

Components and Cycles of Random Mappings

STEVEN FINCH

May 11, 2022

ABSTRACT. Each connected component of a mapping $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ contains a unique cycle. The largest such component can be studied probabilistically via either a delay differential equation or an inverse Laplace transform. The longest such cycle likewise admits two approaches: we find an (apparently new) density formula for its length. Implications of a constraint – that exactly one component exists – are also examined. For instance, the mean length of the longest cycle is $(0.7824\dots)\sqrt{n}$ in general, but for the special case, it is $(0.7978\dots)\sqrt{n}$, a difference of less than 2%.

Two delay differential equations (DDEs) shall be helpful:

$$x \rho'(x) + \rho(x - 1) = 0 \text{ for } x > 1, \quad \rho(x) = 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

where ρ is Dickman's function [1, 2, 3], and

$$x \sigma'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x - 1) = 0 \text{ for } x > 1, \quad \sigma(x) = 1/\sqrt{x} \text{ for } 0 < x \leq 1$$

where σ could justifiably be called Watterson's function [4, 5, 6]. It is understood that $\rho(x) = 0 = \sigma(x)$ for $x < 0$. One-sided Laplace transforms will also play a role; for example, we have

$$\mathcal{L}[\rho(\xi)] = \frac{\exp(-E(\eta))}{\eta}, \quad \mathcal{L}[\sigma(\xi)] = \frac{\exp(-\frac{1}{2}E(\eta))}{\sqrt{\eta/\pi}}, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{\exp(-E(\eta))}{\eta}\right] = \rho(\xi), \quad \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{\exp(-\frac{1}{2}E(\eta))}{\sqrt{\eta/\pi}}\right] = \sigma(\xi)$$

where

$$E(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt = -\text{Ei}(-x), \quad x > 0$$

is the exponential integral [7, 8, 9, 10].

⁰Copyright © 2022 by Steven R. Finch. All rights reserved.

1. STEPANOV

For introductory purposes, let us examine solely one-to-one mappings $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, i.e., permutations on n symbols. Let Λ denote the length of the longest cycle in an n -permutation, chosen uniformly at random. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda \leq a n \} = \rho \left(\frac{1}{a} \right), \quad 0 < a \leq 1$$

where, from the DDE,

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \ln(x) & \text{if } 1 < x \leq 2, \\ 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \ln(x) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(x)^2 + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) & \text{if } 2 < x \leq 3. \end{cases}$$

Thus, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} < \frac{\Lambda}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\} &= \rho(2) - \rho(3) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \ln(2) + \ln(3) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(3)^2 - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) \\ &= 0.258244431148\dots \end{aligned}$$

Let us now explore a less-familiar approach [11]. Define a function $h(\xi)$ to be equal to 0 for $\xi < 1$ and $1/\xi$ for $\xi \geq 1$. Using the series expansion for $\exp(-E(\eta))$ in terms of $E(\eta)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{\exp(-E(\eta))}{\eta} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{E(\eta)^k}{\eta} \right]$$

where the power $E(\eta)^k$ is the Laplace transform of the k -fold self-convolution $h_k(\xi)$ of $h(\xi)$. On the one hand, formulas

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{\eta} \right] = 1, \quad \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{E(\eta)}{\eta} \right] = \begin{cases} \ln(\xi) & \text{if } \xi \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq \xi < 1 \end{cases}$$

are well-known. On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{E(\eta)^2}{\eta} \right] = \begin{cases} -\frac{\pi^2}{6} + \ln(\xi)^2 + 2 \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{\xi} \right) & \text{if } \xi \geq 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq \xi < 2. \end{cases}$$

does not appear in [12]. Since $h_k(\xi) = 0$ for $0 \leq \xi < k$, only terms $k = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \xi \rfloor$ of the series need to be summed. Consequently, for $1/3 < a \leq 1/2$,

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{\exp(-E(\eta))}{\eta} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 1/a} = 1 - \ln \left(\frac{1}{a} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{\pi^2}{6} + \ln(a)^2 + 2 \text{Li}_2(a) \right]$$

which is consistent with before.

2. MUTAFCHIEV

Let us now remove the restriction that mappings be one-to-one. Let Λ denote the length of the largest component in an n -mapping, chosen uniformly at random. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda \leq a n \} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \sigma \left(\frac{1}{a} \right), \quad 0 < a \leq 1$$

where, from the DDE,

$$\sqrt{x} \sigma(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{x}}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{x}}} \right) \quad \text{if } 1 < x \leq 2.$$

For reasons of simplicity, change our example domain from $[1/3, 1/2]$ to $[1/2, 2/3]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} < \frac{\Lambda}{n} \leq \frac{2}{3} \right\} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) - \sqrt{2} \sigma(2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{3}}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{3}}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &= 0.222894638557\dots \end{aligned}$$

Let us again explore the less-familiar approach [13]. Define $h(\xi)$ as previously. From

$$\sqrt{\pi \xi} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{\exp(-\frac{1}{2}E(\eta))}{\sqrt{\eta}} \right] = \sqrt{\pi \xi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k k!} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{E(\eta)^k}{\sqrt{\eta}} \right]$$

we recognize two well-known formulas:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta}} \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \xi}}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{E(\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}} \right] = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi \xi}} \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\xi}} \right) & \text{if } \xi \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq \xi < 1. \end{cases}$$

Since $h_k(\xi) = 0$ for $0 \leq \xi < k$, only terms $k = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \xi \rfloor$ of the series need to be summed. Consequently, for $1/2 < a \leq 1$,

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{\exp(-\frac{1}{2}E(\eta))}{\sqrt{\eta}} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 1/a} = 1 - \operatorname{arctanh}(\sqrt{1-a}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1-a}}{1 - \sqrt{1-a}} \right)$$

which again is consistent with before.

As an aside, had we kept the domain as $[1/3, 1/2]$, then numerics are possible:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} < \frac{\Lambda}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\} &= \int_{1/3}^{1/2} \frac{1}{2t^{3/2}} \sigma \left(\frac{1-t}{t} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/3}^{1/2} \frac{1}{t\sqrt{1-t}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{t}{t-1}}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{t}{t-1}}} \right) \right] dt \\ &= 0.110414874191\dots \end{aligned}$$

but symbolics seem unlikely. A closed-form expression for the inverse Laplace transform of $E(\eta)^2/\sqrt{\eta}$ also remains open.

3. PURDOM & WILLIAMS

We change the subject to cycles, i.e., loops in the functional graph. Let Λ denote the length of the longest cycle in an n -mapping, chosen uniformly at random. Our goal is to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda \leq b\sqrt{n} \}$. While no relevant DDE is yet known, there is an associated inverse Laplace transform

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b}} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{\exp(-E(\sqrt{2b\eta}))}{\sqrt{\eta}} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 1/b} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{E(\sqrt{2b\eta})^k}{\sqrt{\eta}} \right]_{\xi \rightarrow 1/b}$$

due to Mutafchiev [13]. Unlike previously, $0 < b < \infty$ holds (rather than $0 < a < 1$) and $E(\sqrt{2b\eta})$ is the Laplace transform of $\frac{1}{2\xi} \operatorname{erfc} \left(\sqrt{\frac{b}{2\xi}} \right)$. Self-convolutions of this function do not enjoy the same vanishing properties as those for $h(\xi)$. Truncating the infinite series, although it is convergent, will unfortunately lead to non-zero error. An alternative expression

$$\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1-i\infty}^{1+i\infty} \exp \left(-E(b\zeta) + \frac{\zeta^2}{2} \right) d\zeta$$

is due to Stepanov [11]. Letting $\eta = \frac{b\zeta^2}{2}$, i.e., $\zeta = \sqrt{\frac{2\eta}{b}}$ and $d\zeta = \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{2b\eta}}$, demonstrates that this complex contour integral is equal to the preceding inverse Laplace transform.

There is, thankfully, a different approach available. If the number N of cyclic points in a random mapping is fixed, then as Kolchin [14] wrote, "... these N cyclic points... form a random permutation". This suggests multiplying [15] the conditional probability of Λ given N :

$$\mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda \leq \lambda\sqrt{n} \mid N = \nu\sqrt{n} \} = \rho \left(\frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right)$$

by [16, 17] the limiting density (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) of N :

$$\nu \exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2}\right) \quad (\text{Rayleigh})$$

and then differentiating (with respect to λ) to obtain the joint density of (Λ, N) :

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda, \nu) &= \nu \exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2}\right) \rho'\left(\frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right) \left(\frac{-\nu}{\lambda^2}\right) \\ &= \nu \exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2}\right) \rho\left(\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - 1\right) \left(\frac{-\lambda}{\nu}\right) \left(\frac{-\nu}{\lambda^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2}\right) \rho\left(\frac{\nu - \lambda}{\lambda}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \lambda < \nu < \infty$. We have not seen this formula in the literature: it is apparently new. For $r \geq 2$, let Λ_r denote the length of the r^{th} longest cycle in an n -mapping, chosen uniformly at random. If the permutation has no r^{th} cycle, then its r^{th} longest cycle is defined to have length 0. Define the r^{th} generalized Dickman function $\rho_r(\xi)$ to satisfy

$$\xi \rho_r'(\xi) + \rho_r(\xi - 1) = \rho_{r-1}(\xi - 1) \text{ for } \xi > 1, \quad \rho_r(\xi) = 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq \xi \leq 1$$

where $\rho_1 = \rho$. By the same argument, for $r \geq 2$,

$$f_r(\lambda, \nu) = \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2}\right) \left[\rho_r\left(\frac{\nu - \lambda}{\lambda}\right) - \rho_{r-1}\left(\frac{\nu - \lambda}{\lambda}\right) \right].$$

Define

$$G_{r,h} = \frac{1}{h!(r-1)!} \int_0^\infty x^{h-1} E(x)^{r-1} \exp[-E(x) - x] dx$$

(in this paper, rank $r = 1, 2, 3$ or 4 ; height $h = 1$ or 2). Purdom & Williams [18], building on Shepp & Lloyd [19], discovered asymptotic formulas for moments $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r^h)$. We can easily verify their findings:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r)}{\sqrt{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} G_{r,1} = \begin{cases} 0.78248160099165661501\dots & \text{if } r = 1, \\ 0.26267067265131265469\dots & \text{if } r = 2, \\ 0.11068781528281010827\dots & \text{if } r = 3, \\ 0.05056118481134243184\dots & \text{if } r = 4; \end{cases}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{V}(\Lambda_r)}{n} = 2G_{r,2} - \frac{\pi}{2} G_{r,1}^2 = \begin{cases} 0.24111407342881901748\dots & \text{if } r = 1, \\ 0.04395998473216610374\dots & \text{if } r = 2, \\ 0.01233552055537805858\dots & \text{if } r = 3, \\ 0.00386619224804518754\dots & \text{if } r = 4. \end{cases}$$

The mode of Λ_1 occurs when

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} f(\lambda, \nu) d\nu = -f(\lambda, \lambda) + \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, \nu) d\nu \\ &= -\exp\left(\frac{-\lambda^2}{2}\right) + \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \nu \exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \rho\left(\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - 1\right) \right] d\nu; \end{aligned}$$

the inner derivative becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-1}{\lambda^2} \rho\left(\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \rho'\left(\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - 1\right) \left(\frac{-\nu}{\lambda^2}\right) &= \frac{-1}{\lambda^2} \rho\left(\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - 1\right) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\rho\left(\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - 2\right)}{\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - 1} \left(\frac{-\nu}{\lambda^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{-1}{\lambda^2} \rho\left(\frac{\nu - \lambda}{\lambda}\right) + \frac{\nu}{\lambda^2(\nu - \lambda)} \rho\left(\frac{\nu - 2\lambda}{\lambda}\right); \end{aligned}$$

solving the equation

$$\exp\left(\frac{-\lambda^2}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \left[-\rho\left(\frac{\nu - \lambda}{\lambda}\right) + \frac{\nu}{\nu - \lambda} \rho\left(\frac{\nu - 2\lambda}{\lambda}\right) \right] \nu \exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2}\right) d\nu$$

yields 0.4809... as the mode. The median 0.6842... of Λ_1 arises simply from

$$\frac{1}{2} = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \int_{\mu}^{\infty} f(\mu, \nu) d\nu d\mu.$$

For Λ_2 , the mode is 0; we did not pursue the median. Another new asymptotic result is the cross-correlation between Λ_r and N :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r N) - \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r) \mathbb{E}(N)}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}(\Lambda_r)} \sqrt{\mathbb{V}(N)}} = \frac{\sqrt{2 - \frac{\pi}{2}} G_{r,1}}{\sqrt{2G_{r,2} - \frac{\pi}{2} G_{r,1}^2}} = \begin{cases} 0.83298010\dots & \text{if } r = 1, \\ 0.65486924\dots & \text{if } r = 2, \\ 0.52094617\dots & \text{if } r = 3, \\ 0.42505712\dots & \text{if } r = 4. \end{cases}$$

Using formulas in [10, 20, 21], it is possible to similarly compute the cross-correlation between Λ_r and Λ_s where $r < s$.

4. RÉNYI

A mapping is said to be **connected** (or **indecomposable**) if it possesses exactly one component. This is a rare event, in the sense that

$$\mathbb{P}\{M = 1\} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2n}} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where M counts the components. Let Λ denote the length of the unique cycle in a connected n -mapping, chosen uniformly at random. Our goal is to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda \leq b\sqrt{n} \}$ as before, but the circumstances are vastly simpler. Rényi [22] proved that the limiting density (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) of Λ is

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-\lambda^2}{2}\right) \quad (\text{half-normal})$$

for $0 < \lambda < \infty$, which implies immediately that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda)}{\sqrt{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} = 0.79788456080286535587\dots,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{V}(\Lambda)}{n} = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} = 0.36338022763241865692\dots$$

It is surprising that arbitrary mappings and connected mappings differ so little here (0.7824... versus 0.7978...). We might have expected that uniqueness would carry more influence.

Of course, $N = \Lambda$ when there is just one component. Allowing instead m components, where $m \geq 2$ is a fixed integer, rarity persists [23, 24]

$$\mathbb{P} \{ M = m \} \sim \frac{1}{2^{m-1}(m-1)!} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2n}} \ln(n)^{m-1} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

but the asymptotic values $\sqrt{2/\pi}$ and $1 - 2/\pi$ for $\mathbb{E}(N)$ and $\mathbb{V}(N)$ evidently do not change. Section 6 contains more on this issue.

5. PAVLOV

For arbitrary mappings, the expected number of components [25, 26] is $\sim \frac{1}{2} \ln(n)$. If our constraint from Section 4 loosens so that $m \rightarrow \infty$ but so slowly that $m/\ln(n) \rightarrow 0$, then Rényi's formula still applies, as proved by Pavlov [24]. This leads us to a set of conjectural results comparable to those in Section 3.

Let Λ be the longest cycle length and N be the cyclic points total. As before, a conditional probability coupled with the limiting density (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) of N :

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2}\right)$$

suffice to give the joint density of (Λ, N) :

$$f(\lambda, \nu) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2}\right) \rho\left(\frac{\nu - \lambda}{\lambda}\right)$$

where $0 < \lambda < \nu < \infty$. Further,

$$f_r(\lambda, \nu) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2}\right) \left[\rho_r\left(\frac{\nu - \lambda}{\lambda}\right) - \rho_{r-1}\left(\frac{\nu - \lambda}{\lambda}\right) \right]$$

for $r \geq 2$. Moments are

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r)}{\sqrt{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} G_{r,1} = \begin{cases} 0.49814325870512904597\dots & \text{if } r = 1, \\ 0.16722134383091813637\dots & \text{if } r = 2, \\ 0.07046605176920746245\dots & \text{if } r = 3, \\ 0.03218824996523203019\dots & \text{if } r = 4; \end{cases}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{V}(\Lambda_r)}{n} = G_{r,2} - \frac{2}{\pi} G_{r,1}^2 = \begin{cases} 0.17854905846627743895\dots & \text{if } r = 1, \\ 0.02851495566901143371\dots & \text{if } r = 2, \\ 0.00732819205178914862\dots & \text{if } r = 3, \\ 0.00217522939296169629\dots & \text{if } r = 4. \end{cases}$$

The mode of Λ_1 occurs at 0; the median at 0.3903.... For Λ_2 , we did not pursue the median. The cross-correlation between Λ_r and N is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r N) - \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r) \mathbb{E}(N)}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}(\Lambda_r)} \sqrt{\mathbb{V}(N)}} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{\pi}} G_{r,1}}{\sqrt{G_{r,2} - \frac{2}{\pi} G_{r,1}^2}} = \begin{cases} 0.89066843\dots & \text{if } r = 1, \\ 0.74816251\dots & \text{if } r = 2, \\ 0.62190221\dots & \text{if } r = 3, \\ 0.52141727\dots & \text{if } r = 4 \end{cases}$$

and, again, it is possible to compute the cross-correlation between Λ_r and Λ_s where $r < s$.

The mean 0.4981... is sharply less than the other means 0.7824... and 0.7978... we have exhibited. Why should this counterintuitive fact be true? The scenario $m/\ln(n) \rightarrow 0$ is intermediate to the others. This is why we describe our work here as conjectural.

If instead $m/\ln(n) \rightarrow c$ for some constant $0 < c < \infty$, then Pavlov's [27] density formula is

$$\frac{2^c \Gamma(c)}{\sqrt{2\pi} \Gamma(2c)} \nu^{2c} \exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2}\right).$$

This reduces to the density found in [16, 17] when $c = 1/2$.

Given an arbitrary mapping, the **deepest** cycle is contained within the largest component, whereas the **richest** component contains the longest cycle. The deepest cycle need not be longest; the richest component need not be largest. What can be said about the probability of either event, or the average size of either structure? Questions about interplay at this level appear to be difficult to answer.

For completeness, we mention [28, 29, 30, 31, 32], which may offer additional insights and paths forward.

6. FLAJOLET & ODLYZKO

Let $a_{nm\ell}$ denote the number of n -mappings possessing exactly m components and exactly ℓ cyclic points, where $n \geq \ell \geq m \geq 2$. We have [33, 34, 35]

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=m}^n \frac{a_{nm\ell}}{n!} x^n y^\ell = \frac{1}{m!} \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - y \tau(x)} \right)^m$$

where $\tau(x) = x \exp(\tau(x))$ is Cayley's tree function. The dominant singularity of $\tau(x)$ is at $x = e^{-1}$ and

$$\tau(x) \sim 1 - 2^{1/2} \sqrt{1 - ex} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow e^{-1}.$$

Differentiating with respect to y :

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=m}^n \frac{\ell a_{nm\ell}}{n!} x^n y^{\ell-1} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{\tau(x)}{1 - y \tau(x)} \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - y \tau(x)} \right)^{m-1}$$

and setting $y = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{\tau(x)}{1 - \tau(x)} \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - \tau(x)} \right)^{m-1} &\sim \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{1}{2^{1/2} \sqrt{1 - ex}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{2^{1/2} \sqrt{1 - ex}} \right)^{m-1} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{2^{m-1/2} (m-1)!} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - ex}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - ex} \right)^{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=m}^n \frac{\ell a_{nm\ell}}{n!} &\sim \frac{1}{2^{m-1/2} (m-1)!} \left(\frac{1}{e} \right)^{-n} \frac{\sqrt{n} \ln(n)^{m-1}}{n \Gamma(1/2)} \\ &\sim \frac{\ln(n)^{m-1}}{2^{m-1} (m-1)!} \frac{e^n}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \end{aligned}$$

by the singularity analysis theorem of Flajolet & Odlyzko [36]. Multiplying both sides by $n!/n^n$ and using Stirling's approximation, we obtain

$$\sum_{\ell=m}^n \frac{\ell a_{nm\ell}}{n^n} \sim \frac{\ln(n)^{m-1}}{2^{m-1} (m-1)!}.$$

From Section 4,

$$\sum_{\ell=m}^n \frac{a_{nm\ell}}{n^n} \sim \frac{\ln(n)^{m-1}}{2^{m-1} (m-1)!} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2n}}$$

and hence, forming the ratio, $\mathbb{E}(N) \sim \sqrt{2n/\pi}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Differentiating again and setting $y = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=m}^n \frac{\ell(\ell-1)a_{nm\ell}}{n!} x^n &\sim \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \left(\frac{\tau(x)}{1-\tau(x)} \right)^2 \ln \left(\frac{1}{1-\tau(x)} \right)^{m-1} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{1}{2(1-ex)} \ln \left(\frac{1}{2^{1/2}\sqrt{1-ex}} \right)^{m-1} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{2^m(m-1)!} \frac{1}{1-ex} \ln \left(\frac{1}{1-ex} \right)^{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=m}^n \frac{\ell(\ell-1)a_{nm\ell}}{n!} &\sim \frac{1}{2^m(m-1)!} \left(\frac{1}{e} \right)^{-n} \frac{n \ln(n)^{m-1}}{n \Gamma(1)} \\ &\sim \frac{\ln(n)^{m-1}}{2^m(m-1)!} e^n. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $n!/n^n$ and via the preceding, we obtain

$$\sum_{\ell=m}^n \frac{\ell^2 a_{nm\ell}}{n^n} \sim \frac{\ln(n)^{m-1}}{2^m(m-1)!} \sqrt{2\pi n} \sim \frac{\ln(n)^{m-1}}{2^{m-1}(m-1)!} \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2}}.$$

Forming the ratio, $\mathbb{E}(N^2) \sim n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and thus $\mathbb{V}(N) \sim (1 - 2/\pi)n$.

7. ADDENDUM: DIVISIBILITY

Let m be a positive integer. A random variable X is **m -divisible** if it can be written as $X = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_m$, where Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m are independent and identically distributed. A random variable is **infinitely divisible** if it is m -divisible for every m . We wish to study the allocation of $X = N$ cyclic points among a fixed number m of components, given a constrained random mapping. This would be a matter of determining the inverse Laplace transform of the m^{th} root of

$$\mathcal{L} \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \exp \left(\frac{-\xi^2}{2} \right) \right] = \exp \left(\frac{\eta^2}{2} \right) \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \right).$$

Pavlov's work [24, 27] is crucial here. We confront, however, a surprising theoretical obstacle: the half-normal density is provably *not* infinitely divisible [37, 38, 39]. The independence requirement fails, in fact, beginning at $m = 2$. Let us offer a plausibility argument supporting this latter assertion.

On the one hand, if $Y_2 = 0$ is fixed, then the density of $Y_1 = N$ clearly approaches $\sqrt{2/\pi}$ as $\xi \rightarrow 0^+$, i.e., it is bounded near the origin.

On the other hand, if no condition is placed on Y_2 , then the density of $Y_1 + Y_2 = N$ is a convolution in the ξ -domain, which becomes multiplication in the η -domain. Starting with [40, 41, 42]

$$\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2\pi} + \pi\eta} < \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\eta^2}{2}\right) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\right) < \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2\pi} + 2\eta}$$

for all $\eta > 0$, we deduce

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\eta}} < \exp\left(\frac{\eta^2}{4}\right) \sqrt{\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\right)} < \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\eta}}$$

and upper/lower bounds are tight approximations of the center for small/large values of η . No closed-form expression for \mathcal{L}^{-1} [center] seems to be possible; \mathcal{L}^{-1} [lower bound] and \mathcal{L}^{-1} [upper bound] are

$$\frac{2^{1/4}}{\pi^{3/4}\sqrt{\xi}} \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\xi\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/4}\sqrt{\xi}} \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\xi\right)$$

respectively. Both expressions approach infinity as $\xi \rightarrow 0^+$, tentatively implying that the density of Y_1 is unbounded near the origin. This contrasts with the behavior described earlier, i.e., information about Y_2 truly affects how Y_1 is distributed. Therefore Y_1 and Y_2 must be dependent.

When we employed the word ‘‘obstacle’’ before, it reflected our intention to study order statistics $Z_1 = \min\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ and $Z_2 = \max\{Y_1, Y_2\}$, with a goal of understanding the allocation process (partitioning N cyclic points into two cycles). If Y_1 and Y_2 were independent with common density $\varphi(y)$, then the joint density of $Z_1 \leq Z_2$ would simply be $2\varphi(z_1)\varphi(z_2)$ for $z_1 \leq z_2$. Dependency renders the analysis more complicated.

As an aside, the Rayleigh density is also *not* infinitely divisible [39]. The independence requirement again fails beginning at $m = 2$. We argue as before, but less formally. On the one hand, if $Y_2 = 0$ is fixed, then the density of Y_1 approaches 0 as $\xi \rightarrow 0^+$. On the other hand, if no condition is placed on Y_2 , then we wish to find the inverse Laplace transform of the square root of

$$\mathcal{L}\left[\xi \exp\left(\frac{-\xi^2}{2}\right)\right] = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\eta \exp\left(\frac{\eta^2}{2}\right) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$$

A remarkably accurate approximation (with error less than 0.5%):

$$\sqrt{1 - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \eta \exp\left(\frac{\eta^2}{2}\right) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\right)} \approx \exp\left(\frac{\eta^2}{\pi}\right) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)$$

defies easy explanation and yet provides a very helpful estimate:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\exp\left(\frac{\eta^2}{\pi}\right) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)\right] = \exp\left(\frac{-\pi \xi^2}{4}\right)$$

which approaches 1 as $\xi \rightarrow 0^+$. Since $0 \neq 1$, it follows that Y_1 and Y_2 must be dependent.

8. ADDENDUM: FALLIBILITY

With the benefit of hindsight, we should have focused not on $\sigma(x)$, but instead on

$$\tilde{\sigma}(x) = \sqrt{x} \sigma(x)$$

both here and in [10]. The derivative of $\tilde{\sigma}(1/x)$ is found as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{1}{x^{1/2}} \sigma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right] = -\frac{1}{2x^{3/2}} \sigma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + \frac{1}{x^{1/2}} \sigma'\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \left(-\frac{1}{x^2}\right)$$

but

$$\sigma'(y) = -\frac{1}{2y} (\sigma(y) + \sigma(y-1))$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) &= -\frac{1}{2x^{3/2}} \sigma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + \frac{1}{x^{1/2}} \left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) \left[\sigma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + \sigma\left(\frac{1}{x}-1\right) \right] \left(-\frac{1}{x^2}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2x^{3/2}} \sigma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + \frac{1}{2x^{3/2}} \left[\sigma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + \sigma\left(\frac{1}{x}-1\right) \right] = \frac{1}{2x^{3/2}} \sigma\left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right), \end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown. Finally, $\rho(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ are subsumed by the general DDE [4, 5, 6]

$$x g'(x) + (1-\theta)g(x) + \theta g(x-1) = 0 \text{ for } x > 1, \quad g(x) = x^{\theta-1} \text{ for } 0 < x \leq 1$$

where $\theta > 0$ is fixed, and its associated Laplace transform is

$$\mathcal{L}[g(\xi)] = \frac{\exp(-\theta E(\eta))}{\eta^\theta / \Gamma(\theta)}, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0].$$

It would be good someday to learn, from an interested reader, about possible random mapping-theoretic applications of $g(x)$ for select $\theta \notin \{\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \dots\}$.

9. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Ljuben Mutafchiev [13], Lennart Bondesson [43, 44] and Jeffrey Steif [45] for helpful discussions. Vaclav Kotesovec provided relevant asymptotic expansions in [23]. The Mathematica routines NDSolve for DDEs [46] and NInverseLaplaceTransform [47] assisted in numerically confirming many results. Interest in this subject has, for me, spanned many years [48, 49].

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Dickman, On the frequency of numbers containing prime factors of a certain relative magnitude, *Ark. Mat. Astron. Fysik*, v. 22A (1930) n. 10, 1–14.
- [2] P. Moree, Nicolaas Govert de Bruijn, the enchanter of friable integers, *Indag. Math.* 24 (2013) 774–801; arXiv:1212.1579; MR3124806.
- [3] J. C. Lagarias, Euler’s constant: Euler’s work and modern developments, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 50 (2013) 527–628; arXiv:1303.1856; MR3090422.
- [4] G. A. Watterson, The stationary distribution of the infinitely-many neutral alleles diffusion model, *J. Appl. Probab.* 13 (1976) 639–651; 14 (1977) 897; MR0504014 and MR0504015.
- [5] R. Arratia, A. D. Barbour and S. Tavaré, Random combinatorial structures and prime factorizations, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 44 (1997) 903–910; MR1467654.
- [6] R. Arratia, A. D. Barbour and S. Tavaré, *Logarithmic Combinatorial Structures: a Probabilistic Approach*, Europ. Math. Society, 2003, pp. 21–24, 52, 87–89, 118; MR2032426.
- [7] S. R. Finch, Permute, Graph, Map, Derange, arXiv:2111.05720.
- [8] S. R. Finch, Rounds, Color, Parity, Squares, arXiv:2111.14487.
- [9] S. R. Finch, Second best, Third worst, Fourth in line, arXiv:2202.07621.
- [10] S. R. Finch, Joint probabilities within random permutations, arXiv:2203.10826.
- [11] V. E. Stepanov, Limit distributions of certain characteristics of random mappings (in Russian), *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* 14 (1969) 639–653; Engl. transl. in *Theory Probab. Appl.* 14 (1969) 612–626; MR0278350.
- [12] F. Oberhettinger and L. Badii, *Tables of Laplace Transforms*, Springer-Verlag, 1973, pp. 172, 364, 367; MR0352889.

- [13] L. R. Mutafchiev, Large components and cycles in a random mapping pattern, *Random Graphs '87*, Proc. 1987 Poznań conf., ed. M. Karoński, J. Jaworski and A. Ruciński, Wiley, 1990, pp. 189–202; MR1094133.
- [14] V. F. Kolchin, *Random Mappings*, Optimization Software, 1986, pp. 35, 46–48, 85–88, 93–94, 153; MR0865130.
- [15] A. Papoulis, *Probability, Random Variables, and Stochastic Processes*, McGraw-Hill, 1965, pp. 174–179; MR0176501.
- [16] H. Rubin and R. Sitgreaves, Probability distributions related to random transformations of a finite set, Stanford Univ. tech. report, 1954, <http://statistics.stanford.edu/technical-reports/probability-distributions-related-random-transformations>
- [17] B. Harris, Probability distributions related to random mappings, *Annals Math. Statist.* 31 (1960) 1045–1062; MR0119227.
- [18] P. W. Purdom and J. H. Williams, Cycle length in a random function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 133 (1968) 547–551; MR0228032.
- [19] L. A. Shepp and S. P. Lloyd, Ordered cycle lengths in a random permutation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 121 (1966) 340–357; MR0195117.
- [20] R. C. Griffiths, On the distribution of allele frequencies in a diffusion model, *Theoret. Population Biol.* 15 (1979) 140–158; MR0528914.
- [21] T. Shi, Cycle lengths of θ -biased random permutations, B.S. thesis, Harvey Mudd College, 2014, http://scholarship.claremont.edu/hmc_theses/65/.
- [22] A. Rényi, On connected graphs. I, *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.* 4 (1959) 385–388; MR0126842.
- [23] N. J. A. Sloane, On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, A001865, A060281, A065456, and A273434.
- [24] Yu. L. Pavlov, Limit theorems for a characteristic of a random mapping (in Russian), *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* 26 (1981) 841–847; Engl. transl. in *Theory Probab. Appl.* 26 (1982) 829–834; MR0636781.
- [25] M. D. Kruskal, The expected number of components under a random mapping function, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 61 (1954) 392–397; MR0062973.
- [26] J. Riordan, Enumeration of linear graphs for mappings of finite sets, *Annals Math. Statist.* 33 (1962) 178–185; MR0133250.

- [27] Yu. L. Pavlov, Random mappings with constraints on the number of cycles (in Russian), *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* 177 (1986) 122–132, 208; Engl. transl. in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 1988, n. 4, 131–142; MR0840680.
- [28] J. M. DeLaurentis, Random functions and their small cycles, *Proc. 18th South-eastern Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, Boca Raton, 1987, ed. F. Hoffman, R. C. Mullin, R. G. Stanton and K. Brooks Reid, Congr. Numer. 58, Utilitas Math., 1987, pp. 295–302; MR0944710.
- [29] J. M. DeLaurentis, Components and cycles of a random function, *Advances in Cryptology – CRYPTO ’87*, Proc. 1987 Santa Barbara conf., Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci. 293, Springer-Verlag, 1988, pp. 231–242; MR0956654.
- [30] D. Romero and F. Zertuche, The asymptotic number of attractors in the random map model, *J. Phys. A* 36 (2003) 3691–3700; MR1984723.
- [31] D. Romero and F. Zertuche, Grasping the connectivity of random functional graphs, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 42 (2005) 1–19; MR2128671.
- [32] R. S. V. Martins, D. Panario, C. Qureshi and E. Schmutz, Periods of iterations of functions with restricted preimage sizes, *ACM Trans. Algorithms* 16 (2020) A. 30; arXiv:1701.09148; MR4120518.
- [33] J. Kupka, The distribution and moments of the number of components of a random function, *J. Appl. Probab.* 27 (1990) 202–207; MR1039196.
- [34] B. J. English, Factorial moments for random mappings by means of indicator variables, *J. Appl. Probab.* 30 (1993) 167–174; MR1206359.
- [35] N. J. A. Sloane, On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, A201685.
- [36] P. Flajolet and A. M. Odlyzko, Random mapping statistics, *Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT ’89*, ed. J.-J. Quisquater and J. Vandewalle, Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci. 434, Springer-Verlag, 1990, pp. 329–354; MR1083961.
- [37] A. Ruegg, A characterization of certain infinitely divisible laws, *Annals Math. Statist.* 41 (1970) 1354–1356; MR0267626.
- [38] E. Lukacs, *Developments in Characteristic Function Theory*, Macmillan Co., 1983, pp. 68–70; MR0810001.
- [39] F. W. Steutel and K. van Harn, *Infinite Divisibility of Probability Distributions on the Real Line*, Dekker, 2004, pp. 77, 113, 125–126, 411–412; MR.2011862.

- [40] Z. W. Birnbaum, An inequality for Mill's ratio, *Annals Math. Statist.* 13 (1942) 245–246; MR0006640.
- [41] Y. Komatu, Elementary inequalities for Mills' ratio, *Rep. Statist. Appl. Res. Un. Japan. Sci. Engrs.* 4 (1955) 69–70; MR0079844.
- [42] A. Gasull and F. Utzet, Approximating Mills ratio, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 420 (2014) 1832–1853; MR3240110.
- [43] L. Bondesson, On the infinite divisibility of the half-Cauchy and other decreasing densities and probability functions on the nonnegative line, *Scand. Actuar. J.* (1987) 225–247; MR0943583.
- [44] L. Bondesson, *Generalized Gamma Convolutions and Related Classes of Distributions and Densities*, Lect. Notes in Stat. 76, Springer-Verlag, 1992, p. 67; MR1224674.
- [45] B. G. Franzén, J. E. Steif and J. Wästlund, Where to stand when playing darts? *ALEA Latin Amer. J. Probab. Math. Statist.* 18 (2021) 1561–1583; arXiv:2010.00566; MR4291033.
- [46] Y. M. Beltukov, Tuning NDSolve options to accurately solve DDEs, <http://mathematica.stackexchange.com/questions/59431/simpler-code-evaluating-dickmans-funct>
- [47] P. Valko, NInverseLaplaceTransform numerical approximation package, <http://resources.wolframcloud.com/FunctionRepository/resources/NInverseLaplaceTransform/>.
- [48] S. R. Finch, Golomb-Dickman constant, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, pp. 284–292; MR2003519.
- [49] S. R. Finch, Extreme prime factors, *Mathematical Constants II*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2019, pp. 171–172; MR3887550.

Steven Finch
MIT Sloan School of Management
Cambridge, MA, USA
steven_finch@harvard.edu