

# METRIC LINES IN JET SPACE.

ALEJANDRO BRAVO-DODDOLI

ABSTRACT. The space  $J^k$  of  $k$ -jets of a real function of one real variable  $x$  admits the structure of a Carnot group.  $J^k$  has a natural subRiemannian submersion onto the Euclidean plane and curves in the Euclidean plane can be horizontal lifted to  $J^k$ . The horizontal lifts of Euclidean lines are thus metric lines in  $J^k$ . Are other metric lines in  $J^k$  besides the horizontal lifts lines? This work is the first of a sequence of papers, where we attempt to make a complete classification of the metric lines in  $J^k$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This work is the first of a sequence of papers, where we attempt to make a complete classification of the metric lines in the  $k$ -th jets space of a real function of one real variable  $x$ , denoted here by  $J^k$ , which admits a subRiemannian geometry of Carnot type. A metric line is a global minimizing geodesic, a geodesic is globally minimizing if each of its compact sub-arcs realizes the distance between its endpoints, in other words, it is an isometric embedding of the real line. We formalize this discussion with the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** *We say that a geodesic  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^k$  is a metric line in  $J^k$  if  $|a - b| = \text{dist}(\gamma(a), \gamma(b))$  for all compact set  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , where  $|a - b|$  is the absolute value and  $\text{dist}(\gamma(a), \gamma(b))$  is the subRiemannian distance in  $J^k$ .*

This paper is the continuation of [5, 6, 7]. In [5],  $J^k$  was introduced as subRiemannian manifold, the subRiemannian geodesics were classified, and Conjecture 1 was made concerning metric lines. In [6], the subRiemannian geodesics and some of their minimizing properties were studied. In [7], the non-periodicity of the geodesic in  $J^k$  was proved.

An essential tool in [6, 7] and in this work is the bijection, made by Monroy-Perez and Anzaldo-Meneses [8, 9, 10], between geodesics on  $J^k$  and pairs  $(F(x), I)$  (module translation  $F(x) \rightarrow F(x - x_0)$ ), where  $F(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $k$  or less and  $I$  is a closed interval associated to  $F(x)$ . See Definition 2.2 for more details about  $I$ .

The main result from this paper is the following.

---

*Key words and phrases.* Carnot group, Jet space, Global minimizing geodesic, integrable system, Goursat distribution, subRiemannian geometry, period asymptotics.

**Theorem A.** *The geodesic  $\gamma(t)$  corresponding to the pair  $(F(x), I_0)$ , where  $F(x) = 1 - 2x^{2\ell}$  and  $I_0 = [0, 1]$ , is a metric line on  $J^k$ , with  $2\ell \leq k$ .*

Line-geodesics correspond to constant polynomials and are metric lines by Proposition 2.1. See Appendix A for the definition of Line-geodesics.

$J^1$  is the well known Heisenberg group, and line-geodesics are all the metric lines.  $J^2$  is the Engel group and has exactly one new metric line up to translation and scaling, whose projection is the "Euler soliton" and its global minimality was established in [2, 3, 4]. Theorem A with  $\ell = 1$  is an alternative proof for this result.

The conjecture that we will attempt to prove in this sequence of papers is:

**Conjecture 1.** *Beside line-geodesics, the metric lines for  $J^k$  are homoclinic geodesics and heteroclinic geodesics of direct type.*

The definition of a line-geodesics, homoclinic geodesics and heteroclinic geodesics are given in the Appendix A and with more details in [5, 6].

**1.1. Outline of the paper.** In Section 2,  $J^k$  is presented, the  $k$ -th jet space, as a subRiemannian manifold and the notation that will be followed throughout the work. The concept of a subRiemannian submersion is introduced, as well as the projections  $\pi$  and  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$ , which are examples of a subRiemannian submersion from  $J^k$  into  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$ , respectively, where  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  is a subRiemannian manifold, called magnetic space, whose geometry depends on  $\hat{F}(x)$ , see Subsection 2.1 for the definition of  $\hat{F}(x)$ . A characterization of geodesics in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  and their lift to  $J^k$  are given. Finally, a general principle in subRiemannian submersion is established; the lift of a metric line is a metric line which, this is essential to prove Theorem A.

In Section 3, the outline of the proof of Theorem A is set up, this proof is reduced to Proposition 3.1, which is presented in the section and claims that the projection of  $\gamma(t)$  by  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$  is a metric line in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$ , where  $\gamma(t)$  is the geodesic corresponding to  $(1 - 2x^{2\ell}, [0, 1])$  and candidate to be a metric line in  $J^k$ . Finally, Proposition 3.2 and 3.3 are introduced; they yield to prove Proposition 3.1.

In Sections 4 and 5, the Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 are proved, respectively. Finally, in Section 6, Proposition 3.1 is verified and used to prove Theorem A.

**Acknowledgments.** I thank Andrei Ardentov, Yuri Sachkov, Felipe Monroy-Perez, Angel Carrillo-Hoyo, Gil Bor and Enrico Le Donne for e-mail conversations or talks over the course of this work. I would like to acknowledge my advisor and friend, Richard Montgomery, for introducing me to subRiemannian geometry, setting up the problem, and for his invaluable help on this paper. I am grateful for the opportunity to work alongside Richard at UC Santa Cruz, as his passion for mathematics and kind-heartedness have been important in the development of my research.

This paper was developed with the support of the scholarship (CVU 619610) from "Consejo de Ciencia y Tecnologia" (CONACYT)

## 2. $J^k$ AND $\mathbb{R}_F^3$ AS SUBRIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

We say that smooth real-valued function  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are equivalent up to order  $k$  at  $x_0$  if  $f(x) - g(x) = O(|x - x_0|^{k+1})$  holds, being equivalent to order  $k$  is an equivalence relation on the space of germs of smooth functions at  $x_0$  and an equivalence class is called a  $k$ -jet at  $x_0$ . The  $k$ -jet of a function  $f$  at  $x_0$  can be identified with its  $k$ -th order Taylor expansion of  $f$  at  $x_0$  and, as such, is determined by the list of its first  $k$  derivatives at  $x_0$ :

$$u_0 = f(x_0), \quad u_j = d^j/dx^j := f^{(j)}(x_0), \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

By letting the base point and function vary, we sweep out the  $k$ -jet space  $\mathcal{J}^k$ , a  $(k+2)$ -dimensional manifold with global coordinates  $x$  and these  $u_j$ 's.

If we fix the function  $f$  and let the independent variable  $x$  vary, we get a curve  $j^k f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow J^k$  called the  $k$ -jet of  $f$ , sending  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  to the  $k$ -jet of  $f$  at  $x$ . In coordinates

$$(j^k f)(x) := (x, u_k(x), \dots, u_0(x)); \quad u_i(x) = f^{(i)}(x).$$

$\mathcal{J}^k$  has a natural definition using the coordinates  $(x, u_k, \dots, u_0)$ , but these coordinates do not exhibit easily the integrability of the geodesic flow, see [7]. We will introduce the alternate coordinates  $(x, \theta_0, \dots, \theta_k)$  describe by in [8, 9] or [6]. The change of coordinates from  $u$ 's to  $\theta$ 's is given by

$$(x, u_k, xu_k - u_{k-1}, \dots, \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \frac{x^{j-i}}{(j-i)!} u_{k-i}) = (x, \theta_0, \dots, \theta_k)$$

The  $k$ -jet curve itself is everywhere tangent to the rank two distribution on  $D \subset TJ^k$  which is globally framed by the two vector fields

$$(2.1) \quad X_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad X_2 = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{x^i}{i!} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}.$$

A subRiemannian structure on  $\mathcal{J}^k$  is defined by declaring these two vector fields to be orthonormal. In these coordinates the subRiemannian metric is defined by restricting  $ds^2 = dx^2 + d\theta_0^2$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Before continuing, let us introduce the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $(M, D_M, g_M)$  and  $(N, D_N, g_N)$  be subRiemannian structures such that  $\dim M \geq \dim N$ ,  $D_M$  and  $D_N$  with the same rank. We say that a map  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is a subRiemannian submersion if  $\phi_*(D_M) = D_N$  and  $\phi^* g_N = g_M$ .*

$J^k$  comes with a canonical projection  $\pi : J^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \pi(x, \theta_0, \dots, \theta_k) = (x, \theta_0),$$

which is a subRiemannian submersion onto the Euclidean plane. The projection of horizontal planes has an "inverse map", called the horizontal lift. To understand the lift, we rewrite  $\mathcal{D}$  as a Pfaffian system:

$$(2.3) \quad 0 = d\theta_i - \frac{1}{i!}x^i d\theta_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Given a smooth curve  $(x(t), \theta_0(t))$  in the plane, we define its horizontal lift equations

$$(2.4) \quad \dot{\theta}_i = \frac{1}{i!}x^i \dot{\theta}_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Which simply say that the curve  $\gamma(t) := (x(t), \theta_0(t), \dots, \theta_k(t))$  is horizontal and project onto  $(x(t), \theta_0(t))$ . We call these curves  $\gamma(t)$  the horizontal lifts of the  $(x, \theta_0)$  plane. The length of  $\gamma$  and  $(x(t), \theta_0(t))$  over any compact time interval are equal. The horizontal lift  $\gamma(t)$  is unique upto initial condition, say  $\gamma(0)$ , corresponding to the integration constant  $\theta(0)$ 's,  $0 < i \leq k$ . Any two horizontal lifts of the same curve differ by a Carnot translation. See [6].

One of the main ingredients for the proof of Theorem A is the following principle in subRiemannian submersion.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\phi$  be a subRiemannian submersion, then lift of a metric line on  $N$  is a metric line on  $M$ .*

The proof is in [6] pg. 154. This principle shows that a line-geodesic is a metric line in  $J^k$ .

**2.1. The Intermediate Magnetic Space.** In [6], we used the intermediate magnetic space to prove that a family of heteroclinic geodesics are metric lines. Here, we will repeat this technique; however, we will use a different magnetic space. An explanation for the expression "magnetic" is given in [6].

As a first step towards proving Theorem A we factor the subRiemannian submersion  $\pi : J^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  into the product of two subRiemannian submersions:

$$(2.5) \quad \pi = pr \circ \pi_{\hat{F}},$$

where  $\hat{F}(x) := F(x) - 1$  and the target of  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$  is an intermediate 3-dimensional subRiemannian space denoted by  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$ , whose geometry depends on the choice of polynomial  $\hat{F}(x)$  and which we refer to as a "magnetic subRiemannian structure". Thus, we will have a subRiemannian submersions

$$(2.6) \quad J^k \xrightarrow{\pi_{\hat{F}}} \mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3 \xrightarrow{pr} \mathbb{R}^2,$$

if  $(x, y, z)$  are coordinates on this intermediate space, then the distribution  $D_{\hat{F}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  is defined by the single Pfaffian equation:

$$(2.7) \quad dz - \hat{F}(x)dy = 0,$$

while the metric  $ds_{\hat{F}}^2$  on the plane  $D_{\hat{F}}$  is defined by

$$(2.8) \quad ds_{\hat{F}}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2,$$

restricted to  $D_{\hat{F}}$ .

To construct the projection  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$ , expand out

$$(2.9) \quad F(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{a_j}{j!} x^j,$$

and define  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$  by

$$(2.10) \quad \pi_{\hat{F}}(x, \theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) = (x, \theta_0, \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \theta_i - \theta_0) =: (x, y, z).$$

Then

$$(2.11) \quad (\pi_{\hat{F}})_*(X_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \text{and} \quad (\pi_{\hat{F}})_*(X_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{F}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

which is an orthonormal horizontal frame for the subRiemannian structure  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  as defined by equations (2.7) and (2.8), establishing the factorization (2.5).

For more details about the construction of the projection  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$  as a composition of the projection  $\pi_F$ , used in [6], and a subRiemannian submersion between magnetic spaces. See Appendix B.

**2.2. Magnetic Geodesics.** Geodesic in the magnetic space are in bijection with the pair  $(G, I)$ , where  $G(x)$  is a polynomial belonging to the pencil of  $\hat{F}(x)$  and  $I$  is a Hill interval. Let us formalize the pencil and Hill interval definitions.

**Definition 2.2.** *We say that a polynomial  $G(x)$  is in the pencil of  $\hat{F}(x)$  if  $G(x)$  have the form*

$$(2.12) \quad G(x) = a + b\hat{F}(x),$$

where  $a, b$  are arbitrary real constants.

*We say that a closed interval  $I$  is a Hill interval, associated to  $G(x)$ , if  $G^2(x) < 1$  for  $x$  in the interior of  $I$  and  $G^2(x) = 1$  for  $x$  in the boundary of  $I$ . Then,  $I$  is compact if and only if  $G(x)$  is not a constant polynomial, if  $I$  is of the form  $[x_0, x_1]$ ,  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  are called endpoints of the Hill interval.*

**Remark 2.1.** *A constant polynomial  $G^2(x) = c^2 < 1$  has a Hill interval  $I = (-\infty, \infty)$ , while,  $I$  is a single point if  $G^2(x) = 1$ , by convention.*

Since we will work in the magnetic space  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$ , we will briefly summarize how to build a magnetic geodesic given the pencil  $G(x)$ . Form more details about this bijection see [6]. Given the polynomial  $G(x)$ , consider the two-dimensional phase with coordinates  $(p_x, x)$  with Hamiltonian

$$(2.13) \quad H_G = \frac{1}{2}(p_x^2 + G^2(x)),$$

and its energy  $H_G = 1/2$  solutions  $(p_x(t), x(t))$  to this 1-degree of freedom system given by

$$(2.14) \quad \dot{p}_x = -G'(x)G(x) \quad \dot{x} = p_x.$$

**Corollary 2.1.** *A point  $x$  is an equilibrian point of the system 2.14 if and only if  $x$  is an endpoint of the Hill interval and a critical point of  $G(x)$ .*

The magnetic geodesic  $c(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t))$  is defined by solving the following equations

$$(2.15) \quad \dot{y} = G(x(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{z} = G(x(t))\hat{F}(x(t)).$$

The condition  $H_G = 1/2$  implies that  $c(t)$  is parameterized by arc-length.

Since  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$  is a subRiemannian submersion, the horizontal lift of any magnetic geodesic corresponding to the pair  $(G, I)$  is a geodesic on  $J^k$ . The horizontal lift is given by lifting the plane curve  $(x(t), y(t)) = (x(t), \theta_0(t))$ , which is to say, by equations (2.4). This discussion is summarized by the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Every geodesic corresponding to  $(G, I)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  is the  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$ -projection of a geodesic in  $J^k$  associated to  $(G, I)$ , where  $G(x)$  is in the pencil of  $\hat{F}(x)$ . Conversely, the horizontal lifts to  $J^k$  of a geodesic corresponding to  $(G, I)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  are precisely those geodesics associated to  $(G, I)$ .*

The proof is in [6], see pg. 162.

**Remark 2.2.** *The polynomial  $F(x)$  is achieved by the selection of the pencil  $(a, b) = (1, 1)$ .*

**Remark 2.3.** *The main difference between the magnetic space  $\mathbb{R}_F^3$  used in [6] and the magnetic space  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  is that in the first one all the homoclinic geodesics corresponding to different pencils  $(G, I)$ , for instance the geodesics corresponding to  $(1 - bx^\ell, [0, \sqrt{\frac{2}{b}}])$  for all  $b > 0$ , are asymptotic to the line  $(0, t, t)$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , while, in the second different homoclinic geodesics corresponding to different pencils  $(G, I)$  are asymptotic to different lines, namely  $(0, t, \Delta z(G, I))$ , where  $\Delta z(G, I)$  is a finite number given by*

$$(2.16) \quad \Delta z(G, I) = 2 \int_I \frac{G(x)\hat{F}(x)}{\sqrt{1 - G^2(x)}} dx.$$

*With the magnetic space  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$ , we can distinguish the asymptotic behavior between different homoclinic geodesics. See Figure B.1 in the Appendix B.*

To have a better understanding of  $\Delta z(G, I)$ , see [6]. Upto a constant  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  is the standard Martinet Manifold, see [12] or [1].




FIGURE 3.1. The panels show the magnetic geodesic  $c(t)$  corresponding to the same pair  $(F, I_0)$  for  $\ell = 1$ . The left panel shows the projection from  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  to the  $(x, y)$  plane. The right panel show the projection from  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  to the  $(x, y)$  plane.

### 3. SET UP THE PROOF OF THEOREM A

During the proof we will denote by  $\gamma(t)$  to the homoclinic geodesic corresponding to  $(F, I_0)$ , where  $F(x) = 1 - 2x^{2\ell}$ ,  $I_0 = [0, 1]$  and  $\hat{F}(x) = -2x^{2\ell}$ , and by  $c(t)$  to the projection of  $\gamma(t)$  by  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$ . By Proposition 2.1 is enough to show that  $c(t)$  is a metric line in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  to prove Theorem A. Then, the rest of the paper is devoted to proving the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The magnetic geodesic  $c(t)$  corresponding to  $(F, I_0)$  is a metric line in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$ .*

Using the  $y$  and  $z$  translations, as well as, the time translation, we can assume that  $c(0) = (1, 0, 0)$  and we write  $c(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t))$ . By the time,  $y$  and  $z$  symmetries we have that  $c(-t) = (x(t), -y(t), -z(t))$ . See Appendix C.

We will prove Proposition 3.1 by contradiction, we assume that  $c(t)$  fails to global minimize, then exist  $T$  such that for all  $n > T$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  exist a shorter magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  with the conditions that

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{c}_n(0) = c(-n/2), \quad \tilde{c}_n(\tilde{T}_n) = c(n/2), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{T} < n.$$

We will refer to the first two equations from (3.1) as the endpoints match conditions and the last inequality as the shorter condition.

The allegedly shorter geodesic  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$ , being a geodesic on  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  is defined by a pair  $(G_n, I_n)$  and initial condition  $\tilde{c}_n(0) = c(-n/2)$ . This initial condition is not useful since is unbounded, we defined a new one in the following way:

$$(3.2) \quad (t_0)_n := \{t^* \in (0, \tilde{T}_n) : \tilde{x}_n(t^*) = (x_1)_n\}.$$

In the Corollary 4.4, we shall prove that  $(t_0)_n$  exist and is unique.

We will consider the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and prove that the limit of the sequence of magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  is a geodesic  $\tilde{c}(t)$  corresponding to  $(G, I)$  and initial condition  $\tilde{c}((t_0))$  holding the condition.

$$(3.3) \quad (0, \infty, \Delta z(F, I_0)/2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{c}(T_n)$$

We will call equation (3.3) the asymptotic endpoint match condition.

Let us be more precise: We set

$$(3.4) \quad x_n := x(n/2) = x(-n/2),$$

so for  $n$  large enough  $x_n$  is very close to 0. Also,  $n$  and  $x_n$  are related by

$$(3.5) \quad n = 2 \int_{x_n}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - F^2(x)}}.$$

We denote by  $\Delta y(n)$  and  $\Delta z(n)$ , the changes in  $y$  and  $z$  coordinates suffered as  $c(t)$  travels from  $c(-n/2)$  to  $c(n/2)$ , by

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta y(n) &:= y(n/2) - y(-n/2) = 2 \int_{x_n}^1 \frac{1 - F^2(x)}{\sqrt{1 - F^2(x)}} dx, \\ \Delta z(n) &:= z(n/2) - z(-n/2) = 2 \int_{x_n}^1 \frac{F(x)\hat{F}(x)}{\sqrt{1 - F^2(x)}} dx, \end{aligned}$$

as well as,  $\Delta \tilde{y}_n(T_n)$  and  $\Delta \tilde{z}_n(T_n)$ , the changes in  $\tilde{y}$  and  $\tilde{z}$  coordinates suffered as  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  travels from  $c(0)$  to  $c(T_n)$  by

$$(3.7) \quad \Delta \tilde{y}_n(T_n) := \tilde{y}_n(T_n) - \tilde{y}_n(0), \quad \Delta \tilde{z}_n(T_n) := \tilde{z}_n(T_n) - \tilde{z}_n(0).$$

Then the match endpoint conditions imply that  $\Delta y(n) = \Delta \tilde{y}(T_n)$  and  $\Delta z(n) = \Delta \tilde{z}(T_n)$ , as a consequence

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta \tilde{z}(T_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta z(n) = \Delta z(F, I_0),$$

where  $\Delta z(F, I_0)$  is defined by the equation (3.7). We call to equation (3.8), the  $z$  asymptotic period condition.

To establish Proposition 3.1, we shall prove the following two propositions. The first one ensures that the limit of a sequence of geodesics is a geodesic.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  be the sequences of magnetic geodesics holding the endpoint match and shorter conditions given by (3.1). Then, exist a sub-sequence of magnetic geodesics  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}(t)$  that converges to a magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}(t)$  corresponding to the pair  $(G, I)$  and initial condition  $\tilde{c}((t_0))$ . Moreover,*

- (1) *The Hill interval  $I$  is compact and not a point.*
- (2)  *$\tilde{c}((t_0)) = (x_1, 0, 0)$ , where  $x_1$  is the right endpoint of  $I$ .*
- (3)  *$\Delta z(G, I)$  is given by equation (2.16) and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta \tilde{z}(T_n) = \Delta z(G, I).$$

The second proposition implies that the uniqueness of the geodesic  $\tilde{c}(t)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\tilde{c}(t)$  be the limit of the sub-sequence  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}(t_0)$  defined by  $(G, I)$  and initial condition  $\tilde{c}(t_0)$ . Then, the unique pair  $(G, I)$  satisfying the  $z$  asymptotic period condition (3.8) is  $(F, I_0)$ . As a consequence,  $\tilde{c}(t_0) = (1, 0, 0)$ .*

The following Counterexample shows that Proposition 3.1 cannot be extend to the odd case  $F(x) = 1 - 2x^{2\ell+1}$ .

**Counterexample 1.** *A magnetic geodesic  $c(t)$  corresponding to the pair  $(F(x), I_0)$ , where  $F(x) = 1 - 2x^{2\ell+1}$  and  $I_0 = [0, 1]$ , is not a metric line in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$ .*

The proof is in the Appendix E and is made by the construction of a shorter curve.

**Remark 3.1.** *The Counterexample 1 is not a counterexample to the Conjecture 1, since the lift of the shorter curve has different endpoint than the lift of  $c(t)$ . In the same way that the fact that the Euler-soliton is not a metric line in the plane does not implies that its lift is not a metric line in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  or  $J^2$ .*

#### 4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.2

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is equivalent to show that the sequence of initial condition  $(G_n, \tilde{c}_{n_j}(t_0))$  for the geodesic flow has a convergent sub-sequence, here we think in  $(G_n, \tilde{c}_{n_j}(t_0))$  as sequence of points on the cotangent bundle, see [6] for more details about geodesic flow, where  $G_n(x)$  is a polynomial in the pencil for  $\hat{F}(x)$  and  $\tilde{c}_n(t_0)$  is a sequence of the initial condition. Then the convergent sub-sequence of magnetic geodesics  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}(t)$  is defined by the convergent sub-sequence of initial conditions  $(G_n, \tilde{c}_{n_j}(t_0))$ .

Since the proof of Proposition 3.1 is long, it is split into the following Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6. The proofs of Lemmas are in the following Section. Finally, we shall summarize the proof of Proposition 3.1 in Subsection 4.2.

##### 4.1. Prelude of Proof of Proposition 3.1).

4.1.1.  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  is not a line-geodesic. The following Lemma implies that  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  is not a line-geodesic.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let the pair  $(G_n(x), I_n)$  be the sequence of polynomial and Hill intervals associated to the magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  holding the endpoint match and shorter conditions given by (3.1), then exist  $N_1$  such that if  $N_1 < n$ ,  $G_n(x)$  has change of sign in the Hill interval.*

*Proof.* We will make the prove by contradiction. On one hand, we recall the asymptotic endpoint match condition (3.1) for the  $y$  and  $z$  coordinates are

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta y(n) = \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta z(n) = \Delta z(F, I_0).$$

We estimate  $\Delta z(F, I_0)$  using integration by par

$$\Delta(F, I_0) = -2 \int_0^1 \frac{x^{2\ell}(1 - 2x^{2\ell})}{\sqrt{1 - (1 - 2x^{2\ell})^2}} dx = \frac{1}{2\ell - 1} \int_0^1 \sqrt{1 - (1 - 2x^{2\ell})^2} dx > 0.$$

Therefore exist  $N_1$  such that if  $N_1 < n$ , then  $\Delta y(n) > 0$  and  $\Delta z(n) > 0$ , see Figure 3.1. On the other hand, we will assume that  $G_n(x)$  does not have a change of sign and consider the cases when  $0 \leq G_n(x)$  and  $0 \geq G_n(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $I_n$ .

Let us start with the case  $0 \leq G_n(x)$  in  $I_n$  and see that  $\Delta z(\tilde{T}_n) \leq 0$ . We use the magnetic horizontal lift given by equation (2.15),  $\dot{\tilde{z}} = G_n(\tilde{x}_n)\hat{F}(\tilde{x}_n)$  to estimate  $\Delta\tilde{z}(\tilde{T}_n)$ , since  $0 \leq G_n(x)$  and  $\hat{F}(x) \leq 0$ , then  $\dot{\tilde{z}} \leq 0$  in for all  $t$  in  $[0, \tilde{T}_n]$ , so  $\Delta\tilde{z}(\tilde{T}_n) \leq 0$ .

Let us assume  $G(x) \leq 0$  in  $I_n$  and see that  $\Delta y(\tilde{T}_n) \leq 0$ . We use the magnetic horizontal lift equation  $\dot{y} = G_n(\tilde{x}_n)$  to estimate  $\Delta\tilde{y}(\tilde{T}_n)$ , since  $G_n(x) \leq 0$ , then  $\dot{y} \leq 0$  in for all  $t$  in  $[0, \tilde{T}_n]$ , so  $\Delta\tilde{y}(\tilde{T}_n) \leq 0$ .

If  $N_1 < n$  and  $G_n(x)$  does not change sign, then  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  cannot hold the asymptotic endpoint match condition in both cases.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** *If  $N_1 < n$  then  $G_n(x)$  cannot be a constant polynomial, so  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  cannot be a sequence of line-geodesics.*

Also, notice that the above proof does not work in the odd case. See Counterexample 1 in the Appendix E.

4.1.2. *Existence of  $(G, I)$ .* By Lemma 4.1,  $G_n(x)$  is not the constant polynomial, so  $I_n$  is a compact set, such that  $x_n$  is in  $I_n$ , and the solution  $\tilde{x}(t)$  of the Hamiltonian system (2.14) is not constant, in order to come back to the initial point  $\tilde{x}(\tilde{T}_n) = \tilde{x}(0) = x_n$ , the solution  $\tilde{x}(t)$  must bounce at least once on the endpoints of the Hill interval, but cannot bounce more than twice, moreover, the solution  $\tilde{x}(t)$  cannot cross the plane  $x = 0$  by the upper bound for the cut time, see Proposition D.1 in the Appendix D.

To avoid the cases when the solution  $\tilde{x} : [0, \tilde{T}_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  hits one or two endpoints of the Hill interval, or in case that just hits one if is the left or right endpoint, we will define the travel interval  $\mathcal{I}_n := \tilde{x}([0, \tilde{T}_n]) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ , we notice that Proposition D.1 in the Appendix D implies that  $\mathcal{I}_n$  cannot contain negative numbers. For instance, if the Hill interval is of the form  $[x_0, x_1]$  and let us assume that the solution  $\tilde{x}(t)$  just hits the right endpoint, more precisely,  $\tilde{x}(0)$  starts at the point  $x_n$ , travels from  $x_n$  to  $x_1$ , bounces on  $x_1$ , and comes back to  $x_n$ , so the travel interval  $\mathcal{I}_n$  is equal to  $[x_n, x_1]$ . Another example, let us assume the solution  $\tilde{x}(t)$  hits both endpoints, in other words,  $\tilde{x}(0)$  starts at the point  $x_n$  then travels from  $x_n$  to  $x_1$ , bounces on  $x_1$ , travels from  $x_1$  to  $x_0$  passing through  $x_n$ , bounces on  $x_0$  and travels from  $x_0$  to  $x_n$ , then the travel interval  $\mathcal{I}_n$  is equal to the Hill interval  $I_n$ . Notice, that in any case the solution  $\tilde{x}(t)$  goes through the travel interval  $\mathcal{I}_n$  twice.

**Corollary 4.2.** *By construction  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_0)_n = 0$ .*

Let the travel interval  $\mathcal{I}_n$  be of the form  $[(x_0)_n, (x_1)_n]$ , we define the length of the travel interval and the homothety by

$$u_n := (x_1)_n - (x_0)_n \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(x) = u_n x + (x_0)_n.$$

Where  $h_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n$ ,  $h_n$  normalizes the bounded polynomial  $G_n(x)$  in the interval  $\mathcal{I}_n$  into a bounded polynomial  $G_n^N(x) := G_n(h_n(x))$  in the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

We define the normed space of polynomial of degree  $2\ell$  or less,

$$\mathcal{P}(2\ell) := \{P(x) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : P(x) \text{ is polynomials of degree } 2\ell \text{ or less}\},$$

endowed with the sup-norm  $\|P(x)\|_\infty$ , i.e.,  $\|P(x)\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |P(x)|$ . We will denote the open and close ball with center in  $P(x)$  and radius  $r$  by  $B(P(x), r)$  and  $\overline{B}(P(x), r)$ , respectively. For instance,  $G_n^N(x) \in \overline{B}(0, 1)$

**Lemma 4.2.**  *$\overline{B}(0, 1)$  is a compact set and the sequence of normalized polynomials  $G_n^N(x)$  has a sub-sequence  $G_{n_j}^N(x)$  that converges uniformly to  $G^N(x)$ .*

*Proof.* The Markov brothers' inequality implies  $|P'(x)| < 4\ell^2$  if  $P(x)$  is in  $\mathcal{P}(2\ell)$ , then  $|P(x_1) - P(x_2)| < 4\ell^2|x_1 - x_2|$  by the Mean Value Theorem. Therefore,  $\overline{B}(0, 1)$  is a set of uniformly bounded and equi-continuous function on a compact interval. By Arzela-Ascoli Theorem  $\overline{B}(0, 1)$  is relatively compact. Moreover,  $\overline{B}(0, 1)$  is a closed and relatively compact set in finite dimensional vector space, so it is compact and as a consequence  $G_n^N(x)$  has a sub-sequence that converges uniformly to  $G^N(x)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $K$  be the compact set  $\overline{B}(1, 0) \cap B^c(1 - P^2(x), 1)$ , where  $B^c(1 - P^2(x), 1)$  is the complement of the open ball. Then if  $N_1 < n_j$ ,  $G_{n_j}^N(x)$  is in  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 4.1 implies that if  $N_1 < n_j$ ,  $G_{n_j}^N(x) = 0$  for some  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $\|1 - (G_{n_j}^N(x))^2\|_\infty = 1$  and so  $G_{n_j}^N(x)$  is  $K$ .  $\square$

To define a polynomial  $G(x)$  from  $G^N(x)$  is equivalent to show that homothecy  $h(x)$  has an inverse, which is equivalent to prove that the travel interval  $\mathcal{I}$  is neither unbounded nor a point, that is equivalent to verify that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$  is not infinite or zero. We formalize this goal with the following Lemma.

4.1.3.  $\mathcal{I}$  is neither unbounded nor a point.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $G_{n_j}^N(x)$  be the normalize sub-sequence of polynomial and  $\mathcal{I}_{n_j}$  be sub-sequence of travel intervals associated to the magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}(t)$  holding the endpoint match and shorter conditions given by (3.1) such that converges to  $G_N(x)$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  then  $\mathcal{I}$  is compact and not a point.*

*Proof.* Let  $N_1 < n_j$ , by corollary  $G_{n_j}^N(x)$  is in  $K$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}$  cannot be a point.

Let us show that  $u_n$  is bounded, the proof will be by contradiction, we assume that  $u_n$  is unbounded and see that  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}(t)$  cannot hold the shorter condition.

Let  $N_1 < n_j$  and  $u_{n_j} \rightarrow \infty$ . We denote the difference between  $\Delta t = n_j$  and  $\Delta y(n_j)$  by  $Cost(F, n_j) := \Delta t - \Delta y(n_j)$ , in the same way,  $Cost(G_{n_j}, \tilde{T}_{n_j}) := \Delta \tilde{T}_{n_j} - \Delta \tilde{y}(T_{n_j})$ , in terms of the polynomials  $F(x)$  and  $G_n(x)$ , they are given

by

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad \text{Cost}(F, n_j) &= 2 \int_{x_{n_j}}^1 \frac{1 - F(x)}{\sqrt{1 - F^2(x)}} dx, \\
\text{Cost}(G_{n_j}, \tilde{T}_{n_j}) &= 2 \int_{\mathcal{I}_{n_j}} \frac{1 - G_{n_j}(x)}{\sqrt{1 - G_{n_j}^2(x)}} dx = 2u_{n_j} \text{Cost}(G_{n_j}^N) \\
\text{Cost}(G_{n_j}^N) &:= \int_0^1 \frac{1 - G_{n_j}^N(x)}{\sqrt{1 - (G_{n_j}^N(x))^2}} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

When  $n_j \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$\lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cost}(F, n_j) = \text{Cost}(F, I) := 2 \int_0^1 \frac{1 - F(x)}{\sqrt{1 - F^2(x)}} dx.$$

Since  $0 \leq 1 - G_{n_j}(x)$ , then  $0 \leq \text{Cost}(G_{n_j}, \tilde{T}_{n_j})$ , as well as,  $0 \leq \text{Cost}(F, n_j)$ , thus  $\text{Cost}(F, n_j)$  is monotone increasing function with respect to  $n_j$ . Moreover, since  $u_{n_j}$  is not zero, then  $\text{Cost}(G_{n_j}, \tilde{T}_{n_j}) = 0$  if and only if  $G_{n_j}(x) = 1$ .

The  $\text{Cost}(F, I)$  was also defined in [6], see for more details.  $\text{Cost}(G_{n_j}^N)$  has the property to be  $C^\infty$  function with respect of the parameter  $a$  and  $b$  on the space of polynomials corresponding to  $x$ -periodic geodesics and homoclinic geodesics such that  $y \rightarrow \infty$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , for homoclinic geodesics such that  $y \rightarrow -\infty$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\text{Cost}(F, I)$  is infinite.

$\text{Cost}(G_{n_j}^N)$  is a continuous function defined the compact set  $K$ , so  $\text{Cost}(G_{n_j}^N)$  reach it minimum value  $C_1 > 0$ . Then

$$u_{n_j} C_1 \leq \text{Cost}(G_{n_j}, \tilde{T}_{n_j}),$$

so,  $u_n \rightarrow \infty$  implies  $\text{Cost}(G_{n_j}, \tilde{T}_{n_j}) \rightarrow \infty$ . We can find  $M_1$  big enough such that if  $M_1 < u_n$  then  $\text{Cost}(F, I) < \text{Cost}(G_{n_j}, \tilde{T}_{n_j})$ .

Let  $n_j$  be large enough such that  $M_1 < u_n$ . If  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}(t)$  holds the endpoint condition, then  $\Delta y(n_j) = \Delta y(\tilde{T}_{n_j})$  and by the definition of  $\text{Cost}(F, n_j)$  and  $\text{Cost}(G_{n_j}, \tilde{T}_{n_j})$ , it follows that

$$n_j - \text{Cost}(F, n_j) = \tilde{T}_{n_j} - \text{Cost}(G_{n_j}, \tilde{T}_{n_j}),$$

Thus,  $\tilde{T}_{n_j} - n_j = \text{Cost}(G_{n_j}, \tilde{T}_{n_j}) - \text{Cost}(F, n_j) > 0$  and  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}(t)$  cannot hold the shorter condition from (3.1).  $\square$

We remark that  $\text{Cost}(F, t)$  is not finite for any turn-back geodesics.

**Corollary 4.4.** *If  $N_1 < n_j$ , where  $N_1$  is given by Lemma 4.1, then exist a unique time  $(t_0)_n$  in  $(0, \tilde{T}_n)$  such  $\tilde{x}((t_0)_{n_j}) = (x_1)_{n_j}$ .*

*Proof.* The uniqueness is consequence of the Proposition D.1 in the Appendix D and the shorter condition given by equation (3.1).

Let us prove the existence by contradiction, let us assume that  $(t_0)_{n_j}$  does not exist, then  $\mathcal{I}_{n_j} = [(x_0)_{n_j}, x_{n_j}]$  and by Corollary 4.2  $\mathcal{I}_{n_j}$  converges to the zero point, which is a contradiction to Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *The sub-sequences of homothety  $h_{n_j}(x)$  and right endpoint  $(x_1)_{n_j}$  converge to  $h(x) = ux$  and  $x_1 = u$ , where  $u > 0$ , as a consequence  $h(x)$  has an inverse.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.2  $u_{n_j}$  converges to a positive number  $u$  and by Corollary 4.2  $(x_0)_{n_j}$  converges to 0, then  $(x_1)_{n_j}$  converges to  $u$ .  $\square$

4.1.4.  $G(x)$  is in the pencil for  $\hat{F}(x)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $G(x) := G^N(h^{-1}(x))$ , then  $G(x)$  is in the pencil of  $\hat{F}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Being  $G_{n_j}(x)$  a sub-sequence in the pencil of  $\hat{F}(x)$ , we can write it as  $G_{n_j}(x) = a_{n_j} + b_{n_j}\hat{F}(x)$ , so  $G_{n_j}^N(x) = a_{n_j} + b_{n_j}\hat{F}(h_{n_j}(x))$  converges uniformly to  $G^N(x) = a + b\hat{F}(h(x))$ . Therefore,  $G(x) := G^N(h^{-1}(x)) = a + b\hat{F}(x)$ .  $\square$

4.1.5.  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}((t_0)_{n_j})$  converges to  $(x_1, 0, 0)$ . We will proof the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $(t_0)_{n_j}$  be the sub-sequence of initial time defined by 3.2, then*

$$\lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{c}_{n_j}((t_0)_{n_j}) = (x_1, 0, 0).$$

*Proof.* Lemma 4.3 implies that  $\tilde{x}_{n_j}(t)$  is uniformly bounded for all  $t$ , then exists  $C_x$  such that  $0 \leq \tilde{x}_{n_j}(t) < C_x$  for all  $n_j > N_1$ .

If the travel interval  $\mathcal{I}_{n_j}$  just has the right endpoint of the Hill interval  $I_{n_j}$ , by the  $y$  and  $z$  symmetry, see Appendix C, we have  $(t_0)_n = \tilde{T}_n/2$  is such that  $\tilde{c}_n((t_0)_n) = ((x_1)_n, 0, 0)$ .

Let us assume that  $\mathcal{I}_{n_j} = I_{n_j}$ , then  $x_{n_j}$  is in the interior of  $\mathcal{I}_{n_j}$ , also  $G_{n_j}^2((x_0)_{n_j}) = 1$  and  $G_{n_j}(x)$  converges uniformly, so  $G^2(0) = 1$  and  $G'(0) = 0$ . We notice that  $\tilde{x}_n(t)$  is a sequence of solutions to the Hamiltonian system (2.14) defined by  $G_n(x)$  and initial condition  $\tilde{x}_n((t_0)_n) = (x_1)_n$  laying in a compact set. Then exist a sub-sequence of solution  $\tilde{x}_{n_j}(t)$  that converge to  $\tilde{x}(t)$ , where  $\tilde{x}(t)$  is solution to the Hamiltonian system (2.14) defined by  $G(x)$  and initial condition  $\tilde{x}(t_0) = x_1$  that is positive by Lemma 4.1. Then  $x(t)$  is not the constant solution and takes infinite time to achieve the value  $x = 0$ .

Let us consider the interval  $[(x_0)_{n_j}, x_{n_j}]$ , which limit when  $n_j \rightarrow \infty$  is the point 0, we will verify that this interval does not have contribution in the solution  $\tilde{x}(t)$ , in other words, the time that takes to the solution  $\tilde{x}_{n_j}(t)$  travels from  $(x_0)_{n_j}$  to  $x_{n_j}$  goes to zero when  $n_j \rightarrow \infty$ , in other words,

$$0 = \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(x_0)_{n_j}}^{x_{n_j}} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - G_{n_j}^2(x)}}.$$

We will prove this claim by contradiction, let us assume that the limit is not zero, that is, exist an interval  $[t_1, t_2]$  such that  $\tilde{x}(t) = 0$  if  $t$  is in  $[t_1, t_2]$ , but  $\tilde{x}(t)$  is solution to the Hamiltonian system (2.14) defined by  $G(x)$ , so  $x = 0$  is an equilibrium point of the system and  $\tilde{x}(t) = 0$  for all  $t$ , which is a contradiction with the initial condition  $x_1 > 0$ .

By the  $y$  and  $z$  symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{y}_{n_j}((t_0)_{n_j})| &= \left| \int_{(x_0)_{n_j}}^{x_{n_j}} \frac{G_{n_j}(x) dx}{\sqrt{1 - G_{n_j}^2(x)}} \right| \leq \int_{(x_0)_{n_j}}^{x_{n_j}} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - G_{n_j}^2(x)}}, \\ |\tilde{z}_{n_j}((t_0)_{n_j})| &= \left| \int_{(x_0)_{n_j}}^{x_{n_j}} \frac{G_{n_j}(x) \hat{F}(x) dx}{\sqrt{1 - G_{n_j}^2(x)}} \right| \leq 2C_x^{2\ell} \int_{(x_0)_{n_j}}^{x_{n_j}} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - G_{n_j}^2(x)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\tilde{y}_{n_j}((t_0)_{n_j}) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\tilde{z}_{n_j}((t_0)_{n_j}) \rightarrow 0$ , when  $n_j \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

4.1.6. *Interchange of the limit with the integral sign.* To interchange the limit  $n_j \rightarrow \infty$  with integral sign, we will use Lebesgue's dominated converge theorem. Let us formalize our goal in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $G_{n_j}^N(x)$  be the normalized polynomial convergent to  $G^N(x)$ , associated to the shorter geodesic  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}(t)$ , then the following limit holds*

$$\lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} u_{n_j} \int_0^1 \frac{G_{n_j}^N(x) \hat{F}(h_{n_j}(x))}{\sqrt{1 - (G_{n_j}^N(x))^2}} dx = u \int_0^1 \frac{G^N(x) \hat{F}(h(x))}{\sqrt{1 - (G^N(x))^2}} dx = \Delta z(G, I).$$

*Proof.* Let us build a dominating function  $g(x)$ , since  $|G_{n_j}^N(x)| \leq 1$ , we are searching for  $g(x)$  such that  $|\hat{F}(h_{n_j}(x))|/\sqrt{1 - (G_{n_j}^N(x))^2} \leq g(x)$ . Here, we will need to review the cases when the solution  $\tilde{x}_{n_j}(t)$  hits one or two endpoint of the Hill interval.

1)  $\tilde{x}_{n_j}(t)$  just hits  $(x_1)_{n_j}$ : This case is equivalent to  $(G^N(1))^2 = 1$  and  $(G^N(0))^2 < 1$ , then  $1 - (G_{n_j}^N(x))^2 = (1 - x)q_{n_j}^1(x)$  where  $q_{n_j}^1(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $4\ell - 1$  such that  $0 < q_{n_j}^1(x)$ .

2)  $\tilde{x}_{n_j}(t)$  hits  $(x_1)_{n_j}$  and  $(x_0)_{n_j} \neq 0$ : This case is equivalent to  $(G^N(1))^2 = 1$  and  $(G^N(0))^2 = 1$ , then  $1 - (G_{n_j}^N(x))^2 = x(1 - x)q_{n_j}^2(x)$  where  $q_{n_j}^2(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $4\ell - 2$  such that  $0 < q_{n_j}^2(x)$ .

We remark that the polynomial  $1 - (G_{n_j}^N(x))^2$  only has root of multiplicity bigger than one if and only if  $(x_0)_{n_j} = 0$ , indeed, if  $(x_0)_{n_j} = 0$ ,  $\sqrt{1 - (G_{n_j}^N(x))^2}$  has multiplicity  $\ell$ , while,  $\hat{F}(h_{n_j}(x))$  has multiplicity  $2\ell$ . Thus, the quotient is zero, also the quotients

$$\frac{\hat{F}(h_{n_j}(x))}{\sqrt{q_{n_j}^1(x)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\hat{F}(h_{n_j}(x))}{\sqrt{q_{n_j}^2(x)}}$$

are smooth function in a compact set  $[0, 1]$ . Moreover, since  $G_{n_j}^N(x)$  is in the compact set  $K$ , exist a radius  $r > 0$  such that  $q_{n_j}^1$  and  $q_{n_j}^2$  are in  $B^c(0, r)$ . Thus, we can define the bound

$$C_2 := \max\left\{\left\{\sup_{N_1 < n_j} \left\{\max_{x \in [0,1]} \frac{|\hat{F}(h_{n_j}(x))|}{\sqrt{q_{n_j}^1(x)}}\right\}\right\}, \left\{\sup_{N_1 < n_j} \left\{\max_{x \in [0,1]} \frac{|\hat{F}(h_{n_j}(x))|}{\sqrt{q_{n_j}^2(x)}}\right\}\right\}\right\}$$

Finally,  $g(x)$  is defined by

$$g(x) := \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \geq \frac{|\hat{F}(h_{n_j}(x))|}{\sqrt{1 - (G_{n_j}^N(x))^2}}.$$

$g(x)$  is an integrable function on interval  $[0, 1]$  dominating the integrand, then we can apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.  $\square$

#### 4.2. Proof of Proposition 3.2 part 2).

*Proof.* We will summarize the results from this Section: Given the sequence of pair  $(G_n, I_n)$ , where  $G_n(x)$  is a polynomial in the pencil for  $\hat{F}$ , corresponding to the magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  joining the points  $c(-n/2)$  and  $c(n/2)$  in shorter time  $\tilde{T}_n < n$ . Lemma 4.1 implies that if  $n > N_1$ , then  $G_n(x)$  is not a constant polynomial, then  $I_n$  is a compact and the solution to the Hamiltonian system (2.14)  $x_n(t)$  is not constant. We defined the travel interval  $\mathcal{I}_n := \tilde{x}_n([0, \tilde{T}_n])$  and associated to  $G_n(x)$  a normalize polynomial  $G_n^N$  using the homothecy  $h_n(x) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n$ . Lemma 4.2 implies that  $G_n^N(x)$  is a sequence in the compact set  $K$  of polynomials. So  $G_n^N(x)$  has a convergent sub-sequence  $G_{n_j}^N(x)$ .

Corollary 4.3 proves that the inverse for the homothecy  $h(x) := \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} h_n(x)$  exist. We used the  $h^{-1}(x)$  to define a polynomial  $G(x) := G^N(h^{-1}(x))$  and Lemma 4.4 shows that  $G(x)$  belongs to the pencil of  $\hat{F}(x)$ .

Lemma 4.5 implies that the sub-sequence of initial conditions  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}((t_0)_{n_j})$  converge to  $(x_1, 0, 0)$ , where  $x_1$  is the right endpoint of the Hill Interval  $I$ .

Lemma 4.6 verified that the following limit

$$\Delta z(F, I_0) = \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta \tilde{z}(G_{n_j}, \tilde{T}_{n_j}) = \Delta z(G, I).$$

Therefore the sequence of initial condition  $(G_n, \tilde{c}_n((t_0)_n))$  on the cotangent bundle for the geodesic flow has a sub-sequence  $(G_{n_j}, \tilde{c}_{n_j}((t_0)_{n_j}))$  that converges to  $(G, \tilde{c}(t_0))$ , this sub-sequence define a sub-sequence of magnetic geodesics  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}(t)$  that converges to the magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}(t)$  with initial condition  $(G, \tilde{c}(t_0))$ .  $\square$

### 5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.3

*Proof.* Part 1) Let us recall the asymptotic endpoint match conditions given by equation (3.3),

$$(0, \infty, \Delta z(F, I_0)/2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{c}(T_n).$$

Since magnetic geodesics in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  just take unbounded values in the coordinate  $y$ , when 0 is one of the endpoints of the Hill interval, then  $\lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} (x_0)_{n_j} = \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{x}(T_{n_j}) = x_0 = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $G^2(0) = 1$  and  $G'(0) = 0$ , and the condition  $\lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{y}(T_{n_j}) = \infty$  implies  $G(0) = 1$ . Moreover, the sub-sequence of right points  $(x_1)_{n_j}$  must converge to a positive number, since  $\mathcal{I}_n \subset [0, \infty]$ . Therefore,  $G(x) = 1 + b\hat{F}(x)$  with  $b > 0$  and then  $x_1 = \sqrt[2\ell]{\frac{1}{b}}$ .

Under the change of variable defined by the homothety  $h(x) = x_1x$ , we have that  $G(h(x)) = F(x)$ , we use this property to compute  $\tilde{z}(G, I)$  in the following way

$$\Delta\tilde{z}(G, I) = 2 \int_I \frac{G(x)\hat{F}(x)}{\sqrt{1-G^2(x)}} dx = 2x_1^{2\ell} \int_{I_0} \frac{F(x)\hat{F}(x)}{\sqrt{1-F^2(x)}} dx = x_1^{2\ell} \Delta z(F, I_0).$$

To hold the  $z$  asymptotic period condition given by equation (3.8),  $x_1$  must be one, which is equivalent to  $b = 1$ , so  $G(x) = F(x)$  and  $I = I_0$ .  $\square$

## 6. PROOF THEOREM A

Before to prove Theorem A, let us show Proposition 3.1.

### 6.1. Proof of Proposition 3.1.

*Proof.* Let  $c(t)$  be a magnetic geodesic corresponding to the pair  $(F, I_0)$  and with initial condition  $c(0)$ , we will make the prove by contradiction: Let us suppose that  $c(t)$  is not a metric line, the exist  $T$  such that for all  $n > T$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  exist a magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  joining the points  $c(-n/2)$  and  $c(n/2)$  in shorter time  $\tilde{T}_n < n$ . Proposition 3.2 implies that exist a sub-sequence of magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}_{n_j}(t)$  convergent to a magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}(t)$  corresponding to the pair  $(G, I)$  and initial condition  $\tilde{c}(t_0)$ , holding the asymptotic endpoint condition given by equation (3.3). Proposition 3.3 shows that unique pair  $(G, I)$  holding the asymptotic endpoint condition is  $(F, I_0)$  and the constructed initial condition  $\tilde{c}(t_0) = c(0)$ . Then the shorter magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}(t)$  is the magnetic geodesic  $c(t)$ , which yields to a contradiction to the existence of the sequence magnetic geodesic  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$ .  $\square$

### 6.2. Proof of Theorem A.

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma(t)$  be a geodesic in  $J^k$  corresponding to the pair  $(F, I_0)$ , let  $c(t)$  be the projection of  $\gamma(t)$  by  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$ , which is a magnetic geodesic corresponding to the pencil  $(a, b) = (1, 1)$ . Proposition 3.1 implies that  $c(t)$  is metric line in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$ . Being  $\gamma(t)$  the lift of a metric line in  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  is a metric line in  $J^k$  by Proposition 2.1, since  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$  is a subRiemannian submersion.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. GEODESIC CLASSIFICATION

We will briefly remind the complete classification of geodesics on  $J^k$ , see [5], or [6] for more detail. Let  $\gamma(t)$  be a geodesic in  $J^k$  corresponding to the pair  $(F, I)$ :

- we say that  $\gamma(t)$  is a line-geodesic if  $F(x)$  is a constant polynomial.
- $\gamma(t)$  is a non-line-geodesic if  $F(x)$  is a non-constant polynomial.

Let  $\gamma(t)$  be a non-line-geodesic corresponding to  $(F, I)$ , where  $I = [x_0, x_1]$ .

- We say that  $\gamma(t)$  is  $x$ -periodic if  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  are regular points of  $F(x)$ .
- We say that  $\gamma(t)$  is homoclinic if one of the points  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  is regular and the other is a critical point of  $F(x)$ .
- We say that  $\gamma(t)$  is heteroclinic if both points  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  are critical of  $F(x)$ .

In the heteroclinic case, we add more dichotomy into the mix:

- We say that a heteroclinic geodesic  $\gamma(t)$  is call turn-back or direct type if  $F(x_0)F(x_1) = -1$  or  $F(x_0)F(x_1) = 1$ , respectively.

APPENDIX B. CONSTRUCTION OF  $\pi_{\hat{F}}$ 

Here we will build the subRiemannian submersion  $\pi_{\hat{F}} : J^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  as the composition of subRiemannian submersions  $\pi_F : J^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_F^3$ , defined in [6], and  $\phi : \mathbb{R}_F^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$ . We recall that  $\mathbb{R}_F^3$  is a subRiemannian manifold with global coordinates  $(x, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$ , where the distribution  $D_F$  is defined by the single Pfaffian equation:

$$\alpha_F = d\tilde{z} - F(x)d\tilde{y} = 0,$$

while the metric is defined by the restriction of  $ds_F^2 = dx^2 + d\tilde{y}^2$  to  $D_F$  plane. Then the subRiemannian submersion  $\pi_F$  is given by

$$\pi_F(x, \theta_0, \dots, \theta_k) = (c, \theta_0, \sum_{i=0}^k \theta_i \frac{x^i}{i!}) = (x, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}).$$

By construction  $(\pi_F)_*D = D_F$  and  $(\pi_F)^*ds_F^2 = ds^2$ . As we said before,  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  is a subRiemannian manifold with global coordinates  $(x, y, z)$ , where the distribution  $D_{\hat{F}}$  is defined by the single Pfaffian equation:

$$\alpha_{\hat{F}} = dz - \hat{F}(x)dy = 0,$$

where  $\hat{F}(x) = F(x) - 1$ , while the metric is defined by the restriction of  $ds_{\hat{F}}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2$  to  $D_{\hat{F}}$  plane. The subRiemannian submersion  $\phi$  is given by

$$\phi(x, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = (x, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} - \tilde{y}) = (x, y, z).$$

It is clear that  $\phi^*ds_{\hat{F}}^2 = ds_F^2$ , let us proof that  $\phi_*D_F = D_{\hat{F}}$ , which is equivalent to show  $\phi^*\alpha_{\hat{F}} = \alpha_F$ :

$$\phi^*\alpha_{\hat{F}} = d(\tilde{z} - \tilde{y}) - \hat{F}(x)d\tilde{y} = d\tilde{z} - (\hat{F}(x) + 1)d\tilde{y} = \alpha_F.$$




FIGURE B.1. The panels show the difference between  $\mathbb{R}_F^3$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  for the magnetic geodesic corresponding to the same pair  $(F, I_0)$  for  $\ell = 1$ . The left panel shows the projection from  $\mathbb{R}_{\hat{F}}^3$  to the  $(x, z)$  plane. The right panel show the projection from  $\mathbb{R}_F^3$  to the  $(x, \tilde{z})$  plane.

### APPENDIX C. MAGNETIC GEODESICS SYMMETRIES

Let  $c(t)$  be a magnetic geodesic, by construction  $c(t)$  has the following symmetries.

- Time symmetry: The time that takes the solution  $x(t)$  to travel from  $x_0$  to  $x_1$  is equal to the time that takes  $x(t)$  to travel from  $x_1$  to  $x_0$ . This is a consequence of reversibility of the Hamiltonian system (2.14), i.e., if  $(p_x(t), x(t))$  is a solution to Hamiltonian system (2.14), then  $(p_x(-t), x(-t))$  is also a solution.
- $y$  and  $z$  symmetries: The change in  $y$  and  $z$  coordinates is equal when  $x(t)$  travels from  $x_0$  to  $x_1$  that when  $x(t)$  travels from  $x_1$  to  $x_0$ . This is a consequence of reversibility of the Hamiltonian system (2.14) and equations (2.15).

### APPENDIX D. UPPER BOUND FOR THE CUT TIME IN $R_F^3$

**Definition D.1.** Let  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  be a geodesic in a length space (eg. a subRiemannian manifold) parameterized by arclength.

- The cut time of  $\gamma$  is

$$t_{cut}(\gamma) := \sup\{t > 0 : \gamma|_{[0,t]} \text{ is length-minimizing}\}.$$

**Proposition D.1.** Let  $c(t)$  be a  $x$ -periodic geodesic on  $R_{\hat{F}}^3$  with  $x$ -period  $L(G, I)$  given by

$$L(G, I) := 2 \int_I \frac{G(x)dx}{\sqrt{1 - G^2(x)}}.$$

Then

- 1.-  $t_{cut}(c) \leq L(G, I)/2$  if  $c(t)$ 's Hill interval contains 0.
- 2.-  $t_{cut}(c) \leq L(G, I)$  if  $c(t)$ 's Hill interval does not contain 0.

The proof of this proposition is in [6].

## APPENDIX E. PROOF OF COUNTEREXAMPLE 1

*Proof.* Let  $c(t)$  be the magnetic geodesic in  $\mathbb{R}_F^3(x)$  corresponding to the pair  $(F, I_0)$ , where  $F(x) = 1 - 2x^{2\ell+1}$  with  $\ell > 1$ ,  $\hat{F}(x) = -2x^{2\ell+1}$  and  $I_0 = [0, 1]$ . We will show that exist a time  $N$  and a curve  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  joining the points  $c(-n/2) = \tilde{c}_n(0)$  and  $c(n/2) = \tilde{c}_n(\tilde{T}_n)$  such that  $\tilde{T}_n < n$ , if  $n > N$ .

We set  $c(-n/2) := (x_n, y_n, z_n)$ , so for  $n$  large enough  $x_n$  is close to 0. Also,  $n$  and  $x_n$  are related by equation (3.5). The changes  $\Delta y(n)$  and  $\Delta z(n)$  in  $y$  and  $z$  coordinate suffered as  $c(t)$  travels from  $c(-n/2)$  to  $c(n/2)$  are given by equations (3.6). We remark that  $c(n/2) := (x_n, y_n, z_n) + (0, \Delta y(n), \Delta z(n))$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta y(n) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta z(n) = \Delta z(F, I_0),$$

where  $\Delta z(F, I_0)$  is given by equation (2.16) and is a positive and finite number.

We define  $\epsilon(n)$  as the unique solution to the equation  $\Delta y(n)\epsilon(n) = \Delta z(n)$ , then  $\epsilon(n)$  is a positive number if  $n$  is large enough and  $\epsilon(n) \rightarrow 0$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now, we define  $\tilde{x}(\epsilon)$  as the unique solution to the equation  $\hat{F}(\tilde{x}(\epsilon)) = \epsilon(n)$ , then  $\tilde{x}(\epsilon)$  is a negative number if  $n$  is large enough and  $\tilde{x}(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$  when  $\epsilon(n) \rightarrow 0$ , so  $\tilde{x}(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We define a plane curve  $(\tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t))$  as follows

$$(\tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) := \begin{cases} (x_n - t, y_n) & \text{if } t \in [0, (t_1)_n], \\ (\epsilon(n), y_n + t - (t_1)_n) & \text{if } t \in [(t_1)_n, (t_2)_n], \\ (\epsilon(n) + t - (t_2)_n, y_n + \Delta y(n)) & \text{if } t \in [(t_2)_n, \tilde{T}_n]. \end{cases}$$

where  $(t_1)_n := x_n - \epsilon(n)$ ,  $(t_2)_n := (t_1)_n + \Delta y(n)$  and

$$\tilde{T}_n := (t_2)_n + x_n - \epsilon(n) = 2(x_n - \epsilon(n)) + \Delta y(n).$$

To lift the plane curve to  $\mathbb{R}_F^3$ , we solve the equation  $\dot{\tilde{z}} = \dot{\tilde{y}}F(\tilde{x}(t))$ , which solution is given by

$$\tilde{z}(t) := \begin{cases} z_n & \text{if } t \in [0, (t_1)_n], \\ z_n + \hat{F}(\epsilon(n))(t - (t_1)_n) & \text{if } t \in [(t_1)_n, (t_2)_n], \\ z_n + \Delta z(n) & \text{if } t \in [(t_2)_n, \tilde{T}_n]. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if  $\tilde{c}_n(t)$  is the lift of the plane curve  $(\tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t))$ , by construction  $c(-n/2) = \tilde{c}_n(0)$  and  $c(n/2) = \tilde{c}_n(\tilde{T}_n)$ .

On one side,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2(x_n - \epsilon(n)) = 0$ . On the other side, using the definition cost function  $Cost(F, n)$ , we have  $n = Cost(F, n) + \Delta y(n)$ , where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cost(F, n) = Cost(F, I_0) > 0$ . Therefore, exist  $N$  such that if  $n > N$ , then  $Cost(F, n) > 2(x_n - \epsilon(n))$  and so  $n > \tilde{T}_n$ .  $\square$




FIGURE E.1. The following image correspond to the case  $\ell = 1$ . The left panel shows the projection to  $(x, y)$  plane of the geodesic  $c$  and the curve  $\tilde{c}$ . The middle panel shows the projection to  $(x, z)$  plane of the geodesic  $c$  and the curve  $\tilde{c}$ . The right panel show the graph of  $Cost(F, n)$  and  $2(x_n - \epsilon(n))$  as a functions with respect to  $x_n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Agrachev and D. Barilari and U. Boscain, **A Comprehensive Introduction to Sub-Riemannian Geometry**, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge Univ. Press, Vol. 181, **2019**.
- [2] A.A. Ardentov, Yu. L. Sachkov, *Conjugate points in Nilpotent Sub-Riemannian Problem on the Engel Group*
- [3] A.A. Ardentov, Yu. L. Sachkov, *Extremal trajectories in a nilpotent sub-Riemannian problem on the Engel group*, Matematicheskii Sbornik 202:1131-54; DOI10.1070/SM2011v202n11ABEH004200
- [4] A.A. Ardentov, Yu. L. Sachkov, *Cut time in sub-Riemannian problem on Engel group*, (English summary) ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 21 (2015), no. 4, 958?988.
- [5] A. Bravo-Doddoli, *The Higher Euler: Geodesics in Jet Space* <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.08022>
- [6] A. Bravo-Doddoli and R. Montgomery, *Geodesics in Jet Space* Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, Volume 27, 151–182, **2002**.
- [7] A. Bravo-Doddoli, *Non periodic geodesic on the Jet Space* <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.16178>
- [8] Alfonso Anzaldo-Meneses and Felipe Monroy-Perez *Goursat distribution and sub-Riemannian structures*, December 2003, Journal of Mathematical Physics
- [9] Alfonso Anzaldo-Meneses and Felipe Monroy-Perez, *Integrability of nilpotent sub-Riemannian structures*, preprint; INRIA; inria-00071749, (2003).
- [10] Alfonso Anzaldo-Meneses and Felipe Monroy-Perez, *Optimal Control on Nilpotent Lie Groups* Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems, October 2002.
- [11] E. Hakavuori and E. Le Donne, *Blowups and blowdowns of geodesics in Carnot groups*, arXiv:1806.09375, [2018].
- [12] R. Montgomery, **A Tour of SubRiemannian Geometry**, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 91, American Math. Society, Providence, Rhode Island, **2002**.
- [13] Ben Warhurst, *Jet spaces as nonrigid Carnot groups* Journal of Lie Theory, Volume 15, 341–356, (2005)

ALEJANDRO BRAVO: DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UCSC, 1156 HIGH STREET, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064

*Email address:* [Abravodo@ucsc.edu](mailto:Abravodo@ucsc.edu)