

# Characterization of the Gray-Wyner Rate Region for Multivariate Gaussian Sources: Optimality of Gaussian Auxiliary RV

Evagoras Stylianou, Charalambos D. Charalambous and Jan H. van Schuppen

**Abstract**—Examined in this paper, is the Gray and Wyner achievable lossy rate region for a tuple of correlated multivariate Gaussian random variables (RVs)  $X_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p_1}$  and  $X_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p_2}$  with respect to square-error distortions at the two decoders. It is shown that among all joint distributions induced by a triple of RVs  $(X_1, X_2, W)$ , such that  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  is the auxiliary RV taking continuous, countable, or finite values, the Gray and Wyner achievable rate region is characterized by jointly Gaussian RVs  $(X_1, X_2, W)$  such that  $W$  is an  $n$ -dimensional Gaussian RV. It then follows that the achievable rate region is parametrized by the three conditional covariances  $\mathcal{Q}_{X_1, X_2 | W}, \mathcal{Q}_{X_1 | W}, \mathcal{Q}_{X_2 | W}$  of the jointly Gaussian RVs. Furthermore, if the RV  $W$  makes  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  conditionally independent, then the corresponding subset of the achievable rate region, is simpler, and parametrized by only the two conditional covariances  $\mathcal{Q}_{X_1 | W}, \mathcal{Q}_{X_2 | W}$ . The paper also includes the characterization of the Pangloss plane of the Gray-Wyner rate region along with the characterizations of the corresponding rate distortion functions, their test-channel distributions, and structural properties of the realizations which induce these distributions.

## I. INTRODUCTION, LITERATURE REVIEW, MAIN RESULTS

Gray and Wyner in [1] considered the *the simple network* of Fig. 1, and characterized the achievable (lossy) rate region, for an arbitrary tuple of sources, modeled by jointly independent random variables (RVs)  $(X_1^N, X_2^N) = \{(X_{1,t}, X_{2,t}) : t = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , with two distortions functions at the decoders. They characterized the operational rate region, denoted by  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , by a coding scheme that uses an auxiliary RV,  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ , where  $\mathbb{W}$  is an arbitrary space, via the family of probability distributions  $\mathcal{P}$  induced by  $(X_1, X_2, W)$  on their corresponding measurable spaces  $\mathbb{X}_1 \times \mathbb{X}_2 \times \mathbb{W}$  defined by,

$$\mathcal{P} \triangleq \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \mid \text{the } (X_1, X_2)\text{-marginal distr. is } \mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2} \right\}$$

Specifically, in [1, Theorem 8], they defined, for each  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \in \mathcal{P}$  and distortions  $\Delta_1 \geq 0, \Delta_2 \geq 0$ , the subset of the Euclidean 3-dimensional space,

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W}}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = \left\{ (R_0, R_1, R_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 \mid \begin{aligned} R_0 &\geq I(X_1, X_2; W), \\ R_1 &\geq R_{X_1 | W}(\Delta_1), \quad R_2 \geq R_{X_2 | W}(\Delta_2) \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbb{R}_+ \triangleq [0, \infty)$ , and  $R_{X_i | W}(\Delta_i)$  denotes the conditional rate distortion function (RDF) of  $X_i$ , conditioned on  $W$ , at decoder  $i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . The Gray-Wyner achievable operational lossy rate region  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  is then characterized by,  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = \mathcal{R}^*(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , where,




Fig. 1: The Gray-Wyner network [1] with Private Rates  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  and Common Rate  $R_0$ .

$$\mathcal{R}^*(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \triangleq \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W}}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \right)^c, \quad (2)$$

and where  $(\cdot)^c$  denotes the closure of the indicated set.

Gray and Wyner also proved that,  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , can be alternatively determined from [1, (4) of page 1703, eqn(42)],

$$T(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \inf_{\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \in \mathcal{P}} \left\{ I(X_1, X_2; W) + \alpha_1 R_{X_1 | W}(\Delta_1) + \alpha_2 R_{X_2 | W}(\Delta_2) \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \geq 1$ .

Moreover, it was shown in [1, Theorem 6], that if a triple  $(R_0, R_1, R_2)$  lies on the Gray-Wyner lossy rate region, i.e.,  $(R_0, R_1, R_2) \in \mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , then it satisfies the following bounds

$$R_0 + R_1 + R_2 \geq R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2), \quad (4)$$

$$R_0 + R_1 \geq R_{X_1}(\Delta_1), \quad R_0 + R_2 \geq R_{X_2}(\Delta_2), \quad (5)$$

where  $R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  is the joint RDF of  $(X_1, X_2)$  with joint decoding of  $(X_1, X_2)$  by  $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2)$ , and  $R_{X_i}(\Delta_i)$  is the marginal RDF of  $X_i$  at decoder  $i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . The set of rate triples  $(R_0, R_1, R_2) \in \mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  which satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^3 R_i = R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  is called the *Pangloss Plane*. A rate-triple  $(R_0, R_1, R_2) \in \mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  that lies on the Pangloss plane was computed by the authors in [1, Section 2.5, (B)] making use Gray's [1], [2] compound joint RDF of  $(X_1, X_2)$ , for a tuple of scalar-valued Gaussian RVs with square-error distortions.

More recently, progress is reported by Viswanatha, Akyol and Rose [3], and Xu, Liu, and Chen [4], on the characterization of the lossy common information which is defined as the minimum common message rate  $R_0$  on the Gray-Wyner lossy rate region, when the sum rate is arbitrary close to the joint RDF. The lossy common information is computed for a tuple of scalar-valued Gaussian RVs,  $\mathbb{X}_i = \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$ , with square-error distortions, by making use of Xiao's and Luo's [5] closed-form expression of the joint RDF of a tuple of scalar-valued Gaussian RVs. Other related investigations are, Wyner's common information [6] which is the lossless counterpart of the lossy common information, and related papers by Witsenhausen [7], [8], Gács and Körner [9], Satpathy and

E. Stylianou is with Technical University of Munich. C. D. Charalambous is with University of Cyprus. J. H. van Schuppen is with Van Schuppen Control Research, Gouden Leeuw 143, 1103 KB Amsterdam. E-mails: chadcha@ucy.ac.cy, jan.h.van.schuppen@xs4all.nl, evagoras.stylianou@tum.de

Cuff [10], Veld and Gastpar [11] and Sula and Gastpar [12]. Previous work of the authors on the Gray-Wyner rate region is found in [13], [14].

However, the fundamental problem of characterizing  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  for multivariate sources, remains to this date an open problem.

*Main Results of this Paper.* We characterize  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , and provide an answer to a long standing open problem, for sources modeled by a tuple of multivariate jointly independent and identically distributed Gaussian RVs, with respect to square-error distortions, i.e.,

$$X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p_i} = \mathbb{X}_i, D_{X_i}(x_i, \hat{x}_i) \triangleq \|x_i - \hat{x}_i\|_{\mathbb{R}^{p_i}}^2, i = 1, 2 \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2} \text{ is a jointly Gaussian distribution} \quad (7)$$

where  $p_1, p_2$  are finite positive integer numbers and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}^{p_i}}$  are Euclidean distances on  $\mathbb{R}^{p_i}, i = 1, 2$ .

The paper includes the following main results.

(1) Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 which state that:

(i) The Gray-Wyner lossy rate region  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  and  $T(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  are characterized by replacing the set  $\mathcal{P}$  in (2) and (3) with the subset  $\mathcal{P}^G$  of Gaussian distributions defined by,

$$\mathcal{P}^G \triangleq \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \left| \begin{array}{l} X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p_i}, i = 1, 2, W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \\ (X_1, X_2) \in G(0, \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2)}) \text{ is fixed, } W \in G(0, \mathcal{Q}_W), \\ \mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \in G(0, \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2, W)}) \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathcal{P}, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2, W)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_{X_1} & \mathcal{Q}_{X_1, X_2} & \mathcal{Q}_{X_1, W} \\ \mathcal{Q}_{X_1, X_2}^T & \mathcal{Q}_{X_2} & \mathcal{Q}_{X_2, W} \\ \mathcal{Q}_{X_1, W}^T & \mathcal{Q}_{X_2, W}^T & \mathcal{Q}_W \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The notation,  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \in G(0, \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2, W)})$  means the joint distribution is the Gaussian distribution of the vector  $(X_1^T X_2^T W^T)^T$ , with zero mean and covariance matrix  $\mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2, W)}$ .

(ii) The Gray-Wyner lossy rate region  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  and  $T(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  are parametrized by the conditional covariances  $\mathcal{Q}_{X_1, X_2|W}, \mathcal{Q}_{X_i|W}, i = 1, 2$ , where  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Gaussian RV. (2) Corollary 1, which states that, if  $W$  makes  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  conditional independent, then the subset of  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , is parametrized only with respect to  $\mathcal{Q}_{X_i|W}, i = 1, 2$ .

(3) Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 that identify achievable lower bounds on the mutual information  $I(X_1, X_2; W)$  and RDFs  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i), i = 1, 2, R_{X_1, X_2|W}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ . Specifically, it is shown that, among all triples of RVs  $(X_1, X_2, W)$ , with arbitrary  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ , these lower bounds are achieved if  $(X_1, X_2, W)$  are jointly Gaussian, i.e.,  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Gaussian RV. Moreover, realizations of  $(X_1, X_2, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, W)$  that achieve the aforementioned bounds are also provided.

(4) Theorem 5 which characterize the *Pangloss plane* of the Gray-Wyner network by identifying conditions on the joint distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, W}$  such that  $R_0 + R_1 + R_2 = R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ .

However, further research is required to carry out the remaining optimizations and computations, which are involved in the characterizations of the  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  and  $T(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ . These calculations are expected to be challenging, because they require closed-form expressions of the RDFs,  $R_{X_i|W}, i = 1, 2, R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_1)$ , and the structural properties of their test-channel realizations [15]–[17].

## II. PARAMETRIZATION OF GRAY AND WYNER RATE REGION OF GAUSSIAN RVs

*Notation.*  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Denote the real numbers by  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(x)^+ \triangleq \max\{1, x\}$ . The vector space of  $n$ -tuples of real numbers is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Denote the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on this vector space by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  hence  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is a measurable space. The expression  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  denotes the set of  $n$  by  $m$  matrices with elements in the real numbers, for  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . An  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued Gaussian RV, is denoted by  $X \in G(m_X, \mathcal{Q}_X)$ , where  $m_X \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the mean value, and  $\mathcal{Q}_X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_X = \mathcal{Q}_X^T \succeq 0$  the variance. The effective dimension of the RV is denoted by  $\dim(X) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{Q}_X)$ . An  $n \times n$  identity matrix is denoted by  $I_n$ . For a tuple of Gaussian RVs  $(X_1, X_2) \in G(0, \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2)})$ , its variance matrix  $\mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2)}$  is defined as in (9), with  $W$  removed. The variance  $\mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2)}$  is distinguished from  $\mathcal{Q}_{X_1, X_2}$ .

In order to prove our main results, i.e., the characterizations of  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  and  $T(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  in Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, we need two intermediate results. Specifically, lower bounds on the mutual information  $I(X_1, X_2; W)$  and the conditional RDFs  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i), i = 1, 2$ , and realizations that achieve these bounds. We begin with the achievable lower bounds on  $I(X_1, X_2; W)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Consider a tuple of Gaussian RVs  $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p_i}$ , with  $(X_1, X_2) \in G(0, \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2)})$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2)} \succ 0$  (which implies  $\mathcal{Q}_{X_i} \succ 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ). Let  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  be any auxiliary RV, with  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{W}))$  an arbitrary measurable space, and  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W}$  any joint probability distribution of the triple  $(X_1, X_2, W)$  on the product space  $(\mathbb{R}^{p_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{p_2} \times \mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{p_1}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{p_2}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{W}))$  with  $(X_1, X_2)$ -marginal  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2}$  the Gaussian distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2} = G(0, \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2)})$ . Define the RVs, by,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix} \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{E} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} \middle| W \right], Z_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p_i}. \quad (10)$$

(a) There exists a Gaussian measure  $\mathbf{P}_1 = G(0, \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2, W)})$  defined on the space  $(\mathbb{R}^{p_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{p_2} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{p_1}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{p_2}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)), n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  associated with the Gaussian RVs  $(X_1, X_2), W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, W \in G(0, \mathcal{Q}_W), \mathcal{Q}_W \succ 0$  such that  $\mathbf{P}_1|_{\mathbb{R}^{p_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{p_2}} = G(0, \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2)})$ . Moreover, a realization of the RVs  $(X_1, X_2, W)$  with induced measure  $\mathbf{P}_1 = G(0, \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2, W)})$  is<sup>1</sup>,

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2), W} \mathcal{Q}_W^{-1} W + \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

$$(Z_1, Z_2) \in G(0, \mathcal{Q}_{(Z_1, Z_2)}), (Z_1, Z_2) \text{ indep. of } W, \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{(Z_1, Z_2)} = \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2)} - \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2), W} \mathcal{Q}_W^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2), W}^T \succ 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{(X_1, X_2), W} = \mathbf{E} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} W^T \right]. \quad (14)$$

(b) Consider  $I(X_1, X_2; W) \in [0, \infty)$ , for arbitrary RV  $W$ . Then the inequalities hold.

$$I(X_1, X_2; W) = H(X_1, X_2) - H(X_1, X_2|W) \quad (15)$$

$$= H(X_1, X_2) - H(X_1 - \mathbf{E}[X_1|W], X_2 - \mathbf{E}[X_2|W]|W) \quad (16)$$

<sup>1</sup>If  $\mathcal{Q}_W \succeq 0$ , then by the theory of Gaussian RVs, one needs to replace  $\mathcal{Q}_W^{-1}$  by pseudoinverse  $\mathcal{Q}_W^\dagger$ , and has the option to use the minimum realizations discussed in [13].

$$\geq H(X_1, X_2) - H(X_1 - \mathbf{E}[X_1|W], X_2 - \mathbf{E}[X_2|W]) \quad (17)$$

$$= H(X_1, X_2) - H(Z_1, Z_2), \quad Z_i \text{ defined by (10)} \quad (18)$$

$$\geq H(X_1, X_2) - H(Z_1, Z_2), \text{ if } (Z_1, Z_2) \in G(0, Q_{(X_1, X_2)}) \quad (19)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\det(Q_{(X_1, X_2)})}{\det(Q_{(Z_1, Z_2)})} \right)^+ \quad (20)$$

where  $Q_{(Z_1, Z_2)} \succ 0$ . If,

(i)  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{W} = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , Gaussian RV, and

(ii)  $(Z_1, Z_2, W)$  are jointly Gaussian RVs,

then all inequalities in (15)-(19) hold with equality, and  $(X_1, X_2, W)$  induces a family of joint probability distributions  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W}$  with  $(X_1, X_2)$ -marginal  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2} = G(0, Q_{(X_1, X_2)})$ .

*Proof.* (a) This is constructive, and follows from the realization of RVs that induce Gaussian measures, as presented in [13] and [14]. (b) Inequality (16) is due to a property of conditional entropy, (17) is due to conditioning reduces entropy, (18) is by definition (10), and (19), is due to maximum entropy principle. Then (20) follows by calculation of the entropies. To show the last statement, use (10),  $X_1 = \mathbf{E}[X_1|W] + Z_1$ ,  $X_2 = \mathbf{E}[X_2|W] + Z_2$  and  $(X_1, X_2) \in G(0, Q_{(X_1, X_2)})$  (where  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  are correlated); if (i) and (ii) hold, then all inequalities hold with equalities, and the statements are easily verified.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** It is known from Gray and Wyner [1] that rate triples  $(R_0, R_1, R_2) \in \mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , with  $R_0 = 0$  are also achievable; hence not surprising is the fact that the parametrization in Theorem 1, of  $I(X_1, X_2; W)$ , with respect to  $W$  also includes  $I(X_1, X_2; W) = 0$ , i.e.,  $W$  generates zero information, by replacing  $Q_W^{-1}$  by the pseudoinverse  $Q_W^\dagger$ , or  $W$  is independent of  $(X_1, X_2)$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 does not pre-suppose that  $W$  is a Gaussian RV, and the achievable lower bounds are parametrized by the joint distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W}$ . Hence, it is fundamentally different from Corollary 1 in [10], that deals with minimizing  $I(X_1, X_2; W)$  subject to  $W$  that makes  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  conditionally independent, and makes use of RDFs of Gaussian RVs with square-error distortion functions (strictly speaking one needs to prove Gaussian is optimal).

Next we prove Theorem 2, which gives lower bounds on  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i)$  for arbitrary  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ ,  $(X_1, X_2) \in G(0, Q_{(X_1, X_2)})$ , and square-error distortions, which are achievable if,  $\mathbf{P}_{\widehat{X}_i, X_i, W}$  is jointly Gaussian,  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , is Gaussian, and a certain structural property of a realization holds.

**Theorem 2.** Consider the conditional RDFs  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , for a triple of RVs  $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ , where  $W$  is a continuous, countable, or finite valued RV, with joint distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W}$  such that the marginal distributions  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{X_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  are Gaussian, i.e.,  $(X_1, X_2) \in G(0, Q_{(X_1, X_2)})$ ,  $Q_{(X_1, X_2)} \succ 0$ , and square error distortion functions  $D_{X_i}(x_i, \widehat{x}_i) = \|x_i - \widehat{x}_i\|_{\mathbb{R}^{p_i}}^2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then the following hold.

(a) For arbitrary RV,  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ ,  $I(X_i; \widehat{X}_i|W)$  satisfies

$$I(X_i; \widehat{X}_i|W) \geq I(X_i; \mathbf{E}[X_i|\widehat{X}_i, W]|W), \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (21)$$

and the mean square error satisfies for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}[D_{X_i}(X_i, \widehat{X}_i)] \geq \mathbf{E}[D_{X_i}(X_i, \mathbf{E}[X_i|\widehat{X}_i, W])]. \quad (22)$$

If there exists a realization  $\widehat{X}_i$  of the test channel distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{\widehat{X}_i|X_i, W}$ , such that the joint distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{\widehat{X}_i, X_i, W}$  satisfies,

$$\widehat{X}_i^{\text{cm}} \triangleq \mathbf{E}[X_i|\widehat{X}_i, W] = \mathbf{E}[X_i|\widehat{X}_i] = \widehat{X}_i\text{-a.s.}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (23)$$

then inequalities in (21), (22) hold with equality. Moreover, the following lower bounds hold.

$$I(X_i; \widehat{X}_i|W) \geq \int_{\mathbb{W}} I(X_i; \widehat{X}_i^{\text{cm}}|W = w) \mathbf{P}_W \quad (24)$$

$$\geq \inf_{w \in \mathbb{W}} I(X_i; \widehat{X}_i^{\text{cm}}|W = w) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[D_{X_i}(X_i, \widehat{X}_i)] &= \int_{\mathbb{W}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{p_2}} D_{X_i}(x_i, \widehat{x}_i) \mathbf{P}_{X_i, \widehat{X}_i|W} \right) \mathbf{P}_W \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{W}} \Delta_i(w) \mathbf{P}_W, \quad \Delta_i(w) \triangleq \mathbf{E}[D_{X_i}(X_i, \widehat{X}_i)|W = w] \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \int_{\mathbb{W}} \Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w) \mathbf{P}_W, \quad \Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w) \triangleq \mathbf{E}[D_{X_i}(X_i, \widehat{X}_i^{\text{cm}})|W = w] \\ &\geq \inf_{w \in \mathbb{W}} \Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Inequalities (25), (27) are achieved if, (a.i) (23) hold, and (a.ii) the mutual information  $I(X_i; \widehat{X}_i^{\text{cm}}|W = w)$  and  $\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , are independent of  $w \in \mathbb{W}$ .

(b) The RDF  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i) \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  satisfies,

$$R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i) = \inf_{\{\Delta_i(w)|w \in \mathbb{W}\}: \mathbf{E}[\Delta_i(W)] \leq \Delta_i} \int R_{X_i|W=w}(\Delta_i(w)) \mathbf{P}_W \quad (28)$$

$$\geq \inf_{\{\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w)|w \in \mathbb{W}\}: \mathbf{E}[\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(W)] \leq \Delta_i} \int R_{X_i|W=w}(\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w)) \mathbf{P}_W \quad (29)$$

$$\geq \inf_{\{\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w)|w \in \mathbb{W}\}: \mathbf{E}[\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(W)] \leq \Delta_i} R_{X_i|W=w}(\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w)) \quad (30)$$

where  $R_{X_i|W=w}(\Delta_i(w))$  is the RDF calculated for the distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{X_i|W}$ , for fixed  $W = w$ , and the infimum in (28) is over the sets  $\{\Delta_i(w)|w \in \mathbb{W}\}$  that satisfy the average constraint,  $\int_{\mathbb{W}} \Delta_i(w) \mathbf{P}_W \leq \Delta_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

The lower bound (30), and the lower bounds of part (a) i.e., (25), (27), are achieved if,

(b.i)  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is Gaussian, and

(b.ii) the joint distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{\widehat{X}_i, X_i, W}$  induced by  $(\widehat{X}_i, X_i, W)$  is jointly Gaussian and (23) hold, for  $i = 1, 2$ .

The RDFs  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i) \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are characterized by

$$R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i) = \inf_{\Delta_i^{\text{cm}} \leq \Delta_i} R_{X_i|W=w}(\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}), \quad \Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w) = \Delta_i^{\text{cm}}, \forall w \in \mathbb{W}. \quad (31)$$

(c) A realization that achieves the lower bounds of parts (a) and (b), is the Gaussian realization of  $(X_i, W, \widehat{X}_i)$ , parametrized by  $(H_i, Q_{V_i}, Q_W)$ , given below.

$$\widehat{X}_i = H_i X_i + (I_{p_i} - H_i) Q_{X_i, W} Q_W^{-1} W + V_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$V_i \in G(0, Q_{V_i}), \quad V_i \text{ independent of } (X_i, W)$$

$$H_i Q_{X_i|W} = Q_{X_i|W} - Q_{E_i} \geq 0, \quad H_i Q_{X_i|W} = Q_{X_i|W} H_i^T,$$

$$Q_{V_i} = H_i Q_{X_i|W} - H_i Q_{X_i|W} H_i^T \geq 0, \quad Q_{X_i|W} \geq 0,$$

$$E_i = X_i - \widehat{X}_i, \quad E_i \in G(0, Q_{E_i}), \quad Q_{E_i} \geq 0,$$

i.e., satisfies satisfies the structural property (23), for  $i = 1, 2$ . The characterization of the RDF  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i), i = 1, 2$  is

$$\begin{aligned} R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i) &= \inf_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}_i|X_i,W} : \mathbf{E} \left[ \|X_i - \hat{X}_i\|_{\mathbb{R}^{p_i}}^2 \right] \leq \Delta_i} I(X_i; \hat{X}_i|W) \in [0, \infty) \\ &= \inf_{\text{trace}(Q_{E_i}) \leq \Delta_i} \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\det(Q_{X_i|W})}{\det(Q_{E_i})} \right)^+, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\text{such that } Q_{\hat{X}_i|W} = Q_{X_i|W} - Q_{E_i} \succeq 0, \quad Q_{E_i} \succ 0, \quad (33)$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ , where the test channel distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}_i|X_i,W}$  or the joint distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}_i, X_i, W}$  is induced by the above realization.

*Proof.* (a) Consider any distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{X_i, \hat{X}_i, W}$ , with  $\mathbf{P}_{X_i}$  the Gaussian distribution of  $X_i$ . Inequalities (21) and (22), and the last statement of part (a) are shown in [16], [17] (for general RVs  $X_i$ ). The lower bounds (24) and (26) follow directly from (21) and (22). Inequalities (25) and (27) hold, since  $I(X_i; \hat{X}_i^{\text{cm}}|W = w) \geq 0$  and  $\Delta_i(w) \geq 0$ , for all  $w \in \mathbb{W}$ . Clearly, if (a.i) and (a.ii) hold, then the lower bound (25) and (27) are achieved. (b) Consider the RDF  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i)$ , for an arbitrary joint distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{X_i, W}$  with  $\mathbf{P}_{X_i}$  the Gaussian distribution of  $X_i$ . It is well-known [1] that  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , are convex non-increasing functions in  $\Delta_i \in (0, \infty]$ , and the average distortion constraint occurs on the boundary, for  $\Delta_i \in (0, \Delta_{i, \max}]$ , for some  $\Delta_{i, \max} \in (0, \infty]$ . Identity (28) is known from [1]. By part (a), then  $I(X_i; \hat{X}_i|W = w) \geq I(X_i; \hat{X}_i^{\text{cm}}|W = w)$  and  $\Delta_i(w) \geq \Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w)$  hold for all  $w \in \mathbb{W}$ . By the convex non-increasing property of the RDFs,  $R_{X_i|W=w}(\Delta_i(w)) \geq R_{X_i|W=w}(\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w))$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{W}$  and in addition  $\Delta_i \geq \mathbf{E}[\Delta_i(w)] \geq \mathbf{E}[\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w)]$ . Using these facts, inequality (29) is obtained, because the infimum is over a larger set. Next, inequality (30) follows because  $R_{X_i|W=w}(\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w)) \geq 0$ , for all  $w \in \mathbb{W}$ . Furthermore, if (b.i) and (b.ii) hold then by using the fact that, for a triple of Gaussian RVs  $(X, \hat{X}, W)$ , conditional mean-square errors, and conditional mutual informations do not depend on the realizations of the conditioning RVs, then  $\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w) = \Delta_i^{\text{cm}}$ ,  $R_{X_i|W=w}(\Delta_i^{\text{cm}}(w)) = R_{X_i|W=w}(\Delta_i^{\text{cm}})$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{W}$ , i.e., they do not depend on the realizations  $W = w$ , and the mutual information  $I(X_i; \hat{X}_i^{\text{cm}}|W = w)$  is also independent of  $w \in \mathbb{W}$ . Hence, (31) is shown. (c) The reader may verify that the listed realization of the test channel of  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i)$  ensures all lower bounds of parts (a) and (b) are achievable. The listed realization of the test of  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i)$  is shown, constructively, for jointly Gaussian RVs  $(X_i, W)$  in [16].  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Clearly, the statements of Theorem 2 also hold for the conditional joint RDF  $R_{X_1, X_2|W}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , i.e.,  $X_i$  and  $\hat{X}_i$  are replaced by the vectors  $(X_1, X_2)$  and  $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2)$ .

Next, we apply Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 to prove the first main theorem:  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , as specified by (3), for sources and distortions (6)-(7), is achieved by the infimum over  $\mathcal{P}$  replaced by the subset  $\mathcal{P}^G$ , defined by (8).

**Theorem 3.** Consider a tuple of Gaussian RVs  $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p_i}$ ,  $(X_1, X_2) \in G(0, Q_{(X_1, X_2)})$  such that  $Q_{(X_1, X_2)} \succ 0$ , with induced Gaussian measure  $\mathbf{P}_0 = G(0, Q_{(X_1, X_2)})$  on the space  $(\mathbb{R}^{p_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{p_2}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{p_1}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{p_2}))$ , and  $D_{X_i}(x_i, \hat{x}_i) = \|x_i - \hat{x}_i\|_{\mathbb{R}^{p_i}}^2, i = 1, 2$ .

Let  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \in \mathcal{P}^G$ , be the family of distributions induced by the realization (11)-(14).

The Gray-Wyner achievable rate region  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  is determined by  $T^G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = T(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T^G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &= \inf_{\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \in \mathcal{P}^G} \left\{ I(X_1, X_2; W) + \alpha_1 R_{X_1|W}(\Delta_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_2 R_{X_2|W}(\Delta_2) \right\} \in [0, \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$= \inf_{(X_1, X_2, W) \in G(0, Q_{(X_1, X_2, W)})} \left\{ I(X_1, X_2; W) + \alpha_1 R_{X_1|W}(\Delta_1) + \alpha_2 R_{X_2|W}(\Delta_2) \right\} \quad (35)$$

$$= \inf_{Q_{X_1, X_2|W}, Q_{X_i|W}, i=1,2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\det(Q_{(X_1, X_2)})}{\det(Q_{X_1|X_2, W}) \det(Q_{X_2|W})} \right)^+ + \alpha_1 R_{X_1|W}(\Delta_1) + \alpha_2 R_{X_2|W}(\Delta_2) \right\}, \quad (36)$$

$$Q_{X_1|X_2, W} = Q_{X_1|W} - Q_{X_1, X_2|W} Q_{X_2|W}^{-1} Q_{X_1, X_2|W}^T \succ 0 \quad (37)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, i = 1, 2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \geq 1$ , and  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i), i = 1, 2$  are given in Theorem 2.(c).

*Proof.* By Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 the lower bounds on the quantities  $I(X_1, X_2; W), R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i), i = 1, 2$ , are simultaneously achieved by a jointly Gaussian distributions  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \in \mathcal{P}^G$ , induce by the realization (11)-(14). Consequently, (34) follows from the definition of  $T(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  given by (3), and Theorem 1, Theorem 2, due to  $\alpha_i$  are nonnegative. Hence, the infimum in  $T(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ , is over the parametrized set of the jointly Gaussian RVs  $(X_1, X_2, W) \in G(0, Q_{(X_1, X_2, W)})$  with joint distribution (9), and (35) follows. From the simultaneous achievability of (20) and (32) then (36) follows, where  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i) = (32)$  depends only on  $Q_{X_i|W}$ , and the errors (see Theorem 2.(c)).  $\square$

Now, we prove the second main theorem of the characterization of  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  for (6)-(7), using (1), (2).

**Theorem 4.** Consider the statement of Theorem 3. For each  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \in \mathcal{P}^G$  and  $\Delta_1 \geq 0, \Delta_2 \geq 0$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W}}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) &= \left\{ (R_0, R_1, R_2) \mid R_0 \geq I(X_1, X_2; W), \right. \\ &\quad \left. R_1 \geq R_{X_1|W}(\Delta_1), \quad R_2 \geq R_{X_2|W}(\Delta_2) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i), i = 1, 2$  are given in Theorem 2.(c), and

$$\begin{aligned} I(X_1, X_2; W) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\det(Q_{(X_1, X_2)})}{\det(Q_{X_1|X_2, W}) \det(Q_{X_2|W})} \right)^+ \\ Q_{X_1|X_2, W} &\succ 0, \quad Q_{X_2|W} \succ 0, \quad Q_{X_1|X_2, W} = (37). \end{aligned}$$

The achievable Gray-Wyner lossy rate region is  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = \mathcal{R}^{*, G}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{*, G}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) &= \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \in \mathcal{P}^G} \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W}}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \right)^c \\ &= \left( \bigcup_{Q_{X_1, X_2|W}, Q_{X_i|W}, i=1,2} \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W}}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \right)^c. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This follows similarly to Theorem 3.  $\square$

A subset of  $\mathcal{R}^{*,G}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , which is easier to compute, is obtained by restricting  $\mathcal{P}^G$ , to Gaussian distributions such that  $W$  makes  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  conditional independent, defined by

$$\mathcal{P}^{\text{CIG}} \triangleq \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W} \in \mathcal{P}^G \mid \mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2|W} = \mathbf{P}_{X_1|W} \mathbf{P}_{X_2|W} \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^G.$$

Corollary 1 is a special case of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, by restricting  $\mathcal{P}^G$  to  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{CIG}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^G$ . This restriction over bounds  $T^G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  of Theorem 3, and determines a subset of the rate region that intersects  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Consider the statement of Theorem 3. There exists a Gaussian measure  $\mathbf{P}_1 = G(0, Q_{(X_1, X_2, W)})$  as defined in Theorem 1.(a), which additionally satisfies  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2|W} = \mathbf{P}_{X_1|W} \mathbf{P}_{X_2|W}$ , and is induced by realizations*

$$X_i = Q_{X_i, W} Q_W^{-1} W + Z_i, \quad Z_i \in G(0, Q_{Z_i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (38)$$

$$(Z_1, Z_2, W) \text{ mutually independent}, \quad (39)$$

$$Q_{Z_i} = Q_{X_i} - Q_{X_i, W} Q_W^{-1} Q_{X_i, W}^T > 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (40)$$

An upper bound on  $T^G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  of Theorem 3 for the same  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  is obtain by replacing the set  $\mathcal{P}^G$  by  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{CIG}}$  in (34)

$$T^G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \leq T^{\text{CIG}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \inf_{Q_{X_1|W}, Q_{X_2|W}} \left\{ \alpha_1 R_{X_1|W}(\Delta_1) + \alpha_2 R_{X_2|W}(\Delta_2) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\det(Q_{(X_1, X_2)})}{\det(Q_{X_1|W}) \det(Q_{X_2|W})} \right)^+ \right\}, \quad (41)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \geq 1$ , and  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are given in Theorem 2.(c).

A subset  $\mathcal{R}^{*,\text{CIG}}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{*,G}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  of Theorem 4 is

$$\mathcal{R}^{*,\text{CIG}}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \triangleq \left( \bigcup_{Q_{X_i|W}, i=1,2} \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, W}}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \right)^c. \quad (42)$$

*Proof.* The first part follows from the derivation of Theorem 1, by restricting the joint probability distributions of the triple  $(X_1, X_2, W)$  to satisfy conditional independence  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2|W} = \mathbf{P}_{X_1|W} \mathbf{P}_{X_2|W}$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{CIG}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^G$ , then the inequality (41) holds, and also  $Q_{X_1, X_2|W} = 0$ , in Theorem 3. Clearly,  $T^{\text{CIG}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  determines an over bound and a non-empty set on  $\mathcal{R}^{*,G}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , since  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{CIG}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^G$ . Finally, we obtain (42), as a special case of Theorem 4.  $\square$

### III. PANGLOSS PLANE OF THE GRAY-WYNER NETWORK

In this section we characterize the Pangloss Plane of the Gray-Wyner network, for arbitrary sources and distortions. Our contribution is the presentation of a proof that uses the Gray and Wyner characterization [1] of  $(R_0, R_1, R_2) \in \mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , which is much shorter than [3, eqns(21)].

**Theorem 5.** *Consider an arbitrary tuple of sources and distortions. The set of rate triples  $(R_0, R_1, R_2) \in \mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  which lie on the Pangloss plane,  $R_0 + R_1 + R_2 = R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , are characterized by,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i) + I(X_1, X_2; W) = \sum_{i=0}^2 R_i = R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \quad (43)$$

over a strictly positive surface of the distortion region, denoted by<sup>2</sup>  $\mathcal{D}_{X_1, X_2|W}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \subseteq [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ , such that the joint

<sup>2</sup>see Gray [2] for definition.

distribution  $\mathbf{P}_{W, X_1, X_2, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2}$  satisfies the following conditions,

$$\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2|W} = \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}_1|W} \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}_2|W}, \quad \mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2|\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, W} = \mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2|\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2} \quad (44)$$

and the marginals  $\mathbf{P}_{X_1, X_2, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{X_i, \hat{X}_i, W}$  are generated by the test channels of  $R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  and  $R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i)$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Recall Gray's lower bounds [2],

$$R_{X_1|W}(\Delta_1) + R_{X_2|W}(\Delta_2) \geq R_{X_1, X_2|W}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2), \quad (45)$$

$$R_{X_1, X_2|W}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \geq R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) - I(X_1, X_2; W) \quad (46)$$

It is easy to show (see for example [18, Theorem 1]) that (45) holds with equality if the left hand side of (44) holds and (46) holds with equality if the right hand side of (44) holds for a certain distortion region. Take a triple  $(R_0, R_1, R_2) \in \mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^3 R_i = R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^3 R_i = R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) &\leq R_{X_1, X_2|W}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) + I(X_1, X_2; W) \quad (47) \\ &\leq R_{X_1|W}(\Delta_1) + R_{X_2|W}(\Delta_2) + I(X_1, X_2; W) \quad (48) \end{aligned}$$

where (47) is due to (46) and holds with equality on a strictly positive surface if the second condition in (44) holds, and (48) is due to inequality (45) and holds with equality if the first condition in (44) holds. The reverse inequality to (48) is obtained as follows. For any  $(R_0, R_1, R_2) \in \mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , by (1), follows  $R_0 \geq I(X_1, X_2; W)$ ,  $R_i \geq R_{X_i|W}(\Delta_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and hence,

$$R_{X_1, X_2}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = \sum_{i=0}^2 R_i \geq I(X_1, X_2; W) + R_{X_1|W}(\Delta_1) + R_{X_2|W}(\Delta_2).$$

Hence, if (44) holds the upper and lower bounds coincide, and (43) is obtained. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Characterized in this paper, is the Gray and Wyner [1] achievable lossy rate region  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  of a tuple of jointly Gaussian RVs,  $X_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p_1}, X_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p_2}$  with square-error fidelity at the two decoders. The achievable rate region is parametrized by the 3 conditional covariances,  $Q_{X_1, X_2|W}, Q_{X_1|W}, Q_{X_2|W}$  of a triple of Gaussian RVs  $(X_1, X_2, W)$ , where  $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Gaussian RV. However, an over bound on  $\mathcal{R}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$  is obtained by the simpler parametrization with respect to  $Q_{X_1|W}, Q_{X_2|W}$ , which specifies a subset of the rate region. Versions of these results are found in [13]. The characterizations of this paper settled a long term open problem, regarding the Gray and Wyner rate region.

### REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. Gray and A. Wyner, "Source coding for a simple network," *Bell System Techn. J.*, vol. 53, pp. 1681–1721, 1974.
- [2] R. M. Gray, "A new class of lower bounds to information rates of stationary via conditional rate-distortion functions," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 19, pp. 480–489, 1973.
- [3] K. B. Viswanatha, E. Akyol, and K. Rose, "The lossy common information of correlated sources," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 60, pp. 3238–3253, 2014.
- [4] G. Xu, W. Liu, and B. Chen, "A lossy source coding interpretation of Wyner's common information," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 62, pp. 754–768, 2016.
- [5] J.-J. Xiao and Z.-Q. Luo, *Compression of correlated Gaussian sources under individual distortion criteria*. University of Illinois, 2005, pp. 438–447.

- [6] A. Wyner, "The common information of two dependent random variables," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 21, pp. 163–179, 1975.
- [7] H. Witsenhausen, "Values and bounds for common information of two discrete variables," *SIAM J. Applied Mathematics*, vol. 31, pp. 313–333, 1976.
- [8] —, "On sequences of pairs of dependent random variables," *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 28, pp. 100–113, 1975.
- [9] P. Gacs and J. Körner, "Common information is much less than mutual information," in *Problems of Control and Information Theory*, vol. 2, pp. 149–162, 1973.
- [10] S. Satpathy and P. Cuff, "Source coding and wyner's common information," in *Proc. IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT.2015)*, July 14–19 2015, pp. 116–120.
- [11] G. J. Op't Veld and M. C. Gastpar, "Total correlation of Gaussian vector sources on the Gray-Wyner network," in *Proc. Forty-fourth Annual Allerton Conference*. Urbana Champaign, IL: University of Illinois, 2016, pp. 385–392.
- [12] E. Sula and M. Gastpar, "Relaxed Wyner's common information," ArXiv, Tech. Rep. arXiv:1912.07083, 15 December 2019, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.07083>.
- [13] C. D. Charalambous and J. H. van Schuppen, "A new approach to lossy network compression of a tuple of correlated multivariate Gaussian rvs," ArXiv, Tech. Rep. arXiv:1905.12695, 29 May 2019, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.12695>.
- [14] —, "Characterization of conditional independence and weak realizations of multivariate Gaussian random variables: Applications to networks," in *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 2444–2449.
- [15] E. Stylianou, C. D. Charalambous, and T. Charalambous, "Joint rate distortion function of a tuple of correlated multivariate Gaussian sources with individual fidelity criteria," in *Proc. 2021 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT.2021)*. IEEE Press, 2021, pp. 2167–2172.
- [16] M. Gkangos and C. D. Charalambous, "Structural properties of test channels of the RDF for gaussian multivariate distributed sources," in *Proc. 2021 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT.2021)*. IEEE Press, 2021, pp. pp. 2631–2636.
- [17] M. Gkagkos and C. D. Charalambous, "Structural properties of optimal test channels for distributed source coding with decoder side information for multivariate Gaussian sources with square-error fidelity," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.10941*, November 2020.
- [18] B. M. Leiner, "An alternative proof of the composite lower bound," *Information and Control*, vol. 33, pp. 72–86, 1977.