
EXPECTATION OF THE MAXIMUM OF A PAIR OF RANDOM VARIABLES WITH ZERO-TRUNCATED BIVARIATE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

Tae Yoon Lee

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences
University of British Columbia
dlxodbs@student.ubc.ca

Paul Gustafson

Department of Statistics
University of British Columbia
gustaf@stat.ubc.ca

Mohsen Sadatsafavi

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences
University of British Columbia
mohsen.sadatsafavi@ubc.ca

May 16, 2022

ABSTRACT

Calculating the expectation of the maximum of normally distributed variables arises in many applications. We derive a closed-form solution for the expectation of the maximum of a zero-truncated bivariate normal distribution, and conduct a simulation study to numerically confirm the solution by comparing it with a Monte-Carlo method.

1 Introduction

Calculating the expectation of the maximum of normally distributed variables arises in many applications, such as risk analysis (Kratz, 2019) and decision-theory (Wilson, 2015). Our work is motivated by a problem in value of information analysis in decision theory. Consider a decision-maker who is facing two choices, A and B, and there is uncertainty in the incremental utility (reward) of B over A, represented as a normal distribution. In this case, the expected loss in reward due to uncertainty is related to the unit normal loss integral, with a known closed-form solution (Wilson, 2015).

However, calculating this expectation in higher dimensions is challenging. Consider the same decision-maker now facing a third choice C, with the incremental utilities of B and C over A, represented as a bivariate normal distribution. The expected loss will now be expressed as the expected value of the maximum of a zero-truncated bivariate normal distribution. To the best of our knowledge, no closed-form expression has been derived for this quantity.

2 Expectation of the maximum of a zero-truncated bivariate normal

Let $Y = \max\{X_1, X_2\}$, where (X_1, X_2) is a bivariate normal with mean (μ_1, μ_2) , variance (σ_1^2, σ_2^2) , and correlation ρ . The probability density function of Y , denoted by $f_Y(y)$, can be decomposed as (Nadarajah and Kotz, 2008):

$$f_Y(y) = f_{X_1}(-y) + f_{X_2}(-y),$$

where

$$f_{X_i}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \phi\left(\frac{x + \mu_i}{\sigma_i}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{\rho(x + \mu_i)}{\sigma_i \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} - \frac{x + \mu_j}{\sigma_j \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right).$$

The goal is to compute

$$\begin{aligned} E(\max(Y, 0)) &= E(Y \mathbf{1}\{Y > 0\} + 0 \mathbf{1}\{Y \leq 0\}) \\ &= E(Y \mathbf{1}\{Y > 0\}) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_Y(y) \mathbf{1}\{Y > 0\} dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{X_1}(-y) \mathbf{1}\{Y > 0\} dy + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{X_2}(-y) \mathbf{1}\{Y > 0\} dy \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, it suffices to compute one of the two terms, say the first term.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{X_1}(-y) \mathbf{1}\{Y > 0\} dy &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \phi\left(\frac{-y + \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{\rho(-y + \mu_1)}{\sigma_1 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} - \frac{-y + \mu_2}{\sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right) \mathbf{1}\{Y > 0\} dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \phi\left(\frac{-(y - \mu_1)}{\sigma_1}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{-\rho(y - \mu_1)}{\sigma_1 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} + \frac{(y - \mu_2)}{\sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right) \mathbf{1}\{Y > 0\} dy \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} y \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \phi\left(\frac{(y - \mu_1)}{\sigma_1}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_1(y - \mu_2) - \sigma_2 \rho(y - \mu_1)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right) dy \end{aligned}$$

We solve by the integration by parts technique. Let

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_1(y - \mu_2) - \sigma_2 \rho(y - \mu_1)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right) \\ &= \Phi\left(\frac{y(\sigma_1 - \rho \sigma_2) - \sigma_1 \mu_2 + \rho \sigma_2 \mu_1}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$dv = y \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \phi\left(\frac{(y - \mu_1)}{\sigma_1}\right) dy.$$

Then

$$du = \frac{(\sigma_1 - \rho \sigma_2)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \phi\left(\frac{y(\sigma_1 - \rho \sigma_2) - \sigma_1 \mu_2 + \rho \sigma_2 \mu_1}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right) dy$$

and

$$v = \int y \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \phi\left(\frac{(y - \mu_1)}{\sigma_1}\right) dy = -\sigma_1 \phi\left(\frac{(y - \mu_1)}{\sigma_1}\right) + \mu_1 \Phi\left(\frac{(y - \mu_1)}{\sigma_1}\right).$$

With $\alpha := \frac{\sigma_1\mu_2 - \rho\sigma_2\mu_1}{\sigma_1 - \rho\sigma_2}$ and $\beta := \frac{\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)}}{(\sigma_1 - \rho\sigma_2)}$, we evaluate the first term of the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} [uv]_0^\infty &= \left[\Phi\left(\frac{y(\sigma_1 - \rho\sigma_2) - \sigma_1\mu_2 + \rho\sigma_2\mu_1}{\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)}}\right) \left(-\sigma_1\phi\left(\frac{y - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) + \mu_1\Phi\left(\frac{y - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)\right) \right]_0^\infty \\ &= \mu_1 \left[\mathbf{1}\{(\sigma_1 - \rho\sigma_2) > 0\} + \Phi\left(\frac{-\sigma_1\mu_2 + \rho\sigma_2\mu_1}{\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)}}\right) \mathbf{1}\{(\sigma_1 - \rho\sigma_2) = 0\} \right] \\ &\quad - \Phi\left(\frac{-\sigma_1\mu_2 + \rho\sigma_2\mu_1}{\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)}}\right) \left(-\sigma_1\phi\left(\frac{-\mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) + \mu_1\Phi\left(\frac{-\mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty v du &= 1/\beta \int_0^\infty \left(\mu_1\Phi\left(\frac{y - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) - \sigma_1\phi\left(\frac{y - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)\right) \phi\left(\frac{y - \alpha}{\beta}\right) dy \\ &=: T1 + T2. \end{aligned}$$

We solve each component using the table of integrals by Owen (1980). Let $sBVN(x, y, z)$ be the cumulative distribution function of the standard bivariate normal with the upper limits x, y and the correlation z . We have two cases depending on the sign of β .

Case i) β is positive.

$$\begin{aligned} T1 &= \frac{\mu_1}{\beta} \int_0^\infty \Phi\left(\frac{y - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) \phi\left(\frac{y - \alpha}{\beta}\right) dy \\ &\quad \text{use change of variable } x = (y - \alpha)/\beta \\ &= \frac{\mu_1}{\beta} \int_{-\alpha/\beta}^\infty \phi(x) \Phi\left(\frac{\beta x + \alpha - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) (\beta dx) \\ &\quad \text{let } a = (\mu_1 - \alpha)/\beta \text{ and } b = \sigma_1/\beta \\ &= \mu_1 \int_{-\alpha/\beta}^\infty \phi(x) \Phi\left(\frac{x - a}{b}\right) dx \\ &= \mu_1 \left[\int_{-\infty}^\infty \phi(x) \Phi\left(\frac{x - a}{b}\right) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{-\alpha/\beta} \phi(x) \Phi\left(\frac{x - a}{b}\right) dx \right] \\ &= \mu_1 \left[\Phi\left(\frac{(-a/b)}{\sqrt{(1 + (1/b)^2)}}\right) - sBVN\left(\frac{-a}{\sqrt{(1 + b^2)}}, \frac{-\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{(1 + b^2)}}\right) \right], \\ T2 &= \frac{-\sigma_1}{\beta} \int_0^\infty \phi\left(\frac{y - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) \phi\left(\frac{y - \alpha}{\beta}\right) dy \\ &\quad \text{use change of variable } x = (y - \alpha)/\beta \\ &= \frac{-\sigma_1}{\beta} \int_{-\alpha/\beta}^\infty \phi\left(\frac{x\beta + \alpha - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) \phi(x) (\beta dx) \\ &\quad \text{let } a = (\alpha - \mu_1)/\sigma_1, b = \beta/\sigma_1, t = \sqrt{1 + b^2} \\ &= -\sigma_1 \int_{-\alpha/\beta}^\infty \phi(a + bx) \phi(x) dx \\ &= -\sigma_1 \left[\frac{1}{t} \phi(a/t) \Phi(tx + ab/t) \right]_{-\alpha/\beta}^\infty \\ &= \frac{-\sigma_1}{t} \phi(a/t) (1 - \Phi(-t\alpha/\beta + ab/t)). \end{aligned}$$

Case ii) β is negative.

$$\begin{aligned} T1 &= \frac{\mu_1}{\beta} \int_0^\infty \Phi\left(\frac{y - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) \phi\left(\frac{y - \alpha}{\beta}\right) dy \\ &\quad \text{use change of variable } x = -(y - \alpha)/\beta = (y - \alpha)/|\beta| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\mu_1}{\beta} \int_{-\alpha/|\beta|}^{\infty} \phi(-x) \Phi\left(\frac{|\beta|x + \alpha - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) (|\beta| dx) \\
&\text{let } a = (\mu_1 - \alpha)/|\beta| \text{ and } b = \sigma_1/|\beta| \\
&= -\mu_1 \int_{-\alpha/|\beta|}^{\infty} \phi(x) \Phi\left(\frac{x-a}{b}\right) dx \\
&= -\mu_1 \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \Phi\left(\frac{x-a}{b}\right) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{-\alpha/|\beta|} \phi(x) \Phi\left(\frac{x-a}{b}\right) dx \right] \\
&= -\mu_1 \left[\Phi\left(\frac{(-a/b)}{\sqrt{(1+(1/b)^2)}}\right) - sBVN\left(\frac{-a}{\sqrt{(1+b^2)}}, \frac{-\alpha}{|\beta|}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{(1+b^2)}}\right) \right], \\
T2 &= \frac{-\sigma_1}{\beta} \int_0^{\infty} \phi\left(\frac{y-\mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) \phi\left(\frac{y-\alpha}{\beta}\right) dy \\
&\text{use change of variable } x = -(y-\alpha)/\beta = (y-\alpha)/|\beta| \\
&= \frac{-\sigma_1}{\beta} \int_{-\alpha/|\beta|}^{\infty} \phi\left(\frac{x|\beta| + \alpha - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) \phi(x) (|\beta| dx) \\
&\text{let } a = (\alpha - \mu_1)/\sigma_1, b = |\beta|/\sigma_1, t = \sqrt{1+b^2} \\
&= \sigma_1 \int_{-\alpha/|\beta|}^{\infty} \phi(a+bx) \phi(x) dx \\
&= \sigma_1 \left[\frac{1}{t} \phi(a/t) \Phi(tx + ab/t) \right]_{-\alpha/|\beta|}^{\infty} \\
&= \frac{\sigma_1}{t} \phi(a/t) (1 - \Phi(-t\alpha/|\beta| + ab/t)).
\end{aligned}$$

The R-code is available in the R package `predtools` (<https://github.com/resplab/predtools/>).

3 Simulation study

We conduct a brief simulation study to numerically confirm our “exact” method by comparing it with a Monte-Carlo method.

3.1 Set-up

We use the following combination of values for the simulation study, leading to a total of 57,475 cases.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1 &= [-5, -1, 0] \\
\mu_2 &= [-5, -1, 0] \\
\sigma_1^2 &= [1, 3] \\
\sigma_2^2 &= [1, 3] \\
\rho &= [-0.9, -0.5, 0, 0.9].
\end{aligned}$$

For the Monte-Carlo method, we use the R package `mvtnorm` with a sample size of 10^4 .

3.2 Results

Table 1 provides a subset of results; full results are available in the GitHub repository. The results show that there is almost no difference between the exact and Monte-Carlo methods (the differences are within the 95% Monte-Carlo confidence intervals).

Table 1: Subset of the simulation results

μ_1	μ_2	σ_1^2	σ_2^2	ρ	Exact	Monte-Carlo
-5	-5	1	1	-0.9	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	1	1	-0.9	0.08	0.08
0	-5	1	1	-0.9	0.40	0.39
-5	-1	1	1	-0.9	0.08	0.09
-1	-1	1	1	-0.9	0.17	0.16
0	-1	1	1	-0.9	0.48	0.48
-5	0	1	1	-0.9	0.40	0.40
-1	0	1	1	-0.9	0.48	0.50
0	0	1	1	-0.9	0.79	0.78
-5	-5	3	1	-0.9	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	3	1	-0.9	0.30	0.30
0	-5	3	1	-0.9	0.69	0.68
-5	-1	3	1	-0.9	0.08	0.09
-1	-1	3	1	-0.9	0.39	0.39
0	-1	3	1	-0.9	0.77	0.78
-5	0	3	1	-0.9	0.40	0.40
-1	0	3	1	-0.9	0.70	0.70
0	0	3	1	-0.9	1.08	1.09
-5	-5	1	3	-0.9	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	1	3	-0.9	0.08	0.08
0	-5	1	3	-0.9	0.40	0.40
-5	-1	1	3	-0.9	0.30	0.31
-1	-1	1	3	-0.9	0.39	0.39
0	-1	1	3	-0.9	0.70	0.70
-5	0	1	3	-0.9	0.69	0.67
-1	0	1	3	-0.9	0.77	0.79
0	0	1	3	-0.9	1.08	1.06
-5	-5	3	3	-0.9	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	3	3	-0.9	0.30	0.30
0	-5	3	3	-0.9	0.69	0.68
-5	-1	3	3	-0.9	0.30	0.30
-1	-1	3	3	-0.9	0.61	0.61
0	-1	3	3	-0.9	0.99	1.01

Continued on next page

Table 1: Subset of the simulation results (Continued)

μ_1	μ_2	σ_1^2	σ_2^2	ρ	Exact	Monte-Carlo
-5	0	3	3	-0.9	0.69	0.69
-1	0	3	3	-0.9	0.99	1.00
0	0	3	3	-0.9	1.36	1.35
-5	-5	1	1	-0.5	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	1	1	-0.5	0.08	0.08
0	-5	1	1	-0.5	0.40	0.42
-5	-1	1	1	-0.5	0.08	0.08
-1	-1	1	1	-0.5	0.17	0.17
0	-1	1	1	-0.5	0.48	0.48
-5	0	1	1	-0.5	0.40	0.41
-1	0	1	1	-0.5	0.48	0.48
0	0	1	1	-0.5	0.74	0.74
-5	-5	3	1	-0.5	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	3	1	-0.5	0.30	0.30
0	-5	3	1	-0.5	0.69	0.69
-5	-1	3	1	-0.5	0.08	0.09
-1	-1	3	1	-0.5	0.38	0.38
0	-1	3	1	-0.5	0.77	0.76
-5	0	3	1	-0.5	0.40	0.39
-1	0	3	1	-0.5	0.68	0.67
0	0	3	1	-0.5	1.02	1.04
-5	-5	1	3	-0.5	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	1	3	-0.5	0.08	0.09
0	-5	1	3	-0.5	0.40	0.40
-5	-1	1	3	-0.5	0.30	0.31
-1	-1	1	3	-0.5	0.38	0.38
0	-1	1	3	-0.5	0.68	0.67
-5	0	1	3	-0.5	0.69	0.69
-1	0	1	3	-0.5	0.77	0.78
0	0	1	3	-0.5	1.02	1.04
-5	-5	3	3	-0.5	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	3	3	-0.5	0.30	0.29
0	-5	3	3	-0.5	0.69	0.67

Continued on next page

Table 1: Subset of the simulation results (Continued)

μ_1	μ_2	σ_1^2	σ_2^2	ρ	Exact	Monte-Carlo
-5	-1	3	3	-0.5	0.30	0.31
-1	-1	3	3	-0.5	0.60	0.61
0	-1	3	3	-0.5	0.96	0.94
-5	0	3	3	-0.5	0.69	0.71
-1	0	3	3	-0.5	0.96	0.95
0	0	3	3	-0.5	1.29	1.27
-5	-5	1	1	0	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	1	1	0	0.08	0.09
0	-5	1	1	0	0.40	0.39
-5	-1	1	1	0	0.08	0.08
-1	-1	1	1	0	0.16	0.16
0	-1	1	1	0	0.45	0.45
-5	0	1	1	0	0.40	0.41
-1	0	1	1	0	0.45	0.45
0	0	1	1	0	0.68	0.68
-5	-5	3	1	0	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	3	1	0	0.30	0.31
0	-5	3	1	0	0.69	0.69
-5	-1	3	1	0	0.08	0.08
-1	-1	3	1	0	0.37	0.38
0	-1	3	1	0	0.74	0.74
-5	0	3	1	0	0.40	0.40
-1	0	3	1	0	0.63	0.63
0	0	3	1	0	0.94	0.95
-5	-5	1	3	0	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	1	3	0	0.08	0.08
0	-5	1	3	0	0.40	0.40
-5	-1	1	3	0	0.30	0.30
-1	-1	1	3	0	0.37	0.36
0	-1	1	3	0	0.63	0.64
-5	0	1	3	0	0.69	0.69
-1	0	1	3	0	0.74	0.75
0	0	1	3	0	0.94	0.96

Continued on next page

Table 1: Subset of the simulation results (Continued)

μ_1	μ_2	σ_1^2	σ_2^2	ρ	Exact	Monte-Carlo
-5	-5	3	3	0	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	3	3	0	0.30	0.30
0	-5	3	3	0	0.69	0.69
-5	-1	3	3	0	0.30	0.30
-1	-1	3	3	0	0.56	0.55
0	-1	3	3	0	0.90	0.90
-5	0	3	3	0	0.69	0.69
-1	0	3	3	0	0.90	0.88
0	0	3	3	0	1.18	1.20
-5	-5	1	1	0.9	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	1	1	0.9	0.08	0.08
0	-5	1	1	0.9	0.40	0.41
-5	-1	1	1	0.9	0.08	0.08
-1	-1	1	1	0.9	0.11	0.11
0	-1	1	1	0.9	0.40	0.41
-5	0	1	1	0.9	0.40	0.40
-1	0	1	1	0.9	0.40	0.40
0	0	1	1	0.9	0.49	0.49
-5	-5	3	1	0.9	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	3	1	0.9	0.30	0.30
0	-5	3	1	0.9	0.69	0.69
-5	-1	3	1	0.9	0.08	0.08
-1	-1	3	1	0.9	0.31	0.31
0	-1	3	1	0.9	0.69	0.69
-5	0	3	1	0.9	0.40	0.39
-1	0	3	1	0.9	0.46	0.47
0	0	3	1	0.9	0.73	0.75
-5	-5	1	3	0.9	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	1	3	0.9	0.08	0.08
0	-5	1	3	0.9	0.40	0.39
-5	-1	1	3	0.9	0.30	0.30
-1	-1	1	3	0.9	0.31	0.31
0	-1	1	3	0.9	0.46	0.47

Continued on next page

Table 1: Subset of the simulation results (Continued)

μ_1	μ_2	σ_1^2	σ_2^2	ρ	Exact	Monte-Carlo
-5	0	1	3	0.9	0.69	0.67
-1	0	1	3	0.9	0.69	0.71
0	0	1	3	0.9	0.73	0.73
-5	-5	3	3	0.9	0.00	0.00
-1	-5	3	3	0.9	0.30	0.32
0	-5	3	3	0.9	0.69	0.68
-5	-1	3	3	0.9	0.30	0.30
-1	-1	3	3	0.9	0.39	0.40
0	-1	3	3	0.9	0.70	0.70
-5	0	3	3	0.9	0.69	0.68
-1	0	3	3	0.9	0.70	0.71
0	0	3	3	0.9	0.85	0.84

References

- Kratz, M. (2019). Introduction to extreme value theory: Applications to risk analysis and management. In *2017 MATRIX Annals*, pp. 591–636. Springer.
- Nadarajah, S. and S. Kotz (2008). Exact distribution of the max/min of two gaussian random variables. *IEEE Transactions on very large scale integration (VLSI) systems* 16(2), 210–212.
- Owen, D. B. (1980). A table of normal integrals: A table. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation* 9(4), 389–419.
- Wilson, E. C. (2015). A practical guide to value of information analysis. *Pharmacoeconomics* 33(2), 105–121.