

May 18, 2022

AN EXPLICIT COMPARISON OF ANTICYCLOTOMIC p -ADIC L -FUNCTIONS FOR HIDA FAMILIES

CHAN-HO KIM AND MATTEO LONGO

ABSTRACT. The aim of this note is to compare several anticyclotomic p -adic L -functions for modular forms and p -adic families of ordinary modular forms, which have been defined and studied from different perspectives by Skinner–Urban, Hida, Perrin-Riou, Bertolini–Darmon, Vatsal, Chida–Hsieh, Longo–Vigni, Castella–Longo and Castella–Kim–Longo. The main result of this paper is a comparison between the central critical twist of the two-variable anticyclotomic p -adic L -function obtained as specialisation of the three-variable p -adic L -function of Skinner–Urban and the two-variable p -adic L -function introduced by one of the authors on collaboration with Vigni by means of p -adic families of Gross points.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Hecke characters	3
3.	Complex L -functions	4
4.	Skinner–Urban p -adic L -functions	7
5.	Chida–Hsieh p -adic L -function	11
6.	Periods and congruence ideals	12
7.	Comparison between Skinner–Urban and Chida–Hsieh: weight two forms	13
8.	Hida–Büyükboduk–Lei p -adic L -function	15
9.	Comparison between Hida–Büyükboduk–Lei and Chida–Hsieh	16
10.	Comparison between Skinner–Urban and Hida–Büyükboduk–Lei	16
11.	Quaternionic two-variable p -adic L -functions	17
	References	17

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Overview.** Anticyclotomic p -adic L -functions attached to modular forms and p -adic families of modular forms, have a long story and have been studied in many papers by several authors; among others, Hida [11], Perrin-Riou [27], Vatsal [36], Bertolini–Darmon [1, 2, 3], and, more recently, Skinner–Urban [35], Lei–Loeffler–Zerbes [22], Kings–Loeffler–Zerbes [21], Büyükboduk–Lei [5], [6], Longo–Vigni [23], Castella–Longo [8], Castella–Kim–Longo [7]. However, it is rather unclear whether these constructions give us the *entirely* same object since the choice of periods and the fudge factors in the interpolation formulas of these p -adic L -functions would depend on their own constructions.

To the best knowledge of the authors, the following two constructions have been mainly used.

- p -adic Rankin–Selberg convolution ([11, 27] for a single modular form, [35, 13] for families).
- Gross points ([1, 2, 3, 36] for a single modular form, [23, 8, 7] for families).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F11, 11R23.

Key words and phrases. Modular forms, Iwasawa Theory, p -adic L -functions.

Our main goal is to clarify the relation between these different constructions of p -adic L -functions. We start by recalling the three-variable p -adic L -function of Skinner–Urban [35], and their two-variable and one-variable anticyclotomic specialisations; this p -adic L -function is constructed, as mentioned before, by means of p -adic Rankin–Selberg products for families of modular forms. We then recall the construction of the p -adic L -function via Gross points, following the description given by Chida–Hsieh [9], which generalises the constructions of Vatsal and Bertolini–Darmon. In Theorem 7.1, we give a detailed proof of the well-known relation between the one-variable anticyclotomic specialisation of Skinner–Urban’s p -adic L -function and Chida–Hsieh’s p -adic L -function for the case of weight 2 modular forms. We then recall Hida–Perrin–Riou’s one-variable anticyclotomic p -adic L -function, following the construction of Büyükboduk–Lei [5], and compare it with (a twist of) the one-variable anticyclotomic Skinner–Urban p -adic L -function (Theorem 10.1, which can also be obtained from results of [5] via a more indirect method).

The main result of this paper is to give a more detailed and complete proof of [7, Theorem 5.3] in which we compare the two-variable anticyclotomic specialisation of the central critical twist of the Skinner–Urban p -adic L -functions and the two-variable anticyclotomic p -adic L -function constructed by one of the authors of this paper in collaboration with Vigni in [23] by means of compatible families of Gross points.

1.2. Statement of the main results. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer and $p \nmid N$ a prime number. Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(Np))$ be a p -ordinary p -stabilized newform of trivial character. This means that f is either new at p or the ordinary p -stabilisation of a newform f_0 of level $\Gamma_0(N)$.

Fix embeddings $\iota_p : \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and $\iota_\infty : \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let L/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension which contains all Fourier coefficients of f , and \mathbb{I} be a finite flat extension of the Iwasawa algebra $\mathcal{O}_L[[W]]$ where \mathcal{O}_L is the valuation ring of L . Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{I}[[q]]$ be the p -adic family of ordinary modular forms of tame level N passing through f .

Let K/\mathbb{Q} a quadratic imaginary field of discriminant D such that $(Np, D) = 1$ and let $\Gamma_K^- \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the Galois group of the anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of K . Factor $N = N^+N^-$ where a prime divides N^- if and only if it is inert in K . Denote $\bar{\rho}$ the residual representation attached to f . We assume throughout that the following conditions are satisfied:

- Assumption 1.1.**
- (1) $\bar{\rho}$ is ordinary, irreducible, and p -distinguished.
 - (2) N^- is a square-free product of an odd number of primes.
 - (3) $\bar{\rho}$ is ramified at all primes dividing N^+ which are congruent to 1 modulo p .
 - (4) $\bar{\rho}$ is ramified at all primes dividing N^- .
 - (5) p splits in K .

Let S be the set of rational primes dividing ND . We consider the following p -adic L -functions:

- $L_{S, \mathbb{I}}^{\text{SU}} \in \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K^-]]$: this is the central critical twist of the two-variable anticyclotomic specialisation of the three-variable p -adic L -function of Skinner–Urban (see Definition 4.1 for details on the construction of this p -adic L -function, and especially on the role of the critical twist).
- $L_{S, \mathbb{I}}^{\text{LV}} \in \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K^-]]$: this is the two-variable p -adic L -function constructed by means of Gross points in [23] and studied in [8] and [7], with Euler factors at all primes dividing S removed (see §11 for more details).

Theorem 1.2. *Under Assumption 1.1, we have $(L_{S, \mathbb{I}}^{\text{SU}}) = (L_{S, \mathbb{I}}^{\text{LV}})$ as ideals in $\mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K^-]]$.*

Applications of this results to some conjectures stated in [23] on the relation between (L^{LV}) and the characteristic ideal of the Selmer group of Hida’s big ordinary Galois representation attached to \mathbf{f} is given in [19].

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank F. Castella, M.-L. Hsieh, K. Büyükboduk and A. Lei for useful discussions. Kim was partially supported by a KIAS Individual Grant (SP054103) via the Center for Mathematical Challenges at Korea Institute for Advanced Study and by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. 2018R1C1B6007009). Longo was partially supported by PRIN 2017 *Geometric, algebraic and analytic methods in arithmetic* and INDAM GNSAGA.

2. HECKE CHARACTERS

2.1. Algebraic Hecke characters. We simply write x both for an element in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ and for the corresponding element $\iota_\infty(x)$ in \mathbb{C} . Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant D . Denote by \mathfrak{d} the different ideal of K and by \mathcal{O}_K the ring of its algebraic integers.

Recall that an *algebraic Hecke character* of infinite type $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, with values in a finite extension E of \mathbb{Q} , and conductor \mathfrak{f} is a homomorphism $\chi : I_{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow E^\times$ from the group of ideals $I_{\mathfrak{f}}$ of K prime to an integral ideal \mathfrak{f} of \mathcal{O}_K to E^\times , such that on principal ideals (a) generated by an element $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$ we have $\chi(a) = a^{t_1} \bar{a}^{t_2}$, where $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ is the non-trivial automorphism of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$.

Alternatively, one can also define an algebraic Hecke character to be a homomorphism of groups $\chi_{\mathbb{A}} : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$, where \mathbb{A}_K is the adèle ring of K , such that $\chi_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}(1)$ is open in \mathbb{A}_K^\times , the restriction of $\chi_{\mathbb{A}}$ to K^\times is equal to $a \mapsto a^{t_1} \bar{a}^{t_2}$, and $\chi_{\mathbb{A}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \chi(\mathfrak{q})$ for any prime ideal \mathfrak{q} not dividing \mathfrak{f} , where $\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is the adèle having one uniformizer parameter at \mathfrak{q} and all other components equal to 1; see [31, Chapter 0], [26, Chapter VII, §6] for more details. We write χ_v for the composition $K_v^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^\times \xrightarrow{\chi} E^\times$, where the map $K_v^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^\times$ is the embedding to the v -component. We write $\chi_{\mathbb{A}} = \otimes \chi_v$; we write simply χ for $\chi_{\mathbb{A}}$, and use $\chi_{\mathbb{A}}$ (or $\otimes_v \chi_v$) only when we need to distinguish between χ and $\chi_{\mathbb{A}}$ (or between χ and $\otimes_v \chi_v$); we will also simply call χ an Hecke character, omitting algebraic. As a piece of notation, for any finite place v of K , denote \mathfrak{p}_v the associated prime ideal, and for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of K , we denote $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the associated finite place.

Remark 2.1. When we consider the interpolation formula for anticyclotomic p -adic L -functions of modular forms, the p -adic characters are assumed to be locally algebraic as reviewed in §5. Note that the complex avatars of p -adic locally algebraic anticyclotomic characters are algebraic Hecke characters.

2.2. Gauss sums. Let $\chi = \otimes \chi_v : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ be a Hecke character. For each prime ℓ of \mathbb{Q} , we write χ_ℓ for the character of K_ℓ^\times given by the product of the characters χ_v for $v \mid \ell$, where $K_\ell = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell = \prod_{v \mid \ell} K_v$. Denote by c_{χ_ℓ} the conductor ideal of χ_ℓ , where, following [35, §8.1.1], this is an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_\ell = \mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell$; so when ℓ splits in K , c_{χ_ℓ} is identified with a pair of residue classes of integers in $\mathcal{O}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell \times \mathbb{Z}_\ell$. Let d_ℓ be a generator of the ideal $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{O}_\ell$. Let $e_\infty(x) = e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ and $e_\ell(1/\ell) = e(-1/\ell)$ for rational primes ℓ be the standard additive characters ([35, §8.1.2]). The *local Gauss sum* of χ_ℓ is given by

$$\mathfrak{g}(\chi_\ell, c_{\chi_\ell} d_\ell) = \sum_{a \in (\mathcal{O}_\ell / c_{\chi_\ell})^\times} \chi_\ell(a) \cdot e_\ell(\text{Tr}_{K_\ell/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(a/c_{\chi_\ell} d_\ell)),$$

and the *global Gauss sum* of χ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{g}(\chi) = \mathfrak{g}(\otimes \chi_v) = \prod_{\ell} \chi_\ell^{-1}(c_{\chi_\ell} d_\ell) \cdot \mathfrak{g}(\chi_\ell, c_{\chi_\ell} d_\ell).$$

A Hecke character χ is said to be *unitary* if $\chi : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ where \mathbb{S}^1 is the unit circle in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$. Also, a Hecke character χ is said to be *anticyclotomic* if $\chi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}^\times$. Note that unitary Hecke characters have infinite type $(m, -m)$ for some integer m . A Hecke character $\chi : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ is said to be an *idele class character* if χ is trivial on K^\times .

Lemma 2.2. *Let χ be an anticyclotomic unitary Hecke character of conductor $p^n \mathcal{O}_K$. Assume $p = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ is split in K . Then $\mathfrak{g}(\chi) = \pm p^n$.*

Proof. We first claim that $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}} = \chi_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^{-1}$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (1, \dots, 1, x, 1, \dots) \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ where $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and all other components of \mathbf{x} are equal to 1. Denote by \mathbf{x}_K the image of \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{A}_K^{\times} . Then both components of $\mathbf{x}_K = (x_v)$ at \mathfrak{p} and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ are x and all other components of \mathbf{x}_K equal to 1. Since χ is anticyclotomic, χ is trivial on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$. Thus, we have

$$\chi_p(\mathbf{x}_K) = \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \cdot \chi_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(x) = \prod_{w \nmid p} \chi_w^{-1}(1) = 1.$$

The claim now follows from the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_p/p^n \mathcal{O}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$ since p splits in K . In particular, since χ is unitary, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\bar{\chi}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathfrak{g}(\chi_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$$

where we write $\bar{\chi}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = \overline{\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)}$, $\mathfrak{g}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathfrak{g}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}, p^n)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(\chi_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}) = \mathfrak{g}(\chi_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}, p^n)$.

We now compute the Gauss sum. Since the conductor of χ is p^n , all terms $\mathfrak{g}(\chi_{\ell}, c_{\chi_{\ell}} d_{\ell})$ with $\ell \neq p$ are equal to 1. Also, $\chi_{\ell}(p^n d_{\ell}) = 1$ for all $\ell \neq Dp$. If $v \mid \ell$ is a prime ideal of K dividing \mathfrak{d} , where ℓ is a rational prime, then $(d_{\ell}^2) = (\ell)$. Since χ is anticyclotomic, this forces $\chi_v(d_{\ell}) = \pm 1$. Finally, we study the local Gauss sum at p . Since $p \nmid D$, we have $(d_p) = (1)$, and, using that p splits in K , one easily shows that

$$(2.2) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\chi) = \mathfrak{g}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot \mathfrak{g}(\chi_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}).$$

By [24, Proposition 2.2(ii)], using that $\mathfrak{f}_{\chi} = p^n$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot \mathfrak{g}(\bar{\chi}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(-1) \cdot p^n,$$

and combining (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) completes the proof because $\chi_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^2(-1) = \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1) = 1$. \square

3. COMPLEX L -FUNCTIONS

3.1. L -functions of modular forms. Let $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be a normalized eigenform where $q = e^{2\pi i}$. The complex L -function of f

$$L(f, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

converges absolutely for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s) > k/2 + 1$, satisfies a functional equation, and extends to an entire function. Also, $L(f, s)$ admits the Euler product

$$L(f, s) = \prod_{\ell} L_{\ell}(f, s).$$

where $L_{\ell}(f, s) = (1 - a_{\ell} \ell^{-s} + \ell^{k-1-2s})^{-1}$ and ℓ runs over all primes. We refer to [10, §5.9 and 5.10] for details.

3.2. L -functions of Hecke characters. Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a quadratic imaginary field of discriminant D , and let $\chi : \mathbb{A}_K^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ be a unitary Hecke character. Denote by \mathfrak{f} conductor of χ and assume that it has infinite type $(m, -m)$ for some integer m (see [26, Ch. VII, §6]). Write $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ for the norm operator on ideals of K ; we shall adopt the same symbol for the corresponding map on ideles, and for the norm map in Galois theory, but the context will clarify the meaning. We can then consider the L -function

$$L(\chi, s) := \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{a})^s}$$

where the sum is over all integral ideals \mathfrak{a} , with the convention that $\chi(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ if \mathfrak{a} is not coprime with \mathfrak{f} . Then $L(\chi, s)$ converges absolutely and uniformly for $\Re(s) \geq 1 + \delta$ for all $\delta > 0$, and admits as the Euler product

$$L(\chi, s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{q}} (1 - \chi(\mathfrak{q})\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{q})^{-s})^{-1}$$

over all prime ideals \mathfrak{q} of K ([26, Proposition 8.1]).

3.3. Theta series. Let χ be a Hecke character of K of infinite type $(m, 0)$ where $m \geq 0$ is an integer. We put

$$g_\chi(z) := \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) e^{2\pi i \mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{a})z}$$

where the sum runs over all integral ideals \mathfrak{a} of K . The formal series above can also be written as $g_\chi(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n q^n$ with $b_n = \sum_{\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{a})=n} \chi(\mathfrak{a})$. Then g_χ is a modular form of weight $m + 1$, level $M = |D| \cdot \mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{f})$ and character ψ_{g_χ} defined for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\psi_{g_\chi}(x) = \chi_D(x) \chi((x)) \operatorname{sgn}(x)^M$$

where χ_D is the quadratic character associated to K . Also, g_χ is a cusp form unless $m = 0$ and χ is the composition of \mathbb{N} with a Dirichlet character. See [25, Theorem 4.8.2]. When g_χ is a cusp form, we have $L(g_\chi, s) = L(\chi, s)$.

Remark 3.1. The formulation in [25, Theorem 4.8.2] and [16, §12.3] is slightly different. One fixes a Hecke character ξ such that $\xi((a)) = (a/|a|)^u$ for $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$ and considers the modular form $\sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \xi(\mathfrak{a}) \mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{a})^{u/2} e^{2\pi i \mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{a})z}$. Since such a ξ has infinity type $(u/2, -u/2)$, we see that $\chi = \xi \cdot \mathbb{N}^{u/2}$ has infinity type $(u, 0)$, and we obtain the previous formulation.

By [16, p. 214] and taking into account the previous Remark 3.1, g_χ satisfies the following transformation formula:

$$(g_\chi)_{|m+1} W_{|D| \cdot \mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{f})} = \frac{\mathfrak{g}(\xi)}{i^{2m-1} \mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{f})^{1/2}} \cdot g_{\bar{\chi}},$$

where \mathfrak{f} is the conductor of ξ and $W_{|D| \cdot \mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{f})} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ |D| \cdot \mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{f}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the Atkin–Lehner involution; here the action of matrices $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with positive determinant on modular form f is via the formula $f|_\ell \gamma(z) = \det(\gamma)^{\ell/2} (cz+d)^{-\ell} f(\gamma(z))$. In particular, if ξ is an anticyclotomic unitary Hecke character of conductor $p^n \mathcal{O}_K$, and $p = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ is split in K , then $\frac{\mathfrak{g}(\xi)}{i^{2m-1} \mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{f})^{1/2}} = \pm i^{2m-1}$.

3.4. Artin formalism. For a field F , denote by G_F the absolute Galois group of F .

Let $\chi : \mathbb{A}_K^\times / K^\times \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ be a Hecke character. Let K^{ab} be the maximal abelian extension of K and denote by $G_K^{\text{ab}} := \operatorname{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K)$. Let σ_χ be the character of G_K^{ab} obtained by composing with the inverse of the geometrically normalized reciprocity map

$$\operatorname{rec}_K : \mathbb{A}_K^\times / K^\times \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K)$$

taking uniformizers to geometric Frobenius maps. More precisely, for a finite place v of K , we have $\operatorname{rec}_K(\pi_v) = \operatorname{frob}_v$ where π_v is a uniformizer of K_v and frob_v is a geometric Frobenius at v . Note that frob_v is well-defined only in G_v/I_v where $G_v = G_{K_v}$ and I_v is the inertia subgroup of G_v . In other words, we have

$$\sigma_\chi : G_K^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{rec}_K^{-1}} \mathbb{A}_K^\times / K^\times \xrightarrow{\chi} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times.$$

Viewing $\sigma_\chi : G_K \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ as a character of G_K via the canonical projection $G_K \twoheadrightarrow G_K^{\text{ab}}$, we consider the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_\chi := \operatorname{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\sigma_\chi)$ defined by

$$\operatorname{Ind}_\chi := V_\chi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G_K]} \mathbb{Z}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]$$

where V_χ is the one-dimensional $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -vector space realizing the character σ_χ . Since $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$, this is a 2-dimensional $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -vector space which can be explicitly described as a direct sum $M_1 \oplus M_2$ with M_1 and M_2 both isomorphic to V_χ as G_K -modules, and such that the non-trivial element τ of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ permutes the two components M_1 and M_2 in the sense that $\tau(x, 0) = (0, x)$ for all $x \in M_1$. See [4, Ch. III, §5].

Suppose now that χ is of finite order, and therefore the corresponding character σ_χ factors through the Galois group of a finite abelian extension of \mathbb{Q} . By considering the Artin formalism [30], we have

$$L(\sigma_\chi, s) = L(\chi, s) = L(\text{Ind}_\chi, s).$$

3.5. Twisted L -functions. For each prime ℓ , we fix an embedding $\iota_\ell : \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(a_n : n \geq 1)$ be the Hecke field of f , and \mathcal{O}_F its ring of integers. For each prime ideal λ of \mathcal{O}_F lying above a rational prime ℓ , consider the λ -adic modular Galois representation

$$\rho_{f,\lambda} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(F_\lambda)$$

attached to f where F_λ is the completion of F at λ which is compatible with ι_ℓ . Then $\{\rho_{f,\lambda}\}_\lambda$ forms a compatible family of Galois representations.

Let L_λ be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ containing F_λ and the values of χ . By extension of scalars, we can view both $\rho_{f,\lambda}$ and Ind_χ as representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on the L_λ -vector spaces $V_{f,\lambda} \simeq L^{\oplus 2}$ and $V_\chi \simeq L$. We also view σ_χ as a L_λ -valued character. We define the tensor product representation $\rho_{f,\lambda} \otimes \text{Ind}_\chi$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as follows. Define the map

$$\rho_{f,\lambda} \otimes \text{Ind}_\chi : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{L_\lambda}(W_{f,\chi})$$

by

$$(\rho_{f,\lambda} \otimes \text{Ind}_\chi)(g) := \rho_{f,\lambda}(g) \otimes \text{Ind}_\chi(g)$$

for any $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Here, $W_{f \otimes \chi} = V_{f,\lambda} \otimes \text{Ind}_\chi$ is a 4-dimensional L_λ -vector space. For each prime q not dividing λ , one may define the local Euler factors

$$P_q(X) = \det(1 - X \cdot \text{frob}_q | (V_{f,\lambda} \otimes \text{Ind}_\chi)^{I_q})$$

where I_q is the inertia subgroup at q and frob_q is the geometric Frobenius at q . Then $P_q(X)$ is independent of the choice of λ . Define

$$L_q(f, \chi, s) = P_q(q^{-s})^{-1}$$

for each prime q , and finally set

$$L(f, \chi, s) = \prod_q L_q(f, \chi, s)$$

where the product is over all rational primes q .

3.6. Explicit Euler factors. In terms of Euler factors of $L(f, s)$ and $L(\chi, s)$, one may express the above L -series as follows. First, write the Euler factors of f and g_χ as

$$1 - a_q(f)q^{-s} + q^{k-1-2s} = (1 - \alpha_q(f)q^{-s})(1 - \beta_q(f)q^{-s})$$

and

$$1 - a_q(g_\chi)q^{-s} + \psi_{g_\chi}(q)q^{m-2s} = (1 - \alpha_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})(1 - \beta_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})$$

where the weight of g_χ is $m + 1$. We define their L -functions by

$$L(f, s) = \prod_q (1 - \alpha_q(f)q^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \beta_q(f)q^{-s})^{-1}$$

and

$$L(\chi, s) = L(g_\chi, s) = \prod_q (1 - \alpha_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \beta_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})^{-1}.$$

The complex function

$$D(f, g_\chi, s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n(f) \cdot a_n(g_\chi)}{n^s}$$

converges absolutely for $\Re(s)$ sufficiently large, and extends to an entire function. If we put

$$\begin{aligned} D_q(f, g_\chi, s) &= (1 - \alpha_q(f)\beta_q(f)\alpha_q(g_\chi)\beta_q(g_\chi)q^{-2s}) \\ &\quad \cdot (1 - \alpha_q(f)\alpha_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})^{-1} \cdot (1 - \beta_q(f)\alpha_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot (1 - \alpha_q(f)\beta_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})^{-1} \cdot (1 - \beta_q(f)\beta_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

then $D(f, g_\chi, s)$ admits the Euler product

$$D(f, g_\chi, s) = \prod_q D_q(f, g_\chi, s).$$

See [17, Lemma 15.9.4] or [32, §3] and [33, §3]. We also observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \alpha_q(f)\beta_q(f)\alpha_q(g_\chi)\beta_q(g_\chi)q^{-2s} &= 1 - \psi_{g_\chi}(q)q^{k-1+(m+1)-1-2s} \\ &= L_q(\psi_{g_\chi}, 2s + 2 - (k + m + 1))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where the weight of f is k and the weight of g_χ is $m + 1$. By [17, Sec. 15], we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_q(\rho_f \otimes \sigma_\chi, s) &= (1 - \alpha_q(f)\alpha_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})^{-1} \cdot (1 - \beta_q(f)\alpha_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot (1 - \alpha_q(f)\beta_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})^{-1} \cdot (1 - \beta_q(f)\beta_q(g_\chi)q^{-s})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$D_q(f, g_\chi, s) = L_q(\psi_{g_\chi}, 2s + 2 - (k + m + 1)) \cdot L_p(f, \chi, s),$$

hence

$$D(f, g_\chi, s) = L(\psi_{g_\chi}, 2s + 2 - (k + m + 1)) \cdot L(f, \chi, s).$$

Remark 3.2. Since χ is a character of G_K , these Euler factors can also run over primes of K . We have

$$L_q(f, \chi, s) = \prod_{v|q} L_v(f, \chi, s)$$

with

$$L_v(f, \chi, s) := (1 - \chi(v) \cdot \alpha_{\mathbb{N}(v)}(f) \cdot \mathbb{N}(v)^{-s})^{-1} \cdot (1 - \chi(v) \cdot \beta_{\mathbb{N}(v)}(f) \cdot \mathbb{N}(v)^{-s})^{-1}$$

where v is a prime of K dividing q , $\chi(v) = \chi(\pi_v)$ with π_v is a uniformizer at v , and we put $\alpha_{p^j}(f) := (\alpha_p(f))^j$ and $\beta_{p^j}(f) := (\beta_p(f))^j$.

3.7. Partial L -functions. If S is a finite number of primes, one may define

$$L^S(f, \chi, s) := \prod_{q \notin S} L_q(f, \chi, s).$$

Obviously, one has (in the domain of absolute convergence)

$$L(f, \chi, s) = L^S(f, \chi, s) \cdot \left(\prod_{q \in S} L_q(f, \chi, s) \right).$$

Since each of the three factors can be extended to an holomorphic function, the principle of identity of analytic functions tells us that the above equality also holds on all the domain \mathbb{C} of convergence.

4. SKINNER–URBAN p -ADIC L -FUNCTIONS

We follow the convention in §1.2. In particular, Assumption 1.1 is satisfied from now on.

4.1. Hida families. Let $\mathbf{f} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{a}(n)q^n \in \mathbb{I}[[q]]$ be the primitive branch of the Hida family passing through f ; in particular, the character of \mathbf{f} is then trivial and \mathbb{I} is a local reduced finite integral extension of $\Lambda_W = \mathbb{Z}_p[[W]]$, where W is an indeterminate, *cf.* [35, §3.3.9] (note that in the notation of *loc. cit.* we take χ to be the trivial character).

We fix the notation and terminology from [35]. Let $\Gamma_K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ be the maximal \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of K , and denote $\Gamma_K^+ \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of K and $\Gamma_K^- \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ the anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of K . Define Iwasawa algebras $\Lambda_K^\pm = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K^\pm]]$ and $\Lambda_K = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]]$. Fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K^\pm]] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[W]]$ sending a topological generator γ_\pm of Γ_K^\pm to $W + 1$.

An *arithmetic character* $\phi : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is a continuous \mathbb{Z}_p -linear map whose restriction to Λ_W satisfies $\phi(1 + W) = \zeta(1 + p)^{k_\phi - 2}$, where ζ is a primitive $p^{t_\phi - 1}$ -root of unity, for some integer $t_\phi > 1$, and k_ϕ is an integer; we call t_ϕ the *level* of ϕ and k_ϕ the *weight* of ϕ (see [35, §3.3.8]). Any arithmetic $\phi : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ corresponds to an eigenform

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{f}_\phi \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N) \cap \Gamma_1(p^{t_\phi}), \chi_\phi)$$

of weight k_ϕ and character χ_ϕ defined as follows. Write $\chi_\zeta : \mathbb{Z}_p[[W]] \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ for the arithmetic character defined by $1 + W \mapsto \zeta$. Then $\chi_\phi = \chi_{\zeta^{-1}}$, so that $\chi_\phi(\gamma_+) = \zeta^{-1}$. We finally view ϕ_ϕ as a Dirichlet character of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{t_\phi}\mathbb{Z})^\times$ as follows. Fix an isomorphism $\Gamma_K^+ \simeq 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Identify $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{t_\phi}\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \times ((1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)/(1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)^{t_\phi - 1})$ and let $\chi_\phi : (\mathbb{Z}/p^{t_\phi}\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ to be trivial on $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and χ_ϕ on $(1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)/(1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)^{t_\phi - 1}$.

Let $\mathbb{I}_K = \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K]]$ and $\mathbb{I}_K^\pm = \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K^\pm]]$. An *arithmetic character* of A for $A = \mathbb{I}_K$ or $A = \mathbb{I}_K^\pm$ is a continuous $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -valued \mathbb{Z}_p -linear map whose restriction to \mathbb{I} is an arithmetic character.

Let ε_K be the canonical character defined by composition

$$\varepsilon_K : \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \longrightarrow \Gamma_K \hookrightarrow \Lambda_K^\times$$

of the canonical projection and the inclusion of group-like elements; then ε_K factors through $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K)$, where K^{ab} is the maximal abelian extension of K . Define similarly the character

$$\varepsilon_K^+ : \text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K) \longrightarrow \Gamma_K^+ \hookrightarrow (\Lambda_K^+)^{\times}.$$

Let

$$\text{rec}_K : \mathbb{A}_K^\times / K^\times \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K)$$

be the geometrically normalized reciprocity map of class field theory (so $\text{rec}_K(\ell) = \text{frob}_\ell$, where frob_ℓ is the geometric Frobenius element). Define the characters

$$\Psi_K : \mathbb{A}_K^\times / K^\times \xrightarrow{\text{rec}_K} \text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_K} \Lambda_K^\times,$$

$$\Psi_K^+ : \mathbb{A}_K^\times / K^\times \xrightarrow{\text{rec}_K} \text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_K^+} (\Lambda_K^+)^{\times}.$$

Let $\xi_\phi = \phi \circ (\Psi_K / \Psi_W^+)$ be the composition ([35, top of page 47]):

$$\xi_\phi : \mathbb{A}_K^\times / K^\times \xrightarrow{\text{rec}_K} \text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_K / \varepsilon_K^+} \Lambda_K^\times \xrightarrow{\phi} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times.$$

Note that ξ_ϕ is a finite order ideal class character. Finally, define $\theta_\phi = \chi_\phi^{-1} \cdot \xi_\phi$; we denote $\mathfrak{f}_{\theta_\phi}$ the conductor of θ_ϕ . Note that θ_ϕ is a finite order idele class character.

4.2. Period integrals, canonical periods, and L -values of modular forms. We first review period integrals and the canonical periods following [35, §3.3.3]. Recall that the Eichler–Shimura period map

$$\text{Per} : S_k(N) \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_1(N), \text{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{C}^2))$$

is defined by putting $\text{Per}(f(z))$ equal to the cohomology class represented by the cocycle

$$\gamma \mapsto \int_\tau^{\gamma(\tau)} f(z)(z^{k-1}, z^{k-2}, \dots, 1) dz,$$

where the integration is over any path between τ and $\gamma(\tau)$. It is well-known that the period map is Hecke-equivariant.

Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ be an eigenform, and denote by $\mathbb{Q}(f)$ the field extension of \mathbb{Q} by adjoining the Fourier coefficients of f . Define $\mathbb{Z}(f)_{(p)} := \mathbb{Q}(f) \cap \iota_p^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$, where $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ is the valuation ring of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and $\iota_p : \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the chosen embedding, and

$$M(f)_{(p)} := \mathbf{H}^1(\Gamma_1(N), \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{Z}(f)_{(p)}^2))[\wp_f]$$

where \wp_f is the height one prime ideal of the full Hecke algebra over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ faithfully acting on $S_k(N)$ corresponding to f . We now assume that f is a newform. Then $M(f)_{(p)}$ is free of rank two over $\mathbb{Z}(f)_{(p)}$. By using $\iota_\infty : \mathbb{Z}(f)_{(p)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we regard $M(f)_{(p)}$ as a submodule of $\mathbf{H}^1(\Gamma_1(N), \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{C}^2))$ which spans the two-dimensional complex vector space $\mathbf{H}^1(\Gamma_1(N), \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{C}^2))[\wp_f]$. Fix a $\mathbb{Z}(f)_{(p)}$ -basis (γ^+, γ^-) of $M(f)_{(p)}$ such that $\iota(\gamma^\pm) = \pm\gamma^\pm$ where ι is the involution associated with the conjugate action of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ on the cohomology group $\mathbf{H}^1(\Gamma_1(N), \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{C}^2))$. We define the canonical periods $\Omega_f^\pm \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ of f by the equality

$$\mathrm{Per}(f(z)) = \Omega_f^+ \cdot \gamma^+ + \Omega_f^- \gamma^-$$

and these periods are well-defined up to units in $\mathbb{Z}(f)_{(p)}$. Note that the convention in Vatsal's paper [37] coincide with these canonical periods.

The connection between period integrals and L -values is as follows. For an eigenform $f \in S_k(N)$, we have identity

$$2\pi i \cdot \int_{i\infty}^0 f(z) z^j dz = \frac{j!}{(-2\pi i)^j} \cdot L(f, j+1)$$

for $0 \leq j \leq k-2$.

4.3. Three-variable p -adic L -functions. For the notational compatibility, denote by

$$L_K^S(f, \xi, s) = L^S(f, \xi, s)$$

as in [35, §3.4.2] the L -function $L^S(f, \xi, s)$ where ξ is a finite order Hecke character.

Under the condition that p is split in K and the assumptions **(irred) $_{\mathbf{f}}$** and **(dist) $_{\mathbf{f}}$** ([35, §3.3.10]), Skinner and Urban prove in [35, Theorem 12.6] the existence of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{f}, K}^S \in \mathbb{I}_K$, where S is a finite set of primes containing all those primes dividing DNp , satisfying the following interpolation formula for the complex L -function $L_K^S(\mathbf{f}_\phi, \theta_\phi, s)$: for all arithmetic characters $\phi : \mathbb{I}_K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad \phi(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{f}, K}^S) = \frac{u_{\mathbf{f}_\phi} \cdot ((k_\phi - 2)!)^2 \cdot \mathfrak{g}(\theta_\phi) \cdot \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{f}_{\theta_\phi} \mathfrak{d})^{k_\phi - 2}}{a(p, \mathbf{f}_\phi)^{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{f}_{\theta_\phi})}} \cdot \frac{L_K^S(\mathbf{f}_\phi, \theta_\phi, k_\phi - 1)}{(-2\pi i)^{2k_\phi - 2} \cdot \Omega_{\mathbf{f}_\phi}^+ \cdot \Omega_{\mathbf{f}_\phi}^-}$$

where

- $u_{\mathbf{f}_\phi}$ is a unit which depends only on \mathbf{f}_ϕ .
- $\Omega_{\mathbf{f}_\phi}^\pm$ are the canonical periods of \mathbf{f}_ϕ , which are defined in §4.2 up to p -adic units.

4.4. Critical twists. Howard [14] introduces several characters Θ , θ and $\Theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ for any arithmetic prime (i.e. $\mathfrak{p} = \ker(\phi|_{\mathbb{I}})$ for some arithmetic $\phi : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$). We recall the relevant definitions. Decompose the cyclotomic character

$$\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p-1} \times \Gamma$$

(thus, here we use the unadorned symbol Γ for $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$; also, in [35] the cyclotomic character is denoted ϵ , while in [14] it is denoted by χ_{cyc}) into its tame part $\omega : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p-1}$ and its wild

part $\chi_w : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \Gamma$. The choice of a square-root $\omega^{(k-2)/2} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mu_{p-1}$ of ω^{k-2} , which we fix from now on, determines the choice of a *critical character*

$$\Theta : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]^{\times} \simeq \Lambda_W^{\times} \subseteq \mathbb{I}^{\times}$$

defined by $\Theta = \omega^{(k-2)/2} \cdot [\chi_w^{1/2}]$, where $\chi_w^{1/2}$ is the unique square-root of χ_w with values in Γ and $z \mapsto [z]$ is induced by the inclusion of group-like elements $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$ followed by the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[[\Gamma]] \simeq \Lambda_W = \mathbb{Z}_p[[W]]$ which takes $1+p$ to W . Let $\theta : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{\times}$ be such that $\Theta = \theta \circ \chi_{\text{cyc}}$, and for each arithmetic prime $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$, let $\theta_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ be the map induced by the composition of θ with $\phi_{\mathbb{I}}$. In the same way, one defines $\Theta_{\mathfrak{p}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ as the composition of Θ with $\phi_{\mathbb{I}}$. We finally introduce χ_{Θ} and, for all \mathfrak{p} as above $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by the formulas: $\chi_{\Theta}(x) = \Theta(\text{art}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{N}(x)))$ and $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = \Theta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{art}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{N}(x)))$ where $\text{art}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the arithmetically normalized reciprocity map of class field theory. The convention in [14], opposite to [35], is $\text{art}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\ell) = F_{\ell} = \text{frob}_{\ell}^{-1}$, where F_{ℓ} is the arithmetic Frobenius element, thus for each number field F and each place v of F , the geometrically normalized reciprocity map of class field theory rec_F used by Skinner–Urban takes the uniformizer π_v at v to the geometric Frobenius element frob_v at v , while the arithmetically normalized reciprocity map of class field theory art_F used by Howard takes the uniformizer π_v at v to the arithmetic Frobenius element F_v at v ; in other words, $\text{rec}_F = \text{art}_F^{-1}$. We need to introduce the characters Θ and $\Theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on the Galois group, corresponding to the characters Θ and $\Theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ respectively, by inverting the Artin map art_K and defining

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \chi_{\Theta} & & & \\ & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\ \Gamma_K & \xrightarrow{\text{art}_K^{-1}} & \mathbb{A}_K^{\times}/K^{\times} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}} & \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}/\mathbb{Q}^{\times} & \xrightarrow{\text{art}_{\mathbb{Q}}} & G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}} & \xrightarrow{\chi_{\text{cyc}}} & \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbb{I} \\ & \searrow & & & & & & \searrow & & & \\ & & & \Theta & & & & \Theta & & & \end{array}$$

and, for each $\mathfrak{p} = \ker(\phi_{\mathbb{I}})$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \chi_{\mathfrak{p}} & & & \\ & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\ \Gamma_K & \xrightarrow{\text{art}_K^{-1}} & \mathbb{A}_K^{\times}/K^{\times} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}} & \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}/\mathbb{Q}^{\times} & \xrightarrow{\text{art}_{\mathbb{Q}}} & G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}} & \xrightarrow{\chi_{\text{cyc}}} & \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times} \\ & \searrow & & & & & & \searrow & & & \\ & & & \Theta_{\mathfrak{p}} & & & & \Theta_{\mathfrak{p}} & & & \end{array}$$

Finally, recall that for all arithmetic $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ of weight 2 we have $\chi_{0,\mathfrak{p}} = \theta_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-2}$ where $\chi_{0,\mathfrak{p}}$ is the restriction of χ to $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$.

We now use these characters to twist Skinner–Urban p -adic L -functions. We introduce the twist operator

$$\text{Tw}_{\Theta^{-1}} : \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K]]$$

by $\text{Tw}_{\Theta^{-1}}(\gamma) := \Theta^{-1}(\gamma) \cdot \gamma$.

Definition 4.1. Define the *critical twist* of the Skinner–Urban three-variable p -adic L -function to be $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f},K}^{S,\dagger} := \text{Tw}_{\Theta^{-1}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f},K}^S)$.

4.5. Anticyclotomic specialisations. The map $\Gamma_K \rightarrow \Gamma_K^-$ defined by $\gamma_+ \mapsto 1$ and $\gamma_- \mapsto \gamma_-$ induces a surjective map $\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\text{ac}} : \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K]] \rightarrow \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K^-]]$.

Definition 4.2. The *two-variable anticyclotomic specialisation* of the critical twist Skinner–Urban three-variable p -adic L -function is $L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{SU}} = \pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\text{ac}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f},K}^{S,\dagger})$.

Write $\mathfrak{f}_{\phi} = f$ and consider the morphism $\phi_{\mathbb{I}} : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, where \mathcal{O} is the valuation ring of a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , containing the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[a(n, f)]$. Similarly as above, the map $\Gamma_K \rightarrow \Gamma_K^-$ defined by $\gamma_+ \mapsto 1$ and $\gamma_- \mapsto \gamma_-$ induces a surjective map $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{ac}} : \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_K]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_K^-]]$. Define the

morphism $(\phi_{\mathbb{I}} \otimes \text{id}) : \mathbb{I} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_K]]$ by $(\phi_{\mathbb{I}} \otimes \text{id})(x \otimes a) = \phi_{\mathbb{I}}(x) \otimes a$; composing with the canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K]] \simeq \mathbb{I} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]]$ we thus obtain a morphism

$$\pi_f^{\text{ac}} : \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K]] \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathbb{I}} \otimes \text{id}} \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_K]] \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{ac}}} \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_K^-]].$$

Definition 4.3. The *one-variable anticyclotomic specialisation* of the critical twist Skinner–Urban three-variable p -adic L -function is $L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}} = \pi_f^{\text{ac}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{f},K}^{S,\dagger})$.

Remark 4.4. See also [35, §3.4.6] for similar definitions; we also note that $\phi_{\mathbb{I}}(L_{S,\mathcal{I}}^{\text{SU}}) = L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}}$.

5. CHIDA–HSIEH p -ADIC L -FUNCTION

We keep the convention in §1.2.

5.1. Setting the stage. In [9, Theorem 4.6], Chida–Hsieh constructed an element $\Theta_p = \Theta_p(f) \in \mathcal{O}_L[[\Gamma_K^-]]$, which satisfies the interpolation formula, we now describe.

Let $\chi : \mathbb{A}_K^\times / K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be an anticyclotomic idele class Hecke character of infinity type $(m, -m)$ with

$$-(k/2 - 1) \leq m \leq (k/2 - 1).$$

Write $p = \mathfrak{p} \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ in K and assume that \mathfrak{p} is compatible with the chosen embedding ι_p . By using the fixed embeddings ι_∞ and ι_p , the p -adic avatar $\hat{\chi} : \hat{K}^\times / K^\times \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ of χ is defined by the locally algebraic character

$$\hat{\chi}(a) = \iota_p \iota_\infty^{-1}(\chi(\iota_{\text{fin}}(a))) \cdot (a_{\mathfrak{p}}/a_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})^m,$$

where $\iota_{\text{fin}} : \hat{K}^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^\times$ is the map sending $x \mapsto (x, 1) \in \hat{K}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then

$$\hat{\chi}(a) = \chi(a) \cdot (a_{\mathfrak{p}}/a_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})^m.$$

Assume that χ is anticyclotomic and its conductor is p^n . Using the reciprocity map, $\hat{\chi}$ gives rise to a Galois character, denoted by the same symbol, $\hat{\chi} : \Gamma_K^- \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$. Let α_p be the unit root of the Hecke polynomial of f_0 at p if f is old at p , and $\alpha_p = a_p(f)$ if f is new at p .

Define

$$e_p(f, \chi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ \left(1 - \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot p^{\frac{k-2}{2}}}{\alpha_p}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\chi}(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot p^{\frac{k-2}{2}}}{\alpha_p}\right), & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Write $u_K = \#\mathcal{O}_K^\times/2$.

5.2. Quaternionic modular forms and Gross periods. Write $N^+ = \mathfrak{N}^+ \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{N}}^+$ as the factorisation of coprime ideals in \mathcal{O}_K .

Let B be the definite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} of discriminant N^- and R an Eichler order of level N^+ . Let ϕ_{f_0} be an integrally normalized Jacquet–Langlands transfer of a newform $f_0 \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$, i.e. a non-constant continuous function

$$\phi_{f_0} : B^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times / \widehat{R}^{(p),\times} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathcal{O}_L^2)$$

such that $\phi_{f_0}(a \cdot g \cdot r) = r^{-1} \circ \phi_{f_0}(g)$ for $a \in B^\times$ and $r \in R_p^\times$, and the Hecke eigenvalues of f_0 and ϕ_{f_0} are the same at all primes not dividing N^- . The integral normalization of ϕ_{f_0} is determined by the mod p non-vanishing of the values of ϕ_{f_0} at the representatives of finite set $B^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times / \widehat{R}^\times \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ in \widehat{B}^\times . Here, we used fixed embeddings ι_p and ι_∞ . The space of such functions is denoted by $S_k^{N^-}(N^+, \mathcal{O}_L)$. Recall the perfect pairing

$$(5.1) \quad \langle -, - \rangle_{N^+} : S_k^{N^-}(N^+, \mathcal{O}_L) \times S_k^{N^-}(N^+, \mathcal{O}_L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L$$

defined in [9, (6.1)]:

$$\langle \phi_{f_0}, \phi_{f_0} \rangle_{N^+} := \sum_{[b]} \langle \phi_{f_0}(b), \phi_{f_0}(bw) \rangle_k \cdot \left(\#(B^\times \cap b\widehat{R}^\times b^{-1}\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}^\times) / \mathbb{Q}^\times \right)^{-1}$$

where w is the Atkin–Lehner operator for level N^+ , $[b]$ runs over $B^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times / \widehat{R}^\times \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$, and $\langle -, - \rangle_k : \text{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathcal{O}) \times \text{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is the perfect pairing defined in [9, §2.3].

Let $\xi_{\phi_{f_0}}(N^+, N^-) = \langle \phi_{f_0}, \phi_{f_0} \rangle_{N^+}$ be the quaternionic analogue of the cohomology congruence ideal for ϕ_{f_0} using the above pairing (5.1) as in [29, §2.1] and [9, (3.9) and (4.3)].

Define the *Gross period* by

$$\Omega_{f_0, N^-} = \frac{\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_N}{\xi_{\phi_{f_0}}(N^+, N^-)}$$

where $\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_N = \int \int_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathfrak{H}} f_0(z) \cdot \overline{f_0(z)} dx dy$ is the Petersson norm of f_0 ; see [9, (4.3), Remark (ii) and §6] for details. Note that we exclude the $(4\pi)^k$ factor in [9, (4.3)] since the factor depends on the choice of the critical point.

Remark 5.1. We can also proceed all the process above for p -stabilized newform f . When f is the p -stabilization of f_0 , it is not difficult to see that $\Omega_{f, N^-} = \Omega_{f_0, N^-}$ up to a p -adic unit with help of Ihara’s lemma for quaternion algebras [18, Theorem 5.13] under Assumption 1.1.(1). See [28, Lemma 3.6] for the details.

5.3. The interpolation formula. When f is the p -stabilization of a newform f_0 of level $\Gamma_0(N)$, let $\epsilon_p(f) = 1$. When f is new at p , let $\epsilon_p(f) \in \{\pm 1\}$ is the eigenvalue of the Atkin–Lehner involution at p acting on f ; in particular, $\epsilon_p(f) = -p^{-\frac{k-2}{2}} \cdot a_p(f)$. Define

$$u(f, p) = u_K^2 \cdot \sqrt{D} \cdot \chi(\mathfrak{N}^+) \cdot D^{k-2} \cdot \epsilon_p(f) \cdot (-1)^m.$$

Finally, let $\Gamma(s)$ be the complex Γ -function; recall that $\Gamma(j) = (j-1)!$ if $j \geq 1$ is an integer. Then we have

$$\hat{\chi}(\Theta_p^2) = e_p(f, \chi)^{2-t} \cdot u(f, p) \cdot (4\pi)^{-k} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + m\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} - m\right) \cdot \frac{p^{n(k-1)}}{\alpha_p^{2n}} \cdot \frac{L(f_\bullet / K, \chi, k/2)}{\Omega_{f, N^-}}.$$

where $f_\bullet = f$ when f is new at p and $f_\bullet = f_0$ when f is old at p .

Definition 5.2. Define the *Chida–Hsieh* p -adic L -function of f to be $L_f^{\text{CH}} = \Theta_p(f)^2$ in $\mathcal{O}[\Gamma_K^-]$.

Remark 5.3. In [9, §4] several theta elements $\Theta_n^{[m]}$ for $-k/2 < m < k/2$ and $n \geq 1$ an integer are considered. A priori, $\Theta_n^{[m]}(f)$ belongs to $((k-2)!)^{-1} \mathcal{O}[\mathcal{G}_n]$ for $\mathcal{G}_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ the quotient of Γ_K^- of order p^n ; however, if $m = k/2 - 1$, then $\Theta^{[k/2-1]}(f)$ is integral (see also [8, Remark 2.5]), and from [9, Corollary 4.5] it follows that all of these elements $\Theta_n^{[m]}$ are integral, so in fact L_f^{CH} belongs to $\mathcal{O}[\Gamma_K^-]$.

Remark 5.4. If $k = 2$, the *square-root* p -adic L -function Θ_p has a long story and has been studied, among others, by Hida [11], [13], Perrin-Riou [27], Vatsal [36], and Bertolini–Darmon [1], [2], [3] (with slightly different interpolation formulas); however, we decided the name of L_f^{CH} because we mainly follow the presentation of this p -adic analytic function settled by Chida–Hsieh in [9].

6. PERIODS AND CONGRUENCE IDEALS

In this section we study the relation between periods and congruence ideals of modular forms.

Let $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \mathcal{O}_L)$ be the \mathcal{O}_L -module of weight k modular forms on $\Gamma_0(N)$ with coefficients in \mathcal{O}_L with respect to the chosen embedding ι_p . Let \mathfrak{m} be a non-Eisenstein maximal ideal

of the full Hecke algebra over \mathcal{O}_L acting faithfully on $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \mathcal{O}_L)$. Let \mathbb{T}_N denote the localization of the full Hecke algebra at \mathfrak{m} . In other words, \mathbb{T}_N is the \mathcal{O}_L -subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \mathcal{O}_L)_{\mathfrak{m}})$ generated by Hecke operators T_ℓ for primes $\ell \nmid N$ and U_ℓ for primes $\ell \mid N$.

We also denote $\mathbb{T}_N^{\text{new}}$ the quotient of \mathbb{T}_N acting faithfully on the submodule of $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \mathcal{O}_L)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ consisting of forms which are new at all primes dividing N^- .

Fix an eigenform $f_0 \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \mathcal{O}_L)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ which is new at all primes dividing N^- . Let $\theta_{f_0} : \mathbb{T}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L$ be the morphism associated with f_0 . Let η_{f_0} be a generator of the \mathcal{O}_L -ideal $\theta_{f_0}(\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{T}_N}(\ker(\theta_{f_0})))$. The ideal (η_{f_0}) is called the *congruence ideal* of f_0 . Since f_0 is new at all primes dividing N^- , θ_{f_0} factors through the canonical projection $\mathbb{T}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_N^{\text{new}}$, and we obtain a morphism $\theta_{f_0}^{\text{new}} : \mathbb{T}_{Np}^{\text{new}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L$. Denote by η_{f_0, N^-} a generator of the \mathcal{O}_L -ideal $\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{T}_N^{\text{new}}}(\ker(\theta_{f_0}^{\text{new}}))$. See [29, §2.2] (when $k = 2$) and [9, §6] (for $k \geq 2$) for details.

Proposition 6.1. *Under Assumption 1.1, up to p -adic units, we have*

$$(1) \quad \Omega_{f_0, N^-} = \frac{\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_N}{\eta_{f_0, N^-}}.$$

$$(2) \quad \Omega_{f_0}^+ \cdot \Omega_{f_0}^- = \frac{\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_N}{\eta_{f_0}}.$$

Proof. The first statement follows from [29, Proposition 6.4(2)] if $k = 2$. For $k \geq 2$, this is [20, Corollary 6.7] or [15, Remark 7.8]. The second statement follows from [35, Lemma 12.1]. \square

The same result holds for p -ordinary p -stabilized newforms of level $\Gamma_0(Np)$. See also Remark 5.1.

7. COMPARISON BETWEEN SKINNER–URBAN AND CHIDA–HSIEH: WEIGHT TWO FORMS

In this section we compare the one-variable anticyclotomic specialisation of the three-variable p -adic L -function of Skinner–Urban with the p -adic L -function of Chida–Hsieh, in the case of modular forms of weight 2. Although this result is well-known to the experts, and already stated in the case of elliptic curves (see [35, §3.6.3] but with no proof), we add a proof which might serve as a reference.

Let $f = \mathbf{f}_\phi \in S_2(\Gamma_0(Np))$ as in (4.1). In particular, χ_ϕ is trivial.

Since f is a newform of level $\Gamma_0(Np)$, in which case we set $f_0 = f$, or f is the p -stabilisation of an ordinary newform f_0 of level $\Gamma_0(N)$, the unit root α_p of the Hecke polynomial of f_0 is equal to $a_p(f)$ for both cases.

Let χ be a finite order anticyclotomic ideal class character and $\hat{\chi}$ be its p -adic avatar.

For any prime $\ell \mid S$, we denote $L_\ell(f_0/K, \chi, s)$ the local Euler factor at ℓ of the complex L -function $L(f_0/K, \chi, s)$, so that we have $L(f/K, \chi, s) = \prod_\ell L_\ell(f_0/K, \chi, s)$, where the product is over all prime numbers ℓ . The description of the Euler factors $L_\ell(f/K, \chi, s)$, following §3.6, is the following. For each prime v of K , let ℓ be the rational prime lying below v and let $\alpha_\ell(f)$ and $\beta_\ell(f)$ the roots of the Hecke polynomial of f at ℓ ; here, with a slight abuse of notation, we understand that some of these roots may be zero. Define

$$L_v(f/K, \chi, s) = (1 - \chi(v)\alpha_{\mathbb{N}(v)}(f)\mathbb{N}(v)^{-s})^{-1} \cdot (1 - \chi(v)\beta_{\mathbb{N}(v)}(f)\mathbb{N}(v)^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Then for each rational prime ℓ , we have

$$L_\ell(f/K, \chi, s) = \prod_{v|\ell} L_v(f/K, \chi, s).$$

We also have the following alternative description of these factors. Let

$$\Psi_K^- : \mathbb{A}_K^\times / K^\times \xrightarrow{\text{rec}_K} \text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_K^-} (\Lambda_K^-)^\times$$

be the map obtained from the reciprocity map and the canonical projections. Then $\hat{\chi}$ extends to a homomorphism, denoted with the same symbol, $\hat{\chi} : \Lambda_{\bar{K}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. If \mathfrak{p}_v is a uniformizer element of v , then

$$L_v(f/K, \chi, s) = (1 - \hat{\chi}(\Psi_K^-(\pi_v)) \cdot \alpha_{\mathbb{N}(v)}(f) \cdot \mathbb{N}(v)^{-s})^{-1} \cdot (1 - \hat{\chi}(\Psi_K^-(\pi_v)) \cdot \beta_{\mathbb{N}(v)}(f) \cdot \mathbb{N}(v)^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Define for each prime ideal $v \mid S$ of K the element $\mathcal{E}_v \in \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_{\bar{K}}^-]]$ by

$$\mathcal{E}_v = (1 - \Psi_K^-(\mathfrak{p}_v) \cdot \alpha_{\mathbb{N}(v)}(f) \cdot \mathbb{N}(v)^{-1}) \cdot (1 - \Psi_K^-(\mathfrak{p}_v) \cdot \beta_{\mathbb{N}(v)}(f) \cdot \mathbb{N}(v)^{-1}).$$

Set $\mathcal{E}_\ell = \prod_{v \mid \ell} \mathcal{E}_v$ and $\mathcal{E}_S = \prod_{\ell \mid S} \mathcal{E}_\ell$. In particular, $\hat{\chi}(\mathcal{E}_\ell^{-1}) = L_\ell(f/K, \chi, 1)$, and therefore

$$(7.1) \quad L^S(f/K, \chi, 1) = \hat{\chi}(\mathcal{E}_S) \cdot L(f/K, \chi, 1).$$

Moreover, since S contains p , we have

$$(7.2) \quad L^S(f/K, \chi, 1) = L^S(f_0/K, \chi, 1).$$

Define $L_{S,f}^{\text{CH}} = \mathcal{E}_S \cdot \Theta_p^2(g)$.

Theorem 7.1. *Let f be the p -stabilization of a p -ordinary newform f_0 of level $\Gamma_0(N)$. Then*

$$(L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}}) = (L_{S,f}^{\text{CH}}) \in \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_{\bar{K}}^-]].$$

Proof. We show that the powers series $L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}}$ and $L_{S,f}^{\text{CH}}$ agree when evaluated at infinitely many characters χ , up to a p -adic unit which is independent of χ , and then the result follows from the Weierstrasse preparation theorem. Fix a finite order character $\hat{\chi} : \Gamma_{\bar{K}}^- \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of conductor p^n for some integer $n > t_\phi$, which is the p -adic avatar of a finite order anticyclotomic ideal class character χ of conductor p^n (so the infinity type of χ is $(0, 0)$, and χ and $\hat{\chi}$ are simply related by the geometrically normalised reciprocity map).

Choose ϕ such that its restriction to the anticyclotomic line $\Gamma_{\bar{K}}^-$ is $\hat{\chi}$. By Lemma 2.2, $\mathfrak{g}(\theta_\phi) = \pm p^n$, so $u_{\text{SU}}(\hat{\chi}) = u_f \cdot \mathfrak{g}(\theta_\phi)/p^n = \pm u_f$ is a p -adic unit, which depends on χ only up to a sign. We therefore have:

$$L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}}(\hat{\chi}) = \frac{u_{\text{SU}}(\hat{\chi}) \cdot p^n}{\alpha_p^{2n}(f_0)} \cdot \frac{L^S(f_0/K, \chi, 1)}{\pi^2 \cdot \Omega_{f_0}^+ \cdot \Omega_{f_0}^-}.$$

On the other hand, by (7.1) and (7.2), we have

$$L_{S,f}^{\text{CH}}(\hat{\chi}) = \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{N}^+) \cdot u_{\text{CH}} \cdot p^n}{\alpha_p^{2n}(f_0)} \cdot \frac{L^S(f/K, \chi, 1)}{4\pi^2 \cdot \Omega_{f_0, N^-}},$$

where u_{CH} is a unit which does not depend on χ . We thus have

$$L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}}(\hat{\chi}) = \frac{u_{\text{SU}}(\chi)}{\chi(\mathfrak{N}^+) \cdot u_{\text{CH}}} \cdot \frac{\Omega_{f_0, N^-}}{4 \cdot \Omega_{f_0}^+ \cdot \Omega_{f_0}^-} \cdot L_{S,f}^{\text{CH}}(\hat{\chi}).$$

Now u_{CH} is a p -adic unit independent of χ . Thanks to Remark 5.1, $\Omega_f^\pm = \Omega_{f_0}^\pm$, namely, the periods of f and f_0 differ by p -adic units. By Proposition 6.1, the quotient between the Gross periods Ω_{f_0, N^-} and $\Omega_{f_0}^+ \cdot \Omega_{f_0}^-$ is equal to $\eta_{f_0}/\eta_{f_0, N^-}$, which is a p -adic unit under Assumption 1.1 by [34, Lemma 9.2]. Moreover, we have infinitely many Hecke characters χ as above such that $u_{\text{SU}}(\chi) = \epsilon$ for at least one choice of $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, which we fix in the following consideration. Therefore $u = u_{\text{SU}}(\chi)/u_{\text{CH}}$ is a unit independent of χ , for χ in an infinite set of characters. Define $\alpha = \text{rec}_K(\mathfrak{N}^+)$, so that $\hat{\chi}(\alpha) = \chi(\mathfrak{N}^+)$. The values $u \cdot L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}}(\hat{\chi})$ and $\hat{\chi}(\alpha) \cdot \eta_{f, N^-} \cdot L_{S,f}^{\text{CH}}(\hat{\chi})$ are equal for infinitely many anticyclotomic Hecke characters χ , and therefore, using the Weierstrasse preparation theorem, we see that $u \cdot L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}}$ and $\alpha \cdot \eta_{f, N^-} \cdot L_{S,f}^{\text{CH}}$ are equal in $\mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_{\bar{K}}^-]]$. Now α , η_{f, N^-} and u are units, completing the proof. \square

8. HIDA–BÜYÜKBODUK–LEI p -ADIC L -FUNCTION

We recall a variant of Hida–Perrin-Riou p -adic L -function in [11], [27], recently developed by [22], [5], [6]. We will mainly follow the presentation of [5, §2], [6, Appendix B].

Let f_0 be a newform of level $\Gamma_0(N)$ with $p \nmid N$ and even weight $k \geq 2$. Let χ be a Hecke character of K of infinite type (t_1, t_2) and denote $\hat{\chi} : \hat{K}^\times / K^\times \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ the p -adic avatar of χ ; using the same conventions as in Section 5, the definition of $\hat{\chi}$ is $\hat{\chi}(a) = \chi(a) \cdot (a_p^{t_1} / \bar{a}_p^{t_2})$, and is identified with a Galois character, denoted with the same symbol, $\hat{\chi} : G_K^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ by composing with the (geometrically normalised) reciprocity map. Let α_p and β_p are the roots of the Hecke polynomial of f at p , and let α_p denote as before the unit root. Denote $\Sigma^{(1)}$ the set of Hecke characters of infinity type (t_1, t_2) with $-(k/2 - 1) \leq t_1 \leq k/2 - 1$ and $-(k/2 - 1) \leq t_2 \leq k/2 - 1$. Fix an integral ideal \mathfrak{f} prime to p and denote $H_{\mathfrak{f}p^\infty}$ the ray class field of conductor $\mathfrak{f}p^\infty$, by which we mean the inverse limit of all ray class groups of conductors $\mathfrak{f}p^m \bar{p}^n$ over all non-negative integers m and n ; then we have a projection map $G_K^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{f}p^\infty}$.

Consider the family of p -depleted theta series, following [22, §6.2], [5, §2.2], [6, Appendix B]:

$$\Theta = \sum_{(\mathfrak{a}, p)=1} [\mathfrak{a}] q^{\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{a})}$$

where the sum is over all ideals \mathfrak{a} of K which are coprime to p and $[\mathfrak{a}]$ is the class of \mathfrak{a} in $H_{\mathfrak{f}p^\infty}$; so Θ is an element of $\Lambda(H_{\mathfrak{f}p^\infty})[[q]]$, where $\Lambda(H_{\mathfrak{f}p^\infty})$ is the Iwasawa algebra of the p -adic Lie group $H_{\mathfrak{f}p^\infty}$. For any Hecke character χ of conductor $\mathfrak{f}p^\infty$, we then have

$$\Theta(\hat{\chi}) = \hat{\chi}(\Theta) = \sum_{(\mathfrak{a}, p)=1} \hat{\chi}(\mathfrak{a}) q^{\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

For any Hecke character χ of prime to p -conductor \mathfrak{f} , such that $\chi \mathbb{N}^{-k/2}$ belongs to $\Sigma^{(1)}$, we introduce the following quantities:

- For each integer j ,

$$\mathcal{E}(f, \chi, j) = \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \{\mathfrak{p}, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}\}} \left(1 - \frac{p^{j-1}}{\alpha_p \chi(\mathfrak{q})} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\beta_p \chi(\mathfrak{q})}{p^j} \right).$$

- $\mathcal{E}(f) = 1 - \frac{\beta_p}{p\alpha_p}$, $\mathcal{E}^*(f) = 1 - \frac{\beta_p}{\alpha_p}$.

By [5, Theorem 2.1] (see also [6, Theorem 2.1]), there exists a p -adic L -function

$$L(f/K, \Sigma^{(1)}) \in \Lambda(H_{\mathfrak{f}p^\infty}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} L,$$

where $L = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O})$ is the fraction field of \mathcal{O} , satisfying the following interpolation formula. If χ is a finite idele class character, and j is an integer such that $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$, then:

- If the conductor of χ is prime to p , we have

$$L(f/K, \Sigma^{(1)})(\hat{\chi} \cdot \mathbb{N}^{j-k/2}) = \frac{\mathcal{E}(f, \chi, j) \cdot u \cdot \Gamma(j)^2}{\mathcal{E}(f) \cdot \mathcal{E}^*(f)} \cdot \frac{L_K(f_0, \chi, j)}{\pi^{2j} \cdot \langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_N},$$

where u is a unit independent of χ ;

- If the p -primary part of the conductor of χ is p^n with $n \geq 1$, we have

$$L(f/K, \Sigma^{(1)})(\hat{\chi} \cdot \mathbb{N}^{j-k/2}) = \frac{p^{2jn} \cdot \tau(\hat{\chi}) \cdot u \cdot \Gamma(j)^2}{\alpha_p^{2n} \cdot \mathcal{E}(f) \cdot \mathcal{E}^*(f)} \cdot \frac{L_K(f_0, \bar{\chi}, j)}{\pi^{2j} \cdot \langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_N},$$

where u is a unit independent of χ and $\tau(\hat{\chi})$ is the root number of $\Theta(\hat{\chi})$.

We can view $L(f/K, \Sigma^{(1)})$ as an element of $\mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_K^-]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} L$ by composing with the canonical projection $\pi : H_{\mathfrak{f}p^\infty} \rightarrow \Gamma_K^-$, and the composition is independent of the chosen \mathfrak{f} as long as

p does not divide the cardinality of the ray class group of conductor \mathfrak{f} . Then define for any \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism $\chi : \mathcal{O}[\Gamma_K^-] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$,

$$L_{f,j}^{\text{HBL}}(\chi) = L(f/K, \Sigma^{(1)})(\chi \circ \pi \cdot \mathbb{N}^{j-k/2})$$

where $\pi : \Lambda(H_{\mathfrak{f}p^\infty}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[\Gamma_K^-]$ is the canonical map.

9. COMPARISON BETWEEN HIDA–BÜYÜKBODUK–LEI AND CHIDA–HSIEH

Let $f = \mathbf{f}_\phi$ and suppose that f has weight k , level $\Gamma_0(Np)$ and trivial character.

Theorem 9.1. $(\eta_{f,N^-} \cdot L_{f,k/2}^{\text{HBL}}) = (L_f^{\text{CH}})$ as elements in $\mathcal{O}[\Gamma_K^-]$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 7.1, we show the equality of the two p -adic L -functions when evaluated at infinitely many characters χ , up to a p -adic unit which is independent of χ , and then the result follows from the Weierstrasse preparation theorem. Fix a finite order character $\hat{\chi} : \Gamma_K^- \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of conductor p^n for some integer $n \geq 1$, which is the p -adic avatar of a finite order anticyclotomic ideal class character χ of conductor p^n ; thus as before the infinity type of χ is $(0, 0)$ and $\hat{\chi}$ and χ are related by the reciprocity map. For $j = k/2$ in the formula for $L(f/K, \Sigma^{(1)})(\hat{\chi})$ we obtain

$$L_{f,k/2}^{\text{HBL}}(\hat{\chi}) = \frac{p^{kn} \cdot \tau(\hat{\chi}) \cdot u \cdot \Gamma(k/2)^2}{\alpha_p(f_0)^{2n} \cdot \mathcal{E}(f) \cdot \mathcal{E}^*(f)} \cdot \frac{L_K(f_0, \bar{\chi}, k/2)}{\pi^k \cdot \langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_N}.$$

Comparing with the expression in Theorem 7.1, we obtain

$$L_{f,k/2}^{\text{HBL}}(\hat{\chi}) = p^n \cdot \tau(\hat{\chi}) \cdot \frac{\tilde{u}}{\bar{\chi}(\mathfrak{N}^+)} \cdot \frac{\Omega_{f_0, N^-}}{\pi^k \cdot \langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_N} \cdot L_f^{\text{CH}}(\hat{\chi}),$$

where \tilde{u} is a p -adic unit independent of χ . We have $\eta_{f_0, N^-} = \frac{\pi^k \cdot \langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_N}{\Omega_{f_0, N^-}}$ up to p -adic units by Proposition 6.1. The explicit formulas in [13, (5.5b)] (see also §3.3) show that $\tau(\hat{\chi}) = p^{-n}$, up to a p -adic unit independent of χ ; note that the action [5] and [6] of a matrix $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with positive determinant on a modular form g is via the formula $g|_\ell \gamma(z) = \det(\gamma)^{\ell-1} (cz + d)^{-\ell} g(\gamma(z))$, which explains the discrepancy between the root numbers used in [5], [6] and [11], [13] since the last two references use the action introduced in §3.3). The conclusion follows. \square

10. COMPARISON BETWEEN SKINNER–URBAN AND HIDA–BÜYÜKBODUK–LEI

Similarly as before, and using the notation of §7, define

$$L_{S,f,j}^{\text{HBL}} = \mathcal{E}_S \cdot L_{f,j}^{\text{HBL}}.$$

Theorem 10.1. $(\eta_f \cdot L_{S,f,k/2}^{\text{HBL}}) = (L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}})$ and $(\eta_f \cdot L_{S,f,k-1}^{\text{HBL}}) = (\pi_f^{\text{ac}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f},K}^S))$, where $f = \mathbf{f}_\phi$.

Proof. First observe that two formulas are equivalent under twisting by $\text{Tw}_{\Theta^{-1}}(\gamma)$. The first formula is proved in [5], where one first shows in Remark 2.2 that the values on anticyclotomic characters χ of $L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}}$ and $L_{S,f,k/2}^{\text{HBL}}$ differ by a p -adic unit, and then the proof of Theorem 3.20 shows that $L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}}$ divides $L_{S,f,k/2}^{\text{HBL}}$. An alternative proof of the second equivalent statement can be obtained by making explicit the root number $\tau(\hat{\chi})$ as in the proof of Theorem 9.1, and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 7.1; details of this second approach are left to the reader. \square

11. QUATERNIONIC TWO-VARIABLE p -ADIC L -FUNCTIONS

The paper [23] introduced a two-variable anticyclotomic p -adic L -function $L_{\mathbb{I}}^{\text{LV}} \in \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K^-]]$ by means of big Gross points, an analogue in the definite setting of big Heegner points first introduced by Howard [14]. The function L^{LV} has been further studied in [8] and [7], and we recall now some of its properties. Let $\phi : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be an arithmetic morphism corresponding to the p -stabilization f of a p -ordinary newform $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$, and set $L_f^{\text{LV}} = \pi_f(L_{\mathbb{I}}^{\text{LV}}) \in \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_K^-]]$, where $\pi_f : \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K^-]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_K^-]]$ is the identity map on Γ_K^- and the map ϕ on \mathbb{I} . Then by [7, Theorem 3.14] we have $(L_f^{\text{LV}}) = (L_f^{\text{CH}})$. Theorem 9.1 and Theorem 10.1 show that

$$(11.1) \quad (L_{S,f}^{\text{SU}}) = ((\eta_{f_0}/\eta_{f_0,N^-}) \cdot L_{S,f}^{\text{LV}}).$$

For each prime $v \mid S$ in K , define the Euler factor

$$\mathbb{E}_v(X) = \det \left(\text{Id} - X \text{frob}_\ell | (\mathbb{T}^\dagger)^{I_v} \right)$$

in $\mathbb{I}[X]$, where I_v is the inertia subgroup at v , and $\mathbb{T}^\dagger = \mathbb{T} \otimes \Theta^{-1}$ is the central critical twist of Hida's big Galois representation \mathbb{T} attached to \mathbb{I} . Then set $\mathbb{E}_v = \mathbb{E}_v(\ell^{-1})$, and define $\mathbb{E}_\ell = \prod_{v|\ell} \mathbb{E}_v$ and $\mathbb{E}_S = \prod_{\ell \in S} \mathbb{E}_\ell$. Finally define $L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{LV}} = \mathbb{E}_S \cdot L_{\mathbb{I}}^{\text{LV}}$.

Let $\eta_{\mathbb{I}}$ be Hida's congruence ideal defined in [12], which satisfies the property that $\phi(\eta_{\mathbb{I}}) = \eta_f = \eta_{f_0}$, up to p -adic units, for all $\phi : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of weight k , level $\Gamma_0(Np)$ and trivial character. We also denote $\eta_{\mathbb{I},N^-}$ be the congruence ideal relative to the N^- -new quotient of the Hida-Hecke algebra, defined in [15, Definition 4.12], which satisfies the property that $\phi(\eta_{\mathbb{I},N^-}) = \eta_{f,N^-} = \eta_{f_0,N^-}$, up to p -adic units, for all $\phi : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of weight k , level $\Gamma_0(Np)$ and trivial character. The results explained in [15, §7] show that $\eta_{\mathbb{I}}/\eta_{\mathbb{I},N^-}$ is a \mathbb{I} -adic unit under our assumptions.

Theorem 11.1. $(L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{SU}}) = (L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{LV}})$.

Proof. Let $\phi_k : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be an arithmetic prime corresponding to a modular form f of weight k and trivial character, and $\chi : \Gamma_K^- \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ a character. Let $\phi_{k,\chi} : \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K^-]] \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[[\Gamma_K^-]]$ be defined by $(\phi_{k,\chi})_{\mathbb{I}} = \phi + k$ and $(\phi_{k,\chi})_{|\Gamma_K^-} = \chi$. Since $\eta_{\mathbb{I}}/\eta_{\mathbb{I},N^-}$ is an \mathbb{I} -adic unit, the quotient $\eta_f/\eta_{f,N^-}$ is a p -adic unit. Then for all such (ϕ_k, χ) , except possibly those corresponding to (ϕ_{k_i}, χ) for k_i in a finite set T of integers, we have by (11.1)

$$(11.2) \quad (\phi_{k,\chi}(L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{SU}})) = (\phi_{k,\chi}(L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{LV}}))$$

as \mathcal{O} -ideals.

Now any morphism $\vartheta : \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma_K^-]] \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is of the form $\vartheta = \varphi_\psi$ where $\varphi = \vartheta|_{\mathbb{I}}$ and $\psi = \vartheta|_{\Gamma_K^-}$, and $\varphi_\psi(\sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n W^n) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi(\alpha_n) \psi(W)^n$. By the duality between Hecke algebras and p -adic modular forms, φ is a p -adic modular form. Since $\bigcup_k S_k(\Gamma_0(Np))$ is p -adically dense in the space of p -adic modular forms, given any integer s we can choose an integer $k \equiv 2 \pmod{p-1}$ with $k \notin T$ such that $\phi_k \equiv \phi \pmod{p^s}$. This implies that if s is sufficiently big there exists k such that $\phi_{k,\chi}(L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{SU}}) \equiv \phi(L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{SU}}) \pmod{p}$ and $\phi_{k,\chi}(L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{LV}}) \equiv \phi(L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{LV}}) \pmod{p}$. It follows from (11.2) that $\phi(L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{SU}})$ and $\phi(L_{S,\mathbb{I}}^{\text{LV}})$ differ by a p -adic unit, for all ϑ . The conclusion follows. \square

REFERENCES

1. M. Bertolini and H. Darmon, *Heegner points on Mumford-Tate curves*, Invent. Math. **126** (1996), no. 3, 413–456. MR 1419003 (97k:11100)
2. ———, *Heegner points on Mumford-Tate curves*, Invent. Math. **126** (1996), no. 3, 413–456. MR 1419003 (97k:11100)
3. ———, *Iwasawa's main conjecture for elliptic curves over anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **162** (2005), no. 1, 1–64. MR 2178960 (2006g:11218)
4. Kenneth S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. MR 672956 (83k:20002)

5. Kâzım Büyükboduk and Antonio Lei, *Anticyclotomic p -ordinary Iwasawa theory of elliptic modular forms*, Forum Math. **30** (2018), no. 4, 887–913.
6. ———, *Iwasawa theory for $GL_2 \times \text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(GL_1)$* , Ann. Math. Qué. (2022).
7. Francesc Castella, Chan-Ho Kim, and Matteo Longo, *Variation of anticyclotomic Iwasawa invariants in Hida families*, Algebra and Number Theory **11** (2017), no. 10, 2339–2367.
8. Francesc Castella and Matteo Longo, *Big Heegner points and special values of L -series*, Ann. Math. Qué. **40** (2016), no. 2, 303–324.
9. Masataka Chida and Ming-Lun Hsieh, *Special values of anticyclotomic L -functions for modular forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **741** (2018), 87–131.
10. Fred Diamond and Jerry Shurman, *A first course in modular forms*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 228, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. MR 2112196 (2006f:11045)
11. Haruzo Hida, *A p -adic measure attached to the zeta functions associated with two elliptic modular forms. I*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), no. 1, 159–195.
12. ———, *Modules of congruence of Hecke algebras and L -functions associated with cusp forms*, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988), no. 2, 323–382. MR 935010 (89i:11058)
13. ———, *A p -adic measure attached to the zeta functions associated with two elliptic modular forms. II*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **38** (1988), no. 3, 1–83.
14. Benjamin Howard, *Twisted Gross-Zagier theorems*, Canad. J. Math. **61** (2009), no. 4, 828–887. MR 2541387 (2010k:11098)
15. Ming-Lun Hsieh, *Hida families and p -adic triple product L -functions*, Amer. J. Math. **143** (2021), no. 2, 411–532.
16. Henryk Iwaniec, *Topics in classical automorphic forms*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 17, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
17. Hervé Jacquet, *Automorphic forms on $GL(2)$. Part II*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 278, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. MR 0562503 (58 #27778)
18. Chan-Ho Kim, *Anticyclotomic Iwasawa invariants and congruences of modular forms*, Asian J. Math. **21** (2017), no. 3, 499–530.
19. Chan-Ho Kim and Matteo Longo, *On the non-triviality of Rankin–Selberg L -values in Hida families*, preprint.
20. Chan-Ho Kim and Kazuto Ota, *On the quantitative variation on congruence ideals and integral periods of modular forms*, preprint <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1905.02926.pdf> (2019).
21. Guido Kings, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes, *Rankin–Eisenstein classes and explicit reciprocity laws*, Camb. J. Math. **5** (2017), no. 1, 1–122.
22. Antonio Lei, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes, *Euler systems for modular forms over imaginary quadratic fields*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), no. 9, 1585–1625.
23. Matteo Longo and Stefano Vigni, *Quaternion algebras, Heegner points and the arithmetic of Hida families*, Manuscripta Math. **135** (2011), no. 3–4, 273–328. MR 2813438 (2012g:11114)
24. J. Martinet, *Algebraic number fields*, ch. Character theory and Artin L -functions, pp. 1–77, Academic Press, New York, 1977.
25. Toshitsune Miyake, *Modular forms*, english ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, Translated from the 1976 Japanese original by Yoshitaka Maeda. MR 2194815 (2006g:11084)
26. Jürgen Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder. MR 1697859 (2000m:11104)
27. B. Perrin-Riou, *Fonctions l - p -adiques associées à une forme modulaire et à un corps quadratique imaginaire.*, J. London Math. Soc. **38** (1988), no. 1, 1–32.
28. Robert Pollack and Tom Weston, *Mazur–Tate elements of nonordinary modular forms*, Duke Math. J. **156** (2011), no. 3, 349–385.
29. ———, *On anticyclotomic μ -invariants of modular forms*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 5, 1353–1381. MR 2834724 (2012k:11174)
30. David Rohrlich, *Root numbers, Arithmetic of L -functions* (Cristian Popescu, Karl Rubin, and Alice Silverberg, eds.), IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 18, AMS, 2011, pp. 353–448.
31. N. Shappacher, *Algebraic hecke characters*, vol. 1301, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
32. Goro Shimura, *The special values of the zeta functions associated with cusp forms*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1976), no. 6, 783–804. MR 0434962 (55 #7925)
33. ———, *On the periods of modular forms*, Math. Ann. **229** (1977), no. 3, 211–221. MR 0463119 (57 #3080)
34. C. Skinner and Wei Zhang, *Indivisibility of heegner points in the multiplicative case*, preprint (2014).
35. Christopher Skinner and Eric Urban, *The Iwasawa Main Conjectures for GL_2* , Invent. Math. **195** (2014), no. 1, 1–277. MR 3148103

36. V. Vatsal, *Special values of anticyclotomic L -functions*, Duke Math. J. **116** (2003), no. 2, 219–261. MR 1953292 (2004e:11069b)
37. Vinayak Vatsal, *Canonical periods and congruence formulae*, Duke Math. J. **98** (1999), no. 2, 397–419.

(CHAN-HO KIM) CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL CHALLENGES, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY,
85 HOEGIRO, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 02455, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: `chanho.math@gmail.com`

(MATTEO LONGO) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121
PADOVA, ITALY
Email address: `mlongo@math.unipd.it`