

# Elon Musk's Twitter Takeover: Politician Accounts

Veli Safak<sup>1</sup>

5/13/2022

Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar, Education City, Al Luqta St, Ar-Rayyan Doha, Qatar

JEL classification:

A14  
Z10

Keywords:

Elon Musk  
Twitter  
Politics

## ABSTRACT

While conservatives welcomed Musk's takeover of Twitter, liberals sounded the alarm bells. Based on official Twitter accounts belonging to 528 US Senate and Congress members (269 Democrats and 259 Republicans), I empirically analyze how the number of followers of these accounts changed following Twitter's acceptance of Elon Musk's offer on April 25. This study provides the first empirical analysis of the changes in politician accounts on social media following this takeover agreement.

## 1. Introduction

On April 25, Twitter agreed to sell itself to Elon Musk<sup>2</sup> for \$54.20 per share. This takeover ignited heated debates over the platform's policies toward political accounts.

Some democrats<sup>3</sup> sounded alarm bells. For example, Representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (D-N.Y.) stated that she is anticipating an "explosion of hate crimes," and Senator Elizabeth Warren (D-Mass.) called the deal "dangerous for our democracy."

On the other hand, Senator Ted Cruz (R-Texas) reported<sup>4</sup> that his number of followers abnormally increased following Twitter's acceptance of Musk's offer. He further claimed that other conservative members also observed a similar change in their accounts.



Ted Cruz @tedcruz · May 1

This is stunning. ...

@Twitter accepted @elonmusk offer on 4/25.

On 4/26—THE VERY NEXT DAY—my account went from gaining 1k-2k new followers per day to 25k-70k new followers per day.

Other conservatives are seeing the same.

#ObviousShadowBan

This paper empirically investigates changes related to politician accounts during the takeover process. The results of this analysis are important for US politics as they are relevant to all US Senate and Congress members. Several studies showed that Twitter is an influential political tool to interact with the public on a mass scale to garner votes and organize political movements, even revolutions (such as Christensen 2011; Nulty et al. 2016; Ernst et al. 2017; Duncombe 2019; Gil de Zúñiga et al. 2020; and Vliet et al. 2020). In US politics, the importance of Twitter has increased significantly since the 2016 presidential elections (see Howard et al. 2017; Yaqub et al. 2017; Rizoiu et al. 2018; and Buccoliero et al. 2020). As politicians have more followers on Twitter, their messages get retweeted and reach broader audiences, increasing their communication efficiency.

## 2. Data

I compiled a dataset<sup>5</sup> from two primary data sources. First, I used an Excel file provided by the UC San Diego Library<sup>6</sup> including Twitter handles for every US Senate and Congress member. Also, I used socialblade.com to compile information for every available<sup>7</sup> Twitter handle regarding the number of followers, number of followed accounts, number of tweets, and account opening date. The analysis is limited to Democrats and Republicans.

Twitter-related information is daily and covers the time period between April 16 and May 6. Throughout this study, I divide this time period into three periods of interest:

- Pre-takeover: From April 16 to April 25
- Takeover: April 26
- Post-takeover: From April 27 to April 6

First, I aggregate account-level data over time to construct averages for each period of interest. Then, I examine the differences between Democrat and Republican accounts. As Table 1 shows, Democrats have been more active Twitter users than Republicans on average by May 6 (also see Appendix).

Table 1 Summary Statistics on the 6<sup>th</sup> of May

	Democrat	Republican
Number of Observations	269	259
Followers (Mean)	235,910	159,539
Following (Mean)	2,730	1,294
Tweets (Mean)	8,259	5,290
Days since Open (Mean)	3,086	2,756

## 3. Results

Democrat accounts had more followers than Republicans during the pre-takeover and post-takeover periods. As Table 2 shows, Democrat accounts had 85,659.39 more followers than Republican accounts during the pre-takeover period. This gap remained high but at a lower level during the post-takeover period (77,805.36). Table 2 also shows that these differences are not statistically significant.

Although Republican accounts have a lower number of followers on average, Table 3 shows that Republican accounts increase their follower numbers faster than Democrat accounts. Republican accounts grew by 47.45 more

<sup>1</sup> Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar  
E-mail address: vsafak@andrew.cmu.edu

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2022/apr/25/twitter-elon-musk-buy-takeover-deal-tesla>

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/2022/04/30/elon-musk-twitter-polarizing-conservatives-liberals/>

<sup>4</sup> <https://twitter.com/tedcruz/status/1520852480762028033>

<sup>5</sup> <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1zlvkSjQVUIJBWcnP0a2-P4RThkiFlp/edit?usp=sharing&oid=101234363502622734587&rtpof=true&sd=true>

<sup>6</sup> [https://ucsd.libguides.com/congress\\_twitter](https://ucsd.libguides.com/congress_twitter)

<sup>7</sup> Information about six accounts was missing: Chris Smith, Dan Newhouse, Jefferson Van Drew, Kaiiali'i Kahele, Sheila Cherfilus-McCormick, and Troy Balderson.

followers than Democrat accounts during the pre-takeover period. This growth gap increased to 391.44 on the 26<sup>th</sup> of April and 685.23 during the post-takeover period. On the 26<sup>th</sup> of April, the number of followers of Democrat accounts decreased by 214,202. On the other hand, the number of followers of Republican accounts increased by more than 2.2 million between the 26<sup>th</sup> of April and the 6<sup>th</sup> of May (see Appendix).

**Table 2** Mean Differences in Number of Followers by Period

Difference Groups	Difference	Std. Error	t-stat
Post: Dem – Rep	77,805.36	55,955.81	1.39
Day: Dem – Rep	85,096.03	55,368.71	1.54
Pre: Dem – Rep	85,659.39	55,306.6	1.55

**Table 3** Mean Differences in Changes in Number of Followers by Period

Difference Groups	Difference	Std. Error	t-stat
Post: Dem – Rep	-685.23	179.15	-3.82*
Day: Dem – Rep	-391.44	84.08	-4.66*
Pre: Dem – Rep	-47.45	17.87	-2.65*

**Table 4** Mean Differences in Number of Followers by Political Party

Difference Groups	Difference	Std. Error	t-stat
Dem: Post – Day	-668.88	66,520.55	-0.01
Dem: Day – Pre	28.44	66,572.76	0.00
Dem: Post – Pre	-640.44	66,514.32	-0.01
Rep: Post – Day	6,621.79	42,092.3	0.16
Rep: Day – Pre	591.80	41,141	0.01
Rep: Post – Pre	7,213.58	42,020.44	0.17

**Table 5** Mean Differences in Changes in Number of Followers by Political Party

Difference Groups	Difference	Std. Error	t-stat
Dem: Post – Day	136.28	19.25	7.08*
Dem: Day – Pre	-127.20	15.99	-7.95*
Dem: Post – Pre	9.09	12.92	0.70
Rep: Post – Day	430.07	196.96	2.18*
Rep: Day – Pre	216.79	84.45	2.57*
Rep: Post – Pre	646.86	179.58	3.60*

**Table 6** Number of Followers Percentiles by Period and Political Party

		10	25	Median	75	90
Pre Takeover	Dem	15,961.8	27,003.6	45,532	119,368.8	503,366.6
	Rep	9,029.4	15,497.2	27,969	71,510.6	331,301.2
Takeover	Dem	11,798.67	26,973	45,511.83	119,318	503,326.7
	Rep	9,056.5	15,503.17	27,981	71,536.17	331,304.5
Post Takeover	Dem	15,960.4	26,795.5	45,320.3	118,841.7	501,687.7
	Rep	9,180.4	15,642.1	28,218.7	71,611.5	346,894.3

**Table 7** Changes in Number of Followers Percentiles by Period and Political Party

		10	25	Median	75	90
Pre Takeover	Dem	-3.4	-1.2	0.8	5.2	36.8
	Rep	-1.4	0.8	3	8	135.6
Takeover	Dem	-294	-80	-26.3	-12.5	-4.67
	Rep	-2.17	1.33	5.33	16.17	331.17
Post Takeover	Dem	-17.1	-3	2.1	8.2	53.6
	Rep	4.3	10.1	18.4	69.4	1,317.8

Table 4 shows no significant change in the total number of followers of Democrat and Republican accounts throughout the takeover process. According to

Table 5, the increase in the number of followers of Democrat accounts decreased significantly on the 26<sup>th</sup> of April compared to the pre-takeover period. However, this decrease was not persistent. Overall, the increase in the number of followers of Democrat accounts during the post-takeover period wasn't significantly different from its levels during the pre-takeover period. On the other hand, the increase in the number of followers of Republican accounts increased by 646.86 more followers per day during the post-takeover period compared to the pre-takeover period. Republican accounts grew by 430.07 more followers on the 26<sup>th</sup> of April than in the pre-takeover period. These observations are consistent with Senator Cruz's claim about the change in follower growth for Republican accounts.

The results presented above support the thesis suggesting that the takeover benefitted Republican accounts. However, these results focus only on average changes. The distribution of changes is equally important as outliers can influence the average. For this reason, I also examined the distribution of changes for Democrat and Republican accounts.

Table 6, consistent with Tables 2 and 4, shows that the takeover didn't substantially affect the number of followers of Democrat and Republican accounts. However, Table 7 shows that the increase in the number of followers decreased for Democrat accounts and increased for Republican accounts on the 26<sup>th</sup> of April. This pattern persisted for Republican accounts during the post-takeover period. Around 10 percent of Republican accounts gained more than 1,317.8 followers per day during the post-takeover period. The median gain was limited to 18.4 followers during the same period.

#### 4. Conclusion

This paper provides the first empirical evidence of the impact of Elon Musk's takeover of Twitter on official accounts associated with US Senate and Congress members. Using daily data on Twitter accounts of 528 politicians over the period from 16 April 2022 to 6 May 2022, the results show insignificant changes in the number of followers of both Democrat and Republican accounts. They also show that the increase in the daily number of followers increased significantly for Republican accounts beginning from 26<sup>th</sup> of April. Meanwhile, Democrat accounts contracted significantly on the 26<sup>th</sup> of April. This contraction did not persist in the days following the 26<sup>th</sup> of April.

#### References

- Buccoliero, L., Bellio, E., Crestini, G., Arkoudas, A. 2020. Twitter and politics: Evidence from the US presidential elections 2016. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 26(1), 88-114.
- Christensen C. 2011. Twitter revolutions? Addressing social media and dissent. *The Communication Review*, 14(3), 155-157.
- Duncombe, C. 2019. The politics of Twitter: Emotions and the power of social media. *International Political Sociology*, 13: 409-429.
- Ernst, N., Engesser, S., Büchel, F., Blassnig, S., Esser, F. 2017. Extreme Parties and Populism: An Analysis of Facebook and Twitter across Six Countries. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(9): 1347-64.
- Gil de Zúñiga, H., Koc Michalska, K., & Römmele, A. 2020. Populism in the era of Twitter: How social media contextualized new insights into an old phenomenon. *New Media & Society*, 22(4), 585-594.
- Howard, P.N., Bolsover, G., Kollanyi, B., Bradshaw, S., Neudert, L.M. 2017. Junk News and Bots during the U.S. Election: What Were Michigan Voters Sharing over Twitter? Data memo 2017.1.
- Nulty, P., Theocharis, Y., Popa, S. A., Parnet, O., Benoita, K. 2016. Social media and political communication in the 2014 elections to the European Parliament. *Electoral Studies*, 44, 429-444.
- Rizoiu, M.A., Graham, T., Zhang, R., Zhang, Y., Ackland, R., Xie, L. 2018. #DebateNight: The Role and Influence of Socialbots on Twitter During the 1st 2016 U.S. Presidential Debate. Twelfth International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, 12(1).
- van Vliet, V. L., Toörnberg, P., Uitermark, J. 2020. The Twitter parliamentarian database: Analyzing Twitter politics across 26 countries. *PLoS ONE*, 15(9), 0237073.
- Yaqub, U., Chun, S.A., Atluri, V., Vaidya, J. 2017. Analysis of political discourse on twitter in the context of the 2016 US presidential elections. *Government Information Quarterly*, 34(4), 613-626.

Appendix. Additional Figures for Daily Activity

Figure 1 Boxplot Representation of Daily Follower Distribution by Political Party




Figure 2 Boxplot Representation of Daily Follower Change Distribution by Political Party




Figure 3 Number of Followers by Political Party and Day




Figure 4 Change in Number of Followers by Political Party and Day




Figure 5 Cumulative Change in Number of Followers after the 26<sup>th</sup> of April (Takeover Day)




Figure 6 Total Number of Tweets by Political Party and Day

