

The derived Brauer map via twisted sheaves

Guglielmo Nocera^{*} and Michele Pernice[†]

May 17, 2022

Abstract

Let X be a quasicompact quasiseparated scheme. The collection of derived Azumaya algebras in the sense of [Toë10] forms a group $\mathrm{dBr}_{\mathrm{Az}}(X)$, admitting a surjective map $\mathrm{dBr}_{\mathrm{Az}}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ (which we call *derived Brauer map*) with a section. Let $\mathrm{dBr}(X)$ be the image of this section. There is therefore an isomorphism $\mathrm{dBr}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Using the theory of prestable ∞ -categories, Jacob Lurie [Lur18, Remark 11.5.7.15] was able to lift this isomorphism of abelian groups to an equivalence of ∞ -categories between the *Brauer space* of invertible presentable prestable \mathcal{O}_X -linear categories and the space $\mathrm{Map}(X, \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{G}_m, 2))$. We offer an alternative proof of this equivalence of ∞ -categories, characterizing the functor from the left to the right via gerbes of positive trivializations, and its inverse via connective twisted sheaves. We also emphasize that this equivalence carries a symmetric monoidal structure.

Contents

1	Introduction and reminders	2
1.1	Context and aim of the work	2
1.2	Reminders on gerbes and twisted sheaves	4
1.3	Reminders on stable and prestable linear categories	7

^{*}Scuola Normale Superiore, Pza. dei Cavalieri 7, Pisa | UFR Mathématiques Strasbourg, 7 Rue Descartes. email: guglielmo.nocera-at-sns.it.

[†]Scuola Normale Superiore, Pza. dei Cavalieri 7, Pisa. email: michele.pernice-at-sns.it

2	Study of the derived Brauer map	10
2.1	Symmetric monoidal structures	10
2.2	Derived categories of twisted sheaves	12
2.3	Gerbes of positive trivializations	17
2.4	Proof of the equivalence	20

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Mauro Porta who suggested the topic to us and provided many enlightening comments. Our thanks also go to Federico Barbacovi, Federico Binda, Jacob Lurie, David Rydh and Angelo Vistoli for fruitful discussions carried out with them on this matter. During the months of April and May 2022, Guglielmo Nocera was hosted at MIT by Roman Bezrukavnikov.

I Introduction and reminders

I.1 Context and aim of the work

Throughout the whole work, X will be a quasicompact quasiseparated scheme over some field k of arbitrary characteristic.

In 1966, Grothendieck [Gro66] introduced the notion of *Azumaya algebra* over X : this is an étale sheaf of algebras which is locally of the form $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E})$, the sheaf of endomorphisms of a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over X . This is indeed a notion of “local triviality” in the sense of *Morita theory*: two sheaves of algebras A, A' are said to be *Morita equivalent* if the categories

$$\mathrm{LMod}_A = \{\mathcal{F} \text{ quasicohherent sheaf over } X \text{ together with a left action of } A\}$$

and its counterpart $\mathrm{LMod}_{A'}$ are (abstractly) equivalent; one can prove that $\mathrm{LMod}_{\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E})}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ via the functor $M \mapsto \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E})} M$.

The classical *Brauer group* $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{Az}}(X)$ of X is the set of Azumaya algebras up to Morita equivalence, with the operation of tensor product of sheaves of algebras. Grothendieck showed that this group injects into $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ by using cohomological arguments: essentially, he used the fact that a vector bundle corresponds to a GL_n -torsor for some n , and that there exists a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_n \rightarrow 1.$$

The image of $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{Az}}(X)$ inside $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is contained in the torsion subgroup, which is often called the *cohomological Brauer group* of X .¹

One of the developments of Grothendieck's approach to the study of the Brauer group is due to Bertrand Toën and its use of derived algebraic geometry in [Toë10]. There, he introduced the notion of *derived Azumaya algebra* as a natural generalization of the usual notion of Azumaya algebra. Derived Azumaya algebras over X form a dg-category Deraz_X . There is a functor $\mathrm{Deraz}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}g^c(X)$, this latter being (in Toën's notation) the dg-category of presentable stable \mathcal{O}_X -linear dg-categories² which are compactly generated, with, as morphisms, functors preserving all colimits. The functor is defined by

$$A \mapsto \mathrm{LMod}_A = \{\text{quasicoherent sheaves on } X \text{ with a left action of } A\}$$

where all terms have now to be understood in a derived sense. One can prove that this functor sends the tensor product of sheaves of algebras to the tensor product of presentable \mathcal{O}_X -linear dg-categories, whose unit is $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$. Building on classical Morita theory, Toën defined two derived Azumaya algebras to be Morita equivalent if the dg-categories of left modules are (abstractly) equivalent. This agrees with the fact mentioned above that $\mathrm{LMod}_{\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ for any $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{Vect}(X)$.

In [Toë10, Proposition 1.5], Toën characterized the objects in the essential image of the functor $A \mapsto \mathrm{LMod}_A$ as the compactly generated presentable \mathcal{O}_X -linear dg-categories which are *invertible* with respect to the tensor product, i.e. those M for which there exists another presentable compactly generated \mathcal{O}_X -linear dg-category M^\vee and equivalences $\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes M^\vee$ and $M^\vee \otimes M \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}$. The proof of this characterization goes roughly as follows: given a compactly generated invertible dg-category M , one can always suppose that M is generated by some single compact generator \mathcal{E}_M . Now, compactly generated presentable \mathcal{O}_X -linear dg-categories satisfy an important descent property [Toë10, Theorem 3.7]; from this and from [Toë10, Proposition 3.6] one can deduce that the $\mathrm{End}_M(\mathcal{E}_M)$ has a natural structure of a quasicoherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras A , and one can prove that $M \simeq \mathrm{LMod}_A$.

Both Antieau-Gepner [AG14] and Lurie [Lur18, Chapter 11] resumed Toën's work, using the language of ∞ -categories in replacement of that of dg-categories. Lurie also generalized the notion of Azumaya algebra and Brauer group to spectral algebraic spaces, see [Lur18, Section 11.5.3]. He considers the ∞ -groupoid of compactly generated presentable \mathcal{O}_X -linear ∞ -categories which are invertible with respect to the Lurie tensor product \otimes , and calls it the *extended Brauer space* Br_X^\dagger . This terminology is motivated by the fact that the set $\pi_0 \mathrm{Br}_X^\dagger$ has a natural abelian group structure, and by a result of Toën is isomorphic to $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \times H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$: in particular, it contains the usual cohomological Brauer group of X . At the categorical level, Lurie proves that there is an equivalence of ∞ -groupoids between $\mathrm{Br}^\dagger(X)$ and

¹Other authors, however, use this name for the whole $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

²See Section 1.3 for a definition of \mathcal{O}_X -linear category in the ∞ -categorical setting.

$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}t_k}(X, \mathbb{B}^2\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z})$ ($\mathcal{S}t_k$ is the ∞ -category of stacks over the base field k). In particular, $\mathcal{B}r^\dagger(X)$ is categorically equivalent to a 2-groupoid.

We can summarize the situation in the following diagram:

$$\mathrm{Deraz}_X[\mathrm{Morita}^{-1}]^{\simeq} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}r^\dagger(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}t_k}(X, \mathbb{B}^2\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}) \quad (1.1)$$

where the left term is the maximal ∞ -groupoid in the localization of the ∞ -category of derived Azumaya algebras to Morita equivalences. At the level of dg-categories, this chain of equivalences is proven in [Toë10, Corollary 3.8]. At the level of ∞ -categories, this is the combination of [Lur18, Proposition 11.5.3.10] and [Lur18, 11.5.5.4]

Note that, while in the classical case we had an injection $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{Az}}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$, in the derived setting one has a surjection $\mathrm{dBr}_{\mathrm{Az}}^\dagger(X) := \pi_0(\mathrm{Deraz}_X[\mathrm{Morita}^{-1}]^{\simeq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. If $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ (e.g. when X is a normal scheme), then the surjection becomes an isomorphism of abelian groups.

While the first equivalence in (1.1) is completely explicit in the works of Toën and Lurie, the second one leaves a couple of questions open:

- since the space $\mathrm{Map}(X, \mathbb{B}^2\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z})$ is the space of pairs (G, P) , where G is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe over X and P is a \mathbb{Z} -torsor over X , it is natural to ask what are the gerbe and the torsor naturally associated to an element of $\mathcal{B}r^\dagger(X)$ according to the above equivalence. This is not explicit in the proofs of Toën and Lurie, which never mention the words “gerbe” and “torsor”, but rather computes the homotopy group sheaves of a sheaf of spaces $\underline{\mathcal{B}r}_X^\dagger$ over X whose global sections are $\mathcal{B}r^\dagger(X)$.
- conversely: given a pair (G, P) , what is the ∞ -category associated to it along the above equivalence?

The goal of the present paper is to give a partial answer to the two questions above. The reason for the word “partial” is that we will neglect the part of the discussion regarding torsors, postponing it to a forthcoming work, and focus only on the relationship between linear ∞ -categories/derived Azumaya algebras and \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes. We will state our precise result (Theorem 1.19) after some necessary reminders.

1.2 Reminders on gerbes and twisted sheaves

Construction 1.1. Let X be a qcqs scheme. For us, a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe will be what is defined for example in [Ols16, Definition 12.2.2] (take $\mu = \mathbb{G}_m \times X$ there). Let G be a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe over X . The derived ∞ -category of quasicohereant sheaves on G is denoted by $\mathrm{QCoh}(G)$ and it is a presentable stable compactly generated \mathcal{O}_X -linear category. Inside this category, we will recall the definition of G -twisted sheaves on X . This notion dates back to Giraud [Gir71] and later to Max Lieblich’s thesis [Lio08], and has been developed in the derived setting by Bergh and Schnürer [BS19] (using the language of triangulated categories) and Binda and Porta [BP21] (using the language of stable ∞ -categories).

Let now $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(G)$. Let \mathcal{J}_G be the inertia group stack of G over X . Thus \mathcal{F} is endowed with a canonical right action by \mathcal{J}_G , called the *inertial action*. For an explicit definition, see [BS19, Section 3].

Proposition 1.2. *Let G be a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe over a qcqs scheme X . The pullback functor $\mathrm{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(G)$ establishes an equivalence between $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ and the full subcategory of $\mathrm{QCoh}(G)$ spanned by those sheaves on which the inertial action is trivial.*

Note that the banding $\mathbb{G}_m \times G \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_G$ induces a right action ρ of \mathbb{G}_m on any sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(G)$, by composing the banding with the inertial action. On the other hand, for any character $\chi : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, \mathbb{G}_m acts on \mathcal{F} on the left by scalar multiplication precomposed with χ . Let us call this latter action σ_χ .

Remark 1.3. Let 1 be the trivial character of \mathbb{G}_m . Proposition 1.2 can be restated as: the pullback functor $\mathrm{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(G)$ induces an equivalence between $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ and the full subcategory of $\mathrm{QCoh}(G)$ where $\rho = \sigma_1$.

Let G be a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe over a qcqs scheme X , and χ a character of \mathbb{G}_m . We define the category of χ -homogeneous sheaves over G , informally, as the full subcategory $\mathrm{QCoh}_\chi(G)$ of $\mathrm{QCoh}(G)$ spanned by those sheaves on which $\rho = \sigma_\chi$.

Remark 1.4. The above definition is a little imprecise, in that it does not specify the equivalences $\rho(\gamma, \mathcal{F}) \simeq \sigma_\chi(\gamma, \mathcal{F})$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{G}_m$, $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(G)$. A formal definition is given in [BP21, Definition 5.14]. There, the Authors define an idempotent functor $(-)_\chi : \mathrm{QCoh}(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(G)$, taking the “ χ -homogeneous component”. This functor is t-exact ([BP21, Proof of Lemma 5.17], and comes with canonical maps $i_{\chi, \mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F}_\chi \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. The category $\mathrm{QCoh}_\chi(G)$ is defined as the full subcategory of $\mathrm{QCoh}(G)$ spanned by those \mathcal{F} such that $i_\chi(\mathcal{F})$ is an equivalence. To fix the notations, let us make these constructions explicit. If X is a scheme and G a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \xrightarrow{u} & G \times \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{act}_\alpha} & G \\ & \searrow p & \downarrow q & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m & & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

where p, q are the projections, u is the atlas of the trivial gerbe and act_α is the morphism induced by the bending α of G . See [BP21, Section 5] for more specific description of these maps. Given $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(G)$ and χ a character of \mathbb{G}_m , the Authors define

$$(\mathcal{F})_\chi := u^*(q^*q_*(\mathrm{act}_\alpha^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi)$$

and the morphism $i_\chi(\mathcal{F}) : (\mathcal{F})_\chi \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ to be the pullback through u of the counit of the adjunction $q^* \dashv q_*$. We will denote by L_χ the line bundle over $\mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ associated to the character χ , while \mathcal{L}_χ is the pullback of L_χ to the trivial gerbe $G \times \mathbb{G}_m$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_\chi := p^*L_\chi$.

[BP21] also prove that there is a decomposition

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(G) \simeq \prod_{\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m)} \mathrm{QCoh}_\chi(G)$$

building on the fact that $\mathcal{F} \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m)} \mathcal{F}_\chi$. The same result was previously obtained by Lieblich in the setting of abelian categories and by Bergh-Schnürer in the setting of triangulated categories.

Definition 1.5. In the case when χ is the identity character $\mathrm{id} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, $\mathrm{QCoh}_\chi(G)$ is usually called the *category of G -twisted sheaves on X* .

The relationship between categories of twisted sheaves and Azumaya algebras has been intensively studied, see [DJ04], [DJ], [Lico8], [HR17], [BS19], [BP21]. Given a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe G over X , its category of twisted sheaves $\mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(G)$ admits a compact generator, whose algebra of endomorphisms is a derived Azumaya algebra A_G . In contrast, if we restrict ourselves to the setting of abelian categories and consider *abelian* categories of twisted sheaves, this reconstruction mechanism does not work anymore. This is one of the reasons of the success of Toën’s derived approach.

The construction of the category of twisted sheaves gives rise to a functor

$$\mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X)$$

$$G \mapsto \mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(G)$$

taking values in the 2-groupoid $\mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}^\dagger(X)$ of compactly generated invertible categories (see Theorem 2.6). To be precise, this was already known, see for instance [HR17, Example 9.3]. This functor corresponds to a section of the map $\mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}^\dagger(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Map}(X, \mathcal{B}^2\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(X, \mathcal{B}^2\mathbb{G}_m)$. This section is not fully faithful nor essentially surjective. However, one can observe that $\mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(G)$ is not just an ∞ -category, but also carries a t -structure which is compatible with filtered colimits, since as recalled in Remark 1.4 the functor $(-)_{\mathrm{id}}$ is t -exact. This additional datum allows to “correct” the fact that $\mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(-)$ is not an equivalence. Indeed, we can change the target from $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X)$ to the ∞ -category of stable \mathcal{O}_X -linear presentable categories *with a t -structure* compatible with filtered colimits. Under the association $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}) \mapsto \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$, this ∞ -category is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X)$ of so-called *Grothendieck prestable* \mathcal{O}_X -linear ∞ -categories (see Definition 1.11). Therefore, we have a functor

$$\Psi : \mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X) \tag{1.2}$$

$$G \mapsto \mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(G)_{\geq 0}.$$

1.3 Reminders on stable and prestable linear categories

Definition 1.6. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category. We will say that \mathcal{C} is *presttable* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- The ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is pointed and admits finite colimits.
- The suspension functor $\Sigma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is fully faithful.
- For every morphism $f : Y \rightarrow \Sigma Z$ in \mathcal{C} , there exists a pullback and pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma Z. \end{array}$$

Proposition 1.7 ([Lur18, Proposition C.1.2.9]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable ∞ -category. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- \mathcal{C} is presttable and has finite limits.
- There exists a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{D} equipped with a t -structure $(\mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}_{\leq 0})$ and an equivalence $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}$.

Proposition 1.8 ([Lur18, Proposition C.1.4.1]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable ∞ -category. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- \mathcal{C} is presttable and filtered colimits in \mathcal{C} are left exact.
- There exists a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{D} equipped with a t -structure $(\mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}_{\leq 0})$ compatible with filtered colimits, and an equivalence $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}$.

Definition 1.9 ([Lur18, Definition C.1.4.2]). Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable ∞ -category. We will say that \mathcal{C} is *Grothendieck* if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of Proposition 1.8. Following [Lur18, Definition C.3.0.5], we denote the ∞ -category of Grothendieck presentable ∞ -categories (and colimit-preserving functors between them) by $\mathcal{G}roth_{\infty}$. We also denote the category of presentable stable ∞ -categories (and colimit-preserving functors between them) by $\mathcal{P}r_{St}^L$.

Remark 1.10. By [Lur17] and [Lur18, Theorem C.4.2.1], both $\mathcal{P}r_{St}^L$ and $\mathcal{G}roth_{\infty}$ inherit a symmetric monoidal structure from $\mathcal{P}r^L$ which we denote again by \otimes .

Definition 1.11. Let X be a qcqs scheme. An \mathcal{O}_X -linear presttable ∞ -category is an object of

$$\mathcal{L}inCat^{PSt}(X) := \text{Mod}_{\text{QCoh}(X)_{\geq 0}}(\mathcal{G}roth_{\infty}^{\otimes}).$$

A stable presentable \mathcal{O}_X -linear ∞ -category is an object of

$$\mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X) := \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X)}(\mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{L},\otimes}).$$

Remark 1.12. There exists a stabilization functor $\mathrm{st}_X : \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X)$, induced by the usual stabilization procedure.

Remark 1.13. The category $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X)$ has a tensor product $- \otimes_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X)_{\geq 0}} -$ (which we will abbreviate by \otimes) induced by the Lurie tensor product of presentable ∞ -categories. See [Lur18, Theorem C.4.2.1] and [Lur18, Section 10.1.6] for more details. The same is true for $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X)$. The stabilization functor $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X)$ is symmetric monoidal with respect to these structures.

$\mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X)$ satisfy a very important “descent” property, which is what Gaitsgory [Gai15] calls \mathfrak{t} -affineness.

Construction 1.14. The functors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{CAlg}_k &\rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty} \\ R &\mapsto \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(\mathrm{Spec} R) \\ R &\mapsto \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(\mathrm{Spec} R) \end{aligned}$$

can be right Kan extended to functors

$$\mathcal{Q}\mathrm{Stk}^{\mathrm{St}}, \mathcal{Q}\mathrm{Stk}^{\mathrm{PSt}} : \mathrm{Sch}_k^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}.$$

This gives a meaning to the expressions $\mathcal{Q}\mathrm{Stk}^{\mathrm{St}}(X)$, $\mathcal{Q}\mathrm{Stk}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X)$, which can be thought of as “the category of sheaves of QCoh -linear (resp. $\mathrm{QCoh}_{\geq 0}$ -linear) (pre)stable categories on X ”. For any $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_k$, there are well-defined “global sections functors”

$$\mathcal{Q}\mathrm{Stk}^{\mathrm{St}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X), \mathcal{Q}\mathrm{Stk}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X)$$

constructed in [Lur18, discussion before Theorem 10.2.0.1].

Theorem 1.15. *Let X be a qcqs scheme over k . Then the global sections functors*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}\mathrm{Stk}^{\mathrm{St}}(X) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X) \\ \mathcal{Q}\mathrm{Stk}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X) \end{aligned}$$

are symmetric monoidal equivalences.

Proof. For the stable part, this is [Lur11, Proposition 6.5]. For the prestable part, this is the combination of [Lur18, Theorem 10.2.0.2] and [Lur18, Theorem D.5.3.1]. Symmetric monoidality follows from the fact that the inverse of the global sections functor (the “localization functor”, see [BP21, Section 2.3]) is strong monoidal. \square

This theorem means that, if X is a qcqs scheme over k , every (Grothendieck pre)stable presentable \mathcal{O}_X -linear ∞ -category \mathcal{C} has an associated sheaf of ∞ -categories on X having \mathcal{C} as category of global sections. We will make substantial use of this fact in the present work. The main reason why we will always assume our base scheme X to be qcqs is because it makes this theorem hold.

Definition 1.16. We denote by $\mathcal{B}r(X)$ the maximal ∞ -groupoid contained in $\mathcal{Q}Stk^{\text{PSt}}(X)$ and generated by $\otimes_{\text{QCoh}(X)_{\geq 0}}$ -invertible objects which are compactly generated categories, and equivalences between them. We denote by $\mathcal{B}r^\dagger(X)$ the maximal ∞ -groupoid contained in $\mathcal{Q}Stk^{\text{St}}(X)$ generated by $\otimes_{\text{QCoh}(X)}$ -invertible objects which are compactly generated categories, and equivalences between them.

We call $\text{dBr}(X) := \pi_0 \mathcal{B}r(X)$ the *derived Brauer group* of X and $\text{dBr}^\dagger(X) := \pi_0(\mathcal{B}r^\dagger(X))$ the *extended derived Brauer group* of X .

By [Lur18, Theorem 10.3.2.1], to be compactly generated is a property which satisfies descent. The same is true for invertibility, since the global sections functor is a symmetric monoidal equivalence by Theorem 1.15. Therefore, $\mathcal{B}r^\dagger(X)$ is equivalent to the ∞ -category of invertible and compactly generated categories in $\mathcal{L}inCat^{\text{St}}$, and an analogous statement is true for $\mathcal{B}r(X)$.

Remark 1.17. The stabilization functor mentioned in Remark 1.12 restricts to a functor $\mathcal{B}r(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}r^\dagger(X)$, whose homotopy fiber at any object $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{B}r^\dagger(X)$ is discrete and can be identified with the collection of all t-structures $(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0})$ on \mathcal{C} satisfying the following conditions:

- The t-structure $(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0})$ is right complete and compatible with filtered colimits.
- The Grothendieck prestable ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ is an \otimes -invertible object of $\mathcal{L}inCat^{\text{PSt}}(X)$.
- The Grothendieck prestable ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and its \otimes -inverse are compactly generated.

See [Lur18, Remark 11.5.7.3] for further comments.

Consider now the functor Ψ introduced in Eq. (1.2). In analogy to the stable setting, we will prove that it factors through $\mathcal{B}r(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}inCat^{\text{PSt}}(X)$ (see Theorem 2.6).

The inverse will be described as follows. By Theorem 1.15, if X is a quasicompact quasiseparated scheme, and M a prestable presentable ∞ -category equipped with an action of $\text{QCoh}(X)_{\geq 0}$, then M is the category of global sections over X of a unique sheaf of prestable $\text{QCoh}(X)_{\geq 0}$ -linear categories \mathcal{M} .

Definition 1.18. Let X be a quasicompact quasiseparated scheme, and M be an element of $\mathcal{B}r(X)$. Then we define the $\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M)$ as the sheaf of categories

$$S \mapsto \mathcal{E}quiv(\mathrm{QCoh}(S)_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{M}(S)).$$

Finally, observe that both $\mathcal{B}r(X)$ and $\mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)$ have symmetric monoidal structures: on the first one, we have the tensor product \otimes inherited by $\mathcal{L}in\mathrm{Cat}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X)$ (Remark 1.13), and on the second one we have the rigidified product \star of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes. Although the two structures are well-known to experts, we will recall them in Section 2.1.

We are now ready to formulate our main theorem.

Theorem 1.19. *Let X be a qcqs scheme over a field k . Then there is a symmetric monoidal equivalence of 2-groupoids*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{B}r(X)^{\otimes} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)^{\star} : \Psi$$

where

- $\Phi(M) = \mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M)$
- $\Psi(G) = \mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(G)_{\geq 0}$.

Remark 1.20. By taking the π_0 , one obtains an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{dBr}(X) \simeq \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

This isomorphism appears also in [Lur18, Example 11.5.7.15], but as mentioned before, it is a consequence of the equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$\mathcal{B}r(X) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(X, \mathrm{B}^2\mathbb{G}_m)$$

whose proof however does never refer to the notion of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe.

2 Study of the derived Brauer map

2.1 Symmetric monoidal structures

We begin by describing the symmetric monoidal structure on the category of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes.

Let X be a scheme and G_1 and G_2 be two \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes on X . One can construct the product $G_1 \star G_2$, which is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe such that its class in cohomology is the product of the classes of G_1 and G_2 (see [BP21,

Conjecture 5.23]). Clearly, this is not enough to define a symmetric monoidal structure on the category of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes. The idea is to prove that this \star product has a universal property in the ∞ -categorical setting, which allows us to define the symmetric monoidal structure on the category of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes using the theory of simplicial colored operads and ∞ -operads (see Chapter 2 of [Lur17]).

Construction 2.1. Let $\text{AbGer}(X)$ be the $(2, 1)$ -category of abelian gerbes over X and $\text{AbGr}(X)$ the $(1, 1)$ -category of sheaves of abelian groups over X . We have the so-called banding functor

$$\text{Band} : \text{AbGer}(X) \longrightarrow \text{AbGr}(X),$$

see for example Chapter 3 of [BS19]. It is easy to prove that Band is symmetric monoidal with respect to the two Cartesian symmetric monoidal structures of the source and target, that is it extends to a symmetric monoidal functor $\text{Band} : \text{AbGer}(X)^\times \rightarrow \text{AbGr}(X)^\times$ of colored simplicial operads (and therefore also of ∞ -operads).

Recall that, given a morphism of sheaf of groups $\phi : \mu \rightarrow \mu'$ and a μ -gerbe G , we can construct a μ' -gerbe, denoted by ϕ_*G , and a morphism $\rho_\phi : G \rightarrow \phi_*G$ whose image through the banding functor is exactly ϕ . This pushforward construction is essentially unique and verifies weak functoriality. This follows from the following result: if G is a gerbe banded by μ , then the induced banding functor

$$\text{Band}_{G/} : \text{AbGer}(X)_{G/} \longrightarrow \text{AbGr}(X)_{\mu/}$$

is an equivalence and the pushforward construction is an inverse (see [BS19, Proposition 3.9]). This also implies that Band is a coCartesian fibration.

Let Fin_* be the Segal category of pointed finite set. Consider now the morphism $\text{Fin}_* \rightarrow \text{AbGr}(X)^\times$ induced by the algebra object $\mathbb{G}_{m,X}$ in $\text{AbGr}(X)^\times$. We can consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \text{AbGer}(X)^\times \\ \downarrow B & & \downarrow \text{Band} \\ \text{Fin}_* & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{G}_{m,X}} & \text{AbGr}(X)^\times; \end{array}$$

thus B is a coCartesian fibration. This implies that \mathcal{G} is a symmetric monoidal structure over the fiber category $\mathcal{G}_{<1>} := B^{-1}(< 1 >)$ which is exactly the category of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes. The operadic nerve of \mathcal{G} will be the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes (see [Lur17, Proposition 2.1.1.27]).

We claim that this symmetric monoidal structure coincides with the \star product of gerbes defined in [BS19]. In fact, following the rigidification procedure in [Ols16, Exercise 12.F] and [AOVo8, Appendix A], we can define the \star product as the pushforward of the multiplication map $m : \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, i.e. if G_1 and G_2 are two \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes over X , then

$$G_1 \star G_2 := m_*(G_1 \times_X G_2).$$

Remark 2.2. Let us describe \mathcal{G} as a simplicial colored operad. The objects (or colors) of \mathcal{G} are \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes over X . Let $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a sequence of objects indexed by a finite set I and \mathcal{H} another object; we denote by $\prod_{i \in I} G_i$ the fiber product of G_i over X . The simplicial set of multilinear maps $\text{Mul}(\{G_i\}_{i \in I}, \mathcal{H})$ is the full subcategory of the 1-groupoid of morphisms $\text{Map}_X(\prod_{i \in I} G_i, \mathcal{H})$ of gerbes over X such that its image through the banding functor is the n -fold multiplication map of \mathbb{G}_m , where n is the cardinality of I . Note that because the simplicial sets of multilinear maps are Kan complexes by definition, then they are fibrant simplicial sets. This is why the operadic nerve gives us a symmetric monoidal structure, see [Lur17, Proposition 2.1.1.27].

Definition 2.3. We denote by $\text{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)^\star$ the symmetric monoidal ∞ -groupoid of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes over X , with the symmetric monoidal structure given by Construction 2.1.

Remark 2.4. Note that $\text{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)$ is just a $(2, 1)$ -category, since gerbes are by definition 1-truncated stacks. Also, it is 0-truncated (i.e. a 2-groupoid) since morphisms of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes are always equivalences.

Next, we will describe the symmetric monoidal structure on $\text{Br}(X)$. We know that it is the restriction of the symmetric monoidal structure of $\mathcal{L}\text{inCat}^{\text{PSt}}(X)$, see Remark 1.13.

Remark 2.5. We now describe explicitly the symmetric monoidal structure of $\mathcal{L}\text{inCat}^{\text{St}}(X)$ using the language of simplicial colored operads. The same description will apply to the prestable case. This will come out useful in the rest of the paper.

The simplicial colored operad $\mathcal{L}\text{inCat}^{\text{St}}(X)$ can be described as follows:

1. the objects (or colors) are stable presentable ∞ -categories which are modules over $\text{QCoh}(X)$ (see [Lur17, Section 4.5] for the precise definition of module over an algebra object);
2. given $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ a sequence of objects indexed by a finite set I and N another object, the simplicial set of multilinear maps $\text{Mul}(\{M_i\}_{i \in I}, N)$ is the mapping space $\text{Fun}^{\text{L}}(\prod_{i \in I} M_i, N)$ of functors of stable presentable categories which are $\text{QCoh}(X)$ -linear and preserve small colimits separately in each variable.

2.2 Derived categories of twisted sheaves

Recall from Section 1.2 the definition of $\text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(G)$ and $\text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(G)_{\geq 0}$. Our aim in this subsection is to prove the following statement:

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be a qcqs scheme. The functors*

$$\text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(-) : \text{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)^\star \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{inCat}^{\text{St}}(X)^\otimes$$

and

$$\mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(-)_{\geq 0} : \mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)^\star \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{PSt}}(X)^\otimes$$

carry a symmetric monoidal structure with respect to the \star -symmetric monoidal structure on the left hand side and to the \otimes -symmetric monoidal structures on the right hand sides. In particular, since every \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe is \star -invertible and $\mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)$ is a 2-groupoid, $\mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(-)$ takes values in $\mathrm{Br}^\dagger(X)$ and $\mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(-)_{\geq 0}$ takes values in $\mathrm{Br}(X)$.

Remark 2.7. Notice that we need to explicit how $\mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(-)$ (or $\mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(-)_{\geq 0}$) acts on the mapping spaces as well. We decided to stick with the covariant notation, i.e we define the image of a morphism of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ to be f_* . We omit the proof of the fact that f_* sends twisted sheaves to twisted sheaves, which follows from a straightforward computation.

Although there are no real issues with the contravariant notation (considering pullbacks instead of pushforwards), there are some technical complications when one wants to prove that a contravariant functor is symmetric monoidal.³ Note that, if f is any morphism of gerbes, then, $f_* = (f^*)^{-1}$.

We will prove Theorem 2.6 in two different steps.

Remark 2.8. Let C be a presentable ∞ -category, $p : C \rightarrow C$ be an endofunctor and $\eta : p \Rightarrow \mathrm{id}_C$ be a natural transformation. Let C^0 be the equalizer of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & p & \\ & \downarrow \eta & \\ C & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \\ & \uparrow \mathrm{id}_C & \end{array}$$

which can be described as the full subcategory of C spanned by the elements X of C such that $\eta(X)$ is an equivalence. Now consider (C_1, p_1, η_1) and (C_2, p_2, η_2) triples as the above one, and let $\rho : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ be a functor and $\alpha : p_2 \circ \rho \Rightarrow \rho \circ p_1$ be an equivalence of functors. If $(\mathrm{id}_\rho * \eta_1) \circ \alpha = (\eta_2 \circ \mathrm{id}_\rho)$ then (ρ, α) is a morphism between the two diagrams and therefore there exists a unique (up to homotopy) morphism $C_1^0 \rightarrow C_2^0$ compatible with all the data.

If G is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe and χ is a character of \mathbb{G}_m , the endofunctor $(-)_\chi$ of $\mathrm{QCoh}(G)$ and the natural transformation i_χ introduced in Remark 1.4 form a diagram as above. In this situation, $\mathrm{QCoh}_\chi(G)$ is exactly the equalizer.

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a quasicompact quasiseparated scheme over a field k . Let $G, G' \rightarrow X$ be two \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes over X , and χ, χ' two characters of \mathbb{G}_m . The external tensor product establishes a t-exact equivalence*

$$\boxtimes : \mathrm{QCoh}_\chi(G) \otimes_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X)} \mathrm{QCoh}_{\chi'}(G') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{QCoh}_{(\chi, \chi')} (G \times_X G')$$

³In fact, one would need to use the construction of the “opposite of an ∞ -operad”, see [Bea], [Lur17, Remark 2.4.2.7].

where $G \times_X G'$ is seen as a $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -gerbe on X .

Proof. First we prove that the external tensor product induces a t-exact equivalence

$$\boxtimes : \mathrm{QCoh}(G) \otimes_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X)} \mathrm{QCoh}(G') \simeq \mathrm{QCoh}(G \times_X G'). \quad (2.1)$$

By Theorem 1.15, every side of the sought equivalence is the ∞ -category of global sections of a sheaf in categories over X , which means that the equivalence is étale-local on X . Therefore, by choosing a covering $U \rightarrow X$ which trivializes both G and G' , we can reduce to the case $G = U \times \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow U$, $G' = U \times \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow U$, both maps being the projection to U . But by [BP21, Corollary 5.6], $\mathrm{QCoh}(U \times \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mathrm{QCoh}(U) \times \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m)$, and this proves our claim. Finally, t-exactness follows from the Künneth formula.

Now we prove that the restriction of the functor (2.1) to $\mathrm{QCoh}_X(G) \otimes_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X)} \mathrm{QCoh}_{X'}(G')$ lands in $\mathrm{QCoh}_{(X,X')}(G \times_X G')$. It is enough to construct an equivalence

$$\alpha(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}') : (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}')_{(X,X')} \simeq (\mathcal{F})_X \boxtimes (\mathcal{F}')_{X'}$$

for every $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}'$. With the notation of Remark 1.4, we can do this using the following chain of equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}')_{(X,X')} &= (p \times p')_*(\mathrm{act}_{(\alpha,\alpha')}^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}') \otimes \mathcal{L}_{(X,X')}^\vee) \\ &\simeq (p \times p')_*((\mathrm{act}_\alpha \times \mathrm{act}_{\alpha'})^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}') \otimes (\mathcal{L}_X^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{X'}^\vee)) \\ &\simeq (p \times p')_*((\mathrm{act}_\alpha^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^\vee) \boxtimes (\mathrm{act}_{\alpha'}^* \mathcal{F}' \otimes \mathcal{L}_{X'}^\vee)) \simeq (\mathcal{F})_X \boxtimes (\mathcal{F}')_{X'}. \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation shows that α is in fact a natural transformation and verifies the condition described in Remark 2.8.

It remains to prove that the restricted functor induces an equivalence, which will automatically be t-exact. But again, it suffices to show this locally, and in the local case this just reduces to the fact that

$$\mathrm{QCoh}_X(U \times \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m) \otimes_{\mathrm{QCoh}(U)} \mathrm{QCoh}_{X'}(U \times \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mathrm{QCoh}(U) \otimes_{\mathrm{QCoh}(U)} \mathrm{QCoh}(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(U)$$

is an equivalence. \square

Remark 2.10. Using the associativity of the box product \boxtimes , the same proof works in the case of a finite number of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes.

Proposition 2.11. *Let $G \star G'$ be the \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe defined in Construction 2.1. Then the pullback along $\rho : G \times_X G' \rightarrow G \star G'$ establishes a t-exact equivalence*

$$\mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(G \star G') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{QCoh}_{(\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id})}(G \times_X G').$$

Proof. Note that the character $m : \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ given by multiplication induces a line bundle $L_{\text{id},\text{id}}$ on $\text{B}\mathbb{G}_m \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m$. One can prove easily that this line bundle coincides with the external product of L_{id} , the universal line bundle on $\text{B}\mathbb{G}_m$, with itself. This means that what we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{(\text{id},\text{id})} \in \text{QCoh}(G \times_X G' \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m)$ has the form $\mathcal{L}_{\text{id}} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\text{id}}$ (again with the usual notations of Remark 1.4).

We need to prove that ρ^* sends id-twisted sheaves in (id, id)-twisted sheaves. To do this, we will construct an equivalence

$$\alpha(\mathcal{F}) : (\rho^*\mathcal{F})_{(\text{id},\text{id})} \simeq \rho^*(\mathcal{F}_{\text{id}})$$

for every \mathcal{F} in $\text{QCoh}(G \star G')$ and apply Remark 2.8. An easy computation shows that α is in fact a natural transformation and it verifies the condition described in Remark 2.8.

By construction of the \star product, one can prove that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_X G' \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\text{act}_{(\alpha,\alpha')}} & G \times_X G' \\ \downarrow (\rho, \text{B}m) & & \downarrow \rho \\ G \star G' \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\text{act}_{\alpha\alpha'}} & G \star G' \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $\text{act}_{(\alpha,\alpha')}$ is the action map of $G \times_X G'$ defined by the product bending, $\text{act}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ is the action map of $G \star G'$ and $\text{B}m$ is the multiplication map $m : \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ at the level of classifying stacks. This implies that

$$\text{act}_{(\alpha,\alpha')}^*(\rho^*\mathcal{F}) = (\rho, \text{B}m)^* \text{act}_{\alpha\alpha'}^*(\mathcal{F}).$$

Consider now the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & \tilde{q}_{(\alpha,\alpha')} & \\ & & & \curvearrowright & \\ & & & & \\ G \times_X G' \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m & & & & \\ & \searrow (\text{id}, \text{B}m) & & & \\ & & G \times_X G' \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{q_{(\alpha,\alpha')}} & G \times_X G' \\ & & \downarrow (\rho, \text{id}) & & \downarrow \rho \\ & & G \star G' \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{q_{\alpha\alpha'}} & G \star G' \\ & \searrow (\rho, \text{B}m) & & & \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{q}_{(\alpha,\alpha')}$, $q_{(\alpha,\alpha')}$ and $q_{\alpha\alpha'}$ are the projections. This is a commutative diagram and the square is a pullback.

Finally, we can compute the (id, id)-twisted part of $\rho^*\mathcal{F}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho^*\mathcal{F})_{\text{id},\text{id}} &= \tilde{q}_{(\alpha,\alpha')}^* (\text{act}_{(\alpha,\alpha')}^*(\rho^*\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\text{id},\text{id}}^\vee) \\ &= q_{(\alpha,\alpha')}^* (\text{id}, \text{B}m)^* \left((\text{id}, \text{B}m)^* (\rho, \text{id})^* (\text{act}_{\alpha\alpha'}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\text{id},\text{id}}^\vee) \right); \end{aligned}$$

notice that since $Bm^*L_{\text{id}} = L_{\text{id},\text{id}}$ we have $(\rho, Bm)^*\mathcal{L}_{\text{id}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{id},\text{id}}$. Furthermore, an easy computation shows that the unit $\text{id} \rightarrow (\text{id}, Bm)_*(\text{id}, Bm)^*$ is in fact an isomorphism, because of the explicit description of the decomposition of the stable ∞ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves over $B\mathbb{G}_m$. These two facts together give us that

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho^*\mathcal{F})_{\text{id},\text{id}} &= q_{(\alpha,\alpha'),*}(\text{id}, Bm)_* \left((\text{id}, Bm)^*(\rho, \text{id})^*(act_{\alpha\alpha'}^*(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\text{id},\text{id}}^\vee \right) \\ &= q_{(\alpha,\alpha'),*}(\text{id}, Bm)_*(\text{id}, Bm)^*(\rho, \text{id})^* \left(act_{\alpha\alpha'}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\text{id}}^\vee \right) \\ &= q_{(\alpha,\alpha'),*}(\rho, \text{id})^* \left(act_{\alpha\alpha'}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\text{id}}^\vee \right) \\ &= \rho^* q_{\alpha\alpha',*} \left(act_{\alpha\alpha'}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\text{id}}^\vee \right) \\ &= \rho^*((\mathcal{F})_{\text{id}}). \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, we need to verify that ρ^* restricted to id -twisted sheaves is an equivalence with the category of (id, id) -twisted sheaves. Again, this can be checked étale locally, therefore we can reduce to the case $G \simeq G' \simeq X \times B\mathbb{G}_m$ where the morphism ρ can be identified with (id_X, Bm) . We know that for the trivial gerbe we have that $\text{QCoh}(X) \simeq \text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(X \times B\mathbb{G}_m)$ where the map is described by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \pi^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\text{id}}$, π being is the structural morphism of the (trivial) gerbe. A straightforward computation shows that, using the identification above, the morphism ρ^* is the identity of $\text{QCoh}(X)$.

Finally, t-exactness follows from the fact that ρ is flat (see [AOV08, Theorem A.1]). \square

Remark 2.12. One can prove easily that ρ_* is an inverse of the morphism ρ^* which we have just described. It is still true that send twisted sheaves to twisted sheaves and it has the same functorial property of the pullback, due to the natural adjunction. Furthermore, ρ_* is t-exact because ρ is a morphism of gerbes whose image through the banding functor $\text{Band}(\rho)$ is a surjective morphism of groups with a linearly reductive group as kernel. This implies that it is the structure morphism of a gerbe banded by a linearly reductive group, therefore ρ_* is exact.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. First of all, we start with the stable case. We have to lift $\text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(-)$ from a morphism of ∞ -groupoid to a symmetric monoidal functor, i.e. to extend the action of $\text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(-)$ to multilinear maps. Let $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a sequence of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes indexed by a finite set I and H be a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe, then we can define a morphism of simplicial set

$$\text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(-) : \text{Mul}(\{G_i\}_{i \in I}, H) \longrightarrow \text{Mul}(\{\text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(G_i)\}_{i \in I}, \text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(H))$$

by the following rule: if $f : \prod_{i \in I} G_i \rightarrow H$ is a multilinear map, we define $\text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(f)$ to be the composition $f_* \circ \boxtimes^n : \prod_{i \in I} \text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(G_i) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(H)$ where $\boxtimes^n : \prod_{i \in I} \text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(G_i) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}_{\text{id}}(\prod_{i \in I} G_i)$

is just the n -fold box product. The fact that this association is well-defined follows from Proposition 2.9 and Proposition 2.11. A priori, $\mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(-)$ is a lax-monoidal functor from $\mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)^\star$ to $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X)^\times$, where $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X)^\times$ is the symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{inCat}^{\mathrm{St}}(X)$ induced by the product of ∞ -categories. However, f_* and \boxtimes^n are both $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ -linear and preserves small colimits. Notice that f_* preserves small colimits because it is exact, due to the fact that it is a μ -gerbe, with μ a linearly reductive group (see Remark 2.12). This implies that $\mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(-)$ can be upgraded to a morphism of ∞ -operad if we take the operadic nerve. It remains to prove that it is symmetric monoidal, i.e. to prove that it sends coCartesian morphism to coCartesian morphism. This follows again from the isomorphisms described in Proposition 2.11 and Proposition 2.9.

The prestable case can be dealt with in the exact same way. Because the equivalences in Proposition 2.9 and Proposition 2.11 are t-exact, they restrict to the prestable connective part of the ∞ -categories. The fact that they remain equivalences can be checked étale locally. \square

2.3 Gerbes of positive trivializations

Definition 2.13. Let $M \in \mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}(X)$. We define the functor

$$\mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(M) : \mathrm{Sch}/X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

$$(S \rightarrow X) \mapsto \mathcal{E}\mathrm{quiv}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(S)_{\geq 0}}(\mathrm{QCoh}(S)_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{M}(S))$$

where \mathcal{M} is the stack of categories associated to M (see Theorem 1.15).

Our aim in this subsection is to prove that for every $M \in \mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}(X)$, the functor $\mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(M)$ has a natural structure of a gerbe over X , and also that the functor $\mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(-) : \mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)$ can be promoted to a symmetric monoidal functor $\mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}(X)^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)^\star$. Let us recall the main result about the Brauer space proven in [Lur18] (specialized to the case of qcqs schemes).

Theorem 2.14 ([Lur18, Theorem 11.5.7.11]). *Let X be a qcqs scheme. Then for every $u \in \mathrm{dBr}(X) = \pi_0(\mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}(X))$, there exists an étale covering $f : U \rightarrow X$ such that $f^*u = 0$ in $\mathrm{dBr}(U)$; that is, any representative of f^*u is equivalent to $\mathrm{QCoh}(U)_{\geq 0}$ as an ∞ -category.*

Lemma 2.15. *Let X be a qcqs scheme. Then the stack $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{quiv}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X)_{\geq 0}}(\mathrm{QCoh}(X)_{\geq 0}, \mathrm{QCoh}(X)_{\geq 0})$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m \times X$.*

Proof. Let $S \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of schemes. A $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)$ -linear autoequivalence of $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)$ is determined by the image of \mathcal{O}_S , and therefore amounts to the datum of a line bundle \mathcal{L} concentrated in degree

0 (the degree must be nonnegative because we are in the connective setting, and if it were positive then the inverse functor would be given by tensoring by a negatively-graded line bundle, which is impossible).

This implies that the desired moduli space is $\mathcal{P}ic \times X$, which is the same as $B\mathbb{G}_m \times X$. \square

Proposition 2.16. *Let X be a qcqs scheme, and $M \in \mathcal{B}r(X)$. Then $\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M)$ has a natural structure of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe over X . Furthermore, given an isomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ of prestable invertible categories, then the morphism $\mathcal{T}riv_{geq 0}(f)$ defined by the association $\phi \mapsto f \circ \phi$ is a morphism of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes.*

Proof. To prove that $\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M)$ is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe we need to verify that both the structure map and the diagonal of $\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M)$ are epimorphisms and to provide a \mathbb{G}_m -banding. The first two assertions are evident from the fact that $\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M)$ is locally of the form $X \times B\mathbb{G}_m$. Now we provide the banding in the following way. First of all, notice that $\mathbb{G}_{m,X}$ can be identified with the automorphism group of the identity endofunctor of $\mathcal{Q}Coh(X)_{\geq 0}$, namely \mathcal{O}_X -linear invertible natural transformations of $\text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}Coh(X)_{\geq 0}}$. Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M)}$ be the inertia stack of $\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M)$. We define a functor

$$\alpha_M : \mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M) \times \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M)}$$

as $\alpha_M(\phi, \lambda) := (\phi, \text{id}_{\phi} * \lambda) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}}$ for every (ϕ, λ) object of $\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M)$, $*$ being the horizontal composition of natural transformations. Since being an isomorphism is an étale-local property, we can reduce to the trivial case, for which it is a straightforward computation. The second part of the statement follows from a straightforward computation. \square

Lemma 2.17. *The functor $\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(-)$ is symmetric monoidal.*

Proof. To upgrade $\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}$ to a symmetric monoidal functor, we need to define its action on multilinear maps. Let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a sequence of invertible prestable \mathcal{O}_X -linear ∞ -categories indexed by a finite set I and N be another invertible prestable \mathcal{O}_X -linear category. Then we define

$$\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(-) : \text{Mul}\left(\{M_i\}_{i \in I}, N\right) \longrightarrow \text{Mul}\left(\{\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M_i)\}_{i \in I}, \mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(N)\right)$$

in the following way: if $f : \prod_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow N$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{L}in\mathcal{C}at^{\text{PSt}}(X)$ which preserves small colimits separately in each variable, we have to define the image $\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(f)$ as a functor

$$\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(f) : \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(N)$$

such that $\text{Band}(\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(f))$ is the n -fold multiplication of \mathbb{G}_m , where n is the cardinality of I . We define it on objects in the following way: if $\{\phi_i\}$ is an object of $\prod_{i \in I} (\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(M_i))$, then we set

$$\mathcal{T}riv_{\geq 0}(f)\left(\{\phi_i\}\right) := f \circ \prod \phi_i$$

where $\prod \phi_i$ is just the morphism induced by the universal property of the product.

First of all we need to prove that $f \circ \prod \phi_i$ is still an equivalence. Let $S \in \text{Sch}_X$ and $f : \prod_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow N$ morphism in $\mathcal{L}\text{inCat}^{\text{PSt}}(X)$, we can consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{QCoh}(S) & \xrightarrow{\prod \phi_i} & \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i(S) \\
 \otimes \phi_i \downarrow & \swarrow u & \downarrow f(S) \\
 \otimes_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i(S) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}(S)} & \mathcal{N}(S)
 \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

where the tensors are in fact relative tensors over $\text{QCoh}(S)$. The diagonal map u is the morphism universal between all the $\text{QCoh}(X)$ -linear morphisms from $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i(S)$ which preserve small colimits separately in each variable. Equivalently, one can say that it is a coCartesian morphism in $\mathcal{L}\text{inCat}^{\text{PSt}}(S)^\otimes$.

Thus, it is enough to prove that both $\otimes \phi_i$ and \tilde{f} are equivalences. Because the source of the functor $\mathcal{T}\text{riv}_{\geq 0}(-)$ is the ∞ -groupoid $\text{dBr}(S)$, the morphism $\tilde{f}(S)$ is an equivalence. Furthermore, the morphisms ϕ_i are equivalences, therefore it follows from the functoriality of the relative tensor product that $\otimes \phi_i$ is an equivalence. A straightforward but tedious computation shows that it is defined also at the level of 1-morphism and it is in fact a functor.

It remains to prove that $\text{Band}(\mathcal{T}\text{riv}_{\geq 0}(f))$ is the n -fold multiplication of \mathbb{G}_m , where n is the cardinality of I . It is equivalent to prove the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{T}\text{riv}_{\geq 0}(M_i) \times \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{m^n \cdot (\mathcal{T}\text{riv}_{\geq 0}(f), m^n)} & \mathcal{T}\text{riv}_{\geq 0}(N) \times \mathbb{G}_m \\
 \downarrow \prod \alpha_{M_i} & & \downarrow \alpha_N \\
 \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{T}\text{riv}_{\geq 0}(M_i)} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{T}\text{riv}_{\geq 0}(f)}} & \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{T}\text{riv}_{\geq 0}(N)}
 \end{array}$$

where m^n is the n -fold multiplication of \mathbb{G}_m . The notation follows the one in the proof of Lemma 2.16. Using diagram 2.2 again, we can reduce to the following straightforward statement: let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be $\text{QCoh}(S)$ -linear automorphisms of $\text{id}_{\text{QCoh}(S)}$, which can be identified with elements of $\mathbb{G}_m(S)$; then the tensor of the natural transformations coincide with the product as elements of \mathbb{G}_m , i.e $\lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n = m^n(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n)$.

Finally, because we are considering ∞ -groupoid, the condition of being strictly monoidal is automatically satisfied.

□

2.4 Proof of the equivalence

The goal of this subsection is to prove that the constructions

$$M \mapsto \mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(M)$$

and

$$G \mapsto \mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(G)_{\geq 0}$$

establish a (symmetric monoidal) categorical equivalence between the 2-groupoids $\mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)$, thus proving Theorem 1.19.

Proposition 2.18. *The functor $\mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(-)$ is fully faithful.*

Proof. We want to prove that for any $M, M' \in \mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}(X)$ the map

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}(X)}(M, M') \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)}(\mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(M), \mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(M'))$$

is a homotopy equivalence of spaces (more precisely, an equivalence of 1-groupoids). First of all, by using the grouplike monoid structure of $\mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}(X)$ together with Lemma 2.17, we can reduce to the case $M' = \mathrm{QCoh}(X)_{\geq 0} = \mathbf{1}$.

If M does not lie in the connected component of $\mathbf{1}$, then what we have to check is that

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)}(\mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(M), \mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{1})) = \emptyset.$$

But if this space contained an object, then in particular we would have an equivalence at the level of global sections between $\mathrm{Equiv}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X)_{\geq 0}}(M, \mathbf{1})$ and $\mathrm{Equiv}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X)_{\geq 0}}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$. But the first space is empty by hypothesis, while the second is not.

On the other hand, if M lies in the connected component of $\mathbf{1}$, by functoriality of $\mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(-)$ we can suppose that $M = \mathbf{1}$. In this case, we have to prove that the map

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{B}\mathrm{r}(X)}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)}(\mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{1}), \mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{1})) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)}(X \times \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m, X \times \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m)$$

is an equivalence of groupoids. But the first space is the groupoid $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, the latter is the space of maps $X \rightarrow \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m$, and the composite map is the one sending a line bundle over X to the map $X \rightarrow \mathrm{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ that classifies it. \square

Proposition 2.19. *Let X be a qcqs scheme. Then there is a natural equivalence of functors*

$$\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(X)} \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathrm{riv}_{\geq 0} \circ \mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathrm{id}}(-)_{\geq 0}.$$

Proof. Let G be a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe over X . Let us observe that for any $S \rightarrow X$ we have

$$G(S) \simeq \mathcal{E}\text{quiv}_{\text{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(S)}(S \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m, G_S).$$

Indeed, there is an evident map of stacks over X

$$F : \mathcal{E}\text{quiv}_{\text{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}}(X \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m, G) \rightarrow G$$

and up to passing to a suitable étale covering of X , the map becomes an equivalence: in fact the choice of an equivalence $\phi : S \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow S \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ of gerbes over S amounts to the choice of a map $S \rightarrow \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m$, because ϕ must be a map over S (hence $\text{pr}_{\text{B}\mathbb{G}_m} \circ \phi = \text{pr}_{\text{B}\mathbb{G}_m}$) and it must respect the banding. Therefore, F is an equivalence over X , and this endows $\mathcal{E}\text{quiv}_{\text{Ger}_{\mathbb{G}_m}}(X \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m, G)$ with a natural structure of a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe over X .

The construction $\phi \mapsto \phi_*$ thus provides a morphism of stacks

$$G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\text{quiv}_{\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}(X)}(\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}_{\text{id}}(X \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m), \mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}_{\text{id}}(G)).$$

Now since the pushforward ϕ_* of an equivalence ϕ of stacks is t-exact, the construction $\phi \mapsto \phi_*$ factors through the stabilization map

$$\mathcal{E}\text{quiv}_{\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}_{\text{id}}}(\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}_{\text{id}}(X \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m)_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}_{\text{id}}(G)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\text{quiv}_{\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}(X)}(\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}_{\text{id}}(X \times \text{B}\mathbb{G}_m), \mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}_{\text{id}}(G)).$$

Note that the stabilization map makes sense in the id-twisted context since the stabilization functor has descent (it is the limit of iterated loops), and thus we can reduce to the case when G is trivial.

We have therefore obtained a map of stacks over X

$$G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\text{quiv}_{\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}(X)_{\geq 0}}(\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}(X)_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}_{\text{id}}(G)_{\geq 0}) = \mathcal{T}\text{riv}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}_{\text{id}}(G)_{\geq 0}).$$

But now, $\mathcal{T}\text{riv}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}_{\text{id}}(G))$ is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe over X (note that this was not true before passing to the connective setting), and therefore to prove that the map is an equivalence it suffices to prove that it agrees with the bandings, that is, that it is a map of \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes. This follows from unwinding the definitions. \square

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.19: Section 2.2 and Section 2.3 tell us that the two functors are symmetric monoidal and take values in the sought ∞ -categories. The fact that they form an equivalence follows from Proposition 2.18 and Proposition 2.19. \square

References

- [AG14] B. Antieau and D. Gepner. Brauer groups and étale cohomology in derived algebraic geometry. *Geometry and Topology* 18, 2014.
- [AOV08] D. Abramovich, M. Olsson, and A. Vistoli. Tame stacks in positive characteristic. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 58(4):1057–1091, 2008.
- [Bea] Jonathan Beardsley. Opposite symmetric monoidal structure on an infinity category. Math-Overflow.
- [BP21] F. Binda and M. Porta. GAGA problems for the Brauer group via derived geometry. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2107.03914v1>, 2021.
- [BS19] D. Bergh and O. Schnürer. Decompositions of derived categories of gerbes and of families of Brauer-Severi varieties, 2019.
- [DJ] J.A. De Jong. A result of gabber. <https://www.math.columbia.edu/~dejong/papers/2-gabber.pdf>.
- [DJ04] J.A. De Jong. The period-index problem for the brauer group of an algebraic surface. *Duke Math. J.* 123 (1) 71 - 94, 2004.
- [Gai15] D. Gaitsgory. Sheaves of categories and the notion of 1-affineness. *Stacks and categories in geometry, topology, and algebra, volume 643 of Contemp. Math., pages 127–225. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI*, 2015.
- [Gir71] J. Giraud. *Cohomologie non abélienne*. Springer-Verlag, 1971. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 179.
- [Gro66] A. Grothendieck. Le groupe de brauer : I. algèbres d'azumaya et interprétations diverses. *Séminaire N. Bourbaki, 1966, exp. no 290*, 1966.
- [HR17] J. Hall and D. Rydh. Perfect complexes on algebraic stacks. *Compositio Mathematica*, 153(11):2318–2367, 2017.
- [Lie08] Max Lieblich. Twisted sheaves and the period-index problem. *Compositio Mathematica*, 144(1):1–31, 2008.
- [Lur11] J. Lurie. DAG XI: Descent Theorems. <https://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-XI.pdf>. 2011.
- [Lur17] J. Lurie. Higher Algebra. <http://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, 2017.

-
- [Lur18] J. Lurie. Spectral algebraic geometry. <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf>, 2018.
- [Ols16] M. Olsson. *Algebraic Spaces and Stacks*. AMS, 2016.
- [Toë10] B. Toën. Derived Azumaya algebras and generators for twisted derived categories. *Inventiones mathematicae* 189(3), 2010.