

LATTICE POINTS ON A CURVE VIA ℓ^2 DECOUPLING

DAISHI KIYOHARA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove a Bombieri-Pila type theorem about lattice points on a $C^{n,\alpha}$ planar curve under a certain analytic condition using ℓ^2 decoupling. We also see that this method generalizes the result from a lattice to a discrete set with a small doubling constant.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of evaluating the number of lattice points on a planar curve was originated in Jarník's theorem [J], which states that a strictly convex curve of length ℓ contains at most $3(4\pi)^{-1/3}\ell^{2/3} + O(\ell^{1/3})$ integer points.

Following the strategy in [BP], we fix a planar curve and study the size of its intersection with a discrete set. First we consider the $\frac{1}{N}$ -integer points. Jarník's theorem implies $|\Gamma \cap (\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^2| \leq N^{\frac{2}{3}}$ up to a constant which only depends on the length of the curve. Swinnerton-Dyer considered a fixed graph $\Gamma : (x, f(x))$ and proved that if the curve is C^3 and strictly convex then there is a better upper bound $|\Gamma \cap (\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^2| \lesssim N^{\frac{3}{5}+\epsilon}$ where the implicit constant Γ and ϵ in [Sw]. Schmidt took up this question with a graph $f \in C^3([0, N])$ and proved $|\Gamma \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| \leq c(\epsilon)N^{\frac{3}{5}}$ with a constant $c(\epsilon)$ independent of the curve under a weaker assumption $|f| \leq N$ and f'' is weakly monotonic and vanishes for at most one value of x in [Sc]. The same paper posted the Schmidt conjecture asking if $|\Gamma \cap (\mathbb{Z})^2| \leq c(\epsilon)N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ under the same condition.

In [BP] Bombieri and Pila proved that a significantly better upper bound can be obtained if the curve is C^n and satisfies a certain finiteness condition about the intersection with algebraic curves. Their argument consists of two parts. They introduced the idea of lifting a planar curve into higher-dimensional space. The upper bound of lattice points on a curve inside \mathbb{R}^n is obtained by evaluating the volume of simplicial polytopes under the assumption that there is a maximum intersection with any hyperplane. One of the main results is as follows. If $\Gamma : (x, f(x))$ is a $C^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1)(s+2)-1}$ planar curve with a finiteness condition that it meets any algebraic curve of degree d with at most $c(f)$ points, then we have

$$|\Gamma \cap (\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^2| \leq C(f)N^{\frac{8}{3(s+3)}}$$

where $C(f)$ depends on the norm $\|f\|_{\frac{1}{2}(s+1)(s+2)-1}$ and $c(f)$. This leads to a partial resolution of Schmidt's conjecture by showing $|\Gamma \cap (\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^2| \leq c(\Gamma, \epsilon)N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ for a C^∞ strictly convex curve Γ .

The purpose of this paper is to introduce ℓ^2 decoupling, especially ℓ^2 decoupling for non-degenerate curves in \mathbb{R}^n developed in [BDGuth], to these questions about lattice points on a curve. This method gives almost the same upper bound for the lattice points on a planar curve as in Bombieri-Pila's paper under an analytic non-degeneracy condition of the curve. It generalizes the result to a wider class of discrete sets with small doubling constant.

Remember that a C^n curve Γ with parameterization $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \dots, \gamma_n(t))$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ is called non-degenerate if

$$W(\Gamma)(t) = \begin{vmatrix} \gamma_1'(t) & \cdots & \gamma_1^{(n)}(t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_n'(t) & \cdots & \gamma_n^{(n)}(t) \end{vmatrix}$$

is nonvanishing throughout $t \in [0, 1]$.

1.1. Main theorem. To precisely formulate our main theorem, we prepare a few definitions of monomials and the Wronskian. Let \mathcal{M} denote the set of all monomials about two variables x and y with a positive degree. Then for each finite subset $M \subset \mathcal{M}$, we can define its total degree $\deg(M)$ as the sum of degrees $\sum_{m \in M} \deg(m)$. A minimal collection of monomials $M \subset \mathcal{M}$ is such that attains the minimal total degree among all subsets of \mathcal{M} of the same order. A few examples of minimal collections are $\{x, y\}$, $\{x, y, x^2\}$ and $\{x, y, xy\}$.

Given a planar curve Γ which is parameterized by $\Gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t))$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and a minimal collection $M_n = \{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ of n monomials, we can define the function $W^{M_n}(\Gamma)(t)$ by the $n \times n$ determinant

$$W^{M_n}(\Gamma)(t) = \begin{vmatrix} m_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)'(t) & m_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)'(t) & \cdots & m_n(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)'(t) \\ m_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)''(t) & m_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)''(t) & \cdots & m_n(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)''(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)^{(n)}(t) & m_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)^{(n)}(t) & \cdots & m_n(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)^{(n)}(t) \end{vmatrix}$$

where we take derivatives of $m_i(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)(t)$ about t . By setting s to be the smallest positive integer such that $n \leq \frac{1}{2}(s+1)(s+2) - 1$ and writing $\Delta n = n - (\frac{1}{2}s(s+1) - 1)$, then we can see that a minimal collection of n monomials consists of the monomials of degree up to $s-1$ and Δn distinct monomials of degree s . Now we can state our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let Γ be a compact $C^{n,\alpha}$ planar curve. Suppose further that there is a minimal collection M_n of n monomials such that $W^{M_n}(\Gamma)(t) > c_0$ holds for a positive constant c_0 throughout $[0, 1]$. Then we have*

$$|\mathcal{N}_{d/N^n}(\Gamma) \cap (\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^2| \lesssim N^{e_1(n)+\epsilon}$$

for each $\epsilon > 0$, where the function $e_1(n)$ is given by

$$e_1(n) = \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \left(\frac{(s-1)s(s+1)}{3} + s \cdot \Delta n \right).$$

Moreover the implicit constant depends on ϵ , $\|\gamma\|_{C^{n,\alpha}}$, c_0 and d .

Remark 1. We do not have to restrict ourselves to minimal collections of monomials. Consider a collection M of n monomials with minimum degree s_0 and the total degree $\deg(M)$. If a $C^{n,\alpha}$ curve satisfies the condition $W^{M_n}(\Gamma)(t) > c_0$ throughout $[0, 1]$, then we have

$$|\mathcal{N}_{d/N^{s_0 n}}(\Gamma) \cap (\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^2| \lesssim N^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)} \deg(M) + \epsilon}.$$

Notice that the intricate formula for $e_1(n)$ in Theorem 1 is nothing but the degree formula for a minimal collection of n monomials.

Let us list some of the interesting implications of the theorem.

Bombieri and Pila's result. Suppose that we can write $n = \frac{1}{2}(s+1)(s+2) - 1$. In this case, $M_{\leq s} = \{x^i y^j : 1 \leq i+j \leq s\}$ is the unique minimal collection of n monomials, and Theorem 1 simplifies to the following result.

Corollary 1. Let n be an integer of the form $\frac{1}{2}(s+1)(s+2) - 1$. Suppose that Γ is a compact $C^{n,\alpha}$ planar curve such that $W^{M_{\leq s}}(\Gamma)$ is nonvanishing. Then we have

$$|\mathcal{N}_{d/N^n}(\Gamma) \cap (\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^2| \lesssim N^{\frac{8}{3(s+3)}+\epsilon}.$$

It is worth noting that Bombieri and Pila obtained the same upper bound for nonalgebraic C^n curves in [BP]. An important idea in their proof was to replace a curve with a family of algebraic curves of degree at most d which pass through all lattice points on the original curve. Pila pushed this direction further and proved upper bounds under a slightly stronger Wronskian condition in Theorem 1 [P]. Huxley used the Bombieri-Pila's determinant method to show the upper bound of lattice points nearby a curve in [H]. There the best neighborhood one can take to obtain the desired upper bound is $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{d}{N^n}}(\Gamma)$ as well.

Towards Schmidt's conjecture. For instance, the same upper bound was proved for strictly convex C^∞ curves in [BP] and also for C^{104} curves with an additional analytic condition in [P].

By applying the main theorem with $n = 7$, we obtain an interesting estimate in light of this conjecture. It shows $|\Lambda| \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ for any $C^{7,\alpha}$ curve under an analytic condition that depends on the choice of a minimal collection of 7 monomials. If we choose $M_7 = \{x, y, x^2, xy, y^2, x^3, x^2y\}$, then the corresponding condition becomes the nonvanishing of the function

$$W(x) = \begin{vmatrix} f^{(4)}(x) & 4f^{(3)}(x) & 12f^{(2)}(x) & (f^2)^{(4)}(x) \\ f^{(5)}(x) & 5f^{(4)}(x) & 20f^{(3)}(x) & (f^2)^{(5)}(x) \\ f^{(6)}(x) & 6f^{(5)}(x) & 30f^{(4)}(x) & (f^2)^{(6)}(x) \\ f^{(7)}(x) & 7f^{(6)}(x) & 42f^{(5)}(x) & (f^2)^{(7)}(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we review ℓ^2 decoupling for non-degenerate curves in \mathbb{R}^n and see its implication for the upper bound of lattice points. In Section 3 we define a planar curve's lift associated with a collection of monomials and prove the main theorem. In Section 4, we introduce a few generalizations of the main result to non-lattice sets. The most important is the class of discrete sets with a small doubling constant. In Appendix, we show that a non-degeneracy of the C^n curve implies the finiteness assumption in Bombieri-Pila's proof.

Notation. Throughout the paper, we write $A \lesssim B$ to denote $A \leq CB$ for an implicit constant that depends on parameters like ϵ . We also use notation $e(\alpha) = \exp(2\pi i\alpha)$.

Acknowledgement. The research was conducted while the author was a participant in the Summer Program in Undergraduate Research (SPUR) of the MIT Mathematics Department. I thank David Jerison and Ankur Moitra for organizing the SPUR program and for helping me with continuous encouragement. I wish to thank Larry Guth for kindly agreeing to be my mentor during SPUR, suggesting a connection between ℓ^2 decoupling and the upper estimate of lattice points, and for guiding me through insightful comments. I am grateful to Feng Gui for his patient support as a mentor. I also thank Yuqiu Fu for numerous helpful discussions.

2. ℓ^2 DECOUPLING FOR CURVES LYING INSIDE \mathbb{R}^n

2.1. ℓ^2 decoupling for the moment curve. The moment curve M in \mathbb{R}^n is defined by the parameterization $\psi(t) = (t, t^2, \dots, t^n)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. We need to prepare some notation to state ℓ^2 decoupling for the moment curve. For each $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote by f_R the Fourier restriction of f to R

$$f_R(x) = \int_R \hat{f}(\xi) e(x \cdot \xi) d\xi.$$

We also denote by $\mathcal{N}_\delta(M)$ the δ -neighborhood of the moment curve M . We define the $\ell^2 L^p$ decoupling constant as follows. Consider the partition of the $\mathcal{N}_\delta(M)$ into smaller regions $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}_\delta(\delta^{\frac{1}{n}}\text{-arc of } M)$. Then the decoupling constant $D_p(\delta)$ is defined as the best constant such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\omega_B)} \leq D_p(\delta) \left(\sum_{\theta} \|f_{\theta}\|_{L^p(\omega_B)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds for all functions f with $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \mathcal{N}_\delta(M)$.

Bourgain, Demeter and Guth gave the following estimate of the decoupling constant $D_p(\delta)$ for the critical value $p = n(n+1)$. See [BDGuth] for their original proof and [GLYZ] for a new, short proof.

Theorem 2. *We have*

$$D_{n(n+1)}(\delta) \lesssim \delta^{-\epsilon}$$

for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

The theorem implies the following estimate about exponential sums.

Theorem 3. *Let $p = n(n+1)$. Then for each $\delta^{\frac{1}{n}}$ -separated set Λ of points on M , we have*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} \left| \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} a_\xi e(\xi \cdot x) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim \delta^{-\epsilon} \|a_\xi\|_{\ell^2}$$

for each $\epsilon > 0$, each $a_\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ and each ball B_R of radius $R \gtrsim \delta^{-1}$.

2.2. ℓ^2 decoupling for nondegenerate curves. Now consider a compact $C^{n,\alpha}$ curve C lying inside \mathbb{R}^n parameterized by

$$\gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \dots, \gamma_n(t))$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. We say such a curve C is nondegenerate if the Wronskian $W(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_n)(t)$ is nonvanishing.

We can similarly define the decoupling constant $D_{C,p}(\delta)$ for such curve C by replacing $\mathcal{N}_\delta(M)$ by $\mathcal{N}_\delta(C)$ and $\mathcal{N}_\delta(\delta^{\frac{1}{n}}\text{-arc of } M)$ by $\mathcal{N}_\delta(\delta^{\frac{1}{n}}\text{-arc of } C)$. Then it turns out that we have the upper bound $\delta^{-\epsilon}$ for the decoupling constant $D_{C,p}(\delta)$ up to a constant that depends on the curve. More precisely, we have the following.

Theorem 4. *Let C be a nondegenerate $C^{n,\alpha}$ curve lying inside \mathbb{R}^n such that $W(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_n)(t) > c_0$ throughout $[0, 1]$. Then we have*

$$D_{C,n(n+1)}(\delta) \lesssim \delta^{-\epsilon}$$

where the implicit constant depends on ϵ , $\|\gamma\|_{C^{n,\alpha}}$ and c_0 .

Here we provide a proof for this theorem. A similar argument for C^{m+1} curves can be found in [GLYZ].

Proof. For a given $t_0 \in [0, 1]$, consider the n -th Taylor series $r(t)$ of $\gamma(t)$ at t_0 . Then the condition $\gamma \in C^{n,\alpha}$ implies the upper bound $|\gamma(t_0 + \Delta t) - r(t_0 + \Delta t)| \leq A|\Delta t|^{n+\alpha}$ with a constant A independent of t_0 . Denote by R the curve parameterized by $r(t)$. Then we have $|\gamma(t_0 + \Delta t) - r(t_0 + \Delta t)| < \delta$ whenever $|\Delta t| \leq (\frac{\delta}{A})^{\frac{1}{n+\alpha}}$. By the condition $W(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_n) \text{giy}(t) > c_0$, curves R defined above always map to the moment curve M under an invertible linear change of variables. Let τ denote δ -neighborhoods of $\delta^{\frac{1}{n+\alpha}}$ -arcs of C , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^p}^2 &\leq D_{C,p}^2(\delta^{\frac{n}{n+\alpha}}) \cdot \sum_{\tau} \|f_{\tau}\|_{L^p}^2 \\ &\leq D_{C,p}^2(\delta^{\frac{n}{n+\alpha}}) D_p^2(\delta^{\frac{\alpha}{n+\alpha}}) \cdot \sum_{\tau} \sum_{\theta \subset \tau} \|f_{\theta}\|_{L^p}^2 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2, $D_{C,p}(\delta) \lesssim \delta^{-\epsilon} D_{C,p}(\delta^{\frac{n}{n+\alpha}})$ for $p = n(n+1)$. By iteration, we conclude $D_{C,p}(\delta) \lesssim \delta^{-\epsilon}$ \square

By the same procedure with the case of the moment curve, Theorem 4 leads to the following result.

Theorem 5. *Let C be as above, and let $p = n(n+1)$. Then for each $\delta^{\frac{1}{n}}$ -separated set Λ of points on C . we have*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} \left| \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} a_{\xi} e(\xi \cdot x) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim \delta^{-\epsilon} \|a_{\xi}\|_{\ell^2}$$

for each $\epsilon > 0$, each $a_{\xi} \in \mathbb{C}$ and each ball $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of radius $R \gtrsim \delta^{-1}$.

2.3. Lattice points on a curve. As an application of ℓ^2 decoupling, we can show the upper bound of lattice points nearby a compact, non-degenerate $C^{m,\alpha}$ curve inside \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 6. *Let Γ be a compact, non-degenerate $C^{m,\alpha}$ curve inside \mathbb{R}^n . Given a positive constant d , we define the set*

$$\Lambda' = \left(\frac{1}{a_1} \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \frac{1}{a_n} \mathbb{Z} \right) \cap \mathcal{N}_{d/a_n^n}(\Gamma).$$

Then we have

$$|\Lambda'| \lesssim a_n^{\epsilon} (a_1 \dots a_n)^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)}}$$

where the hidden constant depends only on ϵ , $\|\gamma\|_{C^{n,\alpha}}$, c_0 defined in Theorem 4, and d .

Proof. By definition, each element $\xi' \in \Lambda'$ has at least one corresponding point ξ on the curve Γ such that $|\xi' - \xi| \leq d/a_n^n$. Let Λ denote a collection of such points ξ , then we know $|\Lambda| = |\Lambda'|$. The finite set Λ is separated by $1/2a_n$ for large enough a_n . Indeed, this is clear since the distance between any two points ξ_1 and ξ_2 in Λ is at least $1/a_n - 2d/a_n^n$. Therefore, we can apply Theorem 5 with $\delta^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{2a_n}$. It says that with $p = n(n+1)$ we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} \left| \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} e(\xi \cdot x) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim \delta^{-\epsilon} |\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for each ball B_R of radius $R \gtrsim \delta^{-1} = (2a_n)^n$.

We will now show that we can bound the LHS from below using the conditions on Λ . Let x be in the r -neighborhood of x' where $x' \in a_1\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times a_n\mathbb{Z}$ and $x' \leq sa_n^n$. Here r and s are constants to be determined. Suppose that we are given a point $\xi \in \Lambda$, and take the corresponding point $\xi' \in \Lambda'$ such that $|\xi - \xi'| \leq d/a_n^n$. First notice that $\xi' \cdot x' \in \mathbb{Z}$. The triangle inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi \cdot x - \xi' \cdot x'| &\leq |\xi'| |x - x'| + |\xi - \xi'| |x'| \\ &\leq r + ds. \end{aligned}$$

Here we assume that Γ is contained in the unit ball B_1 . Choose constants $r, s > 0$ so that $r + ds \leq \frac{1}{6}$. Then for all x as above we have $|\sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} e(\xi \cdot x)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\Lambda|$. We can evaluate the LHS with the radius $R = C(\epsilon)(2a_n)^n$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} \left| \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} e(\xi \cdot x) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\geq \left(\frac{1}{|B_{C(\epsilon)(2a_n)^n}|} \int_{B_{sa_n^n}} \left| \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} e(\xi \cdot x) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{|B_{C(\epsilon)(2a_n)^n}|} \left(\frac{|B_{sa_n^n}|}{2a_1 \cdots a_n} |B_r| \left(\frac{1}{2} |\Lambda| \right)^p \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq 2^{-\frac{p+1}{p}} \left(\frac{s}{2^n C(\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}} |B_r|^{\frac{1}{p}} (a_1 \cdots a_n)^{-\frac{1}{p}} |\Lambda| \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact

$$\#\{p \in (a_1\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times a_n\mathbb{Z}) \cap B_{sa_n^n} \mid B_r(p) \subset B_{sa_n^n}\} \geq \frac{|B_{sa_n^n}|}{2a_1 \cdots a_n}$$

for large a_n , which implies that there are at least this many small balls of radius r inside $B_{sa_n^n}$ where we can apply the local estimate $|\sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} e(\xi \cdot x)| \geq |\Lambda|/2$.

Combining this inequality with the decoupling inequality yields

$$|\Lambda| \leq C(\epsilon, d)(a_1 \cdots a_n)^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)}} a_n^{n\epsilon}.$$

Replacing ϵ by $\frac{\epsilon}{n}$ gives the desired result. \square

Remark 2. In certain cases we can replace the neighborhood to have radius $\frac{d}{a_1^n}$. Let us define $\Lambda'' = (\frac{1}{a_1}\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \frac{1}{a_n}\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathcal{N}_{d/a_1^n}(\Gamma)$. If Λ'' is $\frac{1}{2a_1}$ -separated for large enough a_1 , then the same proof provides the inequality

$$\left| \left(\frac{1}{a_1}\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \frac{1}{a_n}\mathbb{Z} \right) \cap \mathcal{N}_{d/a_1^n}(\Gamma) \right| \lesssim a_1^\epsilon (a_1 \cdots a_n)^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)}}.$$

This version of inequality is what we use to prove the main theorem.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

3.1. Lift of a planar curve. Here we recall the lift of a curve introduced in [BP]. Suppose that we are given a compact planar curve Γ which is parameterized by $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t))$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. To prove the theorem, we need to define the notion of lifting. It plays a central role in connecting $\frac{1}{N}$ -integer points on a planar curve to weighted $\frac{1}{N}$ -integer points on a curve lying in a higher-dimensional space.

Definition 1. A curve $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in \mathbb{R}^n is a lift of a planar curve $\Gamma : \gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t))$ if it is parameterized by

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (m_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)(t), m_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)(t), \dots, m_n(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)(t))$$

for some minimal collection of n monomials $M_n = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$.

For instance, a lift of Γ in \mathbb{R}^5 is unique up to ordering of coordinates, and it is given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t), \gamma_1(t)^2, \gamma_1(t)\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(t)^2)$.

Remark 3. The idea of lifting applies to curves in any dimension. For example, starting with a compact space curve with parameterization $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t), \gamma_3(t))$, we can lift it to a curve inside \mathbb{R}^9 by using monomials $x, y, z, x^2, xy, xz, y^2, yz, z^2$.

3.2. Proof of the main theorem. Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be a lift of the planar curve Γ associated with the given minimal collection of n monomials M_n . For simplicity, we list m_1, \dots, m_n in the order such that the sequence of degrees $s_i = \deg(m_i)$ is weakly increasing and $m_1 = x, m_2 = y$. Similarly, we can lift points of Λ using the same monomials. Namely, we consider the set $\tilde{\Lambda}$ consisting of points $\tilde{\xi} = (m_1(\xi_1, \xi_2), m_2(\xi_1, \xi_2), \dots, m_n(\xi_1, \xi_2))$ where $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \Lambda$. Since Λ is $\frac{1}{N}$ -separated, the lift $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is also $\frac{1}{N}$ -separated. By definition, Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ have the same cardinality, and the lift $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is contained in the lattice $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z} \times \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \frac{1}{N^{s_1}}\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \frac{1}{N^{s_n}}\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $\xi \in \mathcal{N}_{d/N^n}(\Gamma)$ implies $\tilde{\xi} \in \mathcal{N}_{cd/N^n}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ with an absolute constant c . Indeed letting $\xi = (x, y) \in \Lambda$, there exists $\xi' = (x', y') \in \Gamma$ such that $|\xi - \xi'| \leq d/N^n$. Then we have $|x'^a y'^b - x^a y^b| \leq |x'^a - x^a| |y'^b| + |x^a| |y'^b - y^b| \leq a|x' - x| + b|y' - y|$ for each $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ in the case $\Gamma \subset B_1$. Since we use the same monomials in the definition of both $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$, the above inequality applies to each coordinate and it follows $\tilde{\xi} \in \mathcal{N}_{cd/N^n}(\tilde{\Gamma})$.

The assumption on Γ implies that the lift $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a nondegenerate $C^{m, \alpha}$ curve lying inside \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore, the version of Theorem 6 stated in Remark 1 applies to $\tilde{\Lambda} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}$ and yields the upper bound

$$|\tilde{\Lambda}| \lesssim N^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)}(s_1+s_2+\dots+s_n)+\epsilon}.$$

There are exactly $i + 1$ many distinct monomials of degree i about two variables, so a minimal collection of n monomials must consist of all monomials of degree up to $s - 1$ and Δn distinct monomials of degree s . Therefore, the sum of degrees s_i is given by

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 + \dots + s_n &= 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + \dots + \underbrace{(s-1) + \dots + (s-1)}_{s \text{ times}} + \underbrace{s + \dots + s}_{\Delta n \text{ times}} \\ &= \frac{(s-1)s(s+1)}{3} + \Delta n \cdot s. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

4. APPLICATION TO NON-LATTICE SETS

Application to discrete sets which lift to a lattice. Combination of Theorem 4 and the idea of lifting gives an upper bound of not necessarily lattice points on a curve. We give one example here.

Corollary 2. Let Γ be a compact $C^{3,\alpha}$ curve in \mathbb{R}^2 parameterized by $(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t))$ such that the determinant $\begin{vmatrix} (\gamma_1^2)' & (\gamma_1\gamma_2)' & (\gamma_2^2)' \\ (\gamma_1^2)'' & (\gamma_1\gamma_2)'' & (\gamma_2^2)'' \\ (\gamma_1^2)''' & (\gamma_1\gamma_2)''' & (\gamma_2^2)''' \end{vmatrix}$ is nonvanishing. Given a positive constant d , we have

$$|\mathcal{N}_{\frac{d}{N^3}} \Gamma \cap \{(\frac{\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{N}}, \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{N}}) \mid a, b, ab \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}| \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Consider the lifting map from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^3 sending (x_1, x_2) to (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2) . Then the set $\{(\frac{\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{N}}, \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{N}}) \mid a, b, ab \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 lifts to the usual $\frac{1}{N}$ -lattice in \mathbb{R}^3 . Now the statement is a direct consequence of Theorem 6. \square

Application to discrete sets with small doubling constant. For a discrete set A , the additive m -energy, denoted $\mathbb{E}_m(A)$, is the cardinality of the set $|\{(a_1, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1}, \dots, a_{2m}) \in A^{2m} \mid a_1 + \dots + a_m = a_{m+1} + \dots + a_{2m}\}|$.

Corollary 3. Let Γ be a compact, strictly convex or concave $C^{2,\alpha}$ planar curve. Let A be an δ -separated set with doubling constant $K = \frac{|A+A|}{|A|}$. Then

$$|\Gamma \cap A| \lesssim K|A|^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}$$

where the implicit constant depends on ϵ , c_0 , $\|\gamma\|_{C^{n,\alpha}}$ and δ .

Proof. Let us denote the intersection $\Gamma \cap A$ by Λ . The ℓ^2 decoupling inequality implies the upper bound for the additive 3-energy

$$\mathbb{E}_3(\Lambda) \lesssim |\Lambda|^3.$$

To obtain a lower bound for the additive energy, we recall the Plünnecke-Rusza inequality [R]. In our case, it states $|A + A + A| \leq K^3|A|$. By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_3(\Lambda) \geq \frac{|\Lambda|^6}{|\Lambda + \Lambda + \Lambda|} \geq \frac{|\Lambda|^6}{|A + A + A|} \geq \frac{|\Lambda|^6}{K^3|A|}.$$

Combining it with the ℓ^2 decoupling inequality, we obtain the desired result. \square

Corollary 4. Suppose we are given a finite set A and a $C^{n,\alpha}$ curve Γ inside \mathbb{R}^2 . Further suppose that some finite set B inside \mathbb{R}^n containing A^{M_n} has doubling constant $K = \frac{|B+B|}{|B|}$ and that Γ^{M_n} is nondegenerate, then we have

$$|\Gamma \cap A| \lesssim K|B|^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)}+\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma^{M_n} = \Gamma^{M_n} \cap A^{M_n}$, then it is obvious that $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma^{M_n}|$ (up to some absolute constant if M_n does not contain both x and y). As we did before in Theorem 6, by applying the ℓ^2 decoupling inequality to Γ^{M_n} we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}(\Gamma^{M_n}) \lesssim |\Gamma^{M_n}|^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+\epsilon}.$$

Plünnecke-Rusza inequality provides the upper bound $|\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \cdot \Gamma^{M_n}| \leq |\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \cdot B| \leq K^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}|B|$. This estimate combined with Cauchy-Schwarz gives the lower bound for the additive energy

as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}(\Gamma^{M_n}) &\geq \frac{|\Gamma^{M_n}|^{n(n+1)}}{\left|\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right| \cdot |\Gamma^{M_n}|} \\ &\geq \frac{|\Gamma^{M_n}|^{n(n+1)}}{K^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} |B|} \end{aligned}$$

We can conclude that $|\Gamma^{M_n}| \lesssim K|B|^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)+\epsilon}}$. \square

Remark 4. In general, we can apply the theorem by taking $B = A^{M_n}$, but this is often not optimal. In the case of lifting $\frac{1}{N}$ -integral lattice, we took B to be the lattice with the same weight as the degrees used in M_n so the doubling constant K becomes a constant value 2^n .

The finite generalized arithmetic progressions are an important example of discrete sets with small doubling constant. Recall that a finite generalized arithmetic progression or simply GAP is defined by

$$\left\{ \mathbf{v} + \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_i \mathbf{v}_i \mid 1 \leq \ell_i \leq N_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m \right\}$$

for some N_1, \dots, N_m . If the cardinality of GAP equals $N_1 \cdots N_m$, it is called proper. It is clear that any proper GAP has a doubling constant at most 2^m . This leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 5. Let A be a proper finite generalized arithmetic progression. Suppose that A is δ -separated. If Γ is a compact strictly convex or concave $C^{2,\alpha}$ planar curve, then we have

$$|\Gamma \cap A| \lesssim |A|^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}$$

where the implicit constant depends on ϵ , $\|\gamma\|_{C^{n,\alpha}}$, c_0 and δ .

APPENDIX

4.1. Non-degeneracy of the curve and Bombieri-Pila's determinant method. The determinant method introduced in [BP] provides an upper bound for the lattice points on a C^n curve Γ lying inside \mathbb{R}^n under a certain finiteness condition. The finiteness assumption requires that there is a constant $N(\Gamma)$ such that the curve meets any hyperplane with at most $N(\Gamma)$ points. Pila proved that a nonvanishing condition of many Wronskians of the curve including $W(\Gamma)$ is sufficient for this property in [P]. In this section, we show that the non-degeneracy condition of a curve, namely the nonvanishing condition of the single function $W(\Gamma)$, is enough to ensure the finiteness condition.

Proposition 1. Let Γ be a compact, non-degenerate C^n curve lying inside \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists $N(\Gamma) > 0$ such that Γ meets any hyperplane with at most $N(\Gamma)$ points.

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on n . When $n = 2$, it says that for a C^2 strictly convex or concave curve Γ there exists $N > 0$ such that Γ meets any line with at most N points. If the curve is a graph, this is true with $N = 2$. By partitioning the given curve into segments each of which is a graph, we obtain the result.

Suppose that we know the statement is true with dimension $n - 1$, and let Γ be a compact, non-degenerate C^n curve lying inside \mathbb{R}^n . Consider a partition of Γ into finitely many curves

$\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ each being a graph. Without loss of generality, we assume that Γ_1 is a graph about the first coordinate with parameterization

$$\Gamma_1 : \gamma(x) = (x, f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x)) \quad x \in I.$$

Using the functions f_2, \dots, f_n we can define a new C^{n-1} non-degenerate curve Γ'_1 inside \mathbb{R}^{n-1} parameterized by

$$\Gamma'_1 : (f'_2(x), \dots, f'_n(x)) \quad x \in I.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, there is a number N_1 such that Γ'_1 meets any hyperplane inside \mathbb{R}^{n-1} with at most N_1 points. We claim that Γ_1 meets any hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^n with at most $N_1 + 1$ points. In order to check this, assume that there exists some hyperplane $H : a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = a_0$ which intersects with Γ_1 at $N_1 + 2$ points $\gamma(x_1), \dots, \gamma(x_{N_1+2})$ where $x_1, \dots, x_{N_1+2} \in I$. This means

$$a_1x + a_2f_2(x) + \dots + a_nf_n(x) = a_0$$

holds at these points. By Mean Value Theorem, there exist $N_1 + 1$ points $y_1, \dots, y_{N_1+1} \in I$ with $x_i < y_i < x_{i+1}$ such that

$$a_2f'_2(y) + \dots + a_nf'_n(y) = -a_1$$

holds at these points. This precisely means the hyperplane $H' : a_2y_1 + \dots + a_ny_n = 0$ inside \mathbb{R}^{n-1} intersects with Γ'_1 at $N_1 + 1$ points, which is a contradiction.

Similarly we can define the numbers N_2, \dots, N_m . It follows that Γ meets any hyperplane with at most $\sum_{i=1}^m (N_i + 1)$ points. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bombieri and J. Pila. The number of integral points on arcs and ovals. *Duke Math. J.*, 59(2):337–357, 1989.
- [2] J. Bourgain, C. Demeter, and L. Guth. Proof of the main conjecture in Vinogradov’s mean value theorem for degrees higher than three. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 184(2):633–682, 2016.
- [3] S. Guo, Z. K. Li, P.-L. Yung, and P. Zorin-Kranich. A short proof of ℓ^2 decoupling for the moment curve. *Amer. J. Math.*, 143(6):1983–1998, 2021.
- [4] M. N. Huxley. The integer points in a plane curve. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, 37(part 1):213–231, 2007.
- [5] V. Jarník. Über die Gitterpunkte auf konvexen Kurven. *Math. Z.*, 24(1):500–518, 1926
- [6] J. Pila. Geometric postulation of a smooth function and the number of rational points. *Duke Math. J.*, 63(2):449–463, 1991.
- [7] I. Z. Ruzsa. An application of graph theory to additive number theory. *Sci. Ser. A Math. Sci. (N.S.)*, 3:97–109, 1989.
- [8] W. M. Schmidt. Integer points on curves and surfaces. *Monatsh. Math.*, 99(1):45–72, 1985.
- [9] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer. The number of lattice points on a convex curve. *J. Number Theory*, 6:128–135, 1974.