Lemma 7.4, T has a spanning forest F such that for each vertex v , $d_F(v)$ and $d_G(v)$ have the same parity. Insert a copy of F into G and call the resulting Eulerian graph G' . For each vertex v , we have $d_{G'}(v) \leq d_G(v) + d_F(v) \leq \lceil \frac{4d_G(v) - 2}{3} \rceil + 2$. Since $d_{G'}(v)$ is even, we must have $d_{G'}(v) \leq \lceil \frac{4d_G(v) - 4}{3} \rceil + 2$ whenever $d_G(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Since G' is 3-edge-connected and its degrees are even, this graph must be 4-edge-connected as well. By the first item, G' has a spanning Eulerian subgraph L such that for each vertex v , $\frac{1}{2}d_L(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v) - 2}{4} \rceil + 1$. Therefore, one can conclude that $\frac{1}{2}d_L(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v) - 1}{3} \rceil + 1$ whether $d_G(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ or not. Hence L induces a spanning closed walk in G with the desired property. \square

The following corollary partially answers Conjecture 3 in [15].

Corollary 7.9. *Every r -edge-connected r -regular graph with $4 \leq r \leq 8$ admits a connected $\{2, 4\}$ -factor.*

We believe that Theorem 7.8 can be improved to the following simpler version.

Conjecture 7.10. *Every k -edge-connected graph G with $k \geq 4$ admits a spanning closed trail meeting each vertex v at most $\lceil \frac{d_G(v) - 2}{k} \rceil + 1$ times.*

7.3 Toughness and the existence of connected $\{2, 4\}$ -factors

The following theorem verifies a weaker version of Chvátal's Conjecture [7] which gives a sufficient toughness-type condition for the existence of a spanning closed trail meeting each vertex at most twice.

Theorem 7.11. *Let G be a graph of order at least three. If for every $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \min\left\{\frac{2}{7}|S|, \frac{1}{2}|S| - \frac{3}{2}\text{iso}(G \setminus S)\right\} + 1,$$

then G admits a connected $\{2, 4\}$ -factor.

Proof. We may assume that G contains at least four vertices. Since $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq 1$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \leq 3$, the graph G must be 4-connected and hence 2-tree-connected. For convenience, we write k for $3 + 1/2$ and ε for $9/7$. If G has no connected $\{2, 4\}$ -factors, then by a combination of Theorem 7.1 and Lemma 6.1, there is a vertex subset S of $V(G)$ with $\Omega(G \setminus S) \geq |S| + 5$ such that every component of G is 2-tree-connected or has maximum degree at most 2. If $\omega(G \setminus S) + \frac{3}{2}\text{iso}(G \setminus S) \leq \frac{1}{2}|S| + 1$, then by applying an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 6.3 with $m = 2$, $c = 1$, and $\varepsilon = 1$, we can derive a contradiction. We may therefore assume that $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \frac{2}{7}|S| + 1$. Let C be the union of all components of $G \setminus S$ which are not 2-tree-connected. If $|V(C)| = 0$, then we have $\Omega(G \setminus S) = 2\omega(G \setminus S)$ which is impossible. We may assume that $|V(C)| \geq 1$. Note that C is the union of some paths and cycles. It is easy to check that the graph C has an independent set X of size at least $\frac{1}{2}(|V(C)| - c_3 - c_o + p_o)$, where c_o denotes the number of odd cycles of C with order at least five, c_3 denotes the number of triangles of C , and p_o denotes the number of path components of C with odd order. We also denote by r the number of components of $G \setminus S$ which are 2-tree-connected. Let $S' \subseteq V(C) \setminus X$ such that $\omega(C \setminus S') \geq |X|$ and $2|S'| \leq |V(C)| - 3c_3 - c_o - 2p_2 - 2p_e$, where p_e denotes the number of path components of C with even order greater than four and p_2 denotes the number of path components of C with order two. Thus

$$2\omega(G \setminus S \cup S') \leq \frac{2}{k}(|S| + |S'|) + 2\varepsilon \leq \frac{2}{k}|S| + 2\varepsilon + \frac{1}{k}|V(C)| - \frac{3}{k}c_3 - \frac{1}{k}c_o - \frac{2}{k}p_2 - \frac{2}{k}p_e.$$

On the other hand,

$$|V(C)| - c_3 - c_o + p_o + 2r \leq 2\omega(C \setminus S') + 2r = 2\omega(G \setminus S \cup S').$$

Hence the following inequality can be derived

$$|V(C)| + \frac{2}{k-1}p_2 + \frac{2}{k-1}p_e + \frac{k}{k-1}p_o + 2r \leq \frac{2}{k-1}|S| + \frac{2k}{k-1}\varepsilon + \frac{k-3}{k-1}c_3 + c_o. \quad (3)$$

Let us estimate c_o . Define S'' to be a vertex subset of $V(C)$ of size $2c_o + p_e$ containing exactly one middle vertex of any path component of C with even order greater than four and exactly two nonadjacent vertices of any odd cycle of C with order at least five. By the assumption,

$$\omega(G \setminus S) + c_o + p_e = \omega(G \setminus S \cup S'') \leq \frac{1}{k}(|S| + |S''|) + \varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}(|S| + 2c_o + p_e) + \varepsilon.$$

Since $c_3 + c_o + p_2 + p_e \leq \omega(G \setminus S)$, we can derive the following inequality

$$c_o + \frac{k}{2k-2}p_2 + \frac{2k-1}{2k-2}p_e \leq \frac{1}{2k-2}|S| + \frac{k}{2k-2}\varepsilon - \frac{k}{2k-2}c_3. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, Relations (3) and (4) can conclude that

$$|V(C)| + \frac{k+4}{2k-2}p_2 + \frac{2k+3}{2k-2}p_e + \frac{k}{k-1}p_o + 2r \leq \left(\frac{2}{k-1} + \frac{1}{2k-2}\right)|S| + \frac{5k}{2k-2}\varepsilon + \frac{k-6}{2k-2}c_3,$$

which implies

$$\Omega(G \setminus S) = 2|V(C)| - |E(C)| + 2r \leq |V(C)| + p_2 + p_e + p_o + 2r \leq \frac{5}{2k-2}|S| + \frac{5k}{2k-2}\varepsilon < |S| + 5.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence the theorem holds. \square

We believe that Theorem 7.11 can be improved to the following simpler version. However, this conjecture is true for strongly 2-tough graphs, Broersma, and Veldman (2000) [3] showed that a given (strongly) 2-tough graph may have no Hamiltonian cycles. Note that if G has no induced odd cycles with order at least five, then we could confirm this conjecture by replacing coefficient $1/3$.

Conjecture 7.12. *Let G be a graph of order at least three. Then G admits a connected $\{2, 4\}$ -factor, if for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \frac{1}{2}|S| + 1.$$

7.4 Sharpness: tough graphs with no bounded degree spanning closed trails

The Petersen graph is a $3/2$ -tough graph with no spanning Eulerian subgraphs. Our aim in this subsection is to present graphs with higher toughness and show that the coefficient $1/2$ of Theorem 7.11 cannot be replaced by a real number approaching to $4/7$. For our purpose, we need to introduce a special family of graphs with no spanning Eulerian subgraphs. The first example is the Petersen graph and the second one was also used by Chen (2016) [6].

Lemma 7.13. *For every positive real number ε , there is a simple graph G satisfying $e_G(P) \geq (7/4 - \varepsilon)(|P| - 1)$ for every partition P of $V(G)$, while G does not have a spanning Eulerian subgraph.*

Proof. Let n be an arbitrary positive integer. For convenience, let us define $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$. For each $i \in I$, consider G_i as a copy of the Petersen graph and let $x_i y_i$ be a fixed edge of it. Define G to be the simple graph obtained from the graphs G_i by identifying all x_i into a single vertex x and by identifying all y_i into a single vertex y . It is not hard to check that G does not have a spanning Eulerian subgraph, because the Petersen graph does not have a Hamiltonian cycle. Let P be a partition of $V(G)$ with the minimum $t = e_G(P)/(|P| - 1)$ in which $|P| \geq 2$. We are going to show that $t \geq \frac{7}{4} - \frac{3}{4n}$ to complete the proof. First, we claim that $G[A]$ is connected for every $A \in P$. This implies that A is a subset of $V(G_i)$ for an integer

$i \in I$, provided that $A \cap \{x, y\} = \emptyset$. Suppose, to the contrary, that there is a bipartition X, Y of A such that $d_{G[A]}(X) = 0$. Let P' be the partition of $V(G)$ with $P' = (P \setminus \{A\}) \cup \{X, Y\}$. Then $e_G(P') = e_G(P)$ and $|P'| = |P| + 1$ which contradicts the minimality property of P . Hence the claim holds. Let $x \in X \in P$ and $y \in Y \in P$ (possibly $X = Y$). Define P_i to be the partition of $V(G_i)$ with

$$P_i = \{A \cap V(G_i) : A \in P \text{ and } A \subseteq V(G_i)\} \cup \{X \cap V(G_i), Y \cap V(G_i)\}.$$

If $X = Y$, then $|P| = \sum_{i \in I} (|P_i| - 1) + 1$ and $e_G(P) = \sum_{i \in I} e_{G_i}(P_i)$, and also $|X| \geq 2$. Since $|P_i| \leq 9$ and G_i is 3-edge-connected and essentially 4-edge-connected, one can conclude that $e_{G_i}(P_i) \geq \frac{7}{4}(|P_i| - 1)$, which implies that $e_G(P) \geq \frac{7}{4}(|P| - 1)$. If $X \neq Y$, then $|P| = \sum_{i \in I} (|P_i| - 2) + 2$ and $e_G(P) = \sum_{i \in I} (e_{G_i}(P_i) - 1) + 1$. Since $|P_i| \leq 9$ and G_i is 3-edge-connected, one can conclude that $e_{G_i}(P_i) - 1 \geq \frac{7}{4}(|P_i| - 2)$, which implies that $e_G(P) \geq (\frac{7}{4} - \frac{4}{3n'}) (|P| - 1)$, where $n' = \sum_{i \in I} (|P_i| - 2) + 1$. Therefore, $e_G(P) \geq (\frac{7}{4} - \frac{3}{4n}) (|P| - 1)$ when $|P_i| \geq 3$ for all $i \in I$. Otherwise, $e_{G_j}(P_j) - 1 \geq 2 = \frac{7}{4}(|P_j| - 2) + 2$ for an integer $j \in I$. In this case, it is straightforward to show that $e_G(P) \geq \frac{7}{4}(|P| - 1)$. This can complete the proof. \square

Now, we are ready to prove the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 7.14. *Let Δ be an integer with $\Delta \geq 4$. If $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, then there is a k -connected simple graph G having no spanning Eulerian subgraph with maximum degree at most Δ , while for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) + \beta \text{iso}(G \setminus S) \leq (\frac{4}{7} - \varepsilon)|S| + 1,$$

where k and β are two arbitrary given positive integers.

Proof. Let H be a fixed graph satisfying Lemma 7.15. Let s and p be two integers p satisfying $s \geq k$ and $p > \Delta s$. Define G to be the graph obtained from H by the construction stated in the proof of Theorem 6.7 with respect to the parameters s, p, ε , and β . According to the construction of G , it must be s -connected. Moreover, by the proof of Theorem 6.7, one can conclude that for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\omega(G \setminus S) + \beta \text{iso}(G \setminus S) \leq (\frac{4}{7} - \varepsilon)|S| + 1$. Suppose, to the contrary, that G has a spanning Eulerian subgraph G_0 with maximum degree at most Δ . According to the construction of G , since H_i has no spanning Eulerian subgraph, there must be at least one edge between R_s and $H_i \setminus U_i$ in G_0 . Thus there are at least p edges of G_0 with exactly one end in R_s . Therefore, there is a vertex of G_0 in $V(R_s)$ with degree at least $p/s > \Delta$ which is a contradiction. \square

Note that if the coefficient of $(|P| - 1)$ in Lemma 7.15 can be improved, then one can improve Theorem 7.14 consequently. On the other hand, it is known that every 2-tree-connected graph admits a spanning Eulerian subgraph [19]. It would be interesting to determine the supremum of such real numbers. So, we pose the following question.

Question 7.15. *Find the infimum number ε , such that there exists a graph G satisfying $e_G(P) \geq (2 - \varepsilon)(|P| - 1)$ for every partition P of $V(G)$, while G does not have a spanning Eulerian subgraph.*

References

- [1] S. Akbari, M. Hasanvand, and K. Ozeki, Highly edge-connected factors using given lists on degrees, *J. Graph Theory* 90 (2019) 150–159.
- [2] J. Bang-Jensen, S. Thomassé, and A. Yeo, Small degree out-branchings, *J. Graph Theory* 42 (2003) 297–307.
- [3] D. Bauer, H.J. Broersma, and H.J. Veldman, Not every 2-tough graph is Hamiltonian, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 99 (2000) 317–321.
- [4] J. Bensmail, A. Harutyunyan, T.-N. Le, and S. Thomassé, Edge-partitioning a graph into paths: beyond the Barát-Thomassen conjecture, *Combinatorica* 39 (2019) 239–263.
- [5] P.A. Catlin, Double cycle covers and the Petersen graph, *J. Graph Theory* 13 (1989) 465–483.
- [6] Z. Chen, Snarks, Hypohamiltonian graphs and non-supereulerian graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 32 (2016) 2267–2273.
- [7] V. Chvátal, Tough graphs and Hamiltonian circuits, *Discrete Math.* 5 (1973) 215–228.
- [8] J. Edmonds, Edge-disjoint branchings, *Combinatorial Algorithms B. Rustin*, (Editors), Algorithmics Press, New York (1973) 91–96.
- [9] J. Edmonds, Submodular functions, matroids, and certain polyhedra, in *Combinatorial Structures and their Applications (Proc. Calgary Internat. Conf., Calgary, Alta., 1969)*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970, pp. 69–87.
- [10] J. Edmonds and E.L. Johnson, Matching, Euler tours and the Chinese postman, *Mathematical Programming* 5 (1973) 88–124.
- [11] M.N. Ellingham, Y. Nam, and H.-J. Voss, Connected (g, f) -factors, *J. Graph Theory* 39 (2002) 62–75.
- [12] M.N. Ellingham and X. Zha, Toughness, trees, and walks, *J. Graph Theory* 33 (2000) 125–137.
- [13] H. Enomoto, Y. Ohnishi, and K. Ota, Spanning trees with bounded total excess, *Ars Combin.* 102 (2011) 289–295.
- [14] A. Frank and A. Gyárfás, How to orient the edges of a graph? in *Combinatorics*, Coll Math Soc J Bolyai 18 (1976) 353–364.
- [15] M. Hasanvand, Spanning trees and spanning Eulerian subgraphs with small degrees, *Discrete Math.* 338 (2015) 1317–1321.
- [16] M. Hasanvand, Spanning trees and spanning closed walks with small degrees, arXiv:1702.06203v7.
- [17] M. Hasanvand, Factors and connected factors in tough graphs with high isolated toughness, arXiv:1812.11640v3.

- [18] B. Jackson and N.C. Wormald, k -walks of graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.* 2 (1990) 135–146.
- [19] F. Jaeger, A note on sub-Eulerian graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 3 (1979) 91–93.
- [20] T. Kaiser, R. Kužel, H. Li, and G. Wang, A note on k -walks in bridgeless graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 23 (2007) 303–308.
- [21] Z. Liu and B. Xu, On low bound of degree sequences of spanning trees in K -edge-connected graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 28 (1998) 87–95.
- [22] W. Mader, A reduction method for edge-connectivity in graphs, *Ann Discrete Math.* 3 (1978) 145–164
- [23] H. Nagamochi and T. Ibaraki, A linear time algorithm for finding a sparse k -connected spanning subgraph of a k -connected graph. *Algorithmica* 7 (1992) 583–596.
- [24] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, On orientations, connectivity and odd-vertex-pairings in finite graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* 12 (1960) 555–567.
- [25] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint spanning trees of finite graphs, *J. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1961) 445–450.
- [26] T. Nishizeki and S. Poljak, k -Connectivity and decomposition of graphs into forests, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 55 (1994) 295–301.
- [27] S. Ok and C. Thomassen, On the minimum number of spanning trees in k -edge-connected graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 84 (2017) 286–296.
- [28] K. Ozeki and T. Yamashita, Spanning trees: a survey, *Graphs Combin.* 27 (2011) 1–26.
- [29] C. Thomassen, Decompositions of highly connected graphs into paths of length 3, *J. Graph Theory* 58 (2008) 286–292.
- [30] C. Thomassen, Decomposing graphs into paths of fixed length, *Combinatorica* 33 (2013) 97–123.
- [31] W.T. Tutte, On the problem of decomposing a graph into n connected factors, *J. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1961) 221–230.
- [32] S. Win, On a connection between the existence of k -trees and the toughness of a graph, *Graphs Combin.* 5 (1989) 201–205.
- [33] X. Yao, X. Li, and H.-J. Lai, Degree conditions for group connectivity, *Discrete Math.* 310 (2010) 1050–1058.