

# THE PRIME NUMBER THEOREM AND PAIR CORRELATION OF ZEROS OF THE RIEMANN ZETA-FUNCTION

D. A. GOLDSTON AND ADE IRMA SURIAJAYA

ABSTRACT. We prove that the error in the prime number theorem can be quantitatively improved beyond the Riemann Hypothesis bound by using versions of Montgomery's conjecture for the pair correlation of zeros of the Riemann zeta-function which are uniform in long ranges and with suitable error terms.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\pi(x)$  denote the number of primes less than or equal to  $x$ , and define  $\mathcal{P}(x)$  by

$$(1.1) \quad \pi(x) = \text{li}(x) + \mathcal{P}(x), \quad \text{where } \text{li}(x) := \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t}.$$

The prime number theorem is the assertion that  $\mathcal{P}(x) = o(\text{li}(x)) = o(\frac{x}{\log x})$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , and we refer to  $\mathcal{P}(x)$  as the error in the prime number theorem. The connection of  $\mathcal{P}(x)$  with the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function is made most easily by using the von Mangoldt function  $\Lambda(n)$ . Writing

$$(1.2) \quad \psi(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) = x + \mathcal{R}(x),$$

then the prime number theorem is equivalent to  $\mathcal{R}(x) = o(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . This formulation of the prime number theorem is so widely used that it is also called the prime number theorem and  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  is also referred to as the error in the prime number theorem. The transition from  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  to  $\mathcal{P}(x)$  is easily made; here we will assume the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) and use [MV07, Theorem 13.2]

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{P}(x) = \frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{\log x} - \frac{x^{1/2}}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x^{1/2}}{\log^2 x}\right).$$

The best unconditional estimate for  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  was obtained by Korobov and also Vinogradov in 1958. The Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to the estimate

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) \ll x^{1/2+\epsilon}, \quad \text{for any } \epsilon > 0.$$

The best known estimate for the error in the prime number theorem assuming the RH is due to von Koch [Koc01], who in 1901 proved

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) = O\left(x^{1/2} \log^2 x\right),$$

and thus  $\mathcal{P}(x) = O\left(x^{1/2} \log x\right)$ . On the other hand, Schmidt [Sch03] in 1903 proved

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) = \Omega_{\pm}(x^{1/2}).$$

This last result is unconditional since if RH is false an even stronger result is true. At this point a surprising difficulty arises since when substituting (1.6) into (1.3) the constant obtained by Schmidt's method is too small to imply that there exists any value of  $x$  for which  $\mathcal{P}(x) > 0$ , see [Ing32, Footnote p. 93], and this leaves open the question of whether  $\text{li}(x) > \pi(x)$  is always true.\* This question was answered by Littlewood

---

*Date:* May 16, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M06, 11M26, 11N05.

*Key words and phrases.* prime numbers, Riemann zeta-function, Prime Number Theorem.

\* The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 18K13400 and 22K13895, and also MEXT Initiative for Realizing Diversity in the Research Environment.

\*The definition we use here for  $\text{li}(x)$  in (1.1) has  $\text{li}(x) < \pi(x)$  for  $x = 2, 3, 5, 7$  but these exceptions do not occur for the usual definition of  $\text{li}(x) = \int_0^x dt / \log t$  used elsewhere in mathematics.

in 1914, who proved

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) = \Omega_{\pm}(x^{1/2} \log \log \log x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}(x) = \Omega_{\pm}\left(\frac{x^{1/2}}{\log x} \log \log \log x\right).$$

In over the last hundred years there has been no improvement in von Koch's upper bound (1.5) and Littlewood's lower bound (1.7).

As for the actual size of the error in the prime number theorem, on probability grounds it has been conjectured [MV07, p. 484] that

$$(1.8) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{x^{1/2}(\log \log \log x)^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi}, \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{x^{1/2}(\log \log \log x)^2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}.$$

In this paper we will improve on the RH bound (1.5) by assuming in addition conjectures related to the pair correlation for zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. The first result of this type is due to Gallagher and Mueller [GM78] in 1978, who proved that

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) = o(x^{1/2} \log^2 x),$$

subject to the RH and the conjecture that there exists a pair correlation density function. Using an extension of this pair correlation density function conjecture and RH, Mueller [Mue76] proved that

$$(1.10) \quad \int_0^X (\pi(x + \lambda \log X) - \pi(x))^2 dx \sim (\lambda + \lambda^2)X,$$

a result later obtained in a different way in [GM87]. In unpublished work Gallagher and Mueller were able to use this extended pair correlation density conjecture with stronger error terms to prove

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) = O(x^{1/2}(\log \log x)^2);$$

however Mueller showed the conjecture is sometimes false with such strong error terms. Assuming only RH, Gallagher [Gal80] proved that (1.11) holds except possibly on a set of finite logarithmic measure.

We use here a related method introduced by Heath-Brown [Hea82] in 1981. For  $x > 0$ ,  $T \geq 3$ , and  $\beta \geq 1$ , define

$$(1.12) \quad F_{\beta}(x, T) := \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' \leq T} x^{i(\gamma - \gamma')} w_{\beta}(\gamma - \gamma'), \quad w_{\beta}(u) = \frac{4\beta^2}{4\beta^2 + u^2},$$

where the sum is over the imaginary parts  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  of zeta-function zeros. This is a generalization of Montgomery's function  $F(x, T)$  [Mon72], which is the case  $\beta = 1$  and we have  $F(x, T) = F_1(x, T)$ . Heath-Brown proved (1.9) by assuming RH together with the conjecture that  $F(x, T) = o(T \log^2 T)$  holds uniformly for  $T \leq x \leq T^M$  for any fixed number  $M$ . The function  $F_{\beta}(x, T)$  was introduced by Goldston and Heath-Brown [GH84] in 1984, and we will use it as the main tool in this paper.

Recently we obtained a quantitative improvement in the bound for  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  as an application of a method related to a formula of Fujii [GS21]. The main result we obtained is that, assuming RH and

$$(1.13) \quad F(x, T) \ll T \log x \quad \text{holds uniformly for } T \leq x \leq T^{\log T},$$

then this implies for  $1 \leq h \leq x$  that

$$(1.14) \quad J(x, h) := \int_0^x (\psi(t+h) - \psi(t) - h)^2 dt \ll hx \log x,$$

and this in turn implies

$$(1.15) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) \ll x^{1/2}(\log x)^{3/2}.$$

Our approach here is to work directly with  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  via its explicit formula. As we will show, conjectured bounds for  $F_{\beta}(x, T)$  provides good upper bounds for  $\mathcal{R}(x)$ , and in turn conjectured asymptotic formulas for  $F(x, T)$  with suitable error terms imply these bounds on  $F_{\beta}(x, T)$ . There is probably no hope of proving any of these conjectures at present, but they demonstrate that we can obtain significant improvements over (1.5) from upper bounds for exponential sums over zeros which are only powers of logarithms smaller than trivial, rather than the square root of the main term bounds needed in other problems concerning primes.

## 2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

We note that  $F_\beta(x, T) \geq 0$  by (3.3) below, and also the trivial bound

$$(2.1) \quad F_\beta(x, T) \ll \beta T \log^2 T.$$

Anything stronger than this bound over an appropriate range will improve on (1.5).

**Conjecture 1.** *For  $T \geq 3$ , let  $\mathcal{L}(T)$  and  $\beta = \beta(T)$  be two non-decreasing continuous functions satisfying  $1 \leq \beta(T) \ll \log^3 T$  and  $\log T \ll \mathcal{L}(T) \ll \beta(T) \log^2 T$ . If  $W = W(x)$  is an increasing function and  $W(x) \gg (\log x)^A$  for a large constant  $A$ , then*

$$(2.2) \quad F_\beta(x, T) \ll T \mathcal{L}(T) \quad \text{uniformly for } W(x) \ll T \ll x^{1/2} \log^2 x.$$

Define

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{M}(x) := \sum_{1 \leq k \ll \log x} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{L}(2^k)}{\beta(2^k)}}.$$

**Theorem 1.** *Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis and Conjecture 1, we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) \ll x^{1/2} (\mathcal{M}(x) + (\log W(x))^2).$$

If in Theorem 1 we use  $\beta = 1$  and the trivial bound (2.1), we get the RH bound (1.5). The strongest results are obtained by taking  $\mathcal{L}(T) = \log T$ , from which we obtain the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1.** *Assume the Riemann Hypothesis. For  $\beta = (\log T)^{3-2a}$  with fixed  $0 < a \leq 3/2$ , if*

$$(2.5) \quad F_\beta(x, T) \ll T \log T \quad \text{uniformly for } \frac{T^2}{\log^4 T} \ll x \ll T^{(\log T)^{(2-a)/a}},$$

then this implies

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) \ll x^{1/2} \log^a x.$$

For the case  $a = 3/2$  and thus  $\beta = 1$  in Corollary 1, we can weaken (2.5) slightly.

**Corollary 2.** *Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis. If*

$$(2.7) \quad F(x, T) \ll T \log x \quad \text{uniformly for } \frac{T^2}{\log^4 T} \ll x \ll T^{(\log T)^{1/3}},$$

then we have

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) \ll x^{1/2} (\log x)^{3/2}.$$

This is the result (1.15) obtained in [GS21] with a smaller range of validity for the conjecture.

**Corollary 3.** *Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis. Let  $A > 1$  and*

$$(2.9) \quad \beta = \frac{\log^3 T}{A^4 (\log \log 2T)^2}.$$

If

$$(2.10) \quad F_\beta(x, T) \ll T \log T, \quad \text{uniformly for } \frac{T^2}{\log^4 T} \ll x \ll T^{1/A},$$

then we have

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) \ll A^2 x^{1/2} (\log \log x)^2.$$

This is a version of Gallagher and Mueller's (1.11). We have no evidence to support the conjecture (2.10) in such a long range of validity for  $x$ .

The parameter  $\beta$  is very useful in obtaining these results, but following [FSZ09], we show that it is possible to eliminate this parameter and formulate our results entirely in terms of conjectures on  $F(x, T)$ .

**Conjecture 2.** For  $T \geq 3$ , let  $\mathcal{L}(T)$  and  $\beta = \beta(T)$  be two non-decreasing continuous functions satisfying  $1 \leq \beta(T) \ll \log^3 T$  and  $\log T \ll \mathcal{L}(T) \ll \beta(T) \log^2 T$ . If  $W = W(x)$  is an increasing function and  $W(x) \gg (\log x)^A$  for a large constant  $A$ , then

$$(2.12) \quad \max_{\frac{1}{2 \log T} \leq v \leq 2 \log T} |F(xv, T) - F(x, T)| \ll \frac{T \mathcal{L}(T)}{\beta(T)^2} \quad \text{uniformly for } W(x) \ll T \ll x^{1/2} \log^2 x.$$

**Theorem 2.** Conjecture 2 implies Conjecture 1 and (2.2) with the same choices of  $\mathcal{L}(T)$ ,  $\beta(T)$ , and  $W(x)$ .

In [FSZ09] it is conjectured that  $F(x, T)$  satisfies an asymptotic formula with a power of logarithm savings error term. Using this we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 4.** Let  $N(T)$  denote the number of complex zeros of the Riemann zeta-function in the upper half-plane up to a given height  $T > 0$  (explicitly given in (4.1)). If for some constant  $B > 0$

$$(2.13) \quad F(x, T) = N(T) \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{(\log T)^B} \right) \right) \quad \text{uniformly for } W \left( \frac{x}{\log T} \right) \ll T \ll x^{1/2} \log^3 x,$$

then Conjectures 1 and 2 hold with  $\mathcal{L}(T) = \log T$ ,  $1 \leq \beta(T) \ll (\log T)^{B/2}$ , and the same choice of  $W$ .

Thus, for example, we obtain the result (2.11) from (2.13) with  $W(x) = (\log x)^A$  and  $B = 6$ .

### 3. TWO LEMMAS

Our first lemma is a slight generalization of a lemma from [GH84] and [Hea82].

**Lemma 1.** For  $x \geq 1$ ,  $3 \leq s < t$ , and  $0 < \beta = \beta(t) \leq t$ , let

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_\beta(x, t, s) := \max_{s \leq v, v' \leq t} F_{\beta(v')}(x, v).$$

Then we have

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} \right| \ll \sqrt{\frac{t}{\beta(t)}} \mathcal{F}_\beta(x, t, s).$$

*Proof of Lemma 1.* We first note that

$$(3.3) \quad F_\beta(x, T) = \beta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta|u|} \left| \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right|^2 du,$$

which immediately follows from the formula

$$(3.4) \quad \beta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta|u|} e^{ivu} du = w_\beta(v).$$

One form of the Gallagher-Sobolev inequality [Mon71, Lemma 1.1] states that for any  $C^1$  function  $f$  on the interval  $[-a, a]$

$$|f(0)| \leq \frac{1}{2a} \int_{-a}^a |f(u)| du + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-a}^a |f'(u)| du.$$

Applying this with  $a = 1/\beta(t)$ ,  $3 \leq s < t$ , and

$$f(u) = \left( \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right)^2,$$

we have, on using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} \right|^2 &\leq \frac{\beta(t)}{2} \int_{-1/\beta(t)}^{1/\beta(t)} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right|^2 du + \int_{-1/\beta(t)}^{1/\beta(t)} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right| \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} \gamma x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right| du \\ &\ll \beta(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(t)|u|} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right|^2 du \\ &\quad + \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(t)|u|} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right|^2 du \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(t)|u|} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} \gamma x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right|^2 du \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality  $|a \pm b|^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ , we see by (3.3) and (3.1) that

$$\beta(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(t)|u|} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right|^2 du \leq 2F_{\beta(t)}(x, s) + 2F_{\beta(t)}(x, t) \leq 4\mathcal{F}_{\beta}(x, t, s),$$

and therefore

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} \right|^2 \ll \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(x, t, s) + \left( \frac{1}{\beta(t)} \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(x, t, s) \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(t)|u|} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} \gamma x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right|^2 du \right)^{1/2}.$$

By partial summation with

$$(3.6) \quad S(r) := \sum_{s < \gamma \leq r} (xe^u)^{i\gamma},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} \gamma x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} &= \int_s^t rdS(r) = tS(t) - \int_s^t S(r) dr \\ &= t \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} - \int_s^t \sum_{s < \gamma \leq r} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} dr. \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument used to obtain (3.5), as well as (3.3) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(t)|u|} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} \gamma x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right|^2 du \\ &\ll t^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(t)|u|} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right|^2 du + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(t)|u|} \left| \int_s^t \sum_{s < \gamma \leq r} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} dr \right|^2 du \\ &\ll \frac{t^2}{\beta(t)} \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(x, t, s) + (t-s) \int_s^t \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(t)|u|} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq r} x^{i\gamma} e^{i\gamma u} \right|^2 du \right) dr \\ &\ll \frac{t^2}{\beta(t)} \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(x, t, s). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (3.5) gives

$$\left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} x^{i\gamma} \right|^2 \ll \left( 1 + \frac{t}{\beta(t)} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(x, t, s) \ll \frac{t}{\beta(t)} \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(x, t, s)$$

if  $0 < \beta(t) \leq t$ . Lemma 1 now follows.  $\square$

Our next lemma is a formula from [FSZ09] for evaluating  $F_\beta(x, T)$  using  $F(x, T)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *We have, for  $x \geq 1$ ,  $T \geq 3$ , and  $\beta > 0$ ,*

$$(3.7) \quad F_\beta(x, T) = F(x, T) + \beta(1 - \beta^2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F(xe^u, T) - F(x, T)) e^{-2\beta|u|} du.$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.* This can be easily verified directly using (3.3) and (3.4). It can also be obtained from these equations using the algebra identity

$$w_\beta(a) - \beta^2 w(a) = (1 - \beta^2) w(a) w_\beta(a),$$

which implies

$$w_\beta(a) = w(a) + (1 - \beta^2) (w(a) w_\beta(a) - w(a)).$$

□

#### 4. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS AND COROLLARIES

The Riemann-von Mangoldt formula states

$$(4.1) \quad N(T) := \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} 1 = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + O(\log T),$$

see for example [Ing32, Theorem 25] or [Tit86, Theorem 9.4]. Thus  $N(T) \sim \frac{T}{2\pi} \log T$ , and we also obtain

$$(4.2) \quad N(T+1) - N(T) = \sum_{T < \gamma \leq T+1} 1 \ll \log T,$$

(see [Ing32, Theorem 25a] or [Tit86, Theorem 9.2]).

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The truncated explicit formula for  $\psi(x)$  [MV07, Theorem 12.5] implies, for  $x \geq 2$  and  $Y \geq 3$

$$\psi(x) = x - \sum_{\substack{\rho \\ |\gamma| \leq Y}} \frac{x^\rho}{\rho} + O\left(\frac{x}{Y} (\log xY)^2\right) + O(\log x).$$

We take  $3 \leq W < Y$ , where

$$Y = 3x^{1/2} \log^2(2x).$$

Assuming RH, then the complex zeros of the zeta function come in complex conjugate pairs  $\rho = 1/2 + i\gamma$  and  $\bar{\rho} = 1/2 - i\gamma$ , and we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{x^{1/2}} = -2 \operatorname{Im} \sum_{W < \gamma \leq Y} \frac{x^{i\gamma}}{\gamma} + O\left(\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq W} \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) + O\left(\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq Y} \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right) + O(1).$$

Since by (4.2) we have  $\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq W} \frac{1}{\gamma} \ll \log^2 W$  and  $\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq Y} \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \ll 1$ , see [Ing32, Theorem 25b], we conclude

$$(4.3) \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{x^{1/2}} \right| \leq 2 \left| \sum_{W < \gamma \leq Y} \frac{x^{i\gamma}}{\gamma} \right| + O(\log^2 W).$$

By partial summation using  $S(r) = \sum_{s < \gamma \leq r} x^{i\gamma}$  from (3.6) with  $u = 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} \frac{x^{i\gamma}}{\gamma} = \int_s^t \frac{1}{r} dS(r) = \frac{S(t)}{t} + \int_s^t \frac{S(r)}{r^2} dr,$$

and hence

$$\left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq t} \frac{x^{i\gamma}}{\gamma} \right| \ll \frac{1}{s} \max_{s \leq v \leq t} \left| \sum_{s < \gamma \leq v} x^{i\gamma} \right|.$$

Taking  $s = y$  and  $t = 2y$ , and applying Lemma 1 with Conjecture 1, we conclude

$$(4.4) \quad \left| \sum_{y < \gamma \leq 2y} \frac{x^{i\gamma}}{\gamma} \right| \ll \frac{1}{y} \sqrt{\frac{y}{\beta(2y)} \mathcal{F}_\beta(x, 2y, y)} \ll \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{L}(2y)}{\beta(2y)}}.$$

Taking  $y = 2^{k-1}$  we have

$$\left| \sum_{W < \gamma \leq Y} \frac{x^{i\gamma}}{\gamma} \right| \leq \sum_{k_1 \leq k \leq k_2} \left| \sum_{2^{k-1} < \gamma \leq 2^k} \frac{x^{i\gamma}}{\gamma} \right|,$$

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are chosen so that  $2^{k_1-1} < W(x) \leq 2^{k_1}$  and  $2^{k_2-1} < Y \leq 2^{k_2}$ . Using (4.4) we have

$$\left| \sum_{W < \gamma \leq Y} \frac{x^{i\gamma}}{\gamma} \right| \ll \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log x} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{L}(2^k)}{\beta(2^k)}} := \mathcal{M}(x),$$

where we have applied Conjecture 1 for  $F_\beta(x, u)$  with  $W \ll u \ll x^{1/2} \log^2 x$  as required, and we have then insignificantly increased the upper bound by extending the range of  $k$ . Theorem 1 now follows from (4.3).  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.* For fixed  $0 < a \leq 3/2$ , take in Conjecture 1  $\beta = \beta(T) = (\log T)^{3-2a}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(T) = \log T$ , and  $W(x) = e^{(\log x)^{a/2}}$ . Thus

$$F_\beta(x, T) \ll T \log T \quad \text{uniformly for} \quad \frac{T^2}{\log^4 T} \ll x \ll T^{(\log T)^{(2-a)/a}},$$

and we have

$$\mathcal{M}(x) \ll \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log x} \sqrt{\frac{k}{k^{3-2a}}} \ll \log^a x.$$

Applying Theorem 1 we obtain Corollary 1.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 2.* In the case when  $\beta = 1$  we replace Conjecture 1 by (2.7) in Theorem 1 where the range where this conjecture holds is equivalent to taking  $W(x) = e^{(\log x)^{3/4}}$  in (2.2). Then (4.4) becomes

$$\left| \sum_{y < \gamma \leq 2y} \frac{x^{i\gamma}}{\gamma} \right| \ll \sqrt{\log x}.$$

Taking  $y = 2^{k-1}$  as we did below (4.4), we apply this bound  $\ll \log x$  times and obtain from (4.3)

$$\mathcal{R}(x) \ll x^{1/2} \left( (\log x)^{3/2} + \log^2 W \right)$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 3.* Take  $W(x) = \log^A x$ . Then by Theorem 1 we will obtain (2.11) if

$$\mathcal{M}(x) \ll A^2 (\log \log x)^2.$$

Thus we need  $\sqrt{\mathcal{L}(2^k)/\beta(2^k)} \ll A^2 (\log 2k)/k$  which we obtain with  $\mathcal{L}(T) = \log T$  by choosing  $\beta(T)$  as in (2.9).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By Lemma 2, for  $\beta \geq 1$

$$(4.5) \quad |F_\beta(x, T) - F(x, T)| \ll \beta^3 \left( \int_0^V + \int_V^\infty \right) |(F(xe^{\pm u}, T) - F(x, T))| e^{-2\beta u} du = I_1 + I_2,$$

where we take

$$V = \frac{\log(\beta \log T)}{\beta}.$$

Using the trivial bound  $F(x, T) \ll T \log^2 T$  from (2.1), we have

$$I_2 \ll \beta^3 T \log^2 T \int_V^\infty e^{-2\beta u} du = \frac{\beta^2}{2} T \log^2 T e^{-2\beta V} = \frac{1}{2} T,$$

which is acceptable and holds for all  $x$ . Next, for  $I_1$  we note that for  $0 \leq u \leq V$  we have  $e^{-V} \leq e^{\pm u} \leq e^V$ , and hence

$$I_1 \ll \beta^3 \max_{e^{-V} \leq v \leq e^V} |F(xv, T) - F(x, T)| \int_0^\infty e^{-2\beta u} du \ll \beta^2 \max_{e^{-V} \leq v \leq e^V} |F(xv, T) - F(x, T)|.$$

Letting  $f(x) = \frac{\log x}{x}$ , by calculus we note for  $x > 0$  that  $f(x) \leq f(e) = \frac{1}{e}$ . Thus for  $\beta \geq 1$

$$e^V = (\beta \log T)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq e^{f(\beta)} \log T \leq e^{\frac{1}{e}} \log T < 2 \log T,$$

and similarly  $e^{-V} \geq e^{-\frac{1}{e}} \frac{1}{\log T} > \frac{1}{2 \log T}$ . Thus by Conjecture 2 we have  $I_1 \ll T\mathcal{L}(T)$  and Theorem 2 follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 4.* We apply (2.13) with  $x$  replaced with  $xv'$ , where  $v'$  is a value with  $1/\log T \ll v' \ll \log T$ . Then  $|F(xv', T) - F(x, T)| \ll T \log T / (\log T)^B$ , and the bound in (2.12) holds if  $1 \leq \beta(T) \ll (\log T)^{B/2}$ . We have obtained this bound using (2.13) in the range  $W(xv' / \log T) \ll T \ll (xv')^{1/2} \log^3(xv')$ , and since  $W(xv' / \log T) \ll W(x)$  and  $x^{1/2} \log^2 x \ll (xv')^{1/2} \log^3(xv')$ , we see (2.12) holds in the stated range  $W(x) \ll T \ll x^{1/2} \log^2 x$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [FSZ09] K. Ford, K. Soundararajan, A. Zaharescu, *On the distribution of imaginary parts of zeros of the Riemann zeta function, II*, Math. Ann. **343** (2009), 487–505.
- [Gal80] P. X. Gallagher, *Some consequences of the Riemann hypothesis*, Acta Arith. **37** (1980), 339–343.
- [GM78] P. X. Gallagher and J. H. Mueller, *Primes and zeros in short intervals*, J. reine angew. Math. **303/304** (1978), 205–220.
- [GH84] D. A. Goldston and D. R. Heath-Brown, *A note on the differences between consecutive primes*, Math. Ann. **266** (1984), 317–320.
- [GM87] D. A. Goldston and H. L. Montgomery, *Pair correlation of zeros and primes in short intervals*, Analytic Number Theory and Diophantine Problems (A. C. Adolphson and et al., eds.), Proc. of a Conference at Oklahoma State University (1984), Birkhauser Verlag, 1987, 183–203.
- [GS21] D. A. Goldston and A. I. Suriajaya, *On an average Goldbach representation formula of Fujii*, arXiv:2110.14250 [math.NT].
- [Hea82] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Gaps between primes, and the pair correlation of zeros of the zeta-function*, Acta Arith. **41** (1982), 85–99.
- [Ing32] A. E. Ingham, *The Distribution of Prime Numbers*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. Reprint of the 1932 original; With a foreword by R. C. Vaughan.
- [Koc01] H. von Koch, *Sur la distribution des nombres premiers*, Acta Math. **24** (1901), 159–182.
- [Mon71] Hugh L. Montgomery, *Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [Mon72] H.L. Montgomery, *The Pair Correlation of Zeros of the Zeta Function*, in: Analytic Number Theory, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIV, St. Louis Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1972, 181–193.
- [MV07] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **97**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [Mue76] J. H. Mueller, *Primes and zeros in short intervals*, Thesis, Columbia University 1976.
- [Sch03] E. Schmidt, *Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter gegebener Grenze*, Math. Ann. **57** (1903), no. 2, 195–204.
- [Tit86] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, Clarendon (Oxford), 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SAN JOSE STATE UNIVERSITY  
 Email address: daniel.goldston@sjsu.edu

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY  
 Email address: adeirmasuriajaya@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp