

Computability of digital cubical singular homology of c_1 -digital images

Samira Sahar Jamil, P. Christopher Staecker, Danish Ali

May 17, 2022

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries	2
2.1	Digital cubical singular homology, $dH_q(X)$	3
2.2	c_1 -cubical homology, $H_q^{c_1}(X)$	4
3	Properties of digital q-cubes	4
3.1	Properties of c_1 -neighborhoods	5
3.2	Properties of the elementary cube I^q and digital q -cube	6
3.3	Operators on digital q -cubes	8
3.4	Properties of injective digital q -cubes	10
4	Classification of degree-$(q - 1)$ digital q-cubes	12
5	A chain map from $dC_q(X)$ to $C_q^{c_1}(X)$	16
6	$dH_q(X)$ is isomorphic to $H_q^{c_1}(X)$	20

Abstract

Digital cubical singular homology $dH_q(X)$ for digital images X was developed by the first and third authors, and digital analogues to various results in classical algebraic topology were proved. Another homology denoted $H_q^{c_1}(X)$ was developed by the second author for c_1 -digital images, which is computationally much simpler than $dH_q(X)$. This paper shows an isomorphism between $dH_q(X)$ and $H_q^{c_1}(X)$ when X is a c_1 -digital image.

1 Introduction

In digital topology, digital images are modeled usually as a set of points in \mathbb{Z}^n with an adjacency relation.

To study the topological properties of digital images, notions like continuity, connectedness, and homotopy were developed using adjacency relations, which led to the development of homotopy and homology groups. Digital cubical singular homology $dH_q(X)$ for digital images (see Section 2.1 for a precise definition) was developed in [9] based on cubical singular homology of topological spaces defined in classical texts such as [10]. Some important results analogous to the results in algebraic topology were obtained in [9], which include functoriality and homotopy invariance of $dH_q(X)$, and a digital Hurewicz theorem. Although $dH_q(X)$ is theoretically interesting, it has the disadvantage of being computationally expensive, because the number of generators of the chain groups grow superexponentially with q .

In [11], the second author develops another cubical homology $H_q^{c_1}(X)$ (defined in Section 2.2) for certain digital images, called c_1 -digital images. It was conjectured in [11, Conjecture 6.3] that the two homologies $dH_q(X)$ and $H_q^{c_1}(X)$ are isomorphic for all q . This paper will prove this conjecture, thus combining the theoretical merits of $dH_q(X)$ with the computability of $H_q^{c_1}(X)$.

A partial proof of functoriality of $H_q^{c_1}(X)$ based on computer enumerations was presented in [11]. We will give a complete analytical proof of in Theorem 5.6.

Cubical homology of digital images is related to a “discrete homology theory” for metric spaces introduced in [1]. A discrete cubical singular homology $\mathcal{H}_q(G)$ for simple finite undirected graphs G was studied in [2] as a special case of discrete homology for metric spaces. Our cubical homology theory of a digital image X is the same as the discrete cubical homology $\mathcal{H}_q(X)$, if we view X as a graph with edges defined by the adjacency relation. Like $dH_q(X)$, the homology $\mathcal{H}_q(G)$ is computationally difficult and several tools were developed in [2, 3, 4] to facilitate computations.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, basic notions of digital topology and the homologies $dH_q(X)$ and $H_q^{c_1}(X)$ are described. In Section 3 we discuss some useful properties of digital cubes. Section 4 gives a classification of non-injective cubes. Section 5 constructs a chain map $dH_q(X) \rightarrow H_q^{c_1}(X)$, and in Section 6, we show that this chain map is an isomorphism.

2 Preliminaries

A digital image (X, κ) is a subset X of \mathbb{Z}^d with an adjacency relation, κ . Various adjacency relations are used in digital topology for digital images, to give a concept of proximity or closeness among elements (or pixels) of digital images. Concepts like continuity, connectivity, homotopy and homology are developed using these adjacency relations.

In this paper we exclusively focus on c_1 -adjacency, in which two points of \mathbb{Z}^n are regarded as adjacent if and only if their coordinates differ by 1 in one dimension, and are equal in all other dimensions. In \mathbb{Z}^2 , this is referred to as “4-adjacency”, since each point of \mathbb{Z}^2 is c_1 -adjacent to exactly 4 other points. In \mathbb{Z}^3 this is sometimes called “6-adjacency”.

Since we exclusively use c_1 adjacency in this paper, we will almost never need to specify the particular adjacency relation, so we will write a digital image (X, κ) or (X, c_1) simply as X .

For digital images X and Y , the function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *continuous*, if every pair of adjacent points in X maps to equal or adjacent points in Y .

We quote the definition of (digital) homotopy from [6]. For integers, a, b with $a < b$, the set $[a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$ is called digital interval. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous maps between digital images X and Y . If there is an integer $k > 0$ and a function $H : X \times [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow Y$ satisfying:

- for all $x \in X$, we have $H(x, 0) = f(x)$ and $H(x, k) = g(x)$;
- for all $x \in X$, the induced function $H_x : [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow Y$ defined by $H_x(t) = H(x, t)$ is continuous;
- for all $t \in [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, the induced function $H_t : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$ is continuous.

Then H is a *homotopy* from f to g , and f and g are *homotopic*.

We say that the digital images X and Y are *homotopy equivalent* or have the same *homotopy type*, if there is a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a continuous function $g : Y \rightarrow X$, such that $g \circ f$ is homotopic to the identity map on X and $f \circ g$ is homotopic to the identity map on Y .

We also quote the definitions of digital analogues of retraction, deformation retraction from [7] and contractibility. Let X be a digital image and $A \subset X$. A continuous function $r : X \rightarrow A$ is called a *retraction* if $r(a) = (a)$ for all $a \in A$. If the identity map on X is homotopic to the κ -retraction r , then we say that A is a *deformation retract* of X and the homotopy from the identity map to r is called a *deformation retraction* of X onto A . If A is a deformation retract of X , then A and X are homotopically equivalent [7]. If A is a single point, then H is called a *contraction* and X is said to be *contractible*.

2.1 Digital cubical singular homology, $dH_q(X)$

We give below the definition and some results on digital cubical singular homology developed in [9]. Consider a digital image (X, κ) and digital interval $I = [0, 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. For an integer q , define $dQ_q(X)$ to be the free abelian group generated by the set $\{\sigma : I^q \rightarrow X \mid \sigma \text{ is continuous}\}$. We say a digital q -cube σ in $dQ_q(X)$ is degenerate if there is some coordinate $t_i, 1 \leq i \leq q$ such that $\sigma(t_1, \dots, t_q)$ does not depend on t_i . Let $dD_q(X)$ be a free abelian group generated by the set of all degenerate q -cubes. Define the quotient group $dC_q(X) = dQ_q(X)/dD_q(X)$. It can be shown that $dC_q(X)$ is a free abelian group generated by the set of all nondegenerate q -cubes. Define “face operators” $A_i, B_i : I^{q-1} \rightarrow I^q$ as $A_i(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{q-1}) = (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_i, \dots, t_{q-1})$ and $B_i(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{q-1}) = (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 1, t_i, \dots, t_{q-1})$, and a homomorphism $\partial_q : dC_q(X) \rightarrow dC_{q-1}(X)$ as $\sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^i (A_i\sigma - B_i\sigma)$, where: $A_i\sigma = \sigma \circ A_i$ and $B_i\sigma = \sigma \circ B_i$. It can be shown that $\partial_{q-1} \circ \partial_q = 0$. Therefore, $(dC_q(X), \partial_q)$ is a chain complex, with homology $dH_q(X)$.

Elements of $dC_q(X)$, $\ker\partial_q$, $\text{Im}\partial_{q+1}$ and $dH_q(X)$ are called q -chains, q -cycles, q -boundaries and homology classes, respectively. The digital $(q-1)$ -cubes $A_i\sigma$ and $B_i\sigma$ are called front and back i -faces of σ , respectively.

Using [9, Proposition 3.1 and 3.2], the following can be stated.

Proposition 2.1. *Let (X, κ) be a digital image consisting of d isolated points. Then $dH_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $dH_q(X) = 0$ for $q > 0$.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let (X, κ) be a digital image consisting of d components. Then $dH_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}^d$.*

We restate Corollary 3.8 and Theorem 5.10 of [9] below.

Proposition 2.3. *Let (X, κ) and (Y, λ) be digital images of the same homotopy type. Then $dH_q(X) \cong dH_q(Y)$.*

2.2 c_1 -cubical homology, $H_q^{c_1}(X)$

A homology for digital images with c_1 -adacency, called c_1 -cubical homology, was given in [11]. Basic definitions from [11] are given below: For any integer a , a set of the form $[a, a+1]_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{a, a+1\}$ or $[a]_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{a\}$ is called an *elementary interval*. The elementary interval $[a]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is called *degenerate*, while the elementary interval $[a, a+1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is called *nondegenerate*. An *elementary cube* Q is a cartesian product of n elementary intervals J_i , for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, i.e. $Q = J_1 \times \dots \times J_n$. The *dimension* of an elementary cube Q is the number of nondegenerate elementary intervals J_i . If the dimension of elementary cube is q , we call it an *elementary q -cube*. For a digital image (X, c_1) and $q \geq 0$, let $C_q^{c_1}(X)$ be the free abelian group generated by the set of all elementary q -cubes in X . The face maps $A_i^{c_1}, B_i^{c_1} : C_q^{c_1}(X) \rightarrow C_{q-1}^{c_1}(X)$ are defined as follows:

$$A_i^{c_1}Q = J_1 \times \dots \times J_{i-1} \times [\min J_i] \times J_{i+1} \times \dots \times J_n$$

$$B_i^{c_1}Q = J_1 \times \dots \times J_{i-1} \times [\max J_i] \times J_{i+1} \times \dots \times J_n$$

Note that $A_i^{c_1}Q$ and $B_i^{c_1}Q$ are distinct only if J_i is nondegenerate. Let $\{j_1, \dots, j_q\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the set of all indices such that J_{j_i} is nondegenerate. The boundary operator is given as: $\partial_q^{c_1}Q = \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^i (A_{j_i}^{c_1}Q - B_{j_i}^{c_1}Q)$. Thus we have a chain complex $(C_q^{c_1}(X), \partial_q^{c_1})$ and the associated homology $H_q^{c_1}(X)$ is called the *c_1 -cubical homology* of the digital image X . The following results for c_1 -cubical homology from [11] are used in this paper:

Proposition 2.4 [11]. *Let (X, c_1) be a digital image consisting of d isolated points. Then $H_0^{c_1}(X) = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $H_q^{c_1}(X) = 0$ for $q > 0$.*

Proposition 2.5 [11]. *Let (X, c_1) be a digital image consisting of d components. Then $H_0^{c_1}(X) = \mathbb{Z}^d$.*

3 Properties of digital q -cubes

In this section we give some properties of digital q -cubes, ending with a complete classification of injective q -cubes.

3.1 Properties of c_1 -neighborhoods

For a digital image (X, c_1) , and $x \in X$, define c_1 -neighborhood and deleted c_1 -neighborhood of x , respectively as:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{N}_{c_1}^X(x) &= \{y \in X \mid x \text{ is } c_1\text{-adjacent or equal to } y\} \\ N_{c_1}^X(x) &= \{y \in X \mid x \text{ is } c_1\text{-adjacent to } y\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\overline{N}_{c_1}^X(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denote the set $\overline{N}_{c_1}^X(x_1) \cap \dots \cap \overline{N}_{c_1}^X(x_n)$ of common c_1 -neighbors of elements x_1, \dots, x_n of (X, c_1) , and similarly for $N_{c_1}^X$.

Proposition 3.1. *For distinct elements x, y in \mathbb{Z}^n , the set $N_{c_1}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}(x, y)$ is nonempty if and only if one of the following is true:*

- x and y differ in exactly 2 coordinates by 1 unit each.
- x and y differ in exactly 1 coordinate by 2 units.

Proof. Let $z \in N_{c_1}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}(x, y)$. There are indices $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}z_i &= x_i, \forall i \neq j \text{ and } x_j \in \{z_j \pm 1\} \\ z_i &= y_i, \forall i \neq k \text{ and } y_k \in \{z_k \pm 1\}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $y_i = x_i, \forall i \notin \{j, k\}$. Furthermore, if $j \neq k$ then x and y differ by 1 unit in coordinates j and k , while if $j = k$ then x and y differ by 2 units in coordinate j . \square

We can conclude from Proposition 3.1 that whenever c_1 -neighborhoods of two distinct elements intersect, they intersect in at most two elements. Also, the deleted c_1 -neighborhoods of two c_1 -adjacent elements do not intersect.

Corollary 3.2. *The following is true for distinct elements x, y in \mathbb{Z}^n :*

- (i) $|\overline{N}_{c_1}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}(x, y)| \leq 2$.
- (ii) *If x and y are c_1 -neighbors then $\overline{N}_{c_1}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}(x, y) = \{x, y\}$ or $N_{c_1}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}(x, y) = \emptyset$.*

The following corollary asserts that c_1 -neighborhoods of more than 3 points intersect in at most 1 point.

Corollary 3.3. *Let i be an integer in $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}, k \geq 3$. For distinct elements x_i in \mathbb{Z}^n , $|N_{c_1}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}(x_1, \dots, x_k)| \leq 1$. If $k > 2n$, then $N_{c_1}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. For $k = 3$, we have 3 distinct points x, y, z in \mathbb{Z}^n . If $N_{c_1}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}(x, y, z)$ is nonempty then let $w \in N_{c_1}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}(x, y, z)$, then there exist indices i_1, i_2, i_3 in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, such that

$$w_i = x_i, \forall i \neq i_1 \text{ and } w_{i_1} \in \{x_{i_1} \pm 1\},$$

$$w_i = y_i, \forall i \neq i_2 \text{ and } w_{i_2} \in \{y_{i_2} \pm 1\},$$

$$w_i = z_i, \forall i \neq i_3 \text{ and } w_{i_3} \in \{z_{i_3} \pm 1\}.$$

It can be seen that each coordinate of w is equal to the corresponding coordinate of x, y or z , therefore, w is unique. For $k > 3$, the result follows from $N_{c_1}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \subset N_{c_1}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. Common neighborhood of more than $2n$ elements is empty, because an element in \mathbb{Z}^n has at most $2n$ c_1 -neighbors. \square

3.2 Properties of the elementary cube I^q and digital q -cube

Since elements of I^q are q -tuples of 0 and 1, we can use logical operators componentwise. For $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^q$ and $y = (y_i)_{i=1}^q$ in I^q , $x \vee y = (x_i \vee y_i)_{i=1}^q$. Partition the set I^q into sets $S_n = \{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_q) \mid \sum_{j=1}^q t_j = n\}$, $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$. Clearly S_0 and S_1 are singletons. We develop below some notation for elements of I^q .

Notation 3.4 (θ -notation for elements of I^q).

For elementary q -cube I^q , let 0 denote the only element of S_0 . For a set $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ of n distinct indices in $\{1, \dots, q\}$, let $\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$ denote the element of S_n , with 1s in coordinates i_1, \dots, i_n , and 0s everywhere else.

The elements $\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$ and $\theta^{l_1 l_2 \dots l_n}$ are equal if the sets $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ and $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}$ are equal. Therefore the order of superscripts does not make any difference. For $1 \leq n \leq q-1$, each element in S_n has c_1 -neighbors only in S_{n-1} and S_{n+1} .

Proposition 3.5. For $n \in \{2, 3, \dots, q\}$, $q \geq 2$, every $u \in S_n$ is a c_1 -neighbor of exactly n elements $v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(n)}$ of S_{n-1} , such that $u = v^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee v^{(n)}$.

Proof. Let $u = \theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$ and let $\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots \widehat{i}_j \dots i_n}$ represent the element $\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{j-1} i_{j+1} \dots i_n}$ of S_{n-1} , then

$$\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n N_{c_1}^{I^q} \left(\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots \widehat{i}_j \dots i_n} \right),$$

and also,

$$\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = \bigvee_{j=1}^n \theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots \widehat{i}_j \dots i_n}. \quad \square$$

Remark 3.6. Let $\sigma : I^q \rightarrow X$ be a digital q -cube, $q \geq 2$ in digital image X . Since σ is (c_1, c_1) -continuous, for any $\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$, $n \geq 2$,

$$\sigma \left(\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \right) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n \overline{N}_{c_1}^X \left(\sigma \left(\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots \widehat{i}_j \dots i_n} \right) \right).$$

We prove below that if the digital q -cube σ is injective when restricted to a certain subset of I^q , then σ is injective.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\sigma : I^q \rightarrow X$ be a digital q -cube, $q \geq 2$ in digital image (X, c_1) . If the restriction of σ to $S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2$ is injective, then σ is injective.*

Proof. The statement is trivially true for $q = 2$. It suffices to show that if $\sigma|_{S_0 \cup \dots \cup S_{n-1}}$ is injective, then $\sigma|_{S_0 \cup \dots \cup S_n}$ is injective, for $3 \leq n \leq q$. For any $\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \in S_n$, $\sigma(\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n})$ is a common neighbor of more than 3 distinct elements from Remark 3.6. Therefore from Corollary 3.3, $\sigma(\theta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n})$ is unique for every set $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ of indices. \square

Now we show that for an injective cube σ , the restriction to $S_0 \cup S_1$ completely determines all other values of σ .

Lemma 3.8. *Let σ be a digital q -cube with $q \geq 2$. If σ is injective, then all values of σ are uniquely determined by its values on $S_0 \cup S_1$.*

Proof. Let σ be injective. We prove the Lemma by showing that, if the values of σ on $S_0 \cup \dots \cup S_n$ are given for some $n \geq 1$, then these values will uniquely determine any value of σ on S_{n+1} .

Assume for some $n \geq 1$ that the values of σ on $S_0 \cup \dots \cup S_n$ are specified, and take some point $x = \theta^{i_1 \dots i_n i_{n+1}} \in S_{n+1}$. Then $\sigma(x)$ is a common neighbor of every element of the form $\sigma(\theta^{i_1 \dots \hat{i}_k \dots i_{n+1}})$. Since σ is injective, $\sigma(x)$ is a common neighbor of $n + 1 \geq 2$ points and so the value $\sigma(x)$ is uniquely determined. \square

Injective cubes are closely related to embeddings:

Definition 3.9. *For a digital q -cube $\sigma : I^q \rightarrow X$, we say σ is an embedding if $\sigma(I^q)$ is an elementary q -cube in X .*

The term “embedding” is used because an embedding is an isomorphism onto its image.

Theorem 3.10. *If $\sigma : I^q \rightarrow X$ is an embedding, then $\sigma : I^q \rightarrow \sigma(I^q)$ is an isomorphism of digital images.*

Proof. If $\sigma : I^q \rightarrow X$ is an embedding, then $\sigma(I^q)$ is an elementary q -cube, and so $\sigma(I^q)$ is naturally isomorphic (as a digital image) to I^q . Let $i : \sigma(I^q) \rightarrow I^q$ be this isomorphism. Then $\sigma \circ i : I^q \rightarrow I^q$ is continuous and injective (and thus bijective). By Lemma 2.3 of [8], $\sigma \circ i$ is an isomorphism, and thus σ is an isomorphism. \square

Then we can easily obtain:

Theorem 3.11. *If $\sigma : I^q \rightarrow X$ is injective, then σ is an embedding.*

Proof. Let σ be injective. Then σ is uniquely determined by its values on the set $V = S_0 \cup S_1 = \{0, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^q\}$. There is an embedding which agrees with σ on V : the affine linear map which sends V to $\sigma(V)$. By Lemma 3.8, σ is uniquely determined by its values on V , and so we conclude that σ is this affine linear map, which is an embedding. \square

3.3 Operators on digital q -cubes

We define below some operators for digital q -cubes.

Definition 3.12. Define $F_j, C_{i,j}, R_{i,j}, S_{i,j} : I^q \rightarrow I^q$, for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $q \geq 1$

- (i) $F_j(t_1, \dots, t_q) = (t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 1 - t_j, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_q)$
- (ii) $C_{i,j}(t_1, \dots, t_q) = \begin{cases} (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_j, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_i, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_q), & \text{if } i < j \\ (t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_i, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_j, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_q), & \text{if } j < i \\ (t_1, \dots, t_q), & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$
- (iii) $S_{i,j}(t_1, \dots, t_q) = \begin{cases} (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_j, t_i, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_q) & \text{if } i < j \\ (t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_i, t_j, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_q) & \text{if } i > j \\ (t_1, \dots, t_q), & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$
- (iv) $R_{i,j} = F_j \circ C_{i,j}$

F_j is a ‘flip’ of the cube I^q in coordinate j , while $C_{i,j}$ ‘swaps’ coordinates i and j . $S_{i,j}$ ‘shifts’ the coordinate j to position of the coordinate i . For $i \neq j$, $R_{i,j}$ is the ‘rotation’ of I^q by a quarter turn in the plane formed by coordinates i and j . For $q = 2$, $R_{1,2}$ is counterclockwise and $R_{2,1}$ is clockwise rotation.

Proposition 3.13. For indices $i, j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, and operators $F_j, C_{i,j}, R_{i,j}$ and $S_{i,j}$, we have

- $F_j, C_{i,j}, R_{i,j}$ and $S_{i,j}$ are all (c_1, c_1) -continuous bijections.
- $S_{i,j}$ can be broken into $j - i$ factors:

$$S_{i,j} = \begin{cases} C_{i,i+1} \circ C_{i+1,i+2} \circ \dots \circ C_{j-2,j-1} \circ C_{j-1,j} & \text{if } i \leq j \\ C_{i-1,i} \circ C_{i-2,i-1} \circ \dots \circ C_{j+1,j+2} \circ C_{j,j+1} & \text{if } i \geq j \end{cases}$$

- $C_{i,j} = C_{j,i}$ and $C_{i,j} \circ F_i = F_j \circ C_{i,j}$
- $C_{i,i} = S_{i,i} = F_j \circ F_j = C_{i,j} \circ C_{i,j} = R_{i,j} \circ R_{j,i} = S_{i,j} \circ S_{j,i}$ are the identity map id on I^q and $R_{i,i} = F_i$.

The operators F_i , for $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ commute in a composition, but do not commute with the operator $C_{j,k}$, if $i \in \{j, k\}$. We state this as the proposition below.

Proposition 3.14. For indices $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, and operators F_j and $C_{i,j}$, we have

- $F_i \circ F_j = F_j \circ F_i$
- $F_i \circ C_{j,k} = C_{j,k} \circ F_i$, if $i \notin \{j, k\}$

It can be shown that

$$A_k(\sigma \circ F_j) = \begin{cases} A_k\sigma \circ F_{j-1}, & k < j, \\ B_k\sigma, & k = j, \\ A_k\sigma \circ F_j, & k > j, \end{cases} \quad B_k(\sigma \circ F_j) = \begin{cases} B_k\sigma \circ F_{j-1}, & k < j, \\ A_k\sigma, & k = j, \\ B_k\sigma \circ F_j, & k > j, \end{cases}$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(\sigma \circ F_j) &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-1)^k (A_k\sigma \circ F_{j-1} - B_k\sigma \circ F_{j-1}) + (-1)^j (B_j\sigma - A_j\sigma) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=j+1}^q (-1)^k (A_k\sigma \circ F_j - B_k\sigma \circ F_j). \end{aligned}$$

We also have for $i < j$:

$$A_k(\sigma \circ C_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} A_k\sigma \circ C_{i-1,j-1}, & k < i < j \\ A_j\sigma \circ S_{i,j-1}, & k = i < j \\ A_k\sigma \circ C_{i,j-1}, & i < k < j \\ A_i\sigma \circ S_{j-1,i}, & i < k = j \\ A_k\sigma \circ C_{i,j}, & i < j < k \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

and similarly,

$$B_k(\sigma \circ C_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} B_k\sigma \circ C_{i-1,j-1}, & k < i < j \\ B_j\sigma \circ S_{i,j-1}, & k = i < j \\ B_k\sigma \circ C_{i,j-1}, & i < k < j \\ B_i\sigma \circ S_{j-1,i}, & i < k = j \\ B_k\sigma \circ C_{i,j}, & i < j < k \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Therefore, for $i < j$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(\sigma \circ C_{i,j}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (-1)^k (A_k\sigma \circ C_{i-1,j-1} - B_k\sigma \circ C_{i-1,j-1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^i (A_j\sigma \circ S_{i,j-1} - B_j\sigma \circ S_{i,j-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} (A_k\sigma \circ C_{i,j-1} - B_k\sigma \circ C_{i,j-1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^j (A_i\sigma \circ S_{j-1,i} - B_i\sigma \circ S_{j-1,i}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j+1}^q (-1)^k (A_k\sigma \circ C_{i,j} - B_k\sigma \circ C_{i,j}). \end{aligned}$$

We can ‘append’ two digital q -cubes σ and γ to get a function $I^{q+1} \rightarrow X$. This function is (c_1, c_1) -continuous and thus a digital $(q+1)$ -cube, provided that σ and γ are ‘compatible’. We define below the append operation and the compatibility condition.

Definition 3.15. Let σ, γ be digital q -cubes in a digital image (X, c_1) .

- We say that σ and γ are compatible if $\sigma(t_1, \dots, t_q)$ is c_1 -adjacent or equal to $\gamma(t_1, \dots, t_q)$, for all $t_i \in \{0, 1\}$.
- Define $\sigma \boxplus \gamma : I^{q+1} \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$\sigma \boxplus \gamma(t_1, \dots, t_{q+1}) = \begin{cases} \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_q), & \text{if } t_{q+1} = 0 \\ \gamma(t_1, \dots, t_q), & \text{if } t_{q+1} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Note that if σ and γ are compatible, then $\sigma \boxplus \gamma$ is a digital $(q+1)$ -cube with

$$A_{q+1}(\sigma \boxplus \gamma) = \sigma, \quad B_{q+1}(\sigma \boxplus \gamma) = \gamma.$$

The boundary of $\sigma \boxplus \gamma$ is given as:

$$\partial(\sigma \boxplus \gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^k (A_k \sigma \boxplus A_k \gamma - B_k \sigma \boxplus B_k \gamma) + (-1)^{q+1} (\sigma - \gamma). \quad (3)$$

3.4 Properties of injective digital q -cubes

Now we will prove some descriptions of injective q -cubes in terms of the swaps $C_{i,j}$ and flips F_i . First we show that any injection of I^q to itself is a composition of swaps and flips.

Lemma 3.16. Let $\sigma : I^q \rightarrow I^q$ be an injective digital q -cube. Then σ is a composition of swaps and flips.

Proof. Let $\sigma(0) = \theta^{s_1 \dots s_m}$. Each point $\sigma(\theta^i)$ will differ in one coordinate from $\sigma(0)$, and so we will have

$$\sigma(\theta^i) = \theta^{s_1 \dots s_m} + \epsilon_i \theta^{k_i}$$

where $\epsilon_i = 1$ if $k_i \notin \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ and $\epsilon_i = -1$ if $k_i \in \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$. We will show that:

$$\sigma = F_{s_1} \circ \dots \circ F_{s_m} \circ C_{1,k_1} \circ \dots \circ C_{m,k_m}. \quad (4)$$

Let ρ be the right-hand side of (4), and it suffices to show that $\rho(x) = \sigma(x)$ for each $x \in I^q$. In fact by Lemma 3.8, it suffices to show that $\rho(0) = \sigma(0)$ and $\rho(\theta^i) = \sigma(\theta^i)$ for each i .

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(0) &= F_{s_1} \circ \dots \circ F_{s_m} \circ C_{1,k_1} \circ \dots \circ C_{m,k_m}(0) \\ &= F_{s_1} \circ \dots \circ F_{s_m}(0) = \theta^{s_1 \dots s_m} = \sigma(0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\theta^i) &= F_{s_1} \circ \dots \circ F_{s_m} \circ C_{1,k_1} \circ \dots \circ C_{m,k_m}(\theta^i) \\ &= F_{s_1} \circ \dots \circ F_{s_m}(\theta^{k_i}) = \theta^{s_1 \dots s_m} + \epsilon_i \theta^{k_i} = \sigma(\theta^i) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Now we show that when two injective cubes have the same image, they differ by swaps and flips.

Lemma 3.17. *Let $\sigma, \phi : I^q \rightarrow X$ be injective digital q -cubes in X with $\sigma(I^q) = \phi(I^q)$. Then:*

$$\phi = \sigma \circ G,$$

where $G : I^q \rightarrow I^q$ is a composition of swaps and flips.

Proof. Let $Q = \sigma(I^q) = \phi(I^q)$, and since σ and ϕ are injective, Q is an elementary q -cube in X . There is a canonical isomorphism $\tau : Q \rightarrow I^q$, and then we have injections $\tau \circ \sigma, \tau \circ \phi : I^q \rightarrow I^q$ such that:

$$\phi = \sigma \circ (\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1} \circ (\tau \circ \phi).$$

By Lemma 3.16, each of $\tau \circ \sigma$ and $\tau \circ \phi$ is a composition of swaps and flips, which gives the desired result. \square

When two injective cubes are compatible, they can differ only by very specific types of swaps and flips.

Lemma 3.18. *Let σ, ϕ be injective q -cubes which are compatible, with $\sigma(I^q) = \phi(I^q)$. Then one of the following holds:*

1. $\phi = \sigma$
2. $\phi = \sigma \circ F_j$ for some j
3. $\phi = \sigma \circ F_j \circ C_{i,j}$ for some distinct i and j

Proof. By Lemma 3.17, we have $\phi = \sigma \circ G$, where G is a composition of swaps and flips. In fact, we can commute the swaps and flips of G so that:

$$\phi = \sigma \circ F_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ F_{i_n} \circ C$$

where C is a (possibly trivial) composition of swaps, and possibly $n = 0$.

First we show that $n \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $x = C^{-1}(\theta^{i_1 \dots i_n})$. Then:

$$\phi(x) = \sigma \circ F_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ F_{i_n}(\theta^{i_1 \dots i_n}) = \sigma(0)$$

Since σ and ϕ are compatible, $\sigma(x)$ is c_1 -adjacent to $\phi(x) = \sigma(0)$. Since σ is an embedding, this means 0 is c_1 -adjacent to x , and so x can have at most 1 nonzero coordinate. Since $x = C^{-1}(\theta^{i_1 \dots i_n})$ and C is a permutation of coordinates, x will have exactly n nonzero coordinates, and so $n \leq 1$ as desired.

Thus far we have shown that

$$\phi = \sigma \circ F \circ C,$$

where F is either a single flip, or the identity.

Next we will show that $C(\theta^k) = \theta^k$ for all except at most 3 values of k . To obtain a contradiction, assume that we have 4 distinct values $\theta^i, \theta^j, \theta^p, \theta^q$ which

are not fixed by C . Since C is a bijection, the image of a non-fixed point is also not fixed, so we can assume that $C(\theta^i) = \theta^j$ and $C(\theta^p) = \theta^q$.

Since ϕ and σ are compatible and $C(\theta^i) = \theta^j$, we see that $\sigma(\theta^i)$ is c_1 -adjacent to $\phi(\theta^i) = \sigma(F(\theta^i))$. Since σ is an embedding, this means that θ^i is c_1 -adjacent to $F(\theta^j)$. This is possible only when $F = F_k$ for some $k \in \{i, j\}$.

Applying the same reasoning as the above paragraph to $C(\theta^p) = \theta^q$ gives $F = F_k$ for $k \in \{p, q\}$, which is a contradiction since $\{i, j\}$ is disjoint from $\{p, q\}$. Thus we conclude that $C(\theta^k) = \theta^k$ for all except at most 3 values of k . This means that when we express C as a composition of swaps, C must either be identity, or $C = C_{i,j}$ for some $i \neq j$, or $C = C_{i,j} \circ C_{j,k}$ for some distinct i, j, k . We finish the proof by considering these cases individually, showing that the third is impossible.

If C is the identity, then ϕ has one of the forms numbered 1 or 2 in the Lemma.

If $C = C_{i,j}$, then $C(\theta^i) = \theta^j$ and arguments above show that $F = F_k$ for some $k \in \{i, j\}$. If $k = j$, then $\phi = F_j \circ C_{i,j}$ which is form 3, and if $k = i$ then $\phi = F_i \circ C_{i,j} = F_i \circ C_{j,i}$ which is also form 3.

We will show that the remaining case, that $C = C_{i,j} \circ C_{j,k}$ for distinct i, j, k , is impossible. In this case we have $C(\theta^i) = \theta^j$ and arguments above show that $F = F_k$ for some $k \in \{i, j\}$. Also we have $C(\theta^j) = \theta^k$, so the same arguments show $k \in \{j, k\}$. Since i, j, k are distinct, we must have $k = j$, and so ϕ has the form:

$$\phi = \sigma \circ F_j \circ C_{i,j} \circ C_{j,k}.$$

We will show that the form above leads to a contradiction, rendering this case impossible.

We have:

$$\phi(\theta^{ij}) = \sigma \circ F_j \circ C_{i,j} \circ C_{j,k}(\theta^{ij}) = \sigma \circ F_j \circ C_{i,j}(\theta^{ik}) = \sigma \circ F_j(\theta^{jk}) = \sigma(\theta^k)$$

Since ϕ and σ are compatible, $\sigma(\theta^{ij})$ is c_1 -adjacent to $\phi(\theta^{ij}) = \sigma(\theta^k)$. Since σ is an embedding, $\sigma(\theta^{ij})$ being c_1 -adjacent to $\sigma(\theta^k)$ implies that θ^{ij} is c_1 -adjacent to θ^k , which is a contradiction. \square

4 Classification of degree- $(q - 1)$ digital q -cubes

In this section we focus on noninjective cubes. We first define degree of injectivity or simply degree of a digital q -cube. Informally speaking, the degree of injectivity of σ is the maximal dimension of any injective subcube of σ . So an injective q -cube has degree q , but if σ is noninjective and some face $A_i\sigma$ is injective, then σ has degree $q - 1$. If σ and all faces $A_i\sigma$ and $B_i\sigma$ are noninjective, but some $A_iB_j\sigma$ is injective, then σ has degree $q - 2$, etc.

Definition 4.1. *For a digital q -cube σ , we define the degree of injectivity, or simply degree, of σ inductively as follows: if σ is injective, then the degree of σ is q . If σ is noninjective, then the degree of σ is the maximal degree of any face $A_i\sigma$ or $B_i\sigma$.*

Clearly, a constant digital q -cube has degree of injectivity 0 and an injective digital q -cube has degree q , while a noninjective digital q -cube, with at least one face injective, has degree $q - 1$.

The goal for this section is the following classification. If σ be a digital q -cube with degree $q - 1$ and $q \geq 2$, then σ has one of the following types:

- Type-1: σ has exactly four injective faces: $A_i\sigma, B_i\sigma, A_j\sigma, B_j\sigma$ for some $i \neq j$
- Type-2: σ has exactly two injective faces, one in each coordinate i and j for some $i \neq j$
- Type-3: σ has exactly two injective faces, both in the same coordinate i

We begin with two lemmas about a noninjective cube in which one face is injective but has different image from the opposite face.

Lemma 4.2. *Let σ be a digital q -cube with $q \geq 2$, such that some i -face is injective, but the image of this face is not equal to the image of the opposite face.*

Then there is some $j \neq i$ such that $\sigma \circ F = \sigma \circ F \circ C_{i,j}$, where $F \in \{\text{id}, F_j\}$.

Proof. Our strategy is to first show that $\sigma \circ F = \sigma \circ F \circ C_{i,j}$, where F is some (possibly trivial) composition of flips, and then to show that in fact we must have $F = \text{id}$ or $F = F_j$.

By replacing σ with $\sigma \circ F_i$ if necessary, we assume without loss of generality that $A_i\sigma$ is injective, and $A_i\sigma(I^{q-1}) \neq B_i\sigma(I^{q-1})$. This means that there is some $x \in I^q$ such that $\sigma(x) \in A_i\sigma(I^{q-1})$ but $\sigma(x) \notin B_i\sigma(I^{q-1})$. In fact, $\sigma(x) \notin \sigma(I^q - \{x\})$, because $A_i\sigma$ is injective.

Let $x = \theta^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ be the minimal element (lexicographically) with $\sigma(x) \notin \sigma(I^q - \{x\})$. Note that $i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$. Let $F = F_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ F_{i_n}$, and $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma \circ F$. Since $i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$, we have $A_i\bar{\sigma}$ injective and $B_i\bar{\sigma}$ noninjective. We also have $\bar{\sigma}(0) = \sigma(x)$, which means that $\bar{\sigma}(0) \notin \bar{\sigma}(I^q - \{0\})$.

We will show that there is some index $j \neq i$, with $\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma} \circ C_{i,j}$ to get the desired result.

It will suffice to show that there is some j with

$$\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{ik_1 \dots k_n}) = \bar{\sigma}(\theta^{jk_1 \dots k_n}), \quad (5)$$

for all indices k_1, \dots, k_n different from i and j . We prove (5) by induction on n .

For $n = 0$, we must show that $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^i) = \bar{\sigma}(\theta^j)$ for some j . To obtain a contradiction, assume that $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^i) \neq \bar{\sigma}(\theta^j)$ for all i, j . Note that the element $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^i)$ is not equal to $\bar{\sigma}(0)$ because $\bar{\sigma}(0) \notin \bar{\sigma}(I^q - \{0\})$ and so from injectivity of $A_i\bar{\sigma}$, $\bar{\sigma}|_{S_0 \cup S_1}$ is injective.

Now for any $k \neq i$, the point $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{ik})$ is a common neighbor of $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^i)$ and $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^k)$. Since $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^i) \neq \bar{\sigma}(\theta^k)$ and $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{ik}) \neq \bar{\sigma}(0)$, this point $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{ik})$ is unique for each k . And so $\bar{\sigma}|_{S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2}$ is injective. But then, by Lemma 3.7 $\bar{\sigma}$ is injective. This contradicts our assumption that σ has degree $q - 1$, because $\sigma(I^q) = \bar{\sigma}(I^q)$.

Therefore there is an index j with $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^i) = \bar{\sigma}(\theta^j)$, and the $n = 0$ case of our inductive argument for (5) is proved.

For $n = 1$, we show that for any index k different from i and j , $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{ik}) = \bar{\sigma}(\theta^{jk})$: $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{ik})$ is a common neighbor of $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^i) = \bar{\sigma}(\theta^j)$ and $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^k)$. By injectivity of $A_i\bar{\sigma}$ and Lemma 3.8, the only common neighbors of $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^i)$ and $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^k)$ are $\bar{\sigma}(\theta)$ and $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{jk})$, which means $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{ik}) = \bar{\sigma}(\theta^{jk})$, because $\bar{\sigma}(\theta) \notin \bar{\sigma}(I^q - \{0\})$.

For $n > 1$, note that $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{ik_1 \dots k_n})$ is a common neighbor of at least three elements: $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{k_1 \dots k_n})$, and any elements of the form $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{ik_1 \dots \hat{k}_l \dots k_n})$ for $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. By induction $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{ik_1 \dots \hat{k}_l \dots k_n}) = \bar{\sigma}(\theta^{jk_1 \dots \hat{k}_l \dots k_n})$, and by Corollary 3.3 and injectivity of $A_i\bar{\sigma}$, the only common neighbor of $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{k_1 \dots k_n})$ and all $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{jk_1 \dots \hat{k}_l \dots k_n})$ for $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{jk_1 \dots k_n})$. Therefore, $\bar{\sigma}(\theta^{ik_1 \dots k_n}) = \bar{\sigma}(\theta^{jk_1 \dots k_n})$, establishing (5).

Thus far, we have shown that $\sigma \circ F = \sigma \circ F \circ C_{i,j}$ where F is some (possibly trivial) composition of flips.

By Proposition 3.14, flips commute in a composition of flips and so F can be broken into the composition $F' \circ G$, where F' is a composition of flips in dimensions i and j and G is composition of flips in dimensions other than i and j . This means that $F' \in \{\text{id}, F_i, F_j, F_i \circ F_j\}$.

We have $\sigma \circ F' \circ G = \sigma \circ F' \circ G \circ C_{i,j}$. But G commutes with $C_{i,j}$, by Proposition 3.14, and so we can write

$$\sigma \circ F' \circ G = \sigma \circ F' \circ C_{i,j} \circ G.$$

Since G is a bijection and a self inverse, we may cancel G to obtain

$$\sigma \circ F' = \sigma \circ F' \circ C_{i,j},$$

where $F' \in \{\text{id}, F_i, F_j, F_i \circ F_j\}$. To finish the proof, it remains only to handle the cases where $F' = F_i$ and $F' = F_i \circ F_j$.

When $F' = F_i$, we have $\sigma \circ F_i = \sigma \circ F_i \circ C_{i,j}$, then composing with $F_i \circ F_j$ on both sides and using $F_i \circ C_{i,j} = C_{i,j} \circ F_j$, we get $\sigma \circ F_j = \sigma \circ F_j \circ C_{i,j}$ as desired.

Similarly, when $F' = F_i \circ F_j$, we have $\sigma \circ F_i \circ F_j = \sigma \circ F_i \circ F_j \circ C_{i,j}$, and composition with $F_i \circ F_j$ will give $\sigma = \sigma \circ C_{i,j}$ as desired. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let σ be a degree- $(q-1)$ digital q -cube with $q \geq 2$ such that $\sigma \circ F = \sigma \circ F \circ C_{i,j}$ where $i \neq j$ and $F \in \{\text{id}, F_j\}$.*

Then the faces $A_k\sigma$ and $B_k\sigma$, for index $k \notin \{i, j\}$ are noninjective.

Proof. We have either $\sigma = \sigma \circ C_{i,j}$ or $\sigma \circ F_j = \sigma \circ F_j \circ C_{i,j}$.

From $\sigma = \sigma \circ C_{i,j}$, we have $\sigma(\theta^i) = \sigma(\theta^j)$ and $\sigma(\theta^{ik}) = \sigma(\theta^{jk})$, $k \notin \{i, j\}$, which show that the faces $A_k\sigma$ and $B_k\sigma$ are noninjective.

From $\sigma \circ F_j = \sigma \circ F_j \circ C_{i,j}$, we easily get $\sigma(\theta) = \sigma(\theta^{ij})$ and $\sigma(\theta^k) = \sigma(\theta^{ijk})$, $k \notin \{i, j\}$, which show that the faces $A_k\sigma$ and $B_k\sigma$ are noninjective. \square

In the case of the lemmas above, we can be very specific about the i and j faces of σ .

Lemma 4.4. *Let σ be a degree- $(q-1)$ digital q -cube with $q \geq 2$.*

If $\sigma = \sigma \circ C_{i,j}$, $i < j$, then:

$$(i) A_i\sigma = A_j\sigma \circ S_{i,j-1} \quad (ii) B_i\sigma = B_j\sigma \circ S_{i,j-1} \quad (iii) A_iB_j\sigma = B_iA_j\sigma$$

If $\sigma \circ F_j = \sigma \circ F_j \circ C_{i,j}$, $i < j$, then:

$$(iv) A_i\sigma = B_j\sigma \circ S_{i,j-1} \circ F_{j-1} \quad (vi) A_iA_j\sigma = B_iB_j\sigma$$

$$(v) B_i\sigma = A_j\sigma \circ S_{i,j-1} \circ F_{j-1}$$

Proof. Items (i) and (ii) easily follow from $\sigma = \sigma \circ C_{i,j}$ and equations (1) and (2) for faces of $\sigma \circ C_{i,j}$. Item (iii) also follows from $\sigma = \sigma \circ C_{i,j}$. Similarly, the rest of the items follow from $\sigma \circ F_j = \sigma \circ F_j \circ C_{i,j}$. \square

Now we are ready to prove the classification outlined at the beginning of the section.

Lemma 4.5. *Let σ be a degree- $(q-1)$ digital q -cube, $q \geq 2$, such that both i -faces are injective but $A_i\sigma(I^{q-1}) \neq B_i\sigma(I^{q-1})$. Then σ is Type-1.*

Proof. Our cube σ will satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4.2, and so we have $\sigma \circ F = \sigma \circ F \circ C_{i,j}$ for some $j \neq i$ and some $F \in \{\text{id}, F_j\}$. By Lemma 4.3, all the faces in coordinates other than i and j are noninjective. So it remains to show that both j -faces are injective.

By Lemma 4.4, the two faces in coordinate i are obtained from the two faces in coordinate j by composing with an isomorphism. Thus, since both i -faces are injective, we conclude that both j -faces are injective. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let σ be a degree- $(q-1)$ digital q -cube, $q \geq 2$, such that one i -face is injective and the other is noninjective. Then σ is Type-2.*

Proof. We follow the same argument as in Lemma 4.5. By Lemma 4.2, and Lemma 4.3, all faces in coordinates other than i and j are noninjective. So it remains to show that one j -face is injective while the other is noninjective.

By Lemma 4.4, the two faces in coordinate i are obtained from the two faces in coordinate j by composing with an isomorphism. Thus, since one i -face is injective and the other is not, we conclude that one j -face is injective while the other is not. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let σ be a degree- $(q-1)$ digital q -cube, $q \geq 2$, such that both i -faces are injective and each one is a flip of the other. Then σ is a Type-1 digital q -cube.*

Proof. Let $A_i\sigma = B_i(\sigma \circ F_j)$, which means that $A_i\sigma$ and $B_i\sigma$ are flips of each other in dimension j or $j-1$, depending on whether $j < i$ or $i < j$.

First we show that $\sigma = \sigma \circ C_{i,j}$. It can be verified that $A_i\sigma = B_i(\sigma \circ F_j)$ implies $\sigma = \sigma \circ F_i \circ F_j$. For $t \in I^q$, with $t_i = t_j$, it is obvious that $\sigma(t) = \sigma \circ C_{i,j}(t)$, while for $t_i \neq t_j$, this equation can be verified by using $\sigma = \sigma \circ F_i \circ F_j$ and replacing $1 - t_i$ with t_j and $1 - t_j$ with t_i .

To show that σ is Type-1, recall that we have assumed that both i -faces are injective. Since $\sigma = \sigma \circ C_{i,j}$, by Lemma 4.4 parts (i) and (ii) we see that $A_j\sigma$

and $B_j\sigma$ are also injective. By Lemma 4.3 all other faces are noninjective, and so σ is Type-2. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let σ be a degree- $(q-1)$ digital q -cube, $q \geq 2$, such that both i -faces are injective and each one is a rotation of the other. Then σ is Type-3.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $A_k\sigma$ and $B_k\sigma$ are noninjective for every $k \neq i$.

Suppose that $A_i\sigma = B_i\sigma \circ F_j \circ C_{j,m}$, for $j < m < i$. We easily get $\sigma(0) = \sigma(\theta^{ij})$ and $\sigma(\theta^m) = \sigma(\theta^i)$, which means that the faces $A_k\sigma$, $k \neq i$ are noninjective. We also have $\sigma(\theta^j) = \sigma(\theta^{ijm})$, and $\sigma(\theta^k) = \sigma(\theta^{ijk})$, $k \notin \{i, j\}$, which means that $B_k\sigma$ for $k \neq i$ are all noninjective. \square

The following theorem shows that a nondegenerate degree- $(q-1)$ digital q -cube belongs to either of the three types 1,2 or 3. This classification will play an important role in the proof of Theorem 5.5.

Theorem 4.9. *Let σ be a nondegenerate digital q -cube in (X, c_1) with $q \geq 2$ and degree of injectivity $q-1$. Then σ is a Type-1, Type-2 or a Type-3 digital q -cube.*

Proof. We suppose that for some index i , an i -face of σ is injective. If the opposite i -face is not injective, then σ is Type-2 by Lemma 4.5.

It remains to consider the case where $A_i\sigma$ and $B_i\sigma$ are both injective. If the images of $A_i\sigma$ and $B_i\sigma$ are not equal, then σ is Type-1 by Lemma 4.6.

Now we consider the case where $A_i\sigma$ and $B_i\sigma$ are both injective and $A_i\sigma(I^{q-1}) = B_i\sigma(I^{q-1})$. In this case, $A_i\sigma$ and $B_i\sigma$ are compatible digital $(q-1)$ -cubes with equal images, and so by Lemma 3.18, $A_i\sigma$ is either flip or rotation of $B_i\sigma$, because $A_i\sigma = B_i\sigma$ means that σ is degenerate which is not true.

If $A_i\sigma$ is a flip of $B_i\sigma$, then by Lemma 4.7, σ is a Type-1 digital q -cube, while if $A_i\sigma$ is a rotation of $B_i\sigma$ then by Lemma 4.8, σ is a Type-3 digital q -cube. \square

5 A chain map from $dC_q(X)$ to $C_q^{c_1}(X)$

Our goal for this section is to define a chain map $\beta_q : dC_q(X) \rightarrow C_q^{c_1}(X)$. We will define $\beta_q(\sigma)$ to be zero when σ is not injective. When σ is injective (and thus an embedding), $\beta_q(\sigma)$ will be defined in terms of the orientation with which σ maps I^q into X .

We begin with a detailed discussion of orientations. If $\sigma : I^q \rightarrow X$ is an embedding, then $\sigma(I^q) \subset X$ is an elementary q -cube in X , and so we can express σ as an affine linear map:

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(0) + M^\sigma x$$

where $x \in I^q \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and M^σ is a $n \times q$ matrix with entries in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. Since $\sigma(I^q)$ is an elementary q -cube, the matrix M^σ will have $n-q$ rows of zeros corresponding to the coordinates where the elementary cube $\sigma(I^q)$ is degenerate.

For each i , we have $\sigma(\theta^i) = \sigma(0) + o_i^\sigma \theta^{k_i}$ for some $k_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and some sign $o_i^\sigma \in \{-1, +1\}$ which represents the orientation with which σ carries the

edge from 0 to θ^i onto its image. Thus column i of M^σ consists of o_i^σ in row k_i , and zero everywhere else. The set of nonzero rows of M^σ is exactly the set of these k_i for various i . Let \overline{M}^σ be the $q \times q$ matrix obtained by removing the rows of zeros from M^σ .

Definition 5.1. *Let σ be an injective digital cube. Then we define the orientation of σ as $o^\sigma = \det(\overline{M}^\sigma)$.*

Now we consider the relationship between the orientation of σ and its faces.

The matrix $M^{A_i\sigma}$ will be the matrix M^σ with column i deleted, and thus $\overline{M}^{A_i\sigma}$ is \overline{M}^σ with column i deleted. Let j_i be the row of \overline{M}^σ which corresponds to the nonzero row k_i of M^σ . Then column i of \overline{M}^σ consists of o_i^σ in row j_i , and zero everywhere else. Thus, computing $\det(\overline{M}^\sigma)$ by cofactor expansion along column i gives:

$$\det(\overline{M}^\sigma) = (-1)^{i+k_i} o_i^\sigma \det(\overline{M}^{A_i\sigma})$$

Thus we have

$$o^{A_i\sigma} = (-1)^{i+k_i} o_i^\sigma o^\sigma. \quad (6)$$

Since $B_i\sigma$ is an affine translation of $A_i\sigma$, we have $M^{A_i\sigma} = M^{B_i\sigma}$ and thus $o^{B_i\sigma} = o^{A_i\sigma}$.

These orientations o_i^σ are also well-behaved with respect to the elementary face operators $A_i^{c_1}$ and $B_i^{c_1}$. The specific orientation o_i^σ tells us whether or not the edge from 0 to θ^i is preserved or reversed in orientation. In particular, if $o_i^\sigma = 1$, then σ maps the front i -face of I^q to the front k_i -face of $\sigma(I^q)$, and if $o_i^\sigma = -1$ then σ maps the front i -face of I^q to the back k_i -face of $\sigma(I^q)$. In other words, we have:

$$A_i\sigma(I^{q-1}) = \begin{cases} A_{k_i}^{c_1}(\sigma(I^q)) & \text{if } o_i^\sigma = 1, \\ B_{k_i}^{c_1}(\sigma(I^q)) & \text{if } o_i^\sigma = -1, \end{cases}$$

and similarly for $B_i^{c_1}\sigma(I^{q-1})$. Thus we will have:

$$o_i^\sigma (A_i\sigma(I^{q-1}) - B_i\sigma(I^{q-1})) = A_{k_i}^{c_1}(\sigma(I^q)) - B_{k_i}^{c_1}(\sigma(I^q)). \quad (7)$$

Definition 5.2. *For each q , we define a homomorphism $\beta_q : dC_q(X) \rightarrow C_q^{c_1}(X)$ on generators as follows: Let σ be a digital q -cube, and we define*

$$\beta_q(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is noninjective,} \\ o^\sigma \cdot \sigma(I^q) & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is injective.} \end{cases}$$

The formulation of orientations in terms of determinants lets us easily prove some statements about the cubical operators of Section 3.3.

Theorem 5.3. *For a digital q -cube σ with $q \geq 1$, and any i, j , we have*

$$\beta_q(\sigma \circ F_j) = \beta_q(\sigma \circ C_{i,j}) = -\beta_q(\sigma).$$

Proof. If σ is noninjective, then $\sigma \circ F_j$ and $\sigma \circ C_{i,j}$ are also noninjective, and so we have $\beta_q(\sigma \circ F_j) = \beta_q(\sigma \circ C_{i,j}) = -\beta_q(\sigma) = 0$.

Now assume that σ is injective. Since $(\sigma \circ F_j)(I^q) = (\sigma \circ C_{i,j})(I^q) = \sigma(I^q)$, it suffices to show that $o^{\sigma \circ F_j} = o^{\sigma \circ C_{i,j}} = -o^\sigma$.

For $\sigma \circ F_j$, observe that we will have:

$$o_i^{\sigma \circ F_j} = \begin{cases} o_i^\sigma & \text{if } j \neq i \\ -o_i^\sigma & \text{if } j = i \end{cases}$$

Thus the matrix $\overline{M}^{\sigma \circ F_j}$ is the same as the matrix \overline{M}^σ , but with column i negated. These matrices have opposite determinants, so $o^{\sigma \circ F_j} = -o^\sigma$ as desired.

For $\sigma \circ C_{i,j}$ we note that the matrix $\overline{M}^{\sigma \circ C_{i,j}}$ is the same as the matrix \overline{M}^σ , but with columns i and j interchanged. Again these matrices have opposite determinants, so $o^{\sigma \circ C_{i,j}} = -o^\sigma$ as desired. \square

For the other operators, the following result easily follows using Remark 3.13.

Corollary 5.4. *For any digital q -cube σ and $i < j$,*

$$\beta(\sigma \circ R_{i,j}) = \beta\sigma.$$

Furthermore,

$$\beta(\sigma \circ S_{i,j}) = (-1)^{j-i} \beta\sigma.$$

Now we are ready for our main result of the section.

Theorem 5.5. $\beta_q : C_q(X) \rightarrow C_q^{c_1}(X)$ *is a chain map.*

Proof. For any digital q -cube σ , we need to show that $\beta\partial\sigma = \partial^{c_1}\beta\sigma$.

First we consider the case when σ is injective. Then we use (7) and (6) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\partial\sigma) &= \beta \left(\sum_i (-1)^i (A_i\sigma - B_i\sigma) \right) \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i (o^{A_i\sigma}(A_i\sigma(I^{q-1})) - o^{B_i\sigma}(B_i\sigma(I^{q-1}))) \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i (-1)^{i+k_i} o_i^\sigma o^\sigma (A_i\sigma(I^{q-1}) - B_i\sigma(I^{q-1})) \\ &= o^\sigma \sum_i (-1)^{k_i} (A_{k_i}^{c_1}\sigma(I^q) - B_{k_i}^{c_1}\sigma(I^q)) \\ &= o^\sigma \partial^{c_1}(\sigma(I^q)) = \partial^{c_1}(o^\sigma \sigma(I^q)) = \partial^{c_1}(\beta\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

If σ is noninjective, and all faces of σ are also noninjective, then we have $\beta\partial\sigma = 0 = \partial^{c_1}\beta\sigma$.

It remains to consider the case when σ is noninjective and at least one face of σ is injective. In this case we automatically have $\beta\sigma = 0$, and thus $\partial^{c_1}(\beta\sigma) = 0$, and so we must show that $\beta(\partial\sigma) = 0$.

Such a digital q -cube is either degenerate or belongs to any of the types: Types 1,2 and 3, from Theorem 4.9. We consider these types below.

If σ is type-1 or type-2, then the faces $A_k\sigma$ and $B_k\sigma$, for $k \notin \{i, j\}$, are all noninjective, and the i -faces and j -faces are related through equations given in Lemma 4.4. If σ is type-2, with $A_i\sigma$ injective and $\sigma = \sigma \circ C_{i,j}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\partial\sigma &= \beta((-1)^i A_i\sigma + (-1)^j A_j\sigma) \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^i \beta A_i\sigma + (-1)^j \beta(A_i\sigma \circ S_{i,j-1}), & i < j \\ (-1)^i \beta A_i\sigma + (-1)^j \beta(A_i\sigma \circ S_{j,i-1}), & i > j \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^i \beta A_i\sigma + (-1)^{j+j-1-i} \beta A_i\sigma, & i < j \\ (-1)^i \beta A_i\sigma + (-1)^{j+i-1-j} \beta A_i\sigma, & i > j \end{cases} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations can be carried out for the cases when $B_i\sigma$ is injective, or $\sigma \circ F_j = \sigma \circ F_j \circ C_{i,j}$ or when σ is a type-1 digital q -cube, to show $\beta\partial\sigma = 0$.

Whereas for a Type-3 digital q -cube, we get $\beta\partial\sigma = 0$ from equation $A_i\sigma = B_i\sigma \circ R_{j,m}$ and Corollary 5.4, because all the faces of σ in coordinates other than i are noninjective. \square

For a (c_1, c_1) -continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between digital images (X, c_1) and (Y, c_1) , the existence of a chain map $f_{\#}^{c_1} : C_q^{c_1}(X) \rightarrow C_q^{c_1}(Y)$ was conjectured in [11]. This conjecture was proven in low dimensions using computer enumerations, but we provide a complete analytical proof below.

For an elementary q -cube $Q \subset X$ and some continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, if f is injective on Q then we can define an orientation $o^{f,Q}$ in the same way as we have defined o^σ for a digital q -cube σ : we let $o^{f,Q}$ be the determinant of the affine linear map with which f maps Q onto $f(Q)$. Then for any elementary q -cube $Q \subset X$, define $f_q^{c_1}(Q)$ as follows:

$$f_q^{c_1}(Q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f \text{ is noninjective on } Q, \\ o^{f,Q} f(Q) & \text{if } f \text{ is injective on } Q. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5.6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a (c_1, c_1) -continuous function between digital images (X, c_1) and (Y, c_1) . Then $f_q^{c_1} : C_q^{c_1}(X) \rightarrow C_q^{c_1}(Y)$ is a chain map, satisfying $(f \circ g)_q^{c_1} = f_q^{c_1} \circ g_q^{c_1}$ and $(1_X)_q^{c_1}$ is the identity homomorphism, where 1_X denotes the identity function on X .*

Proof. Defining $f_q^{c_1}$ as above will clearly satisfy the desired properties concerning compositions and identity, so we need only show that it is a chain map. Let $Q \subset X$ be an elementary q -cube, and we will show that $\partial_q^{c_1}(f_q^{c_1}(Q)) = f_{q-1}^{c_1}(\partial_q^{c_1}(Q))$.

The elementary q -cube Q is naturally isomorphic to I^q by an isomorphism $\iota = \tau \circ \Theta : I^q \rightarrow Q$, where τ is a translation moving the origin to $\min(Q)$

(the lexicographical minimum point), and Θ is a map satisfying $\Theta(\theta^i) = \theta^{k_i}$ for some increasing sequence k_1, \dots, k_q indicating the nondegenerate coordinates of Q . Let $\sigma : I^q \rightarrow Y$ be defined by $\sigma = f \circ \iota$, and σ will be a digital q -cube in Y satisfying $\beta_q(\sigma) = f_q^{c_1}(Q)$ and also $\beta_{q-1}(A_i\sigma) = f_{q-1}^{c_1}(A_{k_i}^{c_1}Q)$ and $\beta_{q-1}(B_i\sigma) = f_{q-1}^{c_1}(B_{k_i}^{c_1}Q)$. The last two equalities mean that $\beta_{q-1}(\partial_q\sigma) = f_{q-1}^{c_1}(\partial_q^{c_1}(Q))$. Then since β is a chain map, we have:

$$\partial_q^{c_1}(f_q^{c_1}(Q)) = \partial_q^{c_1}(\beta_q(\sigma)) = \beta_{q-1}(\partial_q(\sigma)) = f_{q-1}^{c_1}(\partial_q^{c_1}(Q))$$

as desired. \square

Using Theorem 7.2 of [11] and the theorem above, we get the following result.

Corollary 5.7. *Let (X, c_1) and (Y, c_1) be digital images of the same homotopy type. Then $H_q^{c_1}(X) \cong H_q^{c_1}(Y)$.*

6 $dH_q(X)$ is isomorphic to $H_q^{c_1}(X)$

From the previous section, $\beta_q : dC_q(X) \rightarrow C_q^{c_1}(X)$ is a chain map, and it is clear that β_q is surjective. Denote the quotient chain complex $dC_q(X)/\ker \beta_q$ as $\overline{C}_q(X)$, and its homology as $\overline{H}_q(X)$. Then β_q gives an isomorphism $\overline{C}_q(X) \cong C_q^{c_1}(X)$ and thus $\overline{H}_q(X) \cong H_q^{c_1}(X)$.

The goal of this section is to show that $dH_q(X) \cong H_q^{c_1}(X)$, and by the above paragraph it will suffice to show that $dH_q(X) \cong \overline{H}_q(X)$ for each q .

We define below a filtration on chain complexes $dC_q(X)$ and $\overline{C}_q(X)$ based on the degree of injectivity of digital q -cubes.

For integers $k \geq 0$ and a fixed q , let $dC_q^k(X)$ be a free abelian group generated by nondegenerate digital q -cubes with degree of injectivity less than or equal to k . Clearly, $dC_q^{k-1}(X) \subset dC_q^k(X)$ for $k \geq 1$, and $\partial(dC_q^k(X)) \subset dC_{q-1}^k(X)$ for $k \geq 0$. Therefore, we have a filtration of chain complexes

$$dC_q^{k-1}(X) \subset dC_q^k(X) \subset dC_q(X),$$

for $k \geq 0$. Note that for $k \geq q$, we have $dC_q^k(X) = dC_q^{k+1}(X) = dC_q(X)$.

Letting $dC_q^{k,k-1}(X) = dC_q^k(X)/dC_q^{k-1}(X)$, we have the following short exact sequence of chain complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow dC_q^{k-1}(X) \rightarrow dC_q^k(X) \rightarrow dC_q^{k,k-1}(X) \rightarrow 0. \quad (8)$$

Let $H_q^k(X)$ be the homology of $dC_q^k(X)$, and $H_q^{k,k-1}(X)$ be the homology of $dC_q^{k,k-1}(X)$, and we have the following long exact sequence of homology groups:

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{q+1}^{k,k-1}(X) \rightarrow H_q^{k-1}(X) \rightarrow H_q^k(X) \rightarrow H_{q-1}^{k-1}(X) \rightarrow \dots$$

We can also make similar constructions starting with the chain complex $\overline{C}_q(X)$. We have a filtration $\overline{C}_q^{k-1}(X) \subset \overline{C}_q^k(X) \subset \overline{C}_q(X)$, $k \geq 0$, where for

some $q \geq 0$, $\overline{C}_q^k(X)$ is the free abelian group generated by nondegenerate digital q -cubes of $\overline{C}_q(X)$ with degree of injectivity less than or equal to k , modulo the kernel of β_q .

Note that, since every generator of $\overline{C}_q(X)$ is injective, $\overline{C}_q^k(X) = \overline{C}_q(X)$ if $k \geq q$ and $\overline{C}_q^k(X)$ is trivial for $k < q$.

Copying notations as above, let $\overline{C}_q^{k,k-1}(X) = \overline{C}_q^k(X)/\overline{C}_q^{k-1}(X)$, and we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{C}_q^{k-1}(X) \rightarrow \overline{C}_q^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{C}_q^{k,k-1}(X) \rightarrow 0, \quad (9)$$

and taking homology groups gives the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \overline{H}_{q+1}^{k,k-1}(X) \rightarrow \overline{H}_q^{k-1}(X) \rightarrow \overline{H}_q^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{H}_{q-1}^{k,k-1}(X) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Recall that our goal for the section is to show that $dH_q(X) \cong H_q^{c_1}(X)$ for all q . We will use the classification of injective cubes according to flips and swaps. The following lemmas describe the relationships between a digital q -cube σ and its compositions with flip and swap maps in the quotient group $dC_q^{q,q-1}(X)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let σ be a digital q -cube in $dC_q^{q,q-1}(X)$. Then $\sigma + \sigma \circ F_i$ is a boundary in $dC_q^{q,q-1}(X)$.*

Proof. Let $\rho \in dC_{q+1}^{q,q-1}(X)$ be the digital cube given by:

$$\rho(t_1, \dots, t_{q+1}) = \begin{cases} \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_{q+1}) & \text{if } t_i = 0 \\ \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_{q+1}) & \text{if } t_i = 1 \end{cases}$$

We will show that $(-1)^i \partial \rho = \sigma + \sigma \circ F_i \in dC_q^{q,q-1}(X)$, which will complete the proof. We must compute the various faces of ρ .

First we compute $A_i \rho$ and $B_i \rho$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_i \rho(t_1, \dots, t_q) &= \rho(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_i, \dots, t_q) = \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_i, \dots, t_q) \\ B_i \rho(t_1, \dots, t_q) &= \rho(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 1, t_i, \dots, t_q) = \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, \dots, t_q) \end{aligned}$$

Here we see that $A_i \rho = \sigma$, and $B_i \rho$ is degenerate since it does not depend on coordinate t_i .

Next we compute $A_{i+1} \rho$ and $B_{i+1} \rho$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i+1} \rho(t_1, \dots, t_q) &= \rho(t_1, \dots, t_i, 0, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_q) = \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_{q+1}) \\ B_{i+1} \rho(t_1, \dots, t_q) &= \rho(t_1, \dots, t_i, 1, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_q) \\ &= \begin{cases} \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 1, t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_q) & \text{if } t_i = 0 \\ \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_q) & \text{if } t_i = 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \sigma \circ F_i(t_1, \dots, t_q) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $A_{i+1} \rho$ is degenerate, and $B_{i+1} \rho = \sigma \circ F_i$.

Now we compute $A_j\rho$ and $B_j\rho$ for $j \notin \{i, i+1\}$. For simplicity in the notation below, we will assume that $j < i$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_j\rho(t_1, \dots, t_q) &= \rho(t_1, \dots, 0, t_j, \dots, t_q) \\ &= \begin{cases} \sigma(t_1, \dots, 0, t_j, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_q) & \text{if } t_i = 0 \\ \sigma(t_1, \dots, 0, t_j, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_q) & \text{if } t_i = 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

From the two cases above, we see that $A_j\rho$ can take on at most $2^{q-1} + 2^{q-2} < 2^q$ values, so $A_j\rho$ is not injective, and thus $A_j\rho = 0 \in dC_q^{q, q-1}(X)$. A similar computation shows that $B_j\rho = 0 \in dC_q^{q, q-1}(X)$.

Summing the faces of ρ in $dC_q^{q, q-1}(X)$ gives only the two nonzero terms $A_i\rho = \sigma$ and $B_{i+1}\rho = \sigma \circ F_i$, and we have

$$\partial\rho = \sum_j (-1)^j (A_j\rho - B_j\rho) = (-1)^i \sigma - (-1)^{i+1} \sigma \circ F_i = (-1)^i (\sigma + \sigma \circ F_i)$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let σ be a digital q -cube in $dC_q^{q, q-1}(X)$. Then $\sigma - \sigma \circ R_{i,j}$ is a boundary in $dC_q^{q, q-1}(X)$.*

Proof. Let $\rho = \sigma \boxminus (\sigma \circ R_{i,j})$. Then by (3) it suffices to show that $A_k\rho \boxplus A_k(\sigma \circ R_{i,j})$ and $B_k\rho \boxplus B_k(\sigma \circ R_{i,j})$ are zero in $dC_q^{q, q-1}(X)$. We will demonstrate this for A_i , the argument for B_i is similar.

Observe that $A_k\rho(I^{q-1})$ and $A_k(\sigma \circ R_{i,j})(I^{q-1})$ have nonempty intersection, since $A_k\rho(I^{q-1})$ is a face of the elementary cube $\sigma(I^q)$, and $A_k(\sigma \circ R_{i,j})(I^{q-1})$ is the same face of a quarter-turn rotation of that cube. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \#(A_k\rho \boxplus A_k(\sigma \circ R_{i,j}))(I^q) &\leq \#(A_k\rho(I^{q-1}) \cup A_k(\sigma \circ R_{i,j})(I^{q-1})) \\ &< \#A_k\rho(I^{q-1}) + \#A_k(\sigma \circ R_{i,j})(I^{q-1}) \\ &\leq 2^{q-1} + 2^{q-1} = 2^q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the digital q -cube $A_k\rho \boxplus A_k(\sigma \circ R_{i,j})$ is not injective, and so is zero in $dC_q^{q, q-1}(X)$. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let σ be a digital q -cube in $dC_q^{q, q-1}(X)$. Then $\sigma + \sigma \circ C_{i,j}$ is a boundary in $dC_q^{q, q-1}(X)$.*

Proof. We must show that $\sigma + \sigma \circ C_{i,j} \in B_q^{q, q-1}(X)$. Recall from Proposition 3.13 that $C_{i,j} = R_{i,j} \circ F_j$. We have:

$$\sigma + \sigma \circ C_{i,j} = (\sigma - \sigma \circ R_{i,j}) + (\sigma \circ R_{i,j} + (\sigma \circ R_{i,j}) \circ F_j),$$

and the above is a boundary in $dC_q^{q, q-1}(X)$ by Lemmas 6.2 and 6.1. \square

Corollary 6.4. *Let $\beta'_q : dC_q^{q, q-1}(X) \rightarrow \overline{C}_q^{q, q-1}(X)$ be the map induced by β . Then the kernel of β'_q is contained in the boundary subgroup of $dC_q^{q, q-1}(X)$.*

Proof. The kernel of β'_q is generated by chains of the form $\sigma + \phi$ where σ, ϕ are injective with $\sigma(I^q) = \phi(I^q)$ and $o^\sigma = -o^\phi$, together with chains of the form $\sigma - \phi$ where $\sigma(I^q) = \phi(I^q)$ and $o^\sigma = o^\phi$. Equivalently, the kernel of β'_q is generated by chains of the form $o^\sigma \sigma - o^\phi \phi$, and so it suffices to show that any such chain is a boundary in $C_q^{q, q-1}(X)$.

By Lemma 3.17 we have $\phi = \sigma \circ G$, where G is a composition of flips and swaps. From the proof of Theorem 5.3, each flip or swap reverses the orientation, and so $o^\phi = (-1)^k o^\sigma$

Let:

$$\phi = \sigma \circ G_1 \circ \cdots \circ G_k,$$

where each G is a single flip or swap, and let $\phi_i = \sigma \circ G_1 \circ \cdots \circ G_i$ for each $i \leq k$, and $\phi_0 = \sigma$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} (\phi_{i-1} + \phi_i) &= \sigma + (-1)^{k-1} \phi = \sigma - (-1)^k \phi \\ &= \sigma - o^\phi o^\sigma \phi = o^\sigma (o^\sigma \sigma - o^\phi \phi) \end{aligned}$$

and so by Lemma 6.3 we see that $o^\sigma \sigma - o^\phi \phi$ is a sum of boundary elements as desired. \square

Now we are ready to prove one of the main results of the section. The next theorem shows that the desired isomorphism holds for $q < \dim X$, where $\dim X$ is the largest integer k such that X contains an elementary cube of dimension k .

Theorem 6.5. *For a digital image (X, c_1) , $dH_q(X) \cong H_q^{c_1}(X)$, for $q < \dim X$.*

Proof. By the arguments at the beginning of the section, it suffices to show that $dH_q(X) \cong \overline{H}_q(X)$.

Every digital q -cube in (X, c_1) has degree of injectivity less than or equal to k , and thus $dH_q^k(X) = dH_q(X)$ and $\overline{H}_q^k(X) = \overline{H}_q(X)$ for all q . Therefore, we need to show that the middle vertical arrow of the diagram below is an isomorphism, for $q \leq k$, where the vertical arrows are induced by the canonical map $dC_q(X) \rightarrow \overline{C}_q(X)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & dH_{q+1}^{k, k-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & dH_q^{k-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & dH_q^k(X) & \longrightarrow & dH_q^{k, k-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & dH_{q-1}^{k-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \overline{H}_{q+1}^{k, k-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \overline{H}_q^{k-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \overline{H}_q^k(X) & \longrightarrow & \overline{H}_q^{k, k-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \overline{H}_{q-1}^{k-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

For this we use the Five Lemma and induction on k and q . If $k = 0$, then X consists of isolated points only, and so by Propositions 2.1 and 2.4, $dH_q(X) = H_q^{c_1}(X) = \overline{H}_q(X)$. If $q = 0$, then by Propositions 2.2 and 2.5, $dH_0(X) = H_0^{c_1}(X) = \overline{H}_0(X)$.

In order to show that middle vertical arrow is an isomorphism, by the Five Lemma we need to show that the second and fourth vertical arrows are isomorphisms, the first is injective, and the fifth is surjective. By induction on k and q , the second and fifth vertical arrows are isomorphisms.

For the fourth arrow, if $q < k$, we see that $dC_q^{k,k-1}(X)$ is trivial because it is a quotient of $dC_q^k(X)$ and $dC_q^{k-1}(X)$, which are equal. For the same reason $\bar{d}C_q^{k,k-1}(X)$ is trivial. Therefore $H_q^{k,k-1}(X)$ and $\bar{H}_q^{k,k-1}(X)$ are also trivial, so $H_q^{k,k-1}(X) \rightarrow \bar{H}_q^{k,k-1}(X)$ is an isomorphism.

If $q = k$, then we need to show $H_q^{q,q-1}(X) \rightarrow \bar{H}_q^{q,q-1}(X)$ is an isomorphism. It suffices to show that the kernel of the induced map $\beta'_q : dC_q^{q,q-1}(X) \rightarrow \bar{C}_q^{q,q-1}(X)$ is contained in the boundary subgroup of $dC_q^{q,q-1}(X)$, and this was demonstrated in Corollary 6.4. □

Theorem 6.6. *For a digital image (X, c_1) , $dH_q(X) \cong H_q^{c_1}(X)$, for all $q \geq \dim X$.*

Proof. Let $q \geq \dim X$, and let $Y = X \times [0, 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$, where $n = q + 1 - \dim X$. Thus $\dim Y = \dim X + n = q + 1$. Since $q < \dim Y$, we have $dH_q(Y) \cong H_q^{c_1}(Y)$ by Theorem 6.5.

Now we show that the digital images (X, c_1) and (Y, c_1) have the same homotopy type. The digital image $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ is c_1 -contractible as shown in [5, Example 5.4]. Let $h : [0, 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}^n \times [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow [0, 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ be the contraction of $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ to $\{\theta\}$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the tuple of all zeros. Then the function $H : Y \times [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow Y$ defined by $H(x, s, t) = (x, h(s, t))$ for $x \in X, s \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ and $t \in [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a deformation retraction of Y onto $X \times \{\theta\}$. Thus (Y, c_1) is homotopy equivalent to $(X \times \{\theta\}, c_1)$, which is clearly isomorphic to (X, c_1) .

Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 5.7 show that both dH_q and $H_q^{c_1}$ are homotopy-type invariants. Thus since X and Y have the same homotopy type and $dH_q(Y) \cong H_q^{c_1}(Y)$, we have $dH_q(X) \cong H_q^{c_1}(X)$ as desired. □

Combining the two theorems above gives our main result:

Theorem 6.7. *For a digital image (X, c_1) , we have $dH_q(X) \cong H_q^{c_1}(X)$ for all q .*

As mentioned earlier, the size of the chain groups of the homology dH_q grows superexponentially with q , but the theorem above significantly reduces this complexity for c_1 -digital images. Furthermore, it is difficult to verify through direct computations that homology dH_q vanishes for $q > \dim X$, for c_1 -digital images with finite dimension. However this is a direct consequence of the theorem above.

Corollary 6.8. *For a digital image (X, c_1) with $\dim X = n$, we have $dH_q(X) \cong 0$ for all $q > n$.*

In [9], it was shown that an excision-like property holds for the homology dH_q . From Theorem 6.7, the isomorphism between the relative homologies dH_q and $H_q^{c_1}$ also holds, and thus this excision-like property holds for the homology $H_q^{c_1}$ as well, as stated in the next corollary. Before stating this corollary, we need to define the interior operator Int^i on subsets of digital images.

Definition 6.9. *For a subset A of digital image X , the interior operator $Int^i(A)$, for integers $i \geq 0$, is defined as:*

$$\begin{aligned} Int(A) &= \{x \in A \mid \overline{N}_{c_1}^X(x) \subset A\} \\ Int^0(A) &= A \\ Int^i(A) &= Int(Int^{i-1}(A)), \text{ for } i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.10. *Consider subsets A and B of a digital image X . Suppose that there is a positive integer i , with $X = Int^i(A) \cup Int^i(B)$, then $H_q^{c_1}(X, A) \cong H_q^{c_1}(B, A \cap B)$, for integers $q < i + 1$.*

Moreover, due to the isomorphism between the absolute and relative homologies dH_q and $H_q^{c_1}$, it can be concluded that the sequence of functors $H_q^{c_1}$ on the category of c_1 -digital images is a digital homology theory (see definition 6.2 of [9]).

Corollary 6.11. *The c_1 -cubical homology groups $H_q^{c_1}$ form a digital homology theory on the category of c_1 -digital images.*

References

- [1] Barcelo, H., Capraro, V. and White, J. A. (2014). Discrete homology theory for metric spaces. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 46(5), 889-905.
- [2] Barcelo, H., Greene, C., Jarrah, A. S. and Welker, V. (2019). Discrete cubical and path homologies of graphs. *Algebraic Combinatorics*, 2(3), 417-437.
- [3] Barcelo, H., Greene, C., Jarrah, A. S. and Welker, V. (2021). Homology groups of cubical sets with connections. *Applied Categorical Structures*, 29(3), 415-429.
- [4] Barcelo, H., Greene, C., Jarrah, A. S. and Welker, V. (2021). On the vanishing of discrete singular cubical homology for graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 35(1), 35-54.
- [5] Boxer, L. Digitally continuous functions. *Pattern Recognition Letters* 15: 833-839, 1994.
- [6] Boxer, L. A classical construction for the digital fundamental group. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, 10:51-62, 1999.

- [7] Boxer, L. Properties of digital homotopy. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision* 22:19-26, 2005.
- [8] Haarmann, J., Murphy, M., Peters, C., and Staecker, P.C. (2015). Homotopy equivalence of finite digital images, *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision* 53, (3), 288-302.
- [9] Jamil, S. S. and Ali, D. (2020). Digital Hurewicz theorem and digital homology theory. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 44, 739-759.
- [10] Massey, W. S. *A basic course in algebraic topology*. Springer Verlag, 1991.
- [11] Staecker, P. C. (2021). Digital homotopy relations and digital homology theories. *Applied General Topology*, 22(2), 223-250. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4995/agt.2021.13154>