

# HIGHER DIMENSIONAL ALGEBRAIC FIBERINGS FOR PRO- $p$ GROUPS

DESSISLAVA H. KOCHLOUKOVA

**ABSTRACT.** We prove some conditions for higher dimensional algebraic fibering of pro- $p$  group extensions and we establish corollaries about incoherence of pro- $p$  groups. In particular, if  $G = K \rtimes \Gamma$  is a pro- $p$  group,  $\Gamma$  a finitely generated free pro- $p$  group with  $d(\Gamma) \geq 2$ ,  $K$  a finitely presented pro- $p$  group with  $N$  a normal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $K$  such that  $K/N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $N$  not finitely generated as a pro- $p$  group, then  $G$  is incoherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups). Furthermore we show that if  $K$  is a free pro- $p$  group with  $d(K) = 2$  then either  $\text{Aut}_0(K)$  is incoherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups) or there is a finitely presented pro- $p$  group, without non-procyclic free pro- $p$  subgroups, that has a metabelian pro- $p$  quotient that is not finitely presented i.e. a pro- $p$  version of a result of Bieri-Strebel does not hold.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a pro- $p$  group  $G$  we denote by  $K[[G]]$  the completed group algebra of  $G$  over the ring  $K$ , where  $K$  is the field with  $p$  elements  $\mathbb{F}_p$  or the ring of the  $p$ -adic numbers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . By definition a pro- $p$  group  $G$  is of type  $FP_m$  if the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has a projective resolution where all projectives in dimension  $\leq m$  are finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ -modules. Note that  $G$  is of type  $FP_1$  if and only if  $G$  is finitely generated as a pro- $p$  group. And  $G$  is of type  $FP_2$  if and only if  $G$  is finitely presented as a pro- $p$  group i.e.  $G \simeq F/R$ , where  $F$  is a free pro- $p$  group with a finite free basis  $X$  and  $R$  is the smallest normal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $F$  that contains some fixed finite set of relations of  $G$ . It is interesting to note that for abstract (discrete) groups the abstract versions of the properties  $FP_2$  and finite presentability do not coincide [3].

In this paper we develop a pro- $p$  version of some of the results on algebraic fibering of abstract group extensions developed by the author and Vidussi in [14] and in the case of results on incoherence we prove results stronger than the ones proved in the abstract case. The results in [14] generalise the main results of Friedl and Vidussi in [9] and the main results of Kropholler and Walsh in [16]. The proofs of the results of [9], [14] and [16] use the Bieri-Strebal-Neumann-Renz  $\Sigma$ -invariants introduced in [5] and [6]. In [11] King suggested a  $\Sigma$ -invariant in the case of metabelian pro- $p$  groups [11]. We will use the King invariant in the proof of Proposition 3.4 but the rest of the results in this paper would have homological proofs independant from the King invariant.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$  be a short exact sequence of pro- $p$  groups such that  $G$  and  $K$  are of type  $FP_{n_0}$ ,  $\Gamma^{ab}$  is infinite and there is a normal pro- $p$  subgroup  $N$  of  $K$  such that  $G' \cap K \subseteq N$ ,  $K/N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $N$  is of type  $FP_{n_0-1}$ . Then there is a normal pro- $p$  subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $G/M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $M \cap K = N$  and  $M$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$ . Furthermore if  $K$ ,  $G$  and  $N$  are of type  $FP_\infty$  then  $M$  can be chosen of type  $FP_\infty$ .*

We call a discrete pro- $p$  character of  $G$  a non-trivial homomorphism of pro- $p$  groups  $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$  such that  $H \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then the theorem could be restated as : assume that  $G$  and  $K$  are of type  $FP_{n_0}$ ,  $\Gamma^{ab}$  is infinite and there is a discrete pro- $p$  character  $\alpha$  of  $G$  such that  $\alpha|_K \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Ker}(\alpha) \cap K = N$  is of type  $FP_{n_0-1}$ . Then there exists a discrete pro- $p$  character  $\mu$  of  $G$  such that  $M = \text{Ker}(\mu)$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$  and  $\mu|_K = \alpha|_K$ , in particular  $M \cap K = N$ .

*Key words and phrases.* algebraic fibering, pro- $p$  groups, coherence, homological type  $FP_m$ .

There is a lot in the literature on coherent abstract groups but very little is known for coherent pro- $p$  groups. Similar to the abstract case a pro- $p$  group  $G$  is coherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups) if every finitely generated pro- $p$  subgroup of  $G$  is finitely presented as a pro- $p$  group i.e. is of type  $FP_2$ . We generalise this concept and define that a pro- $p$  group  $G$  is  $n$ -coherent if any pro- $p$  subgroup of  $G$  that is of type  $FP_n$  is of type  $FP_{n+1}$ . Thus a pro- $p$  group is 1-coherent if and only if it is coherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups).

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $K, \Gamma$  and  $G = K \rtimes \Gamma$  be pro- $p$  groups, where  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated free pro- $p$  but not pro- $p$  cyclic. Suppose that  $K$  is of type  $FP_{n_0+1}$  and there is a normal pro- $p$  subgroup  $N$  of  $K$  such that  $G' \cap K \subseteq N$ ,  $K/N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $N$  is of type  $FP_{n_0-1}$  but is not of type  $FP_{n_0}$ . Then there is a normal pro- $p$  subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $G/M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $M \cap K = N$  and  $M$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$  but is not of type  $FP_{n_0+1}$ . In particular  $G$  is not  $n_0$ -coherent.*

As in the case of Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.2 can be restated in terms of discrete pro- $p$  characters.

For a free abstract group its rank is the minimal number of generators. Since for pro- $p$  groups  $G$  finite rank is used for a notion different from the one adopted for abstract groups, we write  $d(G)$  for the minimal number of generators of  $G$ . It is known that abstract (free finite rank)-by- $\mathbb{Z}$  groups are coherent [8]. There is a conjecture suggested by Wise and independently by Kropholler and Walsh that an abstract (free of finite rank  $\geq 2$ )-by-(free of finite rank  $\geq 2$ ) group is incoherent, see [16]. In [16] Kropholler and Walsh proved that (free of rank 2)-by-(free of finite rank  $\geq 2$ ) abstract group is incoherent. The proof uses significantly that for a free abstract group  $F_2$  of rank 2 we have that  $Out(F_2) \simeq GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and some explicit calculations with a finite generating set of a subgroup of finite index in  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  were used. Such an approach would not work for pro- $p$  groups since by Romankov's result in [23] the automorphism group of a free pro- $p$  group  $G$ , where  $2 \leq d(G) < \infty$ , is not finitely generated as a topological group. Still a pro- $p$  version of the Kropholler-Walsh result holds and it is a particular case of Corollary 1.4 that follows from the following quite general theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $G = K \rtimes \Gamma$  be a pro- $p$  group with  $K$  a finitely presented pro- $p$  group such that there is a normal pro- $p$  subgroup  $N$  of  $K$  such that  $K/N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $N$  is not finitely generated,  $\Gamma$  a finitely generated free pro- $p$  group with  $d(\Gamma) \geq 2$ . Then  $G$  is incoherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups).*

The class of pro- $p$  groups  $\mathcal{L}$  was first considered by the author and Zalesskii in [15]. This class of groups contains all finitely generated free pro- $p$  groups. It shares many properties with the class of abstract limit groups and is defined using extensions of centralizers. There are many open questions about the class of pro- $p$  groups  $\mathcal{L}$ . For example, by Wilton's result from [25] every finitely generated subgroup of an abstract limit group is a virtual retract, but the pro- $p$  version of this result is still an open problem. In order to prove Corollary 1.4 we show in Proposition 3.6 that the abelianization of any non-trivial pro- $p$  group from  $\mathcal{L}$  is always infinite. The same argument can be adapted for the class of abstract limit groups.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $G = K \rtimes \Gamma$  be a pro- $p$  group with  $K$  a non-abelian pro- $p$  group from the class  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\Gamma$  a finitely generated free pro- $p$  group with  $d(\Gamma) \geq 2$ . Then  $G$  is incoherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups). In particular if  $K$  is a finitely generated free pro- $p$  group with  $d(K) \geq 2$  then  $G$  is incoherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups).*

For a finite rank free pro- $p$  group  $F$  the structure of  $Aut(F)$  was studied first by Lubotsky in [18].  $Aut(F)$  is a topological group with a pro- $p$  subgroup of finite index. In [10] Gordon proved that

the automorphism group of an abstract free group of rank 2 is incoherent. Unfortunately we could not prove a pro- $p$  version of this result but still it would hold if the group of outer pro- $p$  automorphisms of a free pro- $p$  group of rank 2 contains a free non-procyclic pro- $p$  subgroup. For a free abstract group  $F_2$  of rank 2 we have that  $Out(F_2) \simeq GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and since  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to the free amalgamated product of  $C_4$  and  $C_6$  over a copy of  $C_2$  it follows easily that  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  contains a free non-cyclic abstract group (or use the Tits alternative), hence  $Out(F_2)$  contains a free non-cyclic abstract group. Nevertheless the group  $GL_2^1(\mathbb{Z}_p) = Ker(GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p))$  does not contain a free pro- $p$  non-procyclic pro- $p$  subgroup, since it is  $p$ -adic analytic and so there is an upper limit on the number of generators of finitely generated pro- $p$  subgroups [7]. For related results on non-existence of free pro- $p$  subgroups in matrix groups see [1], [2], [26].

Let  $G$  be a finitely generated pro- $p$  group. Define  $Aut_0(G) = Ker(Aut(G) \rightarrow Aut(G/G^*))$ , where  $G^*$  is the Frattini subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $Aut_0(G)$  is a pro- $p$  subgroup of  $Aut(G)$  of finite index.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Suppose that  $K$  is a free pro- $p$  group with  $d(K) = 2$ . If  $Out(K)$  contains a pro- $p$  free non-procyclic subgroup then  $Aut_0(K)$  is incoherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups).*

By the Bieri-Strebel results in [4] for a finitely presented abstract group  $H$  that does not contain free non-cyclic abstract subgroups, every metabelian quotient of  $H$  is finitely presented. It is an open question whether a pro- $p$  version of the Bieri-Strebel result holds i.e. whether if  $G$  is a finitely presented pro- $p$  group without free non-procyclic pro- $p$  subgroups then every metabelian pro- $p$  quotient of  $G$  is finitely presented as a pro- $p$  group. Note that by the King classification of the finitely presented metabelian pro- $p$  groups in [12] every pro- $p$  quotient of a finitely presented metabelian pro- $p$  group is finitely presented pro- $p$ . Using Corollary 1.5 and some ideas introduced by Romankov in [22], [23] we prove the following result.

**Corollary 1.6.** *Suppose that  $K$  is a free pro- $p$  group with  $d(K) = 2$ . Then either  $Aut_0(K)$  is incoherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups) or the pro- $p$  version of the Bieri-Strebel result does not hold.*

**Acknowledgments** The author was partially supported by Bolsa de produtividade em pesquisa CNPq 305457/2021-7 and Projeto temático FAPESP 18/23690-6.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Homological finiteness properties for pro- $p$  groups.** Let  $G$  be a pro- $p$  group. By definition

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]] = \varprojlim_{p^i \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^i \mathbb{Z}}[[G/U]],$$

where the inverse limit is over all  $i \geq 1$  and  $U$  open subgroups of  $G$ . And

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[G]] = \mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]/p\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]] = \varprojlim \mathbb{F}_p[[G/U]]$$

where the inverse limit is over all open subgroups  $U$  of  $G$ .

By definition  $G$  is of type  $FP_m$  if the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has a projective resolution where all projectives in dimension  $\leq m$  are finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ -modules. By [11] for a pro- $p$  group the following conditions are equivalent :

- 1)  $G$  is of type  $FP_m$ ;
- 2)  $H_i(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is a finitely generated (abelian) pro- $p$  group for  $i \leq m$ ;
- 3)  $H_i(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is finite for  $i \leq m$ ;

4) for  $K$  either  $\mathbb{F}_p$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $N$  a normal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $G$  such that  $K[[G]]$  is left and right Noetherian the homology groups  $H_i(N, K)$  are finitely generated as  $K[[G/N]]$ -modules for  $i \leq m$ , where the  $G/N$  action is induced by the conjugation action of  $G$  on  $N$ .

The equivalence of the above conditions is a corollary of the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p[[G]]$  are local rings. Here  $H_i(N, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  and  $H_i(N, \mathbb{F}_p)$  are the standard homology groups of pro- $p$  groups with coefficients in the trivial pro- $p$   $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ -modules  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , for more on homology groups see [21].

**2.2. The King invariant.** Let  $Q$  be a finitely generated abelian pro- $p$  group and let  $\mathbb{F}$  be the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{F}[[t]]^\times$  the multiplicative group of invertible elements in  $\mathbb{F}[[t]]$ . Consider

$$T(Q) = \{\chi : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[[t]]^\times \mid \chi \text{ is a continuous homomorphism}\},$$

where  $\mathbb{F}[[t]]^\times$  is a topological group with topology induced by the topology of the ring  $\mathbb{F}[[t]]$ , given by the sequence of ideals  $(t) \supseteq (t^2) \supseteq \dots \supseteq (t^i) \supseteq \dots$ . Note that since  $\chi$  is continuous we have that  $\chi(Q) \subset 1 + t\mathbb{F}[[t]]$ .

For  $\chi \in T(Q)$  there is a unique continuous ring homomorphism

$$\bar{\chi} : \mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[[t]]$$

that extends  $\chi$ .

Let  $A$  be a finitely generated pro- $p$   $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ -module. In [12] King defined the following invariant

$$\Delta(A) = \{\chi \in T(Q) \mid \text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]}(A) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\bar{\chi})\}.$$

In [12] King used the notation  $\Xi(A)$ , that we here substitute by  $\Delta(A)$ .

Let  $P$  be a pro- $p$  subgroup of  $Q$ . Define  $T(Q, P) = \{\chi \in T(Q) \mid \chi(P) = 1\}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** [12, Thm B], [12, Lemma 2.5] *Let  $Q$  be a finitely generated abelian pro- $p$  group. Let  $A$  be a finitely generated pro- $p$   $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ -module.*

a) *Then  $A$  is finitely generated as an abelian pro- $p$  group if and only if  $\Delta(A) = \{1\}$ .*

b) *If  $P$  is a pro- $p$  subgroup of  $Q$  then  $T(Q, P) \cap \Delta(A) = \Delta(A/[A, P])$ . In particular  $A$  is finitely generated as a pro- $p$   $\mathbb{Z}_p[[P]]$ -module if and only if  $T(Q, P) \cap \Delta(A) = \{1\}$ .*

We state the classification of the finitely presented metabelian pro- $p$  groups given by King in [12].

**Theorem 2.2.** [12] *Let  $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  be a short exact sequence of pro- $p$  groups, where  $G$  is a finitely generated pro- $p$  group and  $A$  and  $Q$  are abelian pro- $p$  groups. Then  $G$  is a finitely presented pro- $p$  group if and only if  $\Delta(A) \cap \Delta(A)^{-1} = \{1\}$ .*

**Example** Let  $A = \mathbb{F}_p[[s]]$ ,  $Q = \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $G = A \rtimes Q$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has a generator  $b$  and  $b$  acts via conjugation on  $A$  by multiplication with  $1 + s$ . Since  $\text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]}(A) = p\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]] \subseteq \text{Ker}(\bar{\chi})$  for any  $\chi \in T(Q)$ , we conclude that  $\Delta(A) = T(Q) = \Delta(A)^{-1}$ . Hence by Theorem 2.2  $G$  is not finitely presented.

### 3. PROOFS

We start by citing a result on abstract groups. We recall first that an abstract group  $G$  is of type  $FP_m$  if the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$  has a projective resolution where all projectives in dimension  $\leq m$  are finitely generated. An abstract group  $G$  is of (homotopical) type  $F_n$  if there is a classifying space  $K(G, 1)$  with finite  $n$ -skeleton. If  $n \geq 2$  then  $G$  is of type  $F_n$  if and only if it is of type  $FP_n$  and is finitely presented (as an abstract group). The homotopical part of Proposition 3.1 was proved by Kuckuck in [17] and the homological part of Proposition 3.1 was proved by the author and Lima in [13]. The former has a geometric proof and the latter an algebraic one.

**Proposition 3.1.** [17], [13] *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a natural number,  $A \hookrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C$  a short exact sequence of groups with  $A$  of type  $F_n$  (resp. of type  $FP_n$ ) and  $C$  of type  $F_{n+1}$  (resp. of type  $FP_{n+1}$ ). Assume there is another short exact sequence of groups  $A \hookrightarrow B_0 \twoheadrightarrow C_0$  with  $B_0$  of type  $F_{n+1}$  (resp. of type  $FP_{n+1}$ ) and that there is a group homomorphism  $\theta : B_0 \rightarrow B$  such that  $\theta|_A = id_A$ , i.e. there is a commutative diagram of homomorphisms of groups*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \hookrightarrow & B_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & C_0 \\ id_A \downarrow & & \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ A & \hookrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\pi} & C \end{array}$$

*Then  $B$  is of type  $F_{n+1}$  (resp. of type  $FP_{n+1}$ ).*

We prove a pro- $p$  version of the above proposition. Recall that the property  $FP_m$  for pro- $p$  groups was discussed in Section 2.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a natural number,  $A \hookrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C$  a short exact sequence of pro- $p$  groups with  $A$  of type  $FP_n$  and  $C$  of type  $FP_{n+1}$ . Assume there is another short exact sequence of pro- $p$  groups  $A \hookrightarrow B_0 \twoheadrightarrow C_0$  with  $B_0$  of type  $FP_{n+1}$  and that there is a homomorphism of pro- $p$  groups  $\theta : B_0 \rightarrow B$  such that  $\theta|_A = id_A$ , i.e. there is a commutative diagram of homomorphisms of pro- $p$  groups*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \hookrightarrow & B_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & C_0 \\ id_A \downarrow & & \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ A & \hookrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\pi} & C \end{array}$$

*Then  $B$  is of type  $FP_{n+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the LHS-spectral sequence

$$E_{i,j}^2 = H_i(C_0, H_j(A, \mathbb{F}_p))$$

that converges to  $H_{i+j}(B_0, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Similarly there is the LHS spectral sequence

$$\hat{E}_{i,j}^2 = H_i(C, H_j(A, \mathbb{F}_p))$$

that converges to  $H_{i+j}(B, \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

Since  $A$  is of type  $FP_n$  we have that  $H_j(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is finite for all  $j \leq n$ . Then there is a pro- $p$  subgroup  $C_1$  of finite index in  $C$  such that  $C_1$  acts trivially on  $H_j(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$  for every  $j \leq n$ . Since  $C$  is of type  $FP_{n+1}$  we have that  $C_1$  is of type  $FP_{n+1}$ . Then

$$H_i(C_1, H_j(A, \mathbb{F}_p)) \simeq \oplus H_i(C_1, \mathbb{F}_p) \text{ is finite for } j \leq n, i \leq n+1,$$

where we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_j(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$  direct summands. Since  $C_1$  has finite index in  $C$  we deduce that

$$\widehat{E}_{i,j}^2 = H_i(C, H_j(A, \mathbb{F}_p)) \text{ is finite for } j \leq n, i \leq n+1,$$

hence by the convergence of the second spectral sequence we obtain that

$$H_k(B, \mathbb{F}_p) \text{ is finite for } k \leq n.$$

Note that we have shown that if  $i+j = n+1, i \neq 0$  then  $\widehat{E}_{i,j}^2$  is finite, hence  $\widehat{E}_{i,j}^\infty$  is finite. By the convergence of the spectral sequence there is a filtration of  $H_{n+1}(B, \mathbb{F}_p)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = F_{-1}(H_{n+1}(B, \mathbb{F}_p)) &\subseteq \dots \subseteq F_i(H_{n+1}(B, \mathbb{F}_p)) \subseteq F_{i+1}(H_{n+1}(B, \mathbb{F}_p)) \\ &\subseteq \dots \subseteq F_{n+1}(H_{n+1}(B, \mathbb{F}_p)) = H_{n+1}(B, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_i(H_{n+1}(B, \mathbb{F}_p))/F_{i-1}(H_{n+1}(B, \mathbb{F}_p)) \simeq \widehat{E}_{i, n+1-i}^\infty$ . Thus

$$H_{n+1}(B, \mathbb{F}_p) \text{ is finite if and only if } \widehat{E}_{0, n+1}^\infty \text{ is finite.}$$

Note that since any differential that comes out from  $\widehat{E}_{0, n+1}^r$  is zero we have that  $\widehat{E}_{0, n+1}^\infty$  is a quotient of  $\widehat{E}_{0, n+1}^2 = H_0(C, H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p))$ , thus there is a map

$$\mu : H_0(C, H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(B, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

with image that equals  $\widehat{E}_{0, n+1}^\infty$ . Thus  $B$  is of type  $FP_{n+1}$  if and only if  $Im(\mu)$  is finite.

Similarly there is a map

$$\mu_0 : H_0(C_0, H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(B_0, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

with image that equals  $E_{0, n+1}^\infty$  and such that  $B_0$  is of type  $FP_{n+1}$  if and only if  $Im(\mu_0)$  is finite. Since  $B_0$  is of type  $FP_{n+1}$  we conclude that  $Im(\mu_0)$  is finite.

The naturality of the LHS spectral sequence implies that we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_0(C_0, H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p)) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & H_0(C, H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p)) \\ \mu_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ H_{n+1}(B_0, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & H_{n+1}(B, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

where the maps  $\rho$  and  $\rho_0$  are induced by  $\nu$ . Recall that the action of  $B_0$  on  $A$  via conjugation induces an action of  $B_0$  on  $H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$  where  $A$  acts trivially and this induces the action of  $C_0$  on  $H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$  that is used to define  $H_0(C_0, H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p))$ . Similarly the action of  $B$  on  $A$  via conjugation induces an action of  $B$  on  $H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$  where  $A$  acts trivially and this induces the action of  $C$  on  $H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$  that is used to define  $H_0(C, H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p))$ . Recall that the map

$$\rho : H_0(C_0, H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow H_0(C, H_{n+1}(A, \mathbb{F}_p))$$

from the commutative diagram is induced by  $\nu$ . If  $\nu$  is surjective then  $\rho$  is an isomorphism; if  $\nu$  is injective then  $\rho$  is surjective. Since every homomorphism  $\nu$  is composition of one epimorphism followed by one monomorphism we conclude that  $\rho$  is always surjective. Then

$$Im(\mu) = Im(\mu \circ \rho) = Im(\rho_0 \circ \mu_0) \text{ is a quotient of } Im(\mu_0)$$

Since  $Im(\mu_0)$  is finite we conclude that  $Im(\mu)$  is finite. Hence  $B$  is of type  $FP_{n+1}$  as required.  $\square$

Recall that a pro- $p$  HNN extension is called proper if the canonical map from the base group to the pro- $p$  HNN extension is injective.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $G = \langle A, t \mid K^t = K \rangle$  be a proper pro- $p$  HNN extension. Suppose that  $A, K$  are pro- $p$  groups of type  $FP_m$  and  $M$  is a normal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $K \not\subseteq M$  and  $M \cap A$  is of type  $FP_m$ . Then the following holds:

- a)  $M$  is of type  $FP_m$  if and only if  $M \cap K$  is of type  $FP_{m-1}$ ;
- b) if  $M$  is of type  $FP_{m+1}$  then  $M \cap K$  is of type  $FP_m$ .

*Proof.* The proper pro- $p$  HNN extension gives rise to the exact sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_p[[G]]$ -modules

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[K]]} \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[A]]} \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow 0$$

Note that since  $K \not\subseteq M$  we have that  $M \setminus G / K = G / MK$  is a proper pro- $p$  quotient of  $G / M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ , hence is finite. Similarly  $M \setminus G / A = G / MA$  is finite. Note that there is an isomorphism of (left)  $\mathbb{F}_p[[M]]$ -modules

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[K]]} \mathbb{F}_p \simeq (\oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / K} \mathbb{F}_p[[M]] t \mathbb{F}_p[[K]]) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[K]]} \mathbb{F}_p \simeq \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / K} \mathbb{F}_p[[M]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[M \cap t K t^{-1}]]} \mathbb{F}_p$$

Similarly there is an isomorphism of (left)  $\mathbb{F}_p[[M]]$ -modules

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[A]]} \mathbb{F}_p \simeq (\oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / A} \mathbb{F}_p[[M]] t \mathbb{F}_p[[A]]) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[A]]} \mathbb{F}_p \simeq \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / A} \mathbb{F}_p[[M]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[M \cap t A t^{-1}]]} \mathbb{F}_p$$

The short exact sequence (1) gives rise to a long exact sequence in pro- $p$  homology

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_{m+1}(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_m(M, \mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[K]]} \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_m(M, \mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[A]]} \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_m(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ \rightarrow H_{m-1}(M, \mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[K]]} \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_1(M, \mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[A]]} \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_1(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \\ H_0(M, \mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[K]]} \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_0(M, \mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[A]]} \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_0(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(M, \mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[K]]} \mathbb{F}_p) &\simeq H_i(M, \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / K} \mathbb{F}_p[[M]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[M \cap t K t^{-1}]]} \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \\ \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / K} H_i(M, \mathbb{F}_p[[M]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[M \cap t K t^{-1}]]} \mathbb{F}_p) &\simeq \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / K} H_i(M \cap t K t^{-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) = \\ \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / K} H_i(t(M \cap K) t^{-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) &\simeq \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / K} H_i(M \cap K, \mathbb{F}_p). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$H_i(M, \mathbb{F}_p[[G]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[A]]} \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / A} H_i(M \cap A, \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Then the long exact sequence could be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_{m+1}(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / K} H_m(M \cap K, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / A} H_m(M \cap A, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_m(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ \rightarrow \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / K} H_{m-1}(M \cap K, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / A} H_1(M \cap A, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_1(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \\ \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / K} H_0(M \cap K, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / A} H_0(M \cap A, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_0(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M \cap A$  is of type  $FP_m$  we have that  $H_i(M \cap A, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is finite for  $i \leq m$ . Combining with  $M \setminus G / A$  is finite, we conclude that  $\oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / A} H_i(M \cap A, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is finite for  $i \leq m$ .

a) Note that  $M$  is of type  $FP_m$  if and only if  $H_i(M, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is finite for  $i \leq m$ . By the above long exact sequence together with the fact that  $M \setminus G / K$  is finite,  $H_i(M, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is finite for  $i \leq m$  if and only if  $\oplus_{t \in M \setminus G / K} H_i(M \cap K, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is finite for  $i \leq m - 1$  i.e.  $M \cap K$  is of type  $FP_{m-1}$ .

b) If  $M$  is of type  $FP_{m+1}$  then  $H_{m+1}(M, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is finite and since  $H_m(M \cap A, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is finite by the long exact sequence  $H_m(M \cap K, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is finite. We already know by a) that  $M \cap K$  is of type  $FP_{m-1}$ , hence  $M \cap K$  is of type  $FP_m$ .  $\square$

For a pro- $p$  group  $G$  with a subset  $S$  denote by  $\langle S \rangle$  the pro- $p$  subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $S$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $Q \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^2 = \langle x, y \rangle$  and  $A$  be a finitely generated pro- $p$   $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ -module. Suppose that for  $H = \langle x \rangle$  we have that  $A$  is finitely generated as a pro- $p$   $\mathbb{Z}_p[[H]]$ -module. Let  $H_j = \langle xy^{-p^j} \rangle$ . Then there is  $j_0 > 0$  such that for every  $j \geq j_0$  we have that  $A$  is finitely generated as  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[H_j]]$ -module.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1 if  $P$  is a pro- $p$  subgroup of  $Q$  then  $A$  is finitely generated as  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[P]]$ -module if and only if  $T(Q, P) \cap \Delta(A) = \{1\}$ . Let

$$J = \text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]}(A).$$

Since  $A$  is finitely generated as a pro- $p$   $\mathbb{Z}_p[[H]]$ -module for every  $\chi \in T(Q, H) \setminus \{1\}$  we have that  $J \not\subseteq \text{Ker}(\bar{\chi})$ .

Let  $\mu_j \in T(Q, H_j) \setminus \{1\}$ . We aim to show that for sufficiently big  $j$  we have that  $\mu_j \notin \Delta(A)$ . Then by Theorem 2.1,  $A$  is finitely generated as  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[H_j]]$ -module.

Let

$$\bar{\mu}_j : \mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[[t]]$$

be the continuous ring homomorphism induced by  $\mu_j$ . Since  $\bar{\mu}_j(H_j) = 1$  we have

$$\mu_j(x) = \mu_j(y^{p^j}).$$

Let  $\chi \in T(Q, H) \setminus \{1\}$  be such that

$$\chi(y) = \mu_j(y)$$

and

$$\bar{\chi} : \mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[[t]]$$

be the continuous ring homomorphism induced by  $\chi$ . Recall that  $\chi \in T(Q, H)$  implies that  $\chi(x) = 1$ . Then there is  $\lambda \in J$  such that  $\bar{\chi}(\lambda) \neq 0$ . Note that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]] = \mathbb{Z}_p[[t_1, t_2]]$ , where  $x = 1 + t_1, y = 1 + t_2$  and since  $\chi(y) = \mu_j(y)$  we have

$$0 \neq \bar{\chi}(\lambda) = \bar{\chi}(\lambda|_{t_1=0}) = \bar{\mu}_j(\lambda|_{t_1=0}).$$

Note that

$$\bar{\mu}_j(t_2) = \bar{\mu}_j(1 + t_2) - \bar{\mu}_j(1) \in 1 + t\mathbb{F}[[t]] - 1 = t\mathbb{F}[[t]]$$

hence  $\bar{\mu}_j(t_2)^{p^j} \in t^{p^j}\mathbb{F}[[t]]$ . This together with the condition  $\mu_j(x) = \mu_j(y^{p^j})$  implies

$$\bar{\mu}_j(\lambda) = \bar{\mu}_j(\lambda|_{t_1=t_2^{p^j}}) \in \bar{\mu}_j(\lambda|_{t_1=0}) + t^{p^j}\mathbb{F}[[t]].$$

Suppose that

$$0 \neq \bar{\mu}_j(\lambda|_{t_1=0}) \in ft^m + t^{m+1}\mathbb{F}[[t]]$$

where  $f \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}, m \geq 0$ . Then choose  $j_0 > 0$  such that  $p^{j_0} > m$  and this implies that for  $j \geq j_0$  we have  $\bar{\mu}_j(\lambda) \neq 0$ . Hence  $\mu_j \notin \Delta(A)$   $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $G$  be a pro- $p$  group with a normal pro- $p$  subgroup  $G_0$  such that  $G/G_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ . Let  $S$  be a normal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/S \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $G_0 \subseteq S$  and  $S$  is of type  $FP_m$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . Then there is a normal pro- $p$  subgroup  $S_0$  of  $G$  such that  $G/S_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $S \neq S_0$ ,  $G_0 \subseteq S_0$  and  $S_0$  is of type  $FP_m$ .



*Proof.* Note that since  $S$  is a pro- $p$  group of type  $FP_m$  and  $G/S \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  is a pro- $p$  group of type  $FP_\infty$ , hence of type  $FP_m$ , we can conclude that  $G$  is a pro- $p$  group of type  $FP_m$ . Set

$$Q = G/G_0 = \langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } H = S/G_0 = \langle x \rangle.$$

Since  $Q = G/G_0$  is a finitely generated abelian pro- $p$  group and  $G$  is of type  $FP_m$  we conclude that  $A_i = H_i(G_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is finitely generated as a pro- $p$   $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ -module for  $i \leq m$ . Since  $S$  is a pro- $p$  group of type  $FP_m$  we conclude that  $A_i$  is finitely generated as a pro- $p$   $\mathbb{Z}_p[[H]]$ -module. Then by Proposition 3.4 for sufficiently big  $j$  we have that  $A_i$  is finitely generated as a pro- $p$   $\mathbb{Z}_p[[H_j]]$ -module, where  $H_j = \langle xy^{-p^j} \rangle \leq Q$ , for every  $i \leq m$ . Then we define  $S_0$  as the preimage in  $G$  of one such  $H_j$ .  $\square$

### Proofs of Theorem 1.1

There is a commutative diagram where the lines are short exact sequences of pro- $p$  groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K & \hookrightarrow & \Pi & \twoheadrightarrow & F_n \\ \text{id}_K \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K & \hookrightarrow & G & \twoheadrightarrow & \Gamma \end{array}$$

where  $F_n$  is the free pro- $p$  group with a free basis  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ . Define

$$\Pi = \Pi_1 \amalg_K \Pi_2 \amalg_K \dots \amalg_K \Pi_n,$$

where  $\amalg_K$  is the amalgamated free product in the category of pro- $p$  groups, and each  $\Pi_i = K \rtimes \langle s_i \rangle$ ,  $\langle s_i \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Note that since  $K$  is normal in  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi/K \simeq \Pi_1/K \amalg \Pi_2/K \amalg \dots \amalg \Pi_n/K$  is a free pro- $p$  product we conclude that  $\Pi_1 \amalg_K \Pi_2 \amalg_K \dots \amalg_K \Pi_i$  embeds in  $\Pi$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Recall that  $\Gamma^{ab}$  is infinite, hence the image in  $\Gamma^{ab}$  of at least one  $\pi(s_i)$  has infinite order. Without loss of generality we can assume that the image of  $\pi(s_1)$  in  $\Gamma^{ab}$  has infinite order. In particular  $\Pi_1 \simeq \pi(\Pi_1)$  is an isomorphism. Note that  $[K, s_1] \subseteq G' \cap K \subseteq N$ , hence  $\Pi'_1 \subseteq N$ . We have  $N \subseteq K \subseteq \Pi_1$  where  $K/N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\Pi_1/K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ , this together with the inclusion  $\Pi'_1 \subseteq N$  implies that  $\Pi_1/N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ .

By assumption  $K$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$ . By Proposition 3.5 there is  $S_0$  a normal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $\Pi_1$  such that  $N \subseteq S_0$ ,  $S_0$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$ ,  $S_0 \neq K$  and  $\Pi_1/S_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Recall that  $\Pi_1 \simeq \pi(\Pi_1)$ . Let

$$\mu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

be a homomorphism of pro- $p$  groups such that  $\text{Ker}(\mu \circ \pi) \cap \Pi_1 = S_0$  i.e.  $\text{Ker}(\mu) \cap \pi(\Pi_1) = \pi(S_0)$ . This is possible since  $\Pi_1/N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^2$  is abelian and  $G' \cap K \subseteq N \subseteq S_0$ . Note that  $K \not\subseteq S_0$ , hence  $\mu(K) \neq 0$ .

Consider the epimorphism of pro- $p$  groups

$$\chi = \mu \circ \pi : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Note that  $\chi(K) \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Ker}(\chi) \cap \Pi_1 = S_0$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$  and  $\text{Ker}(\chi) \cap K = S_0 \cap K = N$  is of type  $FP_{n_0-1}$ . Then we view  $\Pi_1 \amalg_K \Pi_2$  as a proper HNN extension

$$\langle \Pi_1, s_2 \mid K^{s_2} = K \rangle$$

with a pro- $p$  base group  $\Pi_1$ , associated pro- $p$  subgroup  $K$  and stable letter  $s_2$ . Then by Lemma 3.3 a)

$$\text{Ker}(\chi) \cap (\Pi_1 \coprod_K \Pi_2) \text{ is of type } FP_{n_0}.$$

We view  $\Pi_1 \coprod_K \Pi_2 \coprod_K \Pi_3$  as a proper HNN extension with a base pro- $p$  group  $\Pi_1 \coprod_K \Pi_2$ , associated pro- $p$  subgroup  $K$  and stable letter  $s_3$  then by Lemma 3.3 a)

$$\text{Ker}(\chi) \cap (\Pi_1 \coprod_K \Pi_2 \coprod_K \Pi_3) \text{ is of type } FP_{n_0}.$$

Then repeating this argument several times we deduce that  $\text{Ker}(\chi)$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$ .

By construction  $\text{Ker}(\mu)$  is a quotient of  $\text{Ker}(\chi)$ . If  $n_0 = 1$  then  $\text{Ker}(\chi)$  is finitely generated (as a pro- $p$  group), then any pro- $p$  quotient of  $\text{Ker}(\chi)$  is finitely generated (as a pro- $p$  group). In particular  $\text{Ker}(\mu)$  is finitely generated (as a pro- $p$  group).

Now for the general case i.e.  $n_0 \geq 2$  we will apply Lemma 3.2. Write  $\widetilde{\text{Ker}(\chi)}$  for the image of  $\text{Ker}(\chi)$  in  $F_n$  and  $\widetilde{\text{Ker}(\mu)}$  for the image of  $\text{Ker}(\mu)$  in  $\Gamma$ . By construction  $\text{Ker}(\chi) \cap K = N = \text{Ker}(\mu) \cap K$ . By assumption  $N$  is of type  $FP_{n_0-1}$  and we have already shown that  $\text{Ker}(\chi)$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$ . By construction  $\mu(K) \neq 0$ , hence  $K \cdot \text{Ker}(\mu) \neq \text{Ker}(\mu)$  and since  $G/\text{Ker}(\mu) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  we deduce that  $K \cdot \text{Ker}(\mu)$  has finite index in  $G$  and so  $\widetilde{\text{Ker}(\mu)}$  has finite index in  $\Gamma$ . Since in the short exact sequence of pro- $p$  groups

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$$

we have that  $G$  and  $K$  are pro- $p$  groups of type  $FP_{n_0}$  (it suffices that  $K$  is of type  $FP_{n_0-1}$ ) we deduce that  $\Gamma$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$ . Then  $\widetilde{\text{Ker}(\mu)}$  is a pro- $p$  group of type  $FP_{n_0}$ . Then we can apply Lemma 3.2 for the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N = \text{Ker}(\chi) \cap K & \hookrightarrow & \text{Ker}(\chi) & \twoheadrightarrow & \widetilde{\text{Ker}(\chi)} \\ \text{id}_N \downarrow & & \pi|_{\text{Ker}(\chi)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N = \text{Ker}(\mu) \cap K & \hookrightarrow & \text{Ker}(\mu) & \twoheadrightarrow & \widetilde{\text{Ker}(\mu)} \end{array}$$

to deduce that  $\text{Ker}(\mu)$  is a pro- $p$  group of type  $FP_{n_0}$ . Finally we set  $M = \text{Ker}(\mu)$ .

### Proof of Corollary 1.2

We define  $M$  as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 for  $\Gamma = F_n$  and  $\pi$  the identity map,  $\mu = \chi$ . Thus  $M = \text{Ker}(\chi) = \text{Ker}(\mu)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $G/M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $M$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$ . We view

$$G = \Pi = \Pi_1 \coprod_K \Pi_2 \coprod_K \dots \coprod_K \Pi_n$$

as a proper HNN extension with a base pro- $p$  subgroup  $A = \Pi_1 \coprod_K \Pi_2 \coprod_K \dots \coprod_K \Pi_{n-1}$ , associated pro- $p$  subgroup  $K$  and stable letter  $s_n$ . By the proof of Theorem 1.1  $A \cap M = A \cap \text{Ker}(\chi)$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$ . Suppose that  $M$  is of type  $FP_{n_0+1}$ . By Lemma 3.3 b)  $N = M \cap K$  is of type  $FP_{n_0}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $M$  is not of type  $FP_{n_0+1}$ . This completes the proof of the corollary.

### Proof of Theorem 1.3

We claim that there is a finitely generated non-procyclic pro- $p$  subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma_0$  acts trivially on the abelianization  $K^{ab} = K/K'$  via conjugation. Let  $T = \text{tor}(K/K')$  be the torsion part of

$K^{ab}$ . Then  $V = K^{ab}/T \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ , where  $d \geq 1$ . Note that the conjugation action of  $\Gamma$  on  $V \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^d$  induces a homomorphism

$$\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL_d(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Note that  $Im(\rho)$  is a pro- $p$  subgroup of  $GL_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , hence is  $p$ -adic analytic and there is an upper bound on the number of generators of any finitely generated pro- $p$  subgroup of  $Im(\rho)$  [7]. Hence  $\rho$  is not injective. Alternatively we can use the main result of [1] to deduce that  $\rho$  is not injective. Thus  $Ker(\rho)$  is a non-trivial normal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $\Gamma$  and we can choose  $\Gamma_0$  any non-procyclic finitely generated pro- $p$  subgroup of  $Ker(\rho)$ .

Set  $G_0 = K \rtimes \Gamma_0$ . Then by Corollary 1.2 there is a normal pro- $p$  subgroup  $M$  of  $G_0$  such that  $G_0/M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $M$  is not of type  $FP_2$  i.e. is not finitely presented as a pro- $p$  group. Thus  $G_0$  is incoherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups). This completes the proof.

We recall the definition of the class of pro- $p$  groups  $\mathcal{L}$ . It uses the extension of centraliser construction. We define inductively the class  $\mathcal{G}_n$  of pro- $p$  groups by setting  $\mathcal{G}_0$  as the class of all finitely generated free pro- $p$  groups and a group  $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$  if there is a decomposition  $G_n = G_{n-1} \amalg_C A$ , where  $G_{n-1} \in \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ ,  $C$  is self-centralised procyclic subgroup of  $G_{n-1}$  and  $A$  is a finitely generated free abelian pro- $p$  group such that  $C$  is a direct summand of  $A$ . The class  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined as the class of all finitely generated pro- $p$  subgroups  $G$  of  $G_n$  where  $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$  for  $n \geq 0$ . The minimal  $n$  such that  $G \leq G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$  is called the weight of  $G$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{L}$  be a non-trivial pro- $p$  group. Then  $K^{ab} = K/K'$  is infinite.*

*Proof.* Let  $K \in \mathcal{L}$  have weight  $n$ . Suppose that  $K^{ab}$  is finite. And  $n$  is the smallest possible with  $K^{ab}$  finite. By [24, Thm. B]  $K$  is the fundamental pro- $p$  group of a finite graph of pro- $p$  groups  $\Delta$ , where each edge group is trivial or  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and each vertex groups is either a non-abelian limit pro- $p$  group of weight at most  $n - 1$  or a finitely generated abelian pro- $p$  group.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the underlying graph of the finite graph of groups  $\Delta$ . If it is not a tree then  $K$  decomposes as a pro- $p$  HNN extension, hence the stable letter generates an infinite procyclic subgroup of  $K^{ab}$ , a contradiction.

We can assume that  $|V(\Gamma)|$  is the smallest possible. Then we have a decomposition as an amalgamated pro- $p$  free product  $K = K_0 \amalg_{G_{e_0}} G_{v_0}$ , where  $K_0$  is the fundamental pro- $p$  group of the subgraph of pro- $p$  groups  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that its underlying graph  $\Gamma_0$  is obtained from  $\Gamma$  by removing the edge  $e_0$  and its vertex  $v_0$  and we have that  $e_0$  is the unique edge in  $\Gamma$  that has  $v_0$  as a vertex. Note that by [20] every amalgamated free pro- $p$  product with procyclic amalgamation is proper. Since the class  $\mathcal{L}$  is closed under finitely generated pro- $p$  subgroups,  $K_0 \in \mathcal{L}$  and by the minimality of  $|V(\Gamma)|$  and  $n$  we have that  $K_0^{ab}$  and  $G_{v_0}^{ab}$  are infinite. If we write  $t(M)$  for the torsion free rank of an abelian finitely generated pro- $p$  group  $M$  then  $t(K^{ab}) \geq t(K_0^{ab}) + t(G_{v_0}^{ab}) - t(G_{e_0}) \geq 1 + 1 - t(G_{e_0}) \geq 1$ , so  $K^{ab}$  cannot be finite.  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 1.4** By Proposition 3.6  $K^{ab}$  is infinite. Let  $N$  be a normal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $K$  such that  $K/N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ . By part (4) from the main theorem of [15] we have that  $N$  is not finitely generated as a pro- $p$  group. Then we can apply Theorem 1.3.

**Proof of Corollary 1.5** Let  $F$  be a finitely generated free non-procyclic pro- $p$  group that embeds as a closed subgroup of  $Out(K)$ . Note that  $G = K \rtimes F$  is a pro- $p$  group embeds as a closed subgroup of  $Aut(K)$  and by Theorem 1.3  $G$  is incoherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups).

**Proof of Corollary 1.6** We recall first some results from [18]. Let  $G$  be a finitely generated pro- $p$  group and  $Aut(G)$  denote all continuous automorphisms of  $G$  (which coincide with the abstract automorphisms of  $G$ ). Denote  $Inn(G)$  the group of the internal automorphisms. The group  $Aut(G)$  is a profinite group.

**Lemma 3.7.** [18] *a) Let  $G$  be a finitely generated pro- $p$  group and  $G^*$  be the Frattini subgroup of  $G$  i.e. the intersection of all maximal open subgroups of  $G$ . Then  $Ker(Aut(G) \rightarrow Aut(G/G^*))$  is a pro- $p$  subgroup of  $Aut(G)$  of finite index.*

*b) Let  $F$  be a finitely generated free pro- $p$  group and  $N$  be a characteristic pro- $p$  subgroup of  $F$ . Then the map  $Aut(F) \rightarrow Aut(F/N)$ , obtained by taking the induced automorphisms, is surjective.*

We set  $Aut_0(G) = Ker(Aut(G) \rightarrow Aut(G/G^*))$  and  $Out_0(G) = Aut_0(G)/Inn(G)$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Suppose  $K$  is a free pro- $p$  group,  $d(K) = 2$  and  $M$  is the maximal pro- $p$  metabelian quotient of  $K$ . Then  $Out(M)$  contains a finitely generated pro- $p$  subgroup  $H$  such that  $H$  has a metabelian pro- $p$  quotient that is not finitely presented (as a pro- $p$  group).*

**Lemma 3.8 implies Corollary 1.6:** If  $Out(K)$  contains a pro- $p$  free non-procyclic subgroup we can apply Corollary 1.5. Then we can assume that  $Out(K)$  does not contain a pro- $p$  free non-procyclic subgroup. We can further assume that the pro- $p$  version of the Bieri-Strebel result holds otherwise Corollary 1.6 holds i.e. if a finitely presented pro- $p$  group does not contain a free non-procyclic pro- $p$  subgroup then any metabelian pro- $p$  quotient of that group is a finitely presented pro- $p$  group.

Let  $H$  be a pro- $p$  subgroup of  $Out(M)$  as in Lemma 3.8. Since  $Aut_0(M)$  has finite index in  $Aut(M)$  without loss of generality we can assume that  $H \subseteq Out_0(M)$ . The epimorphism of pro- $p$  groups  $Aut_0(K) \rightarrow Aut_0(M)$  induces an epimorphism of pro- $p$  groups  $Out_0(K) \rightarrow Out_0(M)$ . Then there is a finitely generated pro- $p$  subgroup  $\tilde{H}$  of  $Out_0(K)$  that maps surjectively to  $H$ , in particular  $\tilde{H}$  has a metabelian pro- $p$  quotient that is not finitely presented (as a pro- $p$  group). Then by the previous considerations  $\tilde{H}$  is not a finitely presented pro- $p$  group.

Note that  $Inn(K) \simeq K$ . Consider the short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow Aut_0(K) \rightarrow Out_0(K) \rightarrow 1$  and let  $H_0$  be the preimage of  $\tilde{H}$  in  $Aut_0(K)$ . Then there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow H_0 \rightarrow \tilde{H} \rightarrow 1$$

of pro- $p$  groups. Since  $K$  is a finitely generated pro- $p$  group we have that  $H_0$  is a finitely generated pro- $p$  group and  $H_0$  is not finitely presented otherwise  $\tilde{H}$  would be a finitely presented pro- $p$  group, a contradiction. Thus  $Aut_0(K)$  is incoherent (in the category of pro- $p$  groups).

**Proof of Lemma 3.8** Here we use significantly ideas introduced in [22]. We fix  $x_1, x_2$  a generating set of  $M$ . Define

$$IAut(M) = \{\varphi \in Aut(M) \mid \varphi \text{ induces on } M/M' \text{ the identity map}\},$$

where  $Aut(M)$  denotes continuous automorphisms of  $M$ . In fact every abstract automorphism of a finitely generated pro- $p$  group is a continuous one. Then there is a short exact sequence of profinite groups

$$1 \rightarrow IAut(M) \rightarrow Aut(M) \rightarrow Aut(M^{ab}) = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow 1.$$

By [22] there is a Bachmut embedding  $\beta$  of  $IAut(M)$  in  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[[M^{ab}]])$ , where  $M^{ab}$  is the abelianization of  $M$  i.e. the maximal pro- $p$  abelian quotient of  $M$ . By definition

$$\beta(\varphi) = (\partial(x_i^\varphi)/\partial x_j),$$

where we use the notations from [22], thus  $Aut(M)$  in this proof acts on the right,  $\partial(x_i^\varphi)/\partial x_j = \partial/\partial x_j(x_i^\varphi)$  and

$$\partial/\partial x_j : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[[M^{ab}]]$$

are the Fox derivatives defined by

$$\partial/\partial x_j(1) = 0, \partial/\partial x_j(g_1 g_2) = \partial/\partial x_j(g_1) + \bar{g}_1 \partial/\partial x_j(g_2), \partial/\partial x_j(x_i) = \delta_{i,j} \text{ the Kroniker symbol,}$$

where  $\bar{g}_1$  is the image of  $g_1 \in M$  in  $M^{ab}$ . Define  $det(\varphi) = det(\beta(\varphi))$ . By [22]

$$det(IAut(M)) = 1 + \Delta =: P$$

is a multiplicative abelian group, where  $\Delta$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[M^{ab}]]$ , and the  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -action via conjugation on the abelianization of  $IAut(M)$  induces an action on  $det(IAut(M)) = P$ . Then we have a short exact sequence of profinite groups

$$1 \rightarrow P \rightarrow Aut(M)/Ker(det) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow 1.$$

Consider the pro- $p$  group

$$GL_2^1(\mathbb{Z}_p) = Ker(GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p))$$

Let  $Q$  be the maximal pro- $p$  quotient of  $P$  that has exponent  $p$ . Then there is a pro- $p$  subgroup  $T$  of  $Aut(M)/Ker(det)$  and a short exact sequence of pro- $p$  groups

$$1 \rightarrow P \rightarrow T \rightarrow GL_2^1(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow 1$$

and a pro- $p$  quotient  $T_0$  of  $T$  together with a short exact sequence of pro- $p$  groups

$$1 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow GL_2^1(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow 1.$$

By [23]

$$P^p \cap (1 + p\Delta) = 1 + p^2\Delta$$

and for  $\delta \in \Delta$  using  $[\delta]$  for the image of  $1 + p\delta$  in  $Q$  we have that

$$[\delta_1][\delta_2] = [\delta_1 + \delta_2].$$

Thus the multiplicative subgroup of  $Q$  generated by  $\{[\delta] \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$  could be identified with the additive group that is the image of  $\Delta \bmod p$  i.e. with the augmentation ideal  $s_1\mathbb{F}_p[[s_1, s_2]] + s_2\mathbb{F}_p[[s_1, s_2]]$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p[[s_1, s_2]]$ , where  $s_i$  is the image of  $x_i - 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[M^{ab}]]$ .

Consider now  $\varphi_2 \in Aut(M)$  given by

$$\varphi_2 = \rho^p, \text{ where } \rho(x_1) = x_1 x_2, \rho(x_2) = x_2$$

and  $\varphi_1 \in IAut(M)$  such that

$$det(\varphi_1) = 1 + ps_1.$$

Note that  $\varphi_1$  is not uniquely determined and that the image of  $\varphi_2$  in  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is in  $GL_2^1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Hence the profinite subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $Aut(M)$  generated by  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  is in fact a pro- $p$  group. Let

$$\Gamma_0 = \langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle$$

be the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $T_0$ , where  $\psi_i$  is the image of  $\varphi_i$  in  $T_0$ . Thus  $\Gamma_0$  is a pro- $p$  group.

By [22, Prop. 4.4] for every  $\varphi \in IAut(M)$  for  $\varphi' = \rho^{-1}\varphi\rho$ ,  $h' = det(\beta(\varphi'))$  and  $h = det(\beta(\varphi))$  we have that  $h'$  is obtained from  $h$  applying the substitution  $s_1 \rightarrow s_1 + s_2 + s_1 s_2$ .

Recall that by construction  $\det(\beta(\varphi_1)) = 1 + ps_1$ . Then the action of  $\psi_2$  on  $\psi_1 = [s_1]$  by conjugations is induced by applying the substitution  $s_1 \rightarrow s_1 + s_2 + s_1s_2$  exactly  $p$ -times, thus gives the substitution  $s_1 \rightarrow (1 + s_1)(1 + s_2)^p - 1$ . Similarly the action of  $\psi_2^k$  on  $\psi_1 = [s_1]$  by conjugation is induced by applying the substitution  $s_1 \rightarrow s_1 + s_2 + s_1s_2$  exactly  $pk$ -times, thus gives the substitution  $s_1 \rightarrow (1 + s_1)(1 + s_2)^{pk} - 1$ . As explained above we can move to additive notation and work in the augmentation ideal  $s_1\mathbb{F}_p[[s_1, s_2]] + s_2\mathbb{F}_p[[s_1, s_2]]$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p[[s_1, s_2]]$ . This implies that the normal pro- $p$  subgroup  $A$  of  $\Gamma_0$  generated by  $\psi_1$  can be identified with an additive subgroup of  $s_1\mathbb{F}_p[[s_1, s_2]] + s_2\mathbb{F}_p[[s_1, s_2]]$  that contains  $(1 + s_1)(1 + s_2)^{pk} - 1$  for  $k \geq 0$ , in particular  $A$  is infinite.

Note that  $\Gamma_0 \simeq A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is generated by  $\psi_2$ . We view  $A$  as a  $\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ -module via the conjugation action of  $\psi_2 = 1 + t$ . Furthermore  $A$  is a pro- $p$  cyclic  $\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ -module, with a generator  $\psi_1$ . Since every proper  $\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ -module quotient of  $\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$  is a finite additive group, we deduce that  $A \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ . Then by the example after Theorem 2.2  $\Gamma_0$  is not a finitely presented pro- $p$  group.

Note that the image  $W$  of  $M \simeq \text{Inn}(M)$  in  $T_0$  is inside  $Q$  and since  $M$  is a finitely generated pro- $p$  group and  $Q$  is an abelian pro- $p$  group of finite exponent  $p$  then  $W$  and consequently  $\Gamma_0 \cap W$  are finite. Since  $\Gamma_0 \cap W$  is finite  $\Gamma_0/(\Gamma_0 \cap W)$  is not a finitely presented pro- $p$  group. Actually examining the structure of  $\Gamma_0$  it is easy to see that any finite normal subgroup of  $\Gamma_0$  is trivial, in particular  $\Gamma_0 \cap W = 1$ . Finally  $\Gamma_0 \simeq \Gamma_0/(\Gamma_0 \cap W)$  is a metabelian pro- $p$  quotient of a 2-generated pro- $p$  group  $H \leq \text{Out}(M)$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Barnea, M. Larsen, *A non-abelian free pro- $p$  group is not linear over a local field*, J. Algebra 214 (1999), no. 1, 338 - 341
- [2] D. E-C. Ben-Ezra, E. Zelmanov, *On pro-2 identities of  $2 \times 2$  linear groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 374 (2021), no. 6, 4093 - 4128
- [3] M. Bestvina, N. Brady, *Morse theory and finiteness properties of groups*, Inventiones Mathematicae, 129 (1997), 445 - 470
- [4] R. Bieri, R. Strebel, *Valuations and finitely presented metabelian groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 41(1980) 439–464.
- [5] R. Bieri, W. D. Neumann, R. Strebel, *A geometric invariant of discrete groups*, Inventiones mathematicae, 90 (1987), 451 - 477
- [6] R. Bieri, B. Renz. *Valuations on free resolutions and higher geometric invariants of groups*. Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 3 (1988), v. 63., 464 - 497
- [7] J. Dixon, M. P. F. Du Sautoy, A. Mann, and D. Segal, “Analytic Pro- $p$  Groups,” London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol. 157, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1991
- [8] M. Feign, M. Handel, *Mapping tori of free group automorphisms are coherent*, Ann. Math. 149 1061–1077 (1999)
- [9] S. Friedl, S. Vidussi, *BNS invariants and algebraic fibrations of group extensions*, Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu, to appear, arXiv:1912.10524
- [10] C. McA. Gordon, *Artin groups, 3-manifolds and coherence*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3) 10 (2004), 193–198
- [11] J. D. King, *Homological finiteness conditions for pro- $p$  groups*, Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), no. 10, 4969 - 4991
- [12] J. D. King, *A geometric invariant for metabelian pro- $p$  groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 60 (1999), no. 1, 83 - 94
- [13] D. H. Kochloukova, F. F. Lima, *Homological finiteness properties of fibre products*, Q. J. Math. 69 (2018), no. 3, 835 - 854
- [14] D. H. Kochloukova, S. Vidussi, *Higher dimensional algebraic fiberings of group extensions*, preprint, arXiv:2205.05246
- [15] D. H. Kochloukova, P. A. Zalesskii, *On pro- $p$  analogues of limit groups via extensions of centralizers*, Math. Z. 267 (2011), no. 1 - 2, 109 - 128
- [16] R. Kropholler, G. Walsh, *Incoherence and fibering of many free-by-free groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) (to appear), arXiv:1910.09601
- [17] B. Kuckuck, *Subdirect products of groups and the  $n - (n + 1) - (n + 2)$  conjecture*, Q. J. Math. 65 (2014), 1293 - 1318
- [18] A. Lubotzky, *Combinatorial group theory for pro- $p$ -groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 25 (1982), 311 - 325
- [19] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of Number Fields*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2000
- [20] L. Ribes, *On amalgamated products of profinite groups*, Math. Z. 123 (1971), 357 - 364
- [21] L. Ribes, P. Zalesskii, *Profinite Groups*, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, 2010
- [22] V. A. Romankov, *Generators for the automorphism groups of free metabelian pro- $p$  groups*, Sibirski Matematicheski Zhurnal, Vol. 33, No. 5, 145 - 158, 1992

- [23] V. A. Romankov, *Infinite generation of groups of automorphisms of free pro-p-groups*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 34 (1993), no. 4, 153–159
- [24] I. Snopce, P. A. Zalesskii, *Subgroup properties of pro-p extensions of centralizers*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 20 (2014), no. 2, 465 - 489
- [25] H. Wilton, *Hall's theorem for limit groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 18 (2008), no. 1, 271 - 303
- [26] A. N. Zubkov, *Nonrepresentability of a free nonabelian pro-p-group by second-order matrices*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 28 (1987), no. 5, 64 - 69

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS (UNICAMP), 13083-859, CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL

*Email address:* desi@unicamp.br