

Transfer theorems for finitely subdirectly irreducible algebras

Wesley Fussner

Mathematical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland

George Metcalfe

Mathematical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland

Abstract

We show that under certain conditions, well-studied algebraic properties transfer from the class \mathcal{V}_{FSI} of finitely subdirectly irreducible members of a variety \mathcal{V} to the whole variety, and, in certain cases, back again. First, we prove that a congruence-distributive variety \mathcal{V} has the congruence extension property if and only if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the congruence extension property. We then prove that for a variety \mathcal{V} with the congruence extension property such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras, \mathcal{V} has a one-sided amalgamation property (equivalently, since \mathcal{V} is a variety, the amalgamation property) if and only if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has this property. We also establish similar results for the transferable injections and strong amalgamation properties, and prove that possession of all these properties is decidable for finitely generated varieties satisfying certain conditions. Finally, as a case study, we describe the subvarieties of a notable variety of BL-algebras that have the amalgamation property.

Keywords: variety, congruence-distributive, finitely subdirectly irreducible, congruence extension property, amalgamation property.

1. Introduction

This paper studies a cluster of interrelated properties of general interest in algebra: the congruence extension property, (strong) amalgamation property,

Email addresses: wesley.fussner@unibe.ch (Wesley Fussner),
george.metcalfe@unibe.ch (George Metcalfe)

transferable injections property, and surjective epimorphisms property. The latter have each been investigated in a range of algebraic contexts, with studies spanning groups, rings, lattices, Lie algebras, and numerous other algebraic structures (see [21] for an extensive survey). In this paper we adopt a general vantage point and develop a widely-applicable toolkit for studying these properties. Our main contribution consists of transfer theorems that characterize each property for a class of algebraic structures satisfying certain hypotheses in terms of a smaller and more easily-studied subclass, namely, the finitely subdirectly irreducible members of the class. These theorems generalize other transfer results in the literature (found in, e.g., [3, 10, 23]; see below for details), while simultaneously providing a more uniform treatment. As a consequence, we also obtain effective decision procedures for finitely generated varieties for each of the properties in question.

We freely make use of basic notions of universal algebra throughout our discussion, but first recall several key definitions, referring to [5] for a more detailed treatment. An algebraic structure (for short, algebra) \mathbf{A} is called (*finitely*) *subdirectly irreducible* if whenever \mathbf{A} is isomorphic to a subdirect product of a (non-empty finite) set of algebras, it is isomorphic to one of these algebras. Equivalently, \mathbf{A} is finitely subdirectly irreducible if the least element $\Delta_A := \{\langle a, a \rangle \mid a \in A\}$ of its congruence lattice $\text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ is meet-irreducible, and subdirectly irreducible if Δ_A is completely meet-irreducible.¹ By the correspondence theorem for universal algebra, a quotient algebra \mathbf{A}/Θ is finitely subdirectly irreducible if and only if Θ is meet-irreducible in $\text{Con } \mathbf{A}$, and subdirectly irreducible if and only if it is completely meet-irreducible.

Given any variety (equational class) \mathcal{V} , let \mathcal{V}_{FSI} and \mathcal{V}_{SI} denote the classes of finitely subdirectly irreducible and subdirectly irreducible members of \mathcal{V} , respectively. A sizeable number of results in the universal algebra literature state that, under certain conditions, well-studied algebraic properties of \mathcal{V}_{SI} transfer to \mathcal{V} (see, e.g., [9, 10, 14, 20, 21, 23]). The aim of this paper is to determine conditions under which these properties transfer from \mathcal{V}_{FSI} to \mathcal{V} and, in some cases, back again. A key motivation for considering \mathcal{V}_{FSI} rather than \mathcal{V}_{SI} is that it is often easier to establish and state the required conditions for the larger class. Notably, if \mathcal{V} has equationally definable principal meets

¹An element a of a lattice \mathbf{L} is *meet-irreducible* if $a = b \wedge c$ implies $a = b$ or $a = c$, and this is true of any greatest element \top of \mathbf{L} ; however, a is *completely meet-irreducible* if $a = \bigwedge B$ implies $a \in B$ for any $B \subseteq L$, which is not the case for $\top = \bigwedge \emptyset$.

(a common property for varieties corresponding to non-classical logics), then \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is a universal class [4, Theorem 1.5]. Note also that in this case, \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is a positive universal class if and only if $\text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ is a chain (totally ordered set) for each $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$.²

An algebra \mathbf{B} is *congruence-distributive* if $\text{Con } \mathbf{B}$ is distributive, and has the *congruence extension property* (for short, **CEP**) if for any subalgebra \mathbf{A} of \mathbf{B} and $\Theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$, there exists a $\Phi \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$ such that $\Phi \cap A^2 = \Theta$. A class of algebras is said to have one of these properties if each of its members has the property. In Section 2, we prove that a congruence-distributive variety \mathcal{V} has the **CEP** if and only if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the **CEP** (Theorem 2.3). As an easy consequence, we obtain also the known result that a congruence-distributive variety \mathcal{V} such that \mathcal{V}_{SI} is elementary has the **CEP** if and only if \mathcal{V}_{SI} has the **CEP** [10, Theorem 3.3]. Note, however, that the requirement that \mathcal{V}_{SI} is elementary may not be satisfied or be difficult to establish, and this result is therefore significantly more difficult to apply than our Theorem 2.3.

When \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras, Theorem 2.3 can be reformulated in terms of commutative diagrams. Let \mathcal{K} be a class of similar algebras (i.e., a class of algebras of the same signature). A *span* in \mathcal{K} is a 5-tuple $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ consisting of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{K}$ and homomorphisms $\varphi_B: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, $\varphi_C: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We call this span *injective* if φ_B is an embedding, *doubly injective* if both φ_B and φ_C are embeddings, and *injective-surjective* if φ_B is an embedding and φ_C is surjective. The class \mathcal{K} has the *extension property* (for short, **EP**) if for any injective-surjective span $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ in \mathcal{K} , there exist a $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{K}$, a homomorphism $\psi_B: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$, and an embedding $\psi_C: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ such that $\psi_B \varphi_B = \psi_C \varphi_C$, that is, the diagram in Figure 1(i) is commutative. A variety \mathcal{V} has the **CEP** if and only if it has the **EP** (cf. [3, Lemma 1.2]), but this is not the case in general for other classes of algebras. We prove here, however, that for a congruence-distributive variety \mathcal{V} such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras, the **EP** and **CEP** for \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}_{FSI} all coincide (Theorem 2.7).

Now let \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}' be two classes of algebras of the same signature. An *amalgam in \mathcal{K}'* of a doubly injective span $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ in \mathcal{K} is a triple $\langle \mathbf{D}, \psi_B, \psi_C \rangle$ where $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{K}'$ and ψ_B, ψ_C are embeddings of \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{C} into \mathbf{D} ,

²Suppose that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is a universal class. Then it is a positive universal class if and only if for any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ and $\Theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$, also $\mathbf{A}/\Theta \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$, i.e., Θ is meet-irreducible in $\text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. But a lattice is a chain if and only if all its elements are meet-irreducible, so \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is a positive universal class if and only if $\text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ is a chain for each $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$.




Figure 1: Commutative diagrams for algebraic properties

respectively, such that $\psi_B\varphi_B = \psi_C\varphi_C$ (see Figure 1(ii)). The class \mathcal{K} has the *amalgamation property* (for short, **AP**) if every doubly injective span in \mathcal{K} has an amalgam in \mathcal{K} . We also say that \mathcal{K} has the *one-sided amalgamation property* (for short, **1AP**) if for any doubly injective span $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ in \mathcal{K} , there exist a $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{K}$, a homomorphism $\psi_B: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$, and an embedding $\psi_C: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ such that $\psi_B\varphi_B = \psi_C\varphi_C$ (see Figure 1(iii)). It is easy to see using [14, Lemma 2] that a variety \mathcal{V} has the **1AP** if and only if it has the **AP**, but this is not always the case for other classes, in particular, \mathcal{V}_{FSI} . In Section 3, we prove that when \mathcal{V} has the **CEP** and \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras, then \mathcal{V} has the **1AP** (equivalently, the **AP**) if and only if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the **1AP** (Theorem 3.4).

In Section 4, we consider consequences of our results for three further properties. First, a class \mathcal{K} of similar algebras has the *transferable injections property* (for short, **TIP**) if for any injective span $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ in \mathcal{K} , there exist a $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{K}$, a homomorphism $\psi_B: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$, and an embedding $\psi_C: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ such that $\psi_B\varphi_B = \psi_C\varphi_C$ (see Figure 1(iv)). It is well-known that a variety has the **TIP** if and only if it has the **CEP** and **AP** ([3, Lemma 1.7]); more generally, as we show here, a class of similar algebras that is closed under subalgebras has the **TIP** if and only if it has the **EP** and **1AP**. It then follows from our previous results that a congruence-distributive variety \mathcal{V} such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras has the **TIP** if and only if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the **TIP** (Theorem 4.3).

Next, let \mathcal{K} be a class of similar algebras and $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{K}$. A homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is an *epimorphism in \mathcal{K}* if for all $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{K}$ and all homomorphisms $\psi_1, \psi_2: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, if $\psi_1\varphi = \psi_2\varphi$, then $\psi_1 = \psi_2$. Surjective homomorphisms are always epimorphisms, but the converse does not hold in general. If all epimorphisms in \mathcal{K} are surjections, \mathcal{K} is said to have *surjective epimorphisms* (for short, **SE**). In [6, Theorem 22], it was proved that an arithmetical variety \mathcal{V} such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is a universal class has **SE** if and only if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has **SE**.

For a class of algebras \mathcal{K}' of the same signature as \mathcal{K} , an amalgam $\langle \mathbf{D}, \psi_B, \psi_C \rangle$ in \mathcal{K}' of a doubly injective span $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ in \mathcal{K} is called *strong* if $\psi_B \varphi_B[A] = \psi_B[B] \cap \psi_C[C]$. The class \mathcal{K} is said to have the *strong amalgamation property* (for short, **SAP**) if every doubly injective span in \mathcal{K} has a strong amalgam in \mathcal{K} . Since a quasivariety has the **SAP** if and only if it has **SE** and the **AP** [16], it follows from our earlier results and [6, Theorem 22] that an arithmetical variety \mathcal{V} such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is a universal class has the **SAP** if and only if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has **SE** and the **1AP**. We also show that such a variety has the **SAP** if every doubly injective span in \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has a strong amalgam in \mathcal{V} .

In Section 5, we conclude that possession of all the properties mentioned above is decidable for certain finitely generated varieties. More precisely, we obtain effective algorithms to decide if a congruence-distributive variety \mathcal{V} that is finitely generated by a given finite set of finite algebras, such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras, has the **CEP**, **AP**, or **TIP** (Theorem 5.1). In the case where \mathcal{V} is arithmetical, we obtain also an effective algorithm to decide if \mathcal{V} has the **SAP**. Finally, in Section 6, we describe the subvarieties of a notable variety of BL-algebras (those generated by a class of “one-component” totally ordered BL-algebras) that have the **AP**.

2. The Congruence Extension Property

We first recall some basic facts about extending congruences, denoting the congruence of an algebra \mathbf{A} generated by a set $R \subseteq A^2$ by $\text{Cg}_{\mathbf{A}}(R)$.

Lemma 2.1 ([20, Lemma 1.3]). Let \mathbf{B} be any algebra.

- (a) \mathbf{B} has the **CEP** if and only if for any subalgebra \mathbf{A} of \mathbf{B} and completely meet-irreducible $\Theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$, there exists a $\Psi \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$ with $\Psi \cap A^2 = \Theta$.
- (b) For any subalgebra \mathbf{A} of \mathbf{B} and $\Theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$, there exists a $\Psi \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$ with $\Psi \cap A^2 = \Theta$ if and only if $\text{Cg}_{\mathbf{B}}(\Theta) \cap A^2 = \Theta$.

We also make use of the following consequence of the correspondence theorem of universal algebra.

Lemma 2.2 ([23, Lemma 1]). Let $\varphi: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a surjective homomorphism and $R \subseteq A \times A$. Then $\varphi^{-1}[\text{Cg}_{\mathbf{B}}(\varphi[R])] = \text{Cg}_{\mathbf{A}}(R) \vee \ker(\varphi)$.

We now establish the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 2.3. Let \mathcal{V} be any congruence-distributive variety. Then \mathcal{V} has the congruence extension property if and only if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the congruence extension property.

Proof. Suppose for the non-trivial direction that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the CEP. Let \mathbf{A} be a subalgebra of some $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{V}$ and let $\Theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. We assume towards a contradiction with Lemma 2.1(b) that $\text{Cg}_{\mathbf{B}}(\Theta) \cap A^2 \neq \Theta$; that is, there exists an ordered pair $\langle a, b \rangle \in \text{Cg}_{\mathbf{B}}(\Theta) \cap A^2$ satisfying $\langle a, b \rangle \notin \Theta$. Define

$$T := \{\Psi \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B} \mid \langle a, b \rangle \notin (\Psi \cap A^2) \vee \Theta\}.$$

Then $\Delta_{\mathbf{B}} \in T$, so $T \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, every chain in $\langle T, \subseteq \rangle$ has an upper bound (its union) in T , so, by Zorn's Lemma, $\langle T, \subseteq \rangle$ has a maximal element Ψ^* .

Claim. Ψ^* is meet-irreducible in $\text{Con } \mathbf{B}$ and hence $\mathbf{B}/\Psi^* \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$.

Proof of claim. Suppose that $\Psi^* = \Psi_1 \cap \Psi_2$ for some $\Theta_1, \Theta_2 \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$. Then, by congruence-distributivity,

$$((\Psi_1 \cap A^2) \vee \Theta) \cap ((\Psi_2 \cap A^2) \vee \Theta) = (\Psi_1 \cap \Psi_2 \cap A^2) \vee \Theta = (\Psi^* \cap A^2) \vee \Theta.$$

But $\langle a, b \rangle \notin (\Psi^* \cap A^2) \vee \Theta$, so $\langle a, b \rangle \notin (\Psi_1 \cap A^2) \vee \Theta$ or $\langle a, b \rangle \notin (\Psi_2 \cap A^2) \vee \Theta$. Hence $\Psi_1 \in T$ or $\Psi_2 \in T$ and, by the maximality of Ψ^* in $\langle T, \subseteq \rangle$, either $\Psi^* = \Psi_1$ or $\Psi^* = \Psi_2$. So Ψ^* is meet-irreducible. \square

Observe next that $\mathbf{A}/(\Psi^* \cap A^2)$ embeds into \mathbf{B}/Ψ^* and can be identified with a subalgebra of \mathbf{B}/Ψ^* with universe A/Ψ^* . We consider the congruence $((\Psi^* \cap A^2) \vee \Theta)/(\Psi^* \cap A^2)$ of $\mathbf{A}/(\Psi^* \cap A^2)$. Since $\langle a, b \rangle \notin (\Psi^* \cap A^2) \vee \Theta$,

$$\langle a/(\Psi^* \cap A^2), b/(\Psi^* \cap A^2) \rangle \notin ((\Psi^* \cap A^2) \vee \Theta)/(\Psi^* \cap A^2).$$

But $\langle a, b \rangle \in \text{Cg}_{\mathbf{B}}(\Theta) \cap A^2$, so $\langle a, b \rangle \in \text{Cg}_{\mathbf{B}}((\Psi^* \cap A^2) \vee \Theta) \vee \Psi^*$, and an application of Lemma 2.2 yields

$$\langle a/\Psi^*, b/\Psi^* \rangle \in \text{Cg}_{\mathbf{B}/\Psi^*}(((\Psi^* \cap A^2) \vee \Theta)/(\Psi^* \cap A^2)),$$

contradicting the assumption that $\mathbf{B}/\Psi^* \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ has the CEP. \square

Every finitely subdirectly irreducible member of a variety \mathcal{V} embeds into an ultraproduct of subdirectly irreducible members of \mathcal{V} , by the Relativized Jónsson Lemma [8, Lemma 1.5]. Hence, if \mathcal{V}_{SI} is closed under ultraproducts, each $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ embeds into some $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{SI}}$, and the fact that the CEP is preserved under subalgebras yields the following known result.

Corollary 2.4 ([10, Theorem 3.3]). Let \mathcal{V} be any congruence-distributive variety such that \mathcal{V}_{SI} is an elementary class. Then \mathcal{V} has the congruence extension property if and only if \mathcal{V}_{SI} has the congruence extension property.³

We now turn our attention to the relationship between the CEP and the EP, establishing first a simple but very useful lemma for investigating finitely subdirectly irreducible algebras.

Lemma 2.5. Let \mathcal{V} be any variety and let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{V}$. Then there exist a $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ and a surjective homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $\ker(\varphi) \cap A^2 = \Delta_A$.

Proof. Let $T := \{\Theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B} \mid \Theta \cap A^2 = \Delta_A\}$. Then $T \neq \emptyset$, since $\Delta_B \in T$. Moreover, every chain in $\langle T, \subseteq \rangle$ has an upper bound (its union) in T , so, by Zorn's Lemma, $\langle T, \subseteq \rangle$ has a maximal element Θ^* .

Claim. Θ^* is meet-irreducible in $\text{Con } \mathbf{B}$.

Proof of claim. Suppose that $\Theta^* = \Theta_1 \cap \Theta_2$ for some $\Theta_1, \Theta_2 \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$. Then

$$(\Theta_1 \cap A^2) \cap (\Theta_2 \cap A^2) = \Theta^* \cap A^2 = \Delta_A.$$

Since $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$, either $\Theta_1 \cap A^2 = \Delta_A$ or $\Theta_2 \cap A^2 = \Delta_A$. So $\Theta_1 \in T$ or $\Theta_2 \in T$ and, by the maximality of Θ^* in $\langle T, \subseteq \rangle$, either $\Theta^* = \Theta_1$ or $\Theta^* = \Theta_2$. \square

Let $\mathbf{C} := \mathbf{B}/\Theta^* \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ and let $\varphi: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the homomorphism mapping each $b \in B$ to $[b]_{\Theta^*} \in C$. Then $\ker(\varphi) \cap A^2 = \Theta^* \cap A^2 = \Delta_A$. \square

Lemma 2.6. Let \mathcal{V} be any variety. If \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the congruence extension property, then \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the extension property.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the CEP and consider any $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$, an embedding $\varphi_B: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, and a surjective homomorphism $\varphi_C: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We may assume without loss of generality that \mathbf{A} is a subalgebra of \mathbf{B} and that $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A}/\Theta$ for some $\Theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. By assumption, there exists a $\Psi \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$ such that $\Psi \cap A^2 = \Theta$. Let $\mathbf{D} := \mathbf{B}/\Psi$, let ψ_B be the homomorphism from \mathbf{B} to \mathbf{D} mapping each $b \in B$ to $[b]_{\Psi} \in B/\Psi$, and let ψ_C be the embedding

³This result also follows from a more general theorem of Kiss [20, Theorem 2.3] for congruence-modular varieties; however, the latter does not seem to directly imply, or be implied by, our Theorem 2.3.

mapping each $[a]_{\Theta} \in A/\Theta$ to $[a]_{\Psi} \in B/\Psi$. Note that ψ_C is well-defined and injective, since for any $a, b \in A$,

$$[a]_{\Theta} = [b]_{\Theta} \iff \langle a, b \rangle \in \Theta \iff \langle a, b \rangle \in \Psi \iff [a]_{\Psi} = [b]_{\Psi}.$$

Clearly also $\psi_B \varphi_B = \psi_C \varphi_C$. Finally, by Lemma 2.5, there exist a $\mathbf{D}^* \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$, a homomorphism $\psi_B^*: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^*$, and an embedding $\psi_C^*: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^*$ such that $\psi_B^* \varphi_B = \psi_C^* \varphi_C$. Hence \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the EP. \square

Theorem 2.7. Let \mathcal{V} be a congruence-distributive variety such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras. The following are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{V} has the congruence extension property.
- (2) \mathcal{V} has the extension property.
- (3) \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the congruence extension property.
- (4) \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the extension property.

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is well-known ([3, Lemma 1.2]), and the equivalence of (1) and (3), and the implication from (3) to (4) follow from Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.6, respectively. Hence it remains to show that (4) implies (3).

Suppose that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the EP and let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$. To show that \mathbf{B} has the CEP, it suffices, by Lemma 2.1(a), to consider any subalgebra \mathbf{A} of \mathbf{B} , and completely meet-irreducible $\Theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ and prove that $\Psi \cap A^2 = \Theta$ for some $\Psi \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$. Note first that, by assumption, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ and $\mathbf{A}/\Theta \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$. Let $\varphi_C: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}/\Theta$ be the homomorphism mapping $a \in A$ to $[a]_{\Theta} \in A/\Theta$ and let $\varphi_B: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be the inclusion map. Since \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the EP, there exist a $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$, a homomorphism $\psi_B: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$, and an embedding $\psi_C: \mathbf{A}/\Theta \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ such that $\psi_B \varphi_B = \psi_C \varphi_C$. Let $\Psi := \ker(\psi_B)$. Then for any $a, b \in A$, using the injectivity of ψ_C for the third equivalence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, b \rangle \in \Psi &\iff \psi_B \varphi_B(a) = \psi_B \varphi_B(b) \\ &\iff \psi_C \varphi_C(a) = \psi_C \varphi_C(b) \\ &\iff \varphi_C(a) = \varphi_C(b) \\ &\iff \langle a, b \rangle \in \ker(\varphi_C) = \Theta. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\Psi \cap A^2 = \Theta$. Hence \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the CEP. \square

Example 2.8. It is not hard to see that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} can have the EP but not the CEP, even when \mathcal{V} is congruence-distributive. Consider the variety \mathcal{V} generated by the totally ordered monoid $\mathbf{C}_4 = \langle \{-2, -1, 1, 2\}, \min, \max, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ with multiplication table

\cdot	-2	-1	1	2
-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
-1	-2	-1	-1	2
1	-2	-1	1	2
2	-2	2	2	2

The proper subuniverses of \mathbf{C}_4 are $C_1 = \{1\}$, $C_2 = \{-1, 1\}$, $C_2^\delta = \{1, 2\}$, $C_3 = \{-1, 1, 2\}$, $C_3^\delta = \{-2, 1, 2\}$, and $C_3^* = \{-2, -1, 1\}$, up to isomorphism, and any homomorphic image of \mathbf{C}_4 is isomorphic to one of its subalgebras. As shown in [26], the algebra \mathbf{C}_4 , and hence \mathcal{V}_{FSI} , does not have the CEP, since $\Theta := \Delta_{C_3^*} \cup \{\langle -1, -2 \rangle, \langle -2, -1 \rangle\}$ is a congruence on \mathbf{C}_3^* and $\text{Cg}_{\mathbf{C}_4}(\Theta) = C_4 \times C_4$. Note, however, that $\mathbf{C}_3^* \notin \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$. There are in fact just two non-trivial injective-surjective spans to check and since these can both be completed appropriately, \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the EP.

3. The Amalgamation Property

We first recall some useful necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of amalgams.

Lemma 3.1 ([13]). A variety \mathcal{V} has the amalgamation property if and only if every doubly injective span of finitely generated algebras from \mathcal{V} has an amalgam in \mathcal{V} .

Lemma 3.2 ([14, Lemma 2]). Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ be a doubly injective span in a class of similar algebras \mathcal{K} , and suppose that

- (i) for any distinct $x, y \in B$, there exist a $\mathbf{D}_B^{xy} \in \mathcal{K}$ and homomorphisms $\psi_B^{xy}: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_B^{xy}$, $\psi_C^{xy}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_B^{xy}$ with $\psi_B^{xy} \varphi_B = \psi_C^{xy} \varphi_C$, $\psi_B^{xy}(x) \neq \psi_B^{xy}(y)$;
- (ii) for any distinct $x, y \in C$, there exist a $\mathbf{D}_C^{xy} \in \mathcal{K}$ and homomorphisms $\chi_B^{xy}: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_C^{xy}$, $\chi_C^{xy}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_C^{xy}$ with $\chi_B^{xy} \varphi_B = \chi_C^{xy} \varphi_C$, $\chi_C^{xy}(x) \neq \chi_C^{xy}(y)$.

Then $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ has an amalgam $\langle \mathbf{D}, \psi_B, \psi_C \rangle$, where \mathbf{D} is the product of the algebras in the set $\{\mathbf{D}_B^{xy} \mid x, y \in B, x \neq y\} \cup \{\mathbf{D}_C^{xy} \mid x, y \in C, x \neq y\}$.

The following result will play a key role in the proof of our Theorem 3.4. A slightly weaker version (requiring an amalgam for all doubly injective spans in \mathcal{S} in (iv)) was first proved in [23] and used to establish a special case of Theorem 3.4 where \mathcal{V} is a variety of semilinear residuated lattices with the CEP and \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is the class of totally ordered members of \mathcal{V} .

Proposition 3.3 (cf. [23, Theorem 9]). Let \mathcal{S} be a subclass of a variety \mathcal{V} satisfying

- (i) every subdirectly irreducible member of \mathcal{V} is in \mathcal{S} ;
- (ii) \mathcal{S} is closed under isomorphisms and subalgebras;
- (iii) for any $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{V}$ and subalgebra \mathbf{A} of \mathbf{B} , if $\Theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}/\Theta \in \mathcal{S}$, then there exists a $\Phi \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$ such that $\Phi \cap A^2 = \Theta$ and $\mathbf{B}/\Phi \in \mathcal{S}$;
- (iv) every doubly injective span of finitely generated members of \mathcal{S} has an amalgam in \mathcal{V} .

Then \mathcal{V} has the amalgamation property.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, it suffices to prove that any doubly injective span $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ of finitely generated members of \mathcal{V} has an amalgam in \mathcal{V} . We check condition (i) of Lemma 3.2, the proof for (ii) being completely symmetrical. Consider $x, y \in B$, $x \neq y$, and let Ψ be a congruence of \mathbf{B} that is maximal with respect to $\langle x, y \rangle \notin \Psi$. Then \mathbf{B}/Ψ is a subdirectly irreducible member of \mathcal{V} and belongs to \mathcal{S} , by (i). Define $\Theta := \Psi \cap A^2$. The map φ'_B sending $[a]_\Theta \in \mathbf{A}/\Theta$ to $[\varphi_B(a)]_\Psi$ is an embedding of \mathbf{A}/Θ into \mathbf{B}/Ψ , so $\mathbf{A}/\Theta \in \mathcal{S}$, by (ii). Hence, by (iii), there exists a $\Phi \in \text{Con } \mathbf{C}$ such that $\Phi \cap A^2 = \Theta$ and $\mathbf{C}/\Phi \in \mathcal{S}$. Moreover, the map φ'_C sending any $[a]_\Theta \in \mathbf{A}/\Theta$ to $[\varphi_C(a)]_\Phi$ is an embedding of \mathbf{A}/Θ into \mathbf{C}/Φ .

Since \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} , and \mathbf{C} are finitely generated, $\langle \mathbf{A}/\Theta, \mathbf{B}/\Psi, \mathbf{C}/\Phi, \varphi'_B, \varphi'_C \rangle$ is a doubly injective span of finitely generated members of \mathcal{S} and, by (iv), has an amalgam $\langle \mathbf{D}_{xy}, \chi_B, \chi_C \rangle$ in \mathcal{V} . Consider the homomorphisms

$$\psi_B^{xy}: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{xy}; \quad b \mapsto \chi_B([b]_\Psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_C^{xy}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{xy}; \quad c \mapsto \chi_C([c]_\Phi).$$

Then $\psi_B^{xy}(x) \neq \psi_B^{xy}(y)$ (as χ_B is injective and $[x]_\Psi \neq [y]_\Psi$) and for any $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_B^{xy}(\varphi_B(a)) &= \chi_B([\varphi_B(a)]_\Psi) \\
&= \chi_B(\varphi'_B([a]_\Theta)) \\
&= \chi_C(\varphi'_C([a]_\Theta)) \\
&= \chi_C([\varphi_C(a)]_\Phi) \\
&= \psi_C^{xy}(\varphi_C(a)). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

We now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. Let \mathcal{V} be a variety with the congruence extension property such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras. The following are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{V} has the amalgamation property.
- (2) \mathcal{V} has the one-sided amalgamation property.
- (3) \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the one-sided amalgamation property.
- (4) Every doubly injective span of finitely generated algebras from \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has an amalgam in $\mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}} \times \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$.
- (5) Every doubly injective span of finitely generated algebras from \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has an amalgam in \mathcal{V} .

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). Follows directly from Lemma 3.2.

(1) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose that \mathcal{V} has the AP. Then a doubly injective span $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ in \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has an amalgam $\langle \mathbf{D}', \psi'_B, \psi'_C \rangle$ in \mathcal{V} , where we may assume without loss of generality that \mathbf{C} is a subalgebra of \mathbf{D}' . By Lemma 2.5, there exist a $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ and a surjective homomorphism $\chi: \mathbf{D}' \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ such that $\ker(\chi) \cap C^2 = \Delta_C$. So $\psi_B := \chi\psi'_B$ is a homomorphism from \mathbf{B} to \mathbf{D} , and $\psi_C := \chi\psi'_C$ is an embedding of \mathbf{C} into \mathbf{D} satisfying $\psi_B\varphi_B = \chi\psi'_B\varphi_B = \chi\psi'_C\varphi_C = \psi_C\varphi_C$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Suppose that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the 1AP and let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ be any doubly injective span of finitely generated algebras from \mathcal{V}_{FSI} . By the 1AP, there exist a $\mathbf{D}_C \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$, a homomorphism $\psi_B^C: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_C$, and an embedding $\psi_C^C: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_C$ such that $\psi_B^C\varphi_B = \psi_C^C\varphi_C$. However, $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}, \varphi_C, \varphi_B \rangle$ is also a doubly injective span in \mathcal{V}_{FSI} , so there exist a $\mathbf{D}_B \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$, a homomorphism $\psi_C^B: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_B$, and an embedding $\psi_B^B: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_B$ such that $\psi_C^B\varphi_C = \psi_B^B\varphi_B$. Observe now that in condition (i) of Lemma 3.2, for any distinct $x, y \in B$, we may take \mathbf{D}_B^{xy} , ψ_B^{xy} , and ψ_C^{xy} to be \mathbf{D}_B , ψ_B^B , and ψ_C^B , respectively. Similarly,

in condition (ii), for any distinct $x, y \in C$, we may take \mathbf{D}_C^{xy} , χ_B^{xy} , and χ_C^{xy} to be \mathbf{D}_C , ψ_B^C , and ψ_C^C , respectively. Hence $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ has an amalgam $\langle \mathbf{D}, \psi_B, \psi_C \rangle$, where $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}_B \times \mathbf{D}_C \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}} \times \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). Immediate.

(5) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that every doubly injective span of finitely generated algebras from \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has an amalgam in \mathcal{V} . Since $\mathcal{V}_{\text{SI}} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ and \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras, it suffices to check condition (iii) of Proposition 3.3, where \mathcal{S} is \mathcal{V}_{FSI} . Let \mathbf{A} be a subalgebra of $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{V}$ and consider some meet-irreducible $\Theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. We prove that $\Phi \cap A^2 = \Theta$ for some meet-irreducible $\Phi \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$. Note first that $\text{Cg}_{\mathbf{B}}(\Theta) \cap A^2 = \Theta$, by the CEP. Hence $T := \{\Psi \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B} \mid \Psi \cap A^2 = \Theta\} \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, every chain in $\langle T, \subseteq \rangle$ has an upper bound (its union) in T , so, by Zorn's Lemma, $\langle T, \subseteq \rangle$ has a maximal element Φ .

It remains to show that Φ is meet-irreducible in $\text{Con } \mathbf{B}$, so let $\Phi = \Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$ for some $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \text{Con } \mathbf{B}$. Then

$$(\Phi_1 \cap A^2) \cap (\Phi_2 \cap A^2) = \Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2 \cap A^2 = \Phi \cap A^2 = \Theta$$

and, since Θ is meet-irreducible in $\text{Con } \mathbf{A}$, either $\Phi_1 \cap A^2 = \Theta$ or $\Phi_2 \cap A^2 = \Theta$. So $\Phi_1 \in T$ or $\Phi_2 \in T$. Hence, by the maximality of Φ in $\langle T, \subseteq \rangle$, either $\Phi_1 = \Phi$ or $\Phi_2 = \Phi$. So Φ is meet-irreducible in $\text{Con } \mathbf{B}$. \square

Example 3.5. The 1AP cannot be replaced by the AP in condition (3) of Theorem 3.4. For example, the variety \mathcal{DL} of distributive lattices is congruence-distributive and has the CEP and AP, but $\mathcal{DL}_{\text{FSI}}$, which up to isomorphism contains only the trivial lattice and two-element lattice, does not have the AP. Just observe that any amalgam of a doubly injective span embedding the trivial lattice into the two-element lattice in two different ways must have at least three elements and hence cannot belong to $\mathcal{DL}_{\text{FSI}}$.

The following corollary of Theorem 3.4 is useful for the study of joins of varieties with the AP (see, e.g., the proof of Theorem 6.2). Recall that a subalgebra \mathbf{A} of an algebra \mathbf{B} is a *retract* of \mathbf{B} if there exists a homomorphism $\psi: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ such that ψ is the identity on \mathbf{A} .

Corollary 3.6. Let \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 be varieties of the same signature such that $\mathcal{V}_1 \vee \mathcal{V}_2$ is congruence-distributive, and suppose that \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 have the AP and CEP, $(\mathcal{V}_1)_{\text{FSI}}$ and $(\mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{FSI}}$ are closed under subalgebras, and whenever $\mathbf{A} \in (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\text{FSI}} \cap (\mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{FSI}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{B} \in (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\text{FSI}} \cup (\mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{FSI}}$, it is a retract of \mathbf{B} . Then $\mathcal{V}_1 \vee \mathcal{V}_2$ has the amalgamation property.

Proof. Note first that, since $\mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2$ is a positive universal class, Jónsson's Lemma [18] yields $(\mathcal{V}_1 \vee \mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{SI}} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2$ and hence $(\mathcal{V}_1 \vee \mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{SI}} = (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\text{SI}} \cup (\mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{SI}}$. However, by the Relativized Jónsson Lemma [8, Lemma 1.5], every finitely subdirectly irreducible member of a variety embeds into an ultraproduct of its subdirectly irreducible members. So also $(\mathcal{V}_1 \vee \mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{FSI}} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2$, and $(\mathcal{V}_1 \vee \mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{FSI}} = (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\text{FSI}} \cup (\mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{FSI}}$. Now consider any doubly-injective span $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ in $(\mathcal{V}_1 \vee \mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{FSI}}$. Since \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 have the AP, we may assume that $\mathbf{A} \in (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\text{FSI}} \cap (\mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{FSI}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \in (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\text{FSI}} \cup (\mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{FSI}}$. By assumption, there exists a homomorphism $\psi_B: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ (since \mathbf{A} is a subalgebra of \mathbf{C}) such that ψ_B is the identity on \mathbf{A} . Let ψ_C be the identity map on \mathbf{C} . Clearly, $\psi_B \varphi_B = \psi_C \varphi_C$. Hence $(\mathcal{V}_1 \vee \mathcal{V}_2)_{\text{FSI}}$ has the 1AP and so $\mathcal{V}_1 \vee \mathcal{V}_2$ has the AP, by Theorem 3.4. \square

Let us conclude this section by remarking that by considering congruences that are completely meet-irreducible instead of meet-irreducible in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we obtain the same result with \mathcal{V}_{FSI} replaced by the class $\mathcal{V}_{\text{SI}}^+$ of subdirectly irreducible or non-trivial members of \mathcal{V} . In particular, we obtain [14, Theorem 3], which states that a variety \mathcal{V} with the CEP such that $\mathcal{V}_{\text{SI}}^+$ is closed under subalgebras has the AP if and only if every doubly injective span in $\mathcal{V}_{\text{SI}}^+$ has an amalgam in \mathcal{V} . Note, however, that while the property that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras follows from the existence of equationally definable principal meets (satisfied by many varieties serving as algebraic semantics for non-classical logics), a similarly general condition guaranteeing closure under subalgebras is not available for $\mathcal{V}_{\text{SI}}^+$.

4. Transferable Injections and Strong Amalgamation

We first establish a generalization for classes of similar algebras closed under subalgebras of the well-known fact that a variety has the TIP if and only if it has the CEP and AP [3, Lemma 1.7].

Proposition 4.1. Let \mathcal{K} be a class of similar algebras that is closed under subalgebras. Then \mathcal{K} has the transferable injections property if and only if it has the one-sided amalgamation property and extension property.

Proof. The left-to-right direction is immediate. For the converse, suppose that \mathcal{K} has the 1AP and EP and consider any injective span $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ in \mathcal{K} . Let $\mathbf{C}' := \varphi_C[\mathbf{A}]$. By assumption, $\mathbf{C}' \in \mathcal{K}$. Moreover, $\varphi_C: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}'$ is surjective, so, since \mathcal{K} has the EP, there exist a $\mathbf{D}' \in \mathcal{K}$, a homomorphism

$\psi'_B: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}'$, and an embedding $\psi'_C: \mathbf{C}' \rightarrow \mathbf{D}'$ such that $\psi'_B\varphi_B = \psi'_C\varphi_C$. Let $\nu: \mathbf{C}' \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the inclusion map. Since \mathcal{K} has the 1AP, there exist for the doubly injective span $\langle \mathbf{C}', \mathbf{D}', \mathbf{C}, \psi'_C, \nu \rangle$, a $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{K}$, a homomorphism $\chi: \mathbf{D}' \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$, and an embedding $\psi_C: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ such that $\chi\psi'_C = \psi_C\nu$. Let $\psi_B := \chi\psi'_B$. Then $\psi_B\varphi_B = \chi\psi'_B\varphi_B = \chi\psi'_C\varphi_C = \psi_C\nu\varphi_C = \psi_C\varphi_C$. Hence \mathcal{K} has the TIP. \square

Combining now Proposition 4.1 with our earlier results for the 1AP and EP, we are able to transfer results for the TIP from a variety to the class of its finitely subdirectly irreducible members, and back again.

Lemma 4.2. If a variety \mathcal{V} has the transferable injections property, then \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the transferable injections property.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{V} has the TIP and let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ be an injective span in \mathcal{V}_{FSI} . Then there exist a $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{V}$, a homomorphism $\psi_B: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$, and an embedding $\psi_C: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ such that $\psi_B\varphi_B = \psi_C\varphi_C$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that \mathbf{C} is a subalgebra of \mathbf{D} and ψ_C is the inclusion map. By Lemma 2.5, there exist a $\mathbf{D}^* \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ and a surjective homomorphism $\chi: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^*$ such that $\ker(\chi) \cap C^2 = \Delta_C$. Let $\psi_B^* := \chi\psi_B$ and $\psi_C^* := \chi\psi_C$. Then $\ker(\psi_C^*) = \ker(\chi) \cap C^2 = \Delta_C$, so ψ_C^* is an embedding, and $\psi_B^*\varphi_B = \chi\psi_B\varphi_B = \chi\psi_C\varphi_C = \psi_C^*\varphi_C$. Hence \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the TIP. \square

Theorem 4.3. Let \mathcal{V} be a congruence-distributive variety such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras. Then \mathcal{V} has the transferable injections property if and only if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the transferable injections property.

Proof. The left-to-right direction follows directly from Lemma 4.2. For the converse, suppose that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the TIP. Then \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the 1AP and the EP, by Proposition 4.1, and hence \mathcal{V} has the CEP, by Theorem 2.7. It follows that \mathcal{V} has the AP, by Theorem 3.4. So \mathcal{V} has the TIP, by Proposition 4.1. \square

We now recall both a useful characterization of the SAP and a transfer theorem for SE.

Theorem 4.4 ([16]). Let \mathcal{K} be a quasivariety. Then \mathcal{K} has the strong amalgamation property if and only if \mathcal{K} has the amalgamation property and surjective epimorphisms.

Theorem 4.5 ([6, Theorem 22]). Let \mathcal{V} be an arithmetical variety such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is a universal class. Then \mathcal{V} has surjective epimorphisms if and only if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has surjective epimorphisms.

Combining these results with our Theorem 3.4, we obtain immediately the following equivalence for the SAP.

Corollary 4.6. Let \mathcal{V} be an arithmetical variety with the congruence extension property such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is a universal class. Then \mathcal{V} has the strong amalgamation property if and only if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the one-sided amalgamation property and surjective epimorphisms.

Note, however, that these results do not yield a transfer theorem for the SAP. To obtain such a theorem, at least in one direction, we make use of a well-known characterization of the SE property. Let \mathcal{K} be a class of similar algebras and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$. We say that \mathbf{A} is a \mathcal{K} -epic subalgebra of $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{K}$ if \mathbf{A} is a subalgebra of \mathbf{B} and for every $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{K}$ and all homomorphisms $\psi_1, \psi_2: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, if ψ_1 and ψ_2 are equal on their restriction to \mathbf{A} , then $\psi_1 = \psi_2$. It is easy to see that \mathbf{A} is a \mathcal{K} -epic subalgebra of \mathbf{B} if and only if \mathbf{A} is a subalgebra of \mathbf{B} and the inclusion homomorphism of \mathbf{A} into \mathbf{B} is an epimorphism in \mathcal{K} . The following result is folklore (see, e.g., [25, Lemma 3.1]), but we include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 4.7. Let \mathcal{K} be a class of similar algebras closed under subalgebras. Then \mathcal{K} has surjective epimorphisms if and only if no member of \mathcal{K} has a proper \mathcal{K} -epic subalgebra.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{K}$ and suppose that $\varphi: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is a non-surjective \mathcal{K} -epimorphism. Then $\varphi[\mathbf{A}]$ is a proper subalgebra of \mathbf{B} . Observe that if $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are homomorphisms that coincide on their restriction to $\varphi[\mathbf{A}]$, then $\psi_1\varphi = \psi_2\varphi$ and hence $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ since φ is an epimorphism. It follows that $\varphi[\mathbf{A}]$ is a proper \mathcal{K} -epic subalgebra of \mathbf{B} .

For the converse, let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{K}$ and suppose that \mathbf{A} is a proper \mathcal{K} -epic subalgebra of \mathbf{B} . Then $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ since \mathcal{K} is closed under subalgebras. Hence the inclusion map of \mathbf{A} into \mathbf{B} is a non-surjective \mathcal{K} -epimorphism between members of \mathcal{K} . \square

The following theorem strengthens a result from [12].

Theorem 4.8. Let \mathcal{V} be an arithmetical variety with the congruence extension property such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is a universal class. If every doubly injective span in \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has a strong amalgam in \mathcal{V} , then \mathcal{V} has the strong amalgamation property.

Proof. Suppose that every doubly injective span in \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has a strong amalgam in \mathcal{V} . Then \mathcal{V} has the AP, by Theorem 3.4. Hence, by Theorems 4.4 and 4.5, it is enough to show that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has SE, or, equivalently, by Lemma 4.7 that no member of \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has a proper \mathcal{V}_{FSI} -epic subalgebra. Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$, let \mathbf{A} be a proper subalgebra of \mathbf{B} , and let $\iota: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be the inclusion map. By assumption, the span $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}, \iota, \iota)$ has a strong amalgam $(\mathbf{D}, \psi_1, \psi_2)$ in \mathcal{V} . Let $\chi: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{D}_i$ be a subdirect representation of \mathbf{D} , so that in particular $\mathbf{D}_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ for each $i \in I$. Since \mathbf{D} is a strong amalgam, there exists an $i \in I$ such that $\chi\psi_1(i) \neq \chi\psi_2(i)$. On the other hand, because \mathbf{D} is an amalgam it follows that $\chi\psi_1\iota = \chi\psi_2\iota$. This implies that ι is not a \mathcal{K} -epimorphism, proving the theorem. \square

5. Decidability

To fix terminology, let us call a variety \mathcal{V} *finitely generated* if it is generated by a given finite set of finite algebras of finite signature. Let us recall also that a variety \mathcal{V} is *residually small* if there exists a bound on the size of its subdirectly irreducible members. It is known that if a residually small congruence-distributive variety \mathcal{V} has the AP, then it has the CEP [19, Corollary 2.11].

Consider any finitely generated congruence-distributive variety \mathcal{V} such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras. By Jónsson's Lemma [18], there exists and can be constructed a finite set $\mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}^* \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ of finite algebras such that each $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ is isomorphic to some $\mathbf{A}^* \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}^*$. Hence, by Theorem 2.3, it can be decided if \mathcal{V} has the CEP by checking if each member of $\mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}^*$ has the CEP. Since \mathcal{V} is clearly residually small, if \mathcal{V} does not have the CEP, it cannot have the AP. Otherwise, \mathcal{V} has the CEP and, by Theorem 3.4, it can be decided if \mathcal{V} has the AP by checking if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has the 1AP. Finally, if \mathcal{V} is also arithmetical, to check if \mathcal{V} has SE, it suffices to check if \mathcal{V}_{FSI} has SE [6, Theorem 22], and \mathcal{V} then has the SAP if and only if it has SE and the AP [16]. Hence we have established the following result.

Theorem 5.1. Let \mathcal{V} be a finitely generated congruence-distributive variety such that \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is closed under subalgebras. There exist effective algorithms to decide if \mathcal{V} has the congruence extension property, amalgamation property, or transferable injections property. If \mathcal{V} is also arithmetical, then there exist effective algorithms to decide if \mathcal{V} has surjective epimorphisms or the strong amalgamation property.

6. A Case Study: Varieties of BL-Algebras

BL-algebras, introduced by Hájek in [15] as an algebraic semantics for his basic fuzzy logic of continuous t-norms, have been studied intensively over the past twenty five years, largely in the framework of substructural logics and residuated lattices (see, e.g. [1, 2, 17, 23, 24]). In this section, we use the tools developed in previous sections to contribute to the development of a (still incomplete) description of the varieties of BL-algebras that have the AP.

A *BL-algebra* is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ satisfying

- (i) $\langle A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a bounded lattice with order $a \leq b : \iff a \wedge b = a$;
- (ii) $\langle A, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is a commutative monoid;
- (iii) \rightarrow is the residual of \cdot , i.e., $a \cdot b \leq c \iff b \leq a \rightarrow c$ for all $a, b, c \in A$;
- (iv) $a \wedge b = a \cdot (a \rightarrow b)$ and $(a \rightarrow b) \vee (b \rightarrow a) = 1$ for all $a, b \in A$.

The class of BL-algebras forms a congruence-distributive variety \mathcal{BL} with the CEP, and $\mathcal{BL}_{\text{FSI}}$ is the positive universal class consisting of all totally ordered BL-algebras (i.e., such that $\langle A, \leq \rangle$ in the preceding definition is a chain).

Notable subvarieties of \mathcal{BL} include (i) the variety \mathcal{MV} of *MV-algebras* consisting of BL-algebras satisfying $a \vee b = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b$ for all $a, b \in A$; (ii) the variety \mathcal{G} of *Gödel algebras* consisting of BL-algebras satisfying $a \wedge b = a \cdot b$ for all $a, b \in A$; (iii) the variety \mathcal{P} of *product algebras* consisting of BL-algebras satisfying $a \wedge (a \rightarrow 0) = 0$ and $(a \rightarrow 0) \vee ((a \rightarrow (a \cdot b)) \rightarrow b) = 1$ for all $a, b \in A$. The varieties \mathcal{MV} , \mathcal{G} , and \mathcal{P} are generated by algebras \mathbf{L} , \mathbf{G} , and \mathbf{P} of the form $\langle [0, 1], \min, \max, \star, \rightarrow_\star, 0, 1 \rangle$, where $x \star y$ is $\max(0, x + y - 1)$, $\min(x, y)$, and xy , respectively. Every totally ordered BL-algebra can be constructed as a certain ordinal sum of members of $\mathcal{MV}_{\text{FSI}}$ and \mathcal{P}_{FSI} [1, Theorem 3.7].

Let us briefly recall some further relevant facts about \mathcal{MV} , \mathcal{G} , and \mathcal{P} . First, given any totally ordered Abelian group $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, +, -, 0, \leq \rangle$ and $u \in L$ with $u \geq 0$, defining $a \cdot b := \max(a + b - u, 0)$ and $a \rightarrow b := \min(u - a + b, u)$ yields a totally ordered MV-algebra $\Gamma(\mathbf{L}, u) := \langle [0, u], \min, \max, \cdot, \rightarrow, 0, u \rangle$. Each proper non-trivial subvariety of \mathcal{MV} is generated by a non-empty finite set of algebras of the form $\mathbf{S}_n := \Gamma(\mathbf{Z}, n)$ or $\mathbf{S}_n^\omega := \Gamma(\mathbf{Z} \times_{\text{lex}} \mathbf{Z}, \langle n, 0 \rangle)$, where \mathbf{Z} is the ordered integer group, $\mathbf{Z} \times_{\text{lex}} \mathbf{Z}$ is the lexicographic product of \mathbf{Z} with \mathbf{Z} , and $n \in \mathbb{N}^{>0}$ [22, Theorem 4.11]. Notably, \mathbf{S}_1 generates the variety \mathcal{BA} of Boolean algebras, and \mathbf{S}_1^ω , known as the Chang algebra, generates a variety denoted by \mathcal{C} . Each proper non-trivial subvariety \mathcal{G}_n of \mathcal{G} is generated by the algebra $\mathbf{G}_n := \langle \{0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\}, \min, \max, \min, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}^{>0}$

and $a \rightarrow b$ is 1 if $a \leq b$, otherwise b . Finally, the only proper non-trivial subvariety of \mathcal{P} is \mathcal{BA} (which coincides with \mathcal{G}_2).

The non-trivial subvarieties of \mathcal{MV} , \mathcal{G} , and \mathcal{P} that have the AP are those generated by \mathbf{S}_n or \mathbf{S}_n^ω ($n \in \mathbb{N}^{>0}$), \mathcal{MV} , \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{G}_3 , and \mathcal{P} [11, Theorem 13]. Also, \mathcal{BL} has the AP [24, Theorem 3.7], and [2, Theorem 6] gives a precise characterization of the varieties of BL-algebras that have the AP and are generated by a totally ordered BL-algebra built as an ordinal sum of finitely many members of $\mathcal{MV}_{\text{FSI}}$ and \mathcal{P}_{FSI} . Here, we consider subvarieties of $\mathcal{BL}_1 := \mathcal{MV} \vee \mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{P}$, each of which is generated by a class of “one-component” totally ordered BL-algebras, i.e., members of $\mathcal{MV}_{\text{FSI}}$, \mathcal{G}_{FSI} , and \mathcal{P}_{FSI} .

Lemma 6.1. Let \mathcal{V} be a subvariety of \mathcal{BL}_1 satisfying $\mathcal{V} \not\subseteq \mathcal{MV}$ and $\mathbf{S}_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^{>1}$. Then \mathcal{V} does not have the amalgamation property.

Proof. Consider a doubly injective span $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \varphi_B, \varphi_C \rangle$ in \mathcal{V}_{FSI} , where \mathbf{A} is the two-element Boolean algebra, \mathbf{B} is $\mathbf{S}_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^{>1}$, and $\mathbf{C} \notin \mathcal{MV}$. Suppose that there exist a $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{FSI}}$ and an embedding ψ_C of \mathbf{C} into \mathbf{D} . Then also $\mathbf{D} \notin \mathcal{MV}$, so $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{FSI}} \cup \mathcal{P}_{\text{FSI}}$. Since \mathbf{B} is a finite totally ordered MV-algebra, it is simple. Hence any homomorphism $\psi_B: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is either trivial (i.e., maps all elements of \mathbf{B} to one element in \mathbf{D}), which is not possible, since \mathbf{D} is non-trivial, or injective, which is not possible, since \mathbf{B} does not embed into any Gödel chain or product chain. So \mathcal{V}_{FSI} does not have the 1AP and it follows, by Theorem 3.4, that \mathcal{V} does not have the AP. \square

Theorem 6.2. In addition to the varieties of MV-algebras generated by a single chain, there are precisely ten non-trivial subvarieties of \mathcal{BL}_1 that have the amalgamation property: \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{G}_3 , \mathcal{P} , $\mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{G}_3 \vee \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{G}_3 \vee \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{G}_3 \vee \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{V} be any non-trivial subvariety of \mathcal{BL}_1 . Then \mathcal{V}_{FSI} consists of members of \mathcal{G}_{FSI} , $\mathcal{MV}_{\text{FSI}}$, and \mathcal{P}_{FSI} . The cases where \mathcal{V}_{FSI} is included in one of these classes are clear from the previous remarks. Moreover, it follows from [2, Theorem 3.3] that if \mathcal{V} contains \mathbf{G}_n but not \mathbf{G} for some $n > 3$, then \mathcal{V} does not have the AP. Suppose now that $\mathcal{V} \not\subseteq \mathcal{MV}$ and $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$ is non-trivial. Then $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$ is either \mathcal{MV} or generated by a non-empty finite set of algebras of the form \mathbf{S}_n or \mathbf{S}_n^ω ($n \in \mathbb{N}^{>0}$). If $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV} \notin \{\mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{C}\}$, then, since \mathbf{S}_n is a subalgebra of \mathbf{S}_n^ω for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $\mathbf{S}_n \in \mathcal{V}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^{>1}$, and hence, by Lemma 6.1, that \mathcal{V} does not have the AP.

It remains therefore to show that each of $\mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{G}_3 \vee \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{G}_3 \vee \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{G}_3 \vee \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{C}$ has the AP. Clearly, the two-element Boolean

algebra \mathbf{G}_2 is the only non-trivial algebra common to the finitely subdirectly irreducible members of the varieties in these joins. Hence, by Corollary 3.6, it suffices to observe that \mathbf{G}_2 is a retract of any non-trivial member of \mathcal{G}_{FSI} , \mathcal{P}_{FSI} , and \mathcal{C}_{FSI} . This follows directly from a general result of [7, Theorem 4.5] describing BL-algebras with a Boolean retract, but we may also define a suitable retraction explicitly. Given any non-trivial $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{FSI}} \cup \mathcal{P}_{\text{FSI}} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\text{FSI}}$, define $h: A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ by mapping $a \in A$ to 0 if and only if $a^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $a^0 := 1$ and $a^{k+1} := a^k \cdot a$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). It is straightforward to verify that h is a retraction from \mathbf{A} onto \mathbf{G}_2 . \square

References

- [1] P. Aglianò and F. Montagna, *Varieties of BL-algebras I: General properties*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **181** (2003), 105–129.
- [2] S. Aguzzoli and M. Bianchi, *Amalgamation property for varieties of BL-algebras generated by one chain with finitely many components*, Proc. RAMiCS 2021, 2021, pp. 1–18.
- [3] P.D. Bacsich, *Injectivity in model theory*, Colloq. Math. **25** (1972), 165–176.
- [4] W.J. Blok and D. Pigozzi, *A finite basis theorem for quasivarieties*, Algebra Universalis **22** (1986), no. 1, 1–13.
- [5] S. Burris and H.P. Sankappanavar, *A course in universal algebra*, Springer, 1981.
- [6] M. Campercholi, *Dominions and primitive positive functions*, J. Symbolic Logic **83** (2018), 40–54.
- [7] R. Cignoli and A. Torrens, *Free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras with a Boolean retract.*, Algebra Universalis **48** (2002), 55–79.
- [8] J. Czelakowski and W. Dziobiak, *Congruence distributive quasivarieties whose finitely subdirectly irreducible members form a universal class*, Algebra Universalis **27** (1990), 128–149.
- [9] J. Czelakowski and D. Pigozzi, *Amalgamation and interpolation in abstract algebraic logic*, Models, algebras, and proofs, 1999, pp. 187–265.
- [10] B.A. Davey, *Weak injectivity and congruence extension in congruence-distributive equational classes*, Canad. J. Math. **29** (1977), no. 3, 449–459.
- [11] A. Di Nola and A. Lettieri, *One chain generated varieties of MV-algebras*, J. Algebra **225** (2000), no. 2, 667–697.
- [12] W. Fussner and N. Galatos, *Conic idempotent residuated structures: Amalgamation and epimorphism-surjectivity*, 2022. Manuscript.
- [13] G. Grätzer, *A note on the amalgamation property. abstract.*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1975), 453.

- [14] G. Grätzer and H. Lakser, *The structure of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices II: Congruence extension and amalgamation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **156** (1971), 343–358.
- [15] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of fuzzy logic*, Kluwer, 1998.
- [16] J.R. Isbell, *Epimorphisms and dominions*, Proceedings of the conference on categorical algebra, 1965, pp. 232–246.
- [17] P. Jipsen and F. Montagna, *Embedding theorems for classes of GBL-algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), no. 9, 1559–1575.
- [18] B. Jónsson, *Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive*, Math. Scand. **21** (1967), 110–121 (1968).
- [19] K.A. Kearnes, *On the relationship between AP, RS and CEP*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989), no. 4, 827–839.
- [20] E.W. Kiss, *Injectivity and related concepts in modular varieties II: The congruence extension property*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **32** (1985), 45–53.
- [21] E.W. Kiss, L. Márki, P. Pröhle, and W. Tholen, *Categorical algebraic properties. A compendium of amalgamation, congruence extension, epimorphisms, residual smallness, and injectivity*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. **18** (1983), 79–141.
- [22] Y. Komori, *Super Łukasiewicz propositional logics*, Nagoya Math. J. **84** (1981), 119–133.
- [23] G. Metcalfe, F. Montagna, and C. Tsınakis, *Amalgamation and interpolation in ordered algebras*, J. Algebra **402** (2014), 21–82.
- [24] F. Montagna, *Interpolation and Beth’s property in many-valued logic: a semantic investigation*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **141** (2006), 148–179.
- [25] T. Moraschini and J.J. Wannenburg, *Epimorphism surjectivity in varieties of Heyting algebras*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **171** (2020).
- [26] S. Santschi, *Semilinear idempotent distributive l-monoids*, Master’s Thesis, 2022.