

Optimal chromatic bound for $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs

Arnab Char*

T. Karthick†

May 19, 2022

Abstract

For a graph G , let $\chi(G)$ ($\omega(G)$) denote its chromatic (clique) number. A $P_2 + P_3$ is the graph obtained by taking the disjoint union of a two-vertex path P_2 and a three-vertex path P_3 . A $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$ is the complement graph of a $P_2 + P_3$. In this paper, we study the class of $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs and show that every such graph G with $\omega(G) \geq 3$ satisfies $\chi(G) \leq \max\{\omega(G) + 3, \lfloor \frac{3}{2}\omega(G) \rfloor - 1\}$. Moreover, the bound is tight. Indeed, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq 3$, there is a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graph G such that $\omega(G) = k$ and $\chi(G) = \max\{k + 3, \lfloor \frac{3}{2}k \rfloor - 1\}$.

1 Introduction

All our graphs are simple, finite and undirected. For general graph theoretic notation and terminology which are not defined here, we follow West [13]. As usual, let P_ℓ , C_ℓ and K_ℓ respectively denote the chordless path, chordless cycle and the complete graph on ℓ vertices. For a graph G , let \overline{G} denote the complement graph of G . Given a class of graphs \mathcal{C} , $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is the class of graphs $\{\overline{G} \mid G \in \mathcal{C}\}$. A graph class \mathcal{C} is *hereditary* if $G \in \mathcal{C}$, and if H is an induced subgraph of G , then $H \in \mathcal{C}$. Let G be graph with vertex-set $V(G)$ and edge-set $E(G)$. For $X \subseteq V(G)$, we write $G[X]$ and $G - X$ to denote the subgraph of G induced on X and $V(G) \setminus X$ respectively. We say that X induces a graph H if $G[X]$ is isomorphic to H . For any two disjoint subsets X and Y of $V(G)$, we say that X is *complete* to Y if every vertex in X is adjacent to every vertex in Y ; and X is *anticomplete* to Y if there is no edge in G with one end in X and the other in Y . For two vertex disjoint graphs G and H , $G + H$ is the disjoint union of G and H , and $G \vee H$ is the graph obtained from $G + H$ and such that $V(G)$ is complete to $V(H)$. We say that a graph G *contains* a graph H if G has an induced subgraph which is isomorphic to H . A graph is (H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k) -free if it does not contain any graph in $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k\}$. Note that a graph G is (H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k) -free if and only if \overline{G} is $(\overline{H_1}, \overline{H_2}, \dots, \overline{H_k})$ -free.

In a graph G , a *clique* is a set of mutually adjacent vertices in G , and a *stable set* is a set of mutually nonadjacent vertices in G . As usual, for a graph G , we write $\chi(G)$ to denote the chromatic number of G , $\omega(G)$ to denote the clique number of G (the size of a maximum clique in G), $\theta(G)$ to denote the clique covering number of G (the minimum number of (disjoint) cliques needed to cover the vertices of G), and $\alpha(G)$ to denote the stability number of G (the size of a maximum stable set in G). Clearly, for any graph G , $\chi(G) = \theta(\overline{G})$ and $\omega(G) = \alpha(\overline{G})$. A graph G is *perfect* if every induced subgraph H of G satisfies $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$ or $\theta(\overline{H}) = \alpha(\overline{H})$.

In the following, by a function f , we mean a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $f(1) = 1$ and $f(x) \geq x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers. A hereditary class of graphs \mathcal{C} is χ -*bounded*, if there is

*Computer Science Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Chennai Centre, Chennai 600029, India.

†Corresponding author, Computer Science Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Chennai Centre, Chennai 600029, India.
Email: karthick@isichennai.res.in



Figure 1: A $P_2 + P_3$ and a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$ (left to right).

a function f such that every $G \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfies $\chi(G) \leq f(\omega(G))$; here f is called a χ -binding function for \mathcal{C} . We say that a function f is the *optimal* χ -binding function for a χ -bounded class of graphs \mathcal{C} if for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a graph $G \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\omega(G) = \ell$ and $\chi(G) = f(\ell)$. Likewise, a hereditary class of graphs \mathcal{C} is θ -bounded, if there is a function f (called a θ -binding function) such that every $G \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfies $\theta(G) \leq f(\alpha(G))$. Clearly, a function f is a χ -binding function for \mathcal{C} if and only if f is a θ -binding function for $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, and \mathcal{C} is χ -bounded if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is θ -bounded.

In this paper, we are interested in some self-complementary class of χ -bounded graphs. A class of graphs \mathcal{C} is said to be *self-complementary class* if $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. A self-complementary hereditary class of graphs \mathcal{C} is χ -bounded if and only if \mathcal{C} is θ -bounded. In particular, if \mathcal{C} is χ -bounded, then the optimal χ -binding function of \mathcal{C} is same as the optimal θ -binding function of \mathcal{C} . For instance, by a result of Lovász [9], the class of perfect graphs is a self-complementary class of χ -bounded graphs with $f(x) = x$ as the optimal χ -binding function.

The notions of χ -bounded and θ -bounded classes of graphs were introduced in a seminal work of Gyárfás [5], and they have received a wide attention since then; see [11, 12]. Among other conjectures and problems, Gyárfás [5] proposed the following.

Problem 1 ([5]) *For a fixed forest F , assuming that the class of (F, \overline{F}) -free graphs \mathcal{F} is χ -bounded, what is the optimal χ -binding function for \mathcal{F} ?*

Problem 1 is open and seems to be hard even when F is a simple type of forest. So it is interesting to look at some special cases, in particular, when F is a forest on at most five vertices. While the optimal χ -binding functions for classes of (F, \overline{F}) -free graphs are known when F is a forest on at most four vertices, except when $F = \overline{K_4}$ [5], only three classes of graphs were studied for Problem 1 when F is a five-vertex forest. Fouquet et al. [4] showed that every $(P_5, \overline{P_5})$ -free graph G satisfies $\chi(G) \leq (\omega(G)_2^{+1})$, and that there are $(P_5, \overline{P_5})$ -free graphs G with $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)^k$, where $k = \log_2 5 - 1$. The second author with Maffray [7] showed that every $(P_4 + P_1, \overline{P_4 + P_1})$ -free graph G satisfies $\chi(G) \leq \lceil \frac{5\omega(G)}{4} \rceil$, and that the bound is tight. Recently, Chudnovsky et al. [2] showed that every (fork, anti-fork)-free graph G satisfies $\chi(G) \leq 2\omega(G)$, and that the bound is asymptotically tight. Thus, Problem 1 is open for seven pairwise nonisomorphic forests on five vertices, as there are ten such forests. In this paper, we study the class of $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs; see Figure 1. Randerath et al. [10] showed that every $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graph G with $\omega(G) = 2$ satisfies $\chi(G) \leq 4$, and the well-known Mycielski's 4-chromatic graph shows that the bound is tight. However, no optimal χ -binding function is known for the class of $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs in general. Here, we prove the following.

Theorem 1 *Every $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graph G with $\omega(G) \geq 3$ satisfies $\chi(G) \leq \max\{\omega(G) + 3, \lfloor \frac{3\omega(G)}{2} \rfloor - 1\}$.*

Theorem 2 *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq 3$, there is a graph $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free G such that $\omega(G) = k$ and $\chi(G) = \max\{k + 3, \lfloor \frac{3}{2}k \rfloor - 1\}$.*

Thus, the function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by $g(1) = 1$, $g(2) = 4$, and $g(x) = \max\{x + 3, \lfloor \frac{3}{2}x \rfloor - 1\}$, for $x \geq 3$, is the optimal χ -binding (or θ -binding) function for the class of $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs.

We give a proof of Theorem 1 in the final section. To prove Theorem 2, consider the following $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs, where $t \in \mathbb{N}$:

(I) Let Q be the 16-regular *Schläfli graph* on 27 vertices. Then:

- $\chi(Q) = 9$ and $\omega(Q) = 6$.
- $\chi(\overline{Q}) = 6$ and $\omega(\overline{Q}) = 3$.
- $\chi(Q \vee K_t) = t + 9$ and $\omega(Q \vee K_t) = t + 6$.
- $\chi(\overline{Q} \vee K_t) = t + 6$ and $\omega(\overline{Q} \vee K_t) = t + 3$.

(II) Let H be the complement of the *Clebsch graph* on 16 vertices. Then:

- $\chi(H) = 8$ and $\omega(H) = 5$.
- If $G = H - v$, for any $v \in V(H)$, then $\chi(G) = 8$ and $\omega(G) = 5$.
- $\chi(H \vee K_t) = t + 8$ and $\omega(H \vee K_t) = t + 5$.

We refer to [3] for a precise definition of the Schläfli graph and its properties. It is interesting to note that the set of neighbours of any vertex in the 16-regular Schläfli graph on 27 vertices induces the complement of the Clebsch graph on 16 vertices.

(III) For any fixed integer $k \geq 2$, let G_k be the graph defined as follows:

- $V(G_k) = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup S$, where $Q_1 := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$, $Q_2 := \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$, and $S := \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ are cliques.
- For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, a_i is adjacent to b_i , and $\{a_i\}$ is anticomplete to $Q_2 \setminus \{b_i\}$.
- For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $\{s_i\}$ is anticomplete to $\{a_i, b_i\}$, and complete to $(Q_1 \cup Q_2) \setminus \{a_i, b_i\}$.
- No other edges in G .

Then, for any fixed integer $k \geq 2$, G_k is $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free, $|V(G_k)| = 3k$, $\omega(G_k) = k + 1$, and $\alpha(G_k) = 2$; see also [6]. Moreover, by Lemma 4 of [6], we have $\chi(G_k) \leq \lceil \frac{3}{2}k \rceil$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Clearly from examples given above in (I) and (II), we may assume that $k \geq 10$; so $\max\{k+3, \lfloor \frac{3}{2}k \rfloor - 1\} = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}k \rfloor - 1$. Now, we show that G_{k-1} is our desired graph G . Let $k-1 = \ell$. Then $\omega(G_\ell) = k$. Moreover, since $\chi(G_\ell) \geq \frac{|V(G_\ell)|}{\alpha(G_\ell)}$ and $\chi(G_\ell) \leq \lceil \frac{3}{2}\ell \rceil$, we conclude that $\chi(G_\ell) = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}k \rfloor - 1$. This proves Theorem 2. \square

The proof of Theorem 1 follows from our result for the class of $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs that contain a C_4 , given below. To state it, we require some definitions. A *neighbor* (or *nonneighbor*) of a vertex v in G is a vertex that is adjacent (or nonadjacent) to v in G . The set of neighbors of a vertex v in G is denoted by $N_G(v)$, and $|N_G(v)| := d_G(v)$ is the *degree* of v . We write $\overline{N}_G(v)$ to denote the set $V(G) \setminus (N(v) \cup \{v\})$. Two vertices u and v in a graph G are said to be *comparable*, if u and v are nonadjacent, and either $N_G(u) \subseteq N_G(v)$ or $N_G(v) \subseteq N_G(u)$. (We drop the subscript G when there is no ambiguity.) A vertex in a graph G is a *universal vertex* if it is adjacent to all other vertices in G . A vertex v in a graph G is a *nice vertex* if $d_G(v) \leq \omega(G) + 2$. We say that a graph G is a *nice* if it has three pairwise disjoint stable sets, say S_1, S_2 and S_3 , such that $\omega(G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)) \leq \omega(G) - 2$. We say that a graph G is *good*, if one of the following holds: (a) G has a pair of comparable vertices. (b) G has a universal vertex. (c) G has a nice vertex. (d) G is a nice graph. (e) $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$.

Theorem 3 *If G is a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graph that contains a C_4 , then G is a good graph.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove some general properties of $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs that contain a C_4 , and use it in the later sections. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3, and finally in Section 4, we give a proof of Theorem 1.

2 Properties of $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs that contain a C_4

Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graph that contains a C_4 , say with vertex-set $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ and edge-set $\{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_1\}$. For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, let A_i denote the set $\{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \{v_i\}\}$, and B_i denote the set $\{v \in V(G) \setminus C \mid N(v) \cap C = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}\}$. Also, for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, let X_j denote the set $\{v \in V(G) \mid N(v) \cap C = \{v_j, v_{j+2}\}\}$. Moreover, let D and T respectively denote the set of vertices in G which are complete to C , and anticomplete to C . Let $A := \cup_{i=1}^4 A_i$, $B := \cup_{i=1}^4 B_i$, and $X := X_1 \cup X_2$. Throughout the paper, our indices are taken arithmetic modulo 4 (unless stated otherwise). Since G has no $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, no vertex in $V(G) \setminus C$ is adjacent to three vertices in C , and hence $V(G) = A \cup B \cup C \cup D \cup X \cup T$. Further, we observe that the following hold:

(R1) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $A_i \cup T$ is a stable set.

Proof. If there are adjacent vertices in $A_i \cup T$, say p and q , then $\{p, q, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. \diamond

(R2) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, any vertex in $A_i \cup B_i$ can have at most one nonneighbor in $A_{i+2} \cup B_{i+1}$. Likewise, any vertex in $A_{i+1} \cup B_i$ can have at most one nonneighbor in $B_{i-1} \cup A_{i-1}$.

Proof. Let $p \in A_i \cup B_i$. If p has two nonneighbors in $A_{i+2} \cup B_{i+1}$, say q and r , then since $\{p, v_i, q, v_{i+2}, r\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_3$, we may assume that $qr \in E(G)$, and then $\{q, r, p, v_i, v_{i+3}\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. \diamond

(R3) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and for any vertex $p \in A_i \cup B_i \cup T$, $N(p) \cap (D \cup B_{i+2})$ is a clique. Likewise, for any $p \in A_i$, $N(p) \cap B_{i+1}$ is a clique. Moreover, for any $p \in A_i$, $|N(p) \cap B_{i+2}| \leq 1$. Likewise, $|N(p) \cap B_{i+1}| \leq 1$.

Proof. If there are nonadjacent vertices, say $d_1, d_2 \in N(p) \cap (D \cup B_{i+2})$, then $\{p, d_1, v_{i+2}, d_2, v_{i+3}\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, a contradiction. This proves the first assertion. Next, if there are vertices, say $b, b' \in N(p) \cap B_{i+2}$, then, by the first assertion, $\{p, v_i, v_{i+3}, b, b'\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. \diamond

(R4) For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, if there are adjacent vertices, say $b \in B_j$ and $b' \in B_{j+2}$, then $N(b) \cap (B_{j+1} \cup B_{j-1} \cup D) = N(b') \cap (B_{j+1} \cup B_{j-1} \cup D)$.

Proof. If there is a vertex, say $v \in N(b) \cap (B_{j+1} \cup B_{j-1} \cup D)$ such that $v \notin N(b') \cap (B_{j+1} \cup B_{j-1} \cup D)$, then, up to symmetry, we may assume that $v \in B_{j+1} \cup D$, and then $\{b, v_{j+1}, v_{j+2}, b', v\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. \diamond

(R5) For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, X_j is a stable set.

Proof. If there are adjacent vertices in X_j , say p and q , then $\{v_j, v_{j+1}, v_{j+2}, p, q\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. \diamond

(R6) B is anticomplete to X .

Proof. By symmetry, it is enough to show that $B_1 \cup B_2$ is anticomplete to X_1 . If there are adjacent vertices, say $b \in B_1 \cup B_2$ and $x \in X_1$, then $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, x, b\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. \diamond

(R7) $G[D]$ is $(K_2 + K_1)$ -free, and hence perfect; and $\chi(G[D]) = \omega(G[D]) \leq \omega(G) - 2$.

Proof. If there are vertices, say $p, q, r \in D$ such that $\{p, q, r\}$ induces a $K_2 + K_1$, then $\{p, q, r, v_2, v_4\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so $G[D]$ is $(K_2 + K_1)$ -free. Since D is complete to $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\omega(G[D]) \leq \omega(G) - 2$, and hence $\chi(G[D]) = \omega(G[D]) \leq \omega(G) - 2$. \diamond

(R8) X is complete to D .

Proof. If there are nonadjacent vertices, say $d \in D$ and $x \in X$, then, we may assume that $x \in X_1$, and then $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, x, d\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. \diamond

(R9) For $j, k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \neq k$, suppose there are adjacent vertices, say $p \in A_j$ and $q \in A_{j+2}$. Then:

- (a) At most one vertex in A_{j+1} is anticomplete to $\{p, q\}$. Likewise, at most one vertex in A_{j-1} is anticomplete to $\{p, q\}$.
- (b) At most one vertex in X_k is complete to $\{p, q\}$.
- (c) Each vertex of $D \cup X_k$ is adjacent to at least one of p, q .

Proof. We prove for $j = 1$. (a) If there are vertices, say $r, s \in A_2$, such that $\{r, s\}$ is anticomplete to $\{p, q\}$, then, by (R1), $\{p, q, r, v_2, s\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. (b) If there are vertices, say $x, x' \in X_2$, such that $\{x, x'\}$ is complete to $\{p, q\}$, then, by (R5), $\{p, x, v_2, x', q\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. (c) If there is a vertex, say $r \in D \cup X_2$ such that $pr, qr \notin E(G)$, then $\{p, q, v_2, r, v_4\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. This proves (R9). \diamond

(R10) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$, if there are adjacent vertices, say $p \in A_i$ and $q \in A_{i+1}$, then each vertex of X_j is adjacent to exactly one of p and q .

Proof. We prove for $j = 1$. For any $x \in X_1$, if $px, qx \in E(G)$, then $\{q, x, v_i, v_{i+1}, p\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, and if $px, qx \notin E(G)$, then $\{p, q, x, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. \diamond

(R11) For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, any vertex in A_j has at most one neighbor in X_j , and any vertex in A_{j+2} has at most one neighbor in X_j .

Proof. If there is a vertex, say $a \in A_j$ which has two neighbors in X_j , say x and x' , then, by (R5), $\{v_j, x, v_{j+2}, x', a\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. \diamond

(R12) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the following hold:

- (a) If G is $K_{2,3}$ -free, then any vertex in B_i has at most one neighbor in $A_{i-1} \cup B_{i+2}$. Likewise, any vertex in B_i has at most one neighbor in $A_{i+2} \cup B_{i+2}$.
- (b) If G is $(K_2 + K_3)$ -free, then any vertex in $A_i \cup B_i$ has at most one nonneighbor in $B_{i+1} \cup B_{i+2}$. Likewise, any vertex in $A_{i+1} \cup B_i$ has at most one nonneighbor in $B_{i-1} \cup B_{i+2}$.

Proof. (a): If there is a vertex, say $p \in B_i$ which has two neighbors in $A_{i-1} \cup B_{i+2}$, say q and r , then $\{p, v_i, v_{i-1}, q, r\}$ induces a $K_{2,3}$ or a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. (b): If there is a vertex, say $p \in A_i \cup B_i$ which has two nonneighbors in $B_{i+1} \cup B_{i+2}$, say q and r , then $\{p, v_i, q, v_{i+2}, r\}$ induces a $K_2 + K_3$ or a $P_2 + P_3$. \diamond

(R13) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, if G is $(K_2 + K_3)$ -free, then each vertex in $A_i \cup B_i \cup T$ can have at most one neighbor in $A_{i+1} \cup B_i$. Likewise, each vertex in $A_{i+1} \cup B_i$ can have at most one neighbor in $A_i \cup B_i \cup T$.

Proof. If there is a vertex, say $p \in A_i \cup B_i \cup T$ which has two neighbors in $A_{i+1} \cup B_i$, say q and r , then $\{v_{i+2}, v_{i-1}, p, q, r\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$ or a $K_2 + K_3$. \diamond

(R14) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, if G is co-banner-free, then $A_i \cup B_i$ and $A_{i+1} \cup B_i$ are stable sets.

Proof. If there are adjacent vertices in $A_i \cup B_i$, say p and q , then $\{p, q, v_i, v_{i+3}, v_{i+2}\}$ induces a co-banner. \diamond




Figure 2: A $K_{2,3}$, a banner, an H_2 , and an H_3 (left to right).

(R15) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, if G is co-banner-free, then $A_i \cup B_i \cup A_{i+1}$ is complete to B_{i+2} ; and so if $A_i \cup B_i \cup A_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$, then $|B_{i+2}| \leq 1$.

Proof. If there are nonadjacent vertices, say $p \in A_i \cup B_i \cup A_{i+1}$ and $q \in B_{i+2}$, then $\{q, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, v_i, p\}$ or $\{q, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, v_{i+1}, p\}$ induces a co-banner; so $A_i \cup B_i \cup A_{i+1}$ is complete to B_{i+2} . Now, by (R3), B_{i+2} is a clique, and hence by (R14), $|B_{i+2}| \leq 1$. \diamond

3 $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs that contain a C_4

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 3. It is based on a sequence of partial results which depend on some special graphs; see Figure 2. More precisely, given a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graph G , we will show that the following hold:

- (i) If G contains a $K_{2,3}$, then $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$ (Theorem 4);
- (ii) If G is $K_{2,3}$ -free, and contains a banner, then G is a good graph (Theorem 6);
- (iii) If G is $(K_{2,3}, \text{banner})$ -free, and contains an H_2 , then G is a good graph (Theorem 7);
- (iv) If G is $(K_{2,3}, \text{banner}, H_2)$ -free, and contains an H_3 , then G is a good graph (Theorem 8);
- (v) If G is $(K_{2,3})$ -free, and contains a C_4 , then G is a good graph (Theorem 9).

We remark that, to prove some of the above items, we will often consider the complement graph \overline{G} , and show that G is a good graph.

3.1 $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs that contain a $K_{2,3}$

Theorem 4 If G is a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graph that contains a $K_{2,3}$, then $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$.

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graph that contains a $K_{2,3}$. We may consider a $K_{2,3}$ with vertices and edges as in Figure 2. Let $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. We partition $V(G) \setminus C$ as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. Note that by the definition of X_1 , $x^* \in X_1$; so $X_1 \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\omega(G) = 2$. Then, since G is triangle-free, we have $B \cup D = \emptyset$, A_1 is anticomplete to X_1 , and A_2 is anticomplete to X_2 . Now we let $S_1 := A_1 \cup X_1 \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$, $S_2 := A_2 \cup X_2 \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$, $S_3 := A_3 \cup T$, and $S_4 := A_4$. Then $V(G) = \cup_{i=1}^4 S_i$. Clearly, by (R1) and (R5), S_1, S_2, S_3 and S_4 are stable sets. Thus $\chi(G) \leq 4 \leq \omega(G) + 3$. So we may assume that $\omega(G) \geq 3$. Next, we have the following:

Claim 4.1 For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, B_i is a stable set.

Proof of Claim 4.1. If there are adjacent vertices in B_i , say p and q , then, by (R6), $\{p, q, x^*, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. \diamond

Claim 4.2 A_2 is anticomplete to $B_1 \cup B_2$, and A_4 is anticomplete to $B_3 \cup B_4$.




Figure 3: A co-banner, an H_1 , an $\overline{H_2}$, and an $\overline{H_3}$ (left to right).

Proof of Claim 4.2. We prove that A_2 is anticomplete to B_1 in the first assertion. Suppose there are adjacent vertices, say $a \in A_2$ and $b \in B_1$. By (R6), $bx^* \notin E(G)$. Now since $\{a, b, x^*, v_3, v_4\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_3$, we have $ax^* \in E(G)$, and then $\{a, x^*, v_1, v_2, b\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. \diamond

First suppose that $\omega(G[D]) \leq \omega(G) - 3$. Now we let $S_1 := A_1 \cup T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$, $S_2 := B_1 \cup X_1$, $S_3 := A_2 \cup B_2$, $S_4 := A_3 \cup \{v_1\}$, $S_5 := B_3 \cup X_2$, and $S_6 := A_4 \cup B_4 \cup \{v_3\}$. Then $V(G) \setminus D = \cup_{i=1}^6 S_i$. Also, by (R1), (R5), (R6), and by Claims 4.1 and 4.2, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_6 are stable sets. Hence $\chi(G) \leq \chi(G[D]) + 6 \leq (\omega(G) - 3) + 6 = \omega(G) + 3$, and we are done. So, by (R7), we may assume that $\omega(G[D]) = \omega(G) - 2$. Then since $\omega(G) \geq 3$, $D \neq \emptyset$. Let A'_1 denote the set $\{a \in A_1 \mid a \text{ has a neighbor in } X_1\}$. Then we have the following.

Claim 4.3 B_1 is anticomplete to B_2 . Likewise B_3 is anticomplete to B_4 .

Proof of Claim 4.3. Suppose to the contrary that there are adjacent vertices, say $b_1 \in B_1$ and $b_2 \in B_2$. Note that for any vertex $d \in D$, by (R8), since $\{b_1, b_2, x^*, d, v_4\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_3$, d is adjacent to one of b_1, b_2 . We let $D_1 := \{d \in D \mid db_1 \in E(G), db_2 \notin E(G)\}$, $D_2 := \{d \in D \mid db_2 \in E(G), db_1 \notin E(G)\}$ and $D_3 := \{d \in D \mid db_1, db_2 \in E(G)\}$. Then $D = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$. Now if there are adjacent vertices, say $d_1 \in D_1$ and $d_2 \in D_2$, then $\{b_1, d_1, d_2, b_2, v_3\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so D_1 is anticomplete to D_2 . Moreover, by (R3), it follows that $D_1 \cup D_3 (= N(b_1) \cap D)$ and $D_2 \cup D_3 (= N(b_2) \cap D)$ are cliques. Thus we conclude that any maximum clique in $G[D]$ is either $D_1 \cup D_3$ or $D_2 \cup D_3$; so $\max\{|D_1 \cup D_3|, |D_2 \cup D_3|\} = \omega(G) - 2$. Then since $D_1 \cup D_3 \cup \{b_1, v_1, v_2\}$ and $D_2 \cup D_3 \cup \{b_2, v_2, v_3\}$ are cliques, and so $\max\{|D_1 \cup D_3 \cup \{b_1, v_1, v_2\}|, |D_2 \cup D_3 \cup \{b_2, v_2, v_3\}|\} = (\omega(G) - 2) + 3 = \omega(G) + 1$, a contradiction. This proves Claim 4.3. \diamond

Claim 4.4 A'_1 is anticomplete to X_2 .

Proof of Claim 4.4. Suppose to the contrary that there are adjacent vertices, say $a \in A'_1$ and $x \in X_2$. By the definition of A'_1 , there is a vertex $x' \in X_1$ such that $ax' \in E(G)$. Recall that, by (R8), D is complete to X . Also, for any $d \in D$, since $\{a, v_1, v_2, x, d\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so D is complete to $\{a\}$. Thus, by (R3), D is a clique. Now $D \cup \{a, v_1, x'\}$ is a clique of size $\omega(G) + 1$, a contradiction. This proves Claim 4.4. \diamond

Now we let $S_1 := A_2 \cup B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{v_4\}$, $S_2 := A_4 \cup B_3 \cup B_4 \cup \{v_2\}$, $S_3 := A'_1 \cup X_2 \cup \{v_3\}$, $S_4 := (A_1 \setminus A'_1) \cup X_1$, and $S_5 := A_3 \cup T \cup \{v_1\}$. Then $V(G) \setminus D = \cup_{i=1}^5 S_i$. Also, by Claims 4.1 to 4.4, by (R1), and by (R5), we see that S_1, S_2, \dots, S_5 are stable sets. So $\chi(G) \leq \chi(G[D]) + 5$. Then, by (R7), we have $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$. This completes the proof. \square

3.2 $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_{2,3})$ -free graphs that contain a banner

We consider two cases depending on whether $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_{2,3})$ -free graphs contain an $\overline{H_1}$ or not, and are given below in two subsections.

3.2.1 $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_2 + K_3)$ -free graphs that contain an H_1

Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_2 + K_3)$ -free graph that contains an H_1 . We may consider an H_1 with vertices and edges as in Figure 3. Let $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. We partition $V(G) \setminus C$ as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. Clearly, $a_1 \in A_1$, $a_2 \in A_2$ and $a_3 \in A_3$. To proceed further, let $L_1 := \{a \in A_4 \mid aa_2 \in E(G) \text{ and } N(a) \cap \{a_1, a_3\} \neq \emptyset\}$, $L_2 := \{a \in A_4 \mid aa_2 \in E(G) \text{ and } N(a) \cap \{a_1, a_3\} = \emptyset\}$, and let $L_3 := \{a \in A_4 \mid aa_2 \notin E(G)\}$. Then clearly $A_4 = \cup_{j=1}^3 L_j$. By (R9):(a), $|L_2| \leq 1$, and, by (R2), $|L_3| \leq 1$; so if $L_1 = \emptyset$, then $|A_4| \leq 2$. Moreover, the graph G has some more properties which we give in Lemmas 1 to 4 below.

Lemma 1 *The following hold:*

- (i) $A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$ is complete to $\{a_3\}$. Likewise, $A_3 \setminus \{a_3\}$ is complete to $\{a_1\}$.
- (ii) $(A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}) \cup (A_3 \setminus \{a_3\})$ is anticomplete to $\{a_2\}$, and is complete to $(A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}) \cup L_2$.
- (iii) If $(A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}) \cup L_2 \neq \emptyset$, then $|A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}| \leq 1$ and $|A_3 \setminus \{a_3\}| \leq 1$.
- (iv) X_2 is empty.

Proof. (i): For any $a'_1 \in A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$, by (R13), $a_2 a'_1 \notin E(G)$, and then since $\{a_2, a_3, a'_1, v_1, v_4\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_3$, we have $a'_1 a_3 \in E(G)$; so $A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$ is complete to $\{a_3\}$. \diamond

(ii): Clearly, the first assertion of (ii) follows from (R13). If there are nonadjacent vertices, say $a'_1 \in A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$ and $a'_2 \in A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}$, then, by (R13) and Lemma 1:(i), $\{a'_2, v_2, a_1, a_3, a'_1\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$; so $A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$ is complete to $A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}$. Likewise, $A_3 \setminus \{a_3\}$ is complete to $A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}$. If there are nonadjacent vertices, say $a' \in A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$ and $a \in L_2$, then, by Lemma 1:(i), $\{a, v_4, a_1, a_3, a'\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$; so $A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$ is complete to L_2 . Likewise, $A_3 \setminus \{a_3\}$ is complete to L_2 . \diamond

(iii): This follows from Lemma 1:(ii) and (R13). \diamond

(iv): If there is a vertex, say $x \in X_2$, then, by (R9):(c), we may assume that $a_1 x \in E(G)$, and then, by (R10), $a_2 x \notin E(G)$ and $a_3 x \in E(G)$, and hence $\{a_1, a_2, v_2, x, a_3\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so $X_2 = \emptyset$. \square

Lemma 2 *The following hold:*

- (i) B_1 is anticomplete to $\{a_1, a_2\}$, and B_2 is anticomplete to $\{a_2, a_3\}$. Moreover, B_1 is complete to $\{a_3\}$, and B_2 is complete to $\{a_1\}$; so, $|B_1| \leq 1$ and $|B_2| \leq 1$.
- (ii) $|B_3| \leq 2$, and $|B_4| \leq 2$. Further, if $|B_3| = 2$, then $|B_4| \leq 1$ and vice versa.
- (iii) D is complete to $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$, and D is a clique.

Proof. (i): The first assertion follows from (R13). Now for any $b \in B_1$, since $\{a_2, a_3, b, v_1, v_4\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_3$, B_1 is complete to $\{a_3\}$; so, by (R3), $|B_1| \leq 1$. Likewise, B_2 is complete to $\{a_1\}$, and $|B_2| \leq 1$. \diamond

(ii): By (R2), a_2 can have at most one nonneighbor in $B_3 \cup B_4$. By (R3), a_2 can have at most one neighbor in B_3 . Likewise, a_2 can have at most one neighbor in B_4 . So $|B_3| \leq 2$ and $|B_4| \leq 2$, and if $|B_3| = 2$ then $|B_4| \leq 1$ and vice versa. \diamond

(iii): Let $d \in D$. Then since $\{v_1, a_1, a_2, v_2, d\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, we have $da_1, da_2 \notin E(G)$ or $da_1, da_2 \in E(G)$. If $da_1, da_2 \notin E(G)$, then $\{a_1, a_2, d, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a $K_2 + K_3$. So, we have $da_1, da_2 \in E(G)$. Likewise, $da_3 \in E(G)$. Hence D is complete to $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. Then, by (R3), D is a clique. \square

Lemma 3 *The following hold:*

- (i) $\theta(G[B \cup C]) \leq 3$.
- (ii) $\theta(G[L_3 \cup B \cup C \cup D \cup \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}]) \leq 4$.

Proof. (i): Consider the graph $G[B \cup C]$. By Lemma 2:(ii), either $|B_3| \leq 1$ or $|B_4| \leq 1$. We may assume that $|B_4| \leq 1$. First suppose that there are nonadjacent vertices, say $b_3 \in B_3$ and $b_2 \in B_2$. Then by (R12):(b), $\{b_2\}$ is complete to $(B_3 \setminus \{b_3\}) \cup B_4$. Then, by (R4), B_4 is complete to $(B_3 \setminus \{b_3\})$. So, $\{b_2\} \cup (B_3 \setminus \{b_3\}) \cup B_4$, $\{b_3, v_3, v_4\}$, $B_1 \cup \{v_1, v_2\}$ are cliques, and thus $\theta(G[B \cup C]) \leq 3$. Hence we may assume that B_3 is complete to $B_2 \cup B_4$. Then, clearly $\theta(G[B_2 \cup B_3 \cup B_4 \cup \{v_3, v_4\}]) \leq 2$, and since $B_1 \cup \{v_1, v_2\}$ is a clique, $\theta(G[B \cup C]) \leq 3$. \diamond

(ii): If $L_3 = \emptyset$, then since $D \cup \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ is a clique, clearly, by Lemma 3:(i), $\theta(G[L_3 \cup B \cup C \cup D \cup \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}]) \leq \theta(G[B \cup C]) + 1 \leq 4$. So we assume that $L_3 \neq \emptyset$, and let $L_3 := \{a^*\}$. Then since $\{a_1, a_2, a^*, v_4, v_3\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_3$, $a_1 a^* \in E(G)$. Likewise, $a_3 a^* \in E(G)$. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 2:(i), we have $|B_3| \leq 1$ and $|B_4| \leq 1$; $\{a^*\}$ is complete to B_1 , and $\{a_1, a_2\}$ is complete to B_3 . Also, if there are nonadjacent vertices, say $d \in D$ and $b \in B_3$, then, by Lemma 2:(iii), $\{b, a_1, d, v_4, v_1\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so D is complete to B_3 . Thus, $\{a^*\} \cup B_1 \cup \{a_3\}$, $B_3 \cup D \cup \{a_1, a_2\}$, $B_2 \cup \{v_2, v_3\}$ and $B_4 \cup \{v_1, v_4\}$ are cliques, and hence $\theta(G[L_3 \cup B \cup C \cup D \cup \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}]) \leq 4$. \square

Lemma 4 *If $L_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $\theta(G) \leq \alpha(G) + 3$.*

Proof. Let $a_4 \in L_1$. We may assume that $a_1 a_4 \in E(G)$. Then as in the proof of Lemma 1:(iv), we have $X_1 = \emptyset$. Since $X_2 = \emptyset$ (by Lemma 1:(iv)), by Lemma 3:(i), it is enough to show that $\theta(G[A \cup D \cup T]) \leq \alpha(G)$. Recall that D is complete to $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$, and D is a clique. Also, as in the proof of Lemma 2:(iii), D is complete to $\{a_4\}$. Now if $(A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}) \cup (A_4 \setminus \{a_4\}) = \emptyset$, then by (R1), $A_1 \cup A_3 \cup T$ induces a bipartite graph, and is anticomplete to $\{v_2, v_4\}$; so $\theta(G[A_1 \cup A_3 \cup T]) \leq \alpha(G) - 2$, and then, since $D \cup \{a_2, a_4\}$ is a clique, we conclude that $\theta(G[A \cup D \cup T]) \leq \alpha(G)$. So we assume that $(A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}) \cup (A_4 \setminus \{a_4\}) \neq \emptyset$. Note that, by (R13), $A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$ is anticomplete to $\{a_2, a_4\}$; so by (R9):(a), $|A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}| \leq 1$. Also, if $|A_3| \geq 3$, then by Lemma 1:(iii), it follows that $A_4 \setminus \{a_4\} \neq \emptyset$, and so for any $a \in A_4 \setminus \{a_4\}$, by (R13), there are vertices $p, q \in A_3$ such that $ap, aq \notin E(G)$, and hence, again by (R13) and Lemma 1:(i), $\{a, v_4, p, a_1, q\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$; so $|A_3| \leq 2$. Next, if there are nonadjacent vertices, say $a'_1 \in A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$ and $d \in D$, then, by Lemma 1:(i), $\{v_1, a_1, a_3, a'_1, d\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so D is complete to $A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$. Likewise, D is complete to $A_3 \setminus \{a_3\}$. Hence, by Lemma 1:(i) and Lemma 2:(iii), $\theta(G[A_1 \cup A_3 \cup D]) \leq 2$. Also, by (R1), $A_2 \cup A_4 \cup T$ induces a bipartite graph, and is anticomplete to $\{v_1, v_3\}$; so $\theta(G[A_2 \cup A_4 \cup T]) \leq \alpha(G) - 2$. Thus, $\theta(G[A \cup D \cup T]) \leq \alpha(G)$. This proves Lemma 4. \square

Theorem 5 *If G is a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_2 + K_3)$ -free graph that contains an H_1 , then $\theta(G) \leq \alpha(G) + 3$.*

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_2 + K_3)$ -free graph than contains an H_1 . We may consider an H_1 with vertices and edges as in Figure 3. Let $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. We partition $V(G) \setminus C$ as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. We partition A_4 as above, and we use Lemmas 1 to 4. Recall that, by Lemma 1:(iv), $X_2 = \emptyset$. By (R9) and (R13), we have $|A_2| \leq 2$. By Lemma 4, we may assume that $L_1 = \emptyset$; so $|A_4| \leq 2$. Now we prove the theorem in two cases as follows:

Case 1 $L_2 \cup (A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}) \neq \emptyset$.

First suppose that $(A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}) \cup (A_3 \setminus \{a_3\}) = \emptyset$. Define $X'_1 := \{x \in X_1 \mid a_1 x \in E(G)\}$. Then it follows from (R10) that X'_1 is complete to $\{a_3\}$; so by (R11), $|X'_1| \leq 1$. Note that $T \cup (X \setminus X'_1)$ induces a bipartite graph (by (R1) and (R5)), and is anticomplete to $\{a_1, v_2, v_4\}$; so $\theta(G[T \cup (X \setminus X'_1)]) \leq \alpha(G) - 3$. Since $|A_2| \leq 2$ and $|A_4| \leq 2$, by (R2), $\theta(G[A_2 \cup A_4]) \leq 2$. By (R8) and Lemma 2:(iii), $\theta(G[A_1 \cup A_3 \cup D \cup X'_1]) \leq 1$. Hence, by Lemma 3:(i), $\theta(G) \leq \alpha(G) + 3$.

Next suppose that $(A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}) \cup (A_3 \setminus \{a_3\}) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 1:(iii), $|A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}| \leq 1$ and $|A_3 \setminus \{a_3\}| \leq 1$. Thus, by Lemma 1:(ii), $(A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}) \cup (A_3 \setminus \{a_3\})$ and $(A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}) \cup L_2$ are cliques. So, by Lemma 3:(ii), $\theta(G[A \cup B \cup C \cup D]) \leq 6$. Next:

Claim 5.1 X_1 is anticomplete to $\{a_1\}$.

Proof of Claim 5.1. Suppose that there is a vertex $x \in X_1$ such that $xa_1 \in E(G)$. By (R10), $a_2x \notin E(G)$ and $a_3x \in E(G)$. Let $a' \in (A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}) \cup (A_3 \setminus \{a_3\})$. Then, by Lemma 1:(i), since $\{a_1, v_1, a', a_3, x\}$ or $\{a_1, a_3, v_3, a', x\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, we have $a'x \in E(G)$. Then, by Lemma 1:(ii) and by (R10), $\{x\}$ is anticomplete to $(A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}) \cup L_2$. But, then for any $a^* \in (A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}) \cup L_2$, one of $\{a^*, v_2, a_1, a_3, x\}$, $\{a^*, v_4, a_1, a_3, x\}$ induces a $K_2 + K_3$. So X_1 is anticomplete to $\{a_1\}$. \diamond

By Claim 5.1, since $T \cup X_1$ induces a bipartite graph (by (R1) and (R5)), and is anticomplete to $\{a_1, v_2, v_4\}$, $\theta(G[T \cup X_1]) \leq \alpha(G) - 3$. Hence $\theta(G) \leq \theta(G[A \cup B \cup C \cup D]) + \theta(G[T \cup X_1]) \leq 6 + (\alpha(G) - 3) = \alpha(G) + 3$.

Case 2 $L_2 \cup (A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}) = \emptyset$.

If $(A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}) \cup (A_3 \setminus \{a_3\})$ is a stable set, then since $A_1 \setminus \{a_1\} \cup (A_3 \setminus \{a_3\}) \cup T \cup X_1$ induces a bipartite graph (by (R1) and (R5)), and is anticomplete to $\{v_2, v_4\}$, we see that $\theta(G) \leq \theta(G[A_1 \setminus \{a_1\} \cup (A_3 \setminus \{a_3\}) \cup X_1 \cup T]) \leq \alpha(G) - 2$, and we conclude the proof by using Lemma 3:(ii). So we may assume that, by (R1), there are adjacent vertices, say $a'_1 \in A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$ and $a'_3 \in A_3 \setminus \{a_3\}$.

Claim 5.2 X_1 is complete to $\{a_2\} \cup L_3$. Moreover, $|X_1| \leq 2$.

Proof of Claim 5.2. Suppose there is a vertex $x \in X_1$ such that $xa_2 \notin E(G)$. Then, by (R10), $a_1x, a_3x \in E(G)$. Then, by Lemma 1:(i), since $\{v_1, a_1, a_3, a'_1, x\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, $a'_1x \in E(G)$. But then, by Lemma 1:(ii), $\{a_2, v_2, a'_1, x, a'_3\}$ induces a $K_2 + K_3$ or a $P_2 + P_3$. So we conclude that X_1 is complete to $\{a_2\}$. Hence, if there are nonadjacent vertices, say $x \in X_1$ and $a \in L_3$, then $\{a, v_4, x, a_2, v_2\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$; so X_1 is complete to L_3 . Next, if $|X_1| \geq 3$, by (R11), there is a vertex $x \in X_1$ such that $xa'_1, xa'_3 \notin E(G)$, then, by Lemma 1:(ii), $\{a'_1, a'_3, x, a_2, v_2\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$; so $|X_1| \leq 2$. \diamond

Now, by Claim 5.2, by Lemma 2:(iii) and (R8), $\theta(G[A_2 \cup L_3 \cup D \cup X_1]) \leq 2$. Since $A_1 \cup A_3 \cup T$ induces a bipartite graph (by (R1)), and is anticomplete to $\{v_2, v_4\}$, $\theta(G[A_1 \cup A_3 \cup T]) \leq \alpha(G) - 2$, so by Lemma 3:(i), we are done. This completes the proof of Theorem 5. \square

3.2.2 $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_{2,3}, \overline{H_1})$ -free graphs that contain a banner

Theorem 6 If G is a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_{2,3})$ -free graph that contains a banner, then G is a good graph.

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_{2,3})$ -free graph that contains a banner. We may consider a banner with vertices and edges as in Figure 2. Let $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. We partition $V(G) \setminus C$ as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. Since G is $K_{2,3}$ -free, clearly $X = \emptyset$. Recall that, by the definition of A_1 , we have $a^* \in A_1$, and so $A \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that G has no pair of comparable vertices. Moreover, by Theorem 5, we may assume that G is $\overline{H_1}$ -free. Also:

Claim 6.1 Suppose that $A_4 \neq \emptyset$. Then the set $A_1 \cup A_4 \cup B_1 \cup \{v_3\}$ can be partitioned into two stable sets. Likewise, $A_3 \cup A_4 \cup B_2 \cup \{v_1\}$ can be partitioned into two stable sets.

Proof of Claim 6.1. If A_4 is anticomplete to B_1 , then by (R2), $|A_4| = 1$ and $|B_1| \leq 1$, and so $A_1 \cup \{v_3\}$ and $A_4 \cup B_1$ are stable sets, and we are done. So, we may assume that there are adjacent vertices, say $a_4 \in A_4$ and $b_1 \in B_1$. Then, by (R3) and (R12):(a), $\{a_4\}$ is anticomplete to $B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}$, and $A_4 \setminus \{a_4\}$ is anticomplete to $\{b_1\}$. So $(A_4 \setminus \{a_4\}) \cup \{b_1\}$ is a stable set, and by (R2), $|B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}| \leq 1$. Now we show that $A_1 \cup (B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}) \cup \{a_4, v_3\}$ is a stable set. First, if there is a vertex $a_1 \in A_1$ such that $a_1a_4 \in E(G)$, then $\{a_1, a_4, v_4, v_1, b_1\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$ or a $K_{2,3}$; so $A_1 \cup \{a_4\}$ is a stable set. Next, if there are adjacent vertices, say $a \in A_1$ and $b \in B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}$, then $\{a_4, v_4, a, b, v_2\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. Thus, $A_1 \cup (B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}) \cup \{a_4, v_3\}$ is a stable set. This proves Claim 6.1. \diamond

Now we split the proof into two cases based on the subsets of B .

Case 1 Suppose that B_i and B_{i+2} are nonempty, for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

We let $i = 1$, and we claim the following:

Claim 6.2 B_1 is complete to B_3 , and B_2 is complete to B_4 .

Proof of Claim 6.2. Suppose there are nonadjacent vertices, say $b \in B_1$ and $b' \in B_3$. Then since $\{b', v_3, a^*, v_1, b\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_3$, either $ba^* \in E(G)$ or $b'a^* \in E(G)$. If $ba^* \in E(G)$, then since $\{b', v_4, a^*, b, v_2\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_3$, we have $b'a^* \in E(G)$, and then $\{a^*, b, b'\} \cup C$ induces an $\overline{H_1}$. So we may assume that $ba^* \notin E(G)$ and $b'a^* \in E(G)$. But then $\{b, v_2, a^*, b', v_4\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. So B_1 is complete to B_3 . Likewise, B_2 is complete to B_4 . This proves Claim 6.2. \diamond

By (R12):(a) and Claim 6.2, we have $|B_1| = 1 = |B_3|$, A_1 is anticomplete to B_3 , and A_3 is anticomplete to B_1 . Thus $S_1 := A_1 \cup B_3 \cup \{v_2\}$ and $S_2 := A_3 \cup B_1 \cup \{v_4\}$ are stable sets. Also, by (R1), $S_3 := A_2 \cup T \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$ is a stable set. Now, if $B_2, B_4 \neq \emptyset$ or if $B_2 \cup B_4 = \emptyset$, then as in the previous argument, B_4 and $A_4 \cup B_2$ are stable sets, and hence $\chi(G[V(G) \setminus D]) \leq 5$. Then, by (R7), we conclude that $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$. So we may assume that $B_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $B_4 = \emptyset$. If $A_4 \neq \emptyset$, by Claim 6.1, $A_4 \cup B_2$ can be partitioned into two stable sets, and so $\chi(G[V(G) \setminus D]) \leq 5$. Then again by (R7), we conclude that $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$. So we may assume that $A_4 = \emptyset$. Now note that, since $A_4 \cup B_4 = \emptyset$, $V(G) \setminus (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3) = B_2 \cup D$. Then, since $\{v_2, v_3\}$ is complete to $B_2 \cup D$, we have $\omega(G[B_2 \cup D]) \leq \omega(G) - 2$, and hence G is nice. This proves the theorem in Case 1.

Case 2 Suppose that, for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, at least one of B_i, B_{i+2} is empty.

We may assume that $B_3 \cup B_4 = \emptyset$. Since $N(v_2) = A_2 \cup B_1 \cup B_2 \cup D \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$ and $N(v_4) = A_4 \cup D \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$, and since G has no pair of comparable vertices, we have $A_4 \neq \emptyset$; let $a_4 \in A_4$. Now if $A_2 = \emptyset$, then since $T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ is a stable set (by (R1)), by Claim 6.1, $\chi(G[V(G) \setminus D]) \leq 5$, and so, by (R7), we conclude that $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$. So we may assume that $A_2 \neq \emptyset$. First suppose that either $\{a_4\}$ is anticomplete to A_2 or $\{a_4\}$ is anticomplete to B_1 . Now if $\{a_4\}$ is anticomplete to A_2 , then by (R2), $\{a_4\}$ is complete to B_1 ; so by (R12):(a), $|B_1| \leq 1$, and if $\{a_4\}$ is anticomplete to B_1 , then by (R2), again $|B_1| \leq 1$. In any case, by (R1), $A_2 \cup B_1 \cup \{v_3\}$ induces a bipartite graph. Since $A_1 \cup T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ is a stable set (by (R1)), by Claim 6.1, $\chi(G[V(G) \setminus D]) \leq 5$, and so by (R7), we have $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$. So we may assume that there are vertices $a_2 \in A_2$ and $b_1 \in B_1$ such that $a_2 a_4, a_4 b_1 \in E(G)$. Then, by (R2), $B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}$ is a stable set. Now, for any $d \in D$, since $\{a_4, b_1, v_1, v_4, d\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, $\{b_1\}$ is anticomplete to $D \setminus N(a_4)$, and $\{b_1\}$ is complete to $N(a_4) \cap D$. By (R9):(c), $D \setminus N(a_4)$ is complete to $\{a_2\}$, and hence by (R3), $D \setminus N(a_4)$ and $N(a_4) \cap D$ are cliques. So, since $N(a_4) \cap D$ is complete to $\{b_1, v_1, v_2\}$, $\chi(G[N(a_4) \cap D]) \leq \omega(G) - 3$. Also, if there are vertices, say $d, d' \in D \setminus N(a_4)$, then $\{a_2, a_4, v_4, d, d'\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so $|D \setminus N(a_4)| \leq 1$. Thus, $(D \setminus N(a_4)) \cup \{b_1\}$ is a stable set. Then, by (R1), since $A_1 \cup T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$, $B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}$ and $A_2 \cup \{v_3\}$ are stable sets, by Claim 6.1, $\chi(G[V(G) \setminus (N(a_4) \cap D)]) \leq 6$, and we conclude that $\chi(G) \leq 6 + (\omega(G) - 3) = \omega(G) + 3$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

3.3 $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_{2,3}, \text{banner})$ -free graphs that contain an H_2

Theorem 7 If G is a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_2 + K_3, \text{co-banner})$ -free graph that contains an $\overline{H_2}$, then \overline{G} is a good graph.

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_2 + K_3, \text{co-banner})$ -free graph that contains an $\overline{H_2}$. We may consider an $\overline{H_2}$ with vertices and edges as in Figure 3. Let $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. We partition $V(G) \setminus C$ as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. Then, clearly, $b_1 \in B_1$ and $b_2 \in B_2$. So, by (R15), we have $|B_3| \leq 1$ and $|B_4| \leq 1$. Moreover, if there is a vertex, say $a_1 \in A_1$, then, by (R14), $a_1 b_1 \notin E(G)$,

and by (R15), $a_1b_2 \in E(G)$, and then $\{a_1, v_1, v_2, b_2, b_1\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so $A_1 = \emptyset$. Likewise, $A_3 = \emptyset$. Hence $A = A_2 \cup A_4$. Next if there are vertices, say $x, x' \in X_2$, then, by (R5), $xx' \notin E(G)$, and then, by (R6), $\{b_1, b_2, x, v_4, x'\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$; so $|X_2| \leq 1$. Moreover, we have the following:

Claim 7.1 $\theta(G[C \cup A \cup X_1 \cup T]) \leq \alpha(G)$.

Proof of Claim 7.1. If there are adjacent vertices, say $a \in A_2$ and $a' \in A_4$, then, by (R14) and (R15), $\{a, a', b_1, v_2, b_2\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so A_2 is anticomplete to A_4 , and hence, by (R1), $A_2 \cup A_4 \cup T \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$ is a stable set. Moreover, by (R5), $X_1 \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ is also a stable set. So, $G[C \cup A \cup X_1 \cup T]$ is a bipartite graph, and hence $\theta(G[C \cup A \cup X_1 \cup T]) \leq \alpha(G)$. This proves Claim 7.1. \diamond

We may assume that \overline{G} has no universal or a pair of comparable vertices. So, to prove the theorem, by Claim 7.1, we show that either v_2 is a nice vertex in \overline{G} or $\theta(G[B \cup D \cup X_2]) \leq 3$. Note that $|\overline{N}(v_2)| = |\{v_4\} \cup A_4 \cup T \cup X_1| + |B_3| + |B_4| \leq |\{v_4\} \cup A_4 \cup T \cup X_1| + 2$. We consider two cases based on the set A_4 .

Case 1 Suppose that $A_4 \neq \emptyset$.

Let $a_4 \in A_4$. Then, by (R15), $|B_1| = 1$ and $|B_2| = 1$; and so $B_1 = \{b_1\}$ and $B_2 = \{b_2\}$. If $|X_1| \leq 1$, then since $\{v_4\} \cup A_4 \cup T$ is a stable set (by (R1)) which is anticomplete to $\{v_2\}$, we see that $|\overline{N}(v_2)| \leq (\alpha(G) - 1) + 3 = \alpha(G) + 2$, and hence v_2 is a nice vertex in \overline{G} . So, we may assume that $|X_1| \geq 2$; and let $x_1, x'_1 \in X_1$. Next:

Claim 7.2 D is a clique.

Proof of Claim 7.2. Suppose there are nonadjacent vertices in D , say d_1 and d_2 . Then since $\{d_1, v_2, d_2, v_4, a_4\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, we have either $a_4d_1 \notin E(G)$ or $a_4d_2 \notin E(G)$. We may assume that $a_4d_1 \notin E(G)$. If there is a vertex $x \in X_1$ such that $a_4x \in E(G)$, then, by (R8), $\{a_4, v_4, v_1, x, d_1\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so $\{a_4\}$ is anticomplete to X_1 . Then, by (R15) and (R6), $\{a_4, b_1, x_1, v_3, x'_1\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. This proves Claim 7.2. \diamond

Now, since $|X_2| \leq 1$, by (R8) and Claim 7.2, $D \cup X_2$ is a clique. Also, since $|B_i| \leq 1$, for each i , by (R15), $B_3 \cup \{b_1\}$ and $B_4 \cup \{b_2\}$ are cliques. So, $\theta(G[B \cup D \cup X_2]) \leq 3$.

Case 2 Suppose that $A_4 = \emptyset$.

If one of X_1 and T is empty, then, by (R1) and (R5), $|\overline{N}(v_2)| \leq \alpha(G) + 2$, and hence v_2 is a nice vertex in \overline{G} . So, we may assume that both X_1 and T are nonempty; and let $x \in X_1$ and $t \in T$. Then:

Claim 7.3 $\{t\}$ is complete to B .

Proof of Claim 7.3. Suppose that there is a vertex, say $b \in B$ such that $bt \notin E(G)$. We may assume that $b \in B_1$. Recall that, by (R6), B is anticomplete to X . Now, for $x \in X_1$, since $\{b, v_1, v_2, t, x\}$ does not induce a co-banner, $\{t\}$ is anticomplete to X_1 . Likewise, $\{t\}$ is anticomplete to X_2 . Next, if t has a neighbor in some B_i , say b' , then $\{b', t, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, x\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$; so $\{t\}$ is anticomplete to B . Since $\{t\}$ is anticomplete to $C \cup A \cup B \cup X$, and since \overline{G} has no universal vertices, t must have a neighbor in D , say d . Then, by (R3), $N_G(t)$ is a clique. Thus, $\overline{N}_G(d) \subseteq V(G) \setminus (N(t) \cup \{t\}) = \overline{N}_G(t)$, and so $N_{\overline{G}}(d) \subseteq N_{\overline{G}}(t)$. Hence, d and t are comparable vertices in \overline{G} , a contradiction. This proves Claim 7.3. \diamond

Next:

Claim 7.4 $B_1 = \{b_1\}$, $B_2 = \{b_2\}$, and B is complete to D .

Proof of Claim 7.4. By Claim 7.3 and by (R13), we have $B_1 = \{b_1\}$. Likewise, $B_2 = \{b_2\}$. Next, if there are nonadjacent vertices, say $b \in B_i$ and $d \in D$, then, by (R3), $dt \notin E(G)$, and then, by Claim 7.3, $\{b, t, d, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}\}$ induces a $K_2 + K_3$; so B is complete to D . This proves Claim 7.4. \diamond

Now since $|B_3| \leq 1$, $|B_4| \leq 1$ and $|X_2| \leq 1$, by Claim 7.4, by (R3) and by (R15), clearly $B_1 \cup B_3 \cup D$, $B_2 \cup B_4$ and X_2 are cliques. So, $\theta(G[B \cup D \cup X_2]) \leq 3$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

3.4 $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_2 + K_3, \text{co-banner}, \overline{H_2})$ -free graphs that contain an $\overline{H_3}$

Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_2 + K_3, \text{co-banner}, \overline{H_2})$ -free graph such that \overline{G} has no universal or a pair of comparable vertices. We may consider an G contains an $\overline{H_3}$ with vertices and edges as in Figure 3. Let $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. We partition $V(G) \setminus C$ as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. Clearly, $b^* \in B$ and $t^* \in T$. Also, since $b^*t^* \in E(G)$, B is not anticomplete to T . Moreover, the graph G has some more properties which we give in Lemmas 5 to 11 below.

Lemma 5 *The following hold:*

- (i) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $B_i \cup B_{i+1}$ is a stable set.
- (ii) B is complete to T .
- (iii) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $|B_i| \leq 1$, and $|T| = 1$.
- (iv) B is complete to D . Moreover, D is a clique.
- (v) $\theta(G[B \cup D \cup T]) \leq 2$ and $\theta(G[B \cup C \cup D \cup T]) \leq 3$.

Proof. (i): By (R14), it is enough to show that B_i is anticomplete to B_{i+1} . Now if there are adjacent vertices, say $b \in B_i$ and $b' \in B_{i+1}$, then $C \cup \{b, b'\}$ induces an $\overline{H_2}$. \diamond

(ii): Suppose there are nonadjacent vertices, say $b \in B$ and $t \in T$. We may assume that $b \in B_1$. Now, if t has a neighbor in X , say x , then, by (R6), $\{t, x, v_1, v_2, b\}$ induces a co-banner, and if t has a neighbor in $B_2 \cup B_4$, say $b' \in B_2$, then by Lemma 5:(i), $bb' \notin E(G)$, and then $\{b', t, b, v_1, v_4\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. These contradictions together with (R1) show that $\{t\}$ is anticomplete to $C \cup A \cup B_2 \cup B_4 \cup X$. Since \overline{G} has no universal vertices, t must have a neighbor in $B_1 \cup B_3 \cup D$, say p . By (R3) and (R15), $N_G(t)$ is a clique. Thus, $\overline{N_G}(p) \subseteq V(G) \setminus (N(t) \cup \{t\}) = \overline{N_G}(t)$, and so $N_{\overline{G}}(p) \subseteq N_{\overline{G}}(t)$. Hence p and t are comparable vertices in \overline{G} , a contradiction. \diamond

(iii): By (R13) and Lemma 5:(ii), clearly $|B_i| \leq 1$. Next, if there are vertices, say $t, t' \in T$, then, by Lemma 5:(ii) and (R1), $\{v_3, v_4, t, b^*, t'\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$; so $|T| \leq 1$. Since $T \neq \emptyset$, we have $|T| = 1$. \diamond

(iv): The proof of the first assertion follows from our assumption that $B, T \neq \emptyset$, and is similar to the proof of Claim 7.4 in Theorem 7, and we omit the details. Since $B \neq \emptyset$, the second assertion follows from the first assertion and from (R3). \diamond

(v): We use (R15), Lemma 5:(iii), and Lemma 5:(iv). Since $B_1 \cup B_3 \cup T$ and $B_2 \cup B_4 \cup D$ are cliques, clearly $\theta(G[B \cup D \cup T]) \leq 2$. Also, since $B_1 \cup B_3 \cup T$, $B_2 \cup D \cup \{v_2, v_3\}$ and $B_4 \cup \{v_1, v_4\}$ are cliques, we have $\theta(G[B \cup C \cup D \cup T]) \leq 3$. \square

Lemma 6 *The following hold:*

- (i) X is complete to T .
- (ii) Let $j, k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \neq k$. Then for any $a \in A_j \cup A_{j+2}$, we have $|X_k \setminus N(a)| \leq 1$, and for any $x \in X_k$, we have $|A_j \setminus N(x)| \leq 1$ and $|A_{j+2} \setminus N(x)| \leq 1$.

Proof. (i): If there are nonadjacent vertices, say $x \in X$ and $t \in T$, then, for any $b \in B$, say $b \in B_i$, by Lemma 5:(ii) and (R6), $\{b, t, x, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. \diamond

(ii): We prove for $j = 1$. By symmetry, we may assume that $a \in A_1$. If there are vertices, say $x_2, x'_2 \in X_2 \setminus N(a)$, then, by (R1) and Lemma 6:(i), $\{a, v_1, x_2, t^*, x'_2\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. Next, if there are vertices, say $a_1, a'_1 \in A_1 \setminus N(x)$, then, by (R1) and Lemma 6:(i), $\{t^*, x, a_1, v_1, a'_1\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. \square

Lemma 7 *If one of A_i , A_{i+2} is empty, for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then \overline{G} is a good graph.*

Proof. We may assume that $A_3 \cup A_4 = \emptyset$. Also:

7.1 *We may assume that $A_1 \cup X_1$ and $A_2 \cup X_2$ are not stable sets.*

Proof of 7.1. If $A_1 \cup X_1$ is a stable set, then, by (R14), (R6) and Lemma 5:(iii), $|\overline{N}(v_2)| = |A_1 \cup B_4 \cup X_1| + |B_3| + |T| + |\{v_4\}| \leq (\alpha(G) - 1) + 3 = \alpha(G) + 2$; so v_2 is a nice vertex in \overline{G} , and we are done. So we may assume that $A_1 \cup X_1$ is not a stable set. Likewise, $A_2 \cup X_2$ is not a stable set. \diamond

7.2 *We may assume that $|A_1| \geq 2$ and $|A_2| \geq 2$.*

Proof of 7.2. If $|A_1| \leq 1$, then since $B_3 \cup B_4 \cup X_1$ is a stable set (by (R6) and Lemma 5:(i)), by Lemma 5:(iii), $|\overline{N}(v_2)| = |B_3 \cup B_4 \cup X_1| + |A_1| + |T| + |\{v_4\}| \leq (\alpha(G) - 1) + 3 = \alpha(G) + 2$; so v_2 is a nice vertex in \overline{G} , and we are done. Hence we may assume that $|A_1| \geq 2$. Likewise, $|A_2| \geq 2$. \diamond

7.3 *We may assume that $|X_1| \geq 2$ and $|X_2| \geq 2$.*

Proof of 7.3. If $|X_1| \leq 1$, then since $A_1 \cup T \cup \{v_4\}$ is a stable set (by (R1)), by Lemma 5:(iii), $|\overline{N}(v_2)| = |A_1 \cup T \cup \{v_4\}| + |B_3 \cup B_4| + |X_1| \leq (\alpha(G) - 1) + 3 = \alpha(G) + 2$; so again v_2 is a nice vertex in \overline{G} , and we are done. Hence we may assume that $|X_1| \geq 2$. Likewise, $|X_2| \geq 2$. \diamond

By 7.1, there are adjacent vertices, say $a_1 \in A_1$ and $x_1 \in X_1$. Moreover, we claim the following:

7.4 *$|A_1| \leq 3$, and $|A_2| \leq 3$.*

Proof of 7.4. Suppose that $|A_1| \geq 4$. Then since $|X_2| \geq 2$, by Lemma 6:(ii), there is a vertex in X_2 , say x_2 , such that $a_1x_2 \in E(G)$. Again, by Lemma 6:(ii) and by the pigeonhole principle, there are vertices, say $a'_1, a''_1 \in A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$ such that $a'_1x_2, a''_1x_2 \in E(G)$. Now, since $\{x_1, x_2, v_1, v_2, a_1\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, we have $x_1x_2 \notin E(G)$. Then, since $\{a'_1, x_1, a_1, x_2, v_1\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, $a'_1x_1 \notin E(G)$. Likewise $a''_1x_1 \notin E(G)$. But now $\{v_3, x_1, a'_1, x_2, a''_1\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. So, we have $|A_1| \leq 3$. Likewise, $|A_2| \leq 3$. \diamond

7.5 *$|X_1| \leq 3$, and $|X_2| \leq 3$.*

Proof of 7.5. The proof is similar to the proof of 7.4, and we omit the details. \diamond

7.6 *$\theta(G[A_1 \cup X_2]) \leq 3$ and $\theta(G[A_2 \cup X_1]) \leq 3$.*

Proof of 7.6. This follows from (R1), (R5), Lemma 6:(ii), 7.4 and by 7.5. \diamond

Now, by 7.6 and Lemma 5:(v), we conclude that $\theta(G) \leq 9$. Also, by 7.2 and by (R1), since $A_1 \cup T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ is a stable set, we have $\alpha(G) \geq 5$. If $\alpha(G) \geq 6$, then $\theta(G) \leq \alpha(G) + 3$, and we are done. So, we may assume that $\alpha(G) = 5$. Since $A_1 \cup T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ is a stable set (by (R1)), by 7.2, $|A_1| = 2$. Likewise, $|A_2| = 2$. Now, if $|X_1| = 2$, then, by Lemma 6:(ii), $\theta(G[A_2 \cup X_1]) \leq 2$, and so by 7.6 and Lemma 5:(v), $\theta(G) \leq 8 = \alpha(G) + 3$. So, we may assume that $|X_1| = 3$ and $|X_2| = 3$. Since $X_1 \cup B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{v_4\}$ is a stable set, one of B_2, B_4 is empty. We may assume that $B_2 = \emptyset$. Then as in 7.6, we have $\theta(G[A_2 \cup (X_1 \setminus \{x_1\})]) \leq 2$, and $\theta(G[A_1 \cup X_2 \cup \{v_2\}]) \leq 3$. Also, $D \cup \{v_3, x_1\}$ is a clique (by Lemma 5:(iv) and (R8)), $B_4 \cup \{v_1, v_4\}$ is a clique (by Lemma 5:(iii)), and $B_1 \cup B_3 \cup T$ is a clique (by (R15), Lemma 5:(ii) and Lemma 5:(iii)). So, we conclude that $\theta(G) \leq 8 = \alpha(G) + 3$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 8 *If A_i is anticomplete to A_{i+2} , for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then \overline{G} is a good graph.*

Proof. First we observe the following:

8.1 *If there are vertices, say $p \in A_i$, $q \in A_{i+1}$, and $r \in A_{i+2}$, then $pq, qr \in E(G)$ or $pq, qr \notin E(G)$.*

Proof of 8.1. If $pq \in E(G)$, and $qr \notin E(G)$ (say), then $\{r, v_{i+2}, v_i, p, q\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. \diamond

By Lemma 7, we may assume that $A_1, A_3 \neq \emptyset$. Then, by (R2), we let $A_1 := \{a_1\}$ and $A_3 := \{a_3\}$. First suppose that $\{a_1\}$ is complete to $A_2 \cup A_4$. Then, by (R13), we have $|A_2| \leq 1$ and $|A_4| \leq 1$. Also, by 8.1, $\{a_3\}$ is complete to A_4 . So, by (R15), Lemma 5:(ii) and Lemma 5:(iii), $A_2 \cup B_3 \cup \{a_1\}$, $A_4 \cup B_1 \cup \{a_3\}$, and $B_2 \cup B_4 \cup T$ are cliques. Also, by (R5), (R8) and Lemma 5:(iv), we conclude that $G[X_1 \cup X_2 \cup C \cup D]$ is a perfect graph, as it is a join of a bipartite graph and a complete graph. Thus, $\theta(G) \leq \alpha(G) + 3$, and we are done. So we may assume that $\{a_1\}$ is not complete to $A_2 \cup A_4$, and let $a_2 \in A_2$ be such that $a_1 a_2 \notin E(G)$. So, by 8.1, $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ is a stable set. We consider two cases based on the set A_4 .

Case 1 $A_4 \neq \emptyset$.

By (R2), $A_2 \setminus \{a_2\} = \emptyset$ and $|A_4| = 1$. Let $A_4 := \{a_4\}$. So, by 8.1, $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ is a stable set. Next, we claim that:

8.2 $|X_1| \leq 3$ and $|X_2| \leq 3$. Hence, $\theta(G[X_1 \cup \{a_1, a_3\}]) \leq 3$ and $\theta(G[X_2 \cup \{a_2, a_4\}]) \leq 3$.

Proof of 8.2. Suppose $|X_1| \geq 4$. Then, by Lemma 6:(ii), there are vertices $p, q, r \in X_1$ such that $\{a_2\}$ is complete to $\{p, q, r\}$. Then, by (R11), we may assume that $pa_3, qa_3 \notin E(G)$. But, now (R5), (R6), (R14) and by (R15), $\{b^*, a_3, a_2, p, q\}$ induces $P_2 + P_3$. So, $|X_1| \leq 3$. Likewise, $|X_2| \leq 3$. This proves the first assertion. The second assertion follows from the first and from Lemma 6:(ii). \diamond

By Lemma 5:(v) and 8.2, we have $\theta(G) \leq 9$. If $\alpha(G) \geq 6$, then $\theta(G) \leq \alpha(G) + 3$. So we may assume that $\alpha(G) \leq 5$. Since $T \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_2, v_4\}$ is a stable set of size 5, we have $\alpha(G) = 5$.

8.3 $\theta(G[X_1 \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_1, v_3\}]) \leq 3$. Likewise, $\theta(G[X_2 \cup \{a_2, a_4, v_2, v_4\}]) \leq 3$.

Proof of 8.3. Since $X_1 \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ is a stable set (by (R5)), and since $\alpha(G) = 5$, $|X_1| \leq 3$. If $|X_1| \leq 1$, then $\{a_1, v_1\}, \{a_3, v_3\}, X_1$ are cliques, and $\theta(G[X_1 \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_1, v_3\}]) \leq 3$; so we may assume that $|X_1| \geq 2$. Let $x_1, x'_1 \in X_1$. Since $\{a_1, a_3, v_1, v_3, x_1, x'_1\}$ is a stable set of size 6, we may assume that $a_1 x_1 \in E(G)$. Then since $\{a_1, v_1, x_1, v_3, a_3\}$ does not induce a co-banner, $a_3 x_1 \in E(G)$. So, by (R11), $\{a_1, a_3\}$ is anticomplete to $X_1 \setminus \{x_1\}$. Since $(X_1 \setminus \{x_1\}) \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_2, v_4\}$ is a stable set of size at most 5, $|X_1 \setminus \{x_1\}| = 1$, and so $X_1 \setminus x_1 = \{x'_1\}$. Then since $\{a_1, v_1, x_1\}, \{a_3, v_3\}$, and $\{x'_1\}$ are cliques, $\theta(G[X_1 \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_1, v_3\}]) \leq 3$. This proves 8.3. \diamond

So, by 8.3 and Lemma 5:(v), we have $\theta(G) \leq 8 = \alpha(G) + 3$.

Case 2 $A_4 = \emptyset$.

Let $A'_2 := \{a \in A_2 \mid aa_1 \in E(G)\}$; so $a_2 \in A_2 \setminus A'_2$. By (R13), $|A'_2| \leq 1$. Next, we claim the following:

8.4 We may assume that $|X_1| \geq 2$.

Proof of 8.4. If $|X_1| \leq 1$, since $T \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_4\}$ is a stable set (by (R1)), by Lemma 5:(iii), $|\overline{N}(v_2)| = |X_1| + |T \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_4\}| + |B_3 \cup B_4| \leq \alpha(G) + 2$; so v_2 is a nice vertex in \overline{G} , and we are done. Hence we may assume that $|X_1| \geq 2$. \diamond

8.5 $|X_1 \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_4\}| \leq \alpha(G)$.

Proof of 8.5. If $X_1 \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_4\}$ is a stable set, we are done. So, we may assume that, by (R5), there is a vertex, say $x \in X_1$ such that $a_1 x \in E(G)$. Then since $\{a_1, a_3, v_1, x, v_3\}$ does not induce a co-banner, $a_3 x \in E(G)$. Also, by (R11), $\{a_1\}$ is anticomplete to $X_1 \setminus \{x\}$, and $\{a_3\}$ is anticomplete to $X_1 \setminus \{x\}$. So, by (R5), $(X_1 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_4\}$ is a stable set, and since $\{v_2\}$ is anticomplete to $(X_1 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_4\}$, $|(X_1 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_4\}| \leq \alpha(G) - 1$, and hence $|X_1 \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_4\}| \leq \alpha(G)$. \diamond

8.6 We may assume that $B_3, B_4 \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of 8.6. If $|B_3 \cup B_4| \leq 1$, then, by 8.5 and Lemma 5:(iii), $|\overline{N}(v_2)| = |X_1 \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_4\}| + |B_3 \cup B_4| + |T| \leq \alpha(G) + 2$; so v_2 is a nice vertex in \overline{G} , and we are done. So, by Lemma 5:(iii), we conclude that $B_3, B_4 \neq \emptyset$. \diamond

8.7 $\theta(G[(A_2 \setminus A'_2) \cup X_1]) \leq \alpha(G) - 3$.

Proof of 8.7. First, since X is anticomplete to B (by (R6)), by Lemma 5:(i) and (R5), $X_1 \cup B_3 \cup B_4 \cup \{v_2\}$ is a stable set, and so by 8.6, $|X_1| \leq \alpha(G) - 3$. Now, if $A_2 \setminus A'_2 = \{a_2\}$, then, by 8.4 and Lemma 6:(ii), $\{a_2\}$ is not anticomplete to X_1 , and hence $\theta(G[(A_2 \setminus A'_2) \cup X_1]) \leq |X_1| \leq \alpha(G) - 3$. So, we may assume that $|A_2 \setminus A'_2| \geq 2$.

For integers $r \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, let $X_1 := \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}$ and $A_2 \setminus A'_2 := \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k\}$. If $r \geq k$. Then, by Lemma 6:(ii), we may assume that $p_j q_j \in E(G)$, where $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and then $\theta(G[(A_2 \setminus A'_2) \cup X_1]) \leq |X_1| \leq \alpha(G) - 3$; so suppose that $r < k$. Then, again by Lemma 6:(ii), we may assume that $p_j q_j \in E(G)$, where $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, and hence $\theta(G[(A_2 \setminus A'_2) \cup X_1]) \leq k = |A_2 \setminus A'_2|$. Now, since $\{a_3\}$ is anticomplete to $A_2 \setminus A'_2$ (by 8.1), $(A_2 \setminus A'_2) \cup \{a_1, a_3, v_4\}$ is a stable set, and so $|A_2 \setminus A'_2| \leq \alpha(G) - 3$. Thus, we conclude that $\theta(G[(A_2 \setminus A'_2) \cup X_1]) \leq \alpha(G) - 3$. \diamond

8.8 $\theta(G[A'_2 \cup X_2 \cup \{a_1, a_3\}]) \leq 3$.

Proof of 8.8. To prove the claim, we partition X_2 as follows: $X'_2 := \{x \in X_2 \mid xa_2 \in E(G)\}$, $X''_2 := \{x \in X_2 \mid xa_1 \in E(G), xa_2 \notin E(G)\}$ and $X'''_2 := \{x \in X_2 \mid xa_1, xa_2 \notin E(G)\}$. By (R11), $|X'_2| \leq 1$, and by Lemma 6:(ii), $|X'''_2| \leq 1$. By (R10), $A'_2 \cup X'''_2$ is a clique. Since for any $x \in X'_2$, $\{x, a_2, v_2, v_3, a_3\}$ does not induce a co-banner, $X'_2 \cup \{a_3\}$ is a clique. Also, if there are vertices, say $x, x' \in X''_2$, then, for any $b \in B_4$, by (R14) and (R15), $\{a_2, b, x, a_1, x'\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$; so $|X''_2| \leq 1$, and hence $X''_2 \cup \{a_1\}$ is a clique. So we conclude that $\theta(G[A'_2 \cup X_2 \cup \{a_1, a_3\}]) \leq 3$. \diamond

Now, by 8.7 and 8.8, we have $\theta(G[A \cup X]) \leq \alpha(G)$, and so, by Lemma 5:(v), we have $\theta(G) \leq \alpha(G) + 3$. This proves Lemma 8. \square

Lemma 9 *If $A_i \cup A_{i+2}$ induces a $K_{2,2}$, for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then \overline{G} is a good graph.*

Proof. We may assume that $i = 1$. By (R1), we may assume that there are vertices, say $p_1, p_2 \in A_1$ and $q_1, q_2 \in A_3$ such that $\{p_1, p_2\}$ is complete to $\{q_1, q_2\}$. Then we claim the following.

9.1 $A_2 \cup A_4 = \emptyset$.

Proof of 9.1. Suppose, up to symmetry, there is a vertex, say $a \in A_2$. By (R13), we may assume that $ap_1, aq_1 \notin E(G)$. Then since $\{a, v_2, p_1, q_1, p_2\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_3$, $ap_2 \in E(G)$. Likewise, $aq_2 \in E(G)$. But then $\{a, p_2, q_2, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a co-banner. \diamond

9.2 $X_2 = \emptyset$.

Proof of 9.2. Suppose there is a vertex, say $x \in X_2$. Then, by Lemma 6:(ii), we may assume $xp_1, xq_1 \in E(G)$. Also, by (R9):(c), we may assume that $xp_2 \in E(G)$. Then $\{p_1, v_1, p_2, q_1, x\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. \diamond

Now, by 9.1 and 9.2, $|\overline{N}(v_1)| = |A_3 \cup T \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup \{v_3\}|$. Since $A_3 \cup T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ is a stable set (by (R1)), $|A_3 \cup T| \leq \alpha(G) - 2$. So, by Lemma 5:(iii), $|\overline{N}(v_1)| = |A_3 \cup T| + |B_2 \cup B_3| + |\{v_3\}| \leq (\alpha(G) - 2) + 3 < \alpha(G) + 2$. This implies that v_1 is a nice vertex in \overline{G} , and hence \overline{G} is a good graph. This proves Lemma 9. \square

Lemma 10 *If $A_i \cup A_{i+2}$ induces a $K_{1,3}$, for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then \overline{G} is a good graph.*

Proof. We may assume that $i = 1$. By (R1), we may assume that there are vertices, say $p_1 \in A_1$ and $q_1, q_2, q_3 \in A_3$ such that $\{p_1\}$ is complete to $\{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$. By Lemma 9, we may assume that $G[A_1 \cup A_3]$ is $K_{2,2}$ -free. Then we claim the following.

10.1 $A_1 \setminus \{p_1\} = \emptyset$.

Proof of 10.1. If there is a vertex, say $p_2 \in A_1 \setminus \{p_1\}$, then, by (R2), we may assume that $p_2q_1, p_2q_2 \in E(G)$, and then $\{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2\}$ induces a $K_{2,2}$ in $G[A_1 \cup A_3]$, a contradiction. \diamond

10.2 $\{p_1\}$ is complete to A_2 . Likewise, $\{p_1\}$ is complete to A_4 .

Proof of 10.2. If there is a vertex, say $a \in A_2$ such that $ap_1 \notin E(G)$, then, by (R13), we may assume that $aq_1, aq_2 \notin E(G)$, and then $\{a, v_2, q_1, p_1, q_2\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. \diamond

10.3 $|X_2| \leq 1$.

Proof of 10.3. If there are vertices, say $x_2, x'_2 \in X_2$, then, by Lemma 6:(ii), we may assume that $p_1x_2 \in E(G)$ and $q_1x_2, q_2x_2 \in E(G)$, and then $\{p_1, q_1, v_3, q_2, x_2\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. \diamond

By 10.2 and by (R13), $|A_2| \leq 1$ and $|A_4| \leq 1$. So, by 10.1, 10.2, (R15) and by Lemma 5:(iii), $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup B_3$ and $A_4 \cup B_1$ are cliques. Also, by Lemma 5:(iii) and Lemma 5:(iv), $B_2 \cup D \cup \{v_2, v_3\}$ and $B_4 \cup \{v_1, v_4\}$ are cliques. So, by 10.3, we conclude that $\theta(G - (A_3 \cup T \cup X_1)) \leq 5$. Moreover, by (R1) and (R5), $A_3 \cup T \cup X_1$ induces a bipartite graph, and is anticomplete to $\{v_2, v_4\}$; so $\theta(G[A_3 \cup T \cup X_1]) \leq \alpha(G) - 2$. Hence $\theta(G) \leq \theta(G[A_3 \cup T \cup X_1]) + 5 \leq \alpha(G) + 3$. This proves Lemma 10. \square

Lemma 11 *If A_i is not anticomplete to A_{i+2} , for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then \overline{G} is a good graph.*

Proof. We may assume that $i = 1$. Let $a_1 \in A_1$ and $a_3 \in A_3$ be such that $a_1a_3 \in E(G)$. We define $A'_1 := \{a \in A_1 \setminus \{a_1\} \mid aa_3 \in E(G)\}$, $A''_1 := \{a \in A_1 \setminus \{a_1\} \mid aa_3 \notin E(G)\}$, $A'_3 := \{a \in A_3 \setminus \{a_3\} \mid aa_1 \in E(G)\}$ and $A''_3 := \{a \in A_3 \setminus \{a_3\} \mid aa_1 \notin E(G)\}$. Then $A_1 \setminus \{a_1\} = A'_1 \cup A''_1$ and $A_3 \setminus \{a_3\} = A'_3 \cup A''_3$. By Lemma 10, $|A'_1| \leq 1$ and $|A'_3| \leq 1$. By (R2), $|A''_1| \leq 1$ and $|A''_3| \leq 1$. Now we claim the following:

11.1 $\theta(G[A_1 \cup A_3 \cup X_2]) \leq 3$.

Proof of 11.1. To prove the claim, by (R9):(c), first we partition X_2 as follows: $X'_2 := \{x \in X_2 \mid a_1x, a_3x \in E(G)\}$, $X''_2 := \{x \in X_2 \mid a_1x \in E(G), a_3x \notin E(G)\}$ and $X'''_2 := \{x \in X_2 \mid a_3x \in E(G), a_1x \notin E(G)\}$. Now if there are vertices, say $x, x' \in X'_2$, then $\{a_1, x, v_2, x', a_3\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so $|X'_2| \leq 1$, and hence $X'_2 \cup \{a_1, a_3\}$ is a clique. Also, by Lemma 6:(ii), $|X''_2| \leq 1$ and $|X'''_2| \leq 1$. Moreover, for any $x \in X''_2$ and $a \in A''_3$, since $\{a, v_3, x, a_1, v_1\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_3$, A''_3 is complete to X''_2 . So by (R9):(c), $A'_1 \cup A''_3 \cup X''_2$ is a clique. Likewise, $A''_1 \cup A'_3 \cup X'''_2$ is also a clique. Hence $\theta(G[A_1 \cup A_3 \cup X_2]) \leq 3$. \diamond

11.2 $\theta(G[A_2 \cup A_4 \cup X_1]) \leq 3$.

Proof of 11.2. If A_2 is not anticomplete to A_4 , then as in 11.1, we have $\theta(G[A_2 \cup A_4 \cup X_1]) \leq 3$. So we may assume that A_2 is anticomplete to A_4 . We partition X_1 as follows: $X'_1 := \{x \in X_1 \mid a_1x \in E(G)\}$, $X''_1 := \{x \in X_1 \mid a_1x \notin E(G), a_3x \in E(G)\}$ and $X'''_1 := \{x \in X_1 \mid a_1x, a_3x \notin E(G)\}$. By (R11), $|X'_1| \leq 1$ and $|X''_1| \leq 1$. If there are vertices $x, x' \in X'''_1$, by Lemma 6:(i), $\{a_1, a_3, x, t^*, x'\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$; so $|X'''_1| \leq 1$. Hence $|X_1| \leq 3$. If $A_2, A_4 \neq \emptyset$, then, by (R2), $|A_2| \leq 1$ and $|A_4| \leq 1$, and so, by Lemma 6:(ii), $\theta(G[A_2 \cup A_4 \cup X_1]) \leq 3$. If $A_4 = \emptyset$ (up to symmetry), then, by (R9):(a) and (R13), $|A_2| \leq 3$, and again by Lemma 6:(ii), $\theta(G[A_2 \cup A_4 \cup X_1]) \leq 3$. \diamond

Now by Lemma 5:(v), 11.1 and 11.2, $\theta(G) \leq 9$. If $\alpha(G) \geq 6$, we have $\theta(G) \leq \alpha(G) + 3$. So it is enough to prove the lemma for $\alpha(G) \leq 5$. Since $T \cup \{a_1, v_2, v_4\}$ is a stable set of size 4 (by (R1) and since $T \neq \emptyset$), $\alpha(G) \geq 4$. Recall that, by (R5), $X_1 \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ and $X_2 \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$ are stable sets, and by (R1), $A_i \cup T \cup \{v_{i+1}, v_{i-1}\}$ is a stable set, for each i . Then:

11.3 *If $\alpha(G) = 4$, then $\theta(G) \leq 7$.*

Proof of 11.3. Since $\alpha(G) = 4$, $|X_1| \leq 2$, $|X_2| \leq 2$, and $|A_i| \leq 1$ for each i . For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, if there are nonadjacent vertices $a \in A_j$ and $a' \in A_{j+2}$, by (R1), $T \cup \{a, a', v_{j+1}, v_{j-1}\}$ is a stable set of size of at least 5, A_j is complete to A_{j+2} . Then, by (R9):(c), $\theta(G[A_1 \cup A_3 \cup X_2]) \leq 2$ and $\theta(G[A_2 \cup A_4 \cup X_1]) \leq 2$. So, by Lemma 5:(v), we conclude that $\theta(G) \leq 7$. \diamond

By 11.3, we may assume that $\alpha(G) = 5$. As earlier, $|X_1| \leq 3$, $|X_2| \leq 3$, and $|A_i| \leq 2$ for each i . Hence, $|V(G)| \leq 23$ and $\alpha(G) = 5$. So, as in Lemma 7 or as in Lemma 8: Case 1, it is not hard to verify that $\theta(G) \leq 8$, and we omit the details. \square

Now, we are in a position to prove the main result of this section, and is given below.

Theorem 8 *If G is a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_2 + K_3, \text{co-banner}, \overline{H_2})$ -free graph that contains an $\overline{H_3}$, then \overline{G} is a good graph.*

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_2 + K_3, \text{co-banner}, \overline{H_2})$ -free graph such that \overline{G} has no universal vertex or a pair of comparable vertices. Suppose that G contains an $\overline{H_3}$ an H_1 with vertices and edges as in Figure 3. Let $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ and we partition $V(G) \setminus C$ as in Section 2. We split the proof into two cases depending on the edges with one end in A_i and the other in A_{i+2} , where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and the theorem follows from Lemma 8 and Lemma 11. \square

3.5 $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_{2,3}, \text{banner}, H_3)$ -free graphs that contain a C_4

Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_{2,3}, \text{banner}, H_2, H_3)$ -free graph which has no universal or a pair of comparable vertices. Suppose that G contains a C_4 , say with vertex-set $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ and edge-set $\{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_1\}$. We partition $V(G) \setminus C$ as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. Clearly, since G is $K_{2,3}$ -free, $X = \emptyset$, and since G is banner-free, $A = \emptyset$. Moreover, the graph G has some more properties which we give in Lemmas 12 to 14 below.

Lemma 12 *For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the following hold:*

- (i) B_i is complete to $B_{i+1} \cup B_{i-1}$.
- (ii) If $B_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$, then B_i is a clique.

Proof. (i): If there are nonadjacent vertices, say $b \in B_i$ and $b' \in B_{i+1} \cup B_{i-1}$, then $C \cup \{b, b'\}$ induces an H_3 . \diamond

(ii): If there are nonadjacent vertices, say $b, b' \in B_i$, then, for any $b'' \in B_{i+1}$, by Lemma 12:(i), $\{b, v_i, b', b'', v_{i-1}\}$ induces a banner. \square

Lemma 13 *If B_i and B_{i+2} are empty, for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then G is a good graph.*

Proof. We may assume that $B_2 \cup B_4 = \emptyset$. If B_1 is anticomplete to B_3 , then we define $S_1 := \{v_1, v_3\}$, $S_2 := \{v_2\}$ and $S_3 := T \cup \{v_4\}$. Then clearly, S_1, S_2 and S_3 are stable sets such that $\omega(G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)) \leq \omega(G) - 2$, and so G is a nice graph. So, we may assume that, there are vertices, say $b_1 \in B_1$ and $b_3 \in B_3$ such that $b_1b_3 \in E(G)$. Suppose that there are nonadjacent vertices, say $b, b' \in B_1$. If $b, b' \neq b_1$, then by (R12):(a), $\{b_3, v_4, b, v_2, b'\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$, and if $b = b_1$, then $\{b_1, b_3, v_4, v_1, b'\}$ induces a banner or a $K_{2,3}$. So, we conclude that B_1 is a clique. Likewise, B_3 is a clique. Now, if $D = \emptyset$, then, by (R12):(a), $G[B_1 \cup B_3 \cup C]$ is the complement of a bipartite graph, and then, by (R1), we have $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$; so $D \neq \emptyset$. If there is a vertex, say $d \in D$ such that $\{d\}$ is complete to either B_1 or B_3 , then we let $S_1 := \{d\}$, otherwise let S_1 be the maximum stable set in $G[B_1 \cup B_3 \cup D]$ such that $D \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $(B_1 \cup B_3) \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$. Let $S_2 := T \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$ and $S_3 := \{v_2, v_4\}$. Then S_1, S_2 and S_3 are stable sets. We

claim that for any maximum clique Q in $G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)$, we have $|Q| \leq \omega(G) - 2$. Suppose not, and let K be a maximum clique in $G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)$ such that $|K| \geq \omega(G) - 1$. Since $\{v_1, v_2\}$ is complete to $B_1 \cup D$, and $\{v_3, v_4\}$ is complete to $B_3 \cup D$, we may assume that, $K \cap B_1, K \cap B_3 \neq \emptyset$. By (R12):(a), we let $K \cap B_1 := \{b'_1\}$ and $K \cap B_3 := \{b'_3\}$. If $D \cap S_1$ is not anticomplete to K , then, by (R4), for any $d \in (D \cap S_1) \cap N(b'_1)$, $(K \setminus \{b'_3\}) \cup \{d, v_1, v_2\}$ is a clique of size at least $\omega(G) + 1$, a contradiction; so $D \cap S_1$ is anticomplete to K . Moreover, if $B_1 \cap S_1 = \emptyset$, then by (R12):(a), $S_1 \cup \{b'_1\}$ is a stable set which contradicts the choice of S_1 ; so $B_1 \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$. Likewise, $B_3 \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then for any $b_1 \in B_1 \cap S_1$, $b_3 \in B_3 \cap S_1$ and $d \in D \cap S_1$, by (R12):(a), $\{b_3, b'_3, b_1, v_1, d\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$, a contradiction. So G is a nice graph, and that G is a good graph. \square

Lemma 14 *If B_i is complete to B_{i+2} , for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then G is a good graph.*

Proof. Since $N(v_i) = B_i \cup B_{i-1} \cup D \cup \{v_{i+1}, v_{i-1}\}$, v_i and v_{i+2} are not comparable vertices, we may assume that B_1 and B_3 are nonempty. Then, by (R12):(a), $|B_1| \leq 1$ and $|B_3| \leq 1$. By Lemma 13, we may assume that $B_2 \neq \emptyset$. So by Lemma 12:(ii), we may assume that B_i is a clique, for each i . First suppose that $B_4 \neq \emptyset$. So, again by (R12):(a), $|B_i| \leq 1$, for each i . For $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, we define $W_i := B_i \cup \{v_{i+2}\}$ and $W_5 := T$. Then clearly W_i 's are stable sets, and hence, by (R7), we have $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$. So we may assume that $B_4 = \emptyset$, and we define $S_1 := B_1 \cup \{v_3\}$, $S_2 := B_3 \cup \{v_1\}$ and $S_3 := T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$. Then S_1, S_2 and S_3 are three stable sets. Now if there is a clique, say $Q \in G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)$ such that $|Q| > \omega(G) - 2$, then $Q \cap (B_2 \cup D) \neq \emptyset$, and then $Q \cup \{v_2, v_3\}$ is a clique of size $\omega(G) + 1$, a contradiction. So $\omega(G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)) \leq \omega(G) - 2$, and hence G is a nice graph. Thus G is a good graph. \square

Theorem 9 *If G is a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_{2,3})$ -free graph that contains a C_4 , then G is a good graph.*

Proof. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3}, K_{2,3})$ -free graph. Suppose that G contains a C_4 , say with vertex-set $C := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ and edge-set $\{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_1\}$. We partition $V(G) \setminus C$ as in Section 2, and we use the properties in Section 2. By Theorems 6, 7 and 8, we may assume that G is $(\text{banner}, H_2, H_3)$ -free. As earlier, since G is $K_{2,3}$ -free, $X = \emptyset$, and since G is banner-free, $A = \emptyset$. We may assume that G has no universal or a pair of comparable vertices, and we use the Lemmas 12 to 14. Recall that, by Lemma 12:(i), for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, B_i is complete to B_{i+1} . By Lemmas 13 and 14, we may assume that there are vertices $b_1 \in B_1$, $b_2 \in B_2$ and $b_3 \in B_3$ such that $b_1b_2, b_2b_3 \in E(G)$ and $b_1b_3 \notin E(G)$. Then, by Lemma 12:(ii), for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, B_i is a clique. If $D = \emptyset$, then since $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{v_2\}$ and $B_3 \cup B_4 \cup \{v_4\}$ are cliques, $B \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ induces a complement of a bipartite graph, and hence $\chi(G) \leq \chi(G[B \cup \{v_2, v_4\}]) + \chi(T \cup \{v_1, v_3\}) \leq \omega(G) + 1$. So we may assume that $D \neq \emptyset$. Now we claim the following:

Claim 9.1 *Any vertex in D which is complete to $\{b_1, b_3\}$, is complete to $B_2 \cup B_4$. Also, any vertex in D which is anticomplete to $\{b_1, b_3\}$, is anticomplete to $B_2 \cup B_4$.*

Proof of Claim 9.1. Let $d \in D$. If $db_1, db_3 \in E(G)$, and there is a vertex $b \in B_2$ (up to symmetry) such that $db \notin E(G)$, then $\{b_1, b, v_3, d, b_3\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. If $db_1, db_3 \notin E(G)$, and there is a vertex $b' \in B_2$ (up to symmetry) such that $db' \in E(G)$, then $\{b_1, b', d, v_1, b_3\}$ induces a banner. \diamond

Let $B' := \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$. To proceed further, we let:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &:= \{d \in D \mid N(d) \cap B' = \{b_1\}\}, & D'_1 &:= \{d \in D \mid N(d) \cap B' = \{b_3\}\}, \\ D_2 &:= \{d \in D \mid N(d) \cap B' = \{b_1, b_2\}\}, & D'_2 &:= \{d \in D \mid N(d) \cap B' = \{b_2, b_3\}\}, \\ D_3 &:= \{d \in D \mid N(d) \cap B' = B'\}, & \text{and} & \quad D'_3 := \{d \in D \mid N(d) \cap B' = \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Claim 9.1, $D = \cup_{j=1}^3 (D_j \cup D'_j)$, and, by (R3), D_1, D'_1, D_2, D'_2 and D_3 are cliques. Moreover:

Claim 9.2 *$D_1 \cup D'_2$ is a stable set. Likewise, $D_2 \cup D'_1$ is a stable set.*

Proof of Claim 9.2. If there are vertices, say $d, d' \in D_1$, then $\{b_1, b_2, v_3, d, d'\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so $|D_1| \leq 1$. By using a similar argument, we have $|D'_2| \leq 1$. If there are adjacent vertices, say $d \in D_1$ and $d' \in D'_2$, then $\{b_1, d, d', b_2, v_1\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. So $D_1 \cup D'_2$ is a stable set. Likewise, $D_2 \cup D'_1$ is also a stable set. \diamond

Claim 9.3 $|D'_3| \leq 1$.

Proof of Claim 9.3. If there are vertices, say $d_1, d_2 \in D'_3$, then, since $\{d_1, d_2, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ does not induce a $P_2 + P_3$, $d_1 d_2 \notin E(G)$, and then $\{b_1, b_2, d_1, v_4, d_2\}$ induces a $P_2 + P_3$. \diamond

Now we prove the theorem in three cases as follows:

Case 1 Suppose that $D_1 \cup D'_1 \neq \emptyset$.

Up to symmetry, we may assume that there is a vertex, say $d \in D_1$. Then:

Claim 9.4 $|B_2| \leq 2$ and $|B_4| \leq 2$.

Proof of Claim 9.4. If there are vertices, say $b, b' \in B_2 \setminus \{b_2\}$, then since $\{b, b_3, v_4, d, b'\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, we may assume that $bd \notin E(G)$, and then $\{b_1, b_2, v_3, d, b\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$; so $|B_2| \leq 2$. If there are vertices, say $p, q, r \in B_4$, then, since $\{b_3, v_3, d, p, q\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, we may assume that $pd \notin E(G)$, and then we get a contradiction as in the proof for $|B_2| \leq 2$. \diamond

So, by (R12):(a) and Claim 9.4, we have $\chi(G[B_2 \cup B_4]) \leq 2$.

Case 1.1 Suppose that $B_1 \setminus \{b_1\} \neq \emptyset$.

Now we have the following:

Claim 9.5 $\chi(G[B_1 \cup B_3 \cup D_3 \cup D'_3]) \leq \omega(G) - |B_2| - 1$. Likewise, $\chi(G[B_1 \cup B_3 \cup D_3 \cup D'_3]) \leq \omega(G) - |B_4| - 1$.

Proof of Claim 9.5. Since for any $b \in (B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}) \cup (B_3 \setminus N(b_1))$, $\{b_1, b_2, v_3, d, b\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, $\{d\}$ is anticomplete to $(B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}) \cup (B_3 \setminus N(b_1))$. Thus, if there are nonadjacent vertices, say $b' \in B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}$ and $b'' \in B_3 \setminus N(b_1)$, then $\{b_1, b_2, v_3, d, b', b''\}$ induces an $\overline{H_3}$; so $B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}$ is complete to $B_3 \setminus N(b_1)$. Then since $b_3 \in B_3 \setminus N(b_1)$, by (R12):(a), $|B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}| = 1$ (so $|B_1| = 2$), and $B_3 \setminus N(b_1) = \{b_3\}$.

Hence, by (R4), D_3 is complete to $B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}$. So, by Claim 9.1, $D_3 \cup B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{v_2\}$ is a clique. By Claim 9.3, $D'_3 \cup \{b_1, b_3\}$ is a stable set. By (R12):(a), $(B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}) \cup (B_3 \setminus \{b_3\})$ is a stable set. So, $\chi(G[B_1 \cup B_3 \cup D_3 \cup D'_3]) \leq \omega(G[D_3]) + \chi(G[D'_3 \cup \{b_1, b_3\}]) + \chi(G[(B_1 \setminus \{b_1\}) \cup (B_3 \setminus \{b_3\})]) = (\omega(G[D_3 \cup B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{v_2\}]) - |B_1| - |B_2| - 1) + 2 \leq \omega(G) - 2 - |B_2| + 1 = \omega(G) - |B_2| - 1$. Likewise, $\chi(G[B_1 \cup B_3 \cup D_3]) \leq \omega(G) - |B_4| - 1$. This proves Claim 9.5. \diamond

By Claim 9.2, $\chi(G[D_1 \cup D'_1 \cup D_2 \cup D'_2]) \leq 2$. So by Claim 9.5, $\chi(G[B_1 \cup B_3 \cup D]) \leq \omega(G) - |B_2| + 1$ and $\chi(G[B_1 \cup B_3 \cup D]) \leq \omega(G) - |B_4| + 1$. Now if $|B_2| = 1$ and $|B_4| \leq 1$, then, by (R1), $B_2 \cup \{v_1\}$, $B_4 \cup \{v_3\}$ and $T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ are stable sets, and hence we conclude that $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$. So by Claim 9.4, we may assume that either $|B_2| = 2$ or $|B_4| = 2$. Then $\chi(G[B_1 \cup B_3 \cup D]) \leq \omega(G) - 1$. Recall that $\chi(G[B_2 \cup B_4]) \leq 2$. Since $T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ and $\{v_1, v_3\}$ are stable sets (by (R1)), we get $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$.

Case 1.2 Suppose that $B_1 \setminus \{b_1\} = \emptyset$.

If $B_3 \setminus \{b_3\} = \emptyset$, then since $\{b_1, v_3\}$, $\{b_3, v_1\}$ and $T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$ are stable sets (by (R1)), and since $\chi(G[B_2 \cup B_4]) \leq 2$, by (R7), $\chi(G) \leq \chi(G - D) + \chi(G[D]) \leq 5 + (\omega(G) - 2) = \omega(G) + 3$. So we assume that $B_3 \setminus \{b_3\} \neq \emptyset$. Then, we may assume that $D'_1 = \emptyset$. For, otherwise, if there is a vertex $d_1 \in D'_1$, then $b_3 d_1 \in E(G)$, $b_1 d_1, b_2 d_1 \notin E(G)$ and $B_3 \setminus \{b_3\} \neq \emptyset$, and thus this case is similar to that of Case 1.1. Also:

Claim 9.6 We may assume that $|B_4| \leq 1$.

Proof of Claim 9.6. If there are vertices, say $b_4, b'_4 \in B_4$, then by (R12):(a), we may assume $b_2b_4 \notin E(G)$, then since $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, d\}$ does not induce a banner, $b_4d \in E(G)$. Thus, we conclude that $b_3d \notin E(G)$, $b_2d \notin E(G)$, $b_2b_4 \notin E(G)$, and $B_4 \setminus \{b_4\} \neq \emptyset$, and again the case is similar to that of Case 1.1. \diamond

By (R1) and Claim 9.6, $\{b_1, v_4\}$, $B_4 \cup \{v_2\}$ and $T \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$ are stable sets. By Claim 9.2, $D_1 \cup \{b_2\}$ is a stable set. By Claims 9.1, 9.3 and 9.4, $(B_2 \setminus \{b_2\}) \cup D'_3$ is a stable set. Hence $\chi(G[B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_4 \cup D_1 \cup D'_3]) \leq 5$. Now $D \setminus (D_1 \cup D'_3)$ is complete to $\{b_2\}$. Then, by (R3), $D \setminus (D_1 \cup D'_3)$ is a clique. Thus $G[B_3 \cup (D \setminus (D_1 \cup D'_3))]$ is the complement of a bipartite graph, and hence a perfect graph. Since $\{v_3, v_4\}$ is complete to $B_3 \cup (D \setminus (D_1 \cup D'_3))$, $\chi(G[B_3 \cup (D \setminus (D_1 \cup D'_3))]) \leq \omega(G) - 2$. Hence $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$.

Case 2 Suppose that $D_1 \cup D'_1 = \emptyset$ and $D_2 \cup D'_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Up to symmetry, we may assume that there is a vertex, say $d \in D_2$. Then $b_1d, b_2d \in E(G)$, and $b_3d \notin E(G)$. Now we have the following:

Claim 9.7 We may assume that $B_2 = \{b_2\}$.

Proof of Claim 9.7. If there is a vertex, say $b'_2 \in B_2 \setminus \{b_2\}$, then, since $\{b_2, b_3, v_4, d, b'_2\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, $b'_2d \notin E(G)$. Now, we see that there is a vertex $d \in D$ such that $b_1d \in E(G)$, $b'_2d, b_3d \notin E(G)$, and the case is similar to that of Case 1. \diamond

Claim 9.8 We may assume B_4 is complete to $\{b_2\}$.

Proof of Claim 9.8. Suppose there is a vertex, say $b_4 \in B_4$ such that $b_2b_4 \notin E(G)$. Then since $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, d\}$ does not induce a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$, $b_4d \notin E(G)$. Now, we see that there is a vertex $d \in D$ such that $b_2d \in E(G)$, $b_3d, b_4d \notin E(G)$, and the case is similar to that of Case 1. \diamond

Claim 9.9 We may assume that $B_1 \cup B_3$ is anticomplete to D'_3 .

Proof of Claim 9.9. Suppose there are adjacent vertices, say $b \in B_1$ and $d \in D'_3$. Then, by (R4), $bb_3 \notin E(G)$. Now, we see that there is a vertex $d \in D$ such that $bd \in E(G)$, $b_2d, b_3d \notin E(G)$, and the case is similar to that of Case 1. So B_1 is anticomplete to D'_3 . Likewise, B_3 is anticomplete to D'_3 . \diamond

Claim 9.10 $\{d\}$ is complete to $(B_1 \setminus N(b_3)) \cup (B_3 \setminus \{b_3\}) \cup (D \setminus \{d\})$.

Proof of Claim 9.10. Suppose there is a vertex, say $p \in (B_1 \setminus N(b_3)) \cup (B_3 \setminus \{b_3\}) \cup (D \setminus \{d\})$ such that $pd \notin E(G)$. If $p \in B_1 \setminus N(b_3)$, then $\{p, b_2, d, v_1, b_3\}$ induces a banner. If $p \in B_3 \setminus \{b_3\}$, then $\{b_2, b_3, v_4, d, p\}$ induces a $\overline{P_2 + P_3}$. Since $\{b_2\}$ is complete to $D \setminus D'_3$, by (R3), $D \setminus D'_3$ is a clique; so $\{d\}$ is complete to $D \setminus D'_3$. So, we see that $p \in D'_3$. But then $\{d, v_1, p, v_3, b_3\}$ induces a banner. This proves Claim 9.10. \diamond

First suppose that $B_4 \neq \emptyset$. By (R12):(a) and Claim 9.8, $|B_4| = 1$. By (R4) and Claim 9.8, B_4 is complete to $D \setminus D'_3$. Now we define three stable sets, namely $S_1 := \{b_2, v_4\}$, $S_2 := B_4 \cup \{v_2\}$ and $S_3 := T \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$. We claim that for any maximum clique Q in $G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)$, $|Q| \leq \omega(G) - 2$. Suppose not, and let K be a maximum clique in $G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)$ such that $|K| \geq \omega(G) - 1$. Since for $j \in \{1, 3\}$, $\{v_j, v_{j+1}\}$ is complete to $B_j \cup D$, we may assume that $K \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $K \cap B_3 \neq \emptyset$. Then, by Claim 9.9, $K \cap D'_3 = \emptyset$. Since $B_4 \cup \{b_2\}$ is complete to $B_1 \cup B_3 \cup (D \setminus D'_3)$, we see that $K \cup B_4 \cup \{b_2\}$ is a clique of size $\omega(G) + 1$, a contradiction. Hence G is nice. So $\omega(G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)) \leq \omega(G) - 2$, and hence G is a nice graph. So we may assume that $B_4 = \emptyset$. Now we define three stable sets $S_1 := \{b_3, d\}$, $S_2 := \{b_2, v_1\}$, and $S_3 := T \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$, and we claim the following:

Claim 9.11 For any maximum clique Q in $G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)$, we have $|Q| \leq \omega(G) - 2$.

Proof of Claim 9.11. Suppose not, and let K be a maximum clique in $G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)$ such that $|K| \geq \omega(G) - 1$. If $K \cap B_1 = \emptyset$, then, by Claim 9.10, $K \cup \{v_4, d\}$ is a clique of size at least $\omega(G) + 1$, a contradiction; so $K \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset$. If $K \cap B_3 \neq \emptyset$, then $K \cap B_3$ is complete to $\{d\}$ (by Claim 9.10) and $K \cap B_1$ is complete to $\{d\}$ (by (R4)), and then $K \cup \{b_2, d\}$ is a clique of size at least $\omega(G) + 1$, a contradiction; so $K \cap B_3 = \emptyset$. Then $|K \cup \{v_1, v_2\}| \geq \omega(G) + 1$, a contradiction. This proves Claim 9.11. \diamond

Hence, by Claim 9.11, G is a good graph.

Case 3 Suppose that $D_1 \cup D'_1 \cup D_2 \cup D'_2 = \emptyset$.

Suppose that there are nonadjacent vertices, say $b \in B_1$ and $d \in D_3$, then, by (R12):(a), $bb_3 \notin E(G)$. Now, we see that there is a vertex $d \in D$ such that $b_2d, b_3d \in E(G)$, $bd \notin E(G)$, and the case is similar to that of Case 2. So, B_1 is complete to D_3 . Likewise, B_3 is complete to D_3 . Since $B_1 \cup B_2$ and $B_3 \cup B_4$ are cliques, $G[B]$ induces the complement of a bipartite graph. Also, by Claim 9.1, D_3 is complete to B . So, $G[B \cup D_3]$ induces a perfect graph. Since, by (R1) and Claim 9.3, $D'_3, \{v_2, v_4\}$ and $T \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$ are stable sets, we conclude that $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof follows from Theorem 4 and Theorem 9. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1. We will use the following lemmas.

Lemma 15 *If G is a nice graph, then $\chi(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{3}{2}\omega(G) \rfloor - 1$.*

Proof. Let G be a nice graph. Then G has three pairwise disjoint stable sets, say S_1, S_2 and S_3 , such that $\omega(G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)) \leq \omega(G) - 2$. Let $S := S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$. We prove the lemma by induction on $|V(G)|$. Since $\chi(G) \leq \chi(G - S) + \chi(G[S])$, by induction hypothesis, $\chi(G) \leq (\lfloor \frac{3}{2}\omega(G - S) \rfloor - 1) + 3 \leq (\lfloor \frac{3}{2}(\omega(G) - 2) \rfloor - 1) + 3 \leq \lfloor \frac{3}{2}\omega(G) \rfloor - 1$. \square

Lemma 16 *If G has a nice vertex, then $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$.*

Proof. Suppose that G has a nice vertex, say u . We prove the lemma by induction on $|V(G)|$. Now, since $d_G(u) \leq \omega(G) + 2$, we can take any $\chi(G)$ -coloring of $G - u$ and extend it to a $\chi(G)$ -coloring of G , using for u a color (possibly new) that does not appear in $N_G(u)$. \square

Lemma 17 *If G is a good graph, then $\chi(G) \leq \max\{\omega(G) + 3, \lfloor \frac{3}{2}\omega(G) \rfloor - 1\}$.*

Proof. Let G be a good graph. If $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 3$ or G is a nice graph or if G has a nice vertex, then, by Lemmas 15 and 16, we are done. So we may assume that either G has a universal vertex or G has a pair of comparable vertices. Now we prove the lemma by induction on $|V(G)|$. If G has a universal vertex, say u , then $\omega(G - u) = \omega(G) - 1$, and then $\chi(G) = \chi(G - u) + 1 \leq \max\{\omega(G - u) + 3, \lfloor \frac{3}{2}\omega(G - u) \rfloor - 1\} + 1 \leq \max\{\omega(G) + 3, \lfloor \frac{3}{2}\omega(G) \rfloor - 1\}$, and we are done. Next, if G has a pair of comparable vertices, say u and v , such that $N(u) \subseteq N(v)$ (say), then $\chi(G) = \chi(G - u)$ and $\omega(G) = \omega(G - u)$; so we can take any $\chi(G)$ -coloring of $G - u$ and extend it to a $\chi(G)$ -coloring of G , using for u the color of v , and we conclude the proof. \square

We will also use the following result.

Theorem 10 ([8]) *If G is a (P_6, C_4) -free graph, then $\chi(G) \leq \lceil \frac{5}{4}\omega(G) \rceil$. Moreover, the bound is tight.*

Proof of Theorem 1. Let G be a $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graph. By Theorem 10, since $P_2 + P_3$ is an induced subgraph of P_6 , we may assume that G contains a C_4 . Then, by Theorem 3, G is a good graph, and hence the proof follows from Lemma 17. \square

Acknowledgement. We thank Professor S. A. Choudum for his valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] K. Cameron, S. Huang, and O. Merkel. An optimal χ -bound for $(P_6, \text{diamond})$ -free graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 97(3):451–465, 2021.
- [2] M. Chudnovsky, L. Cook, and P. Seymour. Excluding the fork and antifork. *Discrete Mathematics*, 343: Article No. 111786, 2020.
- [3] M. Chudnovsky and P. Seymour. The Structure of Claw-free Graphs. Surveys in Combinatorics. *London Mathematical Society (Lecture Notes Series)*, 327:153–171, 2005.
- [4] J. L. Fouquet, V. Giakoumakis, F. Maire and H. Thuillier. On graphs without P_5 and $\overline{P_5}$. *Discrete Mathematics*, 146:33–44, 1995.
- [5] A. Gyarfas. Problems from the world surrounding perfect graphs. *Zastosowania Matematyki Applicationes Mathematicae*, 19(3-4):413–441, 1987.
- [6] S. Huang and T. Karthick. On graphs with no induced five-vertex path or paraglider. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 97:305–323, 2021.
- [7] T. Karthick and F. Maffray. Coloring (gem, co-gem)-free graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 89(3):288–303, 2018.
- [8] T. Karthick and F. Maffray. Square-free graphs with no six-vertex induced path. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 33(2):874–909, 2019.
- [9] L. Lovasz. A characterization of perfect graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 13:95–98, 1972.
- [10] B. Randerath, I. Schiermeyer and M. Tewes. Three-colourability and forbidden subgraphs II: polynomial algorithms. *Discrete Mathematics*, 251:137–153, 2002
- [11] I. Schiermeyer and B. Randerath. Polynomial χ -binding functions and forbidden induced subgraphs: A survey. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 35:1–31, 2019.
- [12] A. Scott and P. Seymour. A survey of χ -boundedness. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 95:473–504, 2020.
- [13] D. B. West. *Introduction to Graph Theory*. 2nd Edition, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 2000.