

ON THE r -FREE VALUES OF THE POLYNOMIAL $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k$

GONGRUI CHEN, WENXIAO WANG*

ABSTRACT. Let k be a fixed integer. We study the asymptotic formula of $R(H, r, k)$, which is the number of positive integer solutions $1 \leq x, y, z \leq H$ such that the polynomial $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k$ is r -free. We obtained the asymptotic formula of $R(H, r, k)$ for all $r \geq 2$. Our result is new even in the case $r = 2$. We proved that $R(H, 2, k) = c_k H^3 + O(H^{9/4+\varepsilon})$, where $c_k > 0$ is a constant depending on k . This improves upon the error term $O(H^{7/3+\varepsilon})$ obtained by Zhou and Ding [13].

1. INTRODUCTION

There exists an outstanding conjecture that the polynomial $x^2 + 1$ contains infinitely many primes. Iwaniec [6] proved there are infinitely many n such that $n^2 + 1$ has at most two prime factors. So far, various authors considered the square-free values of some specific polynomials with integer coefficients. In 1931, Estermann [3] showed that

$$\sum_{1 \leq x \leq H} \mu^2(x^2 + 1) = c_0 H + O(H^{2/3+\varepsilon}),$$

where c_0 is an absolute constant. This error term was improved to $O(H^{7/12+\varepsilon})$ by Heath-Brown [4]. Carlitz [2] studied the polynomial $x^2 + x$ and established that

$$\sum_{1 \leq x \leq H} \mu^2(x^2 + x) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^2}\right) H + O(H^{2/3+\varepsilon}).$$

Later, Heath-Brown [5] improved the error term $O(H^{2/3+\varepsilon})$ to $O(H^{7/11} \log^7 H)$ which was further improved by Reuss [11] to $O(H^{0.578+\varepsilon})$. Mirsky [8] studied the square-free values of the polynomial $(x + a_1)(x + a_2)$.

In 2012 Tolev [12] considered the square-free values of a polynomial in two variables. Let $S_2(H)$ stand for the number of the square-free values of $x^2 + y^2 + 1$ with $1 \leq x, y \leq H$. Using Weil's estimate for the Kloosterman sum, Tolev proved that

$$S_2(H) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_2(p^2)}{p^4}\right) H^2 + O(H^{4/3+\varepsilon}),$$

where $\lambda_2(q)$ is the number of the integer solutions to the following congruence equation

$$x^2 + y^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}, \quad 1 \leq x, y \leq q.$$

* Corresponding author.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11N25, 11L05, 11L40.

Keywords: square-free, Salié sum, asymptotic formula.

This work is supported by NSFC grant 11922113.

In 2022 Zhou and Ding [13] studied the square-free values of the polynomial $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k$, where k is a fixed (nonzero) integer. Let

$$S_3(H, k) = \sum_{1 \leq x, y, z \leq H} \mu^2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k). \quad (1.1)$$

It was proved in [13] that

$$S_3(H, k) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p^2; k)}{p^6}\right) H^3 + O(H^{7/3+\varepsilon}), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\lambda(q; k)$ is defined as

$$\lambda(q; k) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y, z \leq q \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} 1. \quad (1.3)$$

In addition, some articles are devoted to the r -free values of polynomials in one variable. Note that for $r \geq 2$, an integer n is r -free if $p^r \nmid n$ for all primes p . Let $S(H, r)$ be the number of integers $x \leq H$ such that $x^2 + x$ is r -free. In 1932, Carlitz [2] obtained

$$S(H, r) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^r}\right) H + O(H^{2/(r+1)+\varepsilon}).$$

This above error term was improved to $O(H^{14/(7r+8)+\varepsilon})$ by Brandes [1] generalizing Heath-Brown's method in [5]. Brandes' result was further improved by Reuss [11]. Furthermore, Reuss [11] established a more general result

$$S_{r,h}(H) = c_{r,h} H + O(H^{v(r)+\varepsilon}),$$

where $S_{r,h}(H)$ stands for the number of integers $x \leq H$ such that x and $x+h$ are r -free, $c_{r,h}$ is a constant depending on r and h , and $v(2) = 0.578$ while $v(r) = 169/(144r)$ for $r \geq 3$. Also, the r -free values of the polynomial $(x+a_1)(x+a_2)$ was considered by Mirsky [9] who gave the error term $O(H^{2/(r+1)+\varepsilon})$.

Inspired by the above works, we study the r -free values of $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k$. We define

$$R(H, r, k) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y, z \leq H \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k \text{ is } r\text{-free}}} 1. \quad (1.4)$$

The main result of this paper is to obtain the asymptotic formula of $R(H, r, k)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $r \geq 2$ be a natural number. Let k be a fixed integer. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary small positive number. We have the following results.*

(i) For $k \neq 0$,

$$R(H, r, k) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p^r; k)}{p^{3r}}\right) H^3 + O(H^2 + H^{3/2+3/2r+\varepsilon}). \quad (1.5)$$

(ii)

$$R(H, r, 0) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p^r; 0)}{p^{3r}}\right) H^3 + O(H^{2+\varepsilon} + H^{9r/(5r-2)+\varepsilon}). \quad (1.6)$$

Note that $R(H, 2, k)$ is exactly $S_3(H, k)$ defined in (1.1). In fact, Theorem 1.1 is also new in the case $r = 2$. We have the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let k be a fixed integer. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary small positive number. We have*

$$S_3(H, k) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p^2; k)}{p^6} \right) H^3 + O(H^{9/4+\varepsilon}).$$

Theorem 1.2 improves upon (1.2) obtained by Zhou and Ding [13].

2. NOTATIONS AND SOME LEMMAS

Let H be a sufficiently large positive number. The letters k, m, n, l, a, b, c stand for integers and $d, r, h, q, x, y, z, \alpha, \rho$ stand for positive integers. The letters η, ξ denote real numbers and the letter p is reserved for primes. By ε we denote an arbitrary small positive number. Throughout this paper, k and $r \geq 2$ are fixed integers, and the implied constants may depend on k, r and ε .

As usual, the functions $\mu(n)$ and $\tau(n)$ represent the Möbius function and the number of positive divisors of n , respectively. We write (n_1, \dots, n_u) for the greatest common divisor of n_1, \dots, n_u . Let $\|\xi\|$ be the distance from ξ to its nearest integer. Further $e(t) = \exp(2\pi it)$ and $e_q(t) = e(t/q)$. For any q and x such that $(q, x) = 1$ we denote by \bar{x}_q the inverse of x modulo q . If the modulus q is clear from the context then we write for simplicity \bar{x} . For any odd q we denote by $\left(\frac{\cdot}{q}\right)$ the Jacobi symbol.

We introduce the Gauss sum

$$G(q; n, m) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq q} e_q(nx^2 + mx), \quad G(q; n) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq q} e_q(nx^2). \quad (2.1)$$

First, we introduce some basic properties of the Gauss sum.

Lemma 2.1. *For the Gauss sum we have*

(i) *If $(q, n) = d$ then*

$$G(q; n, m) = \begin{cases} dG(q/d; n/d, m/d) & \text{if } d \mid m, \\ 0 & \text{if } d \nmid m. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If $(q, 2n) = 1$ then*

$$G(q; n, m) = e_q\left(-\overline{(4n)}m^2\right) \left(\frac{n}{q}\right) G(q; 1).$$

(iii) *If $(q, 2) = 1$ then*

$$G^2(q; 1) = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} q.$$

We introduce the Salié sum

$$S(c; a, b) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq c \\ (x, c) = 1}} \left(\frac{x}{c}\right) e_c(ax + b\bar{x}). \quad (2.2)$$

It is easy to see that

$$S(c; a, b) = S(c; b, a). \quad (2.3)$$

The following result comes from Corollary 4.10 in [7].

Lemma 2.2. *Let p be an odd prime. Let α be a positive integer. If $p \nmid a$ (or $p \nmid b$), then*

$$|S(p^\alpha; a, b)| \leq \tau(p^\alpha) p^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \quad (2.4)$$

We define

$$T(c; a, \rho) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq c \\ (x, c) = 1}} \left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^\rho e_c(ax). \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let p be an odd prime. Let α and ρ be positive integers. Suppose that $p \nmid a$. One has*

$$T(p^\alpha; a, \rho) = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \geq 2,$$

and

$$|T(p; a, \rho)| \leq p^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. We first consider the case $\alpha = 1$. Note that $T(p; a; \rho)$ is either the Gauss sum or the Ramanujan sum, and we have $|T(p; a, \rho)| \leq p^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Now we consider the case $\alpha \geq 2$. We write $x = yp + z$ to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} T(p^\alpha; a, \rho) &= \sum_{0 \leq y \leq p^{\alpha-1}-1} \sum_{0 \leq z \leq p-1} \left(\frac{z}{p}\right)^\rho e_{p^\alpha}(apy + az) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq z \leq p-1} \left(\frac{z}{p}\right)^\rho e_{p^\alpha}(az) \sum_{0 \leq y \leq p^{\alpha-1}-1} e_{p^{\alpha-1}}(ay) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let p be an odd prime and let α be a positive integer. One has*

$$|S(p^\alpha; a, 0)| \leq p^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (p^\alpha, a)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Note that (2.6) holds trivially when $p^\alpha | a$. We only need to consider $p^\alpha \nmid a$. We assume that $p^\beta || a$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha - 1$. Then we write $a = p^\beta a'$ with $p \nmid a'$. We have

$$S(p^\alpha; a, 0) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq p^\alpha \\ (x, p) = 1}} \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)^\alpha e_{p^{\alpha-\beta}}(a'x).$$

We write $x = yp^{\alpha-\beta} + z$ to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} S(p^\alpha; a, 0) &= \sum_{0 \leq y \leq p^\beta-1} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq z \leq p^{\alpha-\beta}-1 \\ (z, p) = 1}} \left(\frac{z}{p}\right)^\alpha e_{p^{\alpha-\beta}}(a'z) \\ &= p^\beta \sum_{\substack{0 \leq z \leq p^{\alpha-\beta}-1 \\ (z, p) = 1}} \left(\frac{z}{p}\right)^\alpha e_{p^{\alpha-\beta}}(a'z) \\ &= p^\beta T(p^{\alpha-\beta}; a', \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \nmid a'$, we conclude from Lemma 2.3 that

$$|S(p^\alpha; a, 0)| \leq p^{\beta + \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}} = p^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}} = p^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (p^\alpha, a)^{1/2}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Let

$$\lambda(q; n, m, l, k) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y, z \leq q \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} e_q(nx + my + lz). \quad (2.7)$$

Lemma 2.5 (Lemma 2.2 [13]). *Suppose that $(q_1, q_2) = 1$. One has*

$$\lambda(q_1 q_2; n, m, l, k) = \lambda(q_1; \overline{q_2}_{q_1} n, \overline{q_2}_{q_1} m, \overline{q_2}_{q_1} l, k) \lambda(q_2; \overline{q_1}_{q_2} n, \overline{q_1}_{q_2} m, \overline{q_1}_{q_2} l, k).$$

In particular, we have

$$\lambda(q_1 q_2; k) = \lambda(q_1; k) \lambda(q_2; k).$$

Now we apply Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 to obtain an upper bound of $\lambda(q; n, m, l, k)$.

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that $p^r \parallel q$ for all primes $p|q$.*

(i) *If $k \neq 0$, then we have*

$$\lambda(q; n, m, l, k) \ll q^{1+\varepsilon}(q, n, m, l). \quad (2.8)$$

(ii) *One has (for $k = 0$)*

$$\lambda(q; n, m, l, 0) \ll q^{1+\varepsilon}(q, n, m, l)(q, n^2 + m^2 + l^2)^{1/2}. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. We write $q = p_1^r p_2^r \dots p_s^r$, where $p_i (1 \leq i \leq s)$ are distinct primes. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\lambda(q; n, m, l, k) = \prod_{i=1}^s \lambda(p_i^r; n \overline{q_i}, m \overline{q_i}, l \overline{q_i}, k), \quad (2.10)$$

where $q_i = q/p_i^r$ and $\overline{q_i}$ stands for the inverse of q_i modulo p_i^r .

Let $n_i = n \overline{q_i}$, $m_i = m \overline{q_i}$ and $l_i = l \overline{q_i}$. Note that $(p_i^r, \overline{q_i}) = 1$, so we have $(p_i^r, n_i, m_i, l_i) = (p_i^r, n, m, l)$ and $(p_i^r, n_i^2 + m_i^2 + l_i^2) = (p_i^r, n^2 + m^2 + l^2)$. Therefore, we only need to prove

$$\lambda(p^r; u, v, w, k) \ll p^{r+\varepsilon}(p^r, u, v, w) \quad \text{for } k \neq 0, \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\lambda(p^r; u, v, w, 0) \ll p^{r+\varepsilon}(p^r, u, v, w)(p^r, u^2 + v^2 + w^2)^{1/2}. \quad (2.12)$$

One has the trivial bound $|\lambda(p^r; u, v, w, k)| \leq p^{3r}$. Since the implied constants were allowed to depend on r and k , we assume that p is odd and we further assume that $p \nmid k$ if $k \neq 0$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(p^r; u, v, w, k) &= p^{-r} \sum_{1 \leq x, y, z \leq p^r} e_{p^r}(ux + vy + wz) \sum_{1 \leq h \leq p^r} e_{p^r}(h(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k)) \\ &= p^{-r} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq p^r} e_{p^r}(hk) G(p^r; h, u) G(p^r; h, v) G(p^r; h, w) \\ &= p^{-r} \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq r} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq p^r \\ (h, p^r) = p^\beta}} e_{p^r}(hk) G(p^r; h, u) G(p^r; h, v) G(p^r; h, w). \end{aligned}$$

We write $h = h'p^\beta$ with $1 \leq h' \leq p^{r-\beta}$ and $(h', p^{r-\beta}) = 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda(p^r; u, v, w, k) \\ &= p^{-r} \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq r} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h' \leq p^{r-\beta} \\ (h', p^{r-\beta}) = 1}} e_{p^{r-\beta}}(h'k) G(p^r; h'p^\beta, u) G(p^r; h'p^\beta, v) G(p^r; h'p^\beta, w). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

By Lemma 2.1 (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G(p^r; h, u) G(p^r; h, v) G(p^r; h, w) \\ &= p^{3\beta} G(p^{r-\beta}; h', up^{-\beta}) G(p^{r-\beta}; h', vp^{-\beta}) G(p^{r-\beta}; h', wp^{-\beta}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

if $p^\beta \mid (u, v, w)$, and $G(p^r; h, u) G(p^r; h, v) G(p^r; h, w) = 0$ if $p^\beta \nmid (u, v, w)$. When p is odd and $p^\beta \mid (u, v, w)$, by Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G(p^{r-\beta}; h', up^{-\beta}) G(p^{r-\beta}; h', vp^{-\beta}) G(p^{r-\beta}; h', wp^{-\beta}) \\ &= \left(\frac{h'}{p^{r-\beta}} \right)^3 G(p^{r-\beta}, 1)^3 e_{p^{r-\beta}} \left(-\overline{(4h')}_{p^{r-\beta}} (u^2 + v^2 + w^2) p^{-2\beta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

From (2.13)-(2.15), we obtain

$$\lambda(p^r; u, v, w, k) = p^{-r} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \beta \leq r \\ p^\beta \mid (u, v, w)}} p^{3\beta} G(p^{r-\beta}, 1)^3 S \left(p^{r-\beta}; k, -4 \frac{u^2 + v^2 + w^2}{p^{2\beta}} \right). \quad (2.16)$$

We first deal with the case $k \neq 0$. As mentioned above, p is odd and $p \nmid k$, then by Lemma 2.1 (iii) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\lambda(p^r; u, v, w, k) \ll p^{-r} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \beta \leq r \\ p^\beta \mid (p^r, u, v, w)}} p^{3\beta} p^{\frac{3}{2}(r-\beta)} \tau(p^{r-\beta}) p^{\frac{1}{2}(r-\beta)} \ll p^{r+\varepsilon}(p^r, u, v, w).$$

This establishes (2.11). Now we consider the case $k = 0$. By Lemma 2.1 (iii) and Lemma 2.4, we deduce from (2.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(p^r; u, v, w, 0) &\ll p^{-r} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \beta \leq r \\ p^\beta \mid (p^r, u, v, w)}} p^{3\beta} p^{\frac{3}{2}(r-\beta)} p^{\frac{1}{2}(r-\beta)} \left(p^{r-\beta}, \frac{u^2 + v^2 + w^2}{p^{2\beta}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\ll p^{r+\varepsilon}(p^r, u, v, w) (p^r, u^2 + v^2 + w^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes (2.12). The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Lemma 2.7 (Lemma 2.5 [13]). *Let $Q \geq 2$. Let $q = d^r$ with d odd and square-free. We define*

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(Q, q) &= \sum_{1 \leq n \leq Q} \frac{|\lambda(q; n, 0, 0, k)|}{n}, \\ U_2(Q, q) &= \sum_{1 \leq n, m \leq Q} \frac{|\lambda(q; n, m, 0, k)|}{nm}, \\ U_3(Q, q) &= \sum_{1 \leq n, m, l \leq Q} \frac{|\lambda(q; n, m, l, k)|}{nml}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $k \neq 0$. For $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we have

$$U_i(Q, q) \ll q^{1+\varepsilon} Q^\varepsilon.$$

Lemma 2.8 (Lemma 4.7 [10]). For any real number ξ and all integers N_1, N_2 with $N_1 < N_2$,

$$\sum_{n=N_1+1}^{N_2} e(\xi n) \ll \min\{N_2 - N_1, \|\xi\|^{-1}\}.$$

Now we introduce

$$N_1(H, q, k) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{1 \leq t \leq q-1} \lambda(q; -t, 0, 0, k) \sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} e_q(ht), \quad (2.17)$$

$$N_2(H, q, k) = \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{1 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq q-1} \lambda(q; -t_1, -t_2, 0, k) \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{1 \leq h_i \leq H} e_q(h_i t_i) \right), \quad (2.18)$$

$$N_3(H, q, k) = \frac{1}{q^3} \sum_{1 \leq t_1, t_2, t_3 \leq q-1} \lambda(q; -t_1, -t_2, -t_3, k) \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\sum_{1 \leq h_i \leq H} e_q(h_i t_i) \right). \quad (2.19)$$

Lemma 2.9. Let $H \geq 2$. Let d be odd and square-free. Suppose that $d^r \ll H^2$. If $k \neq 0$, then for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ we have

$$N_i(H, d^r, k) \ll d^{r+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} e_{d^r}(ht) \ll \left\| \frac{t}{d^r} \right\|^{-1}.$$

Then we obtain

$$N_i(H, d^r, k) \ll U_i\left(\frac{d^r - 1}{2}, d^r\right).$$

The desired estimate follows from Lemma 2.7 directly. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let

$$D(H, q, k) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y, z \leq H \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} 1.$$

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $q \ll H^2$. Then we have

$$D(H, q, k) \ll q^{-1} H^{3+\varepsilon}. \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, one has

$$\lambda(q; k) \ll q^{2+\varepsilon}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. The proof is standard and we include the details for completeness. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
D(H, q, k) &= \sum_{|t| \leq \frac{3H^2 + |k|}{q}} \sum_{1 \leq z \leq H} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y \leq H \\ x^2 + y^2 = qt - z^2 - k}} 1 \\
&\ll \sum_{|t| \leq \frac{3H^2 + |k|}{q}} \sum_{1 \leq z \leq H} H^\varepsilon \\
&\ll q^{-1} H^{3+\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

This establishes (3.1). Note that $\lambda(q; k) = D(q, q, k)$. The estimate (3.2) follows immediately from (3.1). This completes the proof. \square

Now we represent $R(H, r, k)$ in terms of $D(H, q, k)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $1 \leq \eta \leq (3H^2 + |k|)^{1/r}$. Then we have*

$$R(H, r, k) = \sum_{d \leq \eta} \mu(d) D(H, d^r, k) + O(H^{3+\varepsilon} \eta^{1-r}).$$

Proof. The starting point is to apply the following identity

$$\sum_{d^r | n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is } r\text{-free,} \\ 0, & \text{if } n \neq 0 \text{ is not } r\text{-free.} \end{cases}$$

Then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
R(H, r, k) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y, z \leq H \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k \neq 0}} \sum_{d^r | x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k} \mu(d) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq d \leq (3H^2 + |k|)^{1/r}} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y, z \leq H \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k \equiv 0 \pmod{d^r} \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k \neq 0}} 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y, z \leq H \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k \neq 0}} 1 = D(H, q, k) + O(1).$$

Now we conclude from above that

$$\begin{aligned}
R(H, r, k) &= \sum_{1 \leq d \leq (3H^2 + |k|)^{1/r}} \mu(d) D(H, d^r, k) + \sum_{1 \leq d \leq (3H^2 + |k|)^{1/r}} O(1) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq d \leq (3H^2 + |k|)^{1/r}} \mu(d) D(H, d^r, k) + O(H^{2/r}).
\end{aligned}$$

Splitting the above summation into two parts, we obtain

$$R(H, r, k) = \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} \mu(d) D(H, d^r, k) + \sum_{\eta < d \leq (3H^2 + |k|)^{1/r}} \mu(d) D(H, d^r, k) + O(H^{2/r}).$$

On applying Lemma 3.1, we further obtain

$$R(H, r, k) = \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} \mu(d) D(H, d^r, k) + O(H^{3+\varepsilon} \eta^{1-r}).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we deal with $D(H, q, k)$ for $q \leq \eta^r$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\lambda(q; k)$ be defined in (1.3) and let $N_i(H, q, k)$ be defined in (2.17)-(2.19). We have*

$$D(H, q, k) = \frac{H^3}{q^3} \lambda(q; k) + 3 \frac{H^2}{q^2} N_1(H, q, k) + 3 \frac{H}{q} N_2(H, q, k) + N_3(H, q, k). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Note that

$$D(H, q, k) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y, z \leq q \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n, l \leq H \\ m \equiv x \pmod{q} \\ n \equiv y \pmod{q} \\ l \equiv z \pmod{q}}} 1.$$

By orthogonality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq H \\ m \equiv x \pmod{q}}} 1 &= q^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq H} \sum_{1 \leq t \leq q} e_q((h-x)t) \\ &= q^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq t \leq q} e_q(-xt) \sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} e_q(ht) \\ &= Hq^{-1} + q^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq t \leq q-1} e_q(-xt) \sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} e_q(ht). \end{aligned}$$

Now we conclude from above that

$$D(H, q, k) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y, z \leq q \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \left(\frac{H^3}{q^3} + 3 \frac{H^2}{q^2} L_1(x) + 3 \frac{H}{q} L_2(x, y) + L_3(x, y, z) \right), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$L_1(x) := L_1(x; q, H) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{1 \leq t \leq q-1} e_q(-xt) \sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} e_q(ht),$$

$$L_2(x, y) := L_2(x, y; q, H) = \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{1 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq q-1} e_q(-xt_1 - yt_2) \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{1 \leq h_i \leq H} e_q(h_i t_i) \right),$$

and

$$L_3(x, y, z) := L_3(x, y, z; q, H) = \frac{1}{q^3} \sum_{1 \leq t_1, t_2, t_3 \leq q-1} e_q(-xt_1 - yt_2 - zt_3) \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\sum_{1 \leq h_i \leq H} e_q(h_i t_i) \right).$$

For convenience, we write $L_1(x, y, z; q, H) = L_1(x; q, H)$ and $L_2(x, y, z; q, H) = L_2(x, y; q, H)$. By exchanging the order of summations and recalling the definitions of $\lambda(q; n, m, l, k)$ and

$N_i(H, q, k)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y, z \leq q \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} L_i(x, y, z; q, H) = N_i(H, q, k). \quad (3.5)$$

Now (3.3) follows from (3.4) and (3.5). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first deal with the case $k \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 3.3, we can get

$$D(H, d^r, k) = H^3 \frac{\lambda(d^r; k)}{d^{3r}} + O(H^2 d^{\varepsilon-r} + H d^\varepsilon + d^{r+\varepsilon}).$$

By Lemma 3.2, we find that

$$R(H, r, k) = H^3 \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} \frac{\mu(d) \lambda(d^r; k)}{d^{3r}} + O(H^2 + H \eta^{1+\varepsilon} + \eta^{r+1+\varepsilon} + H^{3+\varepsilon} \eta^{1-r}),$$

and then by (3.2), we have

$$R(H, r, k) = H^3 \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d) \lambda(d^r; k)}{d^{3r}} + O(H^2 + H \eta^{1+\varepsilon} + \eta^{r+1+\varepsilon} + H^{3+\varepsilon} \eta^{1-r}).$$

Now we choose $\eta = H^{3/2r}$ to conclude that

$$R(H, r, k) = H^3 \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d) \lambda(d^r; k)}{d^{3r}} + O(H^2 + H^{3/2+3/2r+\varepsilon}).$$

Since $\lambda(q; k)$ is multiplicative as a function of q , we obtain (for $k \neq 0$) that

$$R(H, r, k) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p^r; k)}{p^{3r}} \right) H^3 + O(H^2 + H^{3/2+3/2r+\varepsilon}),$$

and this completes the proof of (1.5).

From now on, we consider the case $k = 0$. We introduce

$$T_1(H, \eta) = \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{2r}} N_1(H, d^r, 0),$$

$$T_2(H, \eta) = \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^r} N_2(H, d^r, 0),$$

and

$$T_3(H, \eta) = \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} \mu(d) N_3(H, d^r, 0).$$

By Lemma 3.2 and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R(H, r, 0) \\ &= H^3 \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} \frac{\mu(d) \lambda(d^r; 0)}{d^{3r}} + 3H^2 T_1(H, \eta) + 3HT_2(H, \eta) + T_3(H, \eta) + O(H^{3+\varepsilon} \eta^{1-r}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Recalling the definition of $N_1(H, d^r, 0)$ in (2.17), we deduce from (2.9) and Lemma 2.8 that

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(H, \eta) &\ll \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} d^{-2r} \sum_{1 \leq |t| \leq \frac{d^r-1}{2}} \frac{|\lambda(d^r; t, 0, 0, 0)|}{|t|} \\ &\ll \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} d^{-r+\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq |t| \leq \frac{d^r-1}{2}} \frac{(d^r, t)(d^r, t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|t|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(d^r, t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq d^{r/2}$, we have

$$T_1(H, \eta) \ll \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} d^{-\frac{r}{2}+\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq |t| \leq \frac{d^r-1}{2}} \frac{(d^r, t)}{t}.$$

From the following elementary estimate

$$\sum_{1 \leq t \leq \frac{d^r-1}{2}} \frac{(d^r, t)}{t} \ll d^\varepsilon,$$

we conclude that (for $r \geq 2$)

$$T_1(H, \eta) \ll \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} d^{-\frac{r}{2}+\varepsilon} \ll \eta^\varepsilon. \quad (3.7)$$

Recalling (2.18), we deduce from (2.9) and Lemma 2.8 that

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(H, \eta) &\ll \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} d^{-r} \sum_{1 \leq |t_1|, |t_2| \leq \frac{d^r-1}{2}} \frac{|\lambda(d^r; t_1, t_2, 0, 0)|}{|t_1 t_2|} \\ &\ll \eta^\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} \sum_{1 \leq |t_1|, |t_2| \leq \frac{d^r-1}{2}} \frac{(d^r, t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{1/2} (d^r, t_1, t_2)}{|t_1 t_2|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(d^r, t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{1/2} \leq d^{\frac{r-2}{2}} (d, t_1^2 + t_2^2) \leq \eta^{\frac{r-2}{2}} (d, t_1^2 + t_2^2)$ and $(d^r, t_1, t_2) \leq (t_1, t_2)$, we deduce that

$$T_2(H, \eta) \ll \eta^{\frac{r-2}{2}+\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} \sum_{1 \leq |t_1|, |t_2| \leq \frac{d^r-1}{2}} (d, t_1^2 + t_2^2) \frac{(t_1, t_2)}{t_1 t_2}.$$

By exchanging the order of summations, we have

$$T_2(H, \eta) \ll \eta^{\frac{r-2}{2}+\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq \eta^t} \frac{(t_1, t_2)}{t_1 t_2} \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} (d, t_1^2 + t_2^2). \quad (3.8)$$

Now we easily obtain

$$T_2(H, \eta) \ll \eta^{\frac{r}{2}+\varepsilon}. \quad (3.9)$$

We estimate the sum $T_3(H, \eta)$ in same way as follows

$$T_3(H, \eta) \ll \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} d^{r+\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq |t_1|, |t_2|, |t_3| \leq \frac{d^r-1}{2}} \frac{(d^r, t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2)^{1/2} (d^r, t_1, t_2, t_3)}{|t_1 t_2 t_3|},$$

and by $(d^r, t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2)^{1/2} \leq d^{\frac{r-2}{2}}(d, t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2) \leq \eta^{\frac{r-2}{2}}(d, t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2)$ and $(d^r, t_1, t_2, t_3) \leq (t_1, t_2, t_3)$, we have

$$T_3(H, \eta) \ll \eta^{\frac{3r-2}{2}+\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} \sum_{1 \leq |t_1|, |t_2|, |t_3| \leq \frac{d^r-1}{2}} (d, t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2) \frac{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}{t_1 t_2 t_3}.$$

By a similar argument as in (3.8), we finally obtain

$$T_3(H, \eta) \ll \eta^{3r/2+\varepsilon}. \quad (3.10)$$

Now we combine (3.6)-(3.10) to conclude that

$$R(H, r, 0) = H^3 \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \eta} \frac{\mu(d)\lambda(d^r; 0)}{d^{3r}} + O(H^{2+\varepsilon} + H\eta^{\frac{r}{2}+\varepsilon} + \eta^{\frac{3r}{2}+\varepsilon} + H^{3+\varepsilon}\eta^{1-r}).$$

Then by (3.2), we get

$$R(H, r, 0) = H^3 \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)\lambda(d^r; 0)}{d^{3r}} + O(H^{2+\varepsilon} + H\eta^{\frac{r}{2}+\varepsilon} + \eta^{\frac{3r}{2}+\varepsilon} + H^{3+\varepsilon}\eta^{1-r}).$$

Since $\lambda(q; 0)$ is multiplicative, we obtain by choosing $\eta = H^{6/(5r-2)}$ that

$$R(H, r, 0) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p^r; 0)}{p^{3r}} \right) H^3 + O(H^{2+\varepsilon} + H^{9r/(5r-2)+\varepsilon}).$$

This establishes (1.6). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Brandes, *Twins of the s-free numbers*, Diploma thesis, Stuttgart, 2009.
- [2] L. Carlitz, *On a problem in additive arithmetic*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 3 (1932) 273-290.
- [3] T. Estermann, *Einige sätze über quadratfreie zahlen*, Math. Ann. 105 (1931) 653-662.
- [4] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Square-free values of $n^2 + 1$* , Acta Arith. 155 (2012) 1-13.
- [5] D. R. Heath-Brown, *The square sieve and consecutive square-free numbers*, Math. Ann. 266 (1984) 251-259.
- [6] H. Iwaniec, *Almost-primes represented by quadratic polynomials*, Invent. Math. 47 (1978) 171-188.
- [7] H. Iwaniec, *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1997.
- [8] L. Mirsky, *On the frequency of pairs of square-free numbers with a given difference*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949) 936-939.
- [9] L. Mirsky, *Note on an asymptotic formula connected with r-free integers*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 18 (1947) 178-182.
- [10] M. B. Nathanson, *Addictive Number Theory: The Classical Bases*, Springer, 1996.
- [11] T. Reuss, *The Determinant Method and Applications*, PhD thesis, Oxford, 2015.
- [12] D. I. Tolev, *On the number of pairs of positive integers $x, y \leq H$ such that $x^2 + y^2 + 1$ is square-free*, Monatsh. Math. 165 (2012) 557-567.
- [13] G.-L. Zhou, Y. Ding, *On the square-free values of the polynomial $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + k$* , Journal of Number Theory. 236 (2022) 308-322.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN, SHANDONG 250100, CHINA
 Email address: cgr4258@gmail.com(G.-R. Chen), wxwang@mail.sdu.edu.cn(W.-X. Wang)