

TOTAL POWER OPERATIONS IN SPECTRAL SEQUENCES

WILLIAM BALDERRAMA

ABSTRACT. We describe how power operations descend through homotopy limit spectral sequences. We apply this to describe how norms appear in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence, to compute norms on π_0 of the equivariant KU -local sphere, and to compute power operations for the $K(1)$ -local sphere. An appendix contains material on equivariant Bousfield localizations that may be of independent interest.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Unstable naturality of the homotopy limit spectral sequence	6
3. Looping power operations	12
4. Norms in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence	16
5. Norms on π_0 of the equivariant KU -local sphere	17
6. Power operations for the $K(1)$ -local sphere	21
Appendix A. Equivariant Bousfield localizations	26
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group. The best analogue of a commutative ring in the context of G -equivariant stable homotopy theory is that of a G - \mathbb{E}_∞ ring, or G -equivariant commutative ring spectrum in the sense of [HHR16]. If R is a G - \mathbb{E}_∞ ring, then not only is R equipped with the usual ring operations of addition and multiplication, but also with *multiplicative norms*

$$N_K^G R \rightarrow R$$

for all subgroups $K \subset G$, reflecting a higher form of commutativity present on R . Here, N_K^G is the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel norm [HHR16]; informally, $N_K^G R = R^{\otimes G/K}$, with equivariance intertwining the action of K on R and the action of G on G/K .

This additional structure is reflected in algebra. If R is a G - \mathbb{E}_∞ ring, then the collection $\pi_0 R = \{\pi_0^K R : K \subset G\}$ carries the rich algebraic structure of a G -Tambara functor [Tam93] [Bru07]. This means that, in addition to the linear structure of restrictions, transfers, and products, one has multiplicative but generally *nonadditive* norm maps

$$N_K^G : \pi_0^K R \rightarrow \pi_0^G R,$$

interacting with the linear structure in rich ways. More generally, norms exist outside degree 0 as maps

$$P_\alpha : \pi_\alpha^K R \rightarrow \pi_{\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha}^G R$$

defined for all subgroups $K \subset G$ and virtual orthogonal representations $\alpha \in RO(K)$. This rich algebraic structure has seen extensive study over the past decade, e.g. [Str12, Nak12, Ull13a, Hil17, BH18, AB18, HM19]. However, despite this wealth of theoretical work, relatively few specific computations are available, outside certain well-behaved cases. One need not go all the way to equivariant homotopy theory to see this: ordinary \mathbb{E}_∞ rings already carry power operations, but relatively few computations are available, outside the most well behaved examples of \mathbb{E}_∞ rings in positive characteristic and certain complex-oriented theories.

Consider the problem of computing just the groups $\pi_* R$. The homotopy theorist's tools of choice for such computations are a wide array of *spectral sequences*, which arise whenever one has a way of building R out of simpler pieces. In some cases, these simpler pieces may even be simple enough that one can understand their norms. This leads to the question: how can we take this information and descend it through the spectral sequence?

Norms in spectral sequences have been considered previously, such as in the context of the slice spectral sequence in [Ull13b, Section I.5] and [HHR17, Section 4]. Our own interest is in situations that are orthogonal to this; in short, in spectral sequences where Euler classes are detected on the 0-line. Moreover, we care not just about norms of G - \mathbb{E}_∞ spectra, but also other operations of a similar nature, such as power operations for ordinary \mathbb{E}_∞ rings [BMMS86] and power operations in the global equivariant context [Sch18, Sta21].

This paper describes how such operations may be computed in *homotopy limit spectral sequences* (HLSSs), such as generalized Adams spectral sequences and homotopy fixed point spectral sequences. We then give applications which demonstrate how this plays out in practice. In fact these applications, described below in [Subsection 1.1](#), might be considered the core of the paper, although it is the tools used which seem more widely applicable. Let us describe these in the context of equivariant norms as above.

Let $R: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Comm}_G$ be a diagram of G - \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectra. From the underlying diagram of G -spectra, one may produce for all $K \subset G$ and $\alpha \in RO(K)$ an HLSS which we shall index as

$${}^K E_2^{s+\alpha, t+\alpha} = H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+\alpha}^K R) \Rightarrow \pi_{s+\alpha}^K \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} R(j).$$

Here, we have written $H^n(\mathcal{J}; -)$ for the n th right derived functor of $\lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}}: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{J}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. For example, when $\mathcal{J} = \Delta$, this is the usual spectral sequence of a cosimplicial object.

Write $Z_r^{s,t}$ and $B_r^{s,t}$ for the r -cycles and r -boundaries of this spectral sequence, and write $a_K^G \in \pi_{1-\mathbb{R}[G/K]}^G S_G$ for the class represented by the inclusion of fixed points $S^1 \rightarrow S^{\mathbb{R}[G/K]}$.

1.0.1. Theorem ([Subsection 3.2](#)).

- (1) The composite $a_K^G P_\alpha$ is additive. In particular, for $t \geq s \geq 0$ there are induced maps

$$Q_\alpha = (a_K^G)^t P_{t+\alpha}: {}^K E_2^{s+\alpha, t+\alpha} \rightarrow {}^G E_2^{s+\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha, t+\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha}.$$

- (2) $Q_\alpha({}^K Z_r^{s+\alpha, t+\alpha}) \subset {}^G Z_r^{s+\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha, t+\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha}$ for $t \geq s \geq 0$.
(3) $Q_\alpha({}^K B_r^{s+\alpha, t+\alpha}) \subset {}^G B_r^{s+\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha, t+\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha}$ for $t \geq s \geq -1$.
(4) For $x \in {}^K E_r^{s+\alpha, t+\alpha}$ with $s \geq 0$, we have

$$d_r(Q_\alpha(x)) = \begin{cases} Q_\alpha(d_r(x)), & t \geq 1; \\ Q_\alpha(d_r(x)) + c(d_r(x), x), & t = s = 0; \end{cases}$$

where c is related to the addition formula for P_α . For example, when $K = e$ and $G = C_2$, we have $c(d_r(x), x) = \text{tr}(d_r(x) \cdot \bar{x})$ with \bar{x} the involution applied to x .

- (5) If $x \in E_2^{\alpha, t+\alpha}$ is a permanent cycle detecting $f \in \pi_\alpha^K \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} R(j)$, then the permanent cycle $Q_\alpha(x)$ detects $P_\alpha(f)$ modulo classes in higher filtration. \triangleleft

Informally, P_α is modeled in filtration t by $(a_K^G)^t P_{t+\alpha}$. This is immediately applicable to computations, and we give applications below. As usual, the development was the other way around: we found ourselves with various computations we realized we could carry out, and questions we could answer, if only we had some theorem along these lines. It was clear from the start that such a theorem should follow by a consideration of the space-level norm

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha: \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}^\kappa}(S^\alpha, \text{res}_K^G R) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}^G}(S^{\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha}, R), \quad (1)$$

and in fact most of [Theorem 1.0.1](#) does follow quickly from an inspection of [Eq. \(1\)](#), the main observation being that $\pi_t \mathcal{P}_\alpha = (a_K^G)^t P_{t+\alpha}$. The bulk of the work in the proof of [Theorem 1.0.1](#) stems from the additional care needed to handle what happens on the fringe; for example, to describe $d_2(P_\alpha(x))$ for $x \in H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_\alpha^K R)$. Although the applications we give below do not need this more refined information, we expect it will be useful in future work.

1.1. Applications. Let us now describe applications. We begin with an application to the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence. Let

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{cl}} = \pi_*(H\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes H\mathbb{F}_2), \quad \mathcal{A}^{C_2} = \pi_*^{C_2}(H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2} \otimes H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2})$$

denote the classical and C_2 -equivariant dual Steenrod algebras, and write

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{cl}} = H^*(\mathcal{A}^{\text{cl}}), \quad \text{Ext}_{C_2} = H^*(\mathcal{A}^{C_2})$$

for their cohomology, serving as the E_2 -pages of the classical and C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequences [[HK01](#), Section 6] [[GHIR20](#)]. Algebraically, the latter is of the form

$$\text{Ext}_{C_2} \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \text{Ext}_{NC}.$$

Here, $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \text{Ext}_{C_2}$ is the cohomology of the \mathbb{R} -motivic Steenrod algebra, the inclusion of which is compatible with Adams differentials, and Ext_{NC} is some other summand. Let $\rho = a_e^{C_2} \in \pi_{-\sigma} S_{C_2}$ denote the Euler class of the sign representation. By [[DI17](#), Theorem 4.1], there is an isomorphism $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}[\rho^{-1}] \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{cl}}[\rho^{\pm 1}]$, with a suitable shift in degrees. In fact, the proof gives rise to an explicit splitting

$$\text{Ext}_{C_2} \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{cl}}[\rho] \oplus \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\rho\text{-torsion}} \oplus \text{Ext}_{NC}, \quad (2)$$

where the copy of Ext_{cl} is given as follows. Write

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{cl}} = \mathbb{F}_2[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots], \quad \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho][\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \tau_0, \tau_1, \dots] / (\tau_i^2 + \tau \xi_{i+1} + \rho(\tau_0 \xi_{i+1} + \tau_{i+1})).$$

Then the map

$$P: \mathcal{A}^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{A}^{C_2}, \quad P(\xi_i) = \xi_i \quad (3)$$

is a map of Hopf algebroids, and the induced map $P: \text{Ext}_{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{C_2}$ picks out the copy of Ext_{cl} in [Eq. \(2\)](#).

The isomorphism $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}[\rho^{-1}] \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{cl}}[\rho^{\pm 1}]$ extends to an isomorphism $\text{Ext}_{C_2}[\rho^{-1}] \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{cl}}[\rho^{\pm 1}]$, and both of these isomorphisms have a direct geometric interpretation: the first models real Betti realization, and the second models taking geometric fixed points. On the other hand, the map P appears at first glance to be purely algebraic: for example, it does not preserve permanent cycles. It turns out to have the following geometric significance.

1.1.1. Theorem (Section 4). Let $x \in \text{Ext}_{\text{cl}}$ be a class in filtration f . If x survives to the E_r -page, then $\rho^f P(x) \in \text{Ext}_{C_2}$ survives to the E_r -page, and

$$d_r(\rho^f P(x)) = \rho^{f+r-1} P(d_r(x)).$$

Moreover, if x is a permanent cycle detecting $\alpha \in \pi_n S$, then the permanent cycle $\rho^f P(x) \in \text{Ext}_{C_2}$ detects the symmetric square $\text{Sq}(\alpha) \in \pi_{n(1+\sigma)} S_{C_2}$. \square

Theorem 1.1.1 is not surprising, given the general shape of Ext_{C_2} . If $x \in \text{Ext}_{\text{cl}}$ detects $\alpha \in \pi_n S$, then as the geometric fixed points of $\text{Sq}(\alpha)$ are α , one finds that $\text{Sq}(\alpha)$ is detected by some preimage of α under the localization $\text{Ext}_{C_2} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{C_2}[\rho^{-1}] \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{cl}}[\rho^{\pm 1}]$. If x is in filtration f , then this indicates that $\text{Sq}(\alpha)$ is detected by $\rho^f P(x)$ plus possible ρ -torsion error terms. **Theorem 1.1.1** says that in fact $\text{Sq}(\alpha)$ is detected by $\rho^f P(x)$ on the nose, and describes what happens when x is not a permanent cycle. The proof amounts to observing that **Eq. (3)** is induced by the norms on $\pi_* (H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2} \otimes H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2})$, and then applying **Theorem 1.0.1**.

1.1.2. Example. We have $\rho P(h_1) = \rho h_2$, and thus $\text{Sq}(\eta_{\text{cl}})$ is detected by the same class detecting $\rho \nu_{C_2}$, where η_{cl} is the nonequivariant complex Hopf fibration and ν_{C_2} is the C_2 -equivariant quaternionic Hopf fibration. As $\text{Sq}(\eta_{\text{cl}})$ must also lift η_{cl}^2 , by consulting the tables in [DI17] and using the fact that $\pi_* S_{C_2}$ agrees with $\pi_{*,*} S_{\mathbb{R}}$ in this range, we find that the only possibility is

$$\text{Sq}(\eta_{\text{cl}}) = \eta_{\text{cl}} \eta_{C_2} + \rho \nu_{C_2}.$$

This was originally computed by Araki–Iriye [AI82, Theorem 10.12], and its computation via **Theorem 1.1.1** can be considered overkill: as soon as one knows $\pi_*^{C_2} S_{C_2}$ in these degrees, $\text{Sq}(\eta_{\text{cl}})$ is determined by the fact that it lifts η_{cl}^2 and has geometric fixed points η_{cl} . \triangleleft

1.1.3. Example. Consider $\rho P(h_3) = \rho h_4$. As h_3 is a permanent cycle, it follows that ρh_4 is a permanent cycle, as was first shown by Belmont–Isaksen [BI20]. Moreover, ρh_4 detects $\text{Sq}(\sigma)$, a fact closely related to the Mahowald invariant $R(\sigma) = \sigma^2$. This was observed in [BCQ21, Theorem 7.4.7], which was one of the inspirations for **Theorem 1.1.1**. This example illustrates that the additional ρ 's in **Theorem 1.1.1** are necessary: $h_4 = P(h_3)$ itself supports the differential $d_2(h_4) = h_0 h_3^2$, and $\rho h_4 = \rho P(h_3)$ is not divisible by ρ on the E_3 -page. \triangleleft

Our next applications are to power operations in the context of chromatic homotopy theory, at chromatic height 1. We begin with the following. In recent work, Bonventre–Guillou–Stapleton have shown that if G is an odd p -group, then there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_0 L_{KU_G} S_G \cong \underline{R}\mathbb{Q} \otimes \pi_0 L_{KUS} = \underline{R}\mathbb{Q}[\epsilon]/(2\epsilon, \epsilon^2)$$

of Green functors [BGS22, Theorem 1.1, Proposition 6.7]. Here, $L_{KU_G} S_G$ is the localization of the G -equivariant sphere spectrum with respect to G -equivariant K -theory, and $\underline{R}\mathbb{Q}$ is the Green functor whose value at $K \subset G$ is the rational representation ring of K . They also verify that KU_G -localization preserves G - \mathbb{E}_∞ structures for G an odd p -group. This gives $\pi_0 L_{KU_G} S_G$ the structure of a Tambara functor, but they are only able to determine its norms in the case where $G = C_{p^n}$ is cyclic [BGS22, Proposition 10.6]. **Theorem 1.0.1** allows us to directly compute norms in contexts like this, and in the end we find the following.

1.1.4. Theorem (Subsection 5.4). Fix an odd p -group G and subgroup $K \subset G$. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}[G/K] = \text{Coker}(\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[G/K])$ be the reduced permutation representation of the G -set G/K , and define

$$e(G/K) = \sum_n (-1)^n \Lambda^n(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}[G/K]) \in R\mathbb{Q}(G).$$

Then the norm

$$N_K^G: R\mathbb{Q}(K)[\epsilon]/(2\epsilon, \epsilon^2) \rightarrow R\mathbb{Q}(G)[\epsilon]/(2\epsilon, \epsilon^2)$$

arising from the G - \mathbb{E}_∞ structure of $L_{KU_G} S_G$ satisfies

$$N_K^G(\epsilon) = e(G/K) \cdot \epsilon.$$

In particular, if $K \subseteq G$ is normal, then $N_K^G(\epsilon) \neq 0$ if and only if G/K is cyclic, in which case $N_K^G(\epsilon) = \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}[G/N] \cdot \epsilon$ where $N \subseteq G$ is the unique subgroup of index p containing K . \triangleleft

The proof of [Theorem 1.1.4](#) amounts to using [Theorem 1.0.1](#) to show that $N_K^G(\epsilon)$ is detected in the KU_G -based Adams spectral sequence by $e(G/K) \cdot \epsilon$. In fact this is true for an arbitrary finite group G and subgroup $K \subset G$, not just for odd p -groups. For this and other reasons, the correct context for this computation is not G -equivariant homotopy theory for any particular group G , but rather *global* equivariant homotopy theory (with respect to the family of finite groups), such as developed by Schwede [\[Sch18\]](#).

Let \mathbf{KU} denote the global equivariant spectrum of complex K -theory. This is related to G -equivariant K -theory by forgetful functors U_G from the global category to Sp^G satisfying $U_G \mathbf{KU} = KU_G$. Rather than considering each $\pi_0 L_{KU_G} S_G$ separately, one may form the Bousfield localization $L_{\mathbf{KU}} S$ in the category of global spectra and consider the global power functor $L = \pi_0 L_{\mathbf{KU}} S$. This is an algebraic object which subsumes the G -Tambara functors $\pi_0 L_{KU_G} S_G$ for all finite groups G . The class ϵ is defined in $L(G)$ for any G , and in [Proposition 5.2.1](#) we use a suitable variant of [Theorem 1.0.1](#) to determine what detects the power operation $P^m(\epsilon) \in L(\Sigma_m \wr G)$ in the \mathbf{KU} -based Adams spectral sequence. [Theorem 1.1.4](#) is then derived as a consequence.

The reader may observe a number of claims requiring justification in the preceding paragraph. Among them are the claims that $L = \pi_0 L_{\mathbf{KU}} S$ is a global power functor, that $\pi_0 L_{KU_G} S_G$ is always a Tambara functor, that the data of the former subsumes that of the latter, and perhaps convergence of the \mathbf{KU} -based Adams spectral sequence. We address these points in an appendix, which contains some general material on Bousfield localizations in equivariant homotopy theory. Among the theorems we prove is the following.

Say that an associative ring spectrum R is *locally descendable* if for any spectrum X , the R -based Adams spectral sequence for X collapses at a finite page with a horizontal vanishing line independent of X (see [Definition A.3.4](#) and [Lemma A.3.7](#)).

1.1.5. Theorem (Appendix A.4). Let $\mathfrak{G}lob$ denote the homotopy theory of global equivariant spectra with respect to the family of finite groups. Let $R \in \mathfrak{G}lob$ be an associative ring, and suppose that for all finite groups G , the ordinary spectrum $\Phi^G R$ of geometric fixed points is locally descendable. Then the following hold.

- (1) R -localization is smashing and agrees with R -nilpotent completion;
- (2) The forgetful functors $U_G: \mathfrak{G}lob \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^G$ satisfy $U_G L_R X \simeq L_{U_G R} U_G X$ for $X \in \mathfrak{G}lob$;
- (3) $U_G R$ -localization is smashing and agrees with $U_G R$ -nilpotent completion;
- (4) For every $X \in \mathrm{Sp}^G$, the $U_G R$ -based Adams spectral sequence for $\underline{\pi}_*^G L_{U_G R} X$ collapses at a finite page with a horizontal vanishing line independent of X ;
- (5) If R is an ultracommutative ring spectrum, then R -localization preserves ultracommutative ring spectra, and $U_G R$ -localization preserves G - \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectra. \triangleleft

In particular, this theorem applies to $R = \mathbf{KU}$, where $U_G \mathbf{KU} = KU_G$. A similar theorem holds given just $R \in \mathrm{Sp}^G$, only one must consider the spectra $\Phi^K R$ for $K \subset G$ as equipped with their action by the Weyl group of K in G ([Theorem A.4.1](#)).

Now let us return to considering power operations. Observe that [Theorem 1.1.4](#) is a genuinely integral result, mixing 2-primary homotopy with odd-primary equivariance. If instead of working integrally we work $K(1)$ -locally, then equivariant norms amount to ordinary $K(1)$ -local power operations. This is discussed in [Appendix A.2](#). In [\[Hop14\]](#), Hopkins explains how one may use $K(1)$ -local splittings

$$L_{K(1)} B\Sigma_{p+} \simeq S_{K(1)} \oplus S_{K(1)} \quad (4)$$

to define the structure of a θ -ring on π_0 of an arbitrary $K(1)$ -local \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectrum (see [Remark 6.4.4](#)). At $p = 2$, the θ -ring structure of $\pi_0 S_{K(1)} = \mathbb{Z}_2[\epsilon]/(2\epsilon, \epsilon^2)$ has been clarified only recently by Carmeli–Yuan [[CY21](#), Theorem 5.2.14], who prove that $\theta(\epsilon) = \epsilon$.

The story should not stop with π_0 . However, the picture quickly becomes less clear, as there is no analogue of [Eq. \(4\)](#) for $L_{K(1)}(S^{2n})_{\mathfrak{h}\Sigma_p}^{\otimes p}$ when $n \neq 0$. One of the original motivations for this paper was a desire to be able to compute with these more complicated examples, where power operations cannot be described as some clean algebraic object, such as a θ -ring. Using a suitable variant of [Theorem 1.0.1](#), we carry out the following computation.

1.1.6. Theorem. Let $S_{K(1)} = L_{KU/(p)}S$. Then the p th total power operation

$$P: [S^n, S_{K(1)}] \rightarrow [(S^n)_{\mathfrak{h}\Sigma_p}^{\otimes p}, S_{K(1)}]$$

is as given in [Theorem 6.3.2](#) for p odd and [Theorem 6.4.3](#) for p even, modulo a certain indeterminacy at $p = 2$ when $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $n \neq 1$. \triangleleft

1.2. Organization. This paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we study the naturality of the HLSS of a diagram of spectra with respect to its underlying diagram of pointed spaces. This analysis is well-suited for any homotopy operations obtained from pointed functors between stable categories, and in [Section 3](#) we make this explicit in the case of the m -fold smash power functors $\mathbb{P}^m: \mathfrak{S}p^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}p^{\Sigma_m lG}$.

Both sections culminate in [Subsection 3.2](#), which puts everything together into a form suitable for applications, including [Theorem 1.0.1](#) and variants. The reader interested in the applications may wish to start here.

We then give the promised applications. In [Section 4](#), we prove [Theorem 1.1.1](#); in [Section 5](#), which relies on [Appendix A](#), we prove [Theorem 1.1.4](#); and in [Section 6](#), we carry out the computation of [Theorem 1.1.6](#). In [Appendix A](#), we give some material on equivariant localizations, including [Theorem 1.1.5](#).

1.3. Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank Charles Rezk, Nick Kuhn, and Christian Carrick for enlightening discussions about power operations, character theory, and localizations.

2. UNSTABLE NATURALITY OF THE HOMOTOPY LIMIT SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

This section studies the naturality of stable HLSSs with respect to unstable maps. We begin by recalling the construction of the HLSS in the form most convenient to us in [Subsection 2.1](#) and [Subsection 2.2](#). In [Subsection 2.3](#), we consider the analogous unstable construction, and in [Subsection 2.4](#) we compare the two. We state and prove the main naturality theorem, [Theorem 2.5.3](#), in [Subsection 2.5](#).

In some sense, this material should be known to those who have worked with extended homotopy spectral sequences in the sense of Bousfield–Kan [[BK72](#), Ch. IX, §4]. The main naturality theorem holds by construction, and most of our work in this section is to recall enough of the construction that we may be sure of this. Moreover, we package this unstable information entirely into the context of ordinary spectral sequences, thereby removing any need to contend with the extended spectral sequences lurking in the background.

2.1. The spectral sequence of a tower. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & F(t+1) & & F(t) & & F(t-1) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & X(t+1) & \longrightarrow & X(t) & \longrightarrow & X(t-1) & \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

be a tower of spectra, where $F(t) = \text{Fib}(X(t) \rightarrow X(t-1))$. Then there is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = \pi_s F(t) \Rightarrow \pi_s \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X(n), \quad d_r^{s,t}: E_r^{s,t} \rightarrow E_r^{s-1, t+r-1}. \quad (5)$$

Write $Z_r^{s,t}$ and $B_r^{s,t}$ for the r -cycles and r -boundaries of this spectral sequence, so that

$$0 = B_1^{s,t} \subset B_2^{s,t} \subset \cdots \subset Z_2^{s,t} \subset Z_1^{s,t} = \pi_s F(t), \quad E_r^{s,t} = Z_{r-1}^{s,t} / B_{r-1}^{s,t}.$$

We will find it convenient to interpret the differentials in this spectral sequence as relations, just as in [Bou89], so we begin by recalling the construction in this form. Define

$$D_r^{s,t} = \pi_s F(t) \times_{\pi_s X(t)} \text{Im}(\pi_s X(t+r-2) \rightarrow \pi_s X(t) \times \pi_{s-1} F(t+r-1)), \quad (6)$$

where $\pi_s X(t+r-2) \rightarrow \pi_{s-1} F(t+r-1)$ is obtained from the boundary map $X(t+r-1) \rightarrow \Sigma F(t+r-1)$. Note that

$$D_r^{s,t} \subset \pi_s F(t) \times \pi_{s-1} F(t+r-1) = Z_1^{s,t} \times Z_1^{s-1, t+r-1}.$$

Recall the following basic fact about additive relations.

2.1.1. Lemma. Let M and N be abelian groups and $R \subset M \times N$ a subgroup. Define

$$Z = \text{Im}(R \rightarrow M) \quad K = \text{Ker}(R \rightarrow M) \quad B = \text{Im}(K \rightarrow N) \quad C = \text{Coker}(B \rightarrow N).$$

Then the relation $\text{Im}(R \rightarrow Z \times C)$ gives a well defined function $Z \rightarrow C$. \square

The spectral sequence of Eq. (5) is now given as follows.

2.1.2. Lemma (Definition). The following hold, where $Z_1^{s,t} = \pi_s F(t) = E_2^{s,t}$ as above.

- (1) $Z_{r-1}^{s,t} = \text{Im}(D_r^{s,t} \rightarrow Z_1^{s,t})$;
- (2) $B_{r-1}^{s-1, t+r-1} = \text{Im}(\text{Ker}(D_r^{s,t} \rightarrow Z_1^{s,t}) \rightarrow Z_1^{s-1, t+r-1})$;
- (3) $d_r^{s,t}: Z_{r-1}^{s,t} \rightarrow Z_1^{s-1, t+r-1} / B_{r-1}^{s-1, t+r-1}$ is the function associated the relation $D_r^{s,t}$;
- (4) $Z_r^{s,t} = \text{Ker}(D_r^{s,t} \rightarrow Z_1^{s-1, t+r-1})$;
- (5) $B_r^{s-1, t+r-1} = \text{Im}(D_r^{s,t} \rightarrow Z_1^{s-1, t+r-1})$. \square

2.2. The homotopy limit spectral sequence. Given a diagram $M: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ of abelian groups, let $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{J}; M)$ denote the limit of the composite

$$\mathcal{J} \xrightarrow{M} \text{Ab} \xrightarrow{H} \text{Sp},$$

and let

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{J}; M) = \Omega^{\infty-n} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{J}; M), \quad H^n(\mathcal{J}; M) = \pi_{-n} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{J}; M) = \pi_0 \mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{J}; M).$$

We may identify $H^n(\mathcal{J}; -)$ as the n th right derived functor of $\lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}}: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{J}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. Given a diagram $X: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$, each $\pi_t X$ is a diagram $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. The HLSS

$$E_2^{s,t} = H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X) \Rightarrow \pi_s \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} X(j)$$

is then the spectral sequence associated to the tower

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma^{t+1} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+1} X) & & \Sigma^t \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X) & & \Sigma^{t-1} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t-1} X) \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \cdots \longrightarrow \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (X(j)_{\leq t+1}) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (X(j)_{\leq t}) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (X(j)_{\leq t-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Note in particular

$$D_r^{s,t} \subset H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X) \times H^{t+r-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1} X)$$

and

$$E_r^{s,t} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t < s.$$

2.3. Unstable homotopy limits. Let T be a space. Then T has a Postnikov tower:

$$\cdots \rightarrow T_{\leq t+1} \rightarrow T_{\leq t} \rightarrow T_{\leq t-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_{\leq 1} \rightarrow T_{\leq 0} = \pi_0 T.$$

Call T *simple* if for all points $x \in T$ and $t \geq 1$, the standard action of $\pi_1(T, x)$ on $\pi_t(T, x)$ is trivial. For $n, m \geq 1$, let $B_{\pi_0 T}^m \Pi_n T = \coprod_{t \in \pi_0 T} K(\pi_n(T, t), m)$. Then we have the following standard fact.

2.3.1. Lemma. If T is a simple space, then there are Cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K(\pi_t(T, x), t) & \longrightarrow & T_{\leq t} & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_t & & \downarrow \\ \{p_t(x)\} & \longrightarrow & T_{\leq t-1} & \longrightarrow & B_{\pi_0 T}^{t+1} \Pi_t T \end{array},$$

where the right square always exists naturally in T , and the left square exists naturally in T and the choice of a point $x \in T_{\leq t}$, provided such a point exists. \square

Now say that $T: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}pd_\infty$ is a diagram of simple spaces. Let

$$H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 T) = \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \pi_0 T(j).$$

Observe that as T is simple, if $x \in H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 T)$ and $t \geq 1$, then $\pi_t(T, x)$ is naturally a \mathcal{J} -shaped diagram of abelian groups. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\pi_0 T}^{t+1}(\mathcal{J}; \Pi_t T) = \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} B_{\pi_0 T(j)}^{t+1} \Pi_t T(j) \simeq \coprod_{x \in H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 T)} \mathcal{H}^{t+1}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t(T, x)).$$

2.3.2. Lemma. There are Cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{H}^t(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t(T, x)) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (T(j)_{\leq t}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 T) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_t & & \downarrow \\ \{p_t(x)\} & \longrightarrow & \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (T(j)_{\leq t-1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{\pi_0 T}^{t+1}(\mathcal{J}; \Pi_t T) \end{array},$$

where the right square always exists naturally in T , and the left square exists naturally in T and the choice of a point $x \in \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (T(j)_{\leq t})$, provided such a point exists.

Proof. This follows by taking limits over [Lemma 2.3.1](#). \square

Fix $s \geq 0$, $t \geq 0$, $r \geq 2$ and $x \in \pi_0 \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (T(j)_{\leq t+r-2})$, and write the same for the image of x in $\pi_0 \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (T(j)_{\leq n})$ for $n \leq t+r-2$. Define

$$D_{r,x}^{s,t} = \lim \left(\pi_s p_t^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \pi_s \left(\lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (T(j)_{\leq t}), x \right) \leftarrow I_{r,x}^{s,t} \right), \quad (7)$$

where

$$I_{r,x}^{s,t} = \text{Im} \left(\pi_s \left(\lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (T(j)_{\leq t+r-2}), x \right) \rightarrow \pi_s \left(\lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (T(j)_{\leq t}), x \right) \times \pi_s (\mathcal{H}_{\pi_0 T}^{t+r}(\mathcal{J}; \Pi_{t+r-1} X), x) \right).$$

When $s = 0$, we extend this notation to be defined having fixed just $x \in \pi_0 \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (T(j)_{\leq t-1})$. We will only make use of the simplest case, where T is pointed and either $s = t = 0$ or x is the basepoint, but make no such restriction for the moment. Observe that $D_{r,x}^{s,t} \subset J_{r,x}^{s,t}$ where

$$J_{r,x}^{s,t} = \begin{cases} H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t(T, x)) \times H^{t+r-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1}(T, x)), & s \geq 1; \\ H^t(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t(T, x)) \times \coprod_{y \in H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 T)} H^{t+r}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1}(T, y)), & s = 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Let $S: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Spd}_\infty$ be another diagram of simple spaces, and $f: T \rightarrow S$ a map of diagrams. This induces maps

$$f: \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (T(j)_{\leq t}) \rightarrow \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (S(j)_{\leq t})$$

of spaces, and for $x \in H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 T)$ and $t \geq 1$, a map

$$f: \pi_t(T, x) \rightarrow \pi_t(S, f(x))$$

of diagrams of abelian groups. Combined, these yield

$$f: J_{r,x}^{s,t}(T) \rightarrow J_{r,f(x)}^{s,t}(S).$$

2.3.3. Lemma. The map $f: J_{r,x}^{s,t}(T) \rightarrow J_{r,f(x)}^{s,t}(S)$ satisfies $f(D_{r,x}^{s,t}(T)) \subset D_{r,f(x)}^{s,t}(S)$.

Proof. This is clear from the construction. \square

2.4. Comparing the stable and unstable constructions. Let X be a spectrum, and consider the underlying simple space $\Omega^\infty X$. For $x \in \pi_0 X$, write $\Omega_x^\infty X$ for the path component of $\Omega^\infty X$ corresponding to x . As $\Omega^\infty X$ is a group, there are equivalences

$$\gamma_x: \Omega_x^\infty X \rightarrow \Omega_0^\infty X, \quad \gamma_x(a) = a - x$$

2.4.1. Lemma. The above patch together into an equivalence

$$\Omega^\infty X \simeq \pi_0 X \times \Omega_0^\infty X,$$

compatible on Postnikov towers with equivalences

$$B_{\pi_0 X}^{t+1} \Pi_t X \cong \pi_0 X \times K(\pi_t X, t+1)$$

for $t \geq 1$. These equivalences are natural with respect to $\Omega^\infty X$ as a group object. \square

Now say that X is a diagram of spectra, and consider the underlying diagram $\Omega^\infty X$ of simple spaces. For $s \geq 0$ and $r \geq 2$, define

$$J_r^{s,t} = \begin{cases} H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X) \times H^{t+r-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1} X), & s \geq 1 \\ H^t(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X) \times H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 X) \times H^{t+r}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1} X), & s = 0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

2.4.2. Lemma. Let $D_{r,x}^{s,t}$ and $J_{r,x}^{s,t}$ and be defined as in Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) for the diagram $\Omega^\infty X$. Then there are isomorphisms

$$J_{r,x}^{s,t} \cong J_r^{s,t},$$

and

$$D_{r,x}^{s,t} = \begin{cases} D_{r,0}^{s,t} & s \geq 1, \\ D_{r,0}^{0,t} & s = 0 \text{ and we have a lift of } x \text{ to } \pi_0 \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (X_{\leq t+r-2}), \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

as subsets of $J_r^{s,t}$. These identifications are natural in $\Omega^\infty X$ as a diagram of group objects.

Proof. As X is a diagram of spectra, $\Omega^\infty X$ is a diagram of group objects. The lemma then follows by applying Lemma 2.4.1 to the constructions of the sets involved. \square

There are obvious maps

$$q: J_r^{s,t} \rightarrow H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X) \times H^{t+r-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1} X) = Z_1^{s,t} \times Z_1^{s-1, t+r-1}, \quad (10)$$

given by the identity for $s \geq 1$ and the projection $q(w, x, y) = (w, y)$ for $s = 0$.

2.4.3. Lemma. Recall $D_r^{s,t} \subset H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X) \times H^{t+r-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1} X)$ and $D_{r,0}^{s,t} \subset J_{r,0}^{s,t} \cong J_r^{s,t}$ from Eq. (6) and Eq. (7). We have

$$D_r^{s,t} = \text{Im}(q: D_{r,0}^{s,t} \rightarrow H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X) \times H^{t+r-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1} X))$$

for $s \geq 0$. Moreover,

$$q^{-1}(D_r^{s,t}) = \begin{cases} D_{r,0}^{s,t}, & s \geq 1; \\ \{(x, 0, y) : (x, y) \in D_r^{0,t}\}, & s = 0, t \geq 1; \\ \{(x, x, y) : (x, y) \in D_r^{0,0}\}, & s = t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Immediate from the definitions. \square

The following now relates the stable construction of Lemma 2.1.2 with the above unstable constructions.

2.4.4. Lemma. The HLSS for X satisfies the following for $s \geq 0$.

- (1) $Z_{r-1}^{s,t} = \text{Im}(D_{r,0}^{s,t} \rightarrow H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X))$;
- (2) $Z_r^{s,t} = \text{Im}(D_{r,0}^{s,t} \times_{H^{t+r}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1} X)} \{0\} \rightarrow H^t(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X))$;
- (3) $B_{r-1}^{s-1, t+r-1} = \text{Im}(\{0\} \times_{H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X)} D_{r,0}^{s,t} \rightarrow H^{t+r-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1} X))$;
- (4) $B_r^{s-1, t+r-1} = \text{Im}(D_{r,0}^{s,t} \rightarrow H^{t+r-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1} X))$;
- (5) For $x \in E_r^{s,t}$ and $y \in E_r^{s-1, t+r-1}$, we have $d_r(x) = y$ if and only if x and y lift to elements of $H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_t X)$ and $H^{t+r-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+r-1} X)$ respectively with the property that
 - (a) If $s \geq 1$, then $(x, y) \in D_{r,0}^{s,t}$;
 - (b) If $s = 0$ and $t \geq 1$, then $(x, 0, y) \in D_{r,0}^{0,t}$;
 - (c) If $s = t = 0$, then $(x, x, y) \in D_{r,0}^{0,0}$.

Proof. These follow from Lemma 2.1.2 and Lemma 2.4.3. \square

2.5. Naturality with respect to pointed maps. Let X and Y be spectra, and let

$$F: \Omega^\infty X \rightarrow \Omega^\infty Y$$

be a map of pointed spaces. For $n \geq 0$, let

$$Q: \pi_n X \rightarrow \pi_n Y \tag{11}$$

be the map induced by $\pi_n(-, 0)$. For $x \in \pi_n X$ and $n \geq 1$, write

$$Q_x = \gamma_{Q(x)} \circ \pi_n(-, x) \circ \gamma_x^{-1}: \pi_n X \cong \pi_n(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y, Q(x)) \cong \pi_n Y. \tag{12}$$

In particular, $Q_0 = Q$.

2.5.1. Lemma. Define

$$Q_-: \pi_0 X \times K(\pi_t X, t+1) \rightarrow \pi_0 Y \times K(\pi_t Y, t+1), \quad Q_-(x, y) = (Q(x), Q_x(y)).$$

Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_{\pi_0 X}^{t+1} \Pi_t X & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_0 X \times K(\pi_t X, t+1) \\ \downarrow F & & \downarrow Q_- \\ B_{\pi_0 Y}^{t+1} \Pi_t Y & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_0 Y \times K(\pi_t Y, t+1) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the left vertical map is that naturally induced from the map $F: \Omega^\infty X \rightarrow \Omega^\infty Y$ of simple spaces.

Proof. This holds by construction. \square

Now suppose that $X, Y: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}p$ are diagrams of spectra, and fix a map

$$F: \Omega^\infty X \rightarrow \Omega^\infty Y$$

of diagrams of pointed spaces. As before, write

$$Q: \pi_0 X \rightarrow \pi_0 Y$$

for the map on path components. Following [Lemma 2.3.3](#) and discussion it succeeds, there are maps

$$F: J_{r,x}^{s,t}(\Omega^\infty X) \rightarrow J_{r,Q(x)}^{s,t}(\Omega^\infty Y),$$

and these satisfy

$$F(D_{r,x}^{s,t}) \subset D_{r,Q(x)}^{s,t}$$

for $s \geq 0$. On the other hand, because F is a map of diagrams of pointed simple spaces, there are maps

$$Q_x: \pi_t X \rightarrow \pi_t Y$$

of diagrams of groups for $x \in H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 X)$ and $t \geq 1$, induced by [Eq. \(12\)](#). We abbreviate Q_0 to Q . These induce maps on $H^*(\mathcal{J}; -)$.

2.5.2. Lemma. Recall the sets $J_r^{s,t}$ from [Eq. \(9\)](#). Define

$$Q_+: J_r^{s,t}(X) \rightarrow J_r^{s,t}(Y)$$

for $s \geq 0$ by

$$\begin{cases} Q_+(w, y) = (Q(w), Q(y)), & s \geq 1; \\ Q_+(w, x, y) = (Q(w), Q(x), Q(y)), & s = 0, t \geq 1; \\ Q_+(w, x, y) = (Q(w), Q(x), Q_x(y)), & s = t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $Q_+ = F$, in the sense that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{r,0}^{s,t}(\Omega^\infty X) & \xrightarrow{F} & D_{r,0}^{s,t}(\Omega^\infty Y) \\ \downarrow \subset & & \downarrow \subset \\ J_r^{s,t}(X) & \xrightarrow{Q_+} & J_r^{s,t}(Y) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the top horizontal map is as in [Lemma 2.3.3](#).

Proof. By [Lemma 2.3.3](#), this diagram commutes should we replace the bottom map with $F: J_{r,0}^{s,t}(X) \rightarrow J_{r,0}^{s,t}(Y)$. By [Lemma 2.4.2](#), Q_+ and F have isomorphic domain and codomain, and we must only check that $Q_+ = F$ under this isomorphism. For $t \geq 1$, the map F is just that induced by the pointed map $F: \Omega^\infty X \rightarrow \Omega^\infty Y$ on homotopy groups at the basepoint, which is exactly as described by Q_+ . Now consider $s = t = 0$. Define

$$Q_-: H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 X) \times \mathcal{H}^{r+1}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_r X) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 Y) \times \mathcal{H}^{r+1}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_r Y), \quad Q_-(x, y) = (Q(x), Q_x(y)).$$

Taking limits over [Lemma 2.5.1](#), we find that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 X) \times \mathcal{H}^{r+1}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_r X) & \xrightarrow{Q_-} & H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 Y) \times \mathcal{H}^{r+1}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_r Y) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \Omega^\infty(X_{\leq r-1}) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \Omega^\infty(Y_{\leq r-1}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 X) & \xrightarrow{Q} & H^0(\mathcal{J}; \pi_0 Y) \end{array}$$

commutes. By definition, $F = Q \times Q_-$ as maps $J_r^{0,0}(X) \rightarrow J_r^{0,0}(Y)$, and this is exactly Q_+ as described. \square

We can now give the main naturality theorem.

2.5.3. Theorem. Given diagrams $X, Y: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ of spectra and map $F: \Omega^\infty X \rightarrow \Omega^\infty Y$ of diagrams of pointed spaces, the maps Q and Q_x of Eq. (11) and Eq. (12) interact with the HLSSs for $\lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} X(j)$ and $\lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} Y(j)$ as follows.

- (1) $Q(Z_r^{s,t}(X)) \subset Z_r^{s,t}(Y)$ for $s \geq 0$;
- (2) $Q(B_r^{s,t}(X)) \subset B_r^{s,t}(Y)$ for $s \geq -1$;
- (3) If $x \in Z_r^{0,0}(X)$ then $Q_x(B_{r-1}^{-1,r-1}(X)) \subset B_{r-1}^{-1,r-1}(Y)$;
- (4) For $x \in E_r^{s,t}(X)$ with $s \geq 0$, we have

$$d_r(Q(x)) = \begin{cases} Q(d_r(x)), & t \geq 1, \\ Q_x(d_r(x)), & t = s = 0; \end{cases}$$

- (5) For $s \geq 0$, if $x \in E_2^{s,t}(X)$ is a permanent cycle detecting $f \in \pi_s \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} X(j)$, then the permanent cycle $Q(x) \in E_2^{s,t}(Y)$ detects $Q(f)$ modulo classes in higher filtration.

Proof. (1)–(4) follow from Lemma 2.5.2, which describes the map $D_{r,0}^{s,t}(\Omega^\infty X) \rightarrow D_{r,0}^{s,t}(\Omega^\infty Y)$ induced by F , and Lemma 2.4.4, which explains how cycles, boundaries, and differentials are naturally defined in terms of $D_{r,0}^{s,t}$. Let us just illustrate this with a proof of (3).

Let $x \in Z_r^{0,0}(X)$ and $y \in B_{r-1}^{-1,r-1}(X)$. This implies $(x, x, y) \in D_{r,0}^{0,0}(\Omega^\infty X)$, and thus $(Q(x), Q(x), Q_x(y)) \in D_{r,0}^{0,0}(\Omega^\infty Y)$. Taking $y = 0$ shows $Q(x) \in Z_r^{0,0}(Y)$. As $Q(x) \in Z_r^{0,0}(Y)$ and $(Q(x), Q(x), Q_x(y)) \in D_{r,0}^{0,0}(Y)$, it follows that $Q_x(y) \in B_{r-1}^{-1,r-1}(Y)$ as claimed.

- (5) holds as Q is compatible with the maps $\lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \Omega^\infty(X_{\leq t}) \rightarrow \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \Omega^\infty(Y_{\leq t})$. \square

3. LOOPING POWER OPERATIONS

If \mathcal{C} is a stable category, then for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ one may form the mapping spectrum $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$. This construction preserves limits in Y , allowing one to form HLSSs for diagrams in arbitrary stable categories. If $N: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a pointed functor between stable categories, then for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ one obtains a map

$$\Omega^\infty \mathcal{C}(X, Y) = \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(NX, NY) = \Omega^\infty \mathcal{D}(NX, NY)$$

of pointed spaces. Theorem 2.5.3 describes how these maps appear in HLSSs, at least once one understands how they behave on higher homotopy groups. This section describes explicitly what happens in the main example of interest, eventually leading to Theorem 3.1.1. In Subsection 3.2, we put everything together, yielding Theorem 1.0.1 and variations thereon.

3.1. Looping power operations. Fix a compact Lie group G , let Sp^G be the category of G -spectra, and for $m \geq 0$ write

$$\mathbb{P}^m: \text{Sp}^G \rightarrow \text{Sp}^{\Sigma_m \wr G}$$

for the m -fold smash power functor. These are the functors denoted \wedge^m in [Boh14]. Note that the group G will not play a real role in the following. Write ρ_m for the permutation representation of Σ_m on \mathbb{R}^m , and observe that

$$\mathbb{P}^m(S^\alpha) \simeq S^{\rho_m \otimes \alpha}$$

for $\alpha \in RO(G)$. Thus, external power operations in this context take the form

$$P_\alpha^m: \pi_\alpha^G X \rightarrow \pi_{\rho_m \otimes \alpha}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} \mathbb{P}^m X$$

for $X \in \mathrm{Sp}^G$. Given $x \in \pi_\alpha X$ and $n \geq 1$, write

$$P_{\alpha,x}^{m,(n)}: \pi_{n+\alpha}^G X \rightarrow \pi_{n+\rho_m \otimes \alpha}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} \mathbb{P}^m X$$

for the composite

$\pi_{n+\alpha} X \cong \pi_n(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Sp}^G}(S^\alpha, X), x) \rightarrow \pi_n \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Sp}^{\Sigma_m \wr G}}(S^{\rho_m \otimes \alpha}, \mathbb{P}^m X), P_\alpha^m(x) \cong \pi_{n+\rho_m \otimes \alpha}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} \mathbb{P}^m X$, the inner map being induced by functoriality of \mathbb{P}^m . The goal of this subsection is to describe the operations $P_{\alpha,x}^{m,(n)}$ explicitly. This description is given in [Theorem 3.1.1](#), the proof of which amounts to a collection of standard observations about the behavior of the functors \mathbb{P}^m , which we now make.

3.1.1. Euler classes. Write $\bar{\rho}_m$ for the reduced permutation representation of Σ_m . This may be regarded as a representation of $\Sigma_m \wr G$ by restriction along the projection $\Sigma_m \wr G \rightarrow \Sigma_m$. Write $a_m \in \pi_{-\bar{\rho}_m}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} S_{\Sigma_m \wr G}$ for the Euler class of $\bar{\rho}_m$, i.e. the class represented by the inclusion of poles $S^0 \rightarrow S^{\rho_m}$, or what is equivalent, the inclusion of fixed points $S^1 \rightarrow S^{\rho_m}$.

3.1.2. The addition formula. The functors \mathbb{P}^m satisfy

$$\mathbb{P}^m(A \oplus B) \simeq \bigoplus_{i+j=m} \mathrm{Ind}_{\Sigma_{i,j} \wr G}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} (\mathbb{P}^i(A) \boxtimes \mathbb{P}^j(B)),$$

where $\Sigma_{i,j} = \Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j \subset \Sigma_{i+j}$ and $\mathbb{P}^i(A) \boxtimes \mathbb{P}^j(B)$ is $\mathbb{P}^i(A) \otimes \mathbb{P}^j(B)$ considered with its natural $\Sigma_{i,j} \wr G$ -action. This allows us to identify the operation

$$P_{(\alpha,\beta)}^m: \pi_\alpha^G X \times \pi_\beta^G X = [S^\alpha \oplus S^\beta, X] \rightarrow [\mathbb{P}^m(S^\alpha \oplus S^\beta), \mathbb{P}^m X]$$

as

$$P_{(\alpha,\beta)}^m(x, y) = \sum_{i+j=m} \mathrm{tr}_{\Sigma_{i,j} \wr G}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} (P_\alpha^i(x) \cdot P_\beta^j(y)).$$

Here, the products appearing on the right are external products of signature

$$\pi_{\rho_i \otimes \alpha}^{\Sigma_i \wr G} \mathbb{P}^i X \otimes \pi_{\rho_j \otimes \beta}^{\Sigma_j \wr G} \mathbb{P}^j X \rightarrow \pi_{\rho_i \otimes \alpha + \rho_j \otimes \beta}^{\Sigma_i \wr G \times \Sigma_j \wr G} (\mathbb{P}^i X \boxtimes \mathbb{P}^j X) = \pi_{\rho_i \otimes \alpha + \rho_j \otimes \beta}^{\Sigma_{i,j} \wr G} \mathrm{res}_{\Sigma_{i,j} \wr G}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} \mathbb{P}^m X.$$

3.1.3. Colimit comparison maps. For a space F and object A , write $F \cdot A = \mathrm{colim}_{x \in F} A$ for the unbased tensor with F . The basepoint of S^n yields a natural retraction

$$A \rightarrow S^n \cdot A \rightarrow A,$$

and this gives rise to a splitting

$$S^n \cdot A \simeq \Sigma^n A \oplus A. \tag{13}$$

Observe that there are natural colimit comparison maps

$$S^n \cdot \mathbb{P}^m(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m(S^n \cdot A).$$

As \mathbb{P}^m is compatible with the monoidal structure, these are determined by their effect when $A = S^0$, i.e. by the map

$$S^n \oplus S^0 \simeq S^n \cdot \mathbb{P}^m(S^0) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m(S^n \cdot S^0) \simeq \bigoplus_{i+j=m} \mathrm{Ind}_{\Sigma_{i,j} \wr G}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} S^{\rho_i \otimes n}. \tag{14}$$

This is the map given by the unreduced suspension spectrum of the diagonal

$$S^n \rightarrow (S^n)^{\times m}$$

map of spaces. The splitting of the target in [Eq. \(14\)](#) as a direct sum amounts to the standard splitting $\Sigma(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m) \simeq \Sigma \bigvee_{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \bigwedge_{i \in I} X_i$, valid for pointed spaces X_1, \dots, X_m .

The restriction of Eq. (14) to S^0 is just the inclusion into the $i = 0$ summand. On S^n , one has maps

$$S^n \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\Sigma_{i,j} \wr G}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} S^{\rho_i \otimes n},$$

which are seen to be adjoint to the inclusion of fixed points $a_i^n: S^n \rightarrow S^{\rho_i \otimes n}$.

3.1.4. *Looping operations.* Abbreviate $\text{Map}_G = \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}^G}$, and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Map}_G(S^{n+\alpha}, X) & \rightarrow & \text{Map}_{\Sigma_m \wr G}(\mathbb{P}^m(S^n \cdot S^\alpha)/\mathbb{P}^m S^\alpha, \mathbb{P}^m X) & \rightarrow & \text{Map}_{\Sigma_m \wr G}(\Sigma^n \mathbb{P}^m(S^\alpha), \mathbb{P}^m X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_G(S^n \cdot S^\alpha, X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\Sigma_m \wr G}(\mathbb{P}^m(S^n \cdot S^\alpha), \mathbb{P}^m X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\Sigma_m \wr G}(S^n \cdot \mathbb{P}^m(S^\alpha), \mathbb{P}^m X) \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \text{Map}_G(S^\alpha, X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\Sigma_m \wr G}(\mathbb{P}^m(S^\alpha), \mathbb{P}^m X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\Sigma_m \wr G}(\mathbb{P}^m(S^\alpha), \mathbb{P}^m X) \end{array}$$

of spaces. Here, the bottom vertical maps are induced by the basepoint of S^n , and the columns are fiber sequences. By definition, $P_{\alpha,x}^{m,(n)}$ is the induced map

$$\pi_0 q^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \pi_0 p^{-1}(x).$$

Under the splitting of Eq. (13), we may write the inner row as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_G(S^{n+\alpha} \oplus S^\alpha, X) & \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\Sigma_m \wr G}(\mathbb{P}^m(S^{n+\alpha} \oplus S^\alpha), \mathbb{P}^m X) \\ & \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\Sigma_m \wr G}(S^{n+\rho_m \otimes \alpha} \oplus S^{\rho_m \otimes \alpha}, \mathbb{P}^m X). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

On path components, the composite yields the map

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha,\bullet}^{m,(n)}: \pi_{n+\alpha}^G X \times \pi_\alpha^G X & \rightarrow \pi_{n+\rho_m \otimes \alpha}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} \mathbb{P}^m X \times \pi_{\rho_m \otimes \alpha}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} \mathbb{P}^m X, \\ P_{\alpha,\bullet}^{m,(n)}(f, x) & = (P_{\alpha,x}^{m,(n)}(f), P_\alpha^m(x)). \end{aligned}$$

3.1.5. *Putting everything together.*

3.1.1. **Theorem.** Fix $X \in \text{Sp}^G$, $\alpha \in RO(G)$, and $x \in \pi_\alpha X$. Then the operation

$$P_{\alpha,x}^{m,(n)}: \pi_{n+\alpha}^G X \rightarrow \pi_{n+\rho_m \otimes \alpha}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} \mathbb{P}^m X$$

is given by

$$P_{\alpha,x}^{m,(n)}(f) = \sum_{0 < i \leq m} \text{tr}_{\Sigma_{i,m-i} \wr G}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} (a_i^n P_{n+\alpha}^i(f) \cdot P_\alpha^{m-i}(x))$$

Proof. The first map in Eq. (15) is described in Subsubsection 3.1.2, and the second map is described in Subsubsection 3.1.3. Tracing through these descriptions and identifying $P_{\alpha,x}^{m,(n)}(f)$ as the first coordinate of $P_{\alpha,\bullet}^{m,(n)}(f, x)$ yields the theorem. \square

3.2. **Power operations in the HLSS.** Let $R: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Sp}^G$ be a diagram of G -spectra. For each $\alpha \in RO(G)$, one may take mapping spectra levelwise to obtain a diagram $\text{Sp}^G(S^\alpha, R)$ of spectra, with $\lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \text{Sp}^G(S^\alpha, R(j)) \simeq \text{Sp}^G(S^\alpha, \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} R(j))$. Thus there is an HLSS

$$E_2^{s+\alpha, t+\alpha} = H^{t-s}(\mathcal{J}; \pi_{t+\alpha}^G R) \Rightarrow \pi_{s+\alpha}^G \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} R(j).$$

The composite $\mathbb{P}^m R: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Sp}^{\Sigma_m \wr G}$ is likewise a diagram of $\Sigma_m \wr G$ -spectra for each m , with its own HLSS $E_{*,m}^{*,*}$. For each $\alpha \in RO(G)$ and $m \geq 1$, there are maps

$$\mathcal{P}_\alpha^m: \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}^G}(S^\alpha, R) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}^{\Sigma_m \wr G}}(S^{\rho_m \otimes \alpha}, \mathbb{P}^m R)$$

of diagrams of pointed spaces. The extent to which this induces a map $E_*^{*,*} \rightarrow E_{*,m}^{*,*}$ of spectral sequences is exactly as described in [Theorem 2.5.3](#), once one understands how \mathcal{P}_α^m behaves on higher homotopy groups, which is then as described in [Theorem 3.1.1](#). Putting everything together, we learn the following.

As before, write a_m for the class induced by the inclusion $S^1 \rightarrow S^{\rho_m}$ of fixed points, where ρ_m is the permutation representation of Σ_m .

3.2.1. Theorem. With notation as above,

- (1) The composite $a_m P_\alpha^m$ is additive. In particular, for $s \geq 0$ there are maps

$$Q_\alpha^m = a_m^t P_{t+\alpha}^m : E_2^{s+\alpha, t+\alpha} \rightarrow E_{2,m}^{s+\rho_m \otimes \alpha, t+\rho_m \otimes \alpha}.$$

- (2) $Q_\alpha^m(Z_r^{s+\alpha, t+\alpha}) \subset Z_{r,m}^{s+\rho_m \otimes \alpha, t+\rho_m \otimes \alpha}$ for $s \geq 0$;
(3) $Q_\alpha^m(B_r^{s+\alpha, t+\alpha}) \subset B_{r,m}^{s+\rho_m \otimes \alpha, t+\rho_m \otimes \alpha}$ for $s \geq -1$;
(4) For $x \in E_r^{s+\alpha, t+\alpha}$ with $s \geq 0$, we have

$$d_r(Q_\alpha^m(x)) = \begin{cases} Q_\alpha^m(d_r(x)), & t \geq 1; \\ Q_\alpha^m(d_r(x)) + \sum_{0 < i < m} \text{tr}_{\Sigma_{i,j}^m \wr G}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} (Q_\alpha^i(d_r(x)) \cdot Q_\alpha^{m-i}(x)), & s = t = 0. \end{cases}$$

- (5) If $x \in E_2^{\alpha, t+\alpha}$ is a permanent cycle detecting $f \in \pi_\alpha^G \lim_{j \in \beta} R(j)$, then $Q_\alpha^m(x)$ detects $P_\alpha^m(f) \in \pi_{\rho_m \otimes \alpha}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} \lim_{j \in \beta} \mathbb{P}^m R(j)$ modulo classes in higher filtration. \square

We now describe three specializations of [Theorem 3.2.1](#). The first is [Theorem 1.0.1](#). Let G be a finite group and R a G - \mathbb{E}_∞ ring. Let $K \subset G$ be a subgroup of index m and $\alpha \in RO(K)$, and consider the norm

$$P_\alpha : \pi_\alpha^K R \rightarrow \pi_{\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha}^G R.$$

This factors as

$$\pi_\alpha^K R \xrightarrow{P_\alpha^m} \pi_{\rho_m \otimes \alpha}^{\Sigma_m \wr K} \mathbb{P}^m R \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \pi_{\text{res}_{\Sigma_m \wr K}^G(\rho_m \otimes \alpha)}^G \mathbb{P}^m R \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\Sigma_m \wr K}^G} \pi_{\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha}^G N_K^G R \xrightarrow{N_K^G} \pi_{\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha}^G R.$$

Here, the restriction is along a suitable embedding $G \subset \Sigma_m \wr K$, and the final map is induced by the G - \mathbb{E}_∞ ring structure on R . The behavior of the first map with respect to HLSSs is what was described in [Theorem 3.2.1](#). The remaining maps are stable, and entirely compatible with HLSSs. Thus we may regard [Theorem 1.0.1](#) as a specialization of [Theorem 3.2.1](#).

For the second, let R be an ordinary \mathbb{E}_∞ ring. Then for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \geq 1$, the m th total power operation

$$P^m : \pi_n R = [S^n, R] \rightarrow [(S^n)_{\text{h}\Sigma_m}^{\otimes m}, R]$$

is the map induced on path components by the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}}(S^n, R) &\xrightarrow{P^m} \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}\Sigma_m}(S^{\rho_m \otimes n}, \mathbb{P}^m R) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{colim}} \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}}((S^n)_{\text{h}\Sigma_m}^{\otimes m}, R_{\text{h}\Sigma_m}^{\otimes m}) \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}}((S^n)_{\text{h}\Sigma_m}^{\otimes m}, R) \end{aligned}$$

The situation is analogous to the G - \mathbb{E}_∞ case.

For the third, let R be an ultracommutative ring spectrum in the sense of [[Sch18](#), Definition 5.1.1]. Then R is equipped with strictly Σ_m -equivariant maps $R^{\otimes m} \rightarrow R$ within the category of orthogonal spectra. Following [[Sch18](#), Theorem 4.5.25], we may produce from R the G -spectrum $U_G R$ by considering the orthogonal spectrum R as an orthogonal G -spectrum with trivial G -action. The Σ_m -equivariant maps $R^{\otimes m} \rightarrow R$ may then be considered as maps $\mathbb{P}^m U_G R \rightarrow U_{\Sigma_m \wr G} R$, and this yields norms

$$P_\alpha^m : \pi_\alpha^G R \rightarrow \pi_{\rho_m \otimes \alpha}^{\Sigma_m \wr G} R$$

for $\alpha \in RO(G)$. The situation is now analogous to the previous examples. See [Sch18, Chapter 5] [Sta21] for more on power operations in the global equivariant context, as well as [GM97] for earlier related material.

4. NORMS IN THE C_2 -EQUIVARIANT ADAMS SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

We now prove **Theorem 1.1.1**. As $H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2}$ is a C_2 - \mathbb{E}_∞ ring, so too is $H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2} \otimes H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2}$, and thus there are norms

$$N: \mathcal{A}_*^{\text{cl}} = \pi_*^e(H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2} \otimes H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2}) \rightarrow \pi_{*(1+\sigma)}^{C_2}(H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2} \otimes H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2}) = \mathcal{A}_{*(1+\sigma)}^{C_2}.$$

The main point of the proof is to understand these. We expect they may be known to the experts, but we could not locate a reference, so we give a computation. Let us fix some notation. Let $\mathbb{M}^{C_2} = \pi_* H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2}$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{M}^{C_2} = \mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho] \oplus NC, \quad NC = \mathbb{F}_2\left\{\frac{\gamma}{\rho^j \tau^k} : j \geq 1, k \geq 0\right\},$$

where

$$|\tau| = 1 - \sigma, \quad |\rho| = -\sigma, \quad |\gamma| = \sigma - 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{A}^{C_2} = \mathbb{M}^{C_2}[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \tau_0, \tau_1, \dots] / (\tau_i^2 + \tau \xi_{i+1} + \rho(\tau_0 \xi_{i+1} + \tau_{i+1})),$$

where

$$|\xi_i| = (2^i - 1)(1 + \sigma), \quad |\tau_i| = 2^i + (2^i - 1)\sigma.$$

4.0.1. Lemma. The group of primitives in \mathcal{A}^{C_2} is zero in degrees of the form $|\xi_n| = (2^n - 1)(1 + \sigma)$ for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Consider the C_2 -equivariant lambda algebra Λ^{C_2} [BCQ21, Remark 2.3.5]. This is a chain complex

$$\Lambda^{C_2}[0] \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \Lambda^{C_2}[1] \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \Lambda^{C_2}[2] \longrightarrow \dots,$$

quasiisomorphic to the cobar complex for \mathcal{A}^{C_2} , and the group of primitives in \mathcal{A}^{C_2} may be identified as $\text{Ker}(\delta_1)$. Given an element x in an $RO(C_2)$ -graded object with $|x| = a + b\sigma$, write $v(x) = a - b$. Observe that $\Lambda^{C_2}[1]$ is generated by elements of the form $\lambda_r x$ with $r \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{M}^{C_2}$. In internal algebraic degrees, we have

$$|\lambda_r| = \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 + \left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil \sigma.$$

It follows that

$$v(\xi_n) = 0, \quad v(\tau) = 2, \quad v(\rho) = 1, \quad v(\gamma) = -2, \quad v(\lambda_r) = \begin{cases} 1, & r \text{ even;} \\ 0, & r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Thus if $v(\lambda_r x) = v(\xi_n)$ then $x = 1$ and r is odd. The lemma follows as the only such elements in $\text{Ker}(\delta_1)$ are those of the form λ_{2^a-1} for $a \geq 1$, and these are not in the degree of any ξ_n for $n \geq 2$. \square

4.0.2. Proposition. The norm $N: \mathcal{A}_*^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{*(1+\sigma)}^{C_2}$ is a map of Hopf algebroids, given on generators by $N(\xi_n) = \xi_n$. In other words, N agrees with the map P of Eq. (3).

Proof. As transfers vanish in $\pi_* H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2}$, they vanish in $\pi_*(H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2} \otimes H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2})$ as well. It follows that N is additive, so by naturality gives a map of Hopf algebroids. We induct on n to show $N(\xi_n) = \xi_n$ for $n \geq 1$.

When $n = 1$, the class $N(\xi_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{1+\sigma}^{C_2}$ must lift ξ_1^2 under the forgetful map $\mathcal{A}_{1+\sigma}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2^{\text{cl}}$, and $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{1+\sigma}^{C_2}$ is the only possibility. So let $n \geq 2$ and suppose we have verified $N(\xi_i) = \xi_i$ for all $i < n$. As N is a map of Hopf algebroids, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(N(\xi_n)) &= N(\Delta(\xi_n)) = N\left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} \xi_{n-i}^{2^i} \otimes \xi_i\right) \\ &= N(\xi_n) \otimes 1 + \sum_{0 < i < n} N(\xi_{n-i})^{2^i} \otimes N(\xi_i) + 1 \otimes N(\xi_n) \\ &= N(\xi_n) \otimes 1 + \sum_{0 < i < n} \xi_{n-i}^{2^i} \otimes \xi_i + 1 \otimes N(\xi_n), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is an application of our inductive hypothesis. It follows that the difference $N(\xi_n) - \xi_n$ is primitive, and thus $N(\xi_n) = \xi_n$ by [Lemma 4.0.1](#). \square

We have now all but given the following.

Proof of [Theorem 1.1.1](#). Consider the HLSS associated to the canonical resolution

$$S_{C_2} \rightarrow \lim_{n \in \Delta} (H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2})^{\otimes n+1}.$$

This yields the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence upon taking fixed points, and the classical Adams spectral sequence upon taking underlying spectra. This puts us squarely in the context of [Theorem 1.0.1](#), which tells us that $\text{Sq}: \pi_* S \rightarrow \pi_{*(1+\sigma)} S_{C_2}$ is modeled in filtration f by $\rho^f N$, with N the norm for $(H\mathbb{F}_2^{C_2})^{\otimes f+1}$. These are in turn determined by the case $f = 1$, i.e. by the norms

$$N: \mathcal{A}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{*(1+\sigma)}^{C_2}.$$

The theorem follows as $N = P$ by [Proposition 4.0.2](#). \square

5. NORMS ON π_0 OF THE EQUIVARIANT KU -LOCAL SPHERE

We now consider [Theorem 1.1.4](#) and related matters.

5.1. Preliminaries. We begin by recalling some background on equivariant K -theory. Fix for now a finite group G , and write KU_G for the G -equivariant spectrum of G -equivariant complex K -theory. Equivariant Bott periodicity takes the following form: If $V \in RU(G)$ is a virtual complex G -representation, then there is an invertible *Bott class*

$$\beta^V \in KU_G^0(S^V).$$

As usual there are two natural choices of Bott classes, related by complex conjugation. With notation from [\[Ati68\]](#), we shall take our Bott classes to be defined by $\beta^V = \lambda_V$ when V is a G -representation. In particular, $\beta = \beta^{\mathbb{C}} = 1 - \mathcal{L} \in KU^0(S^2) = \pi_2 KU$, where $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow S^2$ is the canonical line bundle. It is this choice that is well behaved with respect to power operations in K -theory (see [Lemma 5.1.1](#)).

If V is a complex G -representation, then the *Euler class* $e(V) \in RU(G)$ of V , in the sense of [\[tD79, Chapter 7\]](#), is defined as the image of β^V under the map

$$KU_G^0(S^V) \rightarrow KU_G(S^0) \cong RU(G)$$

given by restriction along the inclusion of poles $S^0 \rightarrow S^V$. We will discuss these further in [Subsection 5.3](#).

Now let $\mathfrak{G}lob$ denote the homotopy theory of global equivariant spectra with respect to the family of finite groups, formalized as in [\[Sch18\]](#), and let \mathbf{KU} be the global spectrum of equivariant complex K -theory constructed in [\[Sch18, Section 6.4\]](#). This is a refinement of the G -equivariant spectra KU_G , in the sense that there are symmetric monoidal functors $U_G: \mathfrak{G}lob \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}^G$ and $KU_G \simeq U_G \mathbf{KU}$. For our purposes, we may take this as the *definition* of the G -spectra KU_G , to be assured that the G - \mathbb{E}_∞ structure on KU_G is compatible with the ultracommutative ring structure of \mathbf{KU} ; it is not obvious whether the G - \mathbb{E}_∞ structure on KU_G is unique, see for instance [\[BHIKM21\]](#).

The ultracommutative ring structure on \mathbf{KU} gives rise to maps $\mathbb{P}^m KU_G \rightarrow KU_{\Sigma_m \wr G}$, and this in turn induces power operations of the following form: if X is a G -space, then $X^{\times m}$ is naturally a $\Sigma_m \wr G$ -space, and there are power operations

$$P^m: KU_G^0(X_+) \rightarrow KU_{\Sigma_m \wr G}^0(X_+^{\times m}).$$

If X is a based G -space, then one may instead consider

$$P^m: KU_G^0(X) \rightarrow KU_{\Sigma_m \wr G}^0(X^{\wedge m}).$$

We round out this discussion by noting the following.

5.1.1. Lemma. If V is a virtual complex G -representation, then

$$P^m(\beta^V) = \beta^{\rho_m \otimes V} \in KU_{\Sigma_m \wr G}^0(S^{\rho_m \otimes V}).$$

Proof. This is essentially classical, so let us just sketch how the pieces fit together. By multiplicativity, we may reduce to the case where V is a complex G -representation. The proof of [\[Sch18, Theorems 6.3.32\(iii\)\]](#) extends to show that if X is a G -space and $E \in KU_G^0(X_+)$ is the class of a vector bundle, then $P^m E \in KU_{\Sigma_m \wr G}^0(X_+^{\times m})$ is the class of the external tensor power $E^{\boxtimes m}$. Put another way, the power operations arising from the ultracommutative ring structure on \mathbf{KU} agree with the classic power operations constructed by Atiyah in [\[Ati66\]](#). At this point, with notation from [\[Ati68\]](#), one computes that $P^m(\beta^V) = P^m(\lambda_V) = \lambda_V^{\otimes m} = \lambda_{\rho_m \otimes V} = \beta^{\rho_m \otimes V}$. This is where we have used our choice of Bott classes, as for example $P^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^*) = -\lambda_{\rho_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}}^*$, still with notation from [\[Ati68\]](#). \square

5.2. The main proposition. In the appendix ([Proposition A.4.6](#)), we verify that the Bousfield localization $L_{\mathbf{KU}} S \in \mathfrak{G}lob$ is an ultracommutative ring spectrum, refining the G -spectra $L_{KU_G} S_G$ for all finite groups G . Moreover, this Bousfield localization may be computed as a nilpotent completion:

$$L_{\mathbf{KU}} S \simeq \lim_{n \in \Delta} \mathbf{KU}^{\otimes n+1}. \tag{16}$$

The same is true for each $L_{KU_G} S_G$, and in fact [Eq. \(16\)](#) is sent by the forgetful functors $U_G: \mathfrak{G}lob \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}^G$ to the corresponding limit in \mathbf{Sp}^G . Now define

$$L = \pi_0 L_{\mathbf{KU}} S.$$

Then L is a global power functor for the family of finite groups in the sense of [\[Sch18, Chapter 5\]](#). This means that for each finite group G we are given an abelian group $L(G)$, together with restrictions along arbitrary homomorphisms, transfers along injective homomorphisms, external pairings $L(G) \otimes L(K) \rightarrow L(G \times K)$, and power operations

$$P_G^m: L(G) \rightarrow L(\Sigma_m \wr G),$$

all subject to a number of compatibilities. When the group G is clear from context, we shall write $P^m = P_G^m$. The fact that $L_{\mathbf{KU}}S$ is a global refinement of the G -spectra $L_{KU_G}S_G$ implies that we may identify

$$L(G) = \pi_0^G L_{KU_G}S_G.$$

Each $\pi_0 L_{KU_G}S_G$ is a G -Tambara functor, and this is contained in the global power structure of L . In short, if $K \subseteq G$ is a subgroup of index m , then the norm $N_K^G: L(K) \rightarrow L(G)$ is recovered by postcomposing $P_K^m: L(K) \rightarrow L(\Sigma_m \wr K)$ with restriction along a suitable embedding $G \rightarrow \Sigma_m \wr K$. See [Sch18, Remark 5.17] for a more detailed discussion.

We do not know the value of $L(G)$ in general, even as a mere abelian group. When G is an odd p -group, $L(G) \cong R\mathbb{Q}(G)[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2, 2\epsilon)$ [BGS22, Theorem 1.1, Proposition 6.7]. It is also not hard to show directly that the same is true for $G = C_2$. It seems plausible that $L(G)$ might be approachable via an analysis of the KU_G -based Adams spectral sequence. We shall not attempt to carry out any such analysis here, but for the interested reader point out that the descent from KU_G to KO_G is fully described in [MNN17, Example 9.19], and it may be fruitful to start with KO_G rather than KU_G .

As L is equipped with restrictions along arbitrary homomorphisms, the sequence $e \rightarrow G \rightarrow e$ shows that, for every group G , the ring $L(G)$ is an augmented $L(e)$ -algebra. We may identify $L(e)$ explicitly as

$$L(e) = \pi_0 L_{KU}S = \mathbb{Z}[\epsilon]/(2\epsilon, \epsilon^2).$$

In particular, the class ϵ resides in $L(G)$ for any group G , and we would like to understand how power operations behave on ϵ . Observe that

$$P_G^m(\epsilon) = P^m(\text{res}_G^e(\epsilon)) = \text{res}_{\Sigma_m \wr G}^{\Sigma_m}(P_e^m(\epsilon)).$$

Thus, to determine $P_G^m(\epsilon)$, it suffices to consider the case where $G = e$, at least once the underlying global Mackey functor of L is known. Although we have not computed $L(\Sigma_m)$, we can say the following.

Write $\bar{\rho}_m^{\mathbb{C}}$ for the reduced complex permutation representation of Σ_m .

5.2.1. Proposition. The class $P^m(\epsilon) \in L(\Sigma_m)$ is detected in the KU_{Σ_m} -based Adams spectral sequence by $e(\bar{\rho}_m^{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \epsilon$.

Proof. Consider the \mathbf{KU} -based Adams spectral sequence. This is the HLSS associated to the canonical resolution

$$L_{\mathbf{KU}}S \simeq \lim_{n \in \Delta} \mathbf{KU}^{\otimes n+1},$$

and gives, for every finite group G and $\alpha \in RO(G)$, the KU_G -based Adams spectral sequence of signature

$${}^G E_1^{s+\alpha, t+\alpha} = \pi_{t+\alpha}^G(KU_G^{\otimes t-s+1}) \Rightarrow \pi_{s+\alpha}^G L_{KU_G}S_G,$$

compatible with all restrictions and transfers.

When $G = e$, this is the nonequivariant KU -based Adams spectral sequence. The class $\epsilon \in \pi_0 L_{KU}S$ is detected by some class $\tilde{\epsilon} \in {}^e E_2^{0,2}$ in filtration 2. It follows from [Theorem 3.2.1](#) that $P^m(\epsilon)$ is detected by $Q(\tilde{\epsilon}) \in {}^{\Sigma_m} E_2^{0,2}$, where $Q: {}^e E_2^{0,2} \rightarrow {}^{\Sigma_m} E_2^{0,2}$ is induced by

$$a_m^2 P_2^m: \pi_2^e KU \rightarrow \pi_{\bar{\rho}_m^{\mathbb{C}}}^{\Sigma_m} KU_{\Sigma_m} \rightarrow \pi_2^{\Sigma_m} KU_{\Sigma_m}.$$

By [Lemma 5.1.1](#), we may identify this as

$$\mathbb{Z}\{\beta\} \rightarrow RU(\Sigma_m)\{\beta^{\rho_m^{\mathbb{C}}}\} \rightarrow RU(\Sigma_m)\{\beta\},$$

where the first map acts by $\beta \mapsto \beta^{\rho_m^{\mathbb{C}}}$ and the second map acts by $\beta^{\rho_m^{\mathbb{C}}} \mapsto e(\bar{\rho}_m^{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \beta$. More succinctly, the map Q is given by multiplication with $e(\bar{\rho}_m^{\mathbb{C}})$, and the proposition follows. \square

5.3. Euler classes. To translate from [Proposition 5.2.1](#) to [Theorem 1.1.4](#), we must recall some information about Euler classes. Let G be a finite group. Given a complex G -representation V , the Euler class $e(V)$ may be identified explicitly as

$$e(V) = \sum_n (-1)^n \Lambda^n(V) \in RU(G).$$

This follows from the definition of $e(V)$ and the construction of the Bott class β^V , see for instance [\[AT69, IV §1\]](#). In particular, write $\text{Cl}(G; \mathbb{C})$ for the ring of class functions on G , and for $V \in RU(G)$ write $\chi(V, -) \in \text{Cl}(G; \mathbb{C})$ for its character. For a complex G -representation V and $g \in G$, write $f(V, g)(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ for the characteristic polynomial of the linear map $g: V \rightarrow V$. Then we obtain the following identification of the character of an Euler class.

5.3.1. Lemma. Let V be a complex G -representation. Then $\chi(e(V), g) = f(V, g)(1)$.

Proof. The claim is that the characteristic polynomial of $g: V \rightarrow V$ evaluated at 1 agrees with the alternating sum of the traces of $g: \Lambda^n V \rightarrow \Lambda^n V$. This is a standard fact from linear algebra, see for instance [\[Bou48, §8, no. 11\]](#). \square

Given a finite G -set X , let

$$\tilde{\mathbb{C}}[X] = \text{Coker}(\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X])$$

be the associated reduced permutation representation, and set

$$e(X) = e(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}[X]) \in RU(G).$$

We then have the following.

5.3.2. Proposition.

- (1) Given a finite G -set X , we have $e(X) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists some $g \in G$ such that the cyclic group $\langle g \rangle$ acts transitively on X .
- (2) Let p be a prime, and suppose that $K \subseteq G$ is a normal subgroup such that G/K is cyclic of order p^n . Let $N \subseteq G$ be the unique subgroup of index p containing K . Then $e(G/K) = p^{n-1}(p\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{C}[G/N])$.
- (3) In particular, in the situation of (2), if p is odd then $e(G/K) \equiv \tilde{\mathbb{C}}[G/N] \pmod{2}$.

Proof. (1) Given $g \in G$, we may identify

$$f(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}[X], g)(t) = \frac{f(\mathbb{C}[X], g)(t)}{1-t}.$$

It follows from [Lemma 5.3.1](#) that $\chi(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}[X], g) \neq 0$ if and only if 1 is not a repeated root of $f(\mathbb{C}[X], g)(t)$. The element g acts on $\mathbb{C}[X]$ by a permutation matrix, and an elementary computation shows that this holds if and only if g acts transitively on X . The claim follows as $e(X) \neq 0$ if and only if $\chi(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}[X], g) \neq 0$ for some $g \in G$.

(2) Write $q: G \rightarrow G/K \cong C_{p^n}$. Then $e(G/K) = q^*e(C_{p^n})$, so we may reduce to the case where $K = e$ and $G = C_{p^n}$. An elementary computation, following the ideas in (1), shows that

$$\chi(e(C_{p^n}), g) = \begin{cases} p^n & \text{if } g \text{ generates } C_{p^n}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A second elementary computation shows that $p^{n-1}(p\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{C}[C_{p^n}/C_{p^{n-1}}])$ has the same character, implying that $e(C_{p^n}) = p^{n-1}(p\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{C}[C_{p^n}/C_{p^{n-1}}])$ as claimed.

- (3) If p is odd, then $p^{n-1}(p\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{C}[G/N]) \equiv \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{C}[G/N] \equiv \tilde{\mathbb{C}}[G/N] \pmod{2}$ in $RU(G)$. \square

5.4. **The proof of Theorem 1.1.4.** Note the following immediate corollary of [Proposition 5.2.1](#) and the interaction between the power operations P^m and the norms N_K^G .

5.4.1. **Corollary** (of [Proposition 5.2.1](#)). Let $K \subseteq G$ be a subgroup. Then $N_K^G(\epsilon) \in L(G)$ is detected in the KU_G -based Adams spectral sequence by $e(G/K) \cdot \epsilon$. \square

We are now in a position to prove [Theorem 1.1.4](#). Let us again recall the main players. Fix an odd prime p . In [[BGS22](#), Theorem 1.1, Proposition 6.7], Bonventre–Guillou–Stapleton prove that if G is a p -group, then there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_0 L_{KU_G} S_G \cong \underline{RQ}[\epsilon]/(2\epsilon, \epsilon^2)$$

of Green functors, where \underline{RQ} is the Green functor whose value at a subgroup $K \subseteq G$ is the rational representation ring $RQ(K)$. In our context, this says that if G is any p -group, then

$$L(G) \cong RQ(G)[\epsilon]/(2\epsilon, \epsilon^2). \quad (17)$$

This easily extends to an identification of the restriction of the global Green functor L to the family of p -groups. We now give the following.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.4. Consider the norm

$$N_K^G: RQ(K)[\epsilon]/(2\epsilon, \epsilon^2) \rightarrow RQ(G)[\epsilon]/(2\epsilon, \epsilon^2).$$

By [Corollary 5.4.1](#), $N_K^G(\epsilon)$ is detected in the KU_G -based Adams spectral sequence by $e(G/K) \cdot \epsilon$. As all elements of $RQ(G)[\epsilon]/(2\epsilon, \epsilon^2)$ are detected either on the 0-line, as an element of $RQ(G)$, or on the 2-line, as the product of an element of $RQ(G)$ with ϵ , we may deduce that $N_K^G(\epsilon) = e(G/K) \cdot \epsilon$ on the nose. The final claims regarding the case where $K \subseteq G$ is normal follow from [Proposition 5.3.2](#). \square

6. POWER OPERATIONS FOR THE $K(1)$ -LOCAL SPHERE

This section carries out the computation promised in [Theorem 1.1.6](#).

6.1. **Generalities on power operations.** We begin by recalling some basic properties of power operations, cf. [[BMMS86](#), Chapter VIII]. Fix a prime p , and for a spectrum R define

$$\pi_{s,w} b(R) = [\Sigma^{s-pw} (S^w)_{\mathfrak{h}\Sigma_p}^{\otimes p}, R] = R^{(p-1)w-s} \mathrm{Th}(w\bar{\rho}_p \downarrow B\Sigma_p) = \pi_{s-pw+wp} F(E\Sigma_p, i_* R).$$

These are all different names for the same object; the third term is the R -cohomology of the Thom spectrum of a multiple of the reduced permutation representation $\bar{\rho}_p$ of Σ_p , and the fourth term is a piece of the Σ_p -equivariant spectrum obtained as the Borel construction on R with trivial action. There are maps

$$\begin{aligned} a: \pi_{s,w} b(R) &\rightarrow \pi_{s-(p-1)w, w-1} b(R), & i: \pi_s R &\rightarrow \pi_{s,0} b(R), \\ \mathrm{res}_w: \pi_{s,w} b(R) &\rightarrow \pi_s R, & \mathrm{tr}_w: \pi_s R &\rightarrow \pi_{s,w} b(R), \end{aligned}$$

given by multiplication with the Euler class of $\bar{\rho}_p$, inflation, restriction, and transfer. We shall write $\mathrm{tr} = \mathrm{tr}_w$ and $\mathrm{res} = \mathrm{res}_w$ when w is clear from context, and shall use i to regard $\pi_* R$ as a subobject of $\pi_{*,0} b(R)$.

Now suppose that R is a p -local \mathbb{E}_∞ ring. Then the pair $(\pi_* R, \pi_{*,*} b(R))$ is a good device for understanding power operations on R . The p th total power operation for R takes the form

$$P: \pi_n R \rightarrow \pi_{pn,n} b(R),$$

and the behavior of P may be encoded in structure present on $\pi_{*,*} b(R)$, as we now recall.

First, $\pi_{*,*}b(R)$ is a bigraded ring, and P is multiplicative, i.e. $P(xy) = P(x)P(y)$ for $x \in \pi_n R$ and $y \in \pi_m R$. Second, define

$$h[w] = \frac{\mathrm{tr}_w(1)}{(p-1)!} \in \pi_{0,w}R,$$

and abbreviate $h = h[0]$. These elements satisfy

$$a \cdot h[w] = 0.$$

Let $C(x, y) = p^{-1}((x+y)^p - x^p - y^p)$. Then for $x, y \in \pi_n R$, we have

$$P(x+y) = P(x) + C(x, y) \cdot h[n] + P(y).$$

In particular, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$P(k) = k - \frac{k - k^p}{p} h. \quad (18)$$

Third, we note that aP is additive, and if R arises as a limit of \mathbb{E}_∞ rings then P is modeled in filtration f of the associated HLSS by $a^f P$, as described in [Theorem 3.2.1](#).

6.2. Morava E -theory. Let E be a Morava E -theory with formal group $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} E_0$. See [\[Pet18\]](#) for a textbook reference. We wish to describe the general shape of $\pi_{*,*}b(E)$. Let

$$\omega = \pi_2 E, \quad R = \pi_{0,0}b(E) = E^0 B\Sigma_p, \quad L = \pi_{2(p-1),2}b(E) = E^0 \mathrm{Th}(\mathbb{C} \otimes \bar{\rho}_p).$$

Then R is a commutative E_0 -algebra and L is an invertible R -module. Writing $L^n = L^{\otimes_R n}$, we have the following picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{a^2} & L^{-1} \\ \downarrow & \searrow a & \uparrow a \\ R/(h) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_{-(p-1),-1}E \end{array} .$$

That the Euler class a annihilates h is standard, and that the resulting map $R/(h) \rightarrow \pi_{-(p-1),-1}b(E)$ is an isomorphism may be found in dual form in [\[Rez09, Proposition 7.3\]](#). Note in particular that postcomposing the product on $R/(h)$ with a gives a map

$$R/(h) \otimes R/(h) \rightarrow R/(h) \rightarrow L^{-1}. \quad (19)$$

6.2.1. Lemma. There are isomorphisms

$$\pi_{2a(p-1)+2b,2a}b(E) = \omega^b \otimes_{E_0} L^a,$$

$$\pi_{(2a+1)(p-1)+2b,2a+1}b(E) = \omega^b \otimes_{E_0} L^a \otimes_R R/(h),$$

all other degrees being zero. The ring structure is induced by the canonical isomorphisms $(\omega^b \otimes_{E_0} L^a) \otimes_R (\omega^{b'} \otimes_{E_0} L^{a'}) \cong \omega^{b+b'} \otimes_{E_0} L^{a+a'}$, applying the Euler class as in [Eq. \(19\)](#) when needed.

Proof. The Morava E -theory of $B\Sigma_p$ is concentrated in even degrees [\[HKR00, Theorem E\]](#). The lemma then combines the above discussion with the Thom isomorphisms $\pi_{(a+2a')(p-1)+2(b+b'),(a+2a')}b(E) \cong \pi_{a(p-1)+2b,a}b(E) \otimes_R \pi_{2a'(p-1)+2b,2a'}b(E)$. \square

6.2.2. Proposition. The Adams operation ψ^k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ acts on L^a by multiplication with $(1 - \frac{1}{p}(1 - k^{a(p-1)})h)$.

Proof. First we consider the case $a = 0$, where $L^0 = R = E^0 B\Sigma_p$. Here we are claiming that ψ^k acts trivially on $E^0 B\Sigma_p$. By Strickland's theorem [Str98], $R/(h)$ is the E_0 -algebra classifying rank p subgroups of \mathbb{G} . The Adams operation ψ^k corresponds to the automorphism $[k]: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ defined over $\mathrm{Spf} E_0$. This fixes all subgroups of \mathbb{G} , and so ψ^k acts trivially on $R/(h)$. As the transfer is split $K(n)$ -locally [CM17], it follows that ψ^k acts trivially on R .

Now consider general a . As ψ^k acts on ω^a by multiplication with k^a , it suffices to show that ψ^k acts on $\omega^a \otimes L^a$ by multiplication with $k^a(1 - \frac{1}{p}(1 - k^{a(p-1)})h)$. Observe that this is exactly the element $P(k^a)$ seen in Eq. (18). Choose a generator $u \in \omega$, so that we have $P(u^a) \in \omega^a \otimes_{E_0} L^a$. As $P(u^a) \cdot P(u^{-a}) = P(1) = 1$, we find that $P(u^a)$ gives a trivialization of the invertible R -module $\omega^a \otimes_{E_0} L^a$. As ψ^k acts trivially on R , it thus suffices to show that $\psi^k(P(u^a)) = P(k^a)P(u^a)$. Indeed, as ψ^k acts on E by \mathbb{E}_∞ automorphisms, we have

$$\psi^k(P(u^a)) = P(\psi^k(u^a)) = P(k^a u^a) = P(k^a)P(u^a)$$

as needed. \square

Now write KU_p for the spectrum of p -adic complex K -theory. We consider complex K -theory to be oriented as described in Subsection 5.1.

6.2.3. Proposition. Let $\tau^{-2} \in \pi_{0,2}b(KU_p)$ be the Thom class of $\overline{\rho}_p^{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e. the Bott class of $\rho_p^{\mathbb{C}} - p\mathbb{C}$, and abbreviate $d = a^2\beta\tau^{-2} \in \pi_{0,0}b(KU_p)$. Then $h = p - d$ and

$$\pi_{*,*}b(KU_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[\beta^{\pm 1}, \tau^{\pm 2}, a]/(ah).$$

The Adams operation ψ^k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ acts by ring automorphisms, and is determined by

$$\psi^k(\beta) = k\beta, \quad \psi^k(\tau^2) = \tau^2(1 + \frac{1}{p}(k^{p-1} - 1)d)$$

Power operations are determined by general properties and

$$P(\beta) = \beta^p \tau^{-2}.$$

Proof. Restriction along $C_p \subset \Sigma_p$ identifies $KU_p^0 B\Sigma_p$ as the subring of $KU_p^0 BC_p$ fixed under the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(C_p)$. It follows quickly that h is the image of the permutation representation $\rho_p^{\mathbb{C}}$ under the completion map $R(\Sigma_p) \rightarrow KU_p^0 B\Sigma_p$, and that

$$KU_p^0 B\Sigma_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[h]/(h^2 - ph).$$

On the other hand, $d = a^2\beta\tau^{-2}$ is the Euler class of $\overline{\rho}_p^{\mathbb{C}}$. By Proposition 5.3.2, both d and $p - h$ have the same image in $KU_p^0 BC_p$, and thus $d = p - h$ in $KU_p^0 B\Sigma_p$. It follows that

$$KU_p^0 B\Sigma_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[d]/(dh).$$

The full identification of $\pi_{*,*}KU_p$ then follows from the recipe of Lemma 6.2.1, where now we have fixed trivializations $\beta^{a(p-1)+b}\tau^{-2a} \in \omega^b \otimes_{E_0} L^a$. The action of the Adams operations was given in Proposition 6.2.2. The identity $P(\beta) = \beta^p \tau^{-2}$ was given in Lemma 5.1.1. In this Borel context, it may also be regarded as a consequence of the fact that the map $MUP \rightarrow KU$ classifying our choice of complex orientations is \mathbb{H}_∞ . \square

6.3. Odd primes. Let p be an odd prime and fix a topological generator $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Then there is a fiber sequence

$$S_{K(1)} \longrightarrow KU_p \xrightarrow{\psi^k - 1} KU_p. \quad (20)$$

We can use this to easily compute power operations for $S_{K(1)}$. For a spectrum X and class $x \in KU_p^n X$, write $[x] \in S_{K(1)}^{n+1} X$ for the image of x under the boundary map associated to Eq. (20). We then have

$$\pi_0 S_{K(1)} = \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad \pi_{2n-1} S_{K(1)} = \mathbb{Z}_p / (k^n - 1) \{[\beta^n]\},$$

all other groups being zero. So it suffices to compute $P([\beta^n]) \in \pi_{(2n-1)p, 2n-1} b(S_{K(1)})$.

6.3.1. Lemma. We have

$$\pi_{(2n-1)p, 2n-1} b(S_{K(1)}) = \mathbb{Z}_p / (k^n - 1) \{[a\beta^{pn}\tau^{-2n}]\}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.2.3, we have

$$\pi_{*, 2n-1} b(KU_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[\beta^{\pm 1}] \{a\beta^{pn}\tau^{-2n}\}, \quad \psi^k(a\beta^{pn}\tau^{-2n}) = k^n a\beta^{pn}\tau^{-2n}.$$

The lemma then follows from Eq. (20). \square

6.3.2. Theorem. The p th total power operation

$$P: \pi_{2n-1} S_{K(1)} \rightarrow \pi_{(2n-1)p, 2n-1} b(S_{K(1)})$$

is additive, and satisfies

$$P([\beta^n]) = [a\beta^{pn}u^{-n}].$$

Proof. The long exact sequence associated to the fibering of Eq. (20) can be interpreted as the HFPSS

$$H^*(\mathbb{Z}\{\psi^k\}; KU_p) \Rightarrow \pi_* KU_p^{h\mathbb{Z}\{\psi^k\}} \cong \pi_* S_{K(1)}.$$

As $P(\beta^n) = \beta^{pn}\tau^{-2n}$, it follows from Theorem 3.2.1 that $P(k[\beta^n])$ is detected in the HFPSS by $k[a\beta^{pn}\tau^{-2n}]$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As there is nothing in higher filtration, we must have $P(k[\beta^n]) = k[a\beta^{pn}\tau^{-2n}]$ on the nose. \square

6.4. Even primes. Now consider $p = 2$. There is a fiber sequence

$$S_{K(1)} \longrightarrow KO_2 \xrightarrow{\psi^3 - 1} KO_2, \quad (21)$$

and we may compute power operations for $S_{K(1)}$ following the same approach as for odd primes, only by descent from KO_2 rather than KU_p . We begin by recalling the structure of the former. Write $\eta_{C_2} \in \pi_{1,1} b(S)$ for the C_2 -equivariant Hopf map. This is characterized by

$$h = 2 + a\eta_{C_2}.$$

Also write $\eta_{cl} \in \pi_1 S \subset \pi_{1,0} b(S)$ for the nonequivariant Hopf map.

6.4.1. Lemma. Write

$$\pi_* KO_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2[\beta^{\pm 4}, 2\beta^2, \eta_{cl}] / (2 \cdot \eta_{cl}, 2\beta^4 \cdot \eta_{cl}, \eta_{cl}^3, (2\beta^2)^2 - 4\beta^2).$$

Then

$$\pi_{*,*} b(KO_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[\beta^{\pm 4}, \tau^{\pm 4}, a, \eta_{C_2}, \tau^2 h, 2\beta^2, \beta^2 \tau^2 h, \eta_{cl}] / I,$$

where I is generated by a number of relations, including $\rho \cdot \tau^{-2} \beta^2 h = \eta_{C_2} \eta_{cl}^2$. The Adams operation ψ^3 fixes all torsion classes, and otherwise is determined by the map $\pi_{*,*} b(KO_2) \rightarrow \pi_{*,*} b(KU_2)$, which sends to classes to classes of the same name, only where moreover $\eta_{cl} \mapsto 0$ and $\eta_{C_2} \mapsto -a\beta\tau^{-2}$. The norms $P: \pi_n KO_2 \rightarrow \pi_{2n,n} KO_2$ are determined by general properties and

$$P(\beta^4) = \beta^8 \tau^{-8}, \quad P(2\beta^2) = (4 + a\eta_{C_2}) \beta^4 \tau^{-4}, \quad P(\eta_{cl}) = \eta_{cl} \eta_{C_2}.$$

Proof. See for instance [Bal21] or [Bal22]; for the former note $\pi_{s,w}b(KO_2) = \pi_{s,s-w}\nu(KO)$ and $\eta_{C_2} = -\eta_0$, and for the latter note $\pi_{s,w}KO_2 = \pi_{(s-w)+w\sigma}KO_{C_2} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\eta_{C_2} = -\eta_\sigma$. \square

One may compute the groups $\pi_{*,*}b(S_{K(1)})$ from this, using Eq. (21). This computation was also carried out in [Bal21], but our situation is much simpler: the hard work there was to pin down the ring structure on $\pi_{*,*}b(S_{K(1)})$, which we don't need, and even for the additive structure we need only the particular groups $\pi_{2n,n}S_{K(1)}$. Because we need details of the computation, it is easier to just proceed directly.

Write $[x]$ for classes in $S_{K(1)}$ -cohomology detected in the boundary of Eq. (21). Define

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= [\beta^{4n}] \in \pi_{8n-1}S_{K(1)}, & y_n &= [2\beta^{4n+2}] \in \pi_{8n+3}S_{K(1)}, & z_n &= \beta^{4n}\eta_{cl} \in \pi_{8n+1}S_{K(1)}, \\ p_n &= [\tau^{-4n}\beta^{4n}] \in \pi_{8n-1,4n}b(S_{K(1)}), & q_n &= \tau^{-4n}z_n \in \pi_{8n+1,4n}b(S_{K(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

Here, a choice must be made; in writing $z_n = \beta^{4n}\eta_{cl}$, we mean that z_n is some class detected by $\beta^{4n}\eta_{cl} \in \pi_{8n+1}KO_2$, and there are two such classes.

6.4.2. Lemma. The nonzero homotopy groups of $S_{K(1)}$ are $\pi_0S_{K(1)} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta_{cl}x_0\}$, and otherwise

$$\pi_iS_{K(1)} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2/(3^{4a}-1)\{x_n\} & i = 8n-7; \\ \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta_{cl}x_n\} & i = 8n; \\ \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta_{cl}^2x_n, z_n\} & i = 8n+1; \\ \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta_{cl}z_n\} & i = 8n+2; \\ \mathbb{Z}/(8)\{y_n\} & i = 8n+3. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\pi_{2i,i}b(S_{K(1)}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2/(3^{2n}-1)\{ap_n\} & i = 4n-1; \\ \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta_{cl}p_n, a\eta_{cl}\eta_{C_2}p_n\} & i = 4n; \\ \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta_{cl}^2\eta_{C_2}p_n, q_n\eta_{C_2}\} & i = 4n+1; \\ 0 & i = 4n+2; \end{cases}$$

only with an additional summand of the form $\mathbb{Z}_2\{1, a\eta_{C_2}\}$ in $\pi_{0,0}b(S_{K(1)})$.

Proof. These follow by a direct computation from Eq. (21), only one must verify that $\pi_{8n+1}S_{K(1)} \neq \mathbb{Z}/(4)$ and $\pi_{8n+2,4n+1}S_{K(1)} \neq \mathbb{Z}/(4)$, for which we cite [Rav84, Theorem 8.15] and [Bal21, Lemma 3.3.3]. \square

6.4.3. Theorem. The symmetric squares

$$P: \pi_nS_{K(1)} \rightarrow \pi_{2n,n}S_{K(1)}$$

are additive for $n \neq 0$, and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_n) &= ap_{2n} \\ P(\eta_{cl}x_n) &= a\eta_{cl}\eta_{C_2}p_{2n} \\ P(\eta_{cl}^2x_n) &= 0 \\ P(z_n) &\in q_{2n}\eta_{C_2} + \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta_{cl}^2\eta_{C_2}p_{2n}\} \\ P(\eta_{cl}z_n) &= 0 \\ P(y_n) &= 2ap_{2n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$P(\eta_{cl}) = \eta_{cl}\eta_{C_2}(1 + \eta_{cl}x_0).$$

Proof. Both $P(x_n)$ and $P(y_n)$ may be computed along the same lines as the odd-primary case. Combining [Theorem 3.2.1](#) and [Lemma 6.4.1](#), we find

$$P(x_n) = P([\beta^{4n}]) = [a\beta^{8n}\tau^{-8n}] = ap_{2n}$$

and

$$P(y_n) = P([2\beta^{4n+2}]) = [a(2+h)\beta^{8n+4}\tau^{-8n-4}] = 2a[\beta^{8n+4}\tau^{-8n-4}] = 2ap_{2n+1}.$$

That $P(z_n) \in q_{2n}\eta_{C_2} + \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta_{\text{cl}}^2\eta_{C_2}p_{2n}\}$ follows by comparison with KO_2 . Despite the indeterminacy, this is sufficient to deduce the remaining values of P by multiplicativity. We have been unable to resolve this indeterminacy in general, but can describe what happens in the case $n = 0$.

There is a Hurewicz map $\pi_{*,*}S_{C_2} \rightarrow \pi_{*,*}S_{K(1)}$ from the C_2 -equivariant stable stems, compatible with all power operations, sending a C_2 -equivariant map $f: S^{a+b\sigma} \rightarrow S^0$ to the induced map $(S^{a+b\sigma})_{hC_2} \simeq \Sigma^{a-b}(S^b)_{h\Sigma_2}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow S \rightarrow S_{K(1)}$. In $\pi_{*,*}S_{C_2}$, we have

$$P(\eta_{\text{cl}}) = \eta_{\text{cl}}\eta_{C_2} + a\nu_{C_2},$$

where $\nu_{C_2} \in \pi_{3,2}S_{C_2}$ is the C_2 -equivariant quaternionic Hopf fibration ([Example 1.1.2](#); note $a = \rho$). As $S_{K(1)}$ detects the nonequivariant quaternionic Hopf fibration, $b(S_{K(1)})$ must detect ν_{C_2} . We may compute from [Eq. \(21\)](#) and [Lemma 6.4.1](#) that

$$\pi_{3,2}b(S_{K(1)}) = \mathbb{Z}/(8)\{[\tau^{-2}\beta^2h]\},$$

and so the only possibility is that ν_{C_2} is detected by some odd multiple of $[\tau^{-2}\beta^2h]$. As

$$a \cdot [\tau^{-2}\beta^2h] = [a \cdot \tau^{-2}\beta^2h] = [\eta_{\text{cl}}^2\eta_{C_2}] = \eta_{\text{cl}}^2\eta_{C_2}x_0,$$

the identity $P(\eta_{\text{cl}}) = \eta_{\text{cl}}\eta_{C_2}(1 + \eta_{\text{cl}}x_0)$ follows. \square

6.4.4. Remark. If R is any $K(1)$ -local \mathbb{E}_∞ ring, then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\pi_{0,0}b(R) = \pi_0R\{1, h\}$$

Following [[Hop14](#)], if we define $\theta: \pi_0R \rightarrow \pi_0R$ by declaring $-\theta(x)$ to be the coefficient of h in $P(x)$, then θ makes π_0R into a θ -ring. This applies at any prime p , but let us continue focusing on $p = 2$. Write $\epsilon = \eta_{\text{cl}}x_0$, so that $\pi_0S_{K(1)} = \mathbb{Z}_2[\epsilon]/(2\epsilon, \epsilon^2)$. As $a\eta_{C_2} \equiv -h \pmod{2}$, it follows from [Theorem 6.4.3](#) that the action of θ on $\pi_0S_{K(1)}$ satisfies

$$\theta(\epsilon) = \epsilon.$$

In fact this already follows from [Proposition 5.2.1](#). This yields an alternate proof of [[CY21](#), [Theorem 5.2.14](#)], using completely different methods. \triangleleft

APPENDIX A. EQUIVARIANT BOUSFIELD LOCALIZATIONS

This appendix, which may be read independently of the rest of the paper, gives some general material on Bousfield localizations in equivariant stable homotopy theory. See [[Hil19](#), [Car20](#)] for some prior work on the topic. The main fact needed in the body is [Proposition A.4.6](#), which asserts that localization with respect to equivariant K -theory is well behaved. The case of equivariant $K(1)$ -localization is also discussed, in [Appendix A.2](#), as it gives some additional perspective on the nature of equivariant power operations at chromatic height 1.

A.1. Notation. We begin by fixing some notation. Given a finite group G , we continue to write $\mathbb{S}p^G$ for the homotopy theory of genuine G -spectra. Textbook references include [Sch18, Section 3] and [HHR21].

Write $\mathbb{S}p^{hG}$ for the homotopy theory of Borel G -spectra. This is equivalently the homotopy theory of $\text{Fun}(BG, \mathbb{S}p)$, where BG is the group G regarded as a category with one object.

Given a subgroup $K \subseteq G$, write $W_G(K) = N_G(K)/K$ for the Weyl group of K in G . Then K -geometric fixed points yield functors

$$\Phi^K: \mathbb{S}p^G \rightarrow \mathbb{S}p^{hW_G(K)}.$$

There is a forgetful functor

$$U: \mathbb{S}p^G \rightarrow \mathbb{S}p^{hG},$$

and this admits a right adjoint

$$F(EG_+, -): \mathbb{S}p^{hG} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}p^G.$$

In particular, if E is an ordinary spectrum, then we may write i_*E for E regarded as a Borel G -spectrum with trivial G -action, and so define

$$b_G(E) = F(EG_+, i_*E) \in \mathbb{S}p^G.$$

These Borel cohomology theories are in a certain sense defined for all groups G at the same time, and this may be made precise via the framework of *global equivariant stable homotopy theory*. There are various models for this, and we shall use that developed by Schwede in [Sch18]. Define a (*multiplicative*) *global family* to be a collection \mathcal{F} of groups closed under products, subgroups, and quotients. Then to each global family \mathcal{F} , there is a good symmetric monoidal and stable category $\mathbb{G}lob_{\mathcal{F}}$ of global equivariant spectra with respect to \mathcal{F} . In the case where \mathcal{F} is the family of all finite groups, we shall just write $\mathbb{G}lob$.

If \mathcal{F} is a global family and $G \in \mathcal{F}$, then there is a symmetric monoidal functor

$$U_G: \mathbb{G}lob_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}p^G,$$

which preserves limits and colimits [Sch18, Theorem 4.5.25]. When $G = e$, we shall write $U = U_e$. The functors U_G are jointly conservative as G is taken to range through all members of \mathcal{F} ; in fact, if $X \in \mathbb{G}lob_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $G \in \mathcal{F}$, then both $\pi_*^G X$ and $\Phi^G X$ depend only on $U_G X$. Here we note that $\Phi^G X = \Phi^G U_G X$ carries the trivial action when regarded as an object of $\mathbb{S}p^{hW_G K}$, by the construction of U_G . Everything that we shall say about $\mathbb{G}lob_{\mathcal{F}}$ should hold just as well for other models of global equivariant stable homotopy theory admitting such functors.

The forgetful functor $U: \mathbb{G}lob_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}p$ admits a right adjoint, which we will denote $b_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbb{S}p \rightarrow \mathbb{G}lob_{\mathcal{F}}$. If $E \in \mathbb{S}p$, then $b_{\mathcal{F}}(E)$ is a global refinement of the Borel G -spectra $b_G(E)$, in the sense that if $G \in \mathcal{F}$ then $U_G b_{\mathcal{F}}(E) \simeq b_G(E)$. When \mathcal{F} is the family of all finite groups, we shall just write $b(E) = b_{\mathcal{F}}(E)$.

We write $\mathbf{K}U \in \mathbb{G}lob$ for the global spectrum of periodic complex K -theory, constructed in [Sch18, Section 6.4]. This is a global refinement of the spectra of G -equivariant K -theories, in the sense that $U_G \mathbf{K}U = KU_G$ for all finite groups G . For our purposes, we shall take this as the definition of the G -spectra KU_G .

Finally, we recall the definition of Bousfield localization. Let \mathcal{M} be a presentable stable symmetric monoidal category and $R \in \mathcal{M}$ a fixed object. We say that $X \in \mathcal{M}$ is *R -acyclic* if $R \otimes X \simeq 0$, and is *R -local* if $\mathcal{M}(C, X) \simeq 0$ for any R -acyclic object C . A map $X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{M} is an *R -equivalence* if $R \otimes X \rightarrow R \otimes Y$ is an equivalence. The *Bousfield localization of X with respect to R* is an R -local object $L_R X \in \mathcal{M}$ equipped with an R -equivalence $X \rightarrow L_R X$.

The localization L_R is determined by the *Bousfield class* of R , which we might take to be the class $\langle R \rangle = \{X \in \mathcal{M} : R \otimes X \simeq 0\}$ of R -acyclics. In the case where $\mathcal{M} = \mathrm{Sp}^G$, as $R \otimes X \simeq 0$ if and only if $\Phi^K R \otimes \Phi^K X \simeq 0$ for all subgroups $K \subseteq G$, we find that the Bousfield class of R is determined by the set $\{\langle \Phi^K R \rangle : K \subseteq G\}$ of Bousfield classes of the ordinary spectra $\Phi^K R$. In the same way, if $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{G}\mathrm{lob}_{\mathcal{F}}$, then the Bousfield class of R is determined by the set $\{\langle \Phi^G R \rangle : G \in \mathcal{F}\}$ of Bousfield classes of the ordinary spectra $\Phi^G R$.

A.2. The Tate vanishing case. Bousfield localization with respect to Borel equivariant spectra takes a particularly simple form when Tate constructions vanish. This stems from the following.

A.2.1. Lemma. Let T be a homotopy ring spectrum and G a finite group, and suppose that the Tate construction T^{tG} for the trivial G -action on T vanishes. If $H \subseteq G$ is a subgroup, then

$$\Phi^H b(T) = \begin{cases} T & H = e, \\ 0 & H \neq e. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As $\Phi^H b(T) = \Phi^H b_G(T)$, we may work in the context of G -equivariant stable homotopy theory. Now the lemma follows by combining [MNN19, Proposition 2.13] and [MNN17, Theorem 6.41]. \square

A.2.2. Proposition. Let T be a homotopy ring spectrum, and suppose that $T^{tG} = 0$. Then for any $X \in \mathrm{Sp}^G$, we have

$$L_{b_G(T)} X \simeq F(EG_+, L_T U X).$$

Proof. As the Bousfield class of a G -spectrum is determined by the Bousfield classes of its geometric fixed points, Lemma A.2.1 implies that $b_G(T)$ is Bousfield equivalent to $G_+ \otimes T$. The proposition then follows from [Car20, Proposition 3.21]. \square

A.2.3. Proposition. Let T be a homotopy ring spectrum and \mathcal{F} a family of finite groups. Suppose that $T^{tG} = 0$ for all $G \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$L_{b_{\mathcal{F}}(T)} X \simeq b_{\mathcal{F}}(L_T U X)$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{G}\mathrm{lob}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proof. First we show that $b_{\mathcal{F}}(L_T U X)$ is $b_{\mathcal{F}}(T)$ -local. Fix $C \in \mathcal{G}\mathrm{lob}_{\mathcal{F}}$ which is $b_{\mathcal{F}}(T)$ -acyclic. We must show that $\mathcal{G}\mathrm{lob}_{\mathcal{F}}(C, b_{\mathcal{F}}(L_T U X)) \simeq 0$. By definition, $U \dashv b_{\mathcal{F}}$, and so $\mathcal{G}\mathrm{lob}_{\mathcal{F}}(C, b_{\mathcal{F}}(L_T U X)) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(UC, L_T U X)$. As C is $b_{\mathcal{F}}(T)$ -acyclic and $U(C \otimes b_{\mathcal{F}}(T)) = UC \otimes T$, it follows that UC is T -acyclic. Thus $\mathrm{Sp}(UC, L_T U X) \simeq 0$, proving that $b_{\mathcal{F}}(L_T U X)$ is $b_{\mathcal{F}}(T)$ -local.

Next we show that $X \rightarrow b_{\mathcal{F}}(L_T U X)$ is a $b_{\mathcal{F}}(T)$ -equivalence. To that end, we must show that the map

$$b_{\mathcal{F}}(T) \otimes X \rightarrow b_{\mathcal{F}}(T) \otimes b_{\mathcal{F}}(L_T U X) \tag{22}$$

is an equivalence. It suffices to verify this after applying Φ^G for $G \in \mathcal{F}$. If $G = e$, then Eq. (22) becomes the map $T \otimes X \rightarrow T \otimes L_T U X$, which is an equivalence by definition. If $G \neq e$, then as Φ^G is symmetric monoidal, Lemma A.2.1 implies that both sides vanish. \square

A.2.4. Corollary. Fix a prime p .

(1) If G is a p -group, then for any $X \in \mathrm{Sp}^G$, we have

$$L_{KU_G/(p)} X \simeq b_G(L_{KU/(p)} U X).$$

(2) Let \mathcal{F} be the family of finite p -groups. Then for any $X \in \mathcal{G}\text{lob}_{\mathcal{F}}$, we have

$$L_{\mathbf{K}\mathbf{U}/(p)}X \simeq b_{\mathcal{F}}(L_{KU/(p)}UX)$$

in $\mathcal{G}\text{lob}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proof. Observe that by the p -adic version of Atiyah's completion theorem [Ati61, Theorem 7.2] [AT69, III §1, Proposition 1.1], there are equivalences

$$KU_G/(p) \simeq b_G(KU/(p)), \quad \mathbf{K}\mathbf{U}/(p) \simeq b_{\mathcal{F}}(KU/(p)).$$

The corollary follows as $(KU/(p))^{tG} = 0$ for any finite group G [GM95, Theorem 13.1]. \square

It follows, for example, that if G is a p -group, A is a finite G -spectrum, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ projects to a topological generator of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times/\{\pm 1\}$, then there is a fiber sequence

$$L_{KU_G/(p)}A \longrightarrow (KO_G \otimes A)_p^\wedge \xrightarrow{\psi^k - 1} (KO_G \otimes A)_p^\wedge. \quad (23)$$

Indeed, the assumptions that G is a p -group and A is finite ensure that Eq. (23) is the image of the standard fiber sequence for $L_{KU/(p)}UA$ under the right adjoint $b_G: \mathcal{S}p^{hG} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}p^G$. Of course, if p is odd then we may take KU_G rather than KO_G in Eq. (23), provided that k is a topological generator of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times itself. See also [BGS22, Proposition 6.3] for an alternate approach to this fiber sequence for p odd.

In particular, if G is a p -group, then for $K \subseteq G$ a subgroup of index m and $\alpha \in RO(K)$, we may identify the norm

$$P_\alpha: \pi_\alpha^K L_{KU_G/(p)}S_G \rightarrow \pi_{\text{Ind}_H^G \alpha}^G L_{KU_G/(p)}S_G$$

as exactly the composite

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{Th}(\alpha \downarrow BK), S_{K(1)}] &\rightarrow [\text{Th}(\alpha \downarrow BK)_{h\Sigma_m}^{\otimes n}, S_{K(1)}] \\ &\cong [\text{Th}((\rho_m \otimes \alpha) \downarrow B(\Sigma_m \wr K)), S_{K(1)}] \rightarrow [\text{Th}((\text{Ind}_K^G \alpha) \downarrow BG), S_{K(1)}], \end{aligned}$$

where the first map is an ordinary power operation and the last map is restriction along a suitable map $BG \rightarrow B(\Sigma_m \wr K)$. At least when p is odd, computing these norms following the recipe of Subsection 6.3 is a purely algebraic problem. The input to this is the p -adic K -theory of Thom spectra over classifying spaces of odd p -groups, which is well understood, going back to [AT69].

We note that in the special case where $G = C_p$, the maps

$$(S^n)_{hC_p}^{\otimes p} \rightarrow (S^n)_{h\Sigma_p}^{\otimes p}$$

of spectra are p -locally equivalent to the projection onto a summand. Thus our computation of the p th total power operation for $S_{K(1)}$ yields a computation of norms on $\pi_\star L_{KU_{C_p}/(p)}S_{C_p}$, at least modulo the work of choosing names for generators of the $K(1)$ -local cohomotopy groups of $(S^n)_{hC_p}^{\otimes p}$.

A.3. Horizontal vanishing lines. We now collect some facts we will need from the theory of smashing localizations and horizontal vanishing lines. The material of this subsection is all well known, only not always phrased in the form we need; we claim no originality for its contents. See especially [Bou79, HPS97, HPS99, Lur12, Mat15, Mat18].

Fix a presentable symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category \mathcal{M} , with unit denoted S . Fix also an associative ring $R \in \mathcal{M}$, and write $C(R)$ for the tower of partial totalizations of the cosimplicial object $[n] \mapsto R^{\otimes n+1}$.

A.3.1. Definition. The R -nilpotent completion of $X \in \mathcal{M}$ is $X_R^\wedge = \lim(X \otimes C(R))$. \triangleleft

A.3.2. Definition.

- (1) Call R *smashing* if the natural map $X \otimes L_R S \rightarrow L_R X$ is an equivalence for $X \in \mathcal{M}$;
- (2) Call R *nilpotent* if the natural map $L_R X \rightarrow X_R^\wedge$ is an equivalence for all $X \in \mathcal{M}$. \triangleleft

These two conditions often come hand in hand, although there is no particular reason for one to imply the other. Notably, the first condition relies only on the Bousfield class of R , whereas the second relies on the particular ring R . They satisfy the following reflection property.

A.3.3. Lemma. Let \mathcal{N} be another presentable symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category, and let $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a symmetric monoidal, conservative, and limit-preserving functor. Then

- (1) $F(X_R^\wedge) \simeq F(X)_{F(R)}^\wedge$ for all $X \in \mathcal{M}$.
- (2) If $F(R)$ is nilpotent, then R is nilpotent. In particular, $F(L_R X) \simeq L_{F(R)} F X$.
- (3) If $F(R)$ is nilpotent and smashing, then R is nilpotent and smashing. \square

Proof. (1) As F is strong symmetric monoidal and exact, we have $F(X \otimes C(R)) \simeq F(X) \otimes C(F(R))$. As F preserves limits, it follows that $F(X_R^\wedge) \simeq F(\lim(X \otimes C(R))) \simeq \lim F(X \otimes C(R)) \simeq \lim F(X) \otimes C(F(R)) \simeq F(X)_{F(R)}^\wedge$ as claimed.

(2) Suppose that $F(R)$ is nilpotent. To show that R is nilpotent, we must show that if $X \in \mathcal{M}$ then $X \rightarrow X_R^\wedge$ is an R -equivalence. That is, we must show that $R \otimes X \rightarrow R \otimes X_R^\wedge$ is an equivalence. As F is conservative, it suffices to show that $F(R \otimes X) \rightarrow F(R \otimes X_R^\wedge)$ is an equivalence. As F is strong symmetric monoidal, and by (1), we may identify this as $F(R) \otimes F(X) \rightarrow F(R) \otimes F(X)_{F(R)}^\wedge$. This is an equivalence under the condition that $F(R)$ is nilpotent. That $F(L_R X) \simeq L_{F(R)} F X$ follows by combining (2) with (1).

(3) Suppose that $F(R)$ is nilpotent and smashing. Then R is nilpotent by (2), so we must show that R is smashing. That is, we must show that if $X \in \mathcal{M}$ then $X \otimes L_R S \rightarrow L_R X$ is an equivalence. As F is conservative, it suffices to show that $F(X \otimes L_R S) \rightarrow F(L_R X)$ is an equivalence. As F is symmetric monoidal, and by (2), we may identify this map as $F(X) \otimes L_{F(R)} S \rightarrow L_{F(R)} F(X)$. This is an equivalence under the condition that $F(R)$ is smashing. \square

To proceed, is convenient to consider a stronger condition. Following [Mat15], let $\mathcal{Tow}(\mathcal{M})$ denote the category of towers in \mathcal{M} , let $\mathcal{Tow}^{\text{nil}}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{Tow}(\mathcal{M})$ be the full subcategory of towers $\{X_n\}$ for which there exists some $r > 0$ such that all maps $X_n \rightarrow X_{n-r}$ are nullhomotopic, and let $\mathcal{Tow}^{\text{fast}}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{Tow}(\mathcal{M})$ be the full subcategory of towers $\{X_n\}$ with the property that if we set $Y_n = \text{Cof}((\lim\{X_r\}) \rightarrow X_n)$ then $\{Y_n\} \in \mathcal{Tow}^{\text{nil}}(\mathcal{M})$.

A.3.4. Definition. Say that R is *locally descendable* if $C(R) \in \mathcal{Tow}^{\text{fast}}(\mathcal{M})$. \triangleleft

The name is chosen as R is locally descendable if and only if R is descendable, in the sense of [Mat18, Definition 1.1], when considered as an object of the category of R -local objects in \mathcal{M} ; that is, if and only if $L_R S$ is in the thick \otimes -ideal generated by R . This may be seen from [Mat18, Proposition 2.26]. However, we will not make use of this characterization.

A.3.5. Lemma. Suppose that R is locally descendable. Then R is nilpotent and smashing.

Proof. The condition that R is locally descendable implies that the tower $C(R)$ is pro-constant, i.e. constant as an object of the category of pro-objects in \mathcal{M} . This weaker property is sufficient to deduce that R is nilpotent and smashing.

First we show that R is nilpotent. Fix $X \in \mathcal{M}$, so that we must show that $R \otimes X \rightarrow R \otimes X_R^\wedge$ is an equivalence. As $C(R)$ is pro-constant, so is $X \otimes C(R)$. It follows that

$$R \otimes X_R^\wedge = R \otimes \lim(X \otimes C(R)) \simeq \lim(R \otimes X \otimes C(R)).$$

The tower $R \otimes X \otimes C(R)$ is the tower of partial totalizations of the cosimplicial object $[n] \mapsto R \otimes X \otimes R^{\otimes n+1}$. As $R \otimes X$ is an R -module, this cosimplicial object admits an extra codegeneracy, and thus

$$\lim(R \otimes X \otimes C(R)) \simeq R \otimes X,$$

proving that R is nilpotent.

Next we claim that R is smashing. As $C(R)$ is pro-constant, we find

$$X_R^\wedge = \lim(X \otimes C(R)) \simeq X \otimes \lim C(R) = X \otimes S_R^\wedge.$$

As R is nilpotent, this yields

$$L_R X \simeq X \otimes L_R S,$$

so that R is smashing as claimed. \square

A.3.6. Lemma. Let $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be an exact symmetric monoidal functor. If R is locally descendable, then $F(R)$ is locally descendable, and moreover $FL_R X \simeq L_{F(R)} F(X)$ for any $X \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. As $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is exact, it induces a functor $F: \mathcal{Tow}^{\text{fast}}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Tow}^{\text{fast}}(\mathcal{N})$ with the property that $F \lim X_n = \lim F X_n$ for any $\{X_n\}$ in $\mathcal{Tow}^{\text{fast}}(\mathcal{M})$.

As F is exact and symmetric monoidal, we have $F(C(R)) \simeq C(F(R))$. Thus $C(F(R)) \in \mathcal{Tow}^{\text{fast}}(\mathcal{N})$, implying that $F(R)$ is locally descendable. Moreover $F(X \otimes C(R)) \simeq F(X) \otimes C(F(R))$ for any $X \in \mathcal{M}$, implying $F(L_R X) \simeq F(\lim(X \otimes C(R))) \simeq \lim F(X \otimes C(R)) \simeq \lim F(X) \otimes C(F(R)) \simeq L_{F(R)} F(X)$. \square

A.3.7. Lemma. Consider the following conditions:

- (1) R is locally descendable.
- (2) For all $X \in \mathcal{M}$, the spectral sequence associated to the tower $\mathcal{M}(S, X \otimes C(R))$ of spectra collapses at a finite page with a horizontal vanishing line independent of X .
- (3) For all $A \in \mathcal{M}$ compact, the spectral sequence associated to the tower $\mathcal{M}(A, C(R))$ of spectra collapses at a finite page with a horizontal vanishing line independent of A .

Always (1) \Rightarrow (2,3). If \mathcal{M} is compactly generated, then (3) \Rightarrow (1). If \mathcal{M} is compactly generated and all compact objects are dualizable, then (2) \Rightarrow (3).

Proof. The implications (1) \Rightarrow (2,3) follow from the construction of the spectral sequence of a tower, see for instance [Mat15, Proposition 3.12]. If \mathcal{M} is compactly generated and all compact objects are dualizable, then (2) \Rightarrow (3) as $\mathcal{M}(A, C(R)) \simeq \mathcal{M}(S, D(A) \otimes C(R))$ for A dualizable.

It remains to show that if \mathcal{M} is compactly generated then (3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $D(R) = \text{Cof}(S_R^\wedge \rightarrow C(R))$, so that R is locally descendable if and only if $D(R) \in \mathcal{Tow}^{\text{nil}}(\mathcal{M})$. By [Mat15, Proposition 3.12], condition (3) implies that there exists some $r > 0$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{M}$ compact, all r -fold composites in the tower $[A, D(R)]$ of abelian groups vanish. In other words, there exists some $r > 0$ such that all r -fold composites in $D(R)$ are phantom maps in the sense of [HPS97, Definition 4.1.6]. The condition that \mathcal{M} is compactly generated and presentable implies that $\text{h}\mathcal{M}$ is Brown in the sense of [HPS97, Definition 4.1.4]; see [Lur17, Section 1.4.1]. Thus a composite of phantom maps is nullhomotopic by [HPS97, Theorem 4.2.5], implying that all $2r$ -fold composites in $D(R)$ are nullhomotopic. So $D(R) \in \mathcal{Tow}^{\text{nil}}(\mathcal{M})$, implying that R is locally descendable as claimed. \square

Finally, we will need the following.

A.3.8. Definition. Let $\mathcal{Tow}^r(\mathcal{M})$ be the category of towers $\{X_n\}$ in \mathcal{M} with the following property. Let $Y_n = \text{Cof}(\lim X_n \rightarrow X_n)$. Then all r -fold composites in the tower $\{Y_n\}$ are nullhomotopic. \triangleleft

Thus $\{X_n\} \in \mathcal{Tow}^{\text{fast}}(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if $\{X_n\} \in \mathcal{Tow}^r(\mathcal{M})$ for some $r > 0$.

A.3.9. Lemma. Fix a finite diagram \mathcal{J} and $s > 0$. Then there exists some fixed $r > 0$, depending only on \mathcal{J} and s , such that the limit of any \mathcal{J} -shaped diagram in $\mathcal{Tow}^s(\mathcal{M})$ lands in $\mathcal{Tow}^r(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof. This is just a slight refinement of [Mat15, Proposition 3.5]. \square

A.4. Equivariant smashing localizations. We now specialize the above theory to categories of equivariant spectra. Our main observation is the following.

A.4.1. Theorem. Let G be a finite group and $R \in \text{Sp}^G$ be an associative ring. Then R is locally descendable if and only if $\Phi^K R \in \text{Sp}^{\text{h}W_G(K)}$ is locally descendable for all subgroups $K \subset G$.

Proof. If R is locally descendable, then each $\Phi^K R \in \text{Sp}^{\text{h}W_G(K)}$ is locally descendable by Lemma A.3.6.

Suppose conversely that each $\Phi^K R \in \text{Sp}^{\text{h}W_G(K)}$ is locally descendable. We claim that R is locally descendable. Note that Sp^G is generated by compact dualizable objects. By Lemma A.3.7, it therefore suffices to show that for all $X \in \text{Sp}^G$, the spectral sequence associated to the tower $\text{Sp}^G(S_G, X \otimes C(R)) = (X \otimes C(R))^G$ collapses at a finite page with a horizontal vanishing line independent of X . To that end, it suffices to show that there exists some $r > 0$ such that $(X \otimes C(R))^G \in \mathcal{Tow}^r(\text{Sp})$ for all $X \in \text{Sp}^G$.

To prove this, we make use of [AMR19, Observation 5.4.3], which proves that the fixed points functor $(-)^G: \text{Sp}^G \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ may be written as

$$(-)^G \simeq \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} F_j \Phi^{K_j},$$

where \mathcal{J} is a certain finite diagram and $F_j: \text{Sp}^{\text{h}W_G(K_j)} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ are certain exact functors, the precise forms of which are not relevant to us. It follows that if $X \in \text{Sp}^G$, then

$$(X \otimes C(R))^G \simeq \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} F_j \Phi^{K_j} (X \otimes C(R)) \simeq \lim_{j \in \mathcal{J}} F_j (\Phi^{K_j} (X) \otimes C(\Phi^{K_j} R)). \quad (24)$$

As G is finite and each $\Phi^K R \in \text{Sp}^{\text{h}W_G(K)}$ is locally descendable, we may find $s > 0$ such that $C(\Phi^K R) \in \mathcal{Tow}^s(\text{Sp}^{\text{h}W_G(K)})$ for all $K \subset G$. This subcategory of towers is preserved by any exact functor out of $\text{Sp}^{\text{h}W_G(K)}$, and so Eq. (24) is a \mathcal{J} -shaped diagram in $\mathcal{Tow}^s(\text{Sp})$. By Lemma A.3.9, it follows that there is some $r > 0$, independent of X , such that $(X \otimes C(R))^G \in \mathcal{Tow}^r(\text{Sp})$, as claimed. \square

In the context of Theorem A.4.1, it is important that each $\Phi^K R$ is locally descendable as an object of $\text{Sp}^{\text{h}W_G(K)}$, not merely as a spectrum, as the example $G = C_2$ and $R = C_{2+}$ reveals [Car20, Remark 3.13]. The following takes care of the examples we are interested in.

A.4.2. Lemma. Let G be a finite group. Suppose that $R \in \text{Sp}^{\text{h}G}$ carries the trivial G -action. Then R is locally descendable if and only if the underlying spectrum UR is locally descendable.

Proof. If R is locally descendable, then UR is locally descendable by Lemma A.3.6. Conversely, to say that R carries the trivial G -action is to say $R \simeq i_* UR$. In this case, if UR is locally descendable, then so too is R , again by Lemma A.3.6. \square

This allows us to deduce the following global variant of [Theorem A.4.1](#).

A.4.3. Theorem. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of finite groups and $R \in \mathcal{G}lob_{\mathcal{F}}$ be an associative ring. Suppose that for all $G \in \mathcal{F}$, the ordinary spectrum $\Phi^G R$ is locally descendable. Then R -localization is smashing and agrees with R -nilpotent completion. Moreover, the functors $U_G: \mathcal{G}lob_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}p^G$ satisfy $U_G L_R X \simeq L_{U_G R} U_G X$.

Proof. Recall that if $G \in \mathcal{F}$ and $K \subset G$, then $\Phi^K U_G R \in \mathcal{S}p^{hW_K G}$ carries the trivial action. It follows from [Lemma A.4.2](#) that if each $\Phi^K R \in \mathcal{S}p$ is locally descendable, then each $U_G R$ satisfies the conditions of [Theorem A.4.1](#), and is therefore locally descendable. By [Lemma A.3.5](#), it follows that each $U_G R$ is nilpotent and smashing. The theorem then follows by applying [Lemma A.3.3](#) to the functor $(U_G)_{G \in \mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{G}lob_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \prod_{G \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{S}p^G$. \square

Finally, we note the following essentially formal fact.

A.4.4. Proposition.

- (1) Let G be a finite group and $R \in \mathcal{S}p^G$ be a G - \mathbb{E}_{∞} ring. If R is nilpotent, then R -localization preserves G - \mathbb{E}_{∞} structures.
- (2) Let \mathcal{F} be a family of groups and $R \in \mathcal{G}lob_{\mathcal{F}}$ be an ultracommutative ring spectrum. If R is nilpotent, then R -localization preserves ultracommutative ring spectra.

Proof. We prove (1), as (2) is similar. If A is a G - \mathbb{E}_{∞} ring, then $[n] \mapsto A \otimes R^{\otimes n+1}$ is a cosimplicial diagram of G - \mathbb{E}_{∞} rings. Thus $A_R^{\wedge} = \lim_{n \in \Delta} A \otimes R^{\otimes n+1}$ is naturally a G - \mathbb{E}_{∞} ring. As R is nilpotent, $A_R^{\wedge} \simeq L_R A$, and the claim follows. \square

We now proceed to examples. We begin by recalling the following well known fact.

A.4.5. Lemma. Let R be a Landweber exact ring spectrum. Suppose that R is of finite height, in the sense that there exists some $n \geq 0$ such that $R_*/(p, v_1, \dots, v_n) = 0$ at all primes p . Then R is locally descendable.

Proof. This is clear if $R \simeq 0$, so we may suppose that R is nonzero. By [Lemma A.3.7](#), it suffices to show that for all spectra X , the R -based Adams spectral sequence for X collapses at a finite page with a horizontal vanishing line which is independent of X . As p -localization is exact, we may identify the $R_{(p)}$ -based Adams spectral sequence for X as the p -localization of the R -based Adams spectral sequence for X . It therefore suffices to show that the $R_{(p)}$ -based Adams spectral sequence for X collapses at a finite page with a horizontal vanishing line which is independent of X , as well as of p for all sufficiently large primes p .

Fix a prime p , and let $m \leq n$ be maximal for which $R_*/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}) \neq 0$. Applying [\[HS03\]](#) to the zigzag $R_{(p)} \rightarrow R_{(p)} \otimes E(m) \leftarrow E(m)$, we find that the $R_{(p)}$ -based Adams spectral sequence is isomorphic to the $E(m)$ -based Adams spectral sequence from the E_2 page on.

If $p > m + 1$, then the $E(m)$ -based Adams spectral sequence has a horizontal vanishing line on the E_2 -page of y -intercept at most $m^2 + m$ [\[HS99a, Theorem 5.1\]](#). This gives a horizontal vanishing line for the $R_{(p)}$ -based Adams spectral sequence for $p > n + 1$ which is independent of such p .

It now suffices to show that the $R_{(p)}$ -based Adams spectral sequence has some horizontal vanishing line for each of the finitely many primes $p \leq n + 1$. As above, we may replace the $R_{(p)}$ -based Adams spectral sequence with the $E(m)$ -based Adams spectral sequence. The lemma then follows from [\[HS99b, Proposition 6.5\]](#). \square

The main example relevant to this paper is the following.

A.4.6. Proposition. The following hold.

- (1) $L_{\mathbf{K}U}S \simeq \lim_{n \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathbf{K}U^{\otimes n+1}$;
- (2) $L_{\mathbf{K}U}S$ is naturally an ultracommutative ring spectrum;
- (3) $U_G(L_{\mathbf{K}U}S) \simeq L_{KU_G}S_G$.

Proof. In light of [Theorem A.4.3](#) and [Proposition A.4.4](#), it suffices to show that $\Phi^G \mathbf{K}U$ is locally descendable for G a finite group. Abbreviate $KU^G = \text{Sp}^G(S_G, KU_G)$. As $KU_*^G \cong KU_* \otimes RU(G)$, we find that KU^G is a Landweber exact ring spectrum of finite height. By [[LMSM86](#), Proposition 9.13] and equivariant Bott periodicity, we may identify $\Phi^G \mathbf{K}U$ as the localization of KU^G obtained by inverting the Euler classes $e(V)$ (see [Subsection 5.1](#)) for V a G -representation with trivial G -fixed points. As localization is exact, it follows that $\Phi^G \mathbf{K}U$ is also a Landweber exact ring spectrum of finite height. It therefore admits a horizontal vanishing line by [Lemma A.4.5](#). \square

A.4.7. Remark. We need not be so indirect in the proof of [Proposition A.4.6](#); one may explicitly identify

$$\Phi^G \mathbf{K}U \simeq \begin{cases} KU[\frac{1}{n}](\zeta_n), & G \cong C_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where ζ_n is a primitive n th root of unity. See [[tD79](#), Proposition 7.7.7] for the case $G \cong C_n$. That $\Phi^G \mathbf{K}U \simeq 0$ for G not cyclic holds as the Euler class of the reduced regular representation of a non-cyclic group vanishes, see [Proposition 5.3.2](#). \triangleleft

To complement [Appendix A.2](#), we also give the following example.

A.4.8. Proposition. Let R be a Landweber exact ring spectrum of finite height. Then [Theorem A.4.3](#) applies to $b(E)$.

Proof. We must show that if G is a finite group, then $\Phi^G b(R)$ admits a horizontal vanishing line. By [[MNN19](#), Theorem 5.14] and [[MNN17](#), Theorem 6.41], we find that $\Phi^G b(R)$ is contractible when G is nonabelian. Therefore we may assume that $G = A$ is an abelian group. As R is Landweber exact and of finite height, the same is true for $R^{B_{A^+}}$. As in the proof of [Proposition A.4.6](#), $\Phi^A b(R)$ is a localization of $R^{B_{A^+}}$, so that $\Phi^A b(R)$ is Landweber exact and of finite height, and the proposition follows from [Lemma A.4.5](#). \square

We end with some remarks on the nature of some of the equivariant theories we have encountered in this appendix. Fix a prime p , and consider spectra E_n and $K(n)$ of Morava E -theory and Morava K -theory at that prime. We view [Proposition A.4.8](#) and [Proposition A.2.3](#) as saying that the equivariant spectra $b(E_n)$ and $b(K(n))$ are similar in nature to the nonequivariant spectra E_n and $K(n)$. These propositions indicate that they are alike in their strengths, but they are alike in their deficiencies as well; in particular, E_n is quite large, and when not working $K(n)$ -locally it can be convenient to work with uncompleted variants instead. In the same way, one might prefer to work with uncompleted forms of $b(E_n)$. A good uncompleted form of $b(E_1)$ is given by equivariant K -theory; in particular, if G is a p -group, then $b_G(E_1)$ is Bousfield equivalent to $(KU_G)_{(p)}$. Likewise, if A is an abelian group, then good uncompleted forms of $b_A(E_2)$ are given by suitable forms of A -equivariant elliptic cohomology theory, as constructed by Gepner–Meier [[GM20](#)]. In general, we expect uncompleted forms of $b(E_n)$ to arise from Lurie’s work on equivariant cohomology theories associated to p -divisible groups [[Lur19](#)].

Some justification would still be needed to say that not only do $b(E_n)$ and $b(K(n))$ behave like E_n and $K(n)$, but also that they play a similar role. In general, the equivariant situation

is rather more delicate than the nonequivariant situation. For example, as soon as G is nontrivial, the theories $b_G(K(n))$ do not in any sense correspond to thick subcategories of finite G -spectra [BS16], and neither do they detect nilpotence. Certain positive things may be said, but one must restrict to the case of finite abelian p -groups. For example, the theories $b_A(E_n)$ detect nilpotence if A is a finite abelian p -group, whereas if G is not a finite abelian p -group then $\Phi^G L_{b_G(E_n)} X \simeq 0$ for any $X \in \mathrm{Sp}^G$. As another example, there are natural transformations $L_{b(E_n)} \rightarrow L_{b(E_{n-1})}$, and it is reasonable to ask whether there is some form of chromatic convergence in this context. A full consideration of this question would take us too far afield, so we shall content ourselves with just noting the following.

A.4.9. Proposition. The natural map

$$(S_{C_p})_{(p)} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{b_{C_p}(E_n)} S_{C_p}$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. This map is an equivalence of underlying spectra by the nonequivariant chromatic convergence theorem [Rav92, Section 8.6], so we must only verify that it is an equivalence on fixed points. Recall that if $X \in \mathrm{Sp}^{C_p}$, then the fixed points X^{C_p} may be computed as a pullback

$$X^{C_p} \simeq \lim (X^{\mathrm{h}C_p} \rightarrow X^{tC_p} \leftarrow \Phi^{C_p} X); \quad (25)$$

see [GM95, Proposition 1.1] or [MNN17, Section 6.4]. As fixed points preserve limits, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{b_{C_p}(E_n)} S_{C_p} \right)^{C_p} &\simeq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim (L_n S)^{BC_{p+}} \rightarrow (L_n S)^{tC_p} \leftarrow \Phi^{C_p} L_{b_{C_p}(E_n)} S_{C_p} \\ &\simeq \lim \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (L_n S)^{BC_{p+}} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (L_n S)^{tC_p} \leftarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi^{C_p} L_{b_{C_p}(E_n)} S_{C_p} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Clearly we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (L_n S)^{BC_{p+}} \simeq \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n S \right)^{BC_{p+}} \simeq S_{(p)}^{BC_{p+}}. \quad (27)$$

By Lemma A.3.6, we may identify $\Phi^{C_p} L_{b_{C_p}(E_n)} S_{C_p} \simeq L_{\Phi^{C_p} b_{C_p}(E_n)} \Phi^{C_p} S_{C_p} \simeq L_{E_n^{tC_p}} S$. As $E_n^{tC_p}$ is Bousfield equivalent to E_{n-1} [HS96, Theorem 1.2], it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi^{C_p} L_{b_{C_p}(E_n)} S_{C_p} \simeq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{n-1} S \simeq S_{(p)}.$$

Therefore Eq. (26) would agree with the pullback of Eq. (25) for $X = (S_{C_p})_{(p)}$ provided that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (L_n S)^{tC_p} \simeq S_{(p)}^{tC_p}$. For this, observe

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (L_n S)^{tC_p} &\simeq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{Cof} (BC_{p+} \otimes L_n S \rightarrow (L_n S)^{BC_{p+}}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Cof} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (BC_{p+} \otimes L_n S) \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (L_n S)^{BC_{p+}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand term is $S_{(p)}^{BC_{p+}}$ by Eq. (27), so we need only verify that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (BC_{p+} \otimes L_n S) \simeq BC_{p+} \otimes S_{(p)}.$$

This holds by [Bar16, Theorem 3.8], as $BP_* BC_p$ has projective dimension 1 as a BP_* -module; in fact $BP_* BC_p^m$ has projective dimension m as a BP_* -module for all $m \geq 0$, see [JW85]. \square

REFERENCES

- [AB18] Vigleik Angeltveit and Anna Marie Bohmann. Graded Tambara functors. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 222(12):4126–4150, 2018.
- [AI82] Shōrō Araki and Kouyemon Iriye. Equivariant stable homotopy groups of spheres with involutions. I. *Osaka Math. J.*, 19(1):1–55, 1982.
- [AMR19] David Ayala, Aaron Mazel-Gee, and Nick Rozenblyum. Stratified noncommutative geometry. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:1910.14602](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.14602), 2021.
- [AT69] M. F. Atiyah and D. O. Tall. Group representations, λ -rings and the J -homomorphism. *Topology*, 8:253–297, 1969.
- [Ati61] Michael F. Atiyah. Characters and cohomology of finite groups. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 9:23–64, 1961.
- [Ati66] M. F. Atiyah. Power operations in K -theory. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 17:165–193, 1966.
- [Ati68] M. F. Atiyah. Bott periodicity and the index of elliptic operators. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 19:113–140, 1968.
- [Bal21] William Balderrama. The Borel C_2 -equivariant $K(1)$ -local sphere. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:2103.13895](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.13895), 2021.
- [Bal22] William Balderrama. K -theory equivariant with respect to an elementary abelian 2-group. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:2201.03095](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.03095), 2022.
- [Bar16] Tobias Barthel. Chromatic completion. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 144(5):2263–2274, 2016.
- [BCQ21] William Balderrama, Dominic Leon Culver, and J. D. Quigley. The motivic lambda algebra and motivic Hopf invariant one problem. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:2112.07479](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.07479), 2022.
- [BGS22] Peter J. Bonventre, Bertrand J. Guillou, and Nathaniel J. Stapleton. On the KU_G -local equivariant sphere. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:2204.03797](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.03797), 2022.
- [BH18] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. Incomplete Tambara functors. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 18(2):723–766, 2018.
- [BHIKM21] Anna Marie Bohmann, Christy Hazel, Jocelyne Ishak, Magdalena Kędziorek, and Clover May. Genuine-commutative ring structure on rational equivariant K -theory for finite abelian groups. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:2104.01079](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.01079), 2021.
- [BI20] Eva Belmont and Daniel C. Isaksen. R-motivic stable stems. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:2001.03606](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.03606), 2020.
- [BK72] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan. The homotopy spectral sequence of a space with coefficients in a ring. *Topology*, 11:79–106, 1972.
- [BMMS86] R.R. Bruner, J.P. May, J.E. McClure, and M. Steinberger. *H_∞ Ring Spectra and Their Applications*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1986.
- [Boh14] Anna Marie Bohmann. A comparison of norm maps. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142(4):1413–1423, 2014. With an appendix by Bohmann and Emily Riehl.
- [Bou48] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. VII. Première partie: Les structures fondamentales de l’analyse. Livre II: Algèbre. Chapitre III: Algèbre multilinéaire*. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles [Current Scientific and Industrial Topics], No. 1044. Hermann & Cie, Paris, 1948.
- [Bou79] A. K. Bousfield. The localization of spectra with respect to homology. *Topology*, 18(4):257–281, 1979.
- [Bou89] A. K. Bousfield. Homotopy spectral sequences and obstructions. *Israel J. Math.*, 66(1-3):54–104, 1989.
- [Bru07] M. Brun. Witt vectors and equivariant ring spectra applied to cobordism. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 94(2):351–385, 2007.
- [BS16] Paul Balmer and Beren Sanders. The spectrum of the equivariant stable homotopy category of a finite group. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 208(1):283–326, sep 2016.
- [Car20] Christian Carrick. Smashing Localizations in Equivariant Stable Homotopy. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:1909.08771](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.08771), 2020.
- [CM17] Dustin Clausen and Akhil Mathew. A short proof of telescopic Tate vanishing. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145(12):5413–5417, 2017.
- [CY21] Shachar Carmeli and Allen Yuan. Higher semiadditive Grothendieck-Witt theory and the $K(1)$ -local sphere. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:2109.12233](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.12233), 2021.
- [DI17] Daniel Dugger and Daniel C. Isaksen. Low-dimensional Milnor-Witt stems over \mathbb{R} . *Ann. K-Theory*, 2(2):175–210, 2017.

- [GHIR20] Bertrand J. Guillou, Michael A. Hill, Daniel C. Isaksen, and Douglas Conner Ravenel. The cohomology of C_2 -equivariant $A(1)$ and the homotopy of ko_{C_2} . *Tunis. J. Math.*, 2(3):567–632, 2020.
- [GM95] J. P. C. Greenlees and J. P. May. Generalized Tate cohomology. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 113(543):viii+178, 1995.
- [GM97] J. P. C. Greenlees and J. P. May. Localization and completion theorems for mu-module spectra. *Annals of Mathematics*, 146(3):509–544, 1997.
- [GM20] David Gepner and Lennart Meier. On equivariant topological modular forms, 2020.
- [HHR16] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel. On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 184(1):1–262, 2016.
- [HHR17] Michael A. Hill, Michael J. Hopkins, and Douglas C. Ravenel. The slice spectral sequence for the C_4 analog of real K -theory. *Forum Math.*, 29(2):383–447, 2017.
- [HHR21] Michael A. Hill, Michael J. Hopkins, and Douglas C. Ravenel. *Equivariant stable homotopy theory and the Kervaire invariant problem*, volume 40 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021.
- [Hil17] Michael A. Hill. On the André-Quillen homology of Tambara functors. *J. Algebra*, 489:115–137, 2017.
- [Hil19] Michael A. Hill. Equivariant chromatic localizations and commutativity. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 14(3):647–662, 2019.
- [HK01] Po Hu and Igor Kriz. Real-oriented homotopy theory and an analogue of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. *Topology*, 40(2):317–399, 2001.
- [HKR00] Michael J. Hopkins, Nicholas J. Kuhn, and Douglas C. Ravenel. Generalized group characters and complex oriented cohomology theories. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13:553–594, 2000.
- [HM19] Michael A. Hill and Kristen Mazur. An equivariant tensor product on Mackey functors. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 223(12):5310–5345, 2019.
- [Hop14] Michael J. Hopkins. $K(1)$ -local E_∞ -ring spectra. In *Topological modular forms*, volume 201 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 287–302. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.
- [HPS97] Mark Hovey, John H. Palmieri, and Neil P. Strickland. Axiomatic stable homotopy theory. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128(610):x+114, 1997.
- [HPS99] M. J. Hopkins, J. H. Palmieri, and J. H. Smith. Vanishing lines in generalized Adams spectral sequences are generic. *Geom. Topol.*, 3:155–165, 1999.
- [HS96] Mark Hovey and Hal Sadofsky. Tate cohomology lowers chromatic Bousfield classes. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124(11):3579–3585, 1996.
- [HS99a] Mark Hovey and Hal Sadofsky. Invertible spectra in the $E(n)$ -local stable homotopy category. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 60(1):284–302, 1999.
- [HS99b] Mark Hovey and Neil P. Strickland. Morava K -theories and localisation. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(666):viii+100, 1999.
- [HS03] Mark Hovey and Neil Strickland. Comodules and Landweber exact homology theories. *arXiv Mathematics e-prints*, page [math/0301232](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0301232), 2003.
- [JW85] David Copeland Johnson and W. Stephen Wilson. The Brown-Peterson homology of elementary p -groups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 107(2):427–453, 1985.
- [LMSM86] L.G. Lewis, J.P. May, M. Steinberger, and J.E. McClure. *Equivariant Stable Homotopy Theory*. Lecture notes in mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [Lur12] Jacob Lurie. Chromatic homotopy theory (252x). <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/252x.html>, 2012.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. <http://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, 2017.
- [Lur19] Jacob Lurie. Elliptic cohomology III: Tempered cohomology. <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/Elliptic-III-Tempered.pdf>, 2019.
- [Mat15] Akhil Mathew. A thick subcategory theorem for modules over certain ring spectra. *Geom. Topol.*, 19(4):2359–2392, 2015.
- [Mat18] Akhil Mathew. Examples of descent up to nilpotence. In *Geometric and topological aspects of the representation theory of finite groups*, volume 242 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 269–311. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [MNN17] Akhil Mathew, Niko Naumann, and Justin Noel. Nilpotence and descent in equivariant stable homotopy theory. *Advances in Mathematics*, 305:994–1084, 2017.
- [MNN19] Akhil Mathew, Niko Naumann, and Justin Noel. Derived induction and restriction theory. *Geometry & Topology*, 23(2):541 – 636, 2019.

- [Nak12] Hiroyuki Nakaoka. Ideals of Tambara functors. *Adv. Math.*, 230(4-6):2295–2331, 2012.
- [Pet18] Eric Peterson. *Formal Geometry and Bordism Operations*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [Rav84] Douglas C. Ravenel. Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories. *Amer. J. Math.*, 106(2):351–414, 1984.
- [Rav92] Douglas C. Ravenel. *Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory*, volume 128 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992. Appendix C by Jeff Smith.
- [Rez09] Charles Rezk. The congruence criterion for power operations in Morava E -theory. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 11(2):327–379, 2009.
- [Sch18] Stefan Schwede. *Global homotopy theory*, volume 34 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [Sta21] Michael Stahlhauer. G_∞ -ring spectra and Moore spectra for β -rings. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:2007.14304](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.14304), 2021.
- [Str98] N. P. Strickland. Morava E -theory of symmetric groups. *Topology*, 37(4):757–779, 1998.
- [Str12] Neil Strickland. Tambara functors. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:1205.2516](https://arxiv.org/abs/1205.2516), 2012.
- [Tam93] D. Tambara. On multiplicative transfer. *Comm. Algebra*, 21(4):1393–1420, 1993.
- [tD79] Tammo tom Dieck. *Transformation Groups and Representation Theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1979.
- [Ull13a] John Ullman. Tambara Functors and Commutative Ring Spectra. *arXiv e-prints*, page [arXiv:1304.4912](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.4912), 2013.
- [Ull13b] John Richard Ullman. *On the Regular Slice Spectral Sequence*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Massachusetts Institute of Technology.