

Gravitational Waves From No-Scale Supergravity

Vassilis C. Spanos and Ioanna D. Stamou

*National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Department of Physics,
Section of Nuclear and Particle Physics, GR-15784 Athens, Greece*

Abstract

In this paper we study four concrete models, based on no-scale supergravity with $SU(2,1)/SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry. We modify either the Kähler potential or the superpotential using extra terms. In this scenario, the induced Gravitational Waves, are calculated to be detectable by the future space-based observations such as LISA, BBO and DECIGO. The models under study are interrelated, as they all yield the Starobinsky effective-like scalar potential in the unmodified case. We evaluate numerically the scalar power spectrum and the stochastic background of the Gravitational Waves, satisfying the observational Planck cosmological constraints for inflation. We find that the fine-tuning of the additional parameters in these models, is smaller if we require exclusively the production of Gravitational Waves, than in the case where in addition we produce Primordial Black Holes enough to account for the Dark Matter of the Universe.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Basic Considerations	2
2.1	General Aspect Of Supergravity	3
2.2	Curvature Perturbations	5
3	Gravitational Waves from the No-Scale models	6
3.1	Modifying the superpotential	7
3.2	Modifying the Kähler potential	8
4	Producing Gravitational Waves	9
4.1	Modifying the superpotential	11
4.2	Modifying the Kähler potential	11
5	Fine-tuning analysis	14
6	Conclusions	16

1 Introduction

The detection of the Gravitational Waves (GWs) is undeniably regarded as a milestone in cosmology. The GWs emitted by a binary black hole merges, reported by the LIGO and Virgo collaborations [1–5], draw attention to both experimental and theoretical researches for exploring the stochastic background of GWs. The signal of the GWs is expected to be detectable by future space-based GW interferometers such as LISA, BBO and DECIGO [6, 7].

Following the detection of these events by the LIGO/Virgo collaborations, it has been claimed that the Primordial Black Holes (PBHs), which are formed during radiation dominated epoch, can be considered as reliable candidates for a large, or the whole amount of the Dark Matter (DM) of the Universe [8–20]. In this case, there is a strong possibility to detect induced GWs’ signals, which are generated during the radiation phase and will be available in the future from the aforementioned observations. The contribution of the first order scalar perturbations, to the generation of second order perturbations associated to the GWs, has already been studied in detail [21–24].

Several mechanisms for producing enhancement in the scalar power spectrum have been proposed in the literature. In the case of the single field inflation, the mechanism associated to the presence of an inflection point has been already studied extensively [8–18]. At the inflection point, both first and second derivatives of the effective scalar potential become approximately zero. This feature in the effective scalar potential, significantly decrease the velocity of the inflaton. This decrease is imprinted in a peak in power spectrum. The slow-roll approximation fails to give the correct results and one has to solve

numerically the exact equation of the field perturbations, because the slow-roll parameter η grows significantly. In this work we will consider this mechanism for producing such amplifications. However, alternative mechanisms have been proposed regarding single field inflation. One of them is models with a feature like steps in the potential [25–27]. Moreover, two field inflationary model have also been proposed [19,20,28].

The relation between the first and second order perturbations, that is the scalar and the tensor power spectrum, gives the insight to study the GWs by analysing and measuring only the scalar power spectrum. There are numerous studies based on this scenario [19,20,29–37] and we briefly discuss some of them. In Refs. [19,20] the authors study two scalar field models with a non-canonical kinetic term. Other two-field models, in order to generate GWs have been studied in Refs. [29,30]. The production of GWs within a single field inflation has been considered in Refs. [27,32,37,38].

Supergravity models can provide us with inflationary potentials compatible with observational data. To this end, a significant enhancement in the scalar power spectrum as described above, can occur [12,13,16,17]. In particular, it has been claimed that no-scale theory can be regarded as a theory worthy of consideration, in order to study enhancement in power spectrum [16,17]. This theory treats many problematic issues such as the fine-tuning in order to obtain a vanishing cosmological constant and it gives a natural solution to the η problem [39–43].

In [17] a mechanism to produce PBHs was proposed, based on the breaking of the non-compact $SU(2,1)/SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry. It is proved that, the effective scalar potentials related to inflation, not only can explain the production of PBHs, but also they can conserve the transformation laws, related to the coset $SU(2,1)/SU(2) \times U(1)$. In this work we will consider these potentials, in order to explain the generation of GWs and we will numerically evaluate the energy density. Moreover, we generate GWs by modifying the kinetic term in the Lagrangian and keeping the superpotentials, which lead to the Starobinsky-effective potential such as the Wess-Zumino or the Cecotti, unchanged. All the models present in this work are in consistence with the Planck constraints of the spectral index n_s and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r [44,45].

The layout of the paper is as follows: In section 2 we briefly review some basic aspects for the supergravity theory and the perturbation theory, which are used in our analysis. In section 3 we explain how the enhancement of power spectrum can be produced in the framework of no-scale theory. In section 4 we present the GWs for our analysis. In section 5 we analyze the fine-tuning issue and how it is decreased in the study of GWs. Finally, in section 6 we give our conclusions and perspectives.

2 Basic Considerations

In this section we discuss two basic considerations used in our analysis. The first is an introduction to supergravity theory, which is relevant to the inflation models we will employ below. The second is about the general tools used for studying the field perturbations during inflation.

2.1 General Aspect Of Supergravity

In this subsection we present some basic aspects of supergravity and particularly the no-scale theory. The supergravity Lagrangian coupled to matter is given in the following expression

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}R - K^{\Phi\bar{\Phi}}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial^\mu\bar{\Phi} - V(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}), \quad (2.1)$$

where K denotes the Kähler potential, W is the superpotential and the indices Φ and $\bar{\Phi}$ denote the derivatives in respect to the corresponding fields. We remark that throughout this study we work in reduced Planck units ($M_P = 1$).

The F-term of scalar potential is given as follows

$$V = e^K(D_\Phi W K^{\bar{\Phi}\Phi} D_{\bar{\Phi}} \bar{W} - 3|W|^2), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$D_\Phi W = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi} + \frac{\partial K}{\partial \Phi} W \quad (2.3)$$

is the Kähler covariant derivative.

The minimal no-scale model is given by the Kähler potential in the presence of a single chiral field Φ [39–43]

$$K = -3 \ln(\Phi + \bar{\Phi}). \quad (2.4)$$

By considering this Kähler potential, one can notice that the term $-3|W|^2$ in Eq.(2.2) is vanishing because of the following expression [39]

$$K^{\Phi\bar{\Phi}} K_\Phi K_{\bar{\Phi}} = 3. \quad (2.5)$$

In the case of non-compact symmetry $SU(2,1)/SU(2) \times U(1)$, a no-scale supergravity model is described by the following two equivalent Kähler potentials [46]

$$K = -3 \ln\left(T + \bar{T} - \frac{|\varphi|^2}{3}\right) \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$K = -3 \ln\left(1 - \frac{|y_1|^2}{3} - \frac{|y_2|^2}{3}\right) \quad (2.7)$$

where y_1 , y_2 , T and φ are chiral fields. The Kähler potential in (y_1, y_2) basis is related to this in the (T, φ) basis, as analyzed in [47]. The relevant transformation is

$$y_1 = \left(\frac{2\varphi}{1+2T}\right), \quad y_2 = \sqrt{3}\left(\frac{1-2T}{1+2T}\right), \quad (2.8)$$

while its inverse is

$$T = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-y_2/\sqrt{3}}{1+y_2/\sqrt{3}}\right), \quad \varphi = \left(\frac{y_1}{1+y_2/\sqrt{3}}\right). \quad (2.9)$$

For a given superpotential, in the (y_1, y_2) basis, we can derive the corresponding in (T, φ) by using the following relation [47]

$$W(T, \varphi) \rightarrow \bar{W}(y_1, y_2) = \left(1 + y_2/\sqrt{3}\right)^3 W. \quad (2.10)$$

The Starobinsky-like effective scalar potential can be derived with various ways as it will be described below. One is this of the Wess-Zumino model [48]

$$W = \frac{\hat{\mu}}{2}\varphi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{3}\varphi^3, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\hat{\mu}$ is a mass term and λ a trilinear coupling in the Lagrangian. This is written in the (T, φ) basis. In order to get the Starobinsky-like effective scalar potential, we use the Eq.(2.2) and we assume that the inflationary direction is along the $\text{Im}\varphi = \text{Im}T = 0$ and $\text{Re}T = c$. In addition in order to evaluate the effective potential we need to fix the non-canonical kinetic term. To do this we use the following redefinition of the field

$$\varphi = \sqrt{3} \tanh\left(\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6}}\right) \quad (2.12)$$

and the Eq.(2.2).

The superpotential in Eq.(2.11) can be written in (y_1, y_2) basis, using the Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10) [47]. Hence, we get

$$W = \frac{\hat{\mu}}{2}\left(y_1^2 + \frac{y_1^2 y_2}{\sqrt{3}}\right) - \lambda \frac{y_1^3}{3} \quad (2.13)$$

and the kinetic term is fixed using the redefinition

$$y_1 = \sqrt{3} \tanh\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{6}}\right), \quad (2.14)$$

where the Starobinsky effective scalar potential appears assuming that the y_1 is the inflaton field and y_2 is the modulo.

Alternatively, the Starobinsky-like potential can be derived by the Cecotti superpotential as given in (T, φ) basis as [49]

$$W = \sqrt{3}m\varphi\left(T - \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad (2.15)$$

where the Starobinsky effective scalar potential arises through the direction $\varphi = \text{Im}T = 0$. In order to have canonical kinetic terms, one has to use the field redefinition

$$T = \frac{1}{2}e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi}. \quad (2.16)$$

The superpotential in Eq. (2.15) can be written in the (y_1, y_2) basis as

$$W = m\left(-y_1 y_2 + \frac{y_2 y_1^2}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \quad (2.17)$$

and as before the redefinition for the canonical kinetic term is

$$y_1 = \sqrt{3} \tanh\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{6}}\right), \quad (2.18)$$

with y_1 being the inflaton field as before.

Generally, the kinetic term can be fixed by the transformation

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi = K_{\chi\chi} \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi \Rightarrow \frac{d\phi}{d\chi} = \sqrt{2K_{\chi\chi}}. \quad (2.19)$$

Although the relation (2.19) in the case of the Kähler potentials (2.6) and (2.7) yields analytical solution, it is also useful for a general forms of the Kähler potentials, where in principle there is no analytical solution. In the following, we will consider both analytical and numerical solutions of Eq. (2.19).

2.2 Curvature Perturbations

The equation of motion of the inflaton field is

$$\phi'' + 3\phi' - \frac{1}{2}\phi'^3 + \left(3 - \frac{1}{2}\phi'^2\right) \frac{d \ln V(\phi)}{d\phi} = 0, \quad (2.20)$$

where primes denote the derivative in efold time.

The general Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric written in the conformal Newtonian gauge is

$$ds^2 = -a^2 (1 + 2\Phi) d\tau^2 + a \left((1 + 2\Psi) \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ij} \right) dx^i dx^j, \quad (2.21)$$

where τ is the conformal time, a is the scale factor, h_{ij} are tensor perturbations, Φ and Ψ are the Bardeen potentials, which are equal in the absence of anisotropy in the stress energy tensor. Assuming that the perturbation of the field is $\phi + \delta\phi$, the equation of the curvature perturbation takes the form

$$\delta\phi'' = - \left(3 - \frac{1}{2}\phi'^2\right) \delta\phi' - \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{d^2 V}{d\phi^2} \delta\phi - \frac{k^2}{a^2 H^2} \delta\phi + 4\Psi' \phi' - \frac{2\Psi}{H^2} \frac{dV}{d\phi} \quad (2.22)$$

where the Bardeen potential Ψ results from the equation

$$\Psi'' = - \left(7 - \frac{1}{2}\phi'^2\right) \Psi' - \left(2 \frac{V}{H^2} + \frac{k^2}{a^2 H^2}\right) \Psi - \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{dV}{d\phi} \delta\phi. \quad (2.23)$$

With H we denote the Hubble parameter, which is

$$H^2 = \frac{V}{\left(3 - \frac{1}{2}\phi'^2\right)}. \quad (2.24)$$

The initial condition for the perturbation, assuming Bunch-Davies vacuum, is

$$aQ \rightarrow \frac{e^{-ik\tau}}{\sqrt{2k}}, \quad (2.25)$$

where Q is the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable. The complete expressions for the initial conditions for the perturbation, as well as the initial conditions for the Bardeen potential are

$$\delta\chi_{ic} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \frac{1}{a_{ic}}, \quad (\delta\chi')_{ic} = -\frac{1}{a_{ic}\sqrt{2k}} \left(1 + i\frac{k}{a_{ic}H_{ic}} \right) \quad (2.26)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{ic} &= \frac{1}{2 \left(\varepsilon_{H,ic} - \frac{k^2}{a_{ic}^2 H_{ic}^2} \right)} \left(\phi'_{ic} \delta\phi'_{ic} + \delta\phi_{ic} \left[3(\phi')_{ic} + \frac{1}{H_{ic}^2} \left(\frac{dV}{d\phi} \right)_{ic} \right] \right) \\ \Psi'_{ic} &= \frac{1}{2} (\phi')_{ic} \delta\phi_{ic} - \Psi_{ic}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

With the indices ic we denote the initial conditions and with the ε_H we denote the slow roll parameter

$$\varepsilon_H = \frac{1}{2} \phi'^2.$$

We note that these equations are valid for a Lagrangian with a canonical kinetic term. Otherwise one should take into account the field transformation in order to fix the canonical kinetic term, as we have mentioned in the previous subsection in Eq.(2.19).

Using Eqs. (2.20) -(2.24) we can evaluate the power spectrum as

$$P_R = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |R_k|^2, \quad (2.28)$$

where k is the comoving wavenumber of the Fourier mode and

$$R_k = \Psi + \frac{\delta\phi}{\phi'}. \quad (2.29)$$

The numerical procedure, which we follow is shown in Refs. [16,17].

3 Gravitational Waves from the No-Scale models

Below we will study how modifications in the superpotential or in the Kähler potential can be used in order to explain the generation of GWs. We present two different ways to produce such peaks in power spectrum, which as we will see it is related to the spectrum of GWs. The first is by modifying the Wess-Zumino and Cecotti superpotential, given in Eqs. (2.11), (2.13), (2.12) and (2.15). The second is by modifying the Kähler potential, meaning the kinetic term on the Lagrangian, taking into account that both Wess-Zumino superpotential and Cecotti superpotential are unchanged. Therefore, we present two different ways in order to get significant peaks in the power spectrum by breaking the $SU(2,1)/SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry. All models give the Starobinsky effective scalar potential in the unmodified case.

3.1 Modifying the superpotential

It was shown that the non-compact $SU(2,1)/SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry leads to the same Starobinsky-like effective scalar potential, demonstrating an important equivalence between the different models [46]. Moreover, it can be shown that this symmetry can be preserved [17] under proper modification of the superpotential, in order to generate a peak in power spectrum and produce significant amount of the PBHs in the Universe. Therefore, we consider the no-scale supergravity coset $SU(2,1)/SU(2) \times U(1)$. This coset can be identified by two equivalent forms of Kähler potential

$$\begin{aligned} K &= -3 \ln \left(1 - \frac{|y_1|^2}{3} - \frac{|y_2|^2}{3} \right) \\ K &= -3 \ln \left(T + \bar{T} - \frac{|\varphi|^2}{3} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

which can be written in two basis, the (T, φ) and (y_1, y_2) .

First, by a proper modification of the Wess-Zumino model, we can derive the same effective scalar potential in both (T, φ) and (y_1, y_2) basis. The superpotential adopted in Ref. [17], for modified the Wess-Zumino model in (y_1, y_2) basis, is

$$W = \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}}{2} \left(y_1^2 + \frac{y_2^2 y_1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) - \lambda \frac{y_1^3}{3} \right] \left[1 + e^{-b_1 y_1^2} (c_1 y_1^2 + c_2 y_1^4) \right], \quad (3.2)$$

where $\hat{\mu}$, λ , b_1 , c_1 and c_2 are free parameters, fixed by observations. The effective scalar potential can be calculated by using Eq. (2.2) and the redefinition of the field, which is given in Eq. (2.14). Using this modification the resulting effective scalar potential is not affected by the transformations $y_1 \rightarrow -y_1$ and $y_2 \rightarrow -y_2$. Alternatively, using $y_1 \rightarrow -y_2$ and $y_2 \rightarrow y_1$, one can derive the same effective scalar potential, in the inflationary direction $y_1 = \text{Im } y_2 = 0$, by fixing the non-canonical kinetic term as

$$y_2 = -\sqrt{3} \tanh \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{6}} \right). \quad (3.3)$$

This analysis is presented in [46].

The superpotential in Eq. (3.2) can be written in the (T, φ) basis, if one considers the Eq. (2.10). Hence

$$W = \left(\frac{\hat{\mu}}{2} \varphi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{3} \varphi^3 \right) \left(1 + e^{-b_1 \left(\frac{2\varphi}{1+2T} \right)^2} \left[c_1 \left(\frac{2\varphi}{1+2T} \right)^2 + c_2 \left(\frac{2\varphi}{1+2T} \right)^4 \right] \right), \quad (3.4)$$

where φ is the inflaton field and T is the modulo. This yields the same effective scalar potential as in Eq. (3.2) using the redefinition of the field (2.12), in order to get canonical kinetic terms.

In addition to that, by a proper modification in Cecotti superpotential, given in (2.15), we can produce significant peak in power spectrum in the (y_1, y_2) basis. In Ref. [17] the following superpotential is considered

$$W = m \left(-y_1 y_2 + \frac{y_2 y_1^2}{l\sqrt{3}} \right) \left(1 + c_3 e^{-b_2 y_1^2} y_1^2 \right), \quad (3.5)$$

where m, l, c_3, b_1 are the parameters of the model. As in the previous case, where we have modified the Wess-Zumino superpotential. This choice of modification is made for keeping the resulting effective scalar potential unchanged under the transformations $y_1 \rightarrow -y_1, y_2 \rightarrow -y_2$. Also if one considers the case of exchanging the modulo and the inflaton field by changing $y_1 \rightarrow -y_2$ and $y_2 \rightarrow y_1$, the effective scalar potential remains the same.

In (T, φ) basis, the superpotential (3.5) takes the following form using Eq. (2.10)

$$W = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} m \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2} - T \right) \left(-1 - 2T - \frac{1 - 2T}{l} \right) \left[1 + 3c_3 e^{-3b_2 \left(\frac{1-2T}{1+2T} \right)^2} \left(\frac{1-2T}{1+2T} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

This gives the same effective scalar potential as in Eq. (3.5), if we consider the redefinition of the field in Eq. (2.16).

The superpotentials (3.2) and (3.5) not only preserve the same resulting effective scalar potential in both (y_1, y_2) and (T, φ) basis, by the transformation given in Eq. (2.10), but also they can produce significant enhancement in power spectra, explaining the production of PBHs, as shown in [17]. In the following we will show that these peaks can also produce GWs spectra sizeable enough to be detected in the corresponding experiments. These peaks related to the inflection points in effective scalar potential, which one can find by a proper choice of parameters, as shown in [17].

To sum up, these superpotentials, Eqs.(3.2) and (3.5), preserve the transformation laws of the $SU(2,1)/SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry. This symmetry is identified by the matrix

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ -\beta^* & \alpha^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.7)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. By this matrix and the analysis shown in Ref. [46] we can obtain the following transformation laws for the fields

$$y_1 \rightarrow \alpha y_1 + \beta y_2, \quad y_2 \rightarrow -\beta^* y_1 + \alpha^* y_2. \quad (3.8)$$

This symmetry is analyzed in [46]. Finally all superpotentials presented in this subsection, given in Eqs. (3.2) – (3.6), confirm for the no-scale condition (2.5).

3.2 Modifying the Kähler potential

In previous section we study the generation of significant peaks in power spectrum by modifying well-known superpotentials, which yield to the Starobinsky-like effective scalar potential. These significant peaks can be also presented by modified only the Kähler potential and keeping the superpotential unchanged. Hence, this amplification can be found via breaking the $SU(2,1)/SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry by adding extra terms in the

kinetic part of the Lagrangian. In this section we present two models in order to produce such peaks, one by keeping the Wess-Zumino superpotential (2.11) unchanged and the other by keeping the Cecotti superpotential unchanged (2.15).

In a previous work it was shown that sizable amplification in the power spectrum can be produced through the scheme [16]

$$K = -3 \ln \left(T + \bar{T} - \frac{\varphi \bar{\varphi}}{3} + c e^{-b_3(\varphi + \bar{\varphi})^2} (\varphi + \bar{\varphi})^4 \right), \quad (3.9)$$

$$W = \frac{\hat{\mu}}{2} \varphi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{3} \varphi^3, \quad (3.10)$$

where c and b_3 are free parameters of the model. This model has to deal with just an extra parameter b_3 , which gives us both peaks in power spectrum and the correct prediction of spectral index n_s and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r . The advantage of this model is that it leads to a reduced level of fine-tuning, as we will see later.

Also it is considered that the superpotential is given by the Cecotti form, Eq. (2.15). Specifically, we have

$$K = -3 \ln \left(T + \bar{T} - \frac{\varphi \bar{\varphi}}{3} + F(T + \bar{T}, \varphi + \bar{\varphi}) \right) \quad (3.11)$$

$$W = \sqrt{3} m \varphi \left(T - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (3.12)$$

where $F(T + \bar{T}, \varphi + \bar{\varphi})$ is a function of both chiral fields. Choosing

$$F(T + \bar{T}, \varphi + \bar{\varphi}) = e^{-d(T + \bar{T})} \left(c_4 e^{-b_4(T + \bar{T})} (T + \bar{T})^2 + \bar{\lambda} (\varphi + \bar{\varphi} + \bar{\mu}) \right), \quad (3.13)$$

where b_4 , c_4 , $\bar{\lambda}$, $\bar{\mu}$ and d are free parameters. Although, this scheme is more complicated than the previous one, one can derive effective scalar potential which is flat in the large values of field.

To summarize, we have presented two different mechanisms, in order to explain the production of GWs. The first of them is by modifying the superpotential and preserve the transformation laws of the symmetry $SU(2,1)/SU(2) \times U(1)$, which provide us with an important equivalent between the models [46]. The other is by modifying the Kähler potential and keeping the superpotential unchanged. In all models presented in this work we remark that we are in complete consistence with the Planck constraints.

4 Producing Gravitational Waves

Based on the previous analysis we can evaluate the amount of GWs produced during the radiation dominated epoch. The GWs are calculated by the tensor perturbations (2.21). The equation of motion of GWs reads as

$$\frac{d^2 h_k}{d\tau^2} + 2aH \frac{dh_k}{d\tau} + k^2 = \hat{S}_k, \quad (4.1)$$

where h_k corresponds to the tensor metric perturbation written in Fourier space from Eq. (2.21) and the $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_k$ is the source term written in Fourier transformation. In radiation dominated era the solution of Eq.(4.1) is obtained through the method of Green function as

$$h_k(\tau) = \frac{1}{a(\tau)} \int d\tilde{\tau} G_k(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) a(\tilde{\tau}) \hat{\mathcal{S}}_k, \quad (4.2)$$

where the Green function is given as follows

$$G_k(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) = \frac{\sin(k(\tau - \tilde{\tau}))}{k} \Theta(\tau - \tilde{\tau}). \quad (4.3)$$

The power spectrum of GWs is related to the scalar power spectrum, as the h_k can be expressed in curvature perturbation R as

$$h_k(\tau) = \frac{4}{9} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k^3\tau} e(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) R(\mathbf{p}) R(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) [I_c(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \cos(k\tau) + I_s(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \sin(k\tau)], \quad (4.4)$$

where $\tilde{x} = p/k$, $\tilde{y} = |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|/k$ and e is the polarization tensor of the graviton. The functions I_c and I_s will be given below. Hence, the spectrum of second order GWs can be evaluated through the first order scalar perturbations [21–24].

The general expression, in order to generate the present-day GWs density function Ω_{GW} , is given by [50]

$$\Omega_{GW}(k) = \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{k}{aH} \right)^2 \overline{P_h(\tau, k)}, \quad (4.5)$$

where P_h is the tensor power spectrum and the overline denotes the average over time. The P_h is directly related to the scalar power spectrum. Moreover, the energy density of the GWs in terms of scalar power spectrum is [24]

$$\Omega_{GW}(k) = \frac{\Omega_r}{36} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}} dd \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}^{\infty} ds \left[\frac{(s^2 - 1/3)(d^2 - 1/3)}{s^2 + d^2} \right]^2 P_R(kx) P_R(ky) (I_c^2 + I_s^2). \quad (4.6)$$

The radiation density Ω_r has the present day value $\Omega_r \approx 5.4 \times 10^{-5}$. The variables x and y are

$$x = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(s + d), \quad y = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(s - d). \quad (4.7)$$

Finally, the functions I_c and I_s are given by the expressions

$$I_c = -36\pi \frac{(s^2 + d^2 - 2)^2}{(s^2 - d^2)^3} \Theta(s - 1) \quad (4.8)$$

$$I_s = -36 \frac{(s^2 + d^2 - 2)^2}{(s^2 - d^2)^2} \left[\frac{(s^2 + d^2 - 2)}{(s^2 - d^2)} \log \left| \frac{d^2 - 1}{s^2 - 1} \right| + 2 \right]. \quad (4.9)$$

Using that

$$1 \text{ Mpc}^{-1} = 0.97154 \times 10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ and } k = 2\pi f$$

we can evaluate the energy density of power spectrum as a function of the frequency f .

4.1 Modifying the superpotential

Now we turn to the results of the power spectrum and the stochastic spectrum of GWs in the case of superpotentials (3.2) and (3.5), where the Kähler potential gets the form in (y_1, y_2) basis given in Eq. (2.7). By evaluating the effective scalar potential as show in Eq.(2.1), we can get inflection points, which can yield significant enhancement in power spectrum, as calculated from Eq. (2.28). We remark that using the Kähler potential in (T, φ) basis given in Eq. (3.1) and the corresponding superpotential by Eqs. (3.8) and (3.12), we derive the same effective scalar potential and hence the same results. In the following, we will use the basis (y_1, y_2) , in spite the fact that we derive the same effective potential in the basis (T, φ) if we consider the transformation laws given in Eq.(2.8) and analyzed in [17, 46].

Having evaluated the effective scalar potential using the superpotentials in (3.2) and (3.5), as well as the Kähler potential in (2.7), we proceed to the numerical integration of the background dynamics, as given in Eq.(2.20), and the perturbation of the field as given in the Eq. (2.22) and Eq. (2.23). The numerical procedure, which we follow, is shown in Refs. [16, 17]. For initial conditions of the field we use those which that predict n_s and r compatible to Planck measurements [44, 45] and result to a peak in power spectrum in the appropriate place, in order to explain the generation of GWs. The values of the initial condition, as well as the prediction for n_s and r for the cases (3.2) and (3.5) are given in Table 1 respectively. With ϕ we denote the field after the redefinition given in Eq. (2.14) for obtaining canonical kinetic terms.

	ϕ_{ic}	n_s	r
1	4.98	0.9691	0.0103
2	4.84	0.9639	0.0153

Table 1: Initial conditions for the effective scalar potential in Eqs. (3.2) and (3.5) and the predictions for n_s and r .

Afterwards, we evaluate numerically the energy density of GWs, which is given in Eq. (4.6), as we described before. In Figs. 1 and 2 we present the power spectrum and the density abundance of GWs for the cases (3.2) and (3.5) respectively. One can remark that the enhancement of scalar spectrum can be imprinted in the density of GWs. The choice of parameters, in order to achieve the inflection point in the effective scalar potential is given in the caption. Finally, we notice that it is important the position of the peak, to be within $10^{12} - 10^{14} Mpc^{-1}$, in order to lie in the observational range of the future GWs experiments.

4.2 Modifying the Kähler potential

In this section we present the results of the power spectrum and the abundances of GWs in the case of the modified Kähler potential, given in Eqs. (3.11) and (3.13). This case is more labored than the previous one, because there is analytic expression for transformation of




Figure 1: The power spectrum (left) and the density of stochastic GWs (right) for the case of modifying Wess-Zumino superpotential. We choose $\lambda/\mu = 1/3$, $c_1 = 1.9$, $c_2 = -0.73$ and $b_1 = 3.9615$.




Figure 2: The power spectrum (left) and the density of stochastic GW (right) for the case of modifying Cecotti superpotential. We choose $c_3 = 13.2$, $l = 1.00028$ and $b_2 = 8.575$.

the field, in order to have canonical kinetic terms. Hence, it should be used the Eq. (2.19). We proceed with the numerical integration of background and curvature perturbations of the field, assuming that we are in Bunch-Davies vacuum, given in Eqs. (2.20), (2.22) and (2.23), as we have discussed before.

In Figs. 3 and 4 we present the resulting power spectrum and the density abundance of GWs for the Eqs. (3.11) and (3.13), respectively. The choice of initial conditions and the

prediction for n_s and r are given in Table 2. In this table we present the initial condition for the canonical normalized field, which we denote with ϕ . In this Table we show that the prediction of n_s and r are consistent with the Planck constraints [44, 45], as in the previous cases.

	ϕ_{ic}	n_s	r
1	4.899	0.9612	0.0121
2	4.097	0.9601	0.0092

Table 2: Initial conditions for the effective scalar potential by Eqs. (3.9) and (3.11) and the prediction of n_s and r .

In [17] it has been studied the production of PBHs using similar modifications in the SUGRA model. There, it was concluded that the maximum value of the peak of the power spectrum should be around $3 - 5 \times 10^{-2}$. In this analysis here, that refers to the production of GWs this restriction does not apply.

That is, the power spectra of GWs, will be detected by future experiments, such as LISA or DECIGO even if the high of the peak of the power spectrum is smaller than 10^{-2} . Consequently, it is expected that the amount of the fine-tuning in the parameters of the extra terms, will be reduced. We will study this issue in the next section.




Figure 3: The power spectrum (left) and the density of stochastic GWs (right) for the case of modifying Wess-Zumino. We choose for the parameters $b_3 = 87.38$, $c = 0.065$, $c_4 = -4$ and $\lambda/\mu = 0.33327$




Figure 4: The power spectrum (left) and the density of stochastic GWs (right) for the case of modifying Cecotti. The parameters are $d = -0.054$, $b_4 = 7.51511$, $c_4 = 8.8$, $\bar{\lambda} = -0.5$ and $\bar{\mu} = 1.0$

5 Fine-tuning analysis

It is well-known that the enhancement of scalar power spectrum, which occurs due to the inflection point in the effective scalar potential requires a lot of fine-tuning [14]. The value of this enhancement can be smaller in the case of studying the production of GWs than in case of studying the amount of DM from the PBHs. In Ref. [17] there is a discussion explaining how the parameters of the potential presented in this work arise. In this section we analyze the level of fine-tuning by considering the parameter b_i , which is presented throughout this work and is the parameter, which depends on the power spectrum's peak and demands more fine-tuning.

The role of parameter b_i is to trigger an enhancement in the power spectrum. In order to analyze the level of fine-tuning, we calculate the parameter Δ_b , which is shown in Refs. [51, 52] and it is given as the max value of the follow quantity

$$\Delta_b = \left| \frac{\partial \ln(P_R^{peak})}{\partial \ln(b_i)} \right|. \quad (5.1)$$

In the following, we study the fine-tuning of the function $P_R^{peak}(b_i)$. Large value of the maximum of the quantity Δ_b means that a high level of fine-tuning is required. As we mentioned before, we expect that the fine-tuning of the parameters can be decreased in the study of generation of GWs, due to its wider range of peak's height in comparison with the production of PBHs.

In Fig.5 we show the quantity Δ_b as a function of the peak of the power spectrum. In this figure we calculate the Δ_b for the values of b_i appears in the Fig.1-4. We notice




Figure 5: The level of fine-tuning for the cases (3.2) with red line, (3.5) with blue line, (3.9) with green line and (3.11) with orange line.

that there is a decrease of fine-tuning. We remark that in the previous study of Refs [17], where the fine-tuning for the PBHs production is analyzed, the the maximum value of (Δ_b) was at the order of magnitude of 10^6 . In a relevant study of Ref. [14] the amount of fine-tuning for PBHs was at level of 10^8 .

It is possible that the fine-tuning can be decreased further. In Table 3, we show the value of the maximum of Δ_b , assuming that we have the minimal enhancement of peak of the power spectrum, in order to predict the future space based experiment DECIGO. In other words, we calculate the $\max(\Delta_b)$ by considering that the peak of power spectrum is around $k = 10^{-5}$. In Table 3 we present, for comparison, the maximum value of Δ_b for PBHs, as adopted in Refs. [16, 17]. One can notice that there is sizable difference with respect to the case of the production of the GWs. Specifically, the fine-tuning in our current analysis is smaller at least two orders of magnitude.

case	$\max(\Delta_b)_{PBHs}$	$\max(\Delta_b)_{GWs}$
1	7.9×10^5	3.5×10^3
2	9.8×10^5	3.6×10^3
3	1.6×10^5	8.5×10^2
4	4.2×10^6	5.6×10^4

Table 3: The maximum value for Δ_b for the cases in Eqs. (3.2), (3.5),(3.9) and (3.11) respectively. The abundances of PBHs are adopted by [16, 17]. Details given are in main text.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we introduce four models in order to propose a possible scenario for the production of GWs, that can be detected by future space-based experiments of GWs. This scenario is derived by no-scale theory and especially by the breaking of the $SU(2,1)/SU(2)\times U(1)$ symmetry. First, we modified the Wess-Zumino and the Cecotti superpotentials. These modifications leads to the effective scalar potential, which is equivalent in both bases (T, φ) and (y_1, y_2) . Secondly, we keep these two superpotentials unchanged and we modify the Kähler potential in (T, φ) basis. Hence, we derive four inflationary potentials with a near inflection point, which is responsible for a significant enhancement of scalar power spectrum at small scales.

This enhancement of scalar power spectrum is expected to be imprinted in the energy density of GWs. In order to evaluate this energy density, we calculate numerically the perturbations of the field for all the proposed potentials. We show that these models give sizable GWs spectra, which can be detected by the future experiments.

Finally, we estimated the amount of the fine-tuning of the underlying parameters. The fine-tuning is a generic issue in the models that can predict PBH and/or GWs production, though an enhancement of the scalar power spectrum. We conclude that this problem can be lessen in the case of the production of the GWs. Specifically, we showed that the study of GWs demands smaller fine-tuning by two orders of magnitude, in comparison to the models that produce PBHs, enough to account for the DM of the Universe. However, since this fine-tuning, although reduced, is still sizable it's worth considering alternative mechanisms that yield enhancement in the power spectrum. But this will be the topic of an upcoming work.

Acknowledgments

This research work was supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (H.F.R.I.) under the “First Call for H.F.R.I. Research Projects to support Faculty members and Researchers and the procurement of high-cost research equipment grant” (Project Number: 824).

References

- [1] B. P. Abbott, et al., Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116 (6) (2016) 061102. [arXiv:1602.03837](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102](#).
- [2] B. P. Abbott, et al., GW170104: Observation of a 50-Solar-Mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence at Redshift 0.2, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 118 (22) (2017) 221101, [Er-

- ratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 121, 129901 (2018)]. arXiv:1706.01812, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.221101.
- [3] B. P. Abbott, et al., GW170608: Observation of a 19-solar-mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 851 (2017) L35. arXiv:1711.05578, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aa9f0c.
- [4] B. P. Abbott, et al., GW170814: A Three-Detector Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Coalescence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119 (14) (2017) 141101. arXiv:1709.09660, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.141101.
- [5] B. P. Abbott, et al., GW151226: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a 22-Solar-Mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116 (24) (2016) 241103. arXiv:1606.04855, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.241103.
- [6] P. Amaro-Seoane, et al., *Laser Interferometer Space Antenna* (2 2017). arXiv:1702.00786.
- [7] K. Yagi, N. Seto, Detector configuration of DECIGO/BBO and identification of cosmological neutron-star binaries, *Phys. Rev. D* 83 (2011) 044011, [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 95, 109901 (2017)]. arXiv:1101.3940, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.044011.
- [8] J. Garcia-Bellido, E. Ruiz Morales, Primordial black holes from single field models of inflation, *Phys. Dark Univ.* 18 (2017) 47–54. arXiv:1702.03901, doi:10.1016/j.dark.2017.09.007.
- [9] G. Ballesteros, M. Taoso, Primordial black hole dark matter from single field inflation, *Physical Review D* 97 (2) (jan 2018). doi:10.1103/physrevd.97.023501.
- [10] O. Özsoy, S. Parameswaran, G. Tasinato, I. Zavala, Mechanisms for primordial black hole production in string theory, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* 2018 (07) (2018) 005–005. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/07/005.
- [11] M. Cicoli, V. A. Diaz, F. G. Pedro, Primordial black holes from string inflation, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* 2018 (06) (2018) 034–034. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/06/034.
- [12] T.-J. Gao, Z.-K. Guo, Primordial Black Hole Production in Inflationary Models of Supergravity with a Single Chiral Superfield, *Phys. Rev. D* 98 (6) (2018) 063526. arXiv:1806.09320, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.063526.
- [13] I. Dalianis, A. Kehagias, G. Tringas, Primordial black holes from α -attractors, *JCAP* 01 (2019) 037. arXiv:1805.09483, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2019/01/037.
- [14] M. P. Hertzberg, M. Yamada, Primordial Black Holes from Polynomial Potentials in Single Field Inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* 97 (8) (2018) 083509. arXiv:1712.09750, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.083509.

- [15] R. Mahbub, Primordial black hole formation in inflationary α -attractor models, *Phys. Rev. D* 101 (2) (2020) 023533. [arXiv:1910.10602](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.023533](#).
- [16] D. V. Nanopoulos, V. C. Spanos, I. D. Stamou, Primordial Black Holes from No-Scale Supergravity, *Phys. Rev. D* 102 (8) (2020) 083536. [arXiv:2008.01457](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.083536](#).
- [17] I. D. Stamou, Mechanisms of Producing Primordial Black Holes By Breaking The $SU(2,1)/SU(2)\times U(1)$ Symmetry, *Phys. Rev. D* 103 (8) (2021) 083512. [arXiv:2104.08654](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.083512](#).
- [18] J. M. Ezquiaga, J. Garcia-Bellido, E. Ruiz Morales, Primordial Black Hole production in Critical Higgs Inflation, *Phys. Lett. B* 776 345–349. [arXiv:1705.04861](#), [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.11.039](#).
- [19] M. Braglia, D. K. Hazra, F. Finelli, G. F. Smoot, L. Sriramkumar, A. A. Starobinsky, Generating PBHs and small-scale GWs in two-field models of inflation, *JCAP* 08 (2020) 001. [arXiv:2005.02895](#), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2020/08/001](#).
- [20] M. Braglia, X. Chen, D. K. Hazra, Probing Primordial Features with the Stochastic Gravitational Wave Background, *JCAP* 03 (2021) 005. [arXiv:2012.05821](#), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2021/03/005](#).
- [21] V. Acquaviva, N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese, A. Riotto, Second order cosmological perturbations from inflation, *Nucl. Phys. B* 667 (2003) 119–148. [arXiv:astro-ph/0209156](#), [doi:10.1016/S0550-3213\(03\)00550-9](#).
- [22] K. N. Ananda, C. Clarkson, D. Wands, The Cosmological gravitational wave background from primordial density perturbations, *Phys. Rev. D* 75 (2007) 123518. [arXiv:gr-qc/0612013](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.75.123518](#).
- [23] D. Baumann, P. J. Steinhardt, K. Takahashi, K. Ichiki, Gravitational Wave Spectrum Induced by Primordial Scalar Perturbations, *Phys. Rev. D* 76 (2007) 084019. [arXiv:hep-th/0703290](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.76.084019](#).
- [24] J. R. Espinosa, D. Racco, A. Riotto, A Cosmological Signature of the SM Higgs Instability: Gravitational Waves, *JCAP* 09 (2018) 012. [arXiv:1804.07732](#), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/09/012](#).
- [25] J. Adams, B. Cresswell, R. Easther, Inflationary perturbations from a potential with a step, *Physical Review D* 64 (12) (nov 2001). [doi:10.1103/physrevd.64.123514](#).
- [26] K. Kefala, G. P. Kodaxis, I. D. Stamou, N. Tetradis, Features of the inflaton potential and the power spectrum of cosmological perturbations (10 2020). [arXiv:2010.12483](#).

- [27] I. Dalianis, G. P. Kodaxis, I. D. Stamou, N. Tetradis, A. Tsigkas-Kouvelis, Spectrum oscillations from features in the potential of single-field inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* 104 (10) (2021) 103510. [arXiv:2106.02467](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.103510](#).
- [28] V. C. Spanos, I. D. Stamou, Gravitational waves and primordial black holes from supersymmetric hybrid inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* 104 (12) (2021) 123537. [arXiv:2108.05671](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.123537](#).
- [29] Z. Zhou, J. Jiang, Y.-F. Cai, M. Sasaki, S. Pi, Primordial black holes and gravitational waves from resonant amplification during inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* 102 (10) (2020) 103527. [arXiv:2010.03537](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.103527](#).
- [30] A. Gundhi, S. V. Ketov, C. F. Steinwachs, Primordial black hole dark matter in dilaton-extended two-field Starobinsky inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* 103 (8) (2021) 083518. [arXiv:2011.05999](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.083518](#).
- [31] J. Fumagalli, S. Renaux-Petel, L. T. Witkowski, Oscillations in the stochastic gravitational wave background from sharp features and particle production during inflation (12 2020). [arXiv:2012.02761](#).
- [32] I. Dalianis, K. Kritos, Exploring the Spectral Shape of Gravitational Waves Induced by Primordial Scalar Perturbations and Connection with the Primordial Black Hole Scenarios, *Phys. Rev. D* 103 (2) (2021) 023505. [arXiv:2007.07915](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.023505](#).
- [33] G. Domènech, C. Lin, M. Sasaki, Gravitational wave constraints on the primordial black hole dominated early universe, *JCAP* 04 (2021) 062. [arXiv:2012.08151](#), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2021/04/062](#).
- [34] G. Domènech, M. Sasaki, Approximate gauge independence of the induced gravitational wave spectrum, *Phys. Rev. D* 103 (6) (2021) 063531. [arXiv:2012.14016](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.063531](#).
- [35] I. Dalianis, C. Kouvaris, Gravitational Waves from Density Perturbations in an Early Matter Domination Era (12 2020). [arXiv:2012.09255](#).
- [36] W.-T. Xu, J. Liu, T.-J. Gao, Z.-K. Guo, Gravitational waves from double-inflection-point inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* 101 (2) (2020) 023505. [arXiv:1907.05213](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.023505](#).
- [37] T.-J. Gao, X.-Y. Yang, Double peaks of gravitational wave spectrum induced from inflection point inflation (1 2021). [arXiv:2101.07616](#).
- [38] G. Ballesteros, J. Rey, M. Taoso, A. Urbano, Primordial black holes as dark matter and gravitational waves from single-field polynomial inflation, *JCAP* 07 (2020) 025. [arXiv:2001.08220](#), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2020/07/025](#).

- [39] E. Cremmer, S. Ferrara, C. Kounnas, D. V. Nanopoulos, Naturally Vanishing Cosmological Constant in N=1 Supergravity, *Phys. Lett. B* 133 (1983) 61. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(83)90106-5.
- [40] J. R. Ellis, A. B. Lahanas, D. V. Nanopoulos, K. Tamvakis, No-Scale Supersymmetric Standard Model, *Phys. Lett. B* 134 (1984) 429. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(84)91378-9.
- [41] J. R. Ellis, C. Kounnas, D. V. Nanopoulos, Phenomenological SU(1,1) Supergravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* 241 (1984) 406–428. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(84)90054-3.
- [42] J. R. Ellis, C. Kounnas, D. V. Nanopoulos, No Scale Supersymmetric Guts, *Nucl. Phys. B* 247 (1984) 373–395. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(84)90555-8.
- [43] A. B. Lahanas, D. V. Nanopoulos, The Road to No Scale Supergravity, *Phys. Rept.* 145 (1987) 1. doi:10.1016/0370-1573(87)90034-2.
- [44] B. A. et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration, V. Collaboration), Planck2015 results, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 594 (2016) A20. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201525898.
- [45] Y. A. et. al. [Planck Collaboration], Planck 2018 results. x. constraints on inflation [arXiv:http://arxiv.org/abs/1807.06211v2](http://arxiv.org/abs/1807.06211v2).
- [46] J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos, K. A. Olive, S. Verner, A general classification of Starobinsky-like inflationary avatars of SU(2,1)/SU(2) × U(1) no-scale supergravity, *JHEP* 03 (2019) 099. arXiv:1812.02192, doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2019)099.
- [47] J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos, K. A. Olive, Starobinsky-like Inflationary Models as Avatars of No-Scale Supergravity, *JCAP* 10 (2013) 009. arXiv:1307.3537, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2013/10/009.
- [48] J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos, K. A. Olive, No-Scale Supergravity Realization of the Starobinsky Model of Inflation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111 (2013) 111301, [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 111, 129902 (2013)]. arXiv:1305.1247, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.111301.
- [49] S. Cecotti, Higher Derivative Supergravity Is Equivalent To Standard Supergravity Coupled To Matter. 1., *Phys. Lett. B* 190 (1987) 86–92. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)90844-6.
- [50] M. Maggiore, Gravitational wave experiments and early universe cosmology, *Phys. Rept.* 331 (2000) 283–367. arXiv:gr-qc/9909001, doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00102-7.
- [51] R. Barbieri, G. F. Giudice, Upper Bounds on Supersymmetric Particle Masses, *Nucl. Phys. B* 306 (1988) 63–76. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(88)90171-X.

- [52] T. Leggett, T. Li, J. A. Maxin, D. V. Nanopoulos, J. W. Walker, No Naturalness or Fine-tuning Problems from No-Scale Supergravity (3 2014). [arXiv:1403.3099](#).