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ABSTRACT
The spectral classification of Algol C, the third star in the Algol triple system, has long been a matter of some uncertainty. There is good reason to suspect that it should be a metallic-line A-type star, and one study in particular showed that this was so, but further studies have cast doubt on that assessment. We utilized a simple spectral subtraction method between spectra taken in and out of primary eclipse to reveal the light of Algol C in the absence of the light from the brightest star. Our resultant spectrum is well-matched to an F1 V spectroscopic standard and shows no evidence of metallic-line spectral anomalies. We note that this classification matches recent abundance determinations for this source.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Algol (β Persei) is a hierarchical triple system in which Algols A and B are the eponymous eclipsing pair. Algol B, the less massive secondary, is physically large, filling its Roche lobe and losing mass to the primary. The orbital period of Algols A and B is a short 2.87 days. The third component of the system, Algol C, has an orbital period of 680 days around the binary pair (Zavala et al. 2010).
Algol A is by far the brightest star in the system, contributing \( \gtrsim 94\% \) of the light at visual wavelengths (Kolbas et al. 2015). Algol C is the next brightest, contributing \( \gtrsim 5\% \) of the system’s light. The dimmest component of the system is Algol B, which contributes \( \lesssim 0.8\% \) of the system’s light. During primary eclipse, the majority of Algol A is covered up by Algol B. This causes spectral absorption lines from Algol C to become prominent, allowing for identification of the star’s spectral type.

The spectral type for Algol C seems uncertain from the literature. Hall (1939) claimed it was approximately an A5 V star, while Meltzer (1957) approximated F5 V based on absorption line ratios. Other spectral types (e.g., Struve & Sahade 1957) were approximate and while many attempts were made to answer this simple question none could be more precise. Fletcher (1964) determined that Algol C was a metallic-line A-type (Am) star, specifically with a spectral type of kA4hA9.5mF0 for the spectral classes of the Ca ii K line, hydrogen Balmer lines, and metal lines respectively. The presented evidence for this spectral type included...
that the Sr II λ4077, λ4216, and Ca i λ4226 lines were all slightly strong for an A9.5 star, and that the Ca II K line was particularly weak, which is common for Am stars. The strengths of these lines relative to the Fe i lines as well as a magnitude difference between Algols A and C ($\delta M_{ac} = 2.6$) were used as supporting evidence.

Physical considerations justify an Am designation for Algol C. Algol C is a very slowly-rotating star, with a rotational speed of $\approx 12 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Kolbas et al. 2015). Meridional circulation is responsible for chemical mixing in A- and F-type stars that do not have convection zones near the surface, and the star must be rotating at $\gtrsim 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for this effect to take place (Charbonneau 1993). All the Am stars have slower rotation rates than this, though it should be noted that not all slowly-rotating A- and F-type stars are Am stars (Abt & Levy 1985). However, nearly all Am stars are part of close binaries in which the Am star is in synchronous rotation (Gray & Corbally 2009). Algol C is not in synchronous rotation (using the rotation speed from Kolbas et al. 2015), so other evidence is needed to confirm that Algol C is an Am star.

Richards et al. (1987) determined that the spectral type for Algol C is F1 $\pm$ 1. They particularly noted that the Ca II K line was only marginally weak for the spectral type, contrary to Fletcher’s findings. Richards et al. (1988) followed up with a temperature for Algol C of 7500$\pm$500 K, consistent with a late A- or early F-type star. Later, Richards (1993) compared the metal line ratios for Algol C to four other stars (spectral types A7 V to F5 V) using Mn i λ4030, Fe i λ4046, Ca i λ4226, and Fe II λ4233. They showed that the metal line ratios for Algol C were consistent with an F1 or F2 spectral type, with the exception of the Calcium line, which was underabundant. However, their analysis of the Balmer line profiles suggested a temperature of 7500 K, which is too hot for an early F-type star but consistent with their earlier study. Their analysis of the Balmer lines therefore suggests an earlier spectral type than does their abundance analysis.

The most recent study of the metal abundances of Algol C is found in Kolbas et al. (2015). This work disentangles the spectra of the three components in the frequency domain, so that spectral absorption lines are perfectly preserved for each component’s spectrum. They measured the abundances of twenty-two elements for Algol C and compared them to solar abundances and the abundances of chemically normal and Am-type stars from the Hyades open cluster study of Gebran et al. (2010). They show that Algol C has a roughly solar abundance for all elements measured, and of particular note is the fact that they do not see any underabundance of Calcium in its spectrum.

We present a spectrum of Algol C that we then use for spectral classification. Section 2 discusses the observations and data reduction, Section 3 examines the methods used to isolate the spectrum of Algol C, Section 4 presents the analysis of our final spectrum, and we conclude in Section 5.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Low-resolution spectra were obtained using a Lhires III long-slit spectrograph, built by Shelyak, on the Adams Observatory 24-inch f/8 telescope at Austin College. The CCD used to capture the spectra is an e2v 42 – 10 with 13.5 $\mu$m pixels. The spectrograph is in the Littrow configuration with a 35 $\mu$m slit, which measures 1.5” on the sky. We used a 1200 gr/mm grating for a dispersion of 0.54 Å/pixel. The resolution of the spectrograph is therefore 1.4 Å. Observations were taken between 2020-08-14 and 2020-10-13; a log of observations is shown in Table 1 with dates, times, number of spectra secured per observ-
Table 1: Observational Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>No. of Sec</th>
<th>Z Range</th>
<th>Spectra</th>
<th>Phase Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020-08-14</td>
<td>08:32-09:08</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.344-1.223</td>
<td>0.31-0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-08-18</td>
<td>08:11-10:32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.366-1.044</td>
<td>0.70-0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-08-20</td>
<td>08:13-10:06</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.326-1.063</td>
<td>0.40-0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-09-08</td>
<td>05:34-09:39</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.812-1.021</td>
<td>0.99-0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-09-18</td>
<td>06:21-08:01</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.317-1.078</td>
<td>0.49-0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-09-25</td>
<td>04:15-04:44</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.920-1.678</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-09-26</td>
<td>06:57-08:15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.131-1.030</td>
<td>0.28-0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-09-27</td>
<td>04:16-04:58</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.838-1.540</td>
<td>0.59-0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-10-06</td>
<td>07:07-08:57</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.057-1.012</td>
<td>0.77-0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-10-07</td>
<td>04:17-06:07</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.547-1.146</td>
<td>0.08-0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-10-13</td>
<td>05:04-06:15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.247-1.088</td>
<td>0.19-0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ing session, the range in airmass, and the range of orbital phase of the Algol AB system at the time of the observations. Observation dates and times were chosen to observe the system at every tenth of the Algol AB orbital phase. Exposure time was 30 seconds per image.

Data were reduced using standard procedures written in Python. Science images were bias- and dark-subtracted, and flatfield corrected using images taken with an integrated flat-field lamp. Each spectrum was extracted by first fitting a Gaussian curve to the dispersion profile to find its location and width. Pixel values within two standard deviations of the mean were summed, and sky emission was subtracted based on the average values of the sky on either side of the extraction window at each wavelength. The signal-to-noise ratio was computed at each wavelength using the CCD equation. Wavelength calibration was performed by manually identifying the positions of five absorption lines of known wavelength in air in the extracted spectrum and fitting the correlation between pixel number and wavelength value with a quadratic function. Rectified spectra were created by dividing by the continuum that was calculated by fitting a cubic spline between hand-picked continuum points.

3. METHOD OF SPECTRAL SUBTRACTION

3.1. Method Development

Spectral disentanglement in Fourier space has become a common method for isolating components of complex spectra (e.g., Hadrava 1995). But this method requires high spectral resolution, so that individual spectral absorption lines can be resolved and their components can be separated. Our resolution of 1.4 Å is far too large to resolve lines from Algol A & C, whose radial velocity difference is never more than 77 km/s (Kolbas et al. 2015).

A method for determining the spectral classification of companion stars in binary systems using low-resolution spectra was described in Griffin & Griffin (1986). One component of the binary is spectroscopically classified, and then the spectrum of a standard star of that class is subtracted from the spectrum of the binary. This should leave only light from the other com-
Figure 1: Rectified spectra of Algol taken during primary eclipse ($\phi = 0.00$) and at a phase angle out of eclipse ($\phi = 0.31$), offset by 0.2 units for clarity. Numerous absorption lines useful in spectrally classifying B-, A-, and F-type stars are labeled.

ponent, which can then be classified in its own right.

The trouble is that Algol was long considered a B8 V spectroscopic standard in its own right (e.g., Cannon & Pickering 1918; Morgan et al. 1943), and is still used that way on occasion today (e.g., in the library of standard spectra for the expert classifying program MKCLASS; Gray & Corbally 2014). The most commonly used B8 V standard in the northern hemisphere today is a high rotation-rate star, 18 Tau (Garrison & Gray 1994; Gray & Corbally 2009), whose absorption lines are significantly wider than are Algol’s. This would present serious issues when subtracting the two spectra. The other accepted standard, HR 9050, has narrower lines but is only visible from more southern latitudes. Therefore we do not have a suitable standard star with which to perform a spectral subtraction.

Our solution is to subtract a scaled spectrum of Algol taken when it is out of eclipse from a spectrum of Algol when it is in primary eclipse. This is tantamount to removing the light from Algol A, leaving only the light from Algols B & C, because Algol A is by far the brightest star in the system (see § 1; what little light from Algol B that will remain in this final spectrum should be negligible because it is so dim). Representative rectified spectra of Algol taken in and out of eclipse are shown in Figure 1, labeled with the orbital phases at which they were observed. Several differences between the two spectra reveal tantalizing facts about the spectrum of Algol C. These include the stronger Ca ii K line, the weaker He i λ4026 line, the stronger metal lines throughout the spectrum, and the change in the ratio of the He i λ4471 and Mg ii λ4481 lines.

Subtracting a scaled spectrum of Algol A from the spectrum taken during primary eclipse re-
Figure 2: This spectrum of “Algol C” (top) was created by subtracting the rectified spectra shown in Figure 1. The standard star spectrum is that of F0 V spectral standard HD 23585 (bottom).

quires that we determine the scale factor that correctly represents the contribution of Algol A to the total light of the spectrum. We will show some algebra that suggests the range of possible scale values, and we will also show the effect of choosing different scale factors on the final spectrum.

But first we must confront the major issue of how to treat the spectral continuum. Figure 2 illustrates what happens when we subtract continuum-rectified spectra. The subtracted spectra were those shown in Figure 1 and they were scaled appropriately before they were subtracted. This procedure should have resulted in a spectrum that effectively only included light from Algol C. When we compare the subtracted spectrum of “Algol C” to an F0 V spectral standard, however, we see that the strengths of the metal lines in the Algol spectrum vary with wavelength, when compared with the standard, appearing weakest at shorter wavelengths. This makes it appear as if “Algol C” has a spectral type that is earlier than F0 at shorter wavelengths, but later than F0 at longer wavelengths!

The ultimate reason for the line strength’s apparent function with wavelength is that the slopes of the two spectra are different. During primary eclipse a majority of Algol A’s light is being blocked by Algol B, greatly reducing the contribution of Algol A to the overall spectrum. This not only affects the strengths of the lines in the spectrum taken during primary eclipse (as illustrated in Figure 1) but also the shape of the continuum, because the cooler stars now contribute more to the overall light of the spectrum.

3.2. The Finalized Method

We must therefore subtract un-rectified spectra. An extracted, background-subtracted but otherwise uncalibrated spectrum possesses a continuum that is determined by the starlight extincted by the Earth’s atmosphere, and altered according to the spectral response of our instrumentation. Without detailed knowledge concerning the atmospheric extinction or the
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Figure 3: Extracted spectra plotted in ADUs taken at primary eclipse (labeled $\phi = 0.00$) and outside of eclipse (labeled $\phi = 0.31$), normalized.

Given these constraints, we chose spectra taken near phase angle $\phi = 0.31$ at an airmass of sec $z = 1.30$, to subtract from spectra taken at $\phi = 0.00$ (sec $z = 1.45$). We averaged ten individual spectra taken at each phase to increase the signal-to-noise ratio, so that the subtracted spectrum would show absorption lines into the ultraviolet, where the spectrum is lowest signal-to-noise. Figure 3 shows the two spectra, normalized so that they overlap near 4400 Å. These are the same spectra that were first shown in Figure 1, but now with their continua. The spectrum from $\phi = 0.31$ exhibits more light in the ultraviolet than does the spectrum from $\phi = 0.00$. This is expected, since the majority of the UV light from Algol comes from Algol A, which is being blocked during primary eclipse.

Now we must estimate the scale factor by which the $\phi = 0.31$ spectrum will be multiplied. Our spectral range is fairly close to the band-width of the Johnson B-band, so we begin by using the $\Delta m_B \approx 1.2$ that is shown in Kim (1989) for primary eclipse. This magnitude difference equates to a flux ratio $F_{\text{eclipse}}/F_{\text{total}} \approx 0.33$.

The total flux in the B-band is composed of light from stars A, B, and C; i.e., $F_{\text{total}} = F_A + F_B + F_C$. The observed flux during primary eclipse can be given by $F_{\text{eclipse}} \approx 0.33F_{\text{total}} = YF_A + F_B + F_C$, where $Y$ is the fraction of the flux still visible from star A, representing how much light from star A remains during primary eclipse. The contribution of light from star A during primary eclipse to the total light during primary eclipse we call our scale factor $SF$ and is written

$$SF = \frac{YF_A}{YF_A + F_B + F_C}$$

which is reminiscent of the algebra used by Glushneva & Esipov (1968) to find the infrared spectrum of Algol C. This scale factor represents the numerical value that we scale the $\phi = 0.31$ spectrum (our spectrum for Algol A) to that of the $\phi = 0.00$ spectrum (our spectrum for Algols A, B, and C combined) before subtracting. Determining the scale factor $SF$ therefore depends on knowing the fractional fluxes of each star in the system. The photometric study by Kim (1989) suggested that the fraction of light from stars A, B, and C in the B-band is \{0.854, 0.040, 0.106\} respectively, whereas the spectroscopic study of Kolbas et al. (2015) suggested fractions in the same waveband of \{0.943, 0.008, 0.049\}. Various other authors have calculated fractions somewhere between these two sets of values. This provides us with a rough range of possible fractional fluxes. Setting $F_A = 0.85$ on the low end of this range from Kim (1989), then $Y = 0.21$ (using $F_{\text{eclipse}} \approx 0.33F_{\text{total}} = YF_A + F_B + F_C$ from above), and we determine a value $SF = 0.54$. For $F_A = 0.95$ on the high end of this range from Kolbas et al. (2015), we compute $Y = 0.29$ and $SF = 0.85$. 

spectral response function, these factors cannot be removed accurately. But observing Algol in and out of eclipse at similar airmass allows us to assume that the atmospheric extinction is constant. We must also assume that the spectral response is the same for each spectrum.
The choice of the scale factor will have a serious impact on the final interpretation of the spectrum of Algol C. Figure 4 shows spectra of Algol C that were computed with scale factors ranging from 0.55 to 0.85, in increments of 0.05, bracketing the most likely set of values as determined in the previous paragraph. Each spectrum is plotted with an F1 V standard star spectrum overplotted for comparison. Changing the scale factor varies several aspects of the spectrum. Most notable is that the strengths of the metal lines are correlated to the scale factor value. The effect of this correlation is most pronounced at shorter wavelengths, and the Ca II K line is extremely sensitive. The depth of the Balmer lines is also correlated with scale factor, but this effect is only noticeable from scale factors of about 0.70 and above. The widths of the Balmer line wings is the most noticeable feature in the spectrum that is anti-correlated with the scale factor because the lines become narrower as the scale factor is increased.

For the purposes of this investigation, it was decided that an iterative approach was best, whereby a scale factor is applied and then the resultant spectrum is compared to standard star spectra. The comparison is then used to inform a change to the scale factor, after which the procedure is performed over again. When comparing the spectrum of Algol C to the standards, it was further decided that the Balmer lines alone would be used to judge the scale factor choice. This was decided for two reasons. First, the fact that the Balmer line depths and line widths behave oppositely to the scale factor (line depths increase with scale factor while line widths decrease) means that it is possible to find a unique fit to a standard star spectrum using Balmer lines alone. Second, by relying solely on the Balmer lines to determine spectral type we are able to test whether Algol C is an Am star without inviting circular logic into our result, whereby the metal lines are used to judge whether the scale factor choice is optimized. Such logic would only return a result that we were intending to find from the outset.

4. ANALYSIS OF THE ALGOL C SPECTRUM

Figure 5 shows the final spectrum for Algol C. We used a scale factor of \( SF = 0.76 \), corresponding to a fraction of the flux still visible from star A during primary eclipse of \( Y = 0.27 \).

Notably, we do not see any spectral characteristics that would be considered unique to the spectrum of Algol B. This includes the strengths of the Cr I \( \lambda 4254 \) and Fe I lines at \( \lambda 4250 \) and \( \lambda 4260 \), as well as the Mn I \( \lambda 4030 \), all of which would be much stronger if Algol B were contributing substantially to the subtracted spectrum. This was not a surprise: Algol B is a K2 IV star and, being red, is not expected to contribute much light to the ultraviolet-blue portion of the spectrum, where we are studying.
Figure 5: The final spectrum of Algol C (bottom) compared to an F1V comparison spectrum (top). Numerous lines useful in classifying F-type stars are shown.

The disentangled spectrum of Algol B exhibited in Kolbas et al. (2015) shows very weak spectral lines in this wavelength range, so we should not expect much contribution from this source. We ignore Algol B for the remainder of our analysis.

The metal lines in the spectrum of Algol C all appear narrow, consistent with its slow rotation rate. The F1 V spectral standard, 37 UMa, with a rotational speed of 87 km s$^{-1}$ (Garcia Lopez et al. 1993), has similarly narrow lines. Since the resolution of our spectra is 1.4 Å $\approx$ 100 km s$^{-1}$, the width of the lines in both spectra is set by the instrument profile without additional Doppler broadening due to rotation. This is helpful in our analysis, because it allows us to directly compare the spectra shown without having to make corrections for line depths due to different stellar rotation speeds.

The spectrum of Algol C showcases hydrogen Balmer lines that are consistent with an F1 V spectral classification. The metallic line spectrum also appears to be consistent with this spectral type – the strong absorption lines labeled as well as the weaker lines generally – making allowances for the slightly noisier spectrum of Algol C. Most notably when looking for characteristics of Am stars, the Ca II K and Ca I $\lambda$4226 lines do not appear weak, and the Sr II $\lambda$4077 and $\lambda$4216 lines are not strong for the metallic-line spectral type.

The evidence from the literature that suggests Algol C is an Am star requires a closer look. Fletcher (1964) claimed that the Ca II K line was five spectral classes earlier than the Balmer lines, which would be consistent with expectations for Am stars generally. They also claimed that the metal lines were half a spectral type later than were the hydrogen lines, specifically noting that the lines Sr II $\lambda$4077, $\lambda$4216 and Ca I $\lambda$4226 were all slightly strong. This, however, does not make sense for the spectrum from an Am star. The strength of the Ca I $\lambda$4226 line is usually weak compared to the
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Metal line spectrum, though not as weak as the Ca II K line. The Sr II lines, however, are usually stronger (later) than even the metal line spectrum (Gray & Corbally 2009). It would therefore appear that Fletcher (1964) made a mistake. Considering the weak Ca II K line in our “Algol C” spectrum from Figure 2, we suspect it is possible that their fault was in the treatment of the spectral continuum.

Richards (1993) showed evidence for a low Calcium abundance in their spectrum of Algol C. But it also appears that they did not fully trust their abundance analysis, because they classify the star as type F1 V in their Table 1 while referring to it as a “marginal Am star” in the text. They label its spectral classification F1 V again in Richards et al. (2012) without further explanation. When we additionally consider that the Calcium abundance determined by Kolbas et al. (2015) was normal, it seems increasingly clear that the current result is the correct one, and that Algol C is an F1 V star.

We would like to exhaust all possibilities before concluding. Perhaps the spectrum of Algol C has changed since Fletcher’s observations. This is an intriguing thought, since Richards (1993) showed evidence of a low calcium abundance for Algol C, but not to the extreme that Fletcher’s results implied. Such a finding would be reasonable if Algol C were gradually transitioning from an Am star to a normal star over the course of the last sixty years.

However, it seems unlikely that the spectrum has changed significantly over the past sixty years; Am stars identified in the early spectroscopic literature remain so today. An example is the prototypical Am star 63 Tau, which was first identified as a chemically peculiar star in Titus & Morgan (1940) and as an Am star in Morgan et al. (1943), where the Am class was codified. The Am designation for 63 Tau has remained since, with only minor adjustments (e.g., Abt & Morrell 1995).

5. CONCLUSION

We have used a spectral subtraction technique to classify Algol C. We have observed the Algol system in and out of primary eclipse and, having scaled the spectra to one another appropriately, were able to recover a spectrum for Algol C without contamination from Algol A. Our result is that Algol C has the spectrum of an F1 V star; most notably, we see no sign that it possesses the qualities of a metallic-line star. Our result confirms a recent chemical abundance analysis.
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