

# EXPLICIT MULTIPLICATION TABLES OF CAYLEY-DICKSON ALGEBRAS

GUANGBIN REN AND XIN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. A long-standing unresolved issue in the Cayley-Dickson algebra of dimension  $2^n$  is that there lacks of an explicit multiplication table although it can be constructed via inductive construction. In this article we solve this open problem. We show that the Cayley-Dickson algebra is a twisted group algebra with an *explicit* twist function  $\sigma(A, B)$  such that

$$e_A e_B = (-1)^{\sigma(A, B)} e_{A \oplus B}.$$

The same approach also yields similar results for split Cayley-Dickson algebras.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Cayley-Dickson algebras	3
3. Twisted group algebras	4
4. The main result	5
5. Split Cayley-Dickson algebras	11
References	13

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is a long-standing issue about the lack of an explicit multiplication table for the Cayley-Dickson algebra  $\mathbb{D}^{(n)}$  of dimension  $2^n$ . Many efforts have been made to solve this open problem by regarding the Cayley-Dickson algebra as a twisted group algebra [1, 5, 13]. More precisely, the Cayley-Dickson algebra is a twisted group algebra with a twist function  $\sigma(A, B)$  subject to the rules

$$(1.1) \quad e_A e_B = (-1)^{\sigma(A, B)} e_{A \oplus B}.$$

Here the symbol  $A \oplus B$  denotes the binary addition by regarding  $0 \leq A, B \leq 2^n - 1$  as elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . We also refer to [12] for a direct proof of formula (1.1) up to a sign.

However, there is no explicit formula for the twist function so far. Although when  $n = 1, 2, 3$  the twisted group algebra admits explicit twist functions [3], the twist appears to be highly chaotic in the general case.

Nevertheless, Albuquerque and Majid [1] can provide recurrence formulas with the quasi-algebra approach. See also Flaut and Boboescu [6] with a different approach.

---

*Date:* May 18, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 16S35; 17A30; 17A60.

*Key words and phrases.* Cayley-Dickson algebra; group algebras, twist functions.

This work was supported by the NNSF of China (12171448).

Cayley–Dickson algebras have shown great influences in physics and mathematics, for instances on algebras [15], analysis [9], geometry [11, 16], quantum field theory [7, 4], and the standard model of the universe [10]. There is an urgent need for explicit multiplication tables.

The purpose of this article is to solve this problem by providing an explicit twist function of the Cayley-Dickson algebra  $\mathbb{D}^{(n)}$ .

Notice that the twist function  $\sigma(A, B)$  satisfies the following properties. For any  $A \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$ , we have

$$\sigma(0, A) = \sigma(A, 0) = 0$$

and

$$\sigma(A, A) = \begin{cases} 0, & A = 0; \\ 1, & A \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, to provide the twist function with an explicit formula we only need to consider the case where

$$1 \leq A \neq B \leq 2^n - 1.$$

In this case, by definition we have

$$\sigma(A, B) = \sigma(B, A) + 1.$$

This reduces our consideration to the case where

$$(1.2) \quad 1 \leq A \neq B \leq 2^n - 1, \quad \deg A \geq \deg B.$$

Henceforth, we always express the integers above in terms of binary decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} A &= a_0 2^0 + \dots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1}, \\ B &= b_0 2^0 + \dots + b_{n-1} 2^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

with all coefficients  $a_j, b_j = 0, 1$  and denote

$$l = \max\{\deg A, \deg B, \deg(A \oplus B)\}.$$

Here, the degree of  $A$  is defined to be the index of the leading term, i.e.,

$$\deg A := \min\{i \geq 0 : a_i \neq 0\}.$$

**Theorem 1.** *Under the assumption in (1.2), the twist function is given by*

$$(1.3) \quad \sigma(A, B) = \delta_{\deg A, \deg B} + b_l + \sum_{i=l}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i),$$

where

$$\phi(a, b) = a + b + ab.$$

With the same approach, we can establish the similar formulas for split Cayley-Dickson algebras. Split Cayley-Dickson algebras are hyperbolic analogues of Cayley-Dickson algebras. We refer to [7] for their broad applications.

## 2. CAYLEY-DICKSON ALGEBRAS

The Cayley-Dickson algebras over  $\mathbb{R}$  can be defined inductively via the Cayley-Dickson process; see [2, 8]. This means  $\mathbb{D}^{(n+1)}$  can be constructed from  $\mathbb{D}^{(n)}$  by adjoining to it one generator.

More precisely, let  $\mathbb{D}^{(0)} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{D}^{(n)}$  be an algebra with the standard algebraic generators

$$g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$$

satisfying

$$g_0^2 = -1, \dots, g_{n-1}^2 = -1.$$

with the conjugate such that

$$\overline{g_0} = -g_0, \dots, \overline{g_{n-1}} = -g_{n-1}.$$

By the Cayley-Dickson construction,  $\mathbb{D}^{(n+1)}$  is built up from  $\mathbb{D}^{(n)}$  by adding a new imaginary unit  $g_n$  subject to the rules

$$(2.1) \quad (a + bg_n)(c + dg_n) = (ac - \overline{db}) + (da + b\overline{c})g_n$$

for any  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{D}^{(n)}$ . This is a doubling procedure of a smashed product; see [15, 14].

We rewrite the multiplication relations in (2.1) as follows. For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{D}^{(n)}$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad g_n^2 = -1,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \overline{g_n} = -g_n$$

$$(2.4) \quad a(g_nb) = g_n(\overline{ab}),$$

$$(2.5) \quad (ag_n)b = (\overline{ab})g_n,$$

$$(2.6) \quad (g_na)(bg_n) = -\overline{ab}.$$

There are some induced relations of this construction.

**Lemma 1.** *The identities (2.2)~(2.6) imply the following relations:*

$$(2.7) \quad ag_n = g_n\overline{a},$$

$$(2.8) \quad g_na = \overline{a}g_n,$$

$$(2.9) \quad (g_na)b = g_n(ba),$$

$$(2.10) \quad a(bg_n) = (\overline{ba})g_n,$$

$$(2.11) \quad (g_na)(g_nb) = -\overline{ba},$$

$$(2.12) \quad (ag_n)(bg_n) = -\overline{ba}.$$

**Convention:** We make the convention that multiplication of octonions follows the principle from left to right. That is,

$$(2.13) \quad g_{i_0}g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_k} := \left( \left( (g_{i_0}g_{i_1})g_{i_2} \right) \dots g_{i_k} \right).$$

**Definition 1.** *Let  $A$  be a nonnegative integer with binary expansion*

$$A = a_02^0 + a_12^1 + \dots + a_{n-1}2^{n-1},$$

where all  $a_i = 0, 1$ . We define

$$(2.14) \quad e_A := g_0^{a_0} g_1^{a_1} \dots g_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}.$$

## 3. TWISTED GROUP ALGEBRAS

The Cayley-Dickson algebra can be regarded as a twist group algebra [1].

**Definition 2.** A twisted group algebra  $k_F G$  for a finite group  $G$  over a field  $k$  is a  $k$ -algebra which has a basis  $\{a_g : g \in G\}$  with

$$a_g \cdot a_{g'} := F(a_g, a_{g'}) a_{gg'},$$

where  $F(a_g, a_{g'}) \in k \setminus 0$ .

**Lemma 2.** [1, Prop 4.1] There exists a 2-cochain map

$$F : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

such that

$$\mathbb{D}^{(n)} \cong k_F G,$$

where

$$k = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n.$$

**Definition 3.** Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{N}$  be with binary decompositions

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A &= a_0 2^0 + \cdots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1}, \\ B &= b_0 2^0 + \cdots + b_{n-1} 2^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

with all  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . We define the binary addition as

$$A \oplus B := (a_0 + b_0) 2^0 + \cdots + (a_{n-1} + b_{n-1}) 2^{n-1}.$$

Here we make a convention that all the coefficients

$$a_i + b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

**Lemma 3.** For any  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists a map

$$\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

such that

$$(3.2) \quad e_A e_B = (-1)^{\sigma(A, B)} e_{A \oplus B}.$$

*Proof.* Denote

$$F(A, B) = (-1)^{\sigma(A, B)}.$$

The result follows directly from Lemma 2. □

The twist function  $\sigma(A, B)$  admits a recursion formula [1]. For completeness, we give the proof here.

**Proposition 1.** For any integers  $0 \leq A, B \leq 2^n - 1$  and  $a_n, b_n \in \{0, 1\}$ , we have the recursion formula

$$(3.3) \quad \sigma(A + a_n 2^n, B + b_n 2^n) = \sigma(A, B)(1 + b_n) + \sigma(B, A)b_n + \sigma(B, B)a_n + a_n b_n.$$

*Proof.* By (2.5), we have

$$e_{A+2^n} e_B = (e_A \bar{e}_B) e_{2^n}$$

so that

$$(3.4) \quad \sigma(A + 2^n, B) = \sigma(A, B) + \sigma(B, B).$$

It follows from (2.10) that

$$e_A e_{B+2^n} = (e_B e_A) e_{2^n},$$

which means

$$(3.5) \quad \sigma(A, B + 2^n) = \sigma(B, A).$$

We apply (2.12) to get

$$e_{A+2^n} e_{B+2^n} = -\bar{e}_B e_A$$

and thus

$$(3.6) \quad \sigma(A + 2^n, B + 2^n) = 1 + \sigma(B, B) + \sigma(B, A).$$

Combining (3.4) with (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\sigma(A + a_n 2^n, B + b_n 2^n) = \sigma(A, B)(1 + b_n) + \sigma(B, A)b_n + a_n \sigma(B, B) + a_n b_n.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. THE MAIN RESULT

In this section, we establish the main result in this article. To express our main result, we need to introduce two new concepts.

**Definition 4.** *The degree of any positive integer  $A$  with the binomial expression*

$$A = a_0 2^0 + a_1 2^1 + \cdots + a_n 2^n$$

*is defined to be the index of the leading term, i.e.,*

$$\deg A := \min\{i \geq 0 : a_i \neq 0\}.$$

**Definition 5.** *We define an auxiliary function*

$$\phi : \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

*defined by*

$$\phi(a, b) = a + b + ab.$$

We introduce a constant

$$l = l(A, B) := \max\{\deg A, \deg B, \deg(A \oplus B)\}.$$

It has the following crucial properties.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $0 \leq A, B \leq 2^n - 1$  be positive integers with binary decompositions*

$$A = a_0 2^0 + \cdots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1},$$

$$B = b_0 2^0 + \cdots + b_{n-1} 2^{n-1}.$$

(1) *If  $\deg A = \deg B$ , then*

$$a_l + b_l = 1.$$

(2) *We have*

$$\phi(a_l, b_l) = 1.$$

(3)

$$A_l \neq 0, \quad B_l \neq 0, \quad (A + B)_l \neq 0.$$

Moreover,

$$A_N \neq 0, \quad B_N \neq 0, \quad (A + B)_N \neq 0,$$

for any  $N \geq l$ .

*Proof.* (1) We denote

$$n_0 := \deg A = \deg B.$$

Let  $N$  be the integer such that

$$N > n_0$$

and

$$\begin{cases} a_{n_0} & = & b_{n_0} \\ a_{n_0+1} & = & b_{n_0+1} \\ & \dots & \\ a_{N-1} & = & b_{N-1} \\ a_N & \neq & b_N. \end{cases}$$

In the finite field  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , this can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} a_{n_0} + b_{n_0} & = & 0 \\ a_{n_0+1} + b_{n_0+1} & = & 0 \\ & \dots & \\ a_{N-1} + b_{N-1} & = & 0 \\ a_N + b_N & = & 1. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, we can rewrite  $A$  and  $B$  as

$$\begin{aligned} A &= a_{n_0}2^{n_0} + a_{n_0+1}2^{n_0+1} + \dots + a_{N-1}2^{N-1} + a_N2^N + \dots, \\ B &= a_{n_0}2^{n_0} + a_{n_0+1}2^{n_0+1} + \dots + a_{N-1}2^{N-1} + b_N2^N + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_N \neq b_N$ , it follows from the definition of the binary addition that

$$\begin{aligned} A \oplus B &= (a_N + b_N)2^N + \dots \\ &= 2^N + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

This means

$$\deg(A \oplus B) = N$$

Consequently,

$$a_l + b_l = 1.$$

(2) It is clear that

$$\phi(a, b) = 0$$

if and only if

$$a = b = 0.$$

Since

$$a_l + b_l = 1$$

in the case where  $\deg A = \deg B$  due to the just proved result in (1), it follows that

$$\phi(a_l, b_l) = 1.$$

For the remaining case where  $\deg A \neq \deg B$ , we apply the definition of  $l$  to deduce that

$$a_l = 1, \quad \text{if } \deg A > \deg B,$$

$$b_l = 1, \quad \text{if } \deg B > \deg A.$$

In both cases, we always have

$$\phi(a_l, b_l) = 1.$$

(3) We divide the proof into three cases.

**Case 1.**  $\deg A > \deg B$ .

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \deg A, \\ a_l &= 1, \\ a_{\deg B} &= 0, \\ b_{\deg B} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_l &= a_l 2^l + \dots = 2^l + \dots \neq 0, \\ B_l &= b_{\deg B} 2^{\deg B} + \dots = 2^{\deg B} + \dots \neq 0, \\ (A \oplus B)_l &= (a_{\deg B} + b_{\deg B}) 2^{\deg B} + \dots = 2^{\deg B} + \dots \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2.**  $\deg A < \deg B$ .

This is similar as in **Case 1**.

**Case 3.**  $\deg A = \deg B$ .

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \deg(A \oplus B), \\ l &> \deg A, \\ l &> \deg B. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_l &= a_{\deg A} 2^{\deg A} + \dots = 2^{\deg A} + \dots \neq 0, \\ B_l &= b_{\deg B} 2^{\deg B} + \dots = 2^{\deg B} + \dots \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the fact

$$a_l + b_l = 1$$

proved in (1), we have

$$(A \oplus B)_l = (a_l + b_l) 2^l + (A \oplus B)_{l-1} = 2^l + (A \oplus B)_{l-1} \neq 0.$$

□

For any positive integer  $A$  with binary decomposition

$$A = a_0 2^0 + a_1 2^1 + \dots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1},$$

denote

$$A_i = a_0 2^0 + a_1 2^1 + \dots + a_i 2^i.$$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $1 \leq A \neq B \leq 2^n - 1$  be positive integers with binary decompositions*

$$A = a_0 2^0 + \dots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1},$$

$$B = b_0 2^0 + \dots + b_{n-1} 2^{n-1}.$$

*Then we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma(A, B) = \sigma(A_l, B_l) + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i),$$

where  $l = \max\{\deg A, \deg B, \deg(A \oplus B)\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $l = n - 1$ , then Equation (4.1) becomes trivial:

$$\sigma(A, B) = \sigma(A_{n-1}, B_{n-1}).$$

Thus, in the remaining case,

$$l \leq n - 2.$$

By Lemma 4(3), we know that for any  $l + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , we have

$$A_{i-1} \neq B_{i-1},$$

$$A_{i-1} \neq 0,$$

$$B_{i-1} \neq 0.$$

By Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(A_i, B_i) &= \sigma(A_{i-1}, B_{i-1})(1 + b_i) + \sigma(B_{i-1}, A_{i-1})b_i \\ &\quad + \sigma(B_{i-1}, B_{i-1})a_i + a_i b_i \\ (4.2) \quad &= (\sigma(A_{i-1}, B_{i-1}) + \sigma(B_{i-1}, A_{i-1})) b_i \\ &\quad + \sigma(A_{i-1}, B_{i-1}) + a_i + a_i b_i \\ &= \sigma(A_{i-1}, B_{i-1}) + b_i + a_i + a_i b_i \\ &= \sigma(A_{i-1}, B_{i-1}) + \phi(a_i, b_i). \end{aligned}$$

Repeating (4.2) for  $i$  from  $n - 1$  to  $l + 1$ , we have

$$(4.3) \quad \sigma(A, B) = \sigma(A_{n-1}, B_{n-1}) = \sigma(A_l, B_l) + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i).$$

□

Now we can state our main result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $1 \leq A \neq B \leq 2^n - 1$  be positive integers with binary decompositions*

$$A = a_0 2^0 + \cdots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1},$$

$$B = b_0 2^0 + \cdots + b_{n-1} 2^{n-1}.$$

*Then we can take the twist function in (3.2) as*

$$(4.4) \quad \sigma(A, B) = \begin{cases} b_l + \sum_{i=l}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i), & \deg A > \deg B, \\ 1 + a_l + \sum_{i=l}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i), & \deg A < \deg B, \\ a_l + \sum_{i=l}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i), & \deg A = \deg B, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\phi(a, b) = a + b + ab$$



and

$$l = \max\{\deg A, \deg B, \deg(A \oplus B)\}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 5,

$$\sigma(A, B) = \sigma(A_l, B_l) + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i).$$

We divide the proof into three cases:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Case1} : \deg A > \deg B, \\ \mathbf{Case2} : \deg A < \deg B, \\ \mathbf{Case3} : \deg A = \deg B. \end{array} \right.$$

**Case 1.**  $\deg A > \deg B$ .

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \deg A. \\ A_l &= 2^l. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(4.5) \quad e_{A_l} e_{B_l} = e_{2^l} e_{B_{l-1} + b_l 2^l} = \begin{cases} -e_{B_{l-1} + 2^l}, & \text{if } b_l = 0, \\ e_{B_{l-1}}, & \text{if } b_l = 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$(4.6) \quad \sigma(A_l, B_l) = 1 + b_l.$$

Substitute (4.6) into (4.1), and taking

$$1 = \phi(a_l, b_l)$$

due to Lemma 4, we have

$$\sigma(A, B) = 1 + b_l + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i) = b_l + \sum_{i=l}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i).$$

**Case 2.**  $\deg A < \deg B$ .

In this case,

$$l(A, B) = l(B, A) = \deg B.$$

By **Case 1**,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(A, B) &= 1 + \sigma(B, A) = 1 + a_l + \sum_{i=l(B,A)}^{n-1} \phi(b_i, a_i) \\ &= 1 + a_l + \sum_{i=l}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i) \end{aligned}$$

**Case 3.**  $\deg A = \deg B$ .

In this case,

$$l = \deg(A \oplus B).$$

$$A_{l-1} = B_{l-1} \neq 0.$$

$$a_l + b_l = 1.$$

Since

$$e_{A_l} e_{B_l} = e_{A_{l-1} + a_l 2^l} e_{A_{l-1} + b_l 2^l} = \begin{cases} e_{2^l}, & \text{if } a_l = 1, b_l = 0, \\ -e_{2^l}, & \text{if } a_l = 0, b_l = 1, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$(4.7) \quad \sigma(A_l, B_l) = 1 + a_l.$$

Substitute (4.7) into (4.1), and taking

$$1 = \phi(a_l, b_l)$$

due to Lemma 4, we have

$$\sigma(A, B) = 1 + a_l + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i) = a_l + \sum_{i=l}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i).$$

This finishes the proof. □

We can rewrite Theorem 2 in an alternative way.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $1 \leq A \neq B \leq 2^n - 1$  be positive integers with binary decompositions*

$$A = a_0 2^0 + \cdots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1},$$

$$B = b_0 2^0 + \cdots + b_{n-1} 2^{n-1}.$$

Moreover, we assume

$$\deg A \geq \deg B.$$

Then the twist function is given by

$$(4.8) \quad \sigma(A, B) = \delta_{\deg A, \deg B} + b_l + \sum_{i=l}^{n-1} \phi(a_i, b_i),$$

where

$$\phi(a, b) = a + b + ab.$$

*Proof.* If  $\deg A = \deg B$ , then it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$a_l + b_l = 1.$$

This gives the desired result. □

## 5. SPLIT CAYLEY-DICKSON ALGEBRAS

In this section, we provide the split Cayley-Dickson algebras with explicit formulas for their twist functions with the same approach above.

Based on [14], we have a doubling product definition for both Cayley-Dickson algebras and split Cayley-Dickson algebras.

**Definition 6.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, +, \cdot)$  be an algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$ . An involution  $a \mapsto \bar{a}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is an endomorphism of the vector space  $\mathcal{A}$  such that for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , one has

$$\bar{\bar{a}} = a$$

and

$$\overline{ab} = \bar{b}\bar{a}.$$

Let  $1_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the identity of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the involution  $a \mapsto \bar{a}$  is called regular if for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$a + \bar{a} = t(a)1_{\mathcal{A}},$$

$$a\bar{a} = \bar{a}a = n(a)1_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Here  $t(a) \in \mathbb{F}$  is called trace of  $a$  and  $n(a) \in \mathbb{F}$  is called the norm of  $a$ .

Henceforth, we assume  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  with a regular involution  $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ .

**Definition 7.** The algebra  $\mathcal{A}\{\gamma\}$  is an algebra produced by Cayley-Dickson process applied to  $\mathcal{A}$  with a parameter  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}, \gamma \neq 0$ , which is defined as the set of ordered pairs of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  with operations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a, b) &= (\alpha a, \alpha b); \\ (a, b) + (c, d) &= (a + c, b + d); \\ (a, b)(c, d) &= (ac + \gamma \bar{d}b, da + b\bar{c}) \end{aligned}$$

and involution

$$\overline{(a, b)} = (\bar{a}, -b)$$

for any  $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Under this definition, the Cayley-Dickson algebra  $\mathbb{D}$  can be expressed as

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}^{(n)} = \mathbb{R}\{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}\},$$

where

$$\gamma_0 = \dots = \gamma_{n-1} = -1.$$

By induction, we have

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbb{D}^{(n+1)} = \mathbb{D}(\gamma_n) = \mathbb{R}\{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n\},$$

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbb{D}_s^{(n+1)} = \mathbb{D}\{\gamma_n^s\} = \mathbb{R}\{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n^s\},$$

where

$$\gamma_0 = \dots = \gamma_{n-1} = \gamma_n = -1, \quad \gamma_n^s = 1.$$

**Definition 8.** [1] The twist function

$$\sigma_s : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

of the split Cayley-Dickson algebra  $\mathbb{D}_s^{(n+1)}$  is defined by

$$e_p e_q = (-1)^{\sigma_s(p,q)} e_{p \oplus q}$$

for any  $p, q = 0, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1$ .

**Proposition 2.** For any integers  $0 \leq A, B \leq 2^n - 1$  and  $a_n, b_n \in \{0, 1\}$ , we have the recursion formula

$$(5.4) \quad \sigma_s(A + a_n 2^n, B + b_n 2^n) = \sigma_s(A, B)(1 + b_n) + \sigma_s(B, A)b_n + \sigma_s(B, B)a_n.$$

*Proof.* By definition, we have

$$e_A(e_B e_{2^n}) = (e_B e_A) e_{2^n}$$

so that

$$(5.5) \quad \sigma_s(A, B + 2^n) = \sigma_s(B, A).$$

Similar, due to

$$(e_A e_{2^n}) e_B = (e_A \bar{e}_B) e_{2^n},$$

we get

$$(5.6) \quad \sigma_s(A + 2^n, B) = \sigma(A, B) + \sigma(B, B).$$

Also we can apply the fact

$$(e_A e_{2^n})(e_B e_{2^n}) = \bar{e}_B e_A$$

to deduce

$$(5.7) \quad \sigma_s(A + 2^n, B + 2^n) = \sigma_s(B, A) + \sigma(B, B).$$

Combining (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7), the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that  $0 \leq A', B' \leq 2^{n+1} - 1$  with the binary decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= a_0 2^0 + \cdots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1} + a_n 2^n, \\ B' &= b_0 2^0 + \cdots + b_{n-1} 2^{n-1} + b_n 2^n. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\sigma_s$  be the twist function of the split Cayley-Dickson algebra  $\mathbb{D}_s^{(n+1)}$  and  $\sigma$  the twist function of the Cayley-Dickson algebra  $\mathbb{D}^{(n+1)}$ . Then

$$(5.8) \quad \sigma_s(A', B') = \sigma(A', B') + a_n b_n.$$

*Proof.* Denote

$$A' = A + a_n 2^n,$$

$$B' = B + b_n 2^n.$$

By Proposition 2,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.9) \quad \sigma_s(A + a_n 2^n, B + b_n 2^n) &= \sigma_s(A, B)(1 + b_n) + \sigma_s(B, A)b_n + \sigma_s(B, B)a_n \\ &= \sigma(A, B)(1 + b_n) + \sigma(B, A)b_n + \sigma(B, B)a_n \\ &= a_n b_n + \sigma(A + 2^n, B + 2^n) \\ &= a_n b_n + \sigma(A', B'). \end{aligned}$$

In the penultimate equality, we use Proposition 1. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Albuquerque, S. Majid, *Quasialgebra structure of the octonions*, J. Algebra **220** (1999), no. 1, 188–224.
- [2] J. C. Baez, *The octonions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **39** (2002), no. 2, 145–205.
- [3] T. Basak, *The octonions as a twisted group algebra*, Finite Fields Appl. **50** (2018), 113–121.
- [4] A. A. Bogush, Yu. A. Kurochkin, *Cayley-Dickson procedure, relativistic wave equations and supersymmetric oscillators*, Acta Appl. Math. **50** (1998), no. 1-2, 121–129.
- [5] R. Busby, H. Smith, *Representations of twisted group algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), no. 2, 503–537.
- [6] C. Flaut, R. Boboescu, *A twisted group algebra structure for an algebra obtained by the Cayley-Dickson process*, 2021, arXiv:2103.12805.
- [7] G. Grensing, *Structural aspects of quantum field theory and noncommutative geometry. Vol. I, II.*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2013.
- [8] N. Gubareni, *Introduction to Modern Algebra and Its Applications*, CRC Press, 2020.
- [9] Q. Huo, G. Ren, *Structure of octonionic Hilbert spaces with applications in the Parseval equality and Cayley-Dickson algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **63** (2022), no. 4, Paper No. 042101.
- [10] N. Masi, *An exceptional  $G(2)$  extension of the Standard Model form correspondence with Cayley-Dickson algebras automorphism groups*, Sci Rep **11**, 22528 (2021).
- [11] Y. X. Martins, R. J. Biezuner, *Topological and geometric obstructions on Einstein-Hilbert-Palatini theories*, J. Geom. Phys. **142** (2019), 229–239.
- [12] G. Ren, Z. Xin, *Hadamard transforms and analysis on Cayley-Dickson algebras*, submitted.
- [13] W. F. Reynolds, *Twisted group algebras over arbitrary fields*, Illinois J. Math. **15** (1971), 91–103.
- [14] R. D. Schafer, *On the algebras formed by the Cayley-Dickson process*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 435–446.
- [15] R. D. Schafer, *An Introduction to Nonassociative Algebras*, Dover Publications, New York, 1995.
- [16] A. Swann, *Twisting Hermitian and hypercomplex geometries*, Duke Math. J. **155** (2010), no. 2, 403 - 431.

*Email address*, G. Ren: [rengb@ustc.edu.cn](mailto:rengb@ustc.edu.cn)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI 230026, CHINA

*Email address*, X. Zhao: [zx130781@mail.ustc.edu.cn](mailto:zx130781@mail.ustc.edu.cn)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI 230026, CHINA