

ON PARTIALLY OBSERVED JUMP DIFFUSIONS I. THE FILTERING EQUATIONS

FABIAN GERM AND ISTVÁN GYÖNGY

ABSTRACT. This paper is the first part of a series of papers on filtering for partially observed jump diffusions satisfying a stochastic differential equation driven by Wiener processes and Poisson martingale measures. The coefficients of the equation only satisfy appropriate growth conditions. Some results in filtering theory of diffusion processes are extended to jump diffusions and equations for the time evolution of the conditional distribution and the unnormalised conditional distribution of the unobserved process at time t , given the observations until t , are presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

This is the first part of a series of papers on filtering of jump-diffusions. We consider on a given complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$ a $d + d'$ -dimensional stochastic process $(Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (X_t, Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, satisfying the stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= b(t, Z_t)dt + \sigma(t, Z_t)dW_t + \rho(t, Z_t)dV_t \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} \eta(t, Z_{t-}, \mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_0(d\mathfrak{z}, dt) + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \xi(t, Z_{t-}, \mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, dt) \\ dY_t &= B(t, Z_t)dt + dV_t + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \mathfrak{z} \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, dt), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

on the interval $[0, T]$ for a given \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable initial value $Z_0 = (X_0, Y_0)$, where $(W_t, V_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is $d_1 + d'$ -dimensional \mathcal{F}_t -Wiener process, and $\tilde{N}_i(d\mathfrak{z}, dt) = N_i(d\mathfrak{z}, dt) - \nu_i(d\mathfrak{z})dt$, $i = 0, 1$, are independent \mathcal{F}_t -Poisson martingale measures on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathfrak{Z}_i$ with σ -finite characteristic measures ν_0 and ν_1 on separable measurable spaces $(\mathfrak{Z}_0, \mathcal{Z}_0)$ and $(\mathfrak{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1) = (\mathbb{R}^{d'} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{d'} \setminus \{0\}))$, respectively. The mappings $b = (b^i)$, $B = (B^i)$, $\sigma = (\sigma^{ij})$ and $\rho = (\rho^{il})$ are Borel functions of $(t, z) = (t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{d+d'}$, with values in \mathbb{R}^d , $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$, $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d_1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d'}$, respectively, and $\eta = (\eta^i)$ and $\xi = (\xi^i)$ are \mathbb{R}^d -valued $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{d+d'}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_0$ -measurable and \mathbb{R}^d -valued $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{d+d'}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_1$ -measurable functions of $(t, z, \mathfrak{z}_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{d+d'} \times \mathfrak{Z}_0$ and $(t, z, \mathfrak{z}_1) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{d+d'} \times \mathfrak{Z}_1$, respectively.

We are concerned with the classic task of filtering theory: to calculate at each time t the mean square estimate of $f(X_t)$, a real-valued Borel function of the “unobservable component” X_t of Z_t , given the “observations” $\{Y_s : s \leq t\}$. Since, as it is well-known, this estimate is

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G35, 60H15 ; Secondary 60G57, 60G51.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear filtering, random measures, Lévy processes.

the conditional expectation

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X_t)|Y_s : s \leq t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)P_t(dx), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

we are interested in equations for the evolution of $P_t(dx)$, the conditional distribution of X_t given $\{Y_s, s \leq t\}$. Their derivation for a large class of coefficients is the aim of this paper. In the subsequent papers of this series we investigate the existence of the conditional density $\pi_t(x) = P_t(dx)/dx$ and its regularity properties.

There has been an immense interest in the development of filtering theory due to its wide applicability in various disciplines, be they of theoretical or applied nature. A vast amount of research has been done on filtering of partially observed processes governed by stochastic differential equations driven by Wiener processes, i.e., when $\eta = \xi = 0$ in (1.1), and a quite complete nonlinear filtering theory was built up, see for instance [8] for a historical account. In this case it is well-known that $(P_t(dx))_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies a nonlinear stochastic PDE (SPDE), often called the Kushner-Shiryayev equation in filtering theory. It is also well-known that this equation can be transformed into a linear SPDE, called Zakai equation, or Duncan-Mortensen-Zakai equation for $\mu_t(dx) = \lambda_t P_t(dx)$, the unnormalised conditional distribution, where $(\lambda_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a positive normalizing stochastic process.

There exist several known methods of deriving the filtering equations for partially observed diffusion processes, three prominent of which are the “innovation method”, the “reference measure method” and a “direct approach”. The innovation method is based on “innovation process” representations, (see [21] and [11]), and the direct approach is based on suitable existence and uniqueness theorems for stochastic PDEs (see [16]). The reference probability method is employed in this paper, where we make use of the fact that by Girsanov’s theorem one can introduce a new measure under which the observation σ -algebra, $\sigma(Y_s : s \leq t)$, is the product σ -algebra of three independent σ -algebras: the σ -algebra generated by the initial observation Y_0 and the σ -algebras generated by the Wiener process and the Poisson random measure in the observation process until time t , respectively. This structure of the observation σ -algebra makes it possible to calculate conditional expectations of functions of the process Z given the observations. (See, e.g., [4] for descriptions of various methods used in filtering theory.)

Recently, also filtering for jump-diffusion systems have been intensively studied, which are most often modelled as SDEs driven by Wiener processes as well as random jump measures, a classical case of which are Poisson random measures. In an early article thereon, [22], the filtering equations were derived for uncorrelated continuous observations, as well as an observation process driven only by a jump process that has no common jumps with the signal. A similar system with continuous uncorrelated observations has also been considered in [23]. A more general nonlinear system with jumps in the observation process was considered in [3]. In [2] the filtering equations for a large class of uncorrelated linear systems with jumps are derived. In [12] a very general model is considered and a representation for optional projection of the signal process is derived. However, due to the generality a number of additional assumptions are imposed on their model and equations for the filtering measures are not obtained.

In [6] and [7] the authors deal with a one-dimensional jump-diffusion where observation and

signal may have common jumps by introducing a new random measure, nonzero only for observable jumps, relying on a construction in [5]. However, they impose a finiteness condition on the support of the integrand in front of the jump term, which translates to observing only finitely many jumps almost surely. In such a case, the jump measure and the associated compensator, also referred to as dual predictable projection, allow for a specific decomposition, see for instance [14, Sec. XI.4]. The filtering equations have been derived for a class of jump diffusion systems [24], later generalised to include correlated Wiener process noises in [25], however it seems to us that certain important results needed for this derivation, including Lemma 3.2 in [24], also used in [25], do not hold, for instance if one considers the case of vanishing coefficients. A model where a correlation structure between the Lévy process noises in signal and observation is described using copulas is used in [10] to derive the Zakai equation.

In this paper we obtain the filtering equations for a jump-diffusion system driven by correlated Wiener process, as well as correlated Poisson martingale measure noises. We impose common linear growth conditions. We do not assume any non-degeneracy conditions and allow for the number of jumps in any component of $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ to be infinite over finite intervals. In order to obtain the equations, we generalise some results from filtering theory and in particular prove a projection theorem for a wide class of functions.

In Section 2 a fairly general condition for Girsanov's transformation and our main result are presented. In Section 3 a projection theorem covering a wide class of processes is proven, and thereby in the last section the filtering equations are derived.

Conditions and results on the existence and regularity of the filtering density are presented in subsequent articles of this series.

We conclude with some notions and notations used throughout the paper. For an integer $n \geq 0$ the notation $C_b^n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ means the space of real-valued bounded continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d , which have bounded and continuous derivatives up to order n . (If $n = 0$, then $C_b^0(\mathbb{R}^d) = C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the space of real-valued bounded continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d). We denote by $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the set of finite Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^d . For $\mu \in \mathbb{M}$ we use the notation

$$\mu(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \mu(dx)$$

for Borel functions φ on \mathbb{R}^d . We say that a function $\nu : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ is \mathcal{G} -measurable for a σ -algebra $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$, if $\nu(\varphi)$ is a \mathcal{G} -measurable random variable for every bounded Borel function φ on \mathbb{R}^d . An \mathbb{M} -valued stochastic process $\nu = (\nu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is said to be weakly cadlag if almost surely $\nu_t(\varphi)$ is a cadlag function of t for all $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For such a process ν there is a set $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ of full probability and there is uniquely defined (up to indistinguishability) \mathbb{M} -valued processes $(\nu_{t-})_{t \in (0, T]}$ such that for every $\omega \in \Omega'$

$$\nu_{t-}(\varphi) = \lim_{s \uparrow t} \nu_s(\varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } t \in (0, T],$$

and for each $\omega \in \Omega'$ we have $\nu_{t-} = \nu_t$, for all but at most countably many $t \in (0, T]$. For processes $U = (U_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ we use the notation \mathcal{F}_t^U for the P -completion of the σ -algebra generated by $\{U_s : s \leq t\}$. For a measure space $(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathcal{Z}, \nu)$ and $p \geq 1$ we use the notation $L_p(\mathfrak{Z})$ for the L_p -space of \mathbb{R}^d -valued \mathcal{Z} -measurable processes defined on \mathfrak{Z} . For σ -algebras $\mathcal{G}_i \subset \mathcal{F}$, $i = 1, 2$, the notation $\mathcal{G}_1 \vee \mathcal{G}_2$ means the P -completion of the smallest σ -algebra containing

G_i for $i = 1, 2$. Finally, we always use without mention the summation convention, by which repeated integer valued indices imply a summation.

2. FORMULATION OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We consider on a given finite interval $[0, T]$ a $d + d'$ -dimensional stochastic process $Z = (Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (X_t, Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ carried by a complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , equipped with a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that \mathcal{F}_0 contains the P -null sets of \mathcal{F} . We assume that Z satisfies the stochastic differential equation (1.1) on the interval $[0, T]$, with an \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable initial value $Z_0 = (X_0, Y_0)$.

Besides the natural measurability conditions on the coefficients $b, \sigma, \rho, \xi, \eta$ and B , described in the Introduction, we assume the following conditions.

Assumption 2.1. (i) There are nonnegative constants K_0, K_1 and K_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} |b(t, z)|^2 &\leq K_0 + K_1|z|^2, \quad |\sigma(t, z)|^2 + |\rho(t, z)|^2 + |B(t, z)|^2 \leq K_0 + K_2|z|^2, \\ |\eta(t, z)|_{L_2(3_0)}^2 + |\xi(t, z)|_{L_2(3_1)}^2 &\leq K_0 + K_2|z|^2, \quad \int_{3_1} |\mathfrak{z}|^2 \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) \leq K_0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+d'}$ and $t \in [0, T]$, and we have

(ii)

$$K_1 \mathbb{E}|X_0| + K_2 \mathbb{E}|X_0|^2 < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that in (2.1) we use the convention that $0 \times \infty = 0$, i.e., if $K_2 = 0$, then the finiteness of the second moment of $|X_0|$ is not required, and if $K_1 = K_2 = 0$ then Assumption 2.1 (ii) clearly holds.

The following moment estimate is known and can be easily proved by the help of well-known martingale inequalities.

Remark 2.1. If Assumption 2.1(i) holds, then for every $p \in [1, 2]$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$ we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \leq T} \mathbf{1}_A |Z_t|^p \leq N(1 + \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_A |Z_0|^p) \quad (2.2)$$

with a constant N depending only on p, T, K_0, K_1, K_2 and $d + d'$.

We make also the following assumption.

Assumption 2.2. We have $\mathbb{E} \gamma_T = 1$, where

$$\gamma_t = \exp \left(- \int_0^t B(s, X_s, Y_s) dV_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |B(s, X_s, Y_s)|^2 ds \right), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.3)$$

This assumption implies that the measure Q , defined by $dQ = \gamma_T dP$ on \mathcal{F} , is a probability measure, and hence by Girsanov's theorem under Q the process

$$\tilde{V}_t = \int_0^t B(s, X_s, Y_s) ds + V_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (2.4)$$

is an \mathcal{F}_t -Wiener process.

To describe the evolution of the conditional distribution $P_t(dx) = P(X_t \in dx | Y_s, s \leq t)$ for $t \in [0, T]$, we introduce the random differential operators

$$\mathcal{L}_t = a_t^{ij}(x) D_{ij} + b_t^i(x) D_i, \quad \mathcal{M}_t^k = \rho_t^{ik}(x) D_i + B_t^k(x), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, d',$$

where

$$a_t^{ij}(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} (\sigma_t^{ik} \sigma_t^{jk})(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{d'} (\rho_t^{il} \rho_t^{jl})(x), \quad \sigma_t^{ik}(x) := \sigma^{ik}(t, x, Y_t), \quad \rho_t^{il}(x) := \rho^{il}(t, x, Y_t),$$

$$b_t^i(x) := b^i(t, x, Y_t), \quad B_t^k(x) := B^k(t, x, Y_t)$$

for $\omega \in \Omega$, $t \in [0, T]$, $x = (x^1, \dots, x^d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $D_i = \partial/\partial x^i$, $D_{ij} = \partial^2/(\partial x^i \partial x^j)$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Moreover for every $t \in [0, T]$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$ we introduce the random operators I_t^ξ and J_t^ξ defined by

$$I_t^\xi \varphi(x, \mathfrak{z}) = \varphi(x + \xi_t(x, \mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{z}) - \varphi(x, \mathfrak{z}), \quad J_t^\xi \phi(x, \mathfrak{z}) = I_t^\xi \phi(x, \mathfrak{z}) - \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_t^i(x, \mathfrak{z}) D_i \phi(x, \mathfrak{z}) \quad (2.5)$$

for functions $\varphi = \varphi(x, \mathfrak{z})$ and $\phi = \phi(x, \mathfrak{z})$ of $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$, and furthermore the random operators I_t^η and J_t^η , defined as I_t^ξ and J_t^ξ , respectively, with $\eta_t(x, \mathfrak{z})$ in place of $\xi_t(x, \mathfrak{z})$, where

$$\xi_t(x, \mathfrak{z}_1) := \xi(t, x, Y_{t-}, \mathfrak{z}_1), \quad \eta_t(x, \mathfrak{z}_0) := \eta(t, x, Y_{t-}, \mathfrak{z}_0)$$

for $\omega \in \Omega$, $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathfrak{z}_i \in \mathfrak{Z}_i$ for $i = 0, 1$ ($Y_{0-} := Y_0$).

Now we are in the position to formulate our main result. Recall that we denote by $(\mathcal{F}_t^Y)_{t \in [0, T]}$ the completed filtration generated by $(Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 hold. Then there exist measure-valued \mathcal{F}_t^Y -adapted weakly cadlag processes $(P_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that*

$$P_t(\varphi) = \mu_t(\varphi)/\mu_t(\mathbf{1}), \quad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$P_t(\varphi) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_t^Y), \quad \mu_t(\varphi) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_t^Y) \quad (\text{a.s.}) \text{ for each } t \in [0, T],$$

for bounded Borel functions φ on \mathbb{R}^d , and for every $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ almost surely

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(\varphi) = & \mu_0(\varphi) + \int_0^t \mu_s(\mathcal{L}_s \varphi) ds + \int_0^t \mu_s(\mathcal{M}_s^k \varphi) d\tilde{V}_s^k + \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} \mu_s(J_s^\eta \varphi) \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \mu_s(J_s^\xi \varphi) \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \mu_{s-}(I_s^\xi \varphi) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_t(\varphi) = & P_0(\varphi) + \int_0^t P_s(\mathcal{L}_s \varphi) ds + \int_0^t (P_s(\mathcal{M}_s^k \varphi) - P_s(\varphi)P_s(B_s^k)) d\bar{V}_s^k \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} P_s(J_s^\eta \varphi) \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} P_s(J_s^\xi \varphi) \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} P_{s-}(I_s^\xi \varphi) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, where $(\tilde{V}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is given in (2.4), and the process $(\bar{V}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is defined by

$$d\bar{V}_t = d\tilde{V}_t - P_t(B_t) dt = dV_t + (B_t(X_t) - P_t(B_t)) dt, \quad \bar{V}_0 = 0.$$

Remark 2.2. Clearly, $\bar{V} = (\bar{V}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a continuous process, starting from zero, and by the help of Lemma 4.2 below it is easy to see that it is \mathcal{F}_t^Y -adapted. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that \bar{V} is a martingale (under P) with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$, with quadratic variation process $[\bar{V}]_t = t$, $t \in [0, T]$. Hence by Lévy's theorem, \bar{V} is an \mathcal{F}_t^Y -Wiener process. It is called the *innovation process* in the case when the observation process does not have a stochastic integral component with respect to Poisson measures, i.e., when $\nu_1 = 0$. In this case it was conjectured that $(\bar{V}_s)_{s \in [0, t]}$ together with Y_0 carry the same information as the observation $(Y_s)_{s \in [0, t]}$, i.e., that the σ -algebra generated by $(\bar{V}_s)_{s \in [0, t]}$ and Y_0 coincides with the σ -algebra generated by $(Y_s)_{s \in [0, t]}$ for every t . An affirmative result concerning this conjecture, under quite general conditions on the filtering models (but without jump components) was proved in [17] and [13]. For our filtering model we conjecture that $(\bar{V}_s)_{s \in [0, t]}$, together with Y_0 and $\{\tilde{N}((0, s] \times \Gamma) : s \in [0, t], \Gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_1\}$ carry the same information as the observation $(Y_s)_{s \in [0, t]}$, if Assumption 2.1 holds and the coefficients of (1.1) satisfy an appropriate Lipschitz condition.

We will prove Theorem 2.1 by deducing equation (2.7) from equation (2.6), which we obtain by taking, under Q , the conditional expectation of the terms in the equation for $\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t)$, given the observation $\{Y_s : s \leq t\}$.

There are several known conditions ensuring that Assumption 2.2 is satisfied. For a simple proof for the well-known Novikov condition and Kazamaki condition, and their generalizations we refer to Exercise 6.8.VI in [18], [19] and [20]. These conditions, are clearly satisfied if $|B|$ is bounded, but it does not seem to be easy to reformulate them in terms of the coefficients of the system of equations (1.1), if $|B|$ is unbounded. Here we give a condition, which together with Assumption 2.1(i) ensures that Assumption 2.2 holds.

Assumption 2.3. There is a constant K such that

$$-x^i \rho^{ik}(t, z) B^k(t, z) \leq K(1 + |z|^2) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+d'}.$$

Remark 2.3. Define the $\mathbb{R}^{(d+d') \times d'}$ -valued function $\hat{\rho}$ by $\hat{\rho}^{jk} := \rho^{jk}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, d'$ and $\hat{\rho}^{jk} := 0$ for $j = d+1, \dots, d+d'$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, d'$. Then Assumption 2.3 means that the “one-sided linear growth” condition

$$zf(t, z) \leq K(1 + |z|^2), \quad t \in [0, T], z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+d'},$$

holds for the $\mathbb{R}^{d+d'}$ -valued function $f = -\hat{\rho}B$, where zf denotes the standard inner product of the vectors $z, f \in \mathbb{R}^{d+d'}$. Clearly, this condition is essentially weaker than the linear growth condition on f (in $z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+d'}$), which obviously holds if one of the functions ρ and B is bounded in magnitude and the other satisfies the linear growth condition in Assumption 2.1 (i).

Theorem 2.2. *Let Assumptions 2.1(i) and 2.3 hold. Then $\mathbb{E}\gamma_T = 1$, i.e., Assumption 2.2 holds.*

Proof. We want to prove $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{|Z_0| \leq R} \gamma_T) = P(|Z_0| \leq R)$ for every constant $R > 0$, since by monotone convergence it implies

$$\mathbb{E}\gamma_T = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{|Z_0| \leq R} \gamma_T) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} P(|Z_0| \leq R) = 1.$$

To this end we fix a constant $R > 0$ and set $\bar{\gamma}_t := \mathbf{1}_{|Z_0| \leq R} \gamma_t$. By Itô's formula

$$d\bar{\gamma}_t = -\bar{\gamma}_t B(t, Z_t) dV_t,$$

that shows that $\bar{\gamma}$ is a local \mathcal{F}_t -martingale. Thus $\mathbb{E}\bar{\gamma}_{T \wedge \tau_n} = P(|Z_0| \leq R)$ for an increasing sequence $(\tau_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of stopping times τ_n such that τ_n converges to ∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $(\bar{\gamma}_{t \wedge \tau_n})_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a martingale for every n . Consequently, if we can show $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \leq T} \bar{\gamma}_t < \infty$, then we can use Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence to get $\mathbb{E}\bar{\gamma}_T = P(|Z_0| \leq R)$. Define the stopping times

$$\tau_n = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : [\bar{\gamma}]_t \geq n\} \quad \text{for integers } n \geq 1,$$

where

$$[\bar{\gamma}]_t = \int_0^t \bar{\gamma}_s^2 |B(s, Z_t)|^2 ds.$$

Then by standard estimates, using the Davis inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \leq T} \bar{\gamma}_{t \wedge \tau_n} &\leq 1 + 3\mathbb{E}[\bar{\gamma}]_{T \wedge \tau_n}^{1/2} \leq 1 + 3\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \leq T} \bar{\gamma}_{t \wedge \tau_n}^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{T \wedge \tau_n} \bar{\gamma}_t |B(t, Z_t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \leq T} \bar{\gamma}_{t \wedge \tau_n} + 5\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \bar{\gamma}_t |B(t, Z_t)|^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

which, after we subtract $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \leq T} \bar{\gamma}_{t \wedge \tau_n}$ and let $n \rightarrow \infty$, by Fatou's lemma gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \leq T} \bar{\gamma}_t \leq 1 + 5\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \bar{\gamma}_t |B(t, Z_t)|^2 dt \leq 1 + 5\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \bar{\gamma}_t (K_0 + K_2 |Z_t|^2) dt.$$

Since $\mathbb{E}\bar{\gamma}_t \leq 1$, to show that the right-hand side of the last inequality is finite we need only prove that if $K_2 \neq 0$ then

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \mathbb{E} \bar{\gamma}_t |Z_t|^2 < \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

To this end we apply Itô's formula to $U_t := \bar{\gamma}_t |Z_t|^2$ and use Assumptions 2.1 (ii) and 2.3 to get

$$\begin{aligned} dU_t &= \bar{\gamma}_t (2X_t b(t, Z_t) + 2Y_t B(t, Z_t) + |\sigma(t, Z_t)|^2 + |\rho(t, Z_t)|^2 + 1) dt \\ &\quad - 2\bar{\gamma}_t (X_t \rho(t, Z_t) B(t, Z_t) + Y_t B_t(t, Z_t)) dt + \bar{\gamma}_t \int_{\mathfrak{z}_0} |\eta(t, Z_t, \mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) dt \\ &\quad + \bar{\gamma}_t \int_{\mathfrak{z}_1} |\xi(t, Z_t, \mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt + \bar{\gamma}_t \int_{\mathfrak{z}_1} |\mathfrak{z}|^2 \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt + dm_t \\ &\leq N \bar{\gamma}_t dt + NU_t dt + dm_t \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

with a constant N and a cadlag local martingale m starting from zero. Hence by a standard stopping time argument and Gronwall's inequality we get a constant N such that

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \mathbb{E} U_{t \wedge \tau_n} \leq N(1 + \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{|Z_0| \leq R} |Z_0|^2)) < \infty$$

for an increasing sequence of stopping times $\tau_n \uparrow \infty$. Letting here $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Fatou's lemma we get (2.8), which finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

3. PRELIMINARIES

The following lemma is our main tool for calculating conditional expectations of Lebesgue and Itô stochastic integrals of simple processes under Q given \mathcal{F}_t^Y .

Lemma 3.1. *Let X and Y be random variables such that $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}|Y| < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}|XY| < \infty$. Let \mathcal{G}^1 , \mathcal{G}^2 and \mathcal{G} be σ -algebras of events such that $\mathcal{G}^1 \subset \mathcal{G}$, \mathcal{G}^2 is independent of \mathcal{G} , X is \mathcal{G} -measurable and Y is independent of $\mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{G}^2 := \sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^2)$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}(XY|\mathcal{G}^1 \vee \mathcal{G}^2) = \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G}^1)\mathbb{E}Y.$$

Proof. The right-hand side of the above equation is a \mathcal{G}^1 -measurable random variable, hence it is obviously $\mathcal{G}^1 \vee \mathcal{G}^2$ -measurable. Let \mathcal{H} denote the family of $G \in \mathcal{G}^1 \vee \mathcal{G}^2$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}Y\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G}^1)\mathbf{1}_G) = \mathbb{E}(XY\mathbf{1}_G).$$

Then \mathcal{H} is a λ -system, and for $G = G_1 \cap G_2$, $G_i \in \mathcal{G}^i$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}Y\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G}^1)\mathbf{1}_G) &= \mathbb{E}Y\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{G_1}X|\mathcal{G}^1)\mathbf{1}_{G_2}) = \mathbb{E}Y\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{G_1}X|\mathcal{G}^1))\mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}_{G_2} \\ &= \mathbb{E}Y\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{G_1}X)\mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}_{G_2} = \mathbb{E}(XY\mathbf{1}_G), \end{aligned}$$

that shows that \mathcal{H} contains the π -system $\{G_1 \cap G_2 : G_i \in \mathcal{G}^i, i = 1, 2\}$. Hence, by Dynkin's monotone class lemma $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}^1 \vee \mathcal{G}^2$, which completes the proof. \square

To formulate a theorem on conditional expectations of Lebesgue and Itô integrals we consider a complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_t)$ carrying independent \mathcal{F}_t -Wiener processes $W^i = (W_t^i)_{t \geq 0}$ and independent \mathcal{F}_t -Poisson random measures $N_i = N_i(\mathfrak{z}, dt)$ with σ -finite characteristic measures ν_i on separable measurable spaces $(\mathfrak{Z}_i, \mathcal{Z}_i)$ for $i = 0, 1$, respectively. We denote by \mathcal{G}_t the P -completion of the σ -algebra generated by the events of a σ -algebra $\mathcal{Y}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ together with the random variables W_s^1 and $N_1((0, s] \times \Gamma)$ for $s \leq t$ and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ such that $\nu_1(\Gamma) < \infty$. The predictable σ -algebras on $\Omega \times [0, T]$, relative to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ are denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}}$, respectively. The optional σ -algebras relative to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ are denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$, respectively.

The following definition will be frequently used.

Definition 3.1. Given a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and a sub- σ -algebra $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$, we say that a random variable f is σ -integrable (with respect to P) relative to \mathcal{G} , if there exists an increasing sequence $(\Omega_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\bigcup_n \Omega_n = \Omega$, $\Omega_n \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathbb{E}|f\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n}| < \infty$ for all n .

One knows that for nonnegative random variables f and σ -algebras $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{G})$ is well-defined, and that for general random variables f the extended conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{G})$ is defined as $\mathbb{E}(f^+|\mathcal{G}) - \mathbb{E}(f^-|\mathcal{G})$ on the set $\mathbb{E}(|f||\mathcal{G}) < \infty$ and it is defined to be $+\infty$ on $\mathbb{E}(|f||\mathcal{G}) = \infty$. It is not difficult to show that the extended conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{G})$ is almost surely finite if and only if f is σ -integrable (with respect to P) relative to \mathcal{G} .

We consider real-valued $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])$ -measurable \mathcal{F}_t -adapted processes $f = (f_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $g = (g_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ on $\Omega \times [0, T]$, real-valued $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_i$ -measurable functions $h^{(i)} = h_t^{(i)}(\omega, \mathfrak{z})$ of $(\omega, t, \mathfrak{z}) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}_i$ for $i = 0, 1$, and a real-valued $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable function $h = h_t(\omega, \mathfrak{z})$ of $(\omega, t, \mathfrak{z}) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}$, such that for every $t \in [0, T]$ the functions $h_t^{(i)}$ and h_t

are $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{Z}_i$ -measurable and $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable, respectively, for $i = 0, 1$, where $(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ is a separable measurable space, equipped with a σ -finite measure ν . Assume that almost surely

$$F := \left(\int_0^T |f_s|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} < \infty \quad H^{(i)} := \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_i} |h_s^{(i)}(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_i(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \right)^{1/2} < \infty \quad (3.1)$$

$$G := \int_0^T |g_s| ds < \infty, \quad H := \int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}} |h_s(\mathfrak{z})| \nu(d\mathfrak{z}) ds < \infty \quad (3.2)$$

for $i = 0, 1$. Then the processes

$$\alpha_t := \int_0^t g_s ds, \quad \delta_t := \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}} h_s(\mathfrak{z}) \nu(d\mathfrak{z}) ds, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and

$$\beta_t^{(i)} = \int_0^t f_s dW_s^i, \quad \delta_t^{(i)} = \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_i} h_s^{(i)}(\mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_i(d\mathfrak{z}, ds), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (3.3)$$

are well-defined for $i = 0, 1$, and we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume the random variables F^r , G , H and $|H^{(i)}|^2$ for $i = 0, 1$, for some $r > 1$ are σ -integrable (with respect to P) relative to \mathcal{G}_0 . Then for $t \in [0, T]$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}(\beta_t^{(1)} | \mathcal{G}_t) = \int_0^t \hat{f}_s dW_s^1, \quad \mathbb{E}(\beta_t^{(0)} | \mathcal{G}_t) = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha_t | \mathcal{G}_t) = \int_0^t \hat{g}_s ds, \quad \mathbb{E}(\delta_t | \mathcal{G}_t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}} \hat{h}_s(\mathfrak{z}) \nu(d\mathfrak{z}) ds, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta_t^{(1)} | \mathcal{G}_t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \hat{h}_s^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds), \quad \mathbb{E}(\delta_t^{(0)} | \mathcal{G}_t) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

almost surely for some $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -measurable functions \hat{f} and \hat{g} on $\Omega \times [0, T]$, a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_1$ -measurable function \hat{h}^1 on $\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}_1$, and a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable function \hat{h} on $\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}$ such that

$$\hat{f}_t = \mathbb{E}(f_t | \mathcal{G}_t), \quad \hat{g}_t = \mathbb{E}(g_t | \mathcal{G}_t) \quad (\text{a.s.}) \text{ for } dt\text{-a.e. } t \in [0, T], \quad (3.7)$$

$$\hat{h}_t^{(1)} = \mathbb{E}(h_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) | \mathcal{G}_t) \quad (\text{a.s.}) \text{ for } dt \otimes \nu_1\text{-a.e. } (t, \mathfrak{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}_1, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\hat{h}_t = \mathbb{E}(h_t(\mathfrak{z}) | \mathcal{G}_t) \quad (\text{a.s.}) \text{ for } dt \otimes \nu\text{-a.e. } (t, \mathfrak{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Since F^r is σ -integrable with respect to \mathcal{G}_0 , there is an increasing sequence $\Omega_n \in \mathcal{G}_0$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n = \Omega$ and $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} F^r) < \infty$ for every integer $n \geq 1$. By the definition and elementary properties of (extended) conditional expectations and stochastic integrals, we have

$$\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t f_s dW_s^i | \mathcal{G}_t \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \int_0^t f_s dW_s^i | \mathcal{G}_t \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} f_s dW_s^i | \mathcal{G}_t \right),$$

$$\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \mathbb{E}(f_t | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} f_t | \mathcal{G}_t), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

for $i = 0, 1$ and every $n \geq 1$. Thus, taking $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} f$ in place of f , we may assume that $\mathbb{E}F^r < \infty$. Similarly, we may also assume that $\mathbb{E}G$, $\mathbb{E}H$ and $\mathbb{E}|H^{(i)}|^2$ are finite in what follows below. Assume first that f belongs to \mathcal{H}_0 , the set of simple processes of the form

$$f_t = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \xi_i \mathbb{1}_{(t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t), \quad (3.10)$$

where $0 = t_0 \leq \dots \leq t_k = T$ are deterministic time instants, and ξ_i is a bounded \mathcal{F}_{t_i} -measurable random variable for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ for an integer $k \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t f_s dW_s^1 \middle| \mathcal{G}_t\right) = \sum_i \mathbb{E}(\xi_i (W_{t_{i+1} \wedge t}^1 - W_{t_i \wedge t}^1) \middle| \mathcal{G}_t), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.11)$$

For $0 \leq r \leq s \leq T$ define the σ -algebra

$$\mathcal{G}_{r,s} = \sigma(W_v^1 - W_u^1, N_1(\Gamma \times (u, v])) : r \leq u \leq v \leq s, \Gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_1, \nu_1(\Gamma) < \infty).$$

Then σ -algebras \mathcal{G}_r and $\mathcal{G}_{r,s}$ are independent and $\mathcal{G}_s = \mathcal{G}_r \vee \mathcal{G}_{r,s}$. Thus, using Lemma 3.1 with $X := \xi_i$, $Y := 1$, $\mathcal{G}^1 := \mathcal{G}_{t_i}$, $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{F}_{t_i}$ and $\mathcal{G}^2 := \mathcal{G}_{t_i,s}$ for $t_i \leq s \leq T$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_i | \mathcal{G}_s) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_i | \mathcal{G}_{t_i}) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1. \quad (3.12)$$

Hence for $t_i \leq s \leq t_{i+1} \leq t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_i (W_{t_{i+1}}^1 - W_{t_i}^1) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_i | \mathcal{G}_{t_i}) (W_{t_{i+1}}^1 - W_{t_i}^1) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_i | \mathcal{G}_s) (W_{t_{i+1}}^1 - W_{t_i}^1) \quad (3.13)$$

and for $t_j \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_j (W_t^1 - W_{t_j}^1) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_j | \mathcal{G}_{t_j}) (W_t^1 - W_{t_j}^1) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_j | \mathcal{G}_s) (W_t^1 - W_{t_j}^1). \quad (3.14)$$

Consequently, defining $\hat{f}_s = \mathbb{E}(\xi_i | \mathcal{G}_s) = \mathbb{E}(f_s | \mathcal{G}_s)$ for $s \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, the function \hat{f} on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ is $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -measurable, and using (3.11) we can see that the first equation in (3.4) holds. Assume now that f is $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])$ -measurable and \mathcal{F}_t -adapted such that $\mathbb{E}F^r < \infty$. Then there are sequences $(f^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(\hat{f}^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $f^n \in \mathcal{H}_0$, \hat{f}^n is $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -measurable,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |f_t - f_t^n|^2 dt \right)^{r/2} = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

and almost surely

$$\mathbb{E}(I_t(f^n) | \mathcal{G}_t) := \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t f_s^n dW_s^1 \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right) = \int_0^t \hat{f}_s^n dW_s^1 =: I_t(\hat{f}^n) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad (3.16)$$

$$\hat{f}_t^n = \mathbb{E}(f_t^n | \mathcal{G}_t) \quad \text{for } dt\text{-a.e. } t \in [0, T] \quad (3.17)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Using the Davis inequality, Doob's inequality, Jensen's and Burkholder's inequalities for any $r > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |\hat{f}_t^n - \hat{f}_t^m|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq 3 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \leq T} |I_t(\hat{f}^n - \hat{f}^m)| \\ & = 3 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |\mathbb{E}(I_t(f^n - f^m) | \mathcal{G}_t)| \leq 3 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} (\mathbb{E}(\sup_{s \leq T} |I_s(f^n - f^m)| | \mathcal{G}_t)) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 3 \frac{r}{r-1} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \leq T} |I_t(f^n - f^m)|^r \right)^{1/r} \leq N \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |f_t^n - f_t^m|^2 dt \right)^{r/2} \right)^{1/r},$$

where \mathbb{Q} is the set of rational numbers and $N = N(r)$ is a constant, which gives

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |\hat{f}_t^n - \hat{f}_t^m|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = 0.$$

Thus there exists a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -measurable function \hat{f} on $\Omega \times [0, T]$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |\hat{f}_t - \hat{f}_t^n|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_t(\hat{f}) - I_t(\hat{f}^n)| = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Using Jensen's and Davis' inequalities again we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}(I_t(f)|\mathcal{G}_t) - \mathbb{E}(I_t(f^n)|\mathcal{G}_t)| \leq \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}(|I_t(f - f^n)||\mathcal{G}_t) \\ & = \mathbb{E} |I_t(f - f^n)| \leq 3 \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |f_t - f_t^n|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

i.e., for $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathbb{E}(I_t(f^n)|\mathcal{G}_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(I_t(f)|\mathcal{G}_t) \quad \text{in } L_1(\Omega) \text{ for every } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.20)$$

Thus letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in equation (3.16), by virtue of (3.19) and (3.20) we get the first equation in (3.4). Clearly, (3.15) and (3.18) imply

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} |f_t - f_t^n| + \mathbb{E} |\hat{f}_t - \hat{f}_t^n| dt = 0.$$

Hence there is a subsequence $n_l \rightarrow \infty$ and a set $S \in \mathcal{B}([0, T])$ of Lebesgue measure 0 such that for $n_l \rightarrow \infty$,

$$f_t^{n_l} \rightarrow f_t \text{ and } \hat{f}_t^{n_l} \rightarrow \hat{f}_t \text{ in } L_1(\Omega) \text{ for each } t \in [0, T] \setminus S =: S^c,$$

and taking into account (3.17), we can assume that S is a dt -zero set such that we also have $\hat{f}_t^{n_l} = \mathbb{E}(f_t^{n_l}|\mathcal{G}_t)$ (a.s.) for every $t \in S^c$. Thus for $n_l \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\mathbb{E}(f_t^{n_l}|\mathcal{G}_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(f_t|\mathcal{G}_t)$ in $L_1(\Omega)$ for each $t \in S^c$, which gives

$$\hat{f}_t = \mathbb{E}(f_t|\mathcal{G}_t) \quad \text{almost surely for every } t \in S^c,$$

i.e., the first equation in (3.7) holds. To prove the second equation in (3.4) we note that for ξ_i from the expression (3.10) we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_i(W_{t_{i+1} \wedge t}^0 - W_{t_i}^0)|\mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_i|\mathcal{G}_{t_i})\mathbb{E}(W_{t_{i+1} \wedge t}^0 - W_{t_i}^0) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \quad (3.21)$$

by using Lemma 3.1 with $X = \xi_i$, $Y = W_{t_{i+1} \wedge t}^0 - W_{t_i}^0$, $\mathcal{G}^1 := \mathcal{G}_{t_i} \subset \mathcal{F}_{t_i} =: \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^2 := \mathcal{G}_{t_i, t}$ for $t_i \leq t$. Hence we get the second equation in (3.4) for f given in (3.10), and the general

case follows by approximation as above. To prove the first equation in (3.5) assume that g is given by the right-hand side of (3.10). Then using (3.12) we can see that

$$\hat{g}_t := \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(\xi_i | \mathcal{G}_{t_i}) \mathbf{1}_{(t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t) = \mathbb{E}(g_t | \mathcal{G}_t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and that the first equation in (3.5) and the second equation in (3.7) hold. Assume now that g is an $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])$ -measurable F_t -adapted random process such that $\mathbb{E}G < \infty$. Then there are sequences $(g^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(\hat{g}^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $g^n \in \mathcal{H}_0$, \hat{g}^n is \mathcal{P}_G -measurable,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |g_t - g_t^n| dt = 0,$$

and almost surely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t g_s^n ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right) &= \int_0^t \hat{g}_s^n ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \\ \hat{g}_t^n &= \mathbb{E}(g_t^n | \mathcal{G}_t) \quad \text{for } dt\text{-a.e. } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence noting that by Tonelli's theorem and Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\hat{g}_t^n - \hat{g}_t^m| dt &= \int_0^T \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}(g_t^n | \mathcal{G}_t) - \mathbb{E}(g_t^m | \mathcal{G}_t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}(|g_t^n - g_t^m| | \mathcal{G}_t) dt = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |g_t^n - g_t^m| dt, \end{aligned}$$

and repeating previous arguments we get a \mathcal{P}_F -measurable \hat{g} such that the first equation in (3.5) and the second equation in (3.7) hold. To prove the second equation in (3.5) we assume first that

$$h_t(\mathfrak{z}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \xi_i \mathbf{1}_{(t_i, t_{i+1}] \times \Gamma_i}(t, \mathfrak{z}), \quad (3.22)$$

for a partition $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_k = T$ of $[0, T]$, bounded \mathcal{F}_{t_i} -measurable random variables ξ_i and sets $\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\nu(\Gamma_i) < \infty$ for $i = 0, \dots, k-1$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{z}} h_s(\mathfrak{z}) \nu(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(\xi_i | \mathcal{G}_t) \nu(\Gamma_i)(t_{i+1} \wedge t - t_i \wedge t). \quad t \in [0, T],$$

Thus, since by virtue of (3.12) we have

$$\hat{h}_t(z) := \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(\xi_i | \mathcal{G}_{t_i}) \mathbf{1}_{(t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t) \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_i}(z) = \mathbb{E}(h_t(\mathfrak{z}) | \mathcal{G}_t), \quad t \in [0, T], \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z},$$

for \hat{h} the second equation in (3.5) and by definition (3.9) hold. Hence we can get these equations in the general case by a straightforward approximation procedure in the same way as the first equation in (3.5) and the second equation in (3.7) have been proved above.

Now we are going to prove (3.6). Assume first that $h^{(1)}$ is a simple function, given by the right-hand side of equation (3.22) with $\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{Z}_1$, $\nu_1(\Gamma_i) < \infty$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{z}_1} h_s^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds) \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(\xi_i \tilde{N}_1(\Gamma_i \times (t_i \wedge t, t_{i+1} \wedge t]) | \mathcal{G}_t).$$

In the same way as equations (3.13) and (3.14) are obtained, by using (3.12) we get

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_i \tilde{N}_1(\Gamma_i \times (t_i, t_{i+1}]) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_i | \mathcal{G}_{t_i}) \tilde{N}_1(\Gamma_i \times (t_i, t_{i+1}]) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_i | \mathcal{G}_s) \tilde{N}_1(\Gamma_i \times (t_i, t_{i+1}])$$

for $t_i \leq s \leq t_{i+1} \leq t$, and

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_j \tilde{N}_1(\Gamma_j \times (t_j, t]) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_j | \mathcal{G}_{t_j}) \tilde{N}_1(\Gamma_j \times (t_j, t]) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_j | \mathcal{G}_s) \tilde{N}_1(\Gamma_j \times (t_j, t])$$

for $t_j \leq s \leq t \leq t_{j+1}$. Thus for

$$\hat{h}_t(\mathfrak{z}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(\xi_i | \mathcal{G}_{t_i}) \mathbf{1}_{(t_i, t_{i+1}] \times \Gamma_i}(t, \mathfrak{z}) = \mathbb{E}(h_t(\mathfrak{z}) | \mathcal{G}_t),$$

equations in (3.6) and (3.8) hold. Assume now that $h^{(1)}$ is $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable such that for every $t \in [0, T]$ the function $h_t^{(1)}$ is $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{Z}_1$ -measurable and $\mathbb{E}|H^{(1)}|^2 < \infty$, where $H^{(1)}$ is defined in (3.1). Then there exist sequences $(h^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(\hat{h}^n)_{n=1}^\infty$, such that h^n is a simple function of the form (3.22), \hat{h}^n is a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_1$ -measurable function,

$$\mathbb{E}(\tilde{I}_t(h^n) | \mathcal{G}_t) := \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} h_s^n(\mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds) | \mathcal{G}_t\right) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \hat{h}_s^n(\mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds), \quad (3.23)$$

$$\hat{h}_t^n(z) = \mathbb{E}(h_t^n(z) | \mathcal{G}_t), \quad \text{almost surely, for } \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) \otimes dt\text{-a.e. } (\mathfrak{z}, t) \in \mathfrak{Z}_1 \times [0, T], \quad (3.24)$$

for every $n \geq 1$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} |h_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) - h_t^n(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

Hence using Jensen's inequality we get

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} |\hat{h}_t^n(\mathfrak{z}) - \hat{h}_t^m(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt = 0,$$

which implies the existence of a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_1$ -measurable function $\hat{h}^{(1)}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} |\hat{h}_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) - \hat{h}_t^n(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt = 0. \quad (3.26)$$

Thus letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.23) we obtain (3.6). By virtue of (3.25) and (3.26) there is a subsequence $n_l \rightarrow \infty$ and a set $A \in \mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_1$ such that $dt \otimes \nu_1(A) = 0$ and for $n_l \rightarrow \infty$

$$h_t^{n_l}(\mathfrak{z}) \rightarrow h_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h}_t^{n_l}(\mathfrak{z}) \rightarrow \hat{h}_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) \quad \text{in mean square}$$

for every $(t, \mathfrak{z}) \in A^c := [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}_1 \setminus A$. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}(h_t^{n_k}(\mathfrak{z}) | \mathcal{G}_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(h_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) | \mathcal{G}_t) \quad \text{in mean square for every } (\mathfrak{z}, t) \in A^c,$$

and letting $n := n_l \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.24) we obtain $\mathbb{E}(h_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \hat{h}_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z})$ for $(\mathfrak{z}, t) \in A^c$, which proves (3.8). To prove the second equation in (3.6) assume first that $h^{(0)}$ is a simple function of the form (3.22) with $\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{Z}_0$, $\nu_0(\Gamma_i) < \infty$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Just like (3.21) is obtained, by Lemma 3.1 we get

$$\mathbb{E}(\eta_i \tilde{N}_0(\Gamma_i \times (t_i \wedge t, t_{i+1} \wedge t]) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}(\eta_i | \mathcal{G}_{t_i}) \mathbb{E} \tilde{N}_0(\Gamma_i \times (t_i \wedge t, t_{i+1} \wedge t]) = 0$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ and $t \in [0, T]$, that implies the second equation in (3.6). Hence, we obtain the second equation in (3.6) for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ -measurable functions satisfying (3.1) by approximation with simple functions. \square

We can reformulate the above theorem by using the notion of optional projection of processes with respect to a given filtration. It is well-known (see for instance [14, Thm 5.1], [9, Thm 2.43]) that if $f = (f_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable process such that f_τ is σ -integrable (with respect to a probability P) relative to the σ -algebra \mathcal{G}_τ for every \mathcal{G}_t -stopping time $\tau \leq T$ (with respect to a P -complete filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$), then there exists a unique (up to evanescence) \mathcal{G}_t -optional process ${}^o f = ({}^o f_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that for every \mathcal{G}_t -stopping time $\tau \leq T$

$$\mathbb{E}(f_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\tau) = {}^o f_\tau \quad (\text{a.s.}).$$

The process ${}^o f$ is called the optional projection of f (under P with respect to $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$). If f is a cadlag process such that almost surely $\sup_{t \leq T} |f_t| \leq \eta$ for some σ -integrable random variable η with respect to P relative to \mathcal{G}_0 , then almost surely the trajectories of ${}^o f$ have left and right limits at every $t \in (0, T]$ and $[0, T)$, respectively, and moreover, they are also almost surely right-continuous if $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is right-continuous. One can define the extended optional projection ${}^o f$ of every nonnegative $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable process $(f_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ (under P with respect to $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$) by setting ${}^o f := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{1}_S {}^o (f \wedge n)$, where S is the set of $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}^o (f \wedge n)_t(\omega)$ exists, which may be infinite. For $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable stochastic processes $(f_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ one defines the extended optional projection ${}^o f$ by ${}^o f = {}^o (f^+) - {}^o (f^-)$ on the set $A = \{(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T] : {}^o (f^+) + {}^o (f^-) < \infty\}$ and ${}^o f = \infty$ on $\Omega \times [0, T] \setminus A$. Notice that for every $t \in [0, T]$ the extended conditional expectations $\mathbb{E}(f_t^+ | \mathcal{G}_t)$ and $\mathbb{E}(f_t^- | \mathcal{G}_t)$ are almost surely equal to ${}^o (f^+)_t$ and ${}^o (f^-)_t$, respectively. Thus defining the extended conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}(f_t | \mathcal{G}_t)$ as $\mathbb{E}(f_t^+ | \mathcal{G}_t) - \mathbb{E}(f_t^- | \mathcal{G}_t)$ on the event where $\mathbb{E}(f_t^+ | \mathcal{G}_t) + \mathbb{E}(f_t^- | \mathcal{G}_t) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(f_t | \mathcal{G}_t) = \infty$ elsewhere, for each $t \in [0, T]$ we have ${}^o f_t = \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{G}_t)$ (a.s.). Let $h = (h_t(\mathfrak{z}))$ be an $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable function on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathfrak{Z}$. Then by the help of the Monotone Class Theorem it is not difficult to show the existence of an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable function, which for each fixed $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}$ gives the (possibly extended) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -optional projection of $h(\mathfrak{z}) := (h_t(\mathfrak{z}))_{t \geq 0}$. We denote this function by ${}^o h$, and call it the (extended) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -optional projection of h .

Corollary 3.3. *Assume the random variables $F, H^{(i)}$ and G, H , defined in (3.1) and (3.2), respectively, are σ -integrable relative to \mathcal{G}_0 for $i = 0, 1$. Assume moreover that almost surely*

$$\int_0^T |{}^o f_t|^2 dt < \infty, \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_i} |{}^o h_t^{(i)}(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_i(d\mathfrak{z}) dt < \infty \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \quad (3.27)$$

where ${}^o f$ and ${}^o h^{(i)}$ are the (extended) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -optional projections of f and $h^{(i)}$, respectively. Then for every $t \in [0, T]$ equations (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) hold almost surely with the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -optional projections ${}^o f$, ${}^o g$, ${}^o h^{(i)}$ and ${}^o h$ in place of \hat{f} , \hat{g} , $\hat{h}^{(i)}$ and \hat{h} , respectively, for $i = 0, 1$. Moreover, there is a dt -null set $T_0 \subset [0, T]$, a $dt \otimes \nu_1$ -null set $B_0 \subset [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}_1$ and a $dt \otimes \nu$ -null set $B \subset [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}$, such that

(i) for each $t \in [0, T] \setminus T_0$ the random variable $|f_t| + |g_t|$ is σ -integrable relative to \mathcal{G}_0 and

$$\mathbb{E}(f_t | \mathcal{G}_t) = {}^o f_t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\text{a.s.}), \quad \mathbb{E}(g_t | \mathcal{G}_t) = {}^o g_t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{a.s.}), \quad (3.28)$$

(ii) for each $(t, \mathfrak{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}_1 \setminus B_0$ the random variable $|h_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z})|$ is σ -integrable relative to \mathcal{G}_0 and

$$\mathbb{E}(h_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z})|\mathcal{G}_t) = \mathcal{H}_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ (a.s.)}, \quad (3.29)$$

(iii) for each $(t, \mathfrak{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z} \setminus B$ the random variable $|h_t(\mathfrak{z})|$ is σ -integrable relative to \mathcal{G}_0 , and

$$\mathbb{E}(h_t(\mathfrak{z})|\mathcal{G}_t) = \mathcal{H}_t(\mathfrak{z}) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ (a.s.)}. \quad (3.30)$$

Proof. Just like in the proof of the previous lemma without loss of generality we may and will assume that F, G, H and $H^{(i)}, i = 0, 1$, have finite expectation. Thus by Minkowski's inequality and Tonelli's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^T (\mathbb{E}|f_t|)^2 dt \right)^{1/2} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |f_t|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty, \quad \int_0^T \mathbb{E}|g_t| dt = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |g_t| dt < \infty, \\ \int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}} \mathbb{E}|h_t(\mathfrak{z})| \nu(d\mathfrak{z}) dt &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}} |h_t(\mathfrak{z})| \nu(d\mathfrak{z}) dt < \infty \\ \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} (\mathbb{E}|h_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z})|)^2 \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt \right)^{1/2} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} |h_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathbb{E}|f_t| + \mathbb{E}|g_t| < \infty$ for dt -almost every $t \in [0, T]$, $\mathbb{E}|h_t(\mathfrak{z})| < \infty$ for $dt \otimes \nu$ -a.e. $(t, \mathfrak{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}$, and $\mathbb{E}|h_t^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z})| < \infty$ for $dt \otimes \nu_1$ -a.e. $(t, \mathfrak{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}_1$, i.e., we get (3.28), (3.29) and (3.30). Hence due to (3.7) and (3.9) we have (3.5) with \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} in place of \hat{g} and \hat{h} , respectively. We also have (3.4) and (3.6) with \mathcal{F} and $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ in place of \hat{f} and $\hat{h}^{(1)}$, provided F^r and $|H^{(i)}|^2$ are σ -integrable relative to \mathcal{G}_0 for $i = 0, 1$ for some $r > 1$. Thus it remains to prove (3.4) and (3.6) with \mathcal{F} and $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ in place of \hat{f} and $\hat{h}^{(1)}$, respectively, under the condition that F and $H^{(i)}$ are σ -integrable relative to \mathcal{G}_0 for $i = 0, 1$, and (3.27) holds. We show only (3.6) under these conditions, because (3.4) can be proven similarly. To this end define $h^{(1)n} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{Z}^n}(-n \vee h^{(1)} \wedge n)$ for integers $n \geq 1$, where $(\mathfrak{Z}^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence of sets $\mathfrak{Z}^n \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{Z}^n = \mathfrak{Z}_1$ and $\nu_1(\mathfrak{Z}^n) < \infty$ for every $n \geq 1$. Then for each $t \in [0, T]$

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta_t^{(1)n}|\mathcal{G}_t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \mathcal{H}_s^{(1)n}(\mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds) \quad \text{(a.s.)}, \quad (3.31)$$

where $\delta^{(1)n}$ is defined as $\delta^{(1)}$ in (3.3), but with $h^{(1)n}$ in place of $h^{(1)}$. Note that

$$|\mathcal{H}^{(1)n}| \leq |\mathcal{H}^{(1)}| \quad P \otimes dt \otimes \nu_1\text{-almost every } (\omega, t, \mathfrak{z}) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}_1,$$

and for $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\mathcal{H}_s^{(1)n}(\mathfrak{z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_s^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z})$ almost surely for every $(s, \mathfrak{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}_1$ such that $\mathcal{H}_s^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) \neq \infty$. Hence due to condition (3.27), by Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} |\mathcal{H}_s^{(1)n}(\mathfrak{z}) - \mathcal{H}_s^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ (a.s.) as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \mathcal{H}_s^{(1)n}(\mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds) \rightarrow \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \mathcal{H}_s^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds) \quad (3.32)$$

in probability, uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$. Using obvious properties of conditional expectations, by Davis inequality and Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}(\delta_t^{(1)n} | \mathcal{G}_t) - \mathbb{E}(\delta_t^{(1)} | \mathcal{G}_t)| &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} |\delta_t^{(1)n} - \delta_t^{(1)}| \\ &\leq 3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} |h_s^{(1)n}(\mathfrak{z}) - h_s^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \right)^{1/2} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which by virtue of (3.31) and (3.32) finishes the proof of the first equation in (3.6). The second equation in (3.6) can be obtained similarly. \square

Remark 3.1. We have that almost surely

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_i} |{}^o h_s^{(i)}(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_i(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \leq \int_0^t {}^o |h_s^{(i)}|_{L_2(\mathfrak{Z}_i)}^2 ds \quad (\text{a.s.}) \text{ for } i = 0, 1,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Thus

$$\int_0^T {}^o |h_t^{(i)}|_{L_2(\mathfrak{Z}_i)}^2 dt < \infty \quad (\text{a.s.}) \text{ for } i = 0, 1 \quad (3.33)$$

implies assumption (3.27).

Proof. Let $i \in \{0, 1\}$ be fixed and let $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of sets from \mathfrak{Z}_i such that $\cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n = \mathfrak{Z}_i$ and $\nu_i(A_n) < \infty$ for every $n \geq 1$. Set

$$h_t^{i,n}(\mathfrak{z}) := (-n) \vee (\mathbf{1}_{A_n} h_t^{(i)}(\mathfrak{z})) \wedge n.$$

Then by Jensen's inequality for the optional projections we have $|{}^o h_s^{i,n}(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \leq {}^o (|h_s^{i,n}(\mathfrak{z})|^2)$ for every $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}_i$, and by an application of Corollary 3.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_i} |{}^o h_s^{i,n}(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_i(d\mathfrak{z}) ds &\leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_i} {}^o (|h_s^{i,n}(\mathfrak{z})|^2) \nu_i(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_i} |h_s^{i,n}(\mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_i(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right) \\ &= \int_0^t {}^o (|h_s^{i,n}|_{L_2(\mathfrak{Z}_i)}^2) ds \leq \int_0^t {}^o (|h_s^{(i)}|_{L_2(\mathfrak{Z}_i)}^2) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Letting here $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the Monotone Convergence Theorem and the properties of extended optional projections on the left-hand side of the first inequality, we finish the proof of the remark. \square

Let $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of of probability measures on the Borel sets of \mathbb{R}^d , equipped with the topology of weak convergence of measures. Let $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the space of bounded continuous real functions on \mathbb{R}^d , and as before, let $(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ be a separable measurable space.

Lemma 3.4. *Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space equipped with a right-continuous filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$, $\mathcal{G}_t \subset \mathcal{F}$ for $t \geq 0$, such that \mathcal{G}_0 contains all P -zero sets of \mathcal{F} . Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ -measurable cadlag process. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) There is a $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued weakly cadlag process $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that for every bounded real-valued Borel function φ on \mathbb{R}^d and for each $t \geq 0$

$$P_t(\varphi) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) \text{ (a.s.)}. \quad (3.34)$$

(ii) Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the measure-valued process from (i). Assume $f = f(\omega, t, \mathfrak{z}, x)$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable real function on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathfrak{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Define

$$P_t(f(t, \mathfrak{z})) := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, \mathfrak{z}, x) P_t(dx), & \text{for } (t, \omega, \mathfrak{z}), \text{ if } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(t, \mathfrak{z}, x)| P_t(dx) < \infty \\ \infty & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then $P_t(f(t, \mathfrak{z}))$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable (extended) function of $(\omega, t, \mathfrak{z})$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}(f(t, \mathfrak{z}, X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) = P_t(f(t, \mathfrak{z})) \text{ (a.s.) for each } (t, \mathfrak{z}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathfrak{Z}. \quad (3.35)$$

Moreover, $P_{t_0}(f(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0))$ is finite (a.s.) for a pair $(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathfrak{Z}$ if $f(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, X_{t_0})$ is σ -integrable relative to \mathcal{G}_{t_0} .

Proof. Statement (i) is shown in [26]. Thus (ii) holds if $f = g(t, \mathfrak{z})\varphi(x)$ for bounded $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable functions g on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathfrak{Z}$ and bounded Borel functions φ on \mathbb{R}^d . Hence by a standard monotone class argument we get (ii) under the additional assumption that f is bounded. In the general case, the set $A \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathfrak{Z}$ where

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(t, \mathfrak{z}, x)| P_t(dx) = \infty$$

is in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}$. Consequently, $P_t(f(t, \mathfrak{z}))$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable in $(\omega, t, \mathfrak{z})$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}(|f(t, \mathfrak{z}, X_t)| \wedge n | \mathcal{G}_t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(t, \mathfrak{z}, x)| \wedge n P_t(dx) \text{ (a.s.)}$$

for every integer $n \geq 1$. Letting here $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}(|f(t, \mathfrak{z}, X_t)| | \mathcal{G}_t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(t, \mathfrak{z}, x)| P_t(dx) \text{ (a.s.)}, \quad (3.36)$$

that implies (3.35). If $f(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, X_{t_0})$ is σ -integrable relative to \mathcal{G}_{t_0} , then there is an increasing sequence $(\Omega_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\Omega_n \in \mathcal{G}_{t_0}$, $P(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n) = 1$, and

$$\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, x) P_{t_0}(dx) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} f_n(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, X_{t_0}) | \mathcal{G}_{t_0})$$

is almost surely finite for every $n \geq 1$. □

Corollary 3.5. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0})$ and $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a filtered probability space and a stochastic process, respectively, satisfying the conditions in Lemma 3.4. Let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a filtration such that $\mathcal{G}_t \subset \mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}$ for $t \geq 0$. Let Q be a probability measure on \mathcal{F} such that $dQ = \gamma_T dP$ for a \mathcal{F}_T -measurable positive random variable γ_T . Then the following statements hold.

(i) There is an $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued weakly cadlag stochastic process $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that for every bounded real-valued Borel function φ on \mathbb{R}^d and for every $t \in [0, T]$

$$\mu_t(\varphi) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} \varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) \text{ (a.s.)}. \quad (3.37)$$

(ii) Let $f = f(\omega, t, \mathfrak{z}, x)$ be a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable real function on $\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Define

$$\mu_t(f(t, \mathfrak{z})) := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, \mathfrak{z}, x) \mu_t(dx), & \text{for } (t, \omega, \mathfrak{z}), \text{ if } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(t, \mathfrak{z}, x)| \mu_t(dx) < \infty \\ \infty & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\mu_t(f(t, \mathfrak{z}))$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable function such that for each (t, \mathfrak{z}) we have

$$\mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} f(t, \mathfrak{z}, X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_t^{-1} f(t, \mathfrak{z}, X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mu_t(f(t, \mathfrak{z})) \quad (\text{a.s.}) \quad (3.38)$$

Moreover, $\mu_{t_0}(f(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0))$ is finite (a.s.) for a $(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0) \in [0, T] \times \mathfrak{Z}$ if $\gamma_{t_0}^{-1} f(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, X_{t_0})$ is σ -integrable (with respect to Q) relative to \mathcal{G}_{t_0} , or equivalently, if $f(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, X_{t_0})$ is σ -integrable with respect to P relative to \mathcal{G}_{t_0} .

Proof. Considering $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in place of $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ we may assume in the proof that $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is right-continuous. By Doob's theorem there is a cadlag \mathcal{F}_t -martingale, $(\gamma_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, such that $\gamma_t = \mathbb{E}_P(\gamma_T | \mathcal{F}_t)$ (P -a.s) for each $t \in [0, T]$. Clearly, almost surely $\gamma_t > 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ since

$$0 = \mathbb{E}_P(\mathbf{1}_{\gamma_t=0} \gamma_t) = \mathbb{E}_P(\mathbf{1}_{\gamma_t=0} \gamma_T)$$

implies $P(\gamma_t = 0) = 0$ for every $t \in [0, T]$. Thus $(\gamma_t^{-1})_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a cadlag process, and it is an \mathcal{F}_t -martingale under Q , because

$$\mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} | \mathcal{F}_t) = 1 / \mathbb{E}_P(\gamma_T | \mathcal{F}_t) = \gamma_t^{-1} \quad \text{almost surely for } t \in [0, T].$$

Since, $\gamma = (\gamma_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a (cadlag) \mathcal{F}_t -martingale under P , the set $\{\gamma_\tau\}$ for \mathcal{F}_t -stopping times $\tau \leq T$ is uniformly P -integrable, and hence one knows that ${}^o\gamma$, the \mathcal{G}_t -optional projection of γ under P , is a cadlag process. Due to $\gamma > 0$, we have ${}^o\gamma > 0$ (a.s.). Define $\mu_t := ({}^o\gamma_t)^{-1} P_t$ for $t \in [0, T]$, where $(P_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is the $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued \mathcal{G}_t -adapted cadlag process (in the topology of weak convergence of measures) by Lemma 3.4. Hence, $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a \mathcal{G}_t -adapted cadlag $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued process, and by (3.34) for every bounded Borel function φ on \mathbb{R}^d we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} \varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) &= \mathbb{E}_P(\varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) / \mathbb{E}_P(\gamma_T | \mathcal{G}_t) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_P(\varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) ({}^o\gamma_t)^{-1} = ({}^o\gamma_t)^{-1} P_t(\varphi) = \mu_t(\varphi) \quad (\text{a.s}) \text{ for each } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by well-known properties of conditional expectations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} \varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) &= \mathbb{E}_Q(\mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} \varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_Q(\varphi(X_t) \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} | \mathcal{F}_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (i). To prove (ii), note that the function $\mu_t(f(t, \mathfrak{z}))$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ -measurable in $(\omega, t, \mathfrak{z})$, and by (3.35) for each (t, \mathfrak{z}) almost surely

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(f(t, \mathfrak{z})) &= ({}^o\gamma_t)^{-1} P_t(f(t, \mathfrak{z})) = \mathbb{E}(({}^o\gamma_t)^{-1} f(t, \mathfrak{z}, X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} ({}^o\gamma_t)^{-1} f(t, \mathfrak{z}, X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) / \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} ({}^o\gamma_t)^{-1} f(t, \mathfrak{z}, X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) {}^o\gamma_t \\ &= \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} f(t, \mathfrak{z}, X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_t^{-1} f(t, \mathfrak{z}, X_t) | \mathcal{G}_t), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation holds because γ^{-1} is an \mathcal{F}_t -martingale under Q . We finish the proof with the obvious observation that $\gamma_{t_0}^{-1} f(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, X_{t_0})$ is σ -integrable with respect to Q relative to \mathcal{G}_{t_0} if $f(t_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, X_{t_0})$ is σ -integrable with respect to P relative to \mathcal{G}_{t_0} . \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

Recall that by Assumption 2.2 the measure Q , defined by $dQ = \gamma_T dP$ is a probability measure, equivalent to P , and by Girsanov's theorem under Q the process $(W_t, \tilde{V}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, where $(\tilde{V}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is defined by (2.4), is a $d_1 + d'$ -dimensional \mathcal{F}_t -Wiener process. Moreover, under Q the random measures \tilde{N}_0 and \tilde{N}_1 remain independent \mathcal{F}_t -Poisson martingale measures, with characteristic measures ν_0 and ν_1 , respectively. Clearly, $(\gamma_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is an \mathcal{F}_t -martingale under P . By Itô's formula

$$d\gamma_t^{-1} = \gamma_t^{-1} B_t^l(X_t) d\tilde{V}_t^l, \quad (4.1)$$

the process $\gamma^{-1} = (\gamma_t^{-1})_{t \in [0, T]}$ is an \mathcal{F}_t -local martingale under Q . Hence, taking into account $\mathbb{E}_Q \gamma_T^{-1} = 1$, we get that γ^{-1} is an \mathcal{F}_t -martingale under Q . Thus the Bayes formula for bounded Borel functions φ on \mathbb{R}^d gives

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_t^Y) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} \varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_t^Y)}{\mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_T^{-1} | \mathcal{F}_t^Y)} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_t^Y)}{\mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_t^{-1} | \mathcal{F}_t^Y)} \quad (\text{a.s.}), \quad (4.2)$$

often referred as Kallianpur-Striebel formula in the literature. Using \tilde{V} we can rewrite system (1.1) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= b(t, Z_t) dt + \sigma(t, Z_t) dW_t + \rho(t, Z_t) dV_t \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathfrak{z}_0} \eta(t, Z_{t-}, \mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_0(d\mathfrak{z}, dt) + \int_{\mathfrak{z}_1} \xi(t, Z_{t-}, \mathfrak{z}) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, dt) \\ dY_t &= d\tilde{V}_t + \int_{\mathfrak{z}_1} \mathfrak{z} \tilde{N}_1(dt, d\mathfrak{z}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

which shows, in particular, that $(Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a Lévy process under Q , and hence it is well-known that the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t^Y)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is right-continuous. Thus we can apply Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 with the unobservable process $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and the filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathcal{F}_t^Y)_{t \in [0, T]}$ to have a \mathbb{P} -valued and \mathbb{M} -valued weakly cadlag \mathcal{F}_t^Y -adapted processes $P_t(dx)$ and $\mu_t(dx)$, respectively, such that for every bounded Borel function φ on \mathbb{R}^d for each $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$P_t(\varphi) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_t^Y), \quad \mu_t(\varphi) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_t^Y) \quad (\text{a.s.}),$$

and by (4.2) it follows that almost surely $P_t = \mu_t / \mu_t(\mathbf{1})$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. To get an equation for $d\mu_t(\varphi)$ for sufficiently smooth functions we calculate first the stochastic differential $d(\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t))$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then for the stochastic differential of $\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t)) &= \gamma_t^{-1} \mathcal{L}_t \varphi(X_t) dt + \gamma_t^{-1} \mathcal{M}_t^l \varphi(X_t) d\tilde{V}_t^l + \gamma_t^{-1} \sigma_t^{ik}(X_t) D_i \varphi(X_t) dW_t^k \\ &\quad + \gamma_t^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{z}_0} I_t^\eta \varphi(X_{t-}) \tilde{N}_0(d\mathfrak{z}, dt) + \gamma_t^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{z}_1} I_t^\xi \varphi(X_{t-}) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, dt) \\ &\quad + \gamma_t^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{z}_0} J_t^\eta \varphi(X_t) \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) dt + \gamma_t^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{z}_1} J_t^\xi \varphi(X_t) \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. By Itô's formula, see for example in [2] or [15], for $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi(X_t) &= \left(\mathcal{L}_t \varphi(X_t) - \rho_t^{il} B_t^l(X_t) D_i \varphi(X_t) \right) dt \\ &\quad + \sigma_t^{ik}(X_t) D_i \varphi(X_t) dW_t^k + \rho_t^{il}(X_t) D_i \varphi(X_t) d\tilde{V}_t^l \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} I_t^\eta \varphi(X_{t-}) \tilde{N}_0(dt, d\mathfrak{z}) + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} I_t^\xi \varphi(X_{t-}) \tilde{N}_1(dt, d\mathfrak{z}) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} J_t^\eta \varphi(X_{t-}) \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) dt + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} J_t^\xi \varphi(X_{t-}) \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the notations introduced before the formulation of Theorem 2.1. Hence using (4.1) and the stochastic differential rule for products,

$$d(\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t)) = \gamma_t^{-1} d\varphi(X_t) + \varphi(X_{t-}) d\gamma_t^{-1} + d\gamma_t^{-1} d\varphi(X_t),$$

where

$$d\gamma_t^{-1} d\varphi(X_t) = \gamma_t^{-1} \rho_t^{il} B_t^l(X_t) D_i \varphi(X_t) dt,$$

we obtain (4.4). \square

To calculate the conditional expectation (under Q) of the terms in the equation for $\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t)$, given \mathcal{F}_t^Y , we describe below the structure of \mathcal{F}_t^Y . For each $t \geq 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{N}}$ the P -completion of the σ -algebra generated by the random variables $N_1((0, s] \times \Gamma)$ for $s \in (0, t]$ and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ such that $\nu_1(\Gamma) < \infty$.

Lemma 4.2. *For every $t \in [0, T]$ we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_t^Y = \mathcal{F}_0^Y \vee \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{V}} \vee \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{N}_1},$$

where $\mathcal{F}_0^Y \vee \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{V}} \vee \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{N}_1}$ denotes the P -completion of the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{F}_0^Y , $\mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{V}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{N}_1}$.

Proof. From (4.3) it immediately follows that

$$\mathcal{F}_t^Y \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0^Y \vee \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{V}} \vee \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{N}_1}.$$

To prove the reversed inclusion, we claim

$$N^Y((0, t] \times A) = N_1((0, t] \times A) \quad \text{almost surely for all } t \in [0, T] \quad (4.5)$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{Z}_1$, where N^Y is the measure of jumps for the process Y . Clearly, $N^Y(d\mathfrak{z}, dt) = N^M(d\mathfrak{z}, dt)$, where N^M is the measure of jumps for the process

$$M_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \mathfrak{z} \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, dt), \quad t \geq 0,$$

i.e.,

$$N^Y((0, t] \times A) = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_A(\Delta Y_s) = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_A(\Delta M_s) \quad A \in \mathcal{Z}_1.$$

To show (4.5) let $A = A_0$ be a set from \mathcal{Z}_1 such that $\nu_1(A_0) < \infty$. Then

$$M_t^{A_0} := \int_0^t \int_{A_0} \mathfrak{z} \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds) = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} p_s \mathbf{1}_{A_0}(p_s) - t \int_{A_0} \mathfrak{z} \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}),$$

where $(p_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is the Poisson point process associated with N_1 . Hence for $N^0(d\mathfrak{z}, dt)$, the measure of jumps of the process M^{A_0} , we have that almost surely

$$N^0((0, t] \times A_0) = N_1((0, t] \times A_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.6)$$

It is not difficult to see that $N^0((0, t] \times A_0) = N^M((0, t] \times A_0)$. Hence (4.5) for $A = A_0$ follows.

Since ν_1 is σ -finite, for an arbitrary $B \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ there is a sequence $(B_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of disjoint sets $B_n \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ such that $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n$ and $\nu_1(B_n) < \infty$ for each $n \geq 1$. Thus for each integer $n \geq 1$ we have (4.5) with B_n in place of A , and summing this up over $n \geq 1$ and using the σ -additivity of N^Y and N_1 we obtain (4.5) with B in place of A . Noting that \mathcal{F}_t^Y contains the σ -algebra generated by $N^Y((0, s] \times B)$ for each $s \leq t$ and $B \in \mathcal{Z}$, we see that $\mathcal{F}_t^Y \supset \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{N}_1}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{F}_t^Y \supset \mathcal{F}_0^Y$, and taking into account

$$Y_t - Y_0 - \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \mathfrak{z} N_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds) = \tilde{V}_t, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T],$$

we get $\mathcal{F}_t^Y \supset \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{V}}$. Consequently,

$$\mathcal{F}_t^Y \supseteq \mathcal{F}_0^Y \vee \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{V}} \vee \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{N}_1},$$

that completes the proof. \square

The above lemma is an essential tool in obtaining the filtering equations. A similar lemma in a more general setting in some directions is presented in [24] and [25] to obtain the filtering equations for the model presented in these papers. It seems to us, however, that this lemma, Lemma 3.2 in [24], used as well in [25, p.4], may not hold under the general conditions formulated in these papers, since it is not true in simple cases of vanishing coefficients in the equation for the observation noises. It is worth noticing that when instead of the integrand \mathfrak{z} a stochastic integrand depending on $Z_t = (X_t, Y_t)$ is considered in the observation process Y , the integral of such a term against a Poisson random measure may fail to be a Lévy process. In particular, it may not have independent increments, which is a crucial property for the filtration generated by the observation.

Now we are going to get an equation for $\mu(\varphi)$ by noting that by Proposition 4.1 we have

$$\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t) = \varphi(X_0) + \alpha_t + \alpha_t^0 + \alpha_t^1 + \beta_t^0 + \beta_t^1 + \delta_t^0 + \delta_t^1, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t &:= \int_0^t \gamma_s^{-1} \mathcal{L}_s \varphi(X_s) ds, \\ \alpha_t^0 &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} \gamma_s^{-1} J_s^\eta \varphi(X_s) \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) ds, & \alpha_t^1 &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \gamma_s^{-1} J_s^\xi \varphi(X_s) \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) ds, \\ \beta_t^0 &:= \int_0^t \gamma_s^{-1} \sigma_s^i(X_s) D_i \varphi(X_s) dW_s, & \beta_t^1 &:= \int_0^t \gamma_s^{-1} \mathcal{M}_s^l \varphi(X_s) d\tilde{V}_s^l, \\ \delta_t^0 &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} \gamma_s^{-1} I_s^\eta \varphi(X_{s-}) \tilde{N}_0(d\mathfrak{z}, ds), & \delta_t^1 &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \gamma_s^{-1} I_s^\xi \varphi(X_{s-}) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds), \end{aligned}$$

for $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We want to take the conditional expectation of both sides of equation (4.7) for each $t \in [0, T]$, under Q , given \mathcal{F}_t^Y . In order to apply Corollary 3.3, we should verify that the random variables

$$\begin{aligned} G &:= \int_0^T \gamma_s^{-1} |\mathcal{L}_t \varphi(X_s)| ds, \\ G^{(0)} &:= \int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} \gamma_s^{-1} |J_s^\eta \varphi(X_s)| \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) ds, \quad G^{(1)} := \int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \gamma_s^{-1} |J_s^\xi \varphi(X_s)| \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) ds, \\ F^{(0)} &:= \left(\int_0^T \gamma_s^{-2} |\sigma_s^i(X_s) D_i \varphi(X_s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}, \quad F^{(1)} := \left(\int_0^T \gamma_s^{-2} \sum_l |\mathcal{M}_s^l \varphi(X_s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}, \\ H^{(0)} &:= \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} \gamma_s^{-2} |I_s^\eta \varphi(X_{s-})|^2 \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \right)^{1/2}, \\ H^{(1)} &:= \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} \gamma_s^{-2} |I_s^\xi \varphi(X_{s-})|^2 \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

are σ -integrable with respect to Q relative to \mathcal{F}_0^Y , and that (3.27) holds for $Qf^{(i)}$ in place of of , and for $Qh^{(i)}$ in place of ${}^o h^{(i)}$, where $Qf^{(0)}$, $Qf^{(1)}$, $Qh^{(0)}$ and $Qh^{(1)}$ are the \mathcal{F}_t^Y -optional projection under Q of

$$f^{k(0)} := (\gamma_s^{-1} \sigma_s^{ik}(X_s) D_i \varphi(X_s))_{s \in [0, T]}, \quad f^{l(1)} := (\gamma_s^{-1} \mathcal{M}_s^l \varphi(X_s))_{s \in [0, T]},$$

$$h^{(0)} := (\gamma_s^{-1} I_s^\eta \varphi(X_{s-}))_{s \in [0, T]} \quad \text{and} \quad h^{(1)} := (\gamma_s^{-1} I_s^\xi \varphi(X_{s-}))_{s \in [0, T]},$$

respectively for each fixed $k = 1, 2, \dots, d_1$ and $l = 1, \dots, d'$. For a fixed integer $n \geq 1$ let $\Omega_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : |Y_0| \leq n\}$. Then due to Assumption 2.1, the martingale property of $(\gamma_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} G) &\leq N \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \gamma_t^{-1} (K_0 + K_1 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Z_t| + K_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Z_t|^2) dt \right) \\ &= N \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(\gamma_T \gamma_t^{-1} (K_0 + K_1 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Z_t| + K_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Z_t|^2)) dt \\ &= N \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(K_0 + K_1 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Z_t| + K_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Z_t|^2) dt \\ &\leq N' (K_0 + K_1 \mathbb{E}|X_0| + K_1 \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Y_0|) + K_2 \mathbb{E}|X_0|^2 + K_2 \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Y_0|^2)) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

with constants N and N' , which shows that G is σ -integrable with respect to Q relative to \mathcal{F}_0^Y . Similarly, using the estimate

$$|J^\eta \varphi(X_t)| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |D_{ij} \varphi(x)| |\eta_t^i(X_t)| |\eta_t^j(X_t)|,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} G^{(0)}) &= \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |J_s^\eta \varphi(X_s)| \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \\ &\leq N \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |\eta(s, Z_s, \mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \leq N' \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(K_0 + K_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Z_s|^2) ds < \infty \end{aligned}$$

with constants N and N' . In the same way we get $\mathbb{E}_Q(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} G^{(1)}) < \infty$. To prove that $F^{(i)}$ and $H^{(i)}$ are σ -integrable (with respect to Q) relative to F_0^Y , we claim first that

$$A_n := \mathbb{E}_Q \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \sup_{t \leq T} \gamma_t^{-1} < \infty \quad \text{for every integer } n \geq 1. \quad (4.8)$$

To prove this we repeat a method used in proof of Theorem 2.2. From (4.1) by using the Davis inequality and then Young's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \gamma_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{-1} &\leq 1 + 3\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^{T \wedge \tau_k} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \gamma_t^{-2} |B(t, Z_t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_Q \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \gamma_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{-1} + 5\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \gamma_t^{-1} |B(t, Z_t)|^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

for stopping times

$$\tau_k = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : \gamma_t^{-1} \geq k\}, \quad \text{for integers } k \geq 1.$$

Rearranging this inequality and then letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ by Fatou's lemma we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_Q \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \gamma_t^{-1} \leq 2 + 10 \int_0^T \mathbb{E}_Q \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \gamma_t^{-1} |B(t, Z_t)|^2 dt.$$

Hence we get (4.8) by noticing that using the martingale property of γ , the estimate in (2.2) and $K_2 \mathbb{E}|X_0|^2 < \infty$, for every $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \gamma_t^{-1} |B(t, Z_t)|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \gamma_T \gamma_t^{-1} |B(t, Z_t)|^2 = \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |B(t, Z_t)|^2 \\ &\leq K_0 + K_2 \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Z_t|^2 \leq K_0 + K_2 N \mathbb{E}(1 + |X_0|^2 + \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Y_0|^2) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}_Q(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} F^{(0)}) \leq \mathbb{E}_Q \left(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \sup_{s \leq T} \gamma_s^{-1/2} \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \gamma_s^{-1} |\sigma_s^i(X_s) D_i \varphi(X_s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right) \leq A_n + B_n,$$

with $A_n < \infty$, and

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &:= \mathbb{E}_Q \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \gamma_s^{-1} |\sigma_s^i(X_s) D_i \varphi(X_s)|^2 ds = \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \gamma_T \gamma_s^{-1} |\sigma_s^i(X_s) D_i \varphi(X_s)|^2) ds \\ &= \int_0^T \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} \sigma_s^i(X_s) D_i \varphi(X_s)|^2 ds \leq N \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(K_0 + K_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Z_s|^2) ds < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We get $\mathbb{E}_Q(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} F^{(1)}) < \infty$ in the same way. Similarly, $\mathbb{E}_Q(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} H^{(0)}) \leq A_n + C_n$, with A_n given in (4.8) and

$$\begin{aligned} C_n &:= \mathbb{E}_Q \int_0^T \gamma_s^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{z}_0} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |I_s^\eta \varphi(X_s)|^2 \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) ds = \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathfrak{z}_0} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |I_s^\eta \varphi(X_s)|^2 \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \\ &\leq N \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathfrak{z}_0} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |\eta(s, Z_s, \mathfrak{z})|^2 \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) ds \leq N' \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(K_0 + K_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |Z_s|^2) ds < \infty \end{aligned}$$

with constants N and N' , where we use that by Taylor's formula we have

$$|I_s^\eta \varphi(X_s)| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |D_i \varphi(x)| |\eta_s^i(X_s)|.$$

In the same way we have $\mathbb{E}_Q(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} H^{(1)}) < \infty$. For processes $h = (h_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ let ${}^Q h$ and ${}^P h$ denote the \mathcal{F}_t^Y -optional projections of h under Q and under P , respectively. Then using the formula ${}^Q h = {}^\alpha(\gamma h)/{}^\alpha\gamma$, well-known properties of optional projections and Remark 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |{}^Q h^0|_{L_2(\mathfrak{z}_0)}^2 &= \frac{|{}^\alpha(I^\eta \varphi(X))|_{L_2(\mathfrak{z}_0)}^2}{({}^\alpha\gamma)^2} \leq \frac{{}^\alpha(|I^\eta \varphi(X)|)_{L_2(\mathfrak{z}_0)}^2}{({}^\alpha\gamma)^2} \\ &\leq N \frac{{}^\alpha(K_0 + K_2|Z|^2)}{({}^\alpha\gamma)^2} = N \frac{K_0}{({}^\alpha\gamma)^2} + NK_2 \frac{{}^\alpha(|X|^2)}{({}^\alpha\gamma)^2} + NK_2 \frac{|Y|^2}{({}^\alpha\gamma)^2} \end{aligned}$$

with a constant N . Remember that since $\gamma = (\gamma_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a (cadlag) \mathcal{F}_t -martingale under P , the set $\{\gamma_\tau\}$ for \mathcal{F}_t -stopping times $\tau \leq T$ is uniformly P -integrable and hence due to the right-continuity of $(\mathcal{F}_t^Y)_{t \in [0, T]}$, the optional projection ${}^\alpha\gamma$ is a cadlag process. Moreover, due to $\gamma > 0$, we have ${}^\alpha\gamma > 0$ (a.s.). Since by (2.2)

$$K_2 \mathbb{E}(\sup_{t \leq T} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_n} |X_t|^2) < \infty \quad \text{for every } n \geq 1,$$

(and $(\mathcal{F}_t^Y)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is right-continuous), the process $K_2 {}^\alpha(|X|^2)$ is a cadlag process. Consequently, $K_0/{}^\alpha\gamma^2$, $K_2 {}^\alpha(|X|^2)/{}^\alpha\gamma^2$ and $|Y|^2/{}^\alpha\gamma^2$ are cadlag processes. Hence

$$\int_0^T \frac{1}{({}^\alpha\gamma_s)^2} ds + K_2 \int_0^T \frac{{}^\alpha(|X|^2)_s}{({}^\alpha\gamma_s)^2} ds + K_2 \int_0^T \frac{|Y_s|^2}{({}^\alpha\gamma_s)^2} ds < \infty \quad (\text{a.s.}),$$

that proves

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathfrak{z}_i} |{}^Q h_s^i|^2 \nu_i(d\mathfrak{z}) ds < \infty \quad (\text{a.s.})$$

for $i = 0$, and we get this for $i = 1$ in the same way. By the same argument we have

$$\int_0^T |{}^Q f_s^{(i)}|^2 ds < \infty \quad (\text{a.s.}) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1.$$

Thus we can apply Corollary 3.3 to the processes α , α^i , β^i and δ^i ($i=0,1$), and then use Corollary 3.5, to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q(\alpha_t | \mathcal{F}_t^Y) &= \int_0^t \mu_s(\mathcal{L}_s \varphi) ds, \\ \mathbb{E}_Q(\alpha_t^0 | \mathcal{F}_t^Y) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{z}_0} \mu_s(J_s^0 \varphi) \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) ds, \quad \mathbb{E}_Q(\alpha_t^1 | \mathcal{F}_t^Y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{z}_1} \mu_s(J_s^1 \varphi) \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) ds, \\ \mathbb{E}_Q(\beta_t^0 | \mathcal{F}_t^Y) &= 0, \quad \mathbb{E}_Q(\beta_t^1 | \mathcal{F}_t^Y) = \int_0^t \mu_s(\mathcal{M}_s^1 \varphi) d\tilde{V}_s^1, \\ \mathbb{E}_Q(\delta_t^0 | \mathcal{F}_t^Y) &= 0, \quad \mathbb{E}_Q(\delta_t^1 | \mathcal{F}_t^Y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathfrak{z}_1} \mu_s(I_s^1 \varphi) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, ds) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ almost surely, where $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is an $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued \mathcal{F}_t^Y -adapted weakly cadlag process such that

$$\mu_t(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \mu_t(dx) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_t^{-1} \varphi(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_t^Y) \quad (\text{a.s.}) \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, T],$$

for every bounded Borel function φ on \mathbb{R}^d . Using Lemma 3.1 with random variables $X := \varphi(X_0)$, $Y := 1$ and σ -algebras $\mathcal{G}_1 := \mathcal{F}_0^Y$, $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 := \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{V}} \vee \mathcal{F}_t^{\tilde{N}_1}$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}_Q(\varphi(X_0)|\mathcal{F}_t^Y) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\varphi(X_0)|\mathcal{F}_0^Y) = \mu_0(\varphi) \quad (\text{a.s.}).$$

Consequently, taking the conditional expectation of both sides of equation (4.7) under Q given \mathcal{F}_t^Y , we see that equation (2.6) holds for each $t \in [0, T]$ and $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ almost surely, that implies that for each $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ equation (2.6) holds almost surely for all $t \in [0, T]$, since we have cadlag processes in both sides of equation (2.6) for each $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. To prove (2.7) first notice that for $\varphi := \mathbf{1}$ equation (2.6) gives

$$d\mu_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mu_t(B_t^k) d\tilde{V}_t^k, \quad \mu_0(\mathbf{1}) = 1.$$

Since $\mu_t(\mathbf{1}) = (\circ\gamma_t)^{-1}P_t(\mathbf{1}) = (\circ\gamma_t)^{-1}$, $t \in [0, T]$, is a continuous process such that $\mu_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{E}_Q(\gamma_t^{-1}|\mathcal{F}_t^Y)$ (a.s.) for each $t \in [0, T]$, it is the \mathcal{F}_t^Y -optional projection under Q of the positive process $(\gamma_t^{-1})_{t \in [0, T]}$. Hence $\lambda_t := \mu_t(\mathbf{1})$, $t \in [0, T]$, is a positive process, and by Itô's formula

$$d\lambda_t^{-1} = -\lambda_t^{-2}\mu_t(B_t^k) d\tilde{V}_t^k + \lambda_t^{-3} \sum_k \mu_t^2(B_t^k) dt.$$

By Itô's formula for the product $P_t(\varphi) = \lambda_t^{-1}\mu_t(\varphi)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} dP_t(\varphi) &= P_t(\mathcal{L}_t\varphi) dt + P_t(\mathcal{M}_t^k\varphi) d\tilde{V}_t^k + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} P_t(J_t^\eta\varphi) \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) dt + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} P_t(J_t^\xi\varphi) \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} P_t(I_t^\xi\varphi) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, dt) + \lambda_t^{-3}\mu_t(\varphi) \sum_k \mu_t^2(B_t^k) dt \\ &\quad - \mu_t(\varphi)\lambda_t^{-2}\mu_t(B_t^k) d\tilde{V}_t^k - \lambda_t^{-2}\mu_t(B_t^k)\mu_t(\mathcal{M}_t^k\varphi) dt \end{aligned}$$

Hence noting that

$$\lambda_t^{-3}\mu_t(\varphi) \sum_k \mu_t^2(B_t^k) = P_t(\varphi) \sum_k P_t^2(B_t^k), \quad \mu_t(\varphi)\lambda_t^{-2}\mu_t(B_t^k) = P_t(\varphi)P_t(B_t^k)$$

$$\lambda_t^{-2}\mu_t(B_t^k)\mu_t(\mathcal{M}_t^k\varphi) = P_t(B_t^k)P_t(\mathcal{M}_t^k\varphi),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} dP_t(\varphi) &= P_t(\mathcal{L}_t\varphi) dt + (P_t(\mathcal{M}^k\varphi) - P_t(\varphi)P_t(B_t^k)) d\tilde{V}_t^k - (P_t(\mathcal{M}^k\varphi) - P_t(\varphi)P_t(B_t^k))P_t(B_t^k) dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_0} P_t(J_t^\eta\varphi) \nu_0(d\mathfrak{z}) dt + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} P_t(J_t^\xi\varphi) \nu_1(d\mathfrak{z}) dt + \int_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} P_t(I_t^\xi\varphi) \tilde{N}_1(d\mathfrak{z}, dt). \end{aligned}$$

Since clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} &(P_t(\mathcal{M}^k\varphi) - P_t(\varphi)P_t(B_t^k)) d\tilde{V}_t^k - (P_t(\mathcal{M}^k\varphi) - P_t(\varphi)P_t(B_t^k))P_t(B_t^k) dt \\ &= (P_t(\mathcal{M}^k\varphi) - P_t(\varphi)P_t(B_t^k)) d\bar{V}_t^k \end{aligned}$$

with the process $(\bar{V}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, given by $d\bar{V}_t = d\tilde{V}_t - P_t(B_t) dt$, $\bar{V}_0 = 0$, this gives equation (2.7), and finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Applebaum, Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [2] D. Applebaum and S. Blackwood, The Kalman-Bucy filter for integrable Lévy processes with infinite second moment, *J. Appl. Prob.* 52 (2015), 636-648.
- [3] S. Blackwood, Lévy Processes and Filtering Theory, Dissertation, The University of Sheffield, 2014.
- [4] T. Cass, M. Clark and D. Crisan, The filtering equations revisited, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, Springer, Cham, 2014. 129-162. (2014)
- [5] C. Ceci, Risk minimizing hedging for a partially observed high frequency data model, *Stochastics* 78, 13-31 (2006).
- [6] C. Ceci and K. Colaneri, Nonlinear filtering for jump diffusion observations, *Advances in Applied Probability* 44-3, 678-701 (2012).
- [7] C. Ceci and K. Colaneri, The Zakai equation of nonlinear filtering for jump-diffusion observations: existence and uniqueness, *Applied Mathematics & Optimization* 69, 47-82 (2014).
- [8] D. Crisan, The stochastic filtering problem: a brief historical account, *Journal of Applied Probability* 51A, 13-22 (2014).
- [9] C. Dellacherie and P.-A. Meyer, *Probabilities and Potential B: Theory of Martingales*, North-Holland Publishing Company (1982).
- [10] B.P.W. Fernando and E. Hausenblas, Nonlinear filtering with correlated Lévy noise characterized by copulas, *Brazilian Journal of Probability and Statistics* 32, 374-421 (2018).
- [11] M. Fujisaki, G. Kallianpur and H. Kunita, Stochastic differential equations for the nonlinear filtering problem, *Osaka Journal Math.*, 9-1, 19-40 (1972).
- [12] B. Grigelionis and R. Mikulevicius, *Nonlinear filtering equations for stochastic processes with jumps*, in: *The Oxford Handbook of Nonlinear Filtering*, Oxford University Press (2011).
- [13] A. J. Heunis and V. M. Lucic, On the Innovations Conjecture of Nonlinear Filtering with Dependent Data, *Electronic Journal of Probability*, Vol. 13, 2190–2216 (2008).
- [14] S. He, J. Wang and J. Yan, *Semimartingale Theory and Stochastic Calculus*, Taylor & Francis, 1992.
- [15] Ikeda and Watanabe, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, NorthHolland Publishing Company (1992).
- [16] N.V. Krylov and A. Zatezalo, A direct approach to deriving filtering equations for diffusion processes, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 42, no. 3, 315-332 (2000).
- [17] N.V. Krylov, On the equivalence of σ -algebras in the filtering problem of diffusion processes, *Theor. Probab. Appl.*, v.24, 772–781 (1979).
- [18] N.V. Krylov, *Introduction to the Theory of Random Processes*, American Mathematical Society: Graduate Studies in Mathematics 43 (2002).
- [19] N.V. Krylov, A simple proof of a result of A. Novikov, arXiv:math/0207013v1, 2002.
- [20] N. V. Krylov, A few comments on a result of A. Novikov and Girsanov's theorem, *Stochastics*, vol. 91.8, 1186-1189 (2019).
- [21] R. S. Liptser and A. N. Shiriyayev, *Statistics of Random Processes I*, 2nd edition, Springer, 2001.
- [22] D.R. Poklucar, Nonlinear filtering for jump-diffusions, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 197, 558-567 (2006).
- [23] S. Popa and S.S. Sritharan, Nonlinear filtering of Itô-Lévy stochastic differential equations with continuous observations, *Communications on Stochastic Analysis* 3 (2009).
- [24] H. Qiao and J. Duan, Nonlinear filtering of stochastic dynamical systems with Lévy noises, *Advances in Applied Probability* 47-3, 902-918 (2015).
- [25] H. Qiao, Nonlinear filtering of stochastic differential equations driven by correlated Lévy noises, *Stochastics*, vol. 93.8, 1156-1185 (2021).
- [26] M. Yor, Sur les théories du filtrage et de la prédiction, *Séminaire de probabilités XI*, 257-297, Springer (1977).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND MAXWELL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, SCOTLAND, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: `F.Germ@ed.ac.uk`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND MAXWELL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, SCOTLAND, UNITED KINGDOM.

Email address: `i.gyongy@ed.ac.uk`