

ON ALGEBRAICALLY COISOTROPIC SUBMANIFOLDS OF HOLOMORPHIC SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

EKATERINA AMERIK AND FRÉDÉRIC CAMPANA

ABSTRACT. We investigate algebraically coisotropic submanifolds X in a holomorphic symplectic projective manifold M . Motivated by our results in the hypersurface case, we raise the following question: when X is not uniruled, is it true that up to a finite étale cover, the pair (X, M) is a product $(Z \times Y, N \times Y)$ where N, Y are holomorphic symplectic and $Z \subset N$ is Lagrangian? We prove that this is indeed the case when M is an abelian variety and give some partial answer when the canonical bundle K_X is semi-ample. In particular, when K_X is nef and big, X is Lagrangian in M . We also remark that Lagrangian submanifolds do not exist on a sufficiently general Abelian variety, in contrast to the case when M is irreducible hyperkähler.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction and main results | 2 |
| 1.1. Algebraically coisotropic submanifolds. | 2 |
| 1.2. Divisors | 3 |
| 1.3. Higher codimension | 3 |
| 2. Proof of Theorems 6 and 7. | 5 |
| 3. Proof of Theorem 10. | 7 |
| 4. The Irreducible Hyperkähler case. | 9 |
| 4.1. Some known examples of Lagrangian submanifolds | 9 |
| 4.2. Lagrangian fibrations. | 11 |
| 4.3. Nefness and non-uniruledness. | 11 |
| 5. Lagrangian submanifolds on abelian varieties. | 11 |
| 5.1. Hodge-general Abelian Varieties. | 12 |
| 5.2. Products of simple Abelian varieties. | 13 |
| 5.3. Relation to fundamental groups. | 14 |
| 6. A non-projective example | 15 |
| References | 16 |

Date: July 6, 2022.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

1.1. **Algebraically coisotropic submanifolds.** Let M be a smooth complex projective variety equipped with a holomorphic symplectic form σ . In particular, M is of even dimension $2n$. Let X be an irreducible complex submanifold of M . By linear algebra, at every $x \in X$, the corank of the restriction $\sigma|_X$ at x is at most $c = \text{codim}(X)$. The equality holds exactly when $T_{X,x}$ contains its σ -orthogonal.

Definition 1. X is **coisotropic** if the corank of $\sigma|_X$ is equal to c at each¹ point of X . In this case, the kernel of $\sigma|_X$ defines a regular foliation² \mathcal{F} of rank c on X , and the rank of $\sigma|_X$ is $2(n - c)$. The foliation \mathcal{F} is called the **characteristic foliation** of X .

It follows from the definition that the codimension c of a coisotropic subvariety X is at most n , and if it is equal to n , then the restriction of σ to X is zero. In this case, X is called **lagrangian**. Recall also that a subvariety Y is **isotropic** if $\sigma|_Y = 0$; the dimension of an isotropic subvariety is at most n , and to be lagrangian is the same as to be isotropic and coisotropic at the same time.

In general, the set of the coisotropic submanifolds of M depends on the choice of the form σ ; when σ is not specified, we say that a submanifold of M is coisotropic when it is coisotropic for some σ on M . One important and most studied case is when M is **irreducible holomorphic symplectic (IHS)**, or **hyperkähler**: that is, M is simply-connected and $h^{2,0}$ is one-dimensional. In this case, obviously the notion of the coisotropy is independent of the form. When M is a product of IHS manifolds, by Künneth formula, any symplectic form is a product of forms lifted from the factors, and so the notion of coisotropy does not depend on the choices in the case when X is itself a compatible product. Recall that by Bogomolov decomposition theorem, a finite étale covering of a holomorphic symplectic M is a product of several IHS manifolds and a complex torus.

A regular foliation on an algebraic manifold is said to be **algebraic** (or **algebraically integrable**) if its leaves are algebraic submanifolds. If the characteristic foliation on X is algebraic, X is said to be **algebraically coisotropic**. In this case, there is a fibration $f : X \rightarrow B$ which has the leaves of \mathcal{F} as fibers. This fibration is ‘quasi-smooth’ in the sense that all of its fibres have a smooth reduction. We call it the **characteristic fibration** of X .

Example 2. Any smooth hypersurface D of M is coisotropic, since $\sigma|_D$ is of even rank and therefore degenerate.

¹This definition coincides with those of [19] and [24] for X smooth. More generally, when X is a possibly singular subvariety, one calls X coisotropic when $T_{X,x}$ contains its σ -orthogonal for every smooth point $x \in X$.

²A priori only a distribution, it is a foliation because σ is d -closed. See [19], §2.1.

Lagrangian subvarieties of M are algebraically coisotropic: the characteristic foliation has then a single leaf, X itself. In particular, curves on a holomorphic symplectic surface (either K3 or Abelian) are algebraically coisotropic.

1.2. Divisors. The structure of algebraically coisotropic smooth divisors has been understood through a series of works. It is easy to see that a smooth uniruled hypersurface is algebraically coisotropic with rational curves as leaves. On the opposite side, Hwang and Viehweg [14] have shown that a smooth algebraically coisotropic hypersurface of general type is a curve in a holomorphically symplectic surface. Several important observations have been made in [19]. In [2], we described the algebraically coisotropic divisors as follows.

Theorem 3. *A smooth algebraically coisotropic hypersurface D in a holomorphic symplectic manifold M is either uniruled, or, up to a finite étale cover of M , of the following form: $D = C \times Y \subset M = S \times Y$, where Y is a holomorphic symplectic manifold, S is a holomorphic symplectic surface and C is a curve on S .*

1.3. Higher codimension. It is natural to ask whether a similar description is still valid for algebraically coisotropic subvarieties of higher codimension.

The analogues of curves in holomorphically symplectic surfaces are Lagrangian subvarieties. The most optimistic higher-codimensional analogue of Theorem 3 would be the following:

Question 4. *Let $X \subset M$ be a non-uniruled algebraically coisotropic submanifold in a projective holomorphic symplectic manifold M . Up to a finite étale cover, is then $X = L \times Y \subset M = N \times Y$, where Y and N are holomorphically symplectic, and $L \subset N$ is Lagrangian in N ?*

In particular, is X Lagrangian if K_X is big? Is X lagrangian if M is either a simple Abelian variety, or an irreducible hyperkähler manifold?

Remark 5. *A positive answer to this question would reduce the description of algebraically coisotropic $X \subset M$ to the Lagrangian submanifolds of symplectic manifolds. Up to a finite covering, these are products $M = \prod_i M_i$, where the M_i are either simple Abelian varieties or IHS. However, the symplectic form does not need to be a product of forms on the factors if there is a non-trivial torus part (see [2]).*

The aim of this note is to prove several very partial results on these questions. Concerning Lagrangian submanifolds, we provide a brief survey on IHS in §4 and make some remarks on abelian varieties in §5.

Theorem 6. *Let X be an algebraically coisotropic submanifold of a holomorphic symplectic manifold M . Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be its characteristic fibration. Suppose that K_X is semiample. Then f is isotrivial, and $\kappa(X) = \kappa(F)$, where F is a smooth fibre of f .*

The proof of Theorem 6 is a direct adaptation of the one given in [2] as the main step of the proof of Theorem 3.

Using [22], one can weaken the semi-ampleness condition as follows:

Theorem 7. *Let X be an algebraically coisotropic submanifold of a holomorphic symplectic manifold M . Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be its characteristic fibration. Suppose that the fibres of f have good minimal models. Then f is isotrivial, and $\kappa(X) = \kappa(F)$, where F is a smooth fibre of f .*

One may expect that the pseudo-effectivity of K_X is sufficient for the conclusions of Theorem 7, but new techniques are certainly required. See remark 4.3 for a related question.

Corollary 8. *Let M be a holomorphic symplectic manifold and $X \subset M$ an algebraically coisotropic submanifold. Assume that K_X is nef and big. Then X is lagrangian.*

Indeed if K_X is nef and big, then so is $K_F = K_X|_F$, and Kawamata base point free theorem implies that K_F is semiample. Hence $\kappa(X) = \kappa(F)$, and by bigness of K_X we must have $X = F$, that is, X is lagrangian.

Corollary 9. *If M is a simple abelian variety, and $X \subset M$ is algebraically coisotropic, then X is Lagrangian.*

Indeed all positive-dimensional submanifolds in a simple abelian variety have ample canonical bundle.

Notice however that we do not know a single example of a Lagrangian submanifold in a simple abelian variety of dimension $2n \geq 4$. They do not exist on sufficiently general (“Hodge-general”) abelian varieties, by Corollary 21.

The answer to the question 4 is positive when M is an Abelian variety.

Theorem 10. *Let M be an abelian variety, and $X \subset M$ an algebraically coisotropic submanifold. Then after a finite étale cover, there are subtori D, N, C, P of M such that $M = D \times C \times N \times P$, and a submanifold $Z \subset N$ such that:*

1. Z generates N , and K_Z is ample;
2. $X = D \times C \times Z$;
3. The restriction σ_N of σ to N is symplectic, and Z is Lagrangian in N ;
4. The characteristic fibration of X is the projection $f : X \rightarrow D$ with fibres $C \times Z$, and the restriction σ_D is symplectic;
5. $\dim(C) = \dim(P)$; in particular if X generates M , then $C = 0$ and moreover $\sigma = \sigma_D \oplus \sigma_N$.

Conversely, given the tori D, C, N, P , the symplectic forms σ_N and σ_D , and a σ_N -lagrangian submanifold of general type Z generating N , then $X = D \times C \times Z$ is algebraically coisotropic in $D \times C \times N \times P$ for a suitable σ .

Remark 11. *We shall describe all such σ in the proof of Theorem 10.*

2. PROOF OF THEOREMS 6 AND 7.

The proof of this theorem uses several auxiliary results. First of all, let us recall some general background. We follow [2] where we considered only algebraically coisotropic hypersurfaces, but many starting remarks are valid in general.

Let X be algebraically coisotropic, then the family of leaves of the characteristic foliation \mathcal{F} defines a morphism from X to its Chow variety. Normalizing the image if necessary, we obtain a fibration $f : X \rightarrow B$ with fibers which are leaves of \mathcal{F} (the “characteristic fibration”). We recall that the codimension c of X is equal to the relative dimension of f , and we usually denote by F the general fiber of f . Each (set-theoretic) fiber is smooth but some of them can be multiple as f is not necessarily a smooth morphism. Equivalently, some leaves of f have non-trivial holonomy. The base B can be singular at the corresponding points, but has only quotient singularities. In fact all holonomy groups of the foliation are finite, and locally in the neighbourhood of the fiber F_0 , X is diffeomorphic to the quotient of $T \times F$ by the holonomy group G , where F is the Galois covering of F_0 with Galois group G , T is a local transverse and G acts diagonally. This result is known in foliation theory as **Reeb stability**. The map f in the neighbourhood of F_0 is the projection to T/G .

Complex-analytically, it is not always true that a neighbourhood of F_0 in X is a quotient of the product, because the complex structure on the general leaf F can vary; but it remains true that the quotient T/G gives a local model for B .

The following lemma is due to J. Sawon (see [19], lemma 6, for the case of hypersurfaces). The argument is purely local on X , depending only on the d -closedness of σ .

Lemma 12. *Let X be smooth and algebraically coisotropic, and let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be the fibration associated with the characteristic foliation. Denote by $f : X^0 \rightarrow B^0$ the restriction to the smooth locus (that is, $B^0 = B - E$, where $b \in E$ iff the fiber X_b is a multiple fiber). Then there is a holomorphic symplectic form η on B^0 with $f^*\eta = \sigma|_X$.*

Proof: As in [19], we remark that the restriction of σ gives a holomorphic symplectic form on any local transverse, and that if U_1 and U_2 are two local transverses over the same small $U \subset B^0$, then the natural isomorphism $\phi : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ (sending the intersection point of U_1 with some leaf L to that of U_2 with L) can be viewed as a $t = 1$ map of a flow ϕ_t preserving $\sigma|_X$. Using the d -closedness of σ , one obtains as in [19] that the forms agree on local models: $\sigma|_{U_1} = \phi^*\sigma|_{U_2}$, yielding a global holomorphic symplectic form η on B^0 .

The next result has been obtained for hypersurfaces in [2], Lemma 2.3, and its proof in the general case is analogous.

Proposition 13. *In the situation of the preceding lemma, f has no multiple fibers in codimension one, so that σ descends to a symplectic form η outside of a subset of codimension at least two in B . Moreover, the highest exterior power $\alpha = \eta^{n-c}$ trivializes K_B , and B has only canonical singularities. Therefore:*

1. *Any smooth model of B has $\kappa = 0$, and in particular B is not uniruled.*
2. *The smooth locus B^{reg} of B is special in the sense of [3].*

Proof: The argument for the absence of multiple fibers in codimension one is that of [2], Lemma 2.3, we only adapt the notations. We set $\omega = \sigma^{n-c}$, so that $f^*\alpha = \omega$ over B^0 . Suppose there is a divisor of multiple fibers, then, replacing B by a small open neighbourhood of a general point of the image E of this divisor, we may assume that B is a polydisk with coordinates $(u_1, \dots, u_{2(n-c)}) = (u, u')$, and E is given by $u = 0$ (u' denotes the $2(n-c) - 1$ -tuple of remaining coordinates). Moreover, we can choose local coordinates $(z_1, \dots, z_{2(n-c)}, w_{2(n-c)+1}, \dots, w_{2n-c}) = (z, z', w)$ on X such that f is locally given by $u = z^m, u' = z'$. Then the same calculation as in [2], Lemma 2.3, shows that $m = 1$.

Hence α is a nonvanishing holomorphic $2(n-c)$ -form defined over a complement of a subset of codimension at least two, and by definition it trivializes K_B . Moreover, since B has only quotient singularities, a lemma due to Freitag [12] shows that α extends to any resolution $r : B' \rightarrow B$ of B , and so the singularities of B are canonical, hence $\kappa(B') = 0$. If E is the reduced exceptional set of r , then $h^0(B', m(K_{B'} + E)) = h^0(B', mE') = 1$, for each $m > 0$, since $K_{B'} + E := E' \geq E$ is an effective divisor supported on E . Thus $\kappa(B', K_{B'} + E) = 0$, and (B', E) is special.

Notice also that in the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X \rightarrow N_F \rightarrow 0$, the normal bundle N_F is equipped with a non-degenerate two-form, hence it has trivial determinant. It follows that $K_{\mathcal{F}} = K_X$.

Proof of Theorem 6:

When K_F is semiample, the results of [3] (Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.2) apply, yielding that f is an isotrivial fibration. Moreover by proposition 13, f has no multiple fiber in codimension one. Therefore $K_X = K_{X/B} + f^*K_B$. By the same proposition, K_B is trivial and so $K_X = K_{X/B}$.

By isotriviality, the family $f : X \rightarrow B$ trivializes after a finite covering $B' \rightarrow B$: the base change $f' : X' \rightarrow B'$ is the projection from $X' = F \times B'$ to the second factor. Let g be the natural base-change morphism from X' to X and h the projection from X' to F . Then

$h^*(K_F) = K_{X'/B'} = g^*K_{X/B}$. Hence $\kappa(F)$ equals to the Iitaka dimension of $K_{X/B}$ which is equal to $\kappa(X)$, q. e. d.

Proof of Theorem 7:

We apply the preceding arguments, replacing [3] (Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.2) by [22], Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 9:

The structure of a submanifold of an abelian variety is described in [23]: if X is such a submanifold, then there exists an abelian subvariety $A \subset M$ such that $X = p^{-1}(Z)$, where p is the projection from M to the quotient abelian variety $L = M/A$ and $Z \subset L$ is a subvariety of general type. Moreover the canonical class of Z is ample. Now if M is simple then A is trivial and $Z = X$, so that Corollary 8 applies.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 10.

Let M be an abelian variety and X is an algebraically coisotropic submanifold which generates M . We derive from Ueno's classification result that K_X is semiample: indeed in the notations right above, K_X is the inverse image of K_Z which is ample. Clearly, in the same notations, the restriction $g : X \rightarrow Z$ of the projection $p : M \rightarrow L = M/A$ is the Iitaka fibration of X , the fibers of g are isomorphic to A and $\kappa(X) = \kappa(Z) = \dim(Z)$. Denote by N the subtorus of L generated by Z .

Since K_X is semiample, Theorem 6 applies. Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be the characteristic fibration on X , it is isotrivial with general fiber F . Since $\kappa(X) = \kappa(F)$, the restriction of g to F is the Iitaka fibration of F . Applying Ueno's theorem again, we see that the fiber of $g|_F$ is a subtorus C of A .

Now Poincaré complete reducibility yields that passing to a finite étale covering, we may assume $A = D \times C$, $L = N \times P$ and $M = D \times C \times N \times P$, so that $Z \subset N$ induces the embedding of $X = D \times C \times Z$ into M , and $g : X = D \times C \times Z \rightarrow Z$ and $f : D \times C \times Z \rightarrow D$ are natural projections.

We shall now analyze the form σ according to the decomposition $M = D \times C \times N \times P$. We view any torus as the quotient of its tangent space by a lattice, and by abuse of notation identify a subtorus T of M with its tangent space at any point. By the coisotropy condition $C + T_{Z,z}$ is orthogonal (with respect to σ) to $C + D$ at each point $z \in Z$. Thus C and D are orthogonal, and $C + D$ is orthogonal to $T_{Z,z}, \forall z \in Z$. Since Z generates the subtorus N , the spaces $T_{Z,z} \subset N$ generate the vector space N (that is: T_N), by the Gauss map. Thus $C + N$ and $C + D$ are orthogonal. Equivalently, C is isotropic, and C, N and D are pairwise orthogonal.

Künneth formula then implies that $\sigma = \sigma_D \oplus \sigma_N \oplus \sigma_P \oplus s$, where σ_N is the restriction of σ to N , similarly for D, P , and s comes from an

element in $H^{1,0}(P) \otimes H^{1,0}(D \times C \times N)$. This means the following: we have a pairing $s(p, q) = \sum_i \alpha_i(p) \beta_i(q)$ for $p \in P$, $q \in Q := D \times C \times N$ and linear forms α_i , β_i , and we associate to it the alternating bilinear form s' on $P \times Q$ defined by: $s'(p + q, p' + q') := s(p, q') - s(p', q)$. To simplify the notation we still write s for s' , keeping the definition $s(p + q, p' + q') := s(p, q') - s(p', q)$. Any alternating 2-form on $P \times Q$ is uniquely written as: $\sigma_P \oplus \sigma_Q \oplus s$, for alternating 2-forms σ_P, σ_Q on P, Q , and s as above on $P \times Q$, characterised by $s(P, P) = s(Q, Q) = 0$.

Remark that the restriction σ_D of σ to D is a symplectic form, for example by lemma 12. The following claim is crucial:

Claim 14. *The restriction σ_N of σ to N is a symplectic form, and Z is coisotropic in N .*

Indeed let K be the kernel of σ_N . It gives a foliation \mathcal{K} on N , which is a trivial subbundle in the tangent bundle T_N . The orthogonality relations established above imply that K is contained in the σ -orthogonal to $D \times C \times N$, hence also in the σ -orthogonal to T_X at any point $x \in X$. So K is also contained in the σ_N -orthogonal to T_Z at any point $z \in Z$. In other words, $\mathcal{K}|_Z \subset T_Z$ (that is, Z is invariant by the foliation \mathcal{K}). But since Z is of general type, it has only finitely many automorphisms. So $H^0(Z, T_Z) = 0$ and T_Z cannot have a trivial subbundle of positive rank, hence $K = 0$ and σ_N is symplectic.

To deduce the second part of the claim, consider the restriction σ' of σ to $D \times C \times N$. Since the σ' -orthogonal to T_X is contained in its σ -orthogonal, X is also coisotropic with respect to σ' (by definition, we say that X is coisotropic with respect to an arbitrary, not necessarily nondegenerate form σ' , if T_X contains its σ' -orthogonal). By the orthogonality relations above, this implies that Z is σ_N -coisotropic in N , finishing the proof of the claim.

We now give two different proofs of the fact that $Z \subset N$ is Lagrangian and $\dim(C) = \dim(P)$. The first one is shorter, the second one additionally gives information on σ .

First proof: We start by recalling that X is σ -coisotropic in M and remark that $Q = D \times C \times N$ is σ -coisotropic in M as well: indeed since $X \subset Q$, the σ -orthogonal to Q is contained in the σ -orthogonal to T_X at every point of X , so it is also contained in Q at every point of X . By triviality of the tangent bundle of a torus, the orthogonal to a subtorus at a point does not depend on this point. Hence the σ -orthogonal to Q is contained in Q at every point, meaning that Q is coisotropic.

By definition of coisotropy, the codimension of $Q = D \times C \times N$ in M is equal to the corank of the restriction of σ to $D \times C \times N$, that is, $\dim(P) = \dim(C)$. In the same way, the coisotropy of X in M gives $\dim(C \times Z) = \text{codim}(X, M)$ (indeed the fiber of the coisotropic fibration is $C \times Z$), hence $\dim(C) + \dim(Z) = \text{codim}(Z, N) + \dim(P)$

and so again $\dim(Z) = \text{codim}(Z, N)$, meaning that Z is σ_N -Lagrangian in N .

Second proof:

Lemma 15. *The restriction $s_{C \times P}$ is symplectic on $C \times P$, hence $\dim(C) = \dim(P)$ and $Z \subset N$ is σ_N -Lagrangian.*

Proof. We fix $x \in X$, and compute the σ -orthogonal TX_x^\perp of TX_x , which is, by assumption, $C \times TZ_z$, if z is the Z -component of x . This orthogonal consists of the quadruples $(d, c, n, p) \in D \times C \times N \times P$ such that $\sigma((d, c, n, p), (d', c', n')) = 0, \forall (d', c', n') \in D \times C \times TZ_z$. This value of σ is equal to $\sigma_D(d, d') + \sigma_N(n, n') + s(p, (d', c', n'))$. By the linearity of this expression, for (d, c, n, p) fixed, in (d', c', n') , this vanishing is equivalent to the following system of equations:

1. $s(p, c') = 0, \forall c' \in C$.
2. $\sigma_D(d, d') + s(p, d') = 0, \forall d' \in D$.
3. $\sigma_N(n, n') + s(p, n') = 0 \forall n' \in TZ_z$.

Assume the first equation had a nonzero solution p . Since σ_N and σ_D are symplectic, this solution p could be uniquely lifted to a solution d (resp. n) of the second (resp. third) equation, and we would have elements $(d, c, n, p \neq 0)$, with $c \in C$ arbitrary, in TX_x^\perp . Contradiction, since $TX^\perp \subset TX \subset Q$. The only solution p to equation 1 is thus $p = 0$. The map from P to the dual of TC induced by $s_{C \times P}$ is therefore injective, and so $\dim P \leq \dim C$. Since moreover $s(c, c') = 0$ for $c, c' \in C$, the kernel of $s_{C \times P}$ is zero, that is, $s_{C \times P}$ is symplectic. As C is isotropic for $s_{C \times P}$, also $\dim C \leq \dim P$, that is $\dim C = \dim P$.

Since $p = 0$ if $(d, c, n, p) \in TX_x^\perp$, from the second and third equations, we get: $d = 0$, and n is any element of the σ_N -orthogonal of TZ_z , $c \in C$ being arbitrary. We thus get also: $C \times TZ_z = TX_x^\perp = C \times TZ_z^\perp$, the first equality following from the characteristic fibration, TZ_z^\perp being the σ_N -orthogonal. We deduce that $TZ_z = TZ_z^\perp$, so that Z is Lagrangian. \square

Conversely, if we are given any $Z, N, D, C, P, \sigma_N, \sigma_D, \sigma_P, s$ such that:

1. σ_N, σ_D symplectic.
2. $Z \subset N$, Z of general type generating N , σ_N -Lagrangian (so that $\dim N$ is even).
3. $s(p, c)$ symplectic on $C \times P$, C, P maximal isotropic (so that $\dim C = \dim P$).

Then $X := D \times C \times Z$ is σ -coisotropic, with characteristic fibration $f : X \rightarrow D$. This is easy to check, using the preceding arguments.

4. THE IRREDUCIBLE HYPERKÄHLER CASE.

4.1. Some known examples of Lagrangian submanifolds. Lagrangian submanifolds of IHS manifolds have been studied to some extent, especially when the dimension of the ambient IHS manifold is

4. Many examples are exhibited in [25]. C. Voisin also shows that the codimension, in the component of the deformation space of an IHS manifold M , of the locus where the lagrangian submanifold $X \subset M$ deforms together with M is equal to the rank of the restriction map $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$. In particular, a lagrangian $\mathbb{P}^n \subset M$ deforms together with M in codimension 1.

For instance the Hilbert square of a K3 surface S of genus two contains a lagrangian \mathbb{P}^2 , indeed S is a double covering of a plane and the fibers of the covering define a plane in the Hilbert square. In the same spirit, an Enriques surface S' has a K3 covering S and so one obtains an embedding of S' into $S^{[2]}$ with Lagrangian image (because S' does not carry holomorphic 2-forms).

Within M , a lagrangian \mathbb{P}^n is isolated. But there are also examples of dominating families of lagrangian submanifolds. It is easy to see that any lagrangian torus deforms in a covering family (and in fact is a fiber of a lagrangian fibration, see [1] for dimension 4, and [15] in general). One can also have a dominating family of lagrangian submanifolds of general type³. Indeed the variety of lines $M = F(V)$ of a cubic fourfold V is an IHS fourfold of K3 type and the surface of lines S_Y contained in a hyperplane section Y of V is Lagrangian. This is seen from the equality $[\sigma]\Delta = 0$ in the cohomologies of M , where $[\sigma]$ is the class of the symplectic form and $\Delta = [S_Y]$ is the class of the Schubert cycle of lines contained in a hyperplane. This equality results from the fact that $[\sigma]$ comes from a primitive class $\eta \in H^4(V)$ via the “Abel-Jacobi map”, that is the composition of the pullback to the universal family of lines on V with subsequent projection to $F(V)$: one applies the projection formula. One also computes that these surfaces are of general type, using the fact that these are zero-loci of sections of the restriction of the universal bundle from the grassmannian to M . Notice that the surfaces S_Y cover $F(V)$.

Another source of examples is described in [9]: the fixed locus of an antisymplectic involution of a holomorphic symplectic manifold is Lagrangian. This applies for instance to the double EPW sextic (a double covering of a sextic of a certain type in \mathbb{P}^5 which turns out to be an IHS fourfold of K3 type, see e.g. [18]). The fixed locus of the covering involution is a smooth lagrangian surface Σ of general type which does not move in a family. However, E. Macri communicated to us that there is a covering family of lagrangian surfaces in the cohomology class $2[\Sigma]$.

In conclusion, on IHS manifolds we know a considerable variety of lagrangian submanifolds, with negative, zero, torsion or positive canonical class. These submanifolds can move or be fixed. The situation is very different for abelian varieties. See §5.

³E. Macri informed us of a conjecture stating that actually every projective IHS manifold is covered by lagrangian submanifolds

4.2. Lagrangian fibrations. Let $f : M \rightarrow B$ be a Lagrangian fibration on an IHS manifold M , with discriminant hypersurface $\Delta \subset B$. Let X be a “vertical” smooth subvariety, that is, $X = f^{-1}(S)$ for some $S \subsetneq B$. Assume that X is algebraically coisotropic. If Question 4 has a positive answer, then X must be a smooth fibre of f . This is the case under the following hypothesis (this is essentially due to R. Abugaliiev, [4]).

Proposition 16. *In the notations above, assume that $\pi_1(S - (S \cap \Delta))$ surjects onto $\pi_1(B - \Delta)$. If $X = f^{-1}(S)$ is a vertical submanifold, then the leaves of the characteristic foliation of X are Zariski-dense in the fibers of f . In particular, X cannot be algebraically coisotropic unless S is a point.*

Proof: We briefly sketch the proof and refer to [4] for details (he treats the case $\text{codim}(X) = 1$ where the topological condition is essentially automatic). It is easy to see that the leaves are tangent to the fibers of f and the closures of the leaves in the smooth fibers are subtori. When these subtori are proper, this gives a fibration in subtori on a smooth fiber $F \subset X$, and this family of subtori is invariant under the monodromy $\pi_1(S - (S \cap \Delta))$. By a remark of Oguiso (who combined results by Voisin and Matsushita), the image of the restriction map $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(F, \mathbb{Q})$ is one-dimensional, hence, up to proportionality, only one class in $H^2(F, \mathbb{Q})$ can be invariant under $\pi_1(B - \Delta)$. This is the restriction of an ample class on M , itself ample. On the other hand, a fibration of F into proper subtori as above provides a nef non-ample class in $H^2(F, \mathbb{Q})$, invariant under $\pi_1(S - (S \cap \Delta))$ (the pull-back of an ample class on the base). Therefore the closure of a general leaf must be the whole fiber of f .

4.3. Nefness and non-uniruledness. A recent observation by Abugaliiev and Pereira shows that a smooth non-nef hypersurface D in a holomorphic symplectic M is uniruled ([5], Theorem 8.4); if M is an IHS, the Beauville-Bogomolov square of such a D is negative ([5], Corollary 8.5). In general, for a submanifold $X \subset M$ of higher codimension, the non-nefness of K_X implies the existence of rational curves by bend-and-break. It would be interesting to see whether for algebraically coisotropic X , the non-nefness of K_X implies uniruledness as well.

5. LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS ON ABELIAN VARIETIES.

There are obvious “linear” examples of Lagrangian submanifolds (subtori). A few nontrivial examples have been constructed using correspondences between K3 surface (see [8]) or Galois closures of certain coverings (see [6]). Remarkably, in all known examples the abelian variety is not simple. However in [6] the authors show that the square of a sufficiently

general $(1, 2)$ -polarized abelian surface admits a lagrangian surface of general type (Theorem 0.2 of [6]), so the square of a simple abelian surface can contain lagrangian submanifolds other than subtori.

Question 17. *Can a simple abelian variety of dimension greater than two admit a lagrangian submanifold?*

5.1. Hodge-general Abelian Varieties. As we have mentioned already, we do not know a single example of a simple abelian variety M with a lagrangian submanifold, other than in the trivial case $\dim(M) = 2$.

The purpose of this section is to remark that a sufficiently general abelian variety M does not have lagrangian submanifolds. The genericity condition is stronger than simpleness and is of Hodge-theoretic nature (Definition 19).

Notice the sharp contrast with the case of projective IHS manifolds. Indeed we know that in some maximal families of projective IHS, every manifold is covered by lagrangian submanifolds. The reason is as follows: on a Lagrangian submanifold of a sufficiently general manifold (abelian or IHS), all holomorphic 2-forms must vanish, and on an abelian variety there are too many 2-forms for this (see corollary 21 and its proof).

We now give the details (see e.g. [7], §17 for more).

Let M be an abelian variety of dimension g equipped with a polarization, and V its first cohomology $H^1(X, \mathbb{Q})$ equipped with its polarized Hodge structure. We view the polarization as a skew form ϕ on V . There is a natural representation $h : S^1 \rightarrow SL(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ associated to the Hodge structure on V : here S^1 is the unit circle acting with weight 1 on $H^{1,0}$ and -1 on $H^{0,1}$.

Definition 18. *The Hodge group $Hg(M)$ is the smallest algebraic subgroup of $SL(V)$ defined over \mathbb{Q} , such that its group of \mathbb{R} -points contains $h(S^1)$.*

The Hodge group is always an algebraic subgroup of $Sp(V, \phi)$ ([7], Proposition 17.3.2). We say that M is Hodge general when $Hg(M)$ is as large as possible.

Definition 19. *A polarized abelian variety M is Hodge-general if $Hg(M) = Sp(V, \phi)$.*

By [7], Proposition 17.4.2, M is Hodge-general outside of a countable union of strict analytic subsets of the Siegel upper half plane parametrising n -dimensional Abelian varieties with a polarisation H of a given type (see [7], §8.1, this coarse version is sufficient for our purpose). Moreover the Jacobian of a sufficiently general curve is Hodge general. Many explicit examples of Hodge general Jacobians, even for particular types of curves (e.g. hyperelliptic curves) have been constructed by Zarhin (see for instance [26]).

A Hodge-general abelian variety is simple and its Picard number is 1. This immediately follows from the following more precise statement.

Proposition 20. *Let (M, H) be a polarised abelian variety. Denote by $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}}$ the primitive part of the cohomology, that is the orthogonal complement to $H \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ for the pairing induced by the polarization. If (M, H) is Hodge-general, then $\mathbb{Q}H$ and $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}}$ are the only Hodge substructures in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof. Since $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q}) = \wedge^2 H^1(M, \mathbb{Q})$ as polarised Hodge structures, one only needs to check that $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}}$ is an irreducible $Sp(M, H)$ -module. This follows for example from [13], §17, p.260, which asserts that for the standard representation W of the symplectic group, the kernel of the natural contraction map $\wedge^k W \rightarrow \wedge^{k-2} W$ is irreducible (take $k = 2$). \square

Corollary 21. *Let M be a Hodge-general abelian variety (for some polarization H) and σ a non-zero holomorphic 2-form on M . Let X be an irreducible subvariety of M of dimension at least 2, and $j : X' \rightarrow M$ the natural map of a desingularization of X to M . Then the holomorphic 2-form $j^*\sigma$ on X' is non-zero. In particular, M does not contain any Lagrangian subvariety when $\dim(M) > 2$.*

Proof. The natural morphism $j^* : H^2(M, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(X', \mathbb{Q})$ is a morphism of Hodge structures, so its kernel is a Hodge substructure of $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q})$. If M is Hodge-general, there are only two Hodge substructures in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q})$. So in this case, if $j^*\sigma = 0$, this substructure must be $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}}$, and so all holomorphic 2-forms on M vanish on X' . This is not possible if $d = \dim(X) \geq 2$. Indeed: if $x \in X$ is a smooth point, and (z_1, \dots, z_n) are global coordinates on M (ie: linear on its universal cover) such that the tangent space of X at x is defined by $dz_{d+1} = \dots = dz_n = 0$, the global two-form $dz_1 \wedge dz_2$ on M does not vanish on X . \square

Remark 22. *The same argument proves that if $f : Y \rightarrow M$ is a morphism to a Hodge-general M , then $f^*\sigma \neq 0$ unless $\dim(f(Y)) \leq 1$.*

Remark 23. *Not every simple abelian variety is Hodge-general, but every abelian fourfold without non-trivial endomorphisms (that is, such that the ring of group endomorphisms is \mathbb{Z}) is Hodge-general. See [17], Theorem 0.1.*

5.2. Products of simple Abelian varieties. Consider now a product $M = \prod_i M_i$ of Abelian varieties, where the factors M_i are pairwise non-isogeneous, and each $M_i = S_i^{d_i}$ is a power of a simple Abelian variety S_i . We would like to ask the following questions:

1. For which d_i and $\dim(S_i)$ such that $d_i \dim(S_i)$ is even, can M_i contain a Lagrangian subvariety (for some symplectic σ)?

2. If none of the M_i contains a Lagrangian subvariety, can M contain some?

As a variant, one may assume S_i to be Hodge-general (for a certain polarisation), instead of just simple.

Examples for 1 indeed exist (see [6]) if $\dim(S_i) = 2$ and $d_i = 2$, also with Hodge-general S_i equipped a $(1, 2)$ -polarisation. It is shown in [6] that such Abelian surfaces admit a map $\gamma : S \dashrightarrow F$ of degree 3 to a Hirzebruch surface F . The component T of $S \times_F S \subset S \times S$ residual to the diagonal is smooth and Lagrangian for a suitable symplectic 2-form on $S \times S$.

Let us notice that T can also be described as arising from a rational (hence Lagrangian) surface F' in the generalized Kummer IHS $K^{[2]}(S)$. Indeed: let us consider the Zariski-closure T' in S^3 of the set of pairwise distinct triples (p, q, r) which form a fibre of $\gamma : S \dashrightarrow F$. By definition, T' is invariant by the symmetric group S_3 acting on S^3 by permutation of the factors. Thus $T'/S_3 \cong F' \subset S^3/S_3$ is Lagrangian in S^3/S_3 , and so is T' in S^3 . The image of T'/S_3 is contained in a fibre of $S^3/S_3 \rightarrow S$ deduced from the addition on S : indeed, since F is rational, the sum in S of such a triple is constant. Since $K^{[2]}(S)$ is birational to S^3/S_3 , we get the claim.

5.3. Relation to fundamental groups. This is also related to the questions about the fundamental groups of d -dimensional submanifolds $X \subset M$, when M is a $2d$ -dimensional simple Abelian variety, $d \geq 2$.

Recall that if $a_X : X \rightarrow A_X$ is the Albanese map of a complex projective manifold, it induces a natural map: $H^2(A_X, \mathbb{C}) = \wedge^2 H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. The kernel of this map describes the nilpotent completion⁴ of $\pi_1(X)$. Examples of $X \subset M$ with torsionfree nilpotent but non-abelian $\pi_1(X)$ have been so produced ([21], [11]). These examples all have A_X not simple. Moreover the kernels are then contained in $H^{1,1}(M)$. Abelian fourfolds M containing an X such that there is a non-zero holomorphic 2-form in this kernel, and such that X does not fibre over a curve of general type⁵, are constructed in [8], [6]. However, these M are not simple. The following is suggested by [11], Remarque 1.5.

Corollary 24. *Let M be a general abelian variety, and let $h : X \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic map. If $\dim(h(X)) \geq 2$, $h^* : H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ is injective, and either:*

1. $q(X) = \dim(M)$: any torsion-free nilpotent quotient of $\pi_1(X)$ is equal to $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})/\text{Torsion}$, or:
2. $q(X) > \dim(M)$, and A_X is not simple.

⁴Said differently: the tower of torsion-free nilpotent quotients of $\pi_1(X)$.

⁵This property is remarkable because when X admits a fibration over a curve of genus ≥ 2 , this gives a (degenerate) 2-form on its Albanese variety vanishing on the image of X .

Proof. Notice that $h(X)$ generates M , since M is simple. The injectivity claim is remark 22. Assume that $q(X) = \dim(M)$. We then have an isogeny: $u : A_X \rightarrow M$ such that $h = u \circ a_X$, we thus get the injectivity of $a_X^* : H^2(A_X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$, and so the conclusion in case 1, by [11], Théorème 3.10. In case 2, we still have a factorisation $h = u \circ a_X$ as before, but then the kernel of $u : A_X \rightarrow M$ is a positive-dimensional abelian subvariety of A_X , which is therefore not simple. \square

More generally, we ask:

Question 25. *Let M be a simple abelian variety of even dimension $2n > 2$. What are the possible fundamental groups of its smooth submanifolds Y of dimension n ?*

When $\dim(Y) > n$, the fundamental group of Y is abelian (isomorphic to $\pi_1(A_Y)$), by [20]. When $\dim(Y) < n$, there does not seem to be any restriction on $\pi_1(Y)$ (take the inverse image of a suitable Z by a finite projection $M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2n}$). In middle dimension, no example of an “exotic” $\pi_1(Y)$ seems to be known if $M = A_X$ is simple. If $M \neq A_X$ is simple, the Sommese-Van de Ven construction based on the Horrocks-Mumford abelian surfaces gives examples in dimension 4, but seems to be exceptional.

6. A NON-PROJECTIVE EXAMPLE

Proposition 26. *There exist two-dimensional (non-projective) complex tori T admitting an automorphism g such that $g^*(\sigma) = \lambda \cdot \sigma$, for any symplectic 2-form σ on T , with λ a complex number not a root of unity.*

Remark 27. $|\lambda| = 1$, and T cannot be projective, see [23], §14.

Corollary 28. *Let T, λ be as in Proposition 26 above, and let S be the smooth Kummer surface associated to T . Let s be a symplectic form on either T or S . On $T \times T$ and $S \times S$ there exist smooth Lagrangian surfaces for the symplectic form $(s, \lambda s)$.*

Proof. (of corollary 28) Take the graph of g in $T \times T$, and its image in $S \times S$. \square

Remark 29. *We do not know if there exists an abelian surface T and a Lagrangian surface $S \subset T \times T$ for a symplectic form $(s, \lambda s)$ with λ of infinite order in \mathbb{C}^* .*

Proof. (of Proposition 26). The construction follows closely the one due to S. Iitaka in dimension 3, as exposed in [23], Remark 14.6, p. 179.

Let $P(X) := X^4 + X + 1$: it is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} by reduction modulo 2. The roots a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b} of $P(X)$ in \mathbb{C} are all distinct and non-real. We define $T = \mathbb{C}^2/R$ as the quotient of \mathbb{C}^2 with \mathbb{C} -basis (e, e') by the lattice

R generated over \mathbb{Z} by the four elements $R_i := a^i.e + b^i.e', i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. That R is indeed a lattice follows from the fact that, otherwise there would exist $Q(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ a nonzero polynomial of degree less than 4 vanishing on a and b . Thus Q had to vanish on their conjugates, and so be divisible by $P(X)$, so that $\deg(Q) \geq 4$, a contradiction.

The torus T has an automorphism g acting by multiplication by a on e , and by b on e' , and so g acts on any symplectic form σ on T by multiplication by $\lambda := ab$. We have $|ab| = 1$ since the constant coefficient of $P(X)$ is $1 = |ab|^2$. It remains to see that λ is not a root of unity, or equivalently that λ has a Galois conjugate not of modulus 1. It is a standard exercise to check that the Galois group G of $P(X)$ is S_4 . There is thus an element of G which fixes a and sends b to \bar{a} . Thus ab is G -conjugate to $a\bar{a}$. If $|a|^2 = 1$, $ab = 1$, since it is a G -conjugate of $a\bar{a}$, and so $\bar{a} = b$. Contradiction. \square

Remark 30. *The torus T just constructed is simple of algebraic dimension 0. Indeed, since T is not projective, if its algebraic dimension were 1 (or equivalently, if it were not simple), it would have a quotient fibration $f : T \rightarrow B = T/E$ for elliptic curves E, B . This fibration would be preserved by $\text{Aut}(T)$, which is a finite extension of $\text{Aut}^0(T)$ since $\text{Aut}(E)$ and $\text{Aut}(B)$ have finitely many components.*

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to E. Macri and Y. Zarhin for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A remark on a question of Beauville about Lagrangian fibrations. Mosc. Math. J. 12 (2012), no. 4, 701–704
- [2] E.Amerik, F. Campana. *Characteristic foliation on non-uniruled smooth divisors on projective hyperKähler manifolds*. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 95 (2017), no. 1, 115 – 127.
- [3] E. Amerik, F. Campana. *Specialness and isotriviality for regular algebraic foliations*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 68 (2018), no. 7, 2923–2950
- [4] R. Abugaliev, *Characteristic foliation on vertical hypersurfaces on holomorphic symplectic manifolds with Lagrangian Fibration*, arXiv:1909.07260
- [5] R. Abugaliev, *Characteristic foliation on hypersurfaces with positive Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki square*, arXiv:2102.02799
- [6] F. Bastianelli, G. P. Pirola, L. Stoppino, *Galois closure and Lagrangian varieties*, Adv. Math. 225 (2010), no. 6, 3463–3501.
- [7] C. Birkenhake, H.Lange. *Complex Abelian Varieties*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 302. Springer Verlag (2004)
- [8] F. Bogomolov, Y. Tschinkel. *Lagrangian subvarieties of Abelian fourfolds*. Asian J. Math. 4 (2000), 19–36.
- [9] A. Beauville, *Antisymplectic involutions of holomorphic symplectic manifolds*. J. Topol. 4 (2011), no. 2, 300–304.
- [10] F. Campana. *Coréduction algébrique d’un espace analytique faiblement Kählerien compact*. Inv. Math. 63 (1981), 187–223.
- [11] F. Campana. *Remarks on nilpotent Kähler groups*. Ann. Sc. ENS. (1995)

- [12] E. Freitag, Über die Struktur der Funktionenkörper zu hyperabelschen Gruppen, I, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 247 (1971), 97–117.
- [13] W. Fulton-J. Harris. Representation theory, A first course. Springer-Verlag (1991)
- [14] J.-M. Hwang, E. Viehweg. *Characteristic foliation on a hypersurface of general type in a projective symplectic manifold.* *Compos. Math.* 146 (2010), no. 2, 497–506.
- [15] J.-M. Hwang, R. Weiss, Webs of Lagrangian tori in projective symplectic manifolds, *Invent. Math.* 192 (2013), no. 1, 83–109.
- [16] A. Mattuck. Cycles on Abelian Varieties. *Proc. AMS.* 9 (1958), 88–98.
- [17] B. Moonen, Y. Zarhin, Hodge classes on abelian varieties of low dimension. *Math Ann* 315, 711–733 (1999).
- [18] K. O’Grady, Irreducible symplectic 4-folds and Eisenbud-Popescu-Walter sextics. *Duke Math. J.* 134 (2006), no. 1, 99–137.
- [19] J. Sawon, *Foliations on hypersurfaces in holomorphic symplectic manifolds,* *International Mathematics Research Notices* (2009), no. 23, 4496–4545
- [20] J. Sommese. Complex subspaces of homogeneous manifolds I. *Duke Math. J.* 46 (1979), 527–548.
- [21] J. Sommese-A. Van de Ven. Homotopy groups of pullbacks of varieties. *Nagoya Math. J.* 102 (1986), 79–90.
- [22] B. Taji. Birational geometry of smooth families of varieties admitting good minimal models. arxiv. 2005.01025v3
- [23] K. Ueno, *Classification theory of algebraic varieties and compact complex spaces. Notes written in collaboration with P. Cherenack.* *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 439. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [24] C. Voisin. Remarks And Questions On Coisotropic Subvarieties and 0-Cycles of Hyper-Kähler Varieties, K3 surfaces and their moduli, 365–399, *Progr. Math.*, 315, Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], 2016.
- [25] C. Voisin Sur la stabilité des sous-variétés lagrangiennes des variétés symplectiques holomorphes. *Complex projective geometry (Trieste, 1989/Bergen, 1989)*, 294–303, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, 179, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [26] Y. Zarhin, Hodge classes on certain hyperelliptic prymians, *Contemporary Math.*, vol. 574 (2012), 171–183

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D’ORSAY, 91405 ORSAY, FRANCE, AND NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, LABORATORY OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY AND ITS APPLICATIONS, USACHEVA 6, 119048 MOSCOW, RUSSIA

Email address: `ekaterina.amerik@math.u-psud.fr`

UNIVERSITÉ LORRAINE, INSTITUT ELIE CARTAN, NANCY, FRANCE

Email address: `frederic.campana@univ-lorraine.fr`