

# UNIFORM BOUNDS FOR RUIN PROBABILITY IN MULTIDIMENSIONAL RISK MODEL

NIKOLAI KRIUKOV

**Abstract:** In this paper we consider some generalizations of the classical  $d$ -dimensional Brownian risk model. This contribution derives some non-asymptotic bounds for simultaneous ruin probabilities of interest. In addition, we obtain non-asymptotic bounds also for the case of general trend functions and convolutions of our original risk model.

**Key Words:** Brownian risk model; Brownian motion; simultaneous ruin probability; uniform bounds.

**AMS Classification:** 60G15

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND FIRST RESULT

Let  $\mathbf{B}(t), t \geq 0$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion with independent standard Brownian motion components and set  $\mathbf{Z}(t) = A\mathbf{B}(t), t \geq 0$  with  $A$  a  $d \times d$  real non-singular matrix. The recent contribution [1] derived the following remarkable inequality

$$(1) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\mathbb{P}\{\exists t \in [0, T] : \mathbf{Z}(t) \geq \mathbf{b}\}}{\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(T) \geq \mathbf{b}\}} \leq K(T), \quad K(T) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(T) \geq \mathbf{0}\}}$$

valid for all  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d, T > 0$ . In our notation bold symbols are column vectors with  $d$  rows and all operations are meant component-wise, for instance  $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$  means  $x_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \leq d$  with  $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

The special and crucial feature of (1) is that the bounds are uniform with respect to  $\mathbf{b}$ . Moreover, if at least one component of  $\mathbf{b}$  tends to infinity, then  $\mathbb{P}\{\exists t \in [0, T] : \mathbf{Z}(t) \geq \mathbf{b}\}$  can be accurately approximated (up to some constant) by the survival probability  $\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(T) \geq \mathbf{b}\}$ .

Inequality (1) has been crucial in the context of Shepp-statistics investigated in [1]. It is also of great importance in the investigation of simultaneous ruin probabilities in vector-valued risk models (see [2–4]). Specifically, consider the multidimensional risk model

$$\mathbf{R}(t, u) = \mathbf{a}u - \mathbf{X}(t), \quad \mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{Z}(t) - \mathbf{c}t$$

for some vectors  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbf{Z}(t), t \geq 0$  defined above. Typically,  $\mathbf{R}$  models the surplus of all  $d$ -portfolios of an insurance company, where  $a_i u, u > 0$  plays the role of the initial capital. Here the component  $Z_i$  models the accumulated claim amount up to time  $t$  and  $c_i t$  is the premium income for the  $i$ th portfolio.

Given a positive integer  $k \leq d$ , of interest is the calculation of the  $k$ -th simultaneous ruin probability, i.e., at least  $k$  out of  $d$  portfolios are ruined on a given time interval  $[0, T]$  with  $T$  possibly also infinite. That ruin probability can be written as

$$\mathbb{P}\{\exists t \in [0, T] : \mathbf{Z}(t) - \mathbf{c}t \in u\mathbf{S}\}, \quad u > 0,$$

where

$$\mathbf{S} := \bigcup_{\substack{I \subset \{1 \dots d\} \\ |I|=k}} \mathbf{S}_I, \quad \mathbf{S}_I = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \forall i \in I, x_i > a_i\}.$$

The particular case  $\mathbf{Z}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}(t), t \geq 0$  with  $\mathbf{A}$  a  $d \times d$  non-singular matrix is of special importance for insurance risk models, see e.g., [5]. Clearly, this instance is also of great importance in statistics and probability given the central role of the  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Brownian motion as a natural limiting process

In [6] it has been shown that (1) can be extended for this risk model, i.e., for all  $u, T > 0$

$$(2) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\mathbb{P}\{\exists t \in [0, T] : \mathbf{X}(t) \in u\mathbf{S}\}}{\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{X}(T) \in u\mathbf{S}\}} \leq K_{\mathbf{S}}(T), \quad \mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{Z}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t),$$

with  $\mathbf{c}(t) = \mathbf{c}t, t \geq 0$  and some known constant  $K_{\mathbf{S}}(T) > 0$ . Again the bounds are uniform with respect to  $u$ .

It is clear that the inequality (2) does not hold for an arbitrary set  $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Since Brownian motion has almost surely continuous sample paths, if it hits some closed set  $\mathbf{S}$ , it definitely hits its boundary. Hence in the following special case, for all  $u$  positive we have

$$\{\exists t \in [0, T] : Z(t) \geq u\} = \{\exists t \in [0, T] : Z(t) = u\}.$$

Hence, taking  $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 = 1\}$  and  $\mathbf{c}(t) = \mathbf{0}$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{\exists t \in [0, T] : \mathbf{X}(t) \in u\mathbf{S}\} &= \mathbb{P}\{\exists t \in [0, T] : X_1(t) > u\} \geq \mathbb{P}\{X_1(T) > u\} > 0, \\ \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{X}(T) \in u\mathbf{S}\} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and (2) does not hold.

Therefore hereafter we shall consider only closed sets  $\mathbf{S}$  described as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  are as defined above. The closed Borel set  $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies the cone condition with respect to the vector-valued process  $\mathbf{X}$  if there exists a strictly positive function  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(t), t > 0$  such that for any point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}$  and any  $t > 0$  there exists a Borel set  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}} \subset \mathbf{S}$  that contains  $\mathbf{x}$  and not depending on  $t$ , satisfying  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x} \subset C(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x})$  for all  $C > 1$  and  $\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(t) \in \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}\} \geq \varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(t)$ .

It is of interest to consider a general trend function in (2). We consider below a large class of trend functions which is tractable if  $\mathbf{Z}$  has self-similar coordinates with index  $\alpha > 0$ . This is in particular the case when  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A continuous measurable vector-valued function  $\mathbf{c} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  belongs to  $RV_{t_0}(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 0, t_0 \in [0, T]$  if for some  $M > 0$ , all  $i \in \{1 \dots d\}, t \in [0, T]$

$$|c_i(t) - c_i(t_0)| \leq M|t - t_0|^\alpha.$$

We state next our first result. Below  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  growing means that for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\} x_i \geq y_i$  we have that  $F_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq F_i(\mathbf{y})$  holds for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** If  $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies the cone condition with respect to the process  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}$  such that  $\mathbf{0} \notin \mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{c} \in RV_T(1/2)$ , then for all constants  $T > 0, u > 1$  the inequality (2) holds with

$$K(T) = \frac{2^{d/2}}{\mathfrak{C}(T)\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(T)}, \quad \mathfrak{C}(T) = \inf_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-T\left(\frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right)^\top \Sigma^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right)} > 0,$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{Z}(T)$ . In particular, for any growing function  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\mathbb{P} \{ \exists_{t \in [0, T]} : \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Z}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t)) > u\mathbf{a} \} \leq C_T \mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Z}(T) - \mathbf{c}(T)) > u\mathbf{a} \}$$

we have  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (-\infty, 0]^d$ ,  $u > 1$  and some constant  $C_T$  which does not depend on  $u$ .

If  $\mathbf{Z}$  is a given separable random field, it is of interest to determine conditions such that (2) can be extended to

$$(3) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\mathbb{P} \{ \exists_{t \in \mathbb{T}} : \mathbf{Z}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t) \in u\mathbf{S} \}}{\mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{T}) - \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{T}) \in u\mathbf{S} \}} \leq K_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{T}),$$

where  $\mathbb{T} = [0, T_1] \times \dots \times [0, T_n]$  and  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  has positive components. For the case  $\mathbf{Z}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i(t_i)$  where  $\mathbf{Z}_i$ 's are independent copies of  $\mathbf{Z}$ , and  $\mathbf{c}(t) = 0$  the result (3) was shown in [1][Thm 1.1] for some special set  $\mathbf{S}$ . For more general set  $\mathbf{S}$  we have the following result:

**Theorem 1.4.** *If  $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies the cone condition with respect to  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{0} \notin \mathbf{S}$  and all  $\mathbf{c}_i \in RV_T(1/2)$ , then for all constants  $T_1, \dots, T_n > 0, u > 1$  the inequality (3) holds with  $\mathbf{Z}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_k(t_k)$  and  $\mathbf{c}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{c}_k(t_k)$  with*

$$K_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{T}) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{2^{d/2}}{\mathfrak{C}_k(T_k) \varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(T_k)}, \quad \mathfrak{C}_k(T_k) = \inf_{t \in [0, T_k)} e^{-T_k \left( \frac{\mathbf{c}_k(T_k) - \mathbf{c}_k(t)}{\sqrt{T_k - t}} \right)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(T_k) \left( \frac{\mathbf{c}_k(T_k) - \mathbf{c}_k(t)}{\sqrt{T_k - t}} \right)} > 0,$$

where  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}$  is any function satisfies the claims of Definition 1.1.

## 2. DISCUSSION

In this section as in Introduction we consider first

$$\mathbf{Z}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}(t), \quad t \geq 0$$

with  $\mathbf{A}$  non-singular and  $\mathbf{B}$  a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion with independent components. We shall discuss next the generalisation of the upper bound (2) for various special cases.

**2.1. Order statistics.** The classical multidimensional Brownian motion risk model (see [5]) is formulated in terms of some risk process  $\mathbf{R}$  specified by

$$\mathbf{R}(t, u) = \mathbf{a}u - \mathbf{Z}(t) + \mathbf{c}t$$

for some vectors  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We are interested in the finite-time simultaneous ruin probability for  $k$  out of  $d$  portfolios, i.e. the probability that at least  $k$  portfolios are ruined. In other words, we are investigating the probability

$$\mathbb{P} \{ \exists_{t \in [0, T]}, \exists_{\mathcal{I} \subset \{1, \dots, d\}} : |\mathcal{I}| = k, \forall i \in \mathcal{I} Z_i(t) - c_i t \geq a_i u \},$$

which in view of our previous notation reads

$$\mathbb{P} \{ \exists_{t \in [0, T]} : \mathbf{Z}(t) - \mathbf{c}t \in \mathbf{S}_u \}, \quad u > 0,$$

where

$$\mathbf{S}_u := \bigcup_{\substack{I \subset \{1 \dots d\} \\ |I|=k}} \mathbf{S}_{I,u}, \quad \mathbf{S}_{I,u} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \forall i \in I x_i \geq a_i u \}.$$

Asymptotic approximations of such probability was already obtained in [6]. Now we want to derive a uniform non-asymptotic bound based on our previous findings. It is clear that all sets  $\mathbf{S}_{I,u}$  satisfy the

cone condition with respect to the process  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{S}_u$  also satisfies the cone condition with respect to the process  $\mathbf{Z}$ , hence we can use Theorem 1.3 and write for some positive constant  $C$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(T) - cT \in \mathcal{S}_u\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{\exists_{t \in [0, T]} : \mathbf{Z}(t) - ct \in \mathcal{S}_u\} \leq C\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(T) - cT \in \mathcal{S}_u\}.$$

**2.2. Fractional Brownian motion.** Consider next the 1-dimensional risk model

$$R(u, t) = u - B_H(t) + ct, \quad t > 0,$$

where  $B_H(t)$  is a standard fractional Brownian motion with zero mean and variance function  $|t|^{2H}$ ,  $H \in (0, 1]$ . We are interested in the calculation of the finite-time ruin probability for given  $T > 0$ . The inequalities below have already been shown in [2]. We retrieve them using our findings. Namely, by the Slepian inequality, we can write for  $H > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $W$  a standard Brownian motion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{\exists_{t \in [0, T]} R(u, t) \leq 0\} &\leq \mathbb{P}\{\exists_{t \in [0, T]} W(t^{2H}) - ct \geq u\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\exists_{t \in [0, T^{2H}]} W(t) - ct^{1/2H} \geq u\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\exists_{t \in [0, 1]} W(T^{2H}t) - cTt^{1/2H} \geq u\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\exists_{t \in [0, 1]} W(t) - cT^{1-H}t^{1/2H} \geq u/T^H\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $cT^{1-H}t^{1/2H} \in RV_1(1/2)$ , using Theorem 1.3, for some positive constant  $C$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{\exists_{t \in [0, 1]} W(t) - cT^{1-H}t^{1/2H} \geq u/T^H\} &\leq C\mathbb{P}\{W(1) - cT^{1-H} \geq u/T^H\} \\ &= C\mathbb{P}\{W(T^{2H}) - cT \geq u\} \\ &= C\mathbb{P}\{R(u, T) \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The above can be extended considering the convolution of  $n$  independent one-dimensional fractional Brownian motions  $B_{H_i}(t), t > 0, i \leq n$ . Let  $H_i > 1/2$  and define the risk processes

$$R_i(u, t) = u/n - B_{H_i}(t) + c_i t, \quad i \leq n.$$

Consider the convolution of processes  $R_i(u, t)$ . Using Slepian inequality, for all  $H_i > \frac{1}{2}$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists_{t \in \prod_{i=1}^n [0, T_i]} \sum_{i=1}^n R_i(u, t_i) \leq 0\right\} &\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists_{t \in \prod_{i=1}^n [0, T_i]} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i(t^{2H_i}) - c_i t_i \geq u\right\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists_{t \in \prod_{i=1}^n [0, T_i^{2H_i}]} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i(t) - c_i t_i^{1/2H_i} \geq u\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $W_i$  stands for an independent copy of Brownian motion. As  $c_i t_i^{1/2H_i} \in RV_{T_i}(1/2, 1)$ , using Theorem 1.4, for some positive constant  $C$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists_{t \in \prod_{i=1}^n [0, T_i^{2H_i}]} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i(t) - c_i t_i^{1/2H_i} \geq u\right\} &\leq C\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i(T_i^{2H_i}) - c_i T_i \geq u\right\} \\ &= C\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n B_{H_i}(T_i) - c_i T_i \geq u\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n R_i(u, T_i) \leq 0 \right\}.$$

### 3. VECTOR-VALUED TIME-TRANSFORM

Finally, we discuss some extensions of (2) under different time transformations. We use the notation from Section 2 and define the following time transform. Let  $\mathbf{f}(t) : [0, +\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a growing vector-valued function and define

$$\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(t)) = (Z_1(f_1(t)), \dots, Z_d(f_d(t)))^\top.$$

Hence  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  can be considered as a generalised transformation of time.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{f}(t) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be given. Suppose that all  $f_i(t)$ 's are continuous, strictly growing and for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  we have  $f_i(0) = 0$  and function  $\delta_i(t) = \frac{f_i(T) - f_i(t)}{f_1(T) - f_1(t)}$  has a positive finite limit as  $t \rightarrow T$ . Let also  $|c_i(T) - c_i(t)| < M \sqrt{f_1(T) - f_1(t)}$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , some  $M > 0$ , and  $\mathbf{S}$  satisfies the cone condition with respect to the process  $\mathbf{Z}$ . If  $\mathbf{0} \notin \mathbf{S}$ , then for all constants  $T > 0, u > 1$  the inequality (2) holds with  $\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(t))$  and*

$$K^*(T) = \frac{(2f_1(T))^{d/2}}{\mathfrak{C}(T)\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{S}}}, \quad \mathfrak{C}(T) = \inf_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\left(\frac{c(T_k) - c(t)}{\sqrt{f_1(T) - f_1(t)}}\right)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\delta(t)) \left(\frac{c(T_k) - c(t)}{\sqrt{f_1(T) - f_1(t)}}\right)} > 0,$$

where

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{S}} = \left( \frac{\inf_{\substack{i \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ t \in [0, T]}} \delta_i(t)}{\sup_{\substack{i \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ t \in [0, T]}} \delta_i(t)} \right)^{d/2} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}} \left( \inf_{\substack{i \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ t \in [0, T]}} \delta_i(t) \right) > 0.$$

**Remark 3.2.** *The function  $\mathbf{f}$  in Theorem 3.1 may also be an almost surely growing stochastic process, independent of  $\mathbf{Z}$ , satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} f_i(T) < F, \quad \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{c_i(T_k) - c_i(t)}{\sqrt{f_1(T) - f_1(t)}} \right| < M, \\ \delta < \inf_{\substack{i \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ t \in [0, T]}} \delta_i(t) \leq \sup_{\substack{i \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ t \in [0, T]}} \delta_i(t) < \Delta, \end{aligned}$$

almost surely with some positive constants  $F, M, \delta, \Delta$ . In this case the inequality (2) holds with

$$K^*(T) = \frac{(2F)^{d/2}}{\mathfrak{C}(T)\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{S}}}, \quad \mathfrak{C}(T) = \min_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in [-M, M]^d \\ t \in [\delta, \Delta]^d}} e^{-\mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma^{-1}(t) \mathbf{x}} > 0,$$

and

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{S}} = \left( \frac{\delta}{\Delta} \right)^{d/2} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(\delta) > 0.$$

We illustrate the above findings considering again  $d$  independent one-dimensional fractional Brownian motions  $B_{H_i}(t), t > 0$  with Hurst parameters  $H_i > \frac{1}{2}, i \leq d$ . Define  $d$  ruin portfolios

$$R_i(u, t) = u - B_{H_i}(t) + c_i t,$$

and we are interested in probability that all of them will be simultaneously ruined in  $[0, T]$ .

Using Gordon inequality (see [7, page 55]), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists_{t \in [0, T]} \forall_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} R_i(u, t) < 0 \right\} \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists_{t \in [0, T]} \forall_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} W_i(t^{2H_i}) - c_i t > u \right\}.$$

Where  $B_i(t)$  are independent Brownian motions. Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \frac{T^{2H_i} - t^{2H_i}}{T^{2H_1} - t^{2H_1}} = \frac{2H_i}{2H_1} \frac{T^{2H_i-1}}{T^{2H_1-1}} > 0,$$

using Theorem 3.1, for some positive constant  $C$ , which does not depend on  $u$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists_{t \in [0, T]} \forall_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} W_i(t^{2H_i}) - c_i t > u \right\} &\leq C \mathbb{P} \left\{ \forall_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} W_i(T^{2H_i}) - c_i T > u \right\} \\ &= C \mathbb{P} \left\{ \forall_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} B_{H_i}(T) - cT > u \right\} \\ &= C \mathbb{P} \left\{ \forall_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} R_i(u, T) < 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. PROOFS

Let us note the following property of the function  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If set  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfies the cone condition with respect to the process  $\mathbf{Z}(t)$  with some function  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$ , then for any constant  $u > 1$  set  $u\mathcal{S}$  also satisfies the cone condition with respect to the process  $\mathbf{Z}(t)$ , and for any function  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$  exists a function  $\varepsilon_{u\mathcal{S}}(t)$  such that*

$$\varepsilon_{u\mathcal{S}}(t) \geq \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$$

**Proof of Lemma 4.1:** Fix some  $\mathbf{x} \in u\mathcal{S}$ . Then we know that  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}/u \in \mathcal{S}$ . As  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfies the cone condition with respect to the process  $\mathbf{Z}(t)$ , there exists some cone  $V_{\mathbf{y}} \subset \mathcal{S}$  with vertex  $\mathbf{y}$  such that  $\mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{Z}(t) \in V_{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y} \} \geq \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$ . Hence,  $uV_{\mathbf{y}} \subset u\mathcal{S}$  for all  $u > 1$ . Note that using the properties of cone

$$uV_{\mathbf{y}} = u(\mathbf{y} + (V_{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y})) = \mathbf{x} + u(V_{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}) \supset \mathbf{x} + (V_{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}).$$

Hence,  $\mathbf{x} + (V_{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}) \subset u\mathcal{S}$  is some cone with vertex  $\mathbf{x}$ , and

$$\mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{Z}(t) \in uV_{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{x} \} \geq \mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{Z}(t) \in V_{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y} \} \geq \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}(t).$$

□

**Proof of Theorem 1.3:** Consider the first inequality. Define the following stopping moment

$$\tau = \inf \{ t \in [0, T] : \mathbf{Z}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t) \in u\mathcal{S} \}.$$

According to the strong Markov property

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{Z}(T) - \mathbf{c}(T) \in u\mathcal{S} \} \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{u\partial\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{Z}(\tau) - \mathbf{c}(\tau) \in d\mathbf{x}, \tau \in dt \} \mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{Z}(T) - \mathbf{c}(T) \in u\mathcal{S} | \mathbf{Z}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t) = \mathbf{x} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.1,  $u\mathcal{S}$  satisfies the cone condition with respect to the process  $\mathbf{Z}(t)$ . Hence for all  $\mathbf{x} \in u\mathcal{S}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{Z}(T) - \mathbf{c}(T) \in u\mathcal{S} | \mathbf{Z}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t) = \mathbf{x} \} &\geq \mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{Z}(T) - \mathbf{c}(T) \in V_{\mathbf{x}} | \mathbf{Z}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t) = \mathbf{x} \} \\ &= \mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{Z}(T-t) - (\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)) \in V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}(1) - (\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t))/\sqrt{T-t} \in (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x})/\sqrt{T-t} \right\} \\
&\geq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sqrt{T}\mathbf{Z}(1) \in \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x} + \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t))/\sqrt{T-t} \right\} \\
&= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}(T) \in \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x} + \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t))/\sqrt{T-t} \right\} \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{|\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \sqrt{T} \frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \sqrt{T} \frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}})} d\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \\
&\geq \int_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{|\Sigma|}} e^{-T(\frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}})} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2}\tilde{\mathbf{x}})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\sqrt{2}\tilde{\mathbf{x}})} d\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \\
&= e^{-T(\frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}})} \frac{\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}(T) \in \sqrt{2}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}) \right\}}{2^{d/2}} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2^{d/2}} e^{-T(\frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}})} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}(T) \in \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x} \right\} \\
&\geq \frac{\mathfrak{C}(T)\varepsilon_{u\mathbf{S}}(T)}{2^{d/2}} \geq \frac{\mathfrak{C}(T)\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(T)}{2^{d/2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}}$  is the cone from Definition 1.1. As the right part does not depend on  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $t$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}(T) - \mathbf{c}(T) \in u\mathbf{S} \right\} &\geq \frac{\mathfrak{C}(T)\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(T)}{2^{d/2}} \int_0^T \int_{u\partial\mathbf{S}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}(\tau) - \mathbf{c}(\tau) \in d\mathbf{x}, \tau \in dt \right\} \\
&= \frac{\mathfrak{C}(T)\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(T)}{2^{d/2}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t \in [0, T] \mathbf{Z}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t) \in u\mathbf{S} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the first inequality holds. Consider the second one. Define a set

$$\mathbf{a}^+ = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{a}\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_u = \frac{1}{u} \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) \in u\mathbf{a}^+\}.$$

Set  $\mathbf{S}_u$  satisfies the cone condition with respect to the process  $\mathbf{Z}(t)$  for  $V_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}^+$ , as for any  $\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}_u$

$$\mathbf{F}(u\mathbf{y}) \geq \mathbf{F}(u\mathbf{x}) \geq u\mathbf{a}^+.$$

Consequently,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}_u$ , and

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}_u}(t) = \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{X}(t) \in \mathbf{x}^+ - \mathbf{x} \right\} = \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{X}(t) \in [0, +\infty)^d \right\}$$

does not depend on  $u$ . Applying the result above for the set  $\mathbf{S}_u$  we obtain

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t \in [0, T] : \mathbf{X}(t) \in u\mathbf{S}_u \right\} \leq \frac{2^{d/2} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{X}(T) \in u\mathbf{S} \right\}}{\mathfrak{C}(T)\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}_u}(T)} = \frac{2^{d/2} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{X}(T) \in u\mathbf{S}_u \right\}}{\mathfrak{C}(T)\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{X}(T) \in [0, +\infty)^d \right\}}.$$

As the event  $\{\mathbf{X}(t) \in u\mathbf{S}_u\}$  is equal to the event  $\{\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t)) > u\mathbf{a}\}$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4:** Define

$$\psi_k(\mathbf{S}) := \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t \in \mathbb{T}_k : \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{Z}_i(t_i) - \mathbf{c}_i(t_i)) + \sum_{i=k+1}^n (\mathbf{Z}_i(T_i) - \mathbf{c}_i(T_i)) \in \mathbf{S} \right\},$$

where  $\mathbb{T}_k = [0, T_1] \times \dots \times [0, T_k]$ . As in the previous section we are going to prove that the inequality

$$\psi_k(u\mathbf{S}) \leq \frac{2^{d/2} \psi_{k-1}(u\mathbf{S})}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(T_k) \mathfrak{C}_k(T_k)}$$

takes place for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We can fix the trajectories of processes  $\mathbf{Z}_i(t)$  called  $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$ , fix random vectors  $\mathbf{Z}_i(T_i)$  called  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , and define the process

$$\mathbf{Z}^{*k}(t, \mathbf{t}^k) = \mathbf{Z}_k(t) - \mathbf{c}_k(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\mathbf{x}_i(t_i) - \mathbf{c}_i(t_i)) + \sum_{i=k+1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{c}_i(T_i)),$$

where  $\mathbf{t}^k = (t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{T}_{k-1}$ .

Since  $\mathbf{Z}_i$  are independent, it is enough to show that for every set of trajectories  $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$  and points  $\mathbf{x}_j$ , the inequality

$$\psi^*(u\mathbf{S}) \leq \frac{2^{d/2} \nu(u\mathbf{S})}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(T_k) \mathfrak{C}_k(T_k)}$$

takes place, where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^*(\mathbf{S}) &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t \in [0, T_k] : \mathbf{Z}^{*k}(t, \mathbf{t}^k) \in \mathbf{S} \text{ for some } \mathbf{t}^k \in \mathbb{T}_{k-1} \right\}, \\ \nu(\mathbf{S}) &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}^{*k}(T_k, \mathbf{t}^k) \in \mathbf{S} \text{ for some } \mathbf{t}^k \in \mathbb{T}_{k-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the following stopping time:

$$\tau_k = \inf \left\{ t : \mathbf{Z}^{*k}(t, \mathbf{t}^k) \in u\mathbf{S} \text{ for some } \mathbf{t}^k \in \mathbb{T}^k \right\},$$

and the random vector

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}^*, & \tau_k \leq T_k, \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is any point from the following set:

$$\bigcup_{\mathbf{t}^k \in \mathbb{T}^k} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}^{*k}(\tau_k, \mathbf{t}^k) \right\} \cap u\mathbf{S}.$$

. Using the total probability formula we obtain

$$\nu(u\mathbf{S}) = \int_0^{T_k} \int_{u\partial\mathbf{S}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k \in d\mathbf{x}_0, \tau_k \in dt \right\} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}^{*k}(T_k, \mathbf{t}^k) \in u\mathbf{S} \text{ for some } \mathbf{t}^k \in \mathbb{T}_{k-1} \mid \tau_k = t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k = \mathbf{x}_0 \right\}.$$

For any  $\mathbf{t}^k \in \mathbb{T}_{k-1}$  we have

$$\mathbf{Z}^{*k}(T_k, \mathbf{t}^k) - \mathbf{Z}^{*k}(t, \mathbf{t}^k) = \mathbf{Z}_k(T_k) - \mathbf{Z}_k(t) - (\mathbf{c}_k(T_k) - \mathbf{c}_k(t)).$$

Thus, using the same chain of inequalities as in Theorem 1.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}^{*k}(T_k, \mathbf{t}^k) \in u\mathbf{S} \text{ for some } \mathbf{t}^k \in \mathbb{T}^k \mid \tau_k = t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k = \mathbf{x}_0 \right\} \\ & \geq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}_k(T_k) - \mathbf{Z}_k(t) - (\mathbf{c}_k(T_k) - \mathbf{c}_k(t)) \in u\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{x}_0 \right\} \\ & \geq \frac{\mathfrak{C}_k(T_k) \varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(T_k)}{2^{d/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

**Remark 4.2.** The random variable  $\tau_k$  is measurable, because it can be represented as

$$\tau_k = \inf \left\{ t : \mathbf{Z}_k(t) - \mathbf{c}_k(t) \in \mathbf{S}_k^* \right\},$$

where

$$\mathbf{S}_k^* = \bigcup_{t^k \in \mathbb{T}^k} \left( u\mathbf{S} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\mathbf{x}_i(t_i) - \mathbf{c}_i(t_i)) - \sum_{i=k+1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{c}_i(T)) \right).$$

As all the functions  $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$  and  $\mathbf{c}_i(t)$  are continuous, set  $\mathbf{S}_k^*$  is closed, hence  $\tau_k$  is measurable.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1:** Define a stopping the

$$\tau = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(t)) - \mathbf{c}(t) \in u\mathbf{S}\}.$$

According to the strong Markov property

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(T)) - \mathbf{c}(T) \in u\mathbf{S}\} &= \int_0^T \int_{u\partial\mathbf{S}} \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(\tau)) - \mathbf{c}(\tau) \in d\mathbf{x}, \tau \in dt\} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(T)) - \mathbf{c}(T) \in u\mathbf{S} | \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(t)) - \mathbf{c}(t) = \mathbf{x}, \tau = t\}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 4.1,  $u\mathbf{S}$  satisfies the cone condition with respect to the process  $\mathbf{Z}(t)$ . Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(T)) - \mathbf{c}(T) \in u\mathbf{S} | \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(t)) - \mathbf{c}(t) = \mathbf{x}, \tau = t\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(T)) - \mathbf{c}(T) \in u\mathbf{S} | \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(t)) - \mathbf{c}(t) = \mathbf{x}\} \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(T)) - \mathbf{c}(T) \in V_{\mathbf{x}} | \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(t)) - \mathbf{c}(t) = \mathbf{x}\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(T)) - \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(t)) - \mathbf{c}(T) + \mathbf{c}(t) \in V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(T) - \mathbf{f}(t)) - (\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)) \in V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\delta}(t)) - \frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{f_1(T) - f_1(t)}} \in \frac{V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{f_1(T) - f_1(t)}}\right\} \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\delta}(t)) - \frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{f_1(T) - f_1(t)}} \in \frac{V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{f_1(T)}}\right\} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{y} \in \frac{V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{f_1(T)}}} \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}(t)}\left(\mathbf{y} + \frac{\mathbf{c}(T) - \mathbf{c}(t)}{\sqrt{f_1(T) - f_1(t)}}\right) d\mathbf{y} \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbf{y} \in \frac{V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{f_1(T)}}} \mathfrak{C}(T) \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}(t)}(\sqrt{2}\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \\ &\geq \frac{\mathfrak{C}(T)}{2^{d/2}} \mathbb{P}\left\{\mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\delta}(t)) \in \frac{V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{f_1(T)}}\right\} \\ &\geq \frac{\mathfrak{C}(T)}{(2f_1(T))^{d/2}} \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\delta}(t)) \in V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}\} \\ &= \frac{\mathfrak{C}(T)}{(2f_1(T))^{d/2}} \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\delta}(t)) \in A^{-1}(V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x})\} \\ &= \frac{\mathfrak{C}(T)}{(2f_1(T))^{d/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \prod_{i=1}^d \delta_i(t)}} \int_{\mathbf{y} \in A^{-1}(V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x})} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{y_i^2}{\delta_i(t)}} d\mathbf{y}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}(t)}$  is the pdf of  $\mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\delta}(t))$ . Using that all the functions  $\delta_i(t)$  are bounded and separated from zero for  $t \in [0, T]$ , there exists some constants  $\delta, \Delta > 0$ , such that for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and all  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\delta \leq \delta_i(t) \leq \Delta.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \prod_{i=1}^d \delta_i(t)}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \prod_{i=1}^d \Delta}}, \quad e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{x_i^2}{\delta_i(t)}} \geq e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{x_i^2}{\Delta}},$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(T)) - \mathbf{c}(T) \in u\mathbf{S} \mid \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{f}(t)) - \mathbf{c}(t) = \mathbf{x}, \tau = t\} \\ & \geq \frac{\mathfrak{C}(T)}{(2f_1(T))^{d/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \prod_{i=1}^d \Delta}} \int_{\mathbf{y} \in A^{-1}(V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x})} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{y_i^2}{\Delta}} d\mathbf{y} \\ & = \frac{\mathfrak{C}(T)}{(2f_1(T))^{d/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^d \delta}}{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^d \Delta}} \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{B}(\delta) \in A^{-1}(V_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x})\} \\ & \geq \frac{\mathfrak{C}(T)}{(2f_1(T))^{d/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^d \delta}}{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^d \Delta}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}}(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the claim follows.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

I am grateful to four reviewers for numerous comments and suggestions that lead to a significant improvement of the manuscript. Partial financial support from the SNSF Grant 200021-191984 is kindly acknowledged.

### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Korshunov and L. Wang, “Tail asymptotics for Shepp-statistics of Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,” *Extremes*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 35–54, 2020.
- [2] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and Z. Michna, “On continuity of Pickands constants,” *arXiv:2105.10435*, *J. Appl. Probab.*, in press, 2021.
- [3] N. Kriukov, “Parisian & cumulative Parisian ruin probability for two-dimensional Brownian risk model,” *Stochastics*, pp. 1–17, 2021.
- [4] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and K. Krystecki, “Finite-time ruin probability for correlated Brownian motions,” *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal*, pp. 1–26, 2021.
- [5] G. A. Delsing, M. R. H. Mandjes, P. J. C. Spreij, and E. M. M. Winands, “Asymptotics and approximations of ruin probabilities for multivariate risk processes in a Markovian environment,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.09069*, 2018.
- [6] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and N. Kriukov, “Pandemic-type failures in multivariate Brownian risk models,” *Extremes*, Accepted.
- [7] R. J. Adler, *An introduction to continuity, extrema, and related topics for general Gaussian processes*, vol. 12 of *Institute of Mathematical Statistics Lecture Notes—Monograph Series*. Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, CA, 1990.

NIKOLAI KRIUKOV, DEPARTMENT OF ACTUARIAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF LAUSANNE,, UNIL-DORIGNY, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

*Email address:* `Nikolai.Kriukov@unil.ch`