

# THE CHEVALLEY-WEIL FORMULA ON NODAL CURVES

YUBO TONG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the holomorphic differentials of nodal curves with the action of cyclic finite automorphism groups over the complex field. Assuming the nonempty of eigenspace, we compute the Chevalley-Weil formula under some additional conditions of the number of nodes and give some examples.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary	3
2.1. Notations	3
2.2. Cartier divisors	3
3. Irreducible nodal curves	6
3.1. The Chevalley-Weil formula on smooth curves	6
3.2. Local analysis	7
3.3. Chevalley-Weil formula for irreducible nodal curves	9
4. Nodal curves with several irreducible components	12
4.1. Reduction	12
4.2. Divisors on each irreducible component of $\pi(C)$	13
4.3. Final results	16
References	18

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Galois covering of two complex projective smooth curves (i.e. two compact Riemann surfaces). Its Galois group  $G$  acts in a natural way on the space of holomorphic differentials on  $X$ , thus we obtain a linear representation  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H^0(X, \omega_X))$ . A basic problem is to determine how many times a given irreducible representation of  $G$  occurs in  $H^0(X, \omega_X)$ .

This problem was first considered by Hurwitz [4] for  $G$  cyclic. Then in the 30s of the 20th century, Chevalley and Weil [5] solved this problem for general  $G$  with  $f$  unramified. Soon after, Weil [6] solved the case for general  $f$ . This result was named as the Chevalley-Weil formula and it remains valid for any algebraically closed field  $k$  with  $\mathrm{char}(k) = p \nmid \#G$  [16].

When  $\mathrm{char}(k) = p > 0$  and  $p \mid \#G$ , the structure of  $H^0(X, \omega_X)$  becomes more complicated. We may need to concern either the tame ramification case (cf. e.g. [9], [11], [8]) or focus on some special groups (see e.g. [12] for the case of cyclic groups, [13] for abelian groups, [15] for  $p$ -groups or [14] for groups with a cyclic Sylow subgroup).

In higher dimensions, Nakajima [7] considered the finite étale Galois covering  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  for projective varieties  $X$  and  $Y$  of arbitrary dimension in 1984. For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $Y$ , he investigated the  $k[G]$ -module structure of the cohomology groups  $H^i(X, f^*\mathcal{G})$ . He discussed the

smooth curve case by choosing the sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  to be the sheaf of differentials  $\Omega_Y = \omega_Y$ . And since  $f$  is étale, one has  $f^*\Omega_Y = \Omega_X$ . He got the unramified formula for the case  $\text{char}(k) \nmid \#G$ . Two years later, Nakajima [8] generalized his results for any tamely ramified Galois covering  $f$  of projective varieties.

For smooth curves, the Chevalley-Weil formula was well understood by now. We study the singular curves in this paper. In [1, V.2], Farkas and Kra considered the Chevalley-Weil formula in cyclic group case in a more explicit way. Then they used it to prove the Eichler trace formula, which implies the Lefschetz fixed point formula. This thesis will follow their method, and generalize the Chevalley-Weil formula to the nodal curves.

For the irreducible curves, we have

**Theorem 1.1** (The Chevalley-Weil formula on irreducible nodal curves). *Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be an order  $n$  automorphism of an irreducible nodal curve  $C$ , and  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \subseteq H^0(C, \omega_C)$  be the eigenspace of  $\varepsilon^j$  under  $T$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ). If  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \neq 0$  and  $N > n\tilde{N}$ , where  $N$  and  $\tilde{N}$  are the number of nodes on  $C$  and  $C/\langle T \rangle$ , then we have*

$$\dim E_{\varepsilon^j} = \tilde{p}_a - 1 + \mathcal{D}(C, j) - 2\tilde{N} + \frac{2N}{n}.$$

For a more general case, we have

**Theorem 1.2** (The Chevalley-Weil formula on reducible nodal curves). *Let  $\pi : C \rightarrow \pi(C)$  be the quotient map under an order  $n$  automorphism  $T$  on a nodal curve  $C$  (possibly disconnected) such that  $\pi(C)$  is connected. Assume  $\pi(C) = \pi(C_1) \cup \pi(C_2) \cup \dots \cup \pi(C_d)$  is the decomposition of irreducible components, where  $C_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, d$ ) is the preimage of  $\pi(C_k)$ , with  $C_k = C_k^1 \cup C_k^2 \cup \dots \cup C_k^{m_k}$  being the decomposition of irreducible components.*

*By restricting  $T$  on each  $C_k$ , we have  $T_k : C_k \rightarrow C_k$  of order  $n_k$  and further,  $\pi_k : C_k^1 \rightarrow \pi(C_k)$  is the restriction of  $\pi$  on an irreducible component of  $C_k$ , which is a  $n'_k = n_k/m_k$  sheeted covering map.*

*Suppose  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \subseteq H^0(C, \omega_C)$  is the eigenspace of  $\varepsilon^j$  under  $T$ , with a general  $\varphi_0 \in E_{\varepsilon^j}$  satisfying  $\varphi_0|_{C_k} \neq 0$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, d$ . Let  $N'_k$  and  $\tilde{N}$  be the number of nodes on  $C_k^1$  and  $\pi(C)$ , and  $I'_k$  be the number of intersection points on  $C_k^1$ . If  $\sum_{k=1}^d \frac{2N'_k + I'_k}{n'_k} > 2\tilde{N}$ , then we have*

$$\dim E_{\varepsilon^j} = \tilde{p}_a - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^d \mathcal{D}(C_k, j) + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{2N'_k + I'_k}{n'_k} - 2\tilde{N}.$$

See  $\mathcal{D}(C, j)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(C_k, j)$  in (30), (43), and  $\tilde{p}_a$  is the arithmetic genus of the quotient space.

There are two additional requirements for our results, compared with the smooth one. One is that we need the numbers of nodes to satisfy some additional condition like  $N > n\tilde{N}$ . And another one is that we may assume the required eigenspace to be nonzero, which is not necessary in the smooth case. (See Remark 3.2)

We need to overcome three main issues in this paper. Since a nodal curve maybe non-normal, we can't use the classical Weil divisors (cf. [2, II.6]). Instead, we use the Cartier divisors. The second is that when we study the local property of  $\pi : C \rightarrow \pi(C)$ ,  $\pi$  just sends smooth points to smooth points when  $C$  is smooth, while nodes to nodes and nodes to smooth points cases should be treated when  $C$  is a nodal curve. Finally, for the reducible nodal curves, we introduce the general differentials (see Lemma 4.1) to deal with the nonzero problem of eigenspace.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank my supervisor Wenfei Liu for his advice and support. And I am grateful to Professor Qing Liu for helpful discussions. This work has been supported by the NSFC (No. 11971399) and by the Presidential Research Fund of Xiamen University (No. 20720210006).

## 2. PRELIMINARY

**2.1. Notations.** In this paper, if not special specified, we work over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , and a curve means an equidimensional reduced projective scheme of finite type of dimension 1 over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $C$  be a nodal curve,  $\omega_C$  the canonical (dualizing) sheaf of  $C$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  an automorphism of order  $n$ . An element  $\varphi_0$  of  $H^0(C, \omega_C)$  is called a holomorphic differential of  $C$ , and it can be written as  $\sum_{i \geq 0} c_i z^i dz$  or  $\sum_{i \geq 0} (a_i x^i + b_i y^i) \frac{dx}{x}$  analytically locally around a smooth point  $P$  or a node  $Q$ , where  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P = \mathbb{C}[[z]]$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q = \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]/(xy)$ . And we can shrink the coefficients if necessary. We call  $P$  or  $Q$  a zero of  $\varphi_0$  if  $c_0 = 0$  or  $a_0 = b_0 = 0$ .

$H^0(C, \omega_C)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of dimension  $p_a$ , the arithmetic genus of  $C$ .  $T$  acts naturally as an automorphism of  $H^0(C, \omega_C)$  by  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ T^{-1}$ . The fact that  $T^n = 1$  implies the eigenvalue of  $T$  can only be  $\varepsilon^j$  for some  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$  ( $\varepsilon = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ ). We want to compute the dimension of the eigenspace  $E_{\varepsilon^j}$  of  $\varepsilon^j$  on  $H^0(C, \omega_C)$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Given some  $\varphi_0 \in E_{\varepsilon^j}$ , and suppose  $\varphi_0 \neq 0$  on every irreducible component of  $C$ . So for any  $\varphi \in E_{\varepsilon^j}$ , we can do the quotient  $\varphi/\varphi_0$ . Note that

$$(1) \quad T\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_0}\right) = \frac{\varepsilon^j \varphi}{\varepsilon^j \varphi_0} = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi_0}$$

is a  $\langle T \rangle$ -invariant rational function, therefore we have a commutative diagram:

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E_{\varepsilon^j} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K(C)^T \\ & \searrow & \parallel \\ & & K(C/\langle T \rangle) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \varphi & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \varphi/\varphi_0 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \tilde{f}_\varphi \end{array}$$

where  $K(C)$  is the function field when  $C$  is irreducible. In general,  $K(C)$  is a ring of rational functions of  $C$  and a rational function  $f$  of  $C$  is a unit if and only if  $f \neq 0$  for all irreducible components of  $C$ . Here  $\tilde{f}_\varphi$  is the rational function of  $C/\langle T \rangle$  such that  $\tilde{f}_\varphi \circ \pi = \varphi/\varphi_0$ .

By now the problem of computing  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_{\varepsilon^j}$  is reduced to one of computing the dimension of some subspace of  $K(C/\langle T \rangle)$ . We will omit the subscript  $\mathbb{C}$  if there is no ambiguity.

**2.2. Cartier divisors.** In this subsection, we will follow [3, 7.1] to recall the Cartier divisors and their properties.

**Definition 2.1.** A Cartier divisor on a scheme  $X$  is a global section of the sheaf  $\mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}^*$ .  $\mathcal{K}$  is the sheaf of total quotient rings of  $\mathcal{O}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{K}^*$  the sheaf of invertible elements in the sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{K}$ . Similarly  $\mathcal{O}^*$  is the sheaf of invertible elements in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

A Cartier divisor  $D$  can be represented by a collection of  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_i$ , where  $\{U_i\}_i$  is an affine open covering of  $C$ , and  $f_i$  is a rational (meromorphic) function on  $U_i$ , with  $f_i/f_j \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$ . We say each  $(U_i, f_i)$  a represented pair of  $D$ . Let  $D_1, D_2$  be two Cartier divisors represented by  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_i$  and  $\{(V_j, g_j)\}_j$ , respectively. Then  $D_1 + D_2$  is represented by  $\{(U_i \cap V_j, f_i g_j)\}_{i,j}$ . We denote by  $\text{Div}(X) := H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}^*)$  the group of all Cartier divisors on  $X$ .

We say  $D \geq 0$  if it can be represented by  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_i$  with  $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$ , while it is principal if it can be represented by one pair  $\{(X, f)\}$ . We say that two Cartier divisors  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are linearly equivalent if  $D_1 - D_2$  is principal. To a Cartier divisor  $D$  represented by  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_i$ , we can associate an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  defined by  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)|_{U_i} = f_i^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i}$ . It is clearly independent of the choice of a representing system  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_i$ .

**Remark 2.2.** If  $C$  is a smooth curve, then the group  $\text{WDiv}(C)$  of Weil divisors on  $C$  is isomorphic to the group of Cartier divisors  $\text{Div}(C)$  by

$$\sum n_i P_i \mapsto \{(U_i, f_i)\}_i$$

with  $P_i \in U_i$  and  $v_{P_i}(f_i) = n_i$ , where  $(\mathcal{O}_{P_i}, v_{P_i})$  is a DVR. (cf. [2, II.6.11]) On the other hand, we have  $f_i = z^{n_i} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{P_i} = \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $C$  be a nodal curve. Given some integer  $n > 0$  and a smooth point  $P \in C$ , then there is some  $f \in \mathcal{O}_P$  such that  $f = z^n + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P = \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ .

If we fix two integers  $m, l > 0$  and a node  $Q \in C$ , then there is some  $g \in \mathcal{O}_Q$  such that  $g = x^m + y^l + a_1 x^{m+1} + b_1 y^{l+1} + a_2 x^{m+2} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q = \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]/(xy)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $u$  is a local parameter of  $\mathcal{O}_P$  for the smooth point  $P \in C$ , then we can choose  $f = u^n$  in  $\mathcal{O}_P$ .

Let  $V$  be an affine open neighbourhood of the node  $Q$  with  $V \cap C_{\text{sing}} = \{Q\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_C(V) = \{\hat{g} \in \mathcal{O}_{\hat{C}}(\alpha^{-1}(V)) : \hat{g}(Q_1) = \hat{g}(Q_2)\}$  (cf. [3, Prop 7.5.15]). Hence we can choose a regular function  $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{O}_{\hat{C}}(\alpha^{-1}(V))$  s.t.  $v_{Q_1}(\hat{g}) = m$  and  $v_{Q_2}(\hat{g}) = l$ .  $\square$

The following example contains the symbols of Subsection 3.2. See it latter.

**Example 2.4** (The canonical divisor related to  $\varphi_0$ ). Consider the 5 types zeros of  $\varphi_0$  in 3.2.

For a smooth point  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Z_i)$  in (a1) with  $\varphi_0 = (z^{\delta_i} + \dots)dz$  locally, we can always choose an affine neighbourhood  $U_i$  of  $P$  which does not contain any other zeros or nodes. By Lemma 2.3 above, we may choose a regular function  $u_i$  on  $U_i$  with its image in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$  is  $z^{\delta_i} + \dots$ , then we have  $n$  copies  $(U_i, u_i)$  for each  $Z_i$ .

Likewise, for  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Z'_i)$  in (a2), we have  $n$  copies  $(U'_i, u'_i)$  for each  $Z'_i$  s.t.  $u'_i = x^{\delta'_{i1}} + y^{\delta'_{i2}} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ ;

For  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i)$  in (b1), we have  $n/l_i$  copies  $(V_i, v_i)$  for each  $Q_i$  s.t.  $v_i = z^{\alpha_i} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ ;

For  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q'_i)$  in (b2), we have  $n/l'_i$  copies  $(V'_i, v'_i)$  for each  $Q'_i$  s.t.  $v'_i = x^{\alpha'_{i1}} + y^{\alpha'_{i2}} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ ;

For  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q''_i)$  in (b3), we have  $n/l''_i$  copies  $(V''_i, v''_i)$  for each  $Q''_i$  s.t.  $v''_i = x^{\alpha''_i} + y^{\alpha''_i} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ .

Actually all these pairs represent a Cartier divisor  $\text{div}(\varphi_0)$  on  $C$ . If these affine open subsets do not cover the whole  $C$ , we can always choose some  $\{(W_k, 1)\}_k$  covering the rest parts. In fact,  $\text{div}(\varphi_0)$  is a canonical divisor of  $C$ , which means  $\mathcal{O}_C(\text{div}(\varphi_0)) \simeq \omega_C$ . We usually use  $K_C$  to denote a canonical divisor of  $C$ .

Note that this construction also gives a Cartier divisor on the normalization  $\hat{C}$ . If we replace  $\{(U'_i, u'_i)\}$ ,  $\{(V'_i, v'_i)\}$  and  $\{(V''_i, v''_i)\}$  by  $\{(\alpha^{-1}(U'_i), \hat{u}'_i = u'_i \circ \alpha)\}$ ,  $\{(\alpha^{-1}(V'_i), \hat{v}'_i = v'_i \circ \alpha)\}$  and  $\{(\alpha^{-1}(V''_i), \hat{v}''_i = v''_i \circ \alpha)\}$ , then these pairs represent a Cartier divisor on  $\hat{C}$ . We call this divisor a pullback of  $\text{div}(\varphi_0)$  under  $\alpha$  and denote it by  $\alpha^* \text{div}(\varphi_0)$ . Warning: this divisor is usually not a canonical divisor on  $\hat{C}$ .

Now we recall the degree of Cartier divisors. (cf. [3, 7.3.1])

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring of dimension 1. For any non-zero divisor  $f \in A$ ,  $\text{length}_A(A/fA)$  is a finite integer. The map  $f \mapsto \text{length}_A(A/fA)$  extends to a group homomorphism  $\text{Frac}(A)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $\text{Frac}(A)$  is the total ring of fractions of  $A$ . We thus obtain a group homomorphism  $\text{mult}_A : \text{Frac}(A)^*/A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $X$  be a locally Noetherian scheme,  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$  a Cartier divisor. For any point  $x \in X$  of codimension 1, the stalk of  $D$  at  $x$  belongs to  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})^*/\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^*$ . We can therefore define

$\text{mult}_x(D) := \text{mult}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}(D_x)$ . Let  $D$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$ . The degree of  $D$ , which we denote by  $\deg_k D$ , is defined to be the integer

$$(3) \quad \deg D := \sum_x \text{mult}_x(D)[k(x) : k],$$

where  $x$  runs through the closed points of  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite morphism of integral curves over  $k$ . Then for any  $D \in \text{Div}(Y)$ , we have*

$$(4) \quad \deg \pi^* D = [K(X) : K(Y)] \deg D.$$

*In particular, we have  $\deg D = \deg \pi^* D$  if  $\pi$  is a normalization morphism.*

By this proposition, we can simply define the degree of a Cartier divisor of a nodal curve as the degree of its pull back under normalization.

Again, see the following example after Subsection 3.2.

**Example 2.7.** Consider a smooth curve  $C$ , we have  $[k(x) : k] = [\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{C}] = 1$  for every closed point  $P$ . Note that for a Cartier divisor  $D$  of  $C$  represented by  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_i$ , we have  $\deg(D) = \sum_{P \in C} v_P(f_i)$ , where  $P \in U_i$  for some  $i$ . Then we can compute

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \deg(\alpha^* \text{div}(\varphi_0)) &= \sum_{\substack{P \in \pi^{-1}(Z_i) \\ 1 \leq i \leq s}} v_P(u_i) + \sum_{\substack{\{P_1, P_2\} = \alpha^{-1}(P) \\ P \in \pi^{-1}(Z_i) \\ 1 \leq i \leq s'}} (v_{P_1}(\hat{u}'_i) + v_{P_2}(\hat{u}'_i)) + \sum_{\substack{P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i) \\ 1 \leq i \leq r}} v_P(v_i) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\{P_1, P_2\} = \alpha^{-1}(P) \\ P \in \pi^{-1}(Q'_i) \\ 1 \leq i \leq r'}} (v_{P_1}(\hat{v}'_i) + v_{P_2}(\hat{v}'_i)) + \sum_{\substack{\{P_1, P_2\} = \alpha^{-1}(P) \\ P \in \pi^{-1}(Q''_i) \\ 1 \leq i \leq r''}} (v_{P_1}(\hat{v}''_i) + v_{P_2}(\hat{v}''_i)) \\ &= n \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i + n \sum_{i=1}^{s'} (\delta'_{i1} + \delta'_{i2}) + \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} (l'_i \alpha'_{i1} + l \alpha'_{i2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i \alpha''_i. \end{aligned}$$

By definition,  $\deg(\text{div}(\varphi_0)) = \deg(\alpha^* \text{div}(\varphi_0))$ .

It is a general fact that the degree of a canonical divisor is  $2p_a - 2$ . Hence we have an equality:

$$(6) \quad n \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i + n \sum_{i=1}^{s'} (\delta'_{i1} + \delta'_{i2}) + \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} (l'_i \alpha'_{i1} + l'_i \alpha'_{i2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i \alpha''_i = 2p_a - 2.$$

We write  $H^0(C, D)$  simply for  $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D))$ , and denote  $l(D)$  for  $\dim_k H^0(X, D)$ , if there is no confusion possible. With these notations, we have Riemann-Roch Theorem (cf. [3, 7.3.26]) for general curves:

**Theorem 2.8** (Riemann-Roch). *Let  $f : C \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  be a projective curve over a field  $k$ . Then for any Cartier divisor  $D \in \text{Div}(C)$ , we have*

$$(7) \quad l(D) = l(K_C - D) + \deg D + 1 - p_a.$$

As a matter of fact,  $l(D) = 0$  when  $\deg D < 0$ , so  $l(K_C - D) = 0$  when  $\deg D > 2p_a - 2$ . And in this case, we have

$$(8) \quad l(D) = \deg D + 1 - p_a.$$

## 3. IRREDUCIBLE NODAL CURVES

**3.1. The Chevalley-Weil formula on smooth curves.** Now we suppose  $C$  is smooth in this Subsection. We have  $\dim E_{\varepsilon^1} = \tilde{p}_a$ , the arithmetic genus of  $C/\langle T \rangle$  for the case  $j = 0$ . Because there is a bijection between  $E_1 = H^0(C, \omega_C)^T$  and  $H^0(C/\langle T \rangle, \omega_{C/\langle T \rangle})$ , which is of dimension  $\tilde{p}_a$ . (See [1, V.2])

We study the quotient map  $\pi : C \rightarrow C/\langle T \rangle$ , which is a branched covering. Consider the ramification points  $X = \{P \in C : T^l P = P \text{ for some } 1 \leq l < n\}$ , and the branch points  $\pi(X) = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_r\}$  for  $\pi$ . Define  $l_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) to be the minimal integer such that  $T^{l_i} P = P$  for each point  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i)$ . These data satisfy the Riemann-Hurwitz formula:

$$(9) \quad 2p_a - 2 = n(2\tilde{p}_a - 2) + \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \left( \frac{n}{l_i} - 1 \right) = n(2\tilde{p}_a - 2) + nr - \sum_{i=1}^r l_i.$$

Suppose  $\eta_i$  is the primitive  $n/l_i$ -root of unity such that  $T^{-l_i} : z \mapsto \eta_i z$  in local coordinate around each  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i)$ . It follows that for each  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ , there is a unique integer  $1 \leq \lambda_{ij} < n/l_i$  such that  $\eta_i^{\lambda_{ij}} = \varepsilon^{jl_i}$ . With these notations above, we have

**Theorem 3.1** (The Chevalley-Weil formula). *Let  $E_{\varepsilon^j}$  be the eigenspace of  $\varepsilon^j$  ( $0 < j \leq n-1$ ) under  $T$ . If  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \neq 0$ , then we have*

$$(10) \quad \dim E_{\varepsilon^j} = \tilde{p}_a - 1 + r - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \lambda_{ij}.$$

For the case  $j = 0$ ,  $\dim E_{\varepsilon^1} = \tilde{p}_a$ .

This formula asserts that the dimension of an eigenspace is completely described by the branch data. We give the outline of a proof following [1, V.2].

*Proof.* STEP 1. We divide the zeros of  $\varphi_0$  into two classes:

- (a)  $\pi^{-1}(Z_1), \dots, \pi^{-1}(Z_s)$ , where  $Z_i \notin X$ . Let  $\delta_i$  be the multiplicity of zeros of  $\varphi_0$  at  $\pi^{-1}(Z_i)$ ;
  - (b)  $\pi^{-1}(Q_1), \dots, \pi^{-1}(Q_r)$  where  $Q_i \in X$ . Let  $\alpha_i$  be the multiplicity of zeros of  $\varphi_0$  at  $\pi^{-1}(Q_i)$ .
- One computes easily that

$$(11) \quad 2p_a - 2 = \deg(\text{div}(\varphi_0)) = n \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i.$$

Suppose  $\varphi_0 = (z^{\alpha_i} + \dots)dz$  around each  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i)$ , then by  $T^{l_i} \varphi_0 = \varphi_0 \circ T^{-l_i} = \varepsilon^{jl_i} \varphi_0$ , we obtain  $[(\eta_i z)^{\alpha_i} + \dots]d(\eta_i z) = (\varepsilon^{jl_i} z^{\alpha_i} + \dots)dz$ , which implies  $(\eta_i)^{\alpha_i+1} = \varepsilon^{jl_i}$ . So we have

$$(12) \quad \alpha_i = \left\lfloor \frac{l_i \alpha_i}{n} \right\rfloor \frac{n}{l_i} + \lambda_{ij} - 1,$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the greatest integer less than or equal to  $x$ .

STEP 2. For a function  $\tilde{f} \in K(C/\langle T \rangle)$ ,  $(\tilde{f} \circ \pi)\varphi_0 \in H^0(C, \omega_C)$  if and only if the following holds:

- i)  $\tilde{f}$  is nonzero except at the points  $Z_i, Q_i$ ,
- ii)  $\text{ord}_{Z_i}(\tilde{f}) \geq -\delta_i$ , and
- iii)  $\text{ord}_{Q_i}(\tilde{f}) \geq -[\alpha_i/(n/l_i)] = -\lfloor \frac{l_i \alpha_i}{n} \rfloor + (1 - \lambda_{ij})/(n/l_i)$ .

Now we use these data to construct a divisor  $D_j$  on  $C/\langle T \rangle$ , such that the image of  $E_{\varepsilon^j}$  into  $K(C/\langle T \rangle)$  is just the subspace:

$$(13) \quad H^0(C/\langle T \rangle, D_j) = \{\tilde{f} \in K(C/\langle T \rangle) : \text{div}(\tilde{f}) + D_j \geq 0\} \cup \{0\},$$

Define the Weil divisor  $D_j$  (which depends on  $\varphi_0$ ):

$$(14) \quad D_j = \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i Z_i + \sum_{i=1}^r \lfloor \frac{l_i \alpha_i}{n} \rfloor Q_i.$$

Then we have

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \deg D_j &= \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^r \lfloor \frac{l_i \alpha_i}{n} \rfloor = \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\alpha_i + 1 - \lambda_{ij}}{n/l_i} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{l_i \alpha_i}{n} \right) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^r l_i (\lambda_{ij} - 1) \\ &= \frac{\deg(\varphi_0)}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^r l_i (\lambda_{ij} - 1) \\ &= 2\tilde{p}_a - 2 + r - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \lambda_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality (15) is by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula (9).

We can see that  $\deg D_j$  doesn't depend on the choice of  $\varphi_0$  from the equation above. And since  $1 \leq \lambda_{ij} \leq n/l_i$ , we have  $\deg D_j \geq 2\tilde{p}_a - 2$ .

STEP 3. We claim  $l(K_{C/\langle T \rangle} - D_j) = 0$  for  $j \neq 0$ . Otherwise  $D_j$  is a canonical divisor and in this case, there exists a holomorphic differential  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $C/\langle T \rangle$  such that  $\text{div}(\tilde{\varphi}) = D_j$ . The lift  $\varphi$  of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  will have the same divisor as  $\varphi_0$ . This means that  $\varphi$  is a constant multiple of  $\varphi_0$ . But  $\varphi$  is  $\langle T \rangle$ -invariant, namely  $\varphi \in E_1$ , which contradicts to  $j \neq 0$ .

Finally, we use the Riemann-Roch theorem (8) on  $C/\langle T \rangle$  to obtain

$$(16) \quad l(D_j) = \tilde{p}_a - 1 + r - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \lambda_{ij}.$$

□

**Remark 3.2.** We need the hypothesis  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \neq 0$  to form the quotient  $\varphi/\varphi_0$  for some  $0 \neq \varphi_0 \in E_{\varepsilon^j}$ . Note that the right hand side of (16) doesn't depend on the nonempty condition of  $E_{\varepsilon^j}$ . If we remove the hypothesis  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \neq 0$ , then the formula (10) still holds for the smooth curves. But this condition is necessary for the nodal curves. (See Remark 3.7 and Remark 4.8)

**3.2. Local analysis.** Suppose  $C$  is an irreducible nodal curve with  $N$  nodes for the rest of this section. We say the points  $\{P \in C : T^l P = P \text{ for some } 1 \leq l < n\}$  and their images the ramification points and branch points of  $\pi : C \rightarrow C/\langle T \rangle$ , respectively. We classify the ramification points into 3 classes:

- (i)  $X = \{P \in C : P \text{ is smooth, } T^l P = P \text{ for some } 1 \leq l < n\}$ ;
- (ii)  $X' = \{P \in C : P \text{ and } \pi(P) \text{ are nodes, } T^l P = P \text{ for some } 1 \leq l < n\}$ ;
- (iii)  $X'' = \{P \in C : P \text{ is a node, } \pi(P) \text{ is smooth, } T^l P = P \text{ for some } 1 \leq l < n\}$ .

Let  $\pi(X) = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_r\}$ ,  $\pi(X') = \{Q'_1, \dots, Q'_{r'}\}$ ,  $\pi(X'') = \{Q''_1, \dots, Q''_{r''}\}$  be the branch points. Denote  $l_i$  (resp.  $l'_i$ ; resp.  $l''_i$ ) the minimal number such that  $T^{l_i}$  (resp.  $T^{l'_i}$ ; resp.  $T^{l''_i}$ ) $P = P$  for each point  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i)$  (resp.  $Q'_i$ ; resp.  $Q''_i$ ).

Now we analyse the zeros of  $\varphi_0$  locally. Since  $T\varphi_0 = \varepsilon^j \varphi_0$ , the zeros are symmetric, namely if  $P$  is a zero of  $\varphi_0$ , then so is  $T(P)$ . Those zeros which are non-ramified can be two types, smooth points or nodes.

(a1) Set  $M = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_s : Z_i \notin \pi(X), \text{ and each } P \in \pi^{-1}(M) \text{ is a smooth zero of } \varphi_0\}$ . Around each  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Z_i)$ ,

$$\varphi_0 = (z^{\delta_i} + \dots)dz \text{ for some } \delta_i > 0.$$

(a2) Set  $M' = \{Z'_1, \dots, Z'_{s'} : Z'_i \notin \pi(X' \sqcup X''), \text{ each } P \in \pi^{-1}(M) \text{ is a node and a zero of } \varphi_0\}$ . Around each  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Z'_i)$ ,

$$\varphi_0 = (x^{\delta'_{i1}} + y^{\delta'_{i2}} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x} \text{ for some } \delta'_{i1}, \delta'_{i2} > 0.$$

For the ramification points, some of them can be zeros. As we see, we have divided them into 3 types.

(b1) The smooth ramification point  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , with locally

$$\varphi_0 = (z^{\alpha_i} + \dots)dz \text{ for some } \alpha_i \geq 0.$$

Suppose  $T^{-l_i} : z \mapsto \eta_i z$  in local coordinate around each  $P$ , where  $\eta_i$  is a primitive  $n/l_i$ -root of unity. The equation  $T^{l_i} \varphi_0 = \varphi_0 \circ T^{-l_i} = \varepsilon^{j l_i} \varphi_0$  can be written locally as

$$((\eta_i z)^{\alpha_i} + \dots) d(\eta_i z) = ((\eta_i)^{\alpha_i+1} z^{\alpha_i} + \dots) dz = (\varepsilon^{j l_i} z^{\alpha_i} + \dots) dz,$$

and we obtain  $\eta_i^{\alpha_i+1} = \varepsilon^{j l_i}$ . We define  $\lambda_{ij}$  to be the unique integer such that  $\eta_i^{\lambda_{ij}} = \varepsilon^{j l_i}$  and  $1 \leq \lambda_{ij} \leq n/l_i$ . Then we have

$$(17) \quad \alpha_i = \lfloor \frac{l_i \alpha_i}{n} \rfloor \frac{n}{l_i} + \lambda_{ij} - 1.$$

(b2) The node  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q'_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r'$  with locally

$$\varphi_0 = (x^{\alpha'_{i1}} + y^{\alpha'_{i2}} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x} \text{ for some } \alpha'_{i1}, \alpha'_{i2} \geq 0.$$

Consider the normalization  $\alpha : \hat{C} \rightarrow C$ , with  $\alpha^{-1}(P) = \{P_1, P_2\}$ . Let  $\hat{T} : \hat{C} \rightarrow \hat{C}$  be the induced automorphism of  $T$ , then  $\hat{T}^{l'_i} P_1 = P_1$  and  $\hat{T}^{l'_i} P_2 = P_2$  on  $\hat{C}$ . Hence we have  $\hat{T}^{-l'_i} : z \mapsto \eta'_{i1} z$  and  $\hat{T}^{-l'_i} : z \mapsto \eta'_{i2} z$  in local coordinates around  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  respectively, where  $\eta'_{i1}$  and  $\eta'_{i2}$  are both primitive  $n/l'_i$ -roots of unity. Therefore we have

$$T^{-l'_i} : \begin{cases} x \mapsto \eta'_{i1} x \\ y \mapsto \eta'_{i2} y \end{cases}$$

around each  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q'_i)$ . The equation  $T^{l'_i} \varphi_0 = \varepsilon^{j l'_i} \varphi_0$  can be written locally as

$$((\eta'_{i1} x)^{\alpha'_{i1}} + (\eta'_{i2} y)^{\alpha'_{i2}} + \dots) \frac{d(\eta'_{i1} x)}{(\eta'_{i1} x)} = ((\eta'_{i1} x)^{\alpha'_{i1}} + (\eta'_{i2} y)^{\alpha'_{i2}} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x} = (\varepsilon^{j l'_i} x^{\alpha'_{i1}} + \varepsilon^{j l'_i} y^{\alpha'_{i2}} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x},$$

and we obtain  $(\eta'_{i1})^{\alpha'_{i1}} = \varepsilon^{j l'_i}$ ,  $(\eta'_{i2})^{\alpha'_{i2}} = \varepsilon^{j l'_i}$ . Define two integers  $0 \leq \lambda'_{ij1}, \lambda'_{ij2} \leq n/l'_i - 1$  satisfying  $(\eta'_{i1})^{\lambda'_{ij1}} = \varepsilon^{j l'_i}$ , and  $(\eta'_{i2})^{\lambda'_{ij2}} = \varepsilon^{j l'_i}$ , then we have

$$(18) \quad \alpha'_{i1} = \lfloor [l'_i \alpha'_{i1} / n] \rfloor \frac{n}{l'_i} + \lambda'_{ij1}, \quad \alpha'_{i2} = \lfloor [l'_i \alpha'_{i2} / n] \rfloor \frac{n}{l'_i} + \lambda'_{ij2}.$$

(b3) For the node  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q''_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r''$ , we have the following commutative diagram for



the normalization:

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{C} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} & \hat{C}/\langle \hat{T} \rangle \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\pi} & C/\langle T \rangle \end{array}$$

Note that  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the normalizaiton of  $C/\langle T \rangle$ , hence an isomorphism over a neighbourhood of every  $Q_i''$ . Assume  $\{P_1, P_2\} = \alpha^{-1}(P)$ , then we have  $\hat{\pi}(P_1) = \hat{\pi}(P_2) = Q_i''$ . By  $T^{l_i}P = P$ , we have  $\hat{T}^{l_i}P_1 = P_2$ ,  $\hat{T}^{l_i}P_2 = P_1$ , hence  $\hat{T}^{2l_i}P_1 = P_1$ . Note that  $\alpha^*\varphi_0$  has the same forms on both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , then around  $P$ ,

$$\varphi_0 = (x^{\alpha_i''} + y^{\alpha_i''} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x} \text{ for some } \alpha_i'' \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$T^{-2l_i''} : \begin{cases} x \mapsto \eta_i'' x \\ y \mapsto \eta_i'' y \end{cases},$$

where  $\eta_i''$  is a primitive  $n/2l_i''$ -root of unity.  $T^{2l_i''}\varphi_0 = \varepsilon^{2jl_i''}\varphi_0$  implies  $(\eta_i'')^{\alpha_i''} = \varepsilon^{2jl_i''}$ . Define the integer  $\lambda_{ij}''$  satisfying  $(\eta_i'')^{\lambda_{ij}''} = \varepsilon^{2jl_i''}$ , where  $0 \leq \lambda_{ij}'' \leq n/2l_i'' - 1$ , then we have

$$(20) \quad \alpha_i'' = \lfloor 2l_i''\alpha_i''/n \rfloor \frac{n}{2l_i''} + \lambda_{ij}''.$$

Applying the Riemann-Hurwitz formula of smooth curves to  $\hat{\pi} : \hat{C} \rightarrow \hat{C}/\langle \hat{T} \rangle$ , we have

$$(21) \quad 2g - 2 = n(2\tilde{g} - 2) + \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \left( \frac{n}{l_i} - 1 \right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{r'} l_i' \left( \frac{n}{l_i'} - 1 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l_i'' \left( \frac{n}{2l_i''} - 1 \right),$$

where  $g$  and  $\tilde{g}$  are the (arithmetic) genus of  $\hat{C}$  and  $\hat{C}/\langle \hat{T} \rangle$ . Note that  $\hat{C}/\langle \hat{T} \rangle$  is the normalization of  $C/\langle T \rangle$ , hence we have  $\tilde{p}_a - \tilde{N} = \tilde{g}$  and

$$(22) \quad 2p_a - 2 = n(2\tilde{p}_a - 2) + \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \left( \frac{n}{l_i} - 1 \right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{r'} l_i' \left( \frac{n}{l_i'} - 1 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l_i'' \left( \frac{n}{2l_i''} - 1 \right) - 2n\tilde{N} + 2N,$$

where  $\tilde{p}_a$  and  $\tilde{N}$  are the arithmetic genus and the number of nodes in  $C/\langle T \rangle$  respectively.

We call (22) the Riemann-Hurwitz formula for Galois covering of nodal curves.

**3.3. Chevalley-Weil formula for irreducible nodal curves.** Now we know how to build the desired Cartier divisor on  $\pi(C)$ . We follow the symbols of Example 2.4. Without loss of generality, we may assume these copies of  $U_i, U_i', V_i, V_i', V_i''$  cover the whole  $C$ , hence these  $\pi(U_i), \pi(U_i'), \pi(V_i), \pi(V_i'), \pi(V_i'')$  cover the quotient space  $\pi(C)$ . We have 5 types of pairs:

For  $Z_i$  of type (a1), we take a pair  $(\pi(U_i), \tilde{u}_i)$  s.t.  $u_i = z^{\delta_i} + \dots$  in  $\hat{O}_{Z_i}$ ;

For  $Z_i'$  of type (a2), we take a pair  $(\pi(U_i'), \tilde{u}_i')$ , s.t.  $\tilde{u}_i' = x^{\delta_i'1} + y^{\delta_i'2} + \dots$  in  $\hat{O}_{Z_i'}$ ;

For  $Q_i$  of type (b1), we take a pair  $(\pi(V_i), \tilde{v}_i)$  s.t.  $v_i = z^{\lfloor l_i\alpha_i/n \rfloor} + \dots$  in  $\hat{O}_{Q_i}$ ;

For  $Q_i'$  of type (b2), we take a pair  $(\pi(V_i'), \tilde{v}_i')$ , s.t.  $\tilde{v}_i' = x^{\lfloor l_i'\alpha_i'/n \rfloor} + y^{\lfloor l_i'\alpha_i'/n \rfloor} + \dots$  in  $\hat{O}_{Q_i'}$ ;

For  $Q_i''$  of type (b3), we take a pair  $(\pi(V_i''), \tilde{v}_i'')$ , s.t.  $\tilde{v}_i'' = z^{\lfloor 2l_i''\alpha_i''/n \rfloor} + \dots$  in  $\hat{O}_{Q_i''}$ .

All these pairs represent a Cartier divisor on  $C/\langle T \rangle$ , which is denoted by  $D_j$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *For any rational function  $\tilde{f}$  on  $C/\langle T \rangle$ , we have  $(\tilde{f} \circ \pi) \cdot \varphi_0 \in H^0(C, \omega_C)$  if and only if  $\text{div}(\tilde{f}) + D_j \geq 0$ . Hence the image of  $E_{\varepsilon_j}$  on  $K(C/\langle T \rangle)$  is*

$$(23) \quad H^0(C/\langle T \rangle, D_j) = \{\tilde{f} \in K(C/\langle T \rangle) : \text{div}(\tilde{f}) + D_j \geq 0\} \cup \{0\},$$

which implies

$$(24) \quad \dim E_{\varepsilon_j} = l(D_j) = \dim H^0(C/\langle T \rangle, D_j)$$

*Proof.* We verify that for any represented pair  $(W, w)$  of  $D_j$ ,  $\tilde{f}w \in \mathcal{O}(W)$ . These  $(\pi(U_i), \tilde{u}_i)$  of type (a1) and  $(\pi(V_i), \tilde{v}_i)$  of type (b1) are exactly of the same arguments as Step 2 of proof of Theorem 3.1.

For  $(\pi(U'_i), \tilde{u}'_i)$  of type (a2), consider  $\pi : U'_i \mapsto \pi(U'_i), P \mapsto Z'_i$ . It's an isomorphism locally. Suppose  $\tilde{f} = x^a + y^b + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z'_i}$ , then  $\tilde{f} \circ \pi = x^a + y^b + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ . So the holomorphic of

$$(25) \quad (\tilde{f} \circ \pi) \cdot \varphi_0 = (x^{a+\delta'_{i1}} + y^{b+\delta'_{i2}} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x}$$

implies  $a + \delta'_{i1} \geq 0$  and  $b + \delta'_{i2} \geq 0$ . Therefore we have  $\tilde{f}\tilde{u}'_i \in \mathcal{O}(\pi(U'_i))$ .

For  $(\pi(V'_i), \tilde{v}'_i)$  of type (b2), we have  $x \mapsto x^{n/l'_i}$  and  $y \mapsto y^{n/l'_i}$  locally around  $\pi : P \mapsto Q'_i$ . Suppose  $\tilde{f} = x^a + y^b + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Q'_i}$ , then  $\tilde{f} \circ \pi = x^{(n/l'_i)a} + y^{(n/l'_i)b} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ . Hence the holomorphic of

$$(26) \quad (\tilde{f} \circ \pi) \cdot \varphi_0 = (x^{(n/l'_i)a+\alpha'_{i1}} + y^{(n/l'_i)b+\alpha'_{i2}} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x}$$

implies  $(n/l'_i)a + \alpha'_{i1}, (n/l'_i)b + \alpha'_{i2} \geq 0$ .  $a, b$  are both integers, so  $a \geq -\frac{l'_i\alpha'_{i1}}{n} \iff a \geq -\lfloor \frac{l'_i\alpha'_{i1}}{n} \rfloor$  and  $b \geq -\frac{l'_i\alpha'_{i2}}{n} \iff b \geq -\lfloor \frac{l'_i\alpha'_{i2}}{n} \rfloor$ . Therefore we have  $\tilde{f}\tilde{v}'_i \in \mathcal{O}(\pi(V'_i))$ .

For  $(\pi(V''_i), \tilde{v}''_i)$  of type (b3), fix some  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q''_i)$ . Consider the normalization with  $\{P_1, P_2\} = \alpha^{-1}(P)$ , then we have the map of local coordinates  $z \mapsto z^{n/2l''_i}$  for both the neighbourhoods of  $P_1 \mapsto Q''_i$  and  $P_2 \mapsto Q''_i$ . Suppose  $\tilde{f} = z^a + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Q''_i}$ , then  $\tilde{f} \circ \pi = x^{(n/2l''_i)a} + y^{(n/2l''_i)a} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ . And

$$(27) \quad (\tilde{f} \circ \pi) \cdot \varphi_0 = (x^{(n/2l''_i)a+\alpha''_i} + y^{(n/2l''_i)a+\alpha''_i} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x}$$

being holomorphic around  $P$  implies  $(n/2l''_i)a + \alpha''_i \geq 0$ , which is equivalent to say  $a \geq -\lfloor \frac{2l''_i\alpha''_i}{n} \rfloor$ . Therefore  $\tilde{f}\tilde{v}''_i \in \mathcal{O}(\pi(V''_i))$ .

The other direction is easily to see from the construction of  $D_j$ . □

Now, we can compute the degree of  $D_j$  on  $C/\langle T \rangle$ :

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \deg(D_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^{s'} (\delta'_{i1} + \delta'_{i2}) + \left( \sum_{i=1}^r [l_i \alpha_i / n] + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} ([l'_i \alpha'_{i1} / n] + [l'_i \alpha'_{i2} / n]) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} [2l''_i \alpha''_i / n] \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^{s'} (\delta'_{i1} + \delta'_{i2}) + \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} (l'_i \alpha'_{i1} + l'_i \alpha'_{i2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i \alpha''_i \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r l_i (1 - \lambda_{ij}) - \sum_{i=1}^{r'} l'_i (\lambda'_{ij1} + \lambda'_{ij2}) - \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i \lambda''_{ij} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (2p_a - 2) + \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r l_i (1 - \lambda_{ij}) - \sum_{i=1}^{r'} l'_i (\lambda'_{ij1} + \lambda'_{ij2}) - \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i \lambda''_{ij} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which doesn't depend on the choice of  $\varphi_0$ .

By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula of nodal curves (22), we have

$$(29) \quad \frac{2p_a - 2}{n} = (2\tilde{p}_a - 2) + \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \left( \frac{n}{l_i} - 1 \right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{r'} l'_i \left( \frac{n}{l'_i} - 1 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i \left( \frac{n}{2l''_i} - 1 \right) \right) - 2\tilde{N} + \frac{2N}{n}.$$

Substitute this equation to the last equation of  $\deg(D_j)$ , then we get

$$\deg(D_j) = 2\tilde{p}_a - 2 + (r + 2r' + r'') - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \lambda_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} l'_i (\lambda'_{ij1} + \lambda'_{ij2} + 2) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i (1 + \lambda''_{ij}) \right) - 2\tilde{N} + \frac{2N}{n}.$$

Setting

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{D}(C, j) = (r + 2r' + r'') - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \lambda_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} l'_i (\lambda'_{ij1} + \lambda'_{ij2} + 2) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i (1 + \lambda''_{ij}) \right).$$

We have:

$$(31) \quad \deg(D_j) = 2\tilde{p}_a - 2 + \mathcal{D}(C, j) - 2\tilde{N} + \frac{2N}{n}.$$

**Proposition 3.4.**  $l(K_{C/\langle T \rangle} - D_j) = 0$  for  $N > n\tilde{N}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $1 \leq \lambda_{ij} \leq n/l_i$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda'_{ij1}, \lambda'_{ij2} \leq n/l'_i - 1$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda''_{ij} \leq n/2l''_i - 1$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{D}(C, j) \geq 0$ , hence  $\deg D_j \geq 2\tilde{p}_a - 2 - 2\tilde{N} + \frac{2N}{n} > 2\tilde{p}_a - 2$  when  $N > n\tilde{N}$ . So by Theorem 2.8,  $l(K_{C/\langle T \rangle} - D_j) = 0$ .  $\square$

Assuming  $N > n\tilde{N}$ , by using Riemann-Roch Theorem (8) on  $C/\langle T \rangle$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} l(D_j) &= l(K_{C/\langle T \rangle} - D_j) + \deg D_j + 1 - \tilde{p}_a \\ &= \tilde{p}_a - 1 + \mathcal{D}(C, j) - 2\tilde{N} + \frac{2N}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

We summarize our results in the following

**Theorem 3.5** (The Chevalley-Weil formula on irreducible nodal curves). *Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be an order  $n$  automorphism of an irreducible nodal curve  $C$ , and  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \subseteq H^0(C, \omega_C)$  be the eigenspace of  $\varepsilon^j$  under  $T$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ). If  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \neq 0$  and  $N > n\tilde{N}$ , where  $N$  and  $\tilde{N}$  are the number of nodes on  $C$  and  $C/\langle T \rangle$ , then we have*

$$(32) \quad \dim E_{\varepsilon^j} = \tilde{p}_a - 1 + \mathcal{D}(C, j) - 2\tilde{N} + \frac{2N}{n}.$$

**Example 3.6** (Hyperelliptic stable curves). A hyperelliptic stable curve  $C$  is a stable curve with a hyperelliptic involution  $J : C \rightarrow C$ , which is an order 2 automorphism satisfying  $C/\langle J \rangle = \mathbb{P}^1$ . Suppose  $C$  is an irreducible hyperelliptic stable curve with  $N(\geq 1)$  nodes, and the arithmetic genus of  $C$  is  $p_a$ . For the quotient map  $C \rightarrow C/\langle J \rangle = \mathbb{P}^1$ , we have  $N > 2\tilde{N} = 0$ .

Let  $\hat{C}$  be the normalization of  $C$  with genus  $g$ . The induced automorphism  $\hat{J}$  is again a hyperelliptic involution because  $\hat{C}/\langle \hat{J} \rangle = \mathbb{P}^1$ . It has  $2g + 2$  fixed points.

Consider the branch covering  $\pi : C \rightarrow C/\langle J \rangle$ . According to its normalization, it has  $2g + 2$  type (b1) points,  $N$  type (b3) points, and no type (b2) point, namely  $r = 2g + 2$ ,  $r' = 0$  and  $r'' = N$ . We know that  $E_{-1} \neq 0$  for  $j = 1$ . So we have

$$(33) \quad \dim E_{-1} = p_a(\mathbb{P}^1) - 1 + \mathcal{D}(C, 1) - 0 + \frac{2N}{2} = \mathcal{D}(C, 1) + N - 1.$$

Now we want to compute  $\mathcal{D}(C, 1) = 2g + 2 + N - \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} l_i \lambda_{i1} + \sum_{i=1}^N 2l_i''(1 + \lambda_{i1}''))$ .

Around each type (b1) point  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i)$  with  $JP = P$ , we have the rotation  $J^{-1} : z \mapsto -z$ . Hence  $\eta_i = -1$  and  $l_i = 1$ . While  $1 \leq \lambda_{i1} \leq n/l_i$  satisfying  $\eta_i^{\lambda_{i1}} = (-1)^{l_i}$  implies  $\lambda_{i1} = 1$ .

Around each type (b3) point  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i'')$ , we have  $l_i'' = 1$  and

$$J^{-2l_i''} = id_C : \begin{cases} x \mapsto x \\ y \mapsto y \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\eta_i'' = 1$ , and  $0 \leq \lambda_{i1}'' \leq 2/2l_i'' - 1 = 0$  satisfying  $1^{\lambda_{i1}''} = (-1)^{2l_i''} = 1$ .

Finally, we have  $\mathcal{D}(C, 1) = 2g + 2 + N - \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N 2) = g + 1$  and get

$$(34) \quad \dim E_{-1} = \mathcal{D}(C, 1) + N - 1 = g + N = p_a,$$

which implies  $E_1 = 0$ .

**Remark 3.7.** *The condition  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \neq 0$  is necessary. If we use the formula (32) to compute  $\dim E_1$  for  $j = 0$  directly, then  $\dim E_1 = \mathcal{D}(C, 0) + N - 1$ . And by  $\lambda_{i0} = 2$ ,  $\lambda_{i0}'' = 0$ , we have*

$$(35) \quad \mathcal{D}(C, 0) = 2g + 2 + N - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} l_i \lambda_{i0} + \sum_{i=1}^N 2l_i''(1 + \lambda_{i0}'') \right) = 0.$$

Then we get  $\dim E_1 = \mathcal{D}(C, 0) + N - 1 = N - 1 \neq 0$ , which contradicts to the fact  $E_1 = 0$ .

#### 4. NODAL CURVES WITH SEVERAL IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS

**4.1. Reduction.** Now we suppose  $C$  is a connected nodal curve. We call the nodes in which two irreducible components intersect the intersection points. For the automorphism  $T : C \rightarrow C$ , we decompose the quotient curve  $\pi(C) = C/\langle T \rangle$  into irreducible components

$$(36) \quad \pi(C) = \pi(C_1) \cup \pi(C_2) \cup \cdots \cup \pi(C_d),$$

where  $C_k$  is the preimage of  $\pi(C_k)$ . If we consider  $T$  as a permutation of all irreducible components of  $C$ , then  $C_k$  is the union of the components in every orbit class, which can be disconnected. It follows that we can restrict  $T$  on each  $C_k$ . Denote  $T_k := T|_{C_k} : C_k \rightarrow C_k$ , which is an automorphism of order  $n_k$  on  $C_k$ .

Our goal is to compute the dimension of the eigenspace  $E_{\varepsilon^j}$  of  $\varepsilon^j$  on  $H^0(C, \omega_C)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \neq 0$ , then we can find a general  $\varphi_0 \in E_{\varepsilon^j}$ , namely  $\varphi_0|_{C_i} \neq 0$  for maximal many  $C_i$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in E_{\varepsilon^j}$ . If  $\varphi_0|_{C_k} = 0$  and  $\varphi_1|_{C_k} \neq 0$ , then we can always find some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\varphi = \varphi_0 + c\varphi_1$  s.t.  $\varphi|_{C_k} \neq 0$ .  $\square$

Once we fix some general  $\varphi_0 \in E_{\varepsilon^j}$ , then we will have an induced nodal curve (may be disconnected)

$$(37) \quad C^j = \bigcup_{\varphi_0|_{C_i} \neq 0} C_i,$$

with an induced isomorphism  $T|_{C^j} : C^j \rightarrow C^j$ . Then for the eigenspace of  $\varepsilon^j$ , we have

**Proposition 4.2.**  $E_{\varepsilon^j}(C^j) \cong E_{\varepsilon^j}$ .

*Proof.* In fact, the problem occurs on the intersection points where  $C^j$  intersects with the deleted parts. For simplicity, assume  $C = C_1 \cup C_2$  and  $\{P\} = C_1 \cap C_2$ , where  $C_1 = C^j$  is an irreducible component while  $C_2$  is the deleted part. For a holomorphic differential  $\varphi$  in  $E_{\varepsilon^j}$ , we know that  $\varphi|_{C_1} \in H^0(C_1, \omega_{C_1}(P))$ , namely  $\varphi|_{C_1} = a_{-1}z^{-1} + a_0 + a_1z + \cdots dz$  around  $P$ . We want to show that  $\varphi|_{C_1} \in H^0(C_1, \omega_{C_1})$ , hence an element of  $E_{\varepsilon^j}(C^j)$ .

Note that  $\text{Res}_P(\varphi|_{C_2}) = 0$  since  $\varphi|_{C_2} = 0$ . By the residue theorem,  $\text{Res}_P(\varphi|_{C_1}) + \text{Res}_P(\varphi|_{C_2}) = 0$ , we have  $\text{Res}_P(\varphi|_{C_1}) = 0$ , namely  $a_{-1} = 0$ . Hence  $P$  is not a pole of  $\varphi|_{C_1}$ . Then we can identify  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi|_{C^j}$  on  $E_{\varepsilon^j}(C^j)$ .  $\square$

With the proposition above, we can reduce our object to be a possibly disconnected nodal curve  $C$  with a general  $\varphi_0 \in E_{\varepsilon^j}$ , where  $\varphi_0$  is non-zero on each  $C_k$ . Furthermore, we may assume  $\pi(C)$  is connected. Otherwise if  $\pi(C^j) = \pi(C_1) \amalg \pi(C_2) \amalg \cdots \amalg \pi(C_d)$ , where each  $\pi(C_k)$  is a connected component, then we have

$$(38) \quad \dim E_{\varepsilon^j} = \sum_{k=1}^d \dim E_{\varepsilon^j}(C_k).$$

Now we suppose  $\pi(C) = \pi(C_1) \cup \pi(C_2) \cup \cdots \cup \pi(C_d)$  is connected with a general  $\varphi_0 \in E_{\varepsilon^j}$  satisfying  $\varphi_0|_{C_k} \neq 0$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, d$ . Let  $C_k = C_k^1 \cup C_k^2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k^{m_k}$  be the decomposition of irreducible components. Note that  $C_k^1 \cong C_k^2 \cong \cdots \cong C_k^{m_k}$  because  $T_k(C_k^i)$  must also be irreducible. Hence the restriction map  $\pi_1 : C_k^1 \rightarrow \pi(C_k)$  is a  $n'_k = n_k/m_k$  sheeted map. Note that for each  $C_k$ , the zeros of  $\varphi_0$  are symmetric on every  $C_k^1, C_k^2, \dots, C_k^{m_k}$ , hence  $\varphi_0|_{C_k^i} \neq 0$  for all irreducible components of  $C$ .

Like before, we want to use the local data of  $\varphi_0$  to construct a Cartier divisor  $D_j$  on  $\pi(C)$  s.t. the image of  $E_{\varepsilon^j}$  on  $K(C/\langle T \rangle)$  is  $H^0(\pi(C), D_j)$ . So we need to study the zeros of  $\varphi_0$ . The zeros of  $\varphi_0|_{C_k}$  are symmetric on each  $C_k^i$ , so we just need to analyse the zeros of  $\varphi_0|_{C_k^1}$ .

**4.2. Divisors on each irreducible component of  $\pi(C)$ .** Consider the  $n'_k$ -sheeted map  $C_k^1 \rightarrow \pi(C_k) = C_k/\langle T_k \rangle$ . For the ramification points, some smooth ramification points can be intersection points. So we classify them into 4 classes:

- (i)  $X_1 = \{P \in C_k^1 : P \text{ is smooth and not an intersection point, } T^l P = P \text{ for some } 1 \leq l < n'_k\}$ ;
- (ii)  $X_2 = \{P \in C_k^1 : P \text{ is a smooth intersection point, } T^l P = P \text{ for some } 1 \leq l < n'_k\}$ ;
- (iii)  $X' = \{P \in C_k^1 : P \text{ and } \pi(P) \text{ are nodes, } T^l P = P \text{ for some } 1 \leq l < n'_k\}$ ;
- (iv)  $X'' = \{P \in C_k^1 : P \text{ is a node, } \pi(P) \text{ is smooth, } T^l P = P \text{ for some } 1 \leq l < n'_k\}$ .

Let  $\pi(X_1) = \{Q_1^{(1)}, \dots, Q_{r_1}^{(1)}\}$ ,  $\pi(X_2) = \{Q_1^{(2)}, \dots, Q_{r_2}^{(2)}\}$ ,  $\pi(X') = \{Q'_1, \dots, Q'_{r'}\}$ , and  $\pi(X'') = \{Q''_1, \dots, Q''_{r''}\}$  be the branch points. Denote  $l_i^{(1)}$  (resp.  $l_i^{(2)}$ ); resp.  $l'_i$ ; resp.  $l''_i$  be the minimal number such that  $T^{l_i^{(1)}}$  (resp.  $T^{l_i^{(2)}}$ ; resp.  $T^{l'_i}$ ; resp.  $T^{l''_i}$ ) $P = P$  for each point  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i^{(1)})$  (resp.  $Q_i^{(2)}$ ; resp.  $Q'_i$ ; resp.  $Q''_i$ ).

Then Riemann-Hurwitz formula of  $C_k^1 \rightarrow \pi(C_k)$  tells us

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} 2p_a(C_k^1) - 2 - 2N'_k &= n'_k(2\tilde{p}_a(\pi(C_k)) - 2) - 2n'_k\tilde{N}_k + \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} l_i^{(1)} \left( \frac{n'_k}{l_i^{(1)}} - 1 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} l_i^{(2)} \left( \frac{n'_k}{l_i^{(2)}} - 1 \right) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{r'} l'_i \left( \frac{n'_k}{l'_i} - 1 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i \left( \frac{n'_k}{2l''_i} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $N'_k$  is the number of nodes on  $C_k^1$ .

Now we study the zeros of  $\varphi_0|_{C_k^1}$ . This makes no differences to what we did in the irreducible nodal curves, except for these intersection points. To avoid the abuse of notations, we continue to use the notations as in the Local analysis of Section 3.2 with slight modifications.

Again, we set  $M = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_s : Z_i \notin \pi(X), \text{ and each } P \in \pi^{-1}(M) \text{ is a smooth zero of } \varphi_0\}$ . Some of unramified smooth zeros may be intersection points, so we divide them into two types:  
(a11) Set  $M_1 = \{Z_1^{(1)}, \dots, Z_{s_1}^{(1)} : Z_i^{(1)} \in M, \text{ and each } P \in \pi^{-1}(M) \text{ is not a intersection point}\}$ . Around each point  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Z_i^{(1)})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s_1$ , we have

$$\varphi_0 = (z^{\delta_i^{(1)}} + \dots)dz \text{ for some } \delta_i^{(1)} > 0.$$

(a12) Set  $M_2 = \{Z_1^{(2)}, \dots, Z_{s_2}^{(2)} : Z_i^{(2)} \in M, \text{ and each } P \in \pi^{-1}(M) \text{ is a intersection point}\}$ . Around each point  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Z_i^{(2)})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s_2$ , we have

$$\varphi_0 = (z^{\delta_i^{(2)}} + \dots)dz \text{ for some } \delta_i^{(2)} > 0.$$

For the unramified nodal zeros, we set

(a2)  $M' = \{Z'_1, \dots, Z'_{s'} : Z'_i \notin \pi(X' \sqcup X''), \text{ each } P \in \pi^{-1}(M) \text{ is a node and a zero of } \varphi_0\}$ . And around each  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Z'_i)$ ,

$$\varphi_0 = (x^{\delta'_{i1}} + y^{\delta'_{i2}} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x} \text{ for some } \delta'_{i1}, \delta'_{i2} > 0.$$

The ramification points have been classified into 4 classes. So we may have 4 types of ramified zeros:

(b11) For  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i^{(1)})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r_1$ , with locally  $\varphi_0 = (z^{\alpha_i^{(1)}} + \dots)dz$  for some  $\alpha_i^{(1)} \geq 0$ . Suppose  $T^{-l_i^{(1)}} : z \mapsto \eta_i^{(1)} z$  around  $P$ , where  $\eta_i^{(1)}$  is a primitive  $n'_k/l_i^{(1)}$ -root of unity. We have

$$((\eta_i^{(1)} z)^{\alpha_i^{(1)}} + \dots) d(\eta_i^{(1)} z) = ((\eta_i^{(1)})^{\alpha_i^{(1)}+1} z^{\alpha_i^{(1)}} + \dots) dz = (\varepsilon^{j l_i^{(1)}} z^{\alpha_i^{(1)}} + \dots) dz.$$

by  $T^{l_i^{(1)}} \varphi_0 = \varphi_0 \circ T^{-l_i^{(1)}} = \varepsilon^{j l_i^{(1)}} \varphi_0$ , which implies  $(\eta_i^{(1)})^{\alpha_i^{(1)}+1} = \varepsilon^{j l_i^{(1)}}$ . Define  $\lambda_{ij}^{(1)}$  to be the unique integer such that  $(\eta_i^{(1)})^{\lambda_{ij}^{(1)}} = \varepsilon^{j l_i^{(1)}}$  and  $1 \leq \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} \leq n'_k/l_i^{(1)}$ . So we have

$$(40) \quad \alpha_i^{(1)} = \lfloor \frac{l_i^{(1)} \alpha_i^{(1)}}{n'_k} \rfloor \frac{n'_k}{l_i^{(1)}} + \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} - 1.$$

(b12) For  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q_i^{(2)})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r_2$ , with locally  $\varphi_0 = (z^{\alpha_i^{(2)}} + \dots) \frac{dz}{z}$  for some  $\alpha_i^{(2)} \geq 0$ . Suppose  $T^{-l_i^{(2)}} : z \mapsto \eta_i^{(2)} z$  each  $P$ , where  $\eta_i^{(2)}$  is a primitive  $n'_k/l_i^{(2)}$ -root of unity. We have

$$((\eta_i^{(2)} z)^{\alpha_i^{(2)}} + \dots) \frac{d(\eta_i^{(2)} z)}{\eta_i^{(2)} z} = ((\eta_i^{(2)})^{\alpha_i^{(2)}} z^{\alpha_i^{(2)}} + \dots) dz = (\varepsilon^{j l_i^{(2)}} z^{\alpha_i^{(2)}} + \dots) dz,$$

by  $T^{l_i^{(2)}} \varphi_0 = \varphi_0 \circ T^{-l_i^{(2)}} = \varepsilon^{j l_i^{(2)}} \varphi_0$ , which implies  $(\eta_i^{(2)})^{\alpha_i^{(2)}} = \varepsilon^{j l_i^{(2)}}$ . Define  $\lambda_{ij}^{(2)}$  to be the unique integer such that  $(\eta_i^{(2)})^{\lambda_{ij}^{(2)}} = \varepsilon^{j l_i^{(2)}}$  and  $0 \leq \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} \leq n'_k/l_i^{(2)} - 1$ . So we have

$$(41) \quad \alpha_i^{(2)} = \lfloor \frac{l_i^{(2)} \alpha_i^{(2)}}{n'_k} \rfloor \frac{n'_k}{l_i^{(2)}} + \lambda_{ij}^{(2)}.$$

Exactly the same as what we did in 3.2 except substituting  $n$  by  $n'_k$ , we define  $\lambda'_{ij1}, \lambda'_{ij2}$  and  $\lambda''_{ij}$  for

(b2)  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q'_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r'$ , with locally  $(x^{\alpha'_{i1}} + y^{\alpha'_{i2}} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x}$  for some  $\alpha'_{i1}, \alpha'_{i2} \geq 0$  and

(b3)  $P \in \pi^{-1}(Q''_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r''$ , with locally  $\varphi_0 = (x^{\alpha''_i} + y^{\alpha''_i} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x}$  for some  $\alpha''_i \geq 0$ .

Since  $\varphi_0|_{C_k^1}$  is a meromorphic differential on  $C_k^1$ , we have an equality

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} 2p_a(C_k^1) - 2 &= n'_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \delta_i^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{s_2} \delta_i^{(2)} + \sum_{i=1}^{s'} (\delta'_{i1} + \delta'_{i2}) \right) \\ &+ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} l_i^{(1)} \alpha_i^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} l_i^{(2)} \alpha_i^{(2)} + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} (l'_i \alpha'_{i1} + l'_i \alpha'_{i2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i \alpha''_i \right) - I'_k \end{aligned}$$

where  $I'_k$  is the number of intersection points of  $C$  on  $C_k^1$ .

Now we construct some pairs on  $\pi(C_k)$ :

For (a11), take some pair  $(\tilde{U}_i^{(1)}, \tilde{u}_i^{(1)})$ , where  $Z_i^{(1)} \in \tilde{U}_i^{(1)}$  and  $\tilde{u}_i^{(1)} = z^{\delta_i^{(1)}} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z_i^{(1)}}$ ;

For (a12), take some pair  $(\tilde{U}_i^{(2)}, \tilde{u}_i^{(2)})$ , where  $Z_i^{(2)} \in \tilde{U}_i^{(2)}$  and  $\tilde{u}_i^{(2)} = z^{\delta_i^{(2)}} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z_i^{(2)}}$ ;

For (a2), take some pair  $(\tilde{U}'_i, \tilde{u}'_i)$ , where  $Z'_i \in \tilde{U}'_i$  and  $\tilde{u}'_i = x^{\delta'_{i1}} + y^{\delta'_{i2}} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z'_i}$ ;

For (b11), take some pair  $(\tilde{V}_i^{(1)}, \tilde{v}_i^{(1)})$ , where  $Q_i^{(1)} \in \tilde{V}_i^{(1)}$  and  $\tilde{v}_i^{(1)} = z^{\lfloor l_i^{(1)} \alpha_i^{(1)} / n'_k \rfloor} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Q_i^{(1)}}$ ;

For (b12), take some pair  $(\tilde{V}_i^{(2)}, \tilde{v}_i^{(2)})$ , where  $Q_i^{(2)} \in \tilde{V}_i^{(2)}$  and  $\tilde{v}_i^{(2)} = z^{\lfloor l_i^{(2)} \alpha_i^{(2)} / n'_k \rfloor} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Q_i^{(2)}}$ ;

For (b2), take some pair  $(\tilde{V}'_i, \tilde{v}'_i)$ , where  $Q'_i \in \tilde{V}'_i$  and  $\tilde{v}'_i = x^{\lfloor l'_i \alpha'_{i1} / n'_k \rfloor} + y^{\lfloor l'_i \alpha'_{i2} / n'_k \rfloor} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Q'_i}$ ;

For (b3), take some pair  $(\tilde{V}''_i, \tilde{v}''_i)$ , where  $Q''_i \in \tilde{V}''_i$  and  $\tilde{v}''_i = z^{\lfloor 2l''_i \alpha''_i / n'_k \rfloor} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Q''_i}$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume these open subsets cover the whole  $\pi(C_k)$ . Hence all these pairs represent a Cartier divisor  $D_j^{(k)}$  on  $\pi(C_k)$ , which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \deg D_j^{(k)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \delta_i^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{s_2} \delta_i^{(2)} + \sum_{i=1}^{s'} (\delta'_{i1} + \delta'_{i2}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \lfloor l_i^{(1)} \alpha_i^{(1)} / n'_k \rfloor + \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} \lfloor l_i^{(2)} \alpha_i^{(2)} / n'_k \rfloor + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} (\lfloor l'_i \alpha'_{i1} / n'_k \rfloor + \lfloor l'_i \alpha'_{i2} / n'_k \rfloor) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} \lfloor 2l''_i \alpha''_i / n'_k \rfloor \\ &= \frac{1}{n'_k} (2p_a(C_k^1) - 2 + I'_k) + \frac{1}{n'_k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} l_i^{(1)} (1 - \lambda_{ij}^{(1)}) - \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} l_i^{(2)} \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} - \sum_{i=1}^{r'} l'_i (\lambda'_{ij1} + \lambda'_{ij2}) - \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i \lambda''_{ij} \right) \\ &= 2p_a(\pi(C_k)) - 2 - 2\tilde{N}_k + \frac{2N'_k}{n'_k} + \frac{I'_k}{n'_k} + (r_1 + r_2 + 2r' + r'') \\ &- \frac{1}{n'_k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} l_i^{(1)} \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} l_i^{(2)} (\lambda_{ij}^{(2)} + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} l'_i (\lambda'_{ij1} + \lambda'_{ij2} + 2) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i (1 + \lambda''_{ij}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\tilde{N}_k$  is the number of nodes on  $\pi(C_k)$ , and the last equality is by the Riemann-Hurwitz (39).

Denote

(43)

$$\mathcal{D}(C_k, j) = (r_1 + r_2 + 2r' + r'') - \frac{1}{n'_k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} l_i^{(1)} \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} l_i^{(2)} (\lambda_{ij}^{(2)} + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} l'_i (\lambda'_{ij1} + \lambda'_{ij2} + 2) + \sum_{i=1}^{r''} 2l''_i (1 + \lambda''_{ij}) \right),$$

then we obtain

$$(44) \quad \deg D_j^{(k)} = 2p_a(\pi(C_k)) - 2 - 2\tilde{N}_k + \frac{2N'_k}{n'_k} + \frac{I'_k}{n'_k} + \mathcal{D}(C_k, j).$$

**Remark 4.3.** Note that when  $C$  is irreducible, namely  $C = C_1$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(C_1, j)$  is just the  $\mathcal{D}(C, j)$  of (30). So it's a generalization of the irreducible case.

**4.3. Final results.** Now we use the represented pairs of  $D_j^{(1)}, D_j^{(2)}, \dots, D_j^{(d)}$  to define some Cartier divisor on  $\pi(C)$ . Like before, we construct some pairs on some given points of  $\pi(C)$ , then these pairs will represent a Cartier divisor on  $\pi(C)$ .

(i) We put all  $(\tilde{U}_i^{(1)}, \tilde{u}_i^{(1)})$ ,  $(\tilde{V}_i^{(1)}, \tilde{v}_i^{(1)})$ ,  $(\tilde{U}'_i, \tilde{u}'_i)$ ,  $(\tilde{V}'_i, \tilde{v}'_i)$ , and  $(\tilde{V}''_i, \tilde{v}''_i)$  of  $D_j^{(k)}$  together. And each of these affine open subsets doesn't contain any intersection points of  $\pi(C)$ .

(ii) For the pairs containing the intersection points  $(U_i^{(2)}, \tilde{u}_i^{(2)})$ ,  $(V_i^{(2)}, \tilde{v}_i^{(2)})$  of  $D_j^{(k)}$ , we glue them together under the following operations. Given some intersection point  $P \in C_1^1 \cap C_2^1$ , suppose  $T_1^{l_1} P = T_2^{l_2} P = P$ , and  $\varphi_0 = (x^\alpha + y^\beta + \dots) \frac{dx}{x}$  around  $P$ . Assuming  $(W_1, f_1)$ ,  $(W_2, f_2)$  containing  $\pi(P)$  are two represented pairs of  $D_j^{(1)}$ ,  $D_j^{(2)}$ , respectively. Then by construction,  $f_1 = z^{\lfloor l_1 \alpha / n'_1 \rfloor} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi(C_1), \pi(P)}$  and  $f_2 = z^{\lfloor l_2 \beta / n'_2 \rfloor} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi(C_2), \pi(P)}$ . We glue them by taking a pair  $(W_1 \cup W_2, w)$  on  $\pi(C)$  with  $w = x^{\lfloor l_1 \alpha / n'_1 \rfloor} + y^{\lfloor l_2 \beta / n'_2 \rfloor} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi(C), \pi(P)}$ .

All these pairs represent a Cartier divisor on  $\pi(C)$ , denoted by  $D_j$  and it satisfies

**Proposition 4.4.** For any rational function  $\tilde{f}$  on  $\pi(C)$ , we have  $(\tilde{f} \circ \pi) \cdot \varphi_0 \in H^0(C, \omega_C)$  if and only if  $\text{div}(\tilde{f}) + D_j \geq 0$ . Hence the image of  $E_{\varepsilon_j}$  on  $K(\pi(C))$  is

$$H^0(\pi(C), D_j) = \{\tilde{f} \in K(\pi(C)) : \text{div}(\tilde{f}) + D_j \geq 0\} \cup \{0\},$$

which implies

$$\dim E_{\varepsilon_j} = l(D_j) = \dim H^0(\pi(C), D_j)$$

*Proof.* We verify  $\tilde{f}w \in \mathcal{O}(W)$  that for any represented pair  $(W, w)$  of  $D_j$ . For these pairs in (i), all the arguments are exactly the same as what we did in Proposition 3.3.

Consider the pair  $(W_1 \cup W_2, w)$  in (ii). Given a rational function  $\tilde{f} = x^a + y^b + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi(P)}$ , we have  $f = \tilde{f} \circ \pi = x^{(n'_1/l_1)a} + y^{(n'_2/l_2)b} + \dots$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ , hence

$$(45) \quad f\varphi_0 = (x^{(n'_1/l_1)a+\alpha} + y^{(n'_2/l_2)b+\beta} + \dots) \frac{dx}{x}$$

around  $P$ . So the holomorphic of  $f\varphi_0$  implies  $(n'_1/l_1)a + \alpha, (n'_2/l_2)b + \beta \geq 0$ , hence  $a \geq -\lfloor \frac{l_1 \alpha}{n'_1} \rfloor$ ,  $b \geq -\lfloor \frac{l_2 \beta}{n'_2} \rfloor$ . Therefore  $\tilde{f}w \in \mathcal{O}(W)$ .

The other direction is easily to see from the construction of  $D_j$  □

Let  $\tilde{I}$  be the number of intersection points of  $\pi(C)$ , then the arithmetic genus of  $\pi(C)$  and its irreducible component  $\pi(C_k)$  satisfy the relation:

$$(46) \quad \tilde{p}_a = \sum_{k=1}^d p_a(\pi(C_k)) + 1 - d + \tilde{I},$$



Note that  $\deg(D_j) = \sum_{k=1}^d \deg D_j^{(k)}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg(D_j) &= \sum_{k=1}^d (2p_a(\pi(C_k)) - 2 - 2\tilde{N}_k + \frac{2N'_k}{n'_k} + \frac{I'_k}{n'_k} + \mathcal{D}(C_k, j)) \\
&= 2\tilde{p}_a - 2 + \sum_{k=1}^d \mathcal{D}(C_k, j) - \sum_{k=1}^d 2\tilde{N}_k + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{2N'_k}{n'_k} + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{I'_k}{n'_k} - 2\tilde{I} \\
(47) \quad &= 2\tilde{p}_a - 2 + \sum_{k=1}^d \mathcal{D}(C_k, j) + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{2N'_k + I'_k}{n'_k} - 2\tilde{N} \\
&\geq 2\tilde{p}_a - 2 + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{2N'_k + I'_k}{n'_k} - 2\tilde{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the equality (47), note that  $(\sum_{k=1}^d \tilde{N}_k) + \tilde{I} = \tilde{N}$  is the number of nodes on  $\pi(C)$ . It follows that  $l(K_{\pi(C)} - D_j) = 0$  when

$$(48) \quad \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{2N'_k + I'_k}{n'_k} > 2\tilde{N},$$

because  $\deg(D_j) > 2\tilde{p}_a - 2$ .

Within the hypothesis (48) and by Riemann-Roch (9) on  $\pi(C)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
l(D_j) &= \sum_{k=1}^d (2p_a(\pi(C_k)) - 2 - 2\tilde{N}_k + \frac{2N}{n'_k} + \frac{I'_k}{n'_k} + \mathcal{D}(C_k, j)) + 1 - (\sum_{k=1}^d p_a(\pi(C_k)) + 1 - d + \tilde{I}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^d (p_a(\pi(C_k)) + \mathcal{D}(C_k, j) - 2\tilde{N}_k + \frac{2N'_k}{n'_k} - 1) + \sum_{k=1}^d (\frac{I'_k}{n'_k}) - \tilde{I} \\
&= \tilde{p}_a - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^d \mathcal{D}(C_k, j) - \sum_{k=1}^d 2\tilde{N}_k + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{2N'_k}{n'_k} + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{I'_k}{n'_k} - 2\tilde{I} \\
&= \tilde{p}_a - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^d \mathcal{D}(C_k, j) + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{2N'_k + I'_k}{n'_k} - 2\tilde{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

We summarize our results in the following

**Theorem 4.5** (The Chevalley-Weil formula on nodal curves). *Let  $\pi : C \rightarrow \pi(C)$  be the quotient map under an order  $n$  automorphism  $T$  on a nodal curve  $C$  (can be disconnected) and  $\pi(C)$  be connected. Assume  $\pi(C) = \pi(C_1) \cup \pi(C_2) \cup \dots \cup \pi(C_d)$  is the decomposition of irreducible components, where  $C_k (k = 1, \dots, d)$  is the preimage of  $\pi(C_k)$ , with  $C_k = C_k^1 \cup C_k^2 \cup \dots \cup C_k^{m_k}$  being the decomposition of irreducible components.*

*By restricting  $T$  on each  $C_k$ , we have  $T_k : C_k \rightarrow C_k$  of order  $n_k$  and further,  $\pi_k : C_k^1 \rightarrow \pi(C_k)$  is the restriction of  $\pi$  on an irreducible component of  $C_k$ , which is a  $n'_k = n_k/m_k$  sheeted covering map.*

*Suppose  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \subseteq H^0(C, \omega_C)$  is the eigenspace of  $\varepsilon^j$  under  $T$ , with a general  $\varphi_0 \in E_{\varepsilon^j}$  satisfying  $\varphi_0|_{C_k} \neq 0$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, d$ . Let  $N'_k$  and  $\tilde{N}$  be the number of nodes on  $C_k^1$  and  $\pi(C)$ , and  $I'_k$*

be the number of intersection points on  $C_k^1$ . If  $\sum_{k=1}^d \frac{2N'_k + I'_k}{n'_k} > 2\tilde{N}$ , then we have

$$(49) \quad \dim E_{\varepsilon^j} = \tilde{p}_a - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^d \mathcal{D}(C_k, j) + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{2N'_k + I'_k}{n'_k} - 2\tilde{N}.$$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Suppose  $\pi(C)$  is smooth, while  $C$  not smooth. If  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \neq 0$ , then*

$$(50) \quad \dim E_{\varepsilon^j} = \tilde{p}_a - 1 + \mathcal{D}(C_1, j) + \frac{2N'_1 + I'_1}{n'_1}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\pi(C)$  is smooth, it's irreducible, hence  $d = 1$ , namely  $C = C_1$ . If  $0 \neq \varphi_0 \in E_{\varepsilon^j}$ , then  $\varphi_0|_{C_1^i} \neq 0$  for all irreducible components of  $C_1$ . If  $C$  is not smooth and  $\pi(C)$  is smooth, then (48) holds because  $\frac{2N'_1 + I'_1}{n'_1} > 0$  and  $2\tilde{N} = 0$ . By the Chevalley-Weil formula (49), we obtain this result.  $\square$

**Example 4.7.** Let  $C = C_1 \cup C_2$  be a hyperelliptic stable curve, where  $C_1 \approx C_2 \approx \mathbb{P}^1$ , and they intersect in  $\#(C_1 \cap C_2) = m (> 2)$  points, then  $p_a(C) = m - 1$ . Consider the hyperelliptic involution  $J$  permuting  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , we have  $\pi : C \rightarrow C/\langle J \rangle = \mathbb{P}^1$ .

Look at  $\pi_1 : C_1 \rightarrow \pi(C_1) = \mathbb{P}^1$ . It is an identity with no branch points, so  $n'_1 = 1$ ,  $N'_1 = 0$ ,  $I'_1 = m$  and  $\mathcal{D}(C_1, j) = 0$ . We know that  $\dim E_{-1} \neq 0$ . So by (50), we have

$$(51) \quad \dim E_{-1} = p_a(\mathbb{P}^1) - 1 + \mathcal{D}(C_1, 1) + \frac{2N'_1 + I'_1}{n'_1} = 0 - 1 + 0 + m = p_a(C),$$

which means all the holomorphic differentials on  $C$  is mapped to its inverse under the hyperelliptic involution  $J$ . Hence  $\dim E_1 = 0$ .

**Remark 4.8.** *The condition  $E_{\varepsilon^j} \neq 0$  is necessary. Otherwise the example above shows that  $\dim E_1 = p_a(\mathbb{P}^1) - 1 + \mathcal{D}(C_1, 0) + \frac{2N'_1 + I'_1}{n'_1} = m - 1 \neq 0$ . Contridiction.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Farkas H M, Kra I. Riemann surfaces[M]. Riemann surfaces. Springer, New York, NY, 1992: 9-31.
- [2] Hartshorne R. Algebraic geometry[M]. Springer Science and Business Media, 2013.
- [3] Liu Q, Erne R. Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves[M]. Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [4] Hurwitz A. Über algebraische Gebilde mit eindeutigen Transformationen in sich[J]. Mathematische Annalen, 1892, 41(3): 403-442.
- [5] Chevalley C, Weil A, Hecke E. Über das verhalten der integrale 1. gattung bei automorphismen des funktionenkörpers[C]. Abhandlungen aus dem mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg. Springer-Verlag, 1934, 10(1): 358-361.
- [6] Weil A. Über matrizenringe auf Riemannschen flächen und den Riemann-Rochsehen satz[C]. Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg. Springer-Verlag, 1935, 11(1): 110-115.
- [7] Nakajima S. On Galois module structure of the cohomology groups of an algebraic variety[J]. Inventiones mathematicae, 1984, 75(1): 1-8.
- [8] Nakajima S. Galois module structure of cohomology groups for tamely ramified coverings of algebraic varieties[J]. Journal of Number Theory, 1986, 22(1): 115-123.
- [9] Kani E. The Galois-module structure of the space of holomorphic differentials of a curve[J]. 1986.
- [10] Arbarello E, Cornalba M, Griffiths P A. Geometry of algebraic curves: volume II with a contribution by Joseph Daniel Harris[M]. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [11] Köck B. Galois structure of Zariski cohomology for weakly ramified covers of curves[J]. American Journal of Mathematics, 2004, 126(5): 1085-1107.
- [12] Valentini R C, Madan M L. Automorphisms and holomorphic differentials in characteristic p[J]. Journal of Number Theory, 1981, 13(1): 106-115.
- [13] Marques S, Ward K. Holomorphic differentials of certain solvable covers of the projective line over a perfect field[J]. Mathematische Nachrichten, 2018, 291(13): 2057-2083.

- [14] Bleher F M, Chinburg T, Kontogeorgis A. Galois structure of the holomorphic differentials of curves[J]. *Journal of Number Theory*, 2020, 216: 1-68.
- [15] Garnek J.  $p$ -group Galois covers of curves in characteristic  $p$ [J]. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.04861, 2022.
- [16] Ruben Naeff. The Chevalley-Weil Formula. On the Galois Module Structure of the Space of Regular Differentials of a Curve in Case it is Semi-Simple.
- [17] Fulton W. Algebraic curves[J]. *An Introduction to Algebraic Geom*, 2008, 54.

XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SIMING SOUTH ROAD 422, XIAMEN, FUJIAN 361005 (CHINA)

*Email address:* tongyubo@stu.xmu.edu.cn