

# REGULARITY FOR FREE MULTIPLICATIVE CONVOLUTION ON THE UNIT CIRCLE

SERBAN T. BELINSCHI, HARI BERCOVICI, AND CHING-WEI HO

ABSTRACT. Suppose that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are Borel probability measures on the unit circle, both different from unit point masses, and let  $\mu$  denote their free multiplicative convolution. We show that  $\mu$  has no continuous singular part (relative to arclength measure) and that its density can only be locally unbounded at a finite number of points, entirely determined by the point masses of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ . Analogous results were proved earlier for the free additive convolution on  $\mathbb{R}$  and for the free multiplicative convolution of Borel probability measures on the positive half-line.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It has been known for some time that free convolutions have a strong regularizing effect. The earliest instances of this phenomenon were observed in [18, 10, 11]. For the additive case (see [16, 8] or [19] for definitions), it was shown in [2, 4] that, given Borel probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , neither of which is a point mass, the free convolution  $\mu = \mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$  has no singular continuous part relative to Lebesgue measure, and its density is analytic wherever positive and finite. In addition, this density is locally bounded unless  $\mu_1(\alpha_1) + \mu_2(\alpha_2) \geq 1$  for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . The atomic part of  $\mu$  has finite support and was determined earlier [2]. Analogous results have been obtained in [15] for the free multiplicative convolution of Borel probability measures on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Despite a strong similarity between these operations, the corresponding result for free multiplicative convolution of Borel probability measures on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  in the complex plane is still missing. Recent results on Denjoy-Wolff points [7, Corollary 3.3] allow us to rectify this omission in Theorem 3.2.

The necessary background of subordination is given in Section 2 and the main result is proved in Section 3. An application in Section 4 yields a strengthening of the results of [9] concerning indecomposable measures relative to free convolution.

## 2. ANALYTIC SUBORDINATION FOR FREE MULTIPLICATIVE CONVOLUTION

We begin by recalling the analytical apparatus for the calculation of free multiplicative convolutions on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . An arbitrary Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  is uniquely determined by its *moments*

$$m_n(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^n d\mu(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46L35. Secondary: 30D05.

and these moments are encoded in the *moment generating function*

$$\psi_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{tz}{1-tz} d\mu(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n(\mu) z^n.$$

The formal series  $\psi_\mu$  actually converges for  $z$  in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , and

$$\psi_\mu(\mathbb{D}) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z > -1/2\}.$$

Observe that

$$(2.1) \quad 2\Re\psi_\mu(z) + 1 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Re\left(\frac{\bar{\zeta} + z}{\bar{\zeta} - z}\right) d\mu(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Re\left(\frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z}\right) d\mu(\bar{\zeta}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and the last term above is precisely a Poisson integral. It follows that  $\mu$  can be recovered from  $\psi_\mu$  by taking radial limits

$$2\pi d\mu(e^{-i\theta}) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} (2\Re\psi_\mu(re^{i\theta}) + 1) d\theta.$$

(See for instance, [1, Chapter 5], [6, Section 3], and [14, Chapter 1] for details.) In particular, if  $\mu^s$  denotes the singular part of the measure  $\mu$ , (2.1) shows that

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \Re\psi_\mu(r\bar{\zeta}) = +\infty \text{ for } \mu^s\text{-almost all } \zeta \in \mathbb{T}.$$

We note for further use the following consequence of (2.1).

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\psi_\mu$  is a bounded function on  $\mathbb{D}$ , then  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous relative to arclength measure and its density is bounded.*

Consider now two Borel probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  on  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  and denote by  $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$  their free multiplicative convolution. This was first defined in [17] using the multiplication of \*-free unitary operators and its calculation—in case the two measures have a nonzero first moment—relied on the analytic inverses of the functions  $\psi_{\mu_1}$  and  $\psi_{\mu_2}$  in the complex plane (see [19] for the technical details). Subsequently, Biane [12] discovered that  $\psi_\mu$  is subordinate to  $\psi_{\mu_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  in the sense of Littlewood. This result implies that—at least when  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  have nonzero first moments—one can describe the function  $\psi_\mu$  as the unique solution of a system of implicit equations. This method for the calculation of  $\psi_\mu$  does in fact extend to arbitrary  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , as seen in [5]. We state the result below because it is instrumental in the proof of Theorem 3.2. We need the additional notation

$$\eta_\mu(z) = \frac{\psi_\mu(z)}{1 + \psi_\mu(z)}, \quad h_\mu(z) = \frac{\eta_\mu(z)}{z}.$$

It is easily seen that  $\eta_\mu(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\eta_\mu(0) = 0$ ,  $\eta'_\mu(0) = m_1(\mu)$ , and  $h_\mu$  extends to an analytic function from  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . If the function  $h_\mu$  takes values in  $\mathbb{T}$  then it is constant and this happens precisely when  $\mu$  is a point mass. The following statement combines [5, Theorem 3.2] and [7, Corollary 3.3].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Consider Borel probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  and their free multiplicative convolution  $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ . There exist unique continuous functions  $\omega_1, \omega_2 : \mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{T}$  that are analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$  and, in addition,*

$$(1) \quad \omega_1(0) = \omega_2(0) = 0,$$

- (2)  $z\eta_\mu(z) = z\eta_{\mu_1}(\omega_1(z)) = z\eta_{\mu_2}(\omega_2(z)) = \omega_1(z)\omega_2(z)$ ,  $\omega_1(z) = zh_2(\omega_2(z))$ , and  $\omega_2(z) = zh_1(\omega_1(z))$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{T}$ . In particular,  $\eta_\mu$  extends continuously to  $\mathbb{T}$ . When either  $\omega_1(z)$  or  $\omega_2(z)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{T}$ , the values  $\eta_{\mu_j}(\omega_j(z))$  are understood as radial limits, that is,

$$\eta_{\mu_j}(\omega_j(z)) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \eta_{\mu_j}(r\omega_j(z))$$

- (3) if  $m_1(\mu_1) = m_1(\mu_2) = 0$ , the functions  $\eta_\mu, \psi_\mu, \omega_1$ , and  $\omega_2$  are identically zero.

### 3. BOUNDEDNESS AND THE LACK OF A SINGULAR CONTINUOUS PART

We are ready now to identify the singular behavior of a free multiplicative convolution on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Of course, part (1) was proved in [2].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are Borel probability measures on  $\mathbb{T}$ , neither of which is a unit point mass, set  $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ , and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ .*

- (1) *If  $\mu(\{\alpha\}) > 0$  then there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = \alpha$  and  $\mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) = 1 + \mu(\{\alpha\})$ .*  
(2) *If  $\psi_\mu$  is unbounded near  $1/\alpha$  then there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = \alpha$  and  $\mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We only prove (2). As already mentioned, if  $m_1(\mu_1) = m_1(\mu_2) = 0$ , then  $\mu$  is the Haar measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ , which has no singular part and a density identically equal to  $1/2\pi$ . Indeed, by Theorem 2.2(3)  $\psi_\mu$  is identically zero, in particular bounded. For the remainder of the proof, we assume that at least one of  $m_1(\mu_1), m_1(\mu_2)$  is non-zero, and thus the functions  $\psi_\mu, \omega_1, \omega_2$  of Theorem 2.2 are not constant. Suppose now that  $\beta = 1/\alpha$  is such that  $\eta_\mu(\beta) = 1$  or, equivalently

$$\psi_\mu(\beta) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \psi_\mu(r\beta) = \infty.$$

Setting  $\alpha_1 = \omega_1(\beta)$  and  $\alpha_2 = \omega_2(\beta)$ , Theorem 2.2(2) yields the equality  $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = \beta$ . Since  $|\alpha_j| \leq 1$ , it follows that in fact  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{T}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . The subordination in Theorem 2.2(2) also yields

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \beta} \eta_{\mu_j}(\omega_j(z)) = \eta_\mu(\beta) = 1, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and then

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \eta_{\mu_j}(r\alpha_j) = 1, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

by Lindelöf's Theorem (see [13, Theorem 2.3]).

An application of the dominated convergence theorem shows that

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} (1-r)\psi_{\mu_j}(r\alpha_j) = \mu(\{1/\alpha_j\}) \in [0, 1), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

In terms of the functions  $\eta_{\mu_j}$ , this amounts to

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \frac{\eta_{\mu_j}(r\alpha_j) - 1}{r - 1} = \frac{1}{\mu_j(\{1/\alpha_j\})}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where the right-hand side is understood as  $\infty$  if  $\mu_j(\{1/\alpha_j\}) = 0$ . Using Julia-Carathéodory derivatives (see, for instance, [14, Chapter I, Exercise 7]) this relation

can be rewritten as  $\eta'_\mu(\omega_1(\alpha)) = 1/(\mu_j(\{1/\alpha_j\}))$ . Properties of this derivative imply now that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\mu_1(\{1/\alpha_1\})} - 1 &= \liminf_{w \rightarrow \alpha_1} \frac{|\eta_{\mu_1}(w)| - 1}{|w| - 1} - 1 \\
 &= \liminf_{w \rightarrow \alpha_1} \frac{|\eta_{\mu_1}(w)| - |w|}{|w| - 1} \\
 (\text{substitute } w = \omega_1(z)) &\leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{|\eta_{\mu_1}(\omega_1(z))| - |\omega_1(z)|}{|\omega_1(z)| - 1} \\
 (\text{Theorem 2.2}) &= \liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{|\omega_1(z)|}{|z|} \frac{|\omega_2(z)| - |z|}{|\omega_1(z)| - 1} \\
 &= \liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{|\omega_2(z)| - |z|}{|\omega_1(z)| - 1} \\
 &\leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{1 - |\omega_2(z)|}{1 - |\omega_1(z)|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Switching the roles of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\mu_2(\{1/\alpha_2\})} - 1 &\leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{1 - |\omega_1(z)|}{1 - |\omega_2(z)|} = \left[ \limsup_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{1 - |\omega_2(z)|}{1 - |\omega_1(z)|} \right]^{-1} \\
 &\leq \left[ \liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{1 - |\omega_2(z)|}{1 - |\omega_1(z)|} \right]^{-1} \\
 &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{\mu_1(\{1/\alpha_1\})} - 1 \right]^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation shows now that the inequality

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mu_2(\{1/\alpha_2\})} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\mu_1(\{1/\alpha_1\})} - 1 \right) \leq 1$$

is equivalent to  $\mu_1(\{1/\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{1/\alpha_2\}) \geq 1$ , thus concluding the proof.  $\square$

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Consider Borel probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  and their free multiplicative convolution  $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ . Suppose that neither  $\mu_1$  nor  $\mu_2$  is a point mass. Then:*

- (1) *The singular continuous part of  $\mu$  relative to the arclength measure is zero.*
- (2) *If*

$$(3.1) \quad \max\{\mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) : \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{T}\} \leq 1,$$

*then  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous relative to the arclength measure.*

- (3) *If the inequality in (3.1) is strict, then the density of  $\mu$  relative to the arclength measure is bounded.*

*Remark 3.3.* It is remarkable that, for all free convolutions (see [2, 15]), only the atomic parts of  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  have an impact on the local boundedness of the density of their convolution.

*Proof.* The set  $\{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) \geq 1\}$  is obviously finite. Therefore the set  $S = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{T} : \eta_\mu(\{1/\alpha\}) = 1\}$  is finite as well. Since the support of the singular summand of  $\mu$  is contained in  $S$ , it follows that this summand

is a finite sum of point masses. This proves (1). Suppose now that (3.1) holds. Then Lemma 3.1(1) shows that  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous. Finally, suppose that the inequality (3.1) is strict. Then Lemma 3.1(2) implies that  $\eta_\mu$  does not take the value 1 at any point on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Since  $\eta_\mu$  is continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , it must be bounded away from 1. Thus  $\psi_\mu = \eta_\mu/(1 - \eta_\mu)$  is a bounded function. Then (3) follows from Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* Suppose that  $\mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) = 1$  for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ . It was shown in [2] that, setting  $\beta_j = 1/\alpha_j$  and  $\beta = \beta_1\beta_2$ , we have  $\omega_j(\beta) = \beta_j$  for  $j = 1, 2$ , but, of course,  $\mu(\{1/\beta\}) = 0$ . (This can also be proved using the results of [7] and the ‘chain rule’ for Julia-Carathéodory derivatives.) In all computable examples, the density of  $\mu$  is unbounded near  $1/\beta$ . We suspect that this is true in full generality.

#### 4. AN APPLICATION

The following statement extends the main result of [9] for probability measures on the circle. Nearly identical proofs yield the corresponding extensions for free additive convolution and for free multiplicative convolution on the positive half-line. For these two convolutions, it is not necessary to assume that one of the convolved measures has more than two points in its support. The condition  $\eta_\mu(\alpha) = 1$  in the statement amounts to the requirement that either  $\gamma$  is an atom of  $\mu$ , or the density of  $\mu$  is unbounded near  $\gamma$  (or both).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Consider Borel probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ , different from point masses, and set  $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ . Suppose that  $J \subset \mathbb{T}$  is an open arc such that each endpoint  $\alpha$  of  $J$  satisfies  $\eta_\mu(\alpha) = 1$ . If either  $\mu_1$  or  $\mu_2$  has more than two points in its support, then  $\mu(J) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the two endpoints of  $J$ , and let  $\omega_j$  denote the subordination function of  $\eta_\mu$  relative to  $\eta_{\mu_j}$ . By Lemma 3.1, the points  $\alpha_j = \omega_j(\alpha)$  and  $\beta_j = \omega_j(\beta)$  satisfy  $\mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) \geq 1$  and  $\mu_1(\{\beta_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\beta_2\}) \geq 1$ . The hypothesis implies that either  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$  or  $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$ . Indeed, otherwise it would follow that the support of  $\mu_j$  is  $\{\alpha_j, \beta_j\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Switching, if necessary, the roles of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , we may assume that  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ , so  $\omega_1(\alpha) = \omega_1(\beta)$ . If  $\mu(J) = 0$ , the function  $\omega_j$  maps  $J$  to  $\mathbb{T}$  injectively and  $\mu_1(\omega_1(J)) = 0$ . Then the condition  $\omega_1(\alpha) = \omega_1(\beta)$  implies that  $\omega_1(J) = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\omega_1(\alpha)\}$ , contrary to the hypothesis that  $\mu_1$  is not a point mass. This contradiction yields the desired conclusion that  $\mu(J) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N.I. Akhiezer, *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*, Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1965.
- [2] S.T. Belinschi, The atoms of the free multiplicative convolution of two probability distributions, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **46** (4) (2003), 377--386.
- [3] —, The Lebesgue decomposition of the free additive convolution of two probability distributions, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **142** (2008), 125--150.
- [4] —,  $L^\infty$ -boundedness of density for free additive convolutions. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **59**, no. 2 (2014), 173--84.
- [5] S.T. Belinschi and H. Bercovici, A new approach to subordination results in free probability, *J. Anal. Math.* **101** (2007), 357--365.
- [6] —, Partially defined semigroups relative to multiplicative free convolution, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005**, 65--101.
- [7] S.T. Belinschi, H. Bercovici, and C.-W. Ho, On the convergence of Denjoy-Wolff points, arXiv:2203.16728.

- [8] H. Bercovici and D. Voiculescu, Free convolution of measures with unbounded support, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **42** (1993), 733–773.
- [9] H. Bercovici and J.-C. Wang, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **57** (2008), 2601–2610.
- [10] ———, Regularity questions for free convolution, in *Nonselfadjoint operator algebras, operator theory, and related topics*, Birkh\{a}user, Basel, 1998, pp. 37–47.
- [11] Ph. Biane, On the Free Convolution with a Semi-circular Distribution, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **46**, No. 3, (1997) 705–718.
- [12] ———, Processes with free increments, *Math. Z.* **227** (1998), 143–174.
- [13] E.F. Collingwood and A.J. Lohwater, *The Theory of Cluster Sets*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.
- [14] J.B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [15] H.C. Ji, Regularity Properties of Free Multiplicative Convolution on the Positive Line, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2021** (6) 4522–4563.
- [16] D. Voiculescu, Addition of certain noncommuting random variables, *J. Funct. Anal.* **66** (1986), 323–346.
- [17] ———, Multiplication of certain noncommuting random variables, *J. Operator Theory* **18** (1987), 223–235.
- [18] ———, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory. I, *Comm. Math. Phys* **155** (1993), 71–92.
- [19] D.V. Voiculescu, K.J. Dykema, and A. Nica, *Free random variables*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992.

INSTITUT DE MATH\`EMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE: UMR5219, UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULOUSE, CNRS; UPS , F-31062 TOULOUSE, FRANCE  
*Email address:* Serban.Belinschi@math.univ-toulouse.fr

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405, USA  
*Email address:* bercovic@indiana.edu

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556, UNITED STATES  
*Email address:* cho2@nd.edu