

COLORED DOUBLE ZETA VALUES AND MODULAR FORMS OF GENERAL LEVEL

MINORU HIROSE

ABSTRACT. Gangl, Kaneko, and Zagier gave explicit linear relations among double zeta values of odd indices coming from the period polynomials of modular forms for $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. In this paper, we generalize their result to the linear relations among colored double zeta values of level N coming from the modular forms for level N congruence subgroups.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2], Gangl, Kaneko and Zagier gave \mathbb{Q} -linear relations among double zeta values of odd indices $\zeta(\text{odd}, \text{odd})$ by using even period polynomials of modular forms for $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The purpose of this paper is to generalize their result to colored multiple zeta values and even period polynomials of modular forms of level N . More precisely, Gangl, Kaneko and Zagier's theorem and our main theorem are formulated as identities in the formal double zeta space, which is a lift of the space of double zeta values satisfying the double shuffle relations.

Let us recall the theory of period polynomials for congruence subgroups in [3]. Fix a nonnegative integer w . We denote by V_w the space of degree w homogeneous polynomials in X and Y . We define the right action of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on V_w by

$$P(X, Y)|_\gamma = P(aX + bY, cX + dY) \quad \text{for } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We use the following notations for some elements of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$\epsilon := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T := US^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let Γ be a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\epsilon\Gamma\epsilon = \Gamma$. We denote by \tilde{V}_w^Γ the space of maps $P : \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow V_w$. We define the right action of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on \tilde{V}_w^Γ by $P|_\gamma(C) = P(C\gamma^{-1})|_\gamma$ for $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $P|_\epsilon(C) = P(\epsilon C \epsilon)|_\epsilon$. We define the subspaces $\tilde{V}_w^\Gamma \supset V_w^\Gamma \supset W_w^\Gamma \supset C_w^\Gamma$ by

$$V_w^\Gamma := \{P \in \tilde{V}_w^\Gamma : P|_J = P\},$$

$$W_w^\Gamma := \{P \in V_w^\Gamma : P|_{1+S} = P|_{1+U+U^2} = 0\},$$

and

$$C_w^\Gamma := \{P|_{1-S} : P \in V_w^\Gamma, P|_T = P\}.$$

Since the action by ϵ preserve W_w^Γ and C_w^Γ , they are decomposed into ± 1 -eigenspaces, denoted by $W_w^{\Gamma, \pm}$ and $C_w^{\Gamma, \pm}$, respectively. For $f \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma)$ and $C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, define $f|_C \in S_{w+2}(C^{-1}\Gamma C)$ by $f|_C(z) = (cz + d)^{-w-2} f(\frac{az+b}{cz+d})$ where $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in C$. Furthermore, for $f \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma)$, define $\rho_f \in V_w^\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\rho_f(C) = \int_0^{i\infty} f|_C(z) \cdot (zY - X)^w dz.$$

Then we can show $\rho_f \in W_w^\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}$ for $f \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma)$. We put $\rho_f^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_f \pm \rho_f|_\epsilon)$. Then, Popa-Pasol's restatement [3, Theorem 2.1] of Eichler–Shimura isomorphism says that the maps $S_{w+2}(\Gamma) \rightarrow W_w^{\Gamma, \pm} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, $f \mapsto \rho_f^\pm$ give rise to isomorphisms

$$S_{w+2}(\Gamma) \simeq (W_w^{\Gamma, \pm} / C_w^{\Gamma, \pm}) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

Furthermore, we put

$$\bar{W}_w^{\Gamma, \pm} := \begin{cases} W_w^{\Gamma, \pm} & w : \text{even} \\ W_w^{\Gamma, \mp} & w : \text{odd}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $W_w^{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), \pm}$ is canonically isomorphic to

$$W_w^\pm := \{P \in V_w : P|_{1+S} = P|_{1+U+U^2} = 0, P|_\epsilon = \pm P\}$$

treated in [2].

Let us return to the double zeta values. The colored multiple zeta values of level N are generalization of multiple zeta values defined by

$$\zeta \left(\begin{matrix} k_1, \dots, k_d \\ z_1, \dots, z_d \end{matrix} \right) = \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_d} \frac{z_1^{m_1} \dots z_d^{m_d}}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_d^{k_d}} \in \mathbb{C}$$

where k_1, \dots, k_d are positive integer and z_1, \dots, z_d are N -th roots of unity with $(k_d, z_d) \neq (1, 1)$. Furthermore, we define the shuffle (resp. harmonic) regularized colored multiple zeta values $\zeta^{\sqcup} \left(\begin{matrix} k_1, \dots, k_d \\ z_1, \dots, z_d \end{matrix} \right) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ (resp. $\zeta^* \left(\begin{matrix} k_1, \dots, k_d \\ z_1, \dots, z_d \end{matrix} \right) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$) by shuffle (resp. harmonic) regularization. They equal to $\zeta \left(\begin{matrix} k_1, \dots, k_d \\ z_1, \dots, z_d \end{matrix} \right)$ if $(k_d, z_d) \neq (1, 1)$ and satisfy the regularized double shuffle relations ([4], [1], [5, Chapter 13]):

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{\sqcup} \left(\begin{matrix} r \\ \zeta_N^a \end{matrix} \right) \zeta^{\sqcup} \left(\begin{matrix} s \\ \zeta_N^b \end{matrix} \right) &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{s-1+j}{j} \zeta^{\sqcup} \left(\begin{matrix} r-j, s+j \\ \zeta_N^{a-b}, \zeta_N^b \end{matrix} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \binom{r-1+j}{j} \zeta^{\sqcup} \left(\begin{matrix} s-j, r+j \\ \zeta_N^{b-a}, \zeta_N^a \end{matrix} \right) \\ (1.1) \quad &= \zeta^* \left(\begin{matrix} r, s \\ \zeta_N^a, \zeta_N^b \end{matrix} \right) + \zeta^* \left(\begin{matrix} s, r \\ \zeta_N^b, \zeta_N^a \end{matrix} \right) + \zeta \left(\begin{matrix} r+s \\ \zeta_N^{a+b} \end{matrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_N := \exp(2\pi i/N)$. Furthermore, it is known that $\zeta^* \left(\begin{matrix} r, s \\ \zeta_N^a, \zeta_N^b \end{matrix} \right) = \zeta^{\sqcup} \left(\begin{matrix} r, s \\ \zeta_N^a, \zeta_N^b \end{matrix} \right)$ except for the case $r = s = 1$, $a = b = 0$. Let $k \geq 2$ and $N \geq 1$ with $(k, N) \neq (2, 1)$. Based on the regularized double shuffle relations, we define the *formal double zeta space* of level N and weight k as \mathbb{Q} -vector space $\mathcal{D}_{k,N}$ with generator

$$Z_{a,b}^{r,s}, P_{a,b}^{r,s}, Z_c^k \quad (r+s=k, r, s \geq 1, a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \gcd(a, b, N) = 1)$$

and relations

$$P_{a,b}^{r,s} = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{s-1+j}{j} Z_{a-b,b}^{r-j,s+j} + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \binom{r-1+j}{j} Z_{b-a,a}^{s-j,r+j} = Z_{a,b}^{r,s} + Z_{b,a}^{s,r} + Z_{a+b}^{r+s}.$$

Furthermore, based on the property

$$(1.2) \quad \zeta \left(\begin{matrix} k \\ \zeta_N^a \end{matrix} \right) + (-1)^k \zeta \left(\begin{matrix} k \\ \zeta_N^{-a} \end{matrix} \right) = \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{\zeta_N^{am}}{m^k} = -\frac{B_k(a/N)}{k!} (2\pi i)^k \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot (2\pi i)^k,$$

define $\mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}} \subset \mathcal{D}_{k,N}$ as the vector subspace spanned by

$$\left\{ P_{a,b}^{r,s} + (-1)^r P_{-a,b}^{r,s} + (-1)^s P_{a,-b}^{r,s} + (-1)^{r+s} P_{-a,-b}^{r,s} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \gcd(a, b, N) \equiv 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ Z_a^k + (-1)^k Z_{-a}^k : a \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

This is a generalization of the formal double zeta space \mathcal{D}_k and its subspace $\mathcal{P}_k^{\text{ev}}$ introduced in [2]. Note that by (1.1) and (1.2) the \mathbb{Q} -linear map

$$\Phi_{k,N} : \mathcal{D}_{k,N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ; Z_{a,b}^{r,s} \mapsto \zeta^{\sqcup} \left(\begin{matrix} r, s \\ \zeta_N^a, \zeta_N^b \end{matrix} \right), P_{a,b}^{r,s} \mapsto \zeta^{\sqcup} \left(\begin{matrix} r \\ \zeta_N^a \end{matrix} \right) \zeta^{\sqcup} \left(\begin{matrix} s \\ \zeta_N^b \end{matrix} \right), Z_c^k \mapsto \zeta^{\sqcup} \left(\begin{matrix} k \\ \zeta_N^c \end{matrix} \right)$$

is well-defined and

$$\Phi_{k,N}(\mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}}) \subset \mathbb{Q} \cdot (2\pi i)^k.$$

Note that the \mathbb{Q} -linear combination $\sum_{r,s,a,b} c_{a,b}^{r,s} Z_{a,b}^{r,s}$ is in $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}})$ if the coefficients have the properties

$$c_{a,b}^{r,s} = c_{b,a}^{s,r} = (-1)^r c_{-a,b}^{r,s} = (-1)^s c_{a,-b}^{r,s}$$

for all r, s, a, b . Furthermore, as an analogy of $\zeta^{\text{m(odd, odd)}}$, define the set of (odd, odd)-type formal colored double zetas of level N as

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\rho, \sigma \in \{\pm 1\}} \rho^{r+1} \sigma^{s+1} Z_{\rho a, \sigma b}^{r,s} : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq a, b \leq N/2, \\ 2a \notin N\mathbb{Z} \text{ or } r \text{ is odd,} \\ 2b \notin N\mathbb{Z} \text{ or } s \text{ is odd} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that \mathbb{Q} -linear combinations of (odd, odd)-type formal colored double zetas are equivalent to the sums of the form

$$\sum_{a,b,r,s} c_{a,b}^{r,s} Z_{a,b}^{r,s}$$

with

$$c_{a,b}^{r,s} = (-1)^{r+1} c_{-a,b}^{r,s} = (-1)^{s+1} c_{a,-b}^{r,s}.$$

Under this setting, Gangl–Kaneko–Zagier’s result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1 ([2]). Fix an even positive integer k and put $w = k - 2$. Let $P(X, Y) \in W_w^+$ and define $q^{r,s} \in \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$P(X - Y, X) = \sum_{\substack{r+s=w \\ r,s \geq 0}} \frac{1}{r!s!} q^{r+1,s+1} X^r Y^s.$$

Put $Z^{r,s} := Z_{0,0}^{r,s} \in \mathcal{D}_{r+s,1}$ and $Z^k := Z_0^k \in \mathcal{D}_{k,1}$. Then $q_{r,s} = q_{s,r}$ for r, s even and

$$3 \sum_{\substack{r+s=k \\ r,s:\text{odd}}} q^{r,s} Z^{r,s} = - \sum_{\substack{r+s=k \\ r,s:\text{even}}} q^{r,s} Z^{r,s} - \left(\sum_{r+s=k} q^{r,s} \right) Z^k \in \mathcal{P}_{k,1}^{\text{ev}}.$$

Conversely, all the linear combinations of $Z^{r,s}$ with $r, s \geq 1$ odd belonging to $\mathcal{P}_{k,1}^{\text{ev}}$ are obtained by this way.

Corollary 2 ([2]). Let $P(X, Y) \in W_w^+$ and $q^{r,s} \in \mathbb{Q}$ be as in Theorem 1. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{r+s=k \\ r,s:\text{odd}}} q^{r,s} \zeta(r, s) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot (2\pi i)^k.$$

Let $A(N)$ the set of pairs $(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\gcd(a, b, N) = 1$. Then there is a bijection

$$\Gamma_1(N) \backslash \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq A(N) \quad ; \quad \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (c, d).$$

For $(a, b) \in A(N)$, we denote the corresponding element in $\Gamma \backslash \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ by $C_{a,b}$. The following is the main theorem of this paper, which gives a generalization of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. Fix $N \geq 1$ and $w \geq 0$, and put $k = w + 2$. Let $P \in \bar{W}_w^{\Gamma_1(N),+}$ and define $q_{a,b}^{r,s} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for r, s, a, b with $r, s \geq 1$, $r + s = k$, and $(a, b) \in A(N)$ by

$$P(C_{a,-a+b})(X - Y, X) = \sum_{r,s} \frac{1}{r!s!} q_{a,b}^{r+1,s+1} X^r Y^s,$$

and put

$$q_{a,b}^{r,s,\text{ev}} = \frac{1}{2} (q_{a,b}^{r,s} + (-1)^r q_{a,b}^{r,s}), \quad q_{a,b}^{r,s,\text{od}} = \frac{1}{2} (q_{a,b}^{r,s} - (-1)^r q_{a,b}^{r,s}).$$

Then

$$q_{a,b}^{r,s,\text{od}} = (-1)^{r+1} q_{-a,b}^{r,s,\text{od}} = (-1)^{s+1} q_{a,-b}^{r,s,\text{od}}, \quad q_{a,b}^{r,s,\text{ev}} = (-1)^r q_{-a,b}^{r,s,\text{ev}} = (-1)^s q_{a,-b}^{r,s,\text{ev}} = q_{b,a}^{s,r,\text{ev}}$$

and

$$3 \sum_{r,s,a,b} q_{a,b}^{r,s,\text{od}} Z_{a,b}^{r,s} = - \sum_{r,s,a,b} q_{a,b}^{r,s,\text{ev}} Z_{a,b}^{r,s} - \sum_{r,s,a,b} q_{a,b}^{r,s} Z_{a+b}^{r+s} \in \mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}}.$$

Conversely, a linear combination

$$\sum_{r,s,a,b} c_{a,b}^{r,s} Z_{a,b}^{r,s} \quad (c_{a,b}^{r,s} = (-1)^{r+1} c_{-a,b}^{r,s} = (-1)^{s+1} c_{a,-b}^{r,s})$$

belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}}$ if and only if $c_{a,b}^{r,s} = q_{a,b}^{r,s,\text{od}}$ arising in this way.

As a corollary, we have the following generalization of Corollary 2:

Corollary 4. Fix $N \geq 1$ and $w \geq 1$, and put $k = w + 2$. Let $P \in \bar{W}_w^{\Gamma_1(N),+}$ and $q_{a,b}^{r,s,\text{od}} \in \mathbb{Q}$ be as in Theorem 3. Then

$$\sum_{r,s,a,b} q_{a,b}^{r,s,\text{od}} \zeta^{\text{W}} \left(\begin{matrix} r, s \\ \zeta_N^a, \zeta_N^b \end{matrix} \right) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot (2\pi i)^k.$$

2. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 3.

2.1. **The dual vector space of V_w^Γ .** Fix an integer $w \geq 0$. We denote by \tilde{V}_w^Γ (resp. \bar{V}_w^Γ) a $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -module which is just a copy of \tilde{V}_w^Γ (resp. V_w^Γ) as a $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -module, but the action of ϵ is defined by

$$P|_\epsilon(C) = P(J\epsilon C\epsilon)|_\epsilon$$

for $C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that $\bar{W}_w^{\Gamma, \pm}$ is naturally identified with

$$\{P \in \bar{V}_w^\Gamma : P|_{1+S} = P|_{1+U+U^2} = 0, P|_\epsilon = \pm P\}.$$

Following [3], define pairings on $V_w \times V_w$ and $V_w^\Gamma \times \bar{V}_w^\Gamma$ by

$$\left\langle \sum_{r=0}^w a_r X^r Y^{w-r}, \sum_{r=0}^w b_r X^r Y^{w-r} \right\rangle = \sum_{r=0}^w (-1)^{w-r} \binom{w}{r}^{-1} a_w b_{w-r}$$

and

$$\langle\langle P, Q \rangle\rangle = \frac{1}{[\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]} \sum_{C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})} \langle P(C), Q(C) \rangle \quad (P \in V_w^\Gamma, Q \in \bar{V}_w^\Gamma).$$

By this pairing, we regard \bar{V}_w^Γ as the dual vector space of V_w^Γ . Then for $P, Q \in V_w$ and $g \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, we have $\langle P|_g, Q \rangle = \langle P, Q|_{g^\vee} \rangle$ where $g^\vee = \det(g)g^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (see [3, Section 3]). Thus, we have

$$\langle\langle P|_g, Q \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle P, Q|_{g^{-1}} \rangle\rangle$$

for $P \in V_w^\Gamma, Q \in \bar{V}_w^\Gamma$, and $g \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

2.2. **Properties of finite dimensional vector spaces with $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -action.** Let A be a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space with a right $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -action. For such A , we define the subspaces $\mathcal{W}(A)$, A^\pm and $\mathcal{W}^\pm(A)$ of A by

$$\mathcal{W}(A) = \{P \in A : P|_{1+S} = P|_{(1+U+U^2)(1-S)} = 0\},$$

$$A^\pm = \{P \in A : P|_\epsilon = \pm P\},$$

$$\mathcal{W}^\pm(A) = \mathcal{W}(A) \cap A^\pm.$$

Note that $\mathcal{W}(A) = \mathcal{W}^+(A) \oplus \mathcal{W}^-(A)$ since ϵ preserves $\mathcal{W}(A)$. Furthermore, we denote by A^\vee the dual vector space of A . We also regard A^\vee as a right $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -module by the action

$$(2.1) \quad \langle P|_g, Q \rangle = \langle P, Q|_{g^{-1}} \rangle \quad (P \in A^\vee, Q \in A, g \in \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z})).$$

When $A = V_w^\Gamma$ or \bar{V}_w^Γ , $\mathcal{W}^\pm(A)$ coincides with the set of period polynomials.

Proposition 5. *We have*

$$\mathcal{W}^\pm(V_w^\Gamma) = W_w^{\Gamma, \pm},$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}^\pm(\bar{V}_w^\Gamma) = \bar{W}_w^{\Gamma, \pm}.$$

Proof. Note that it is enough to show that $\mathcal{W}(V_w^\Gamma) = W_w^\Gamma$. Recall that the definitions of $\mathcal{W}(V_w^\Gamma)$ and W_w^Γ are given by

$$\mathcal{W}(V_w^\Gamma) = \{P \in V_w^\Gamma : P|_{1+S} = 0, P|_{(1+U+U^2)(1-S)} = 0\},$$

$$W_w^\Gamma = \{P \in V_w^\Gamma : P|_{1+S} = 0, P|_{1+U+U^2} = 0\}.$$

Thus the proposition is equivalent to $P|_{1+U+U^2} = 0$ for $P \in \mathcal{W}(V_w^\Gamma)$. Let P be any element of $\mathcal{W}(V_w^\Gamma)$ and put $Q = P|_{1+U+U^2}$. Then

$$Q|_S = Q|_U = Q.$$

Since $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by S and U , we have $Q = Q|_g$ for $g \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Since Γ is a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, there exists a positive integer N such that $CT^N = C$ for any $C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then, for $C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$Q(C) = Q|_{T^N}(C) = Q(CT^{-N})|_{T^N} = Q(C)|_{T^N},$$

which implies

$$Q(C) \in \mathbb{Q}Y^w.$$

Furthermore, we also have

$$Q(C) = Q|_S(C) = Q(CS^{-1})|_S \in \mathbb{Q}X^w.$$

Thus $Q = 0$ if $w > 0$. Hence the case $w > 0$ is proved. Assume that $w = 0$. Then $Q(C) = Q(C')$ for any $C, C' \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ since

$$P(C) = P|_g(C) = P(Cg^{-1}) = P(C')$$

where g is an element of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $Cg^{-1} = C'$. Let $\alpha := Q(C)$ which does not depend on the choice of C . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{1}{[\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]} \sum_{C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})} Q(C) \\ &= \frac{1}{[\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]} \sum_{C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})} P|_{1+U+U^2}(C) \\ &= \frac{3}{[\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]} \sum_{C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})} P(C) \\ &= \frac{3}{2[\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]} \sum_{C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})} P|_{1+S}(C) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Q = 0$, which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 6. Let $f_A : A^+ \rightarrow A/A^-$ be a map defined by

$$f_A(P) = (P|_{1+U-U^2S} \bmod A^-),$$

$B = A^\vee$ the dual vector space of A , and $f_A^* : B^+ \rightarrow B/B^-$ the dual homomorphism of f . Then

$$\ker f_A^* = \mathcal{W}^+(B).$$

Furthermore, there is an bijection

$$\iota : \mathcal{W}^+(A) \simeq \ker(f_A)$$

given by $\iota(P) = P|_{U(1+\epsilon)}$, and thus $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{W}^+(A) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{W}^+(B)$.

Proof. Note that P is in $\ker f_A^*$ if and only if $P|_{(1+U^2-SU)(1+\epsilon)} = 0$. For $P \in \mathcal{W}^+(B)$, we have

$$P|_{(1+U^2-SU)(1+\epsilon)} = P|_{(1+U^2+U)(1+\epsilon)} = 0,$$

and thus $\mathcal{W}^+(B) \subset \ker f^*$. On the other hand, if $P \in \ker f^*$, then

$$(2.2) \quad 0 = P|_{(1+U^2-SU)(1+\epsilon)} = P|_{2+U^2-SU+SU-S-U^2S}.$$

By acting S to (2.2), we also have

$$(2.3) \quad 0 = P|_{2S+U^2S-SUS+SU-U^2}.$$

By adding (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$(2.4) \quad P|_{1+S} = 0.$$

By (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$(2.5) \quad 0 = P|_{(1+U^2+U)(1-S)}.$$

By (2.2) and (2.3), we have $P \in \mathcal{W}^+(B)$, which completes the proof of

$$(2.6) \quad \ker f_A^* = \mathcal{W}^+(B).$$

The map ι is well-defined since

$$\begin{aligned} P|_{U(1+\epsilon)(1+U-U^2S)(1+\epsilon)} &= P|_{U(1+\epsilon)(U-U^2S)(1+\epsilon)} + 2P|_{U(1+\epsilon)} \\ &= P|_{U(1+\epsilon)(1-\epsilon S)(U-U^2S)} + 2P|_{U(1+\epsilon)} \\ &= P|_{(U-U\epsilon S)(1+\epsilon)(U-U^2S)} + 2P|_{U(1+\epsilon)} \\ &= -2P|_{(U-U^2S)} + 2P|_{U(1+\epsilon)} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $P \in \mathcal{W}^+(A)$. If $P \in \mathcal{W}^+(A)$ and $\iota(P) = 0$, then

$$0 = \iota(P)|_{1-S} = P|_{U(1+\epsilon)(1-S)} = P|_{(U-U^2S)(1-S)} = P|_{(1+U+U^2)(1-S)-(1-S)} = -2P.$$

Thus ι is injective and

$$(2.7) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{W}^+(A) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker(f_A).$$

Since $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} A^+ = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(A/A^-)$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker(f_A) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker f_A^*$. Thus, by (2.6), we have

$$(2.8) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker(f_A) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{W}^+(B).$$

By changing A and B in (2.7) and (2.8), we also have

$$(2.9) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{W}^+(B) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker(f_B)$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker(f_B) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{W}^+(A).$$

By (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10), we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{W}^+(A) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker(f_A) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{W}^+(B) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker(f_B).$$

Thus ι is bijection and the proposition is proved. \square

2.3. Proof of main theorem. For $C = C_{a,b} \in \Gamma_1(N) \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, define \mathbb{Q} -linear maps λ_C , $\lambda_C^{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\lambda_C^{\mathcal{P}}$ from V_w to $\mathcal{D}_{w+2, N}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_C(X^r Y^s) &:= r!s! Z_{a,b}^{r+1, s+1}, \\ \lambda_C^{\mathcal{S}}(X^r Y^s) &:= r!s! Z_{a+b}^{r+s+2}, \\ \lambda_C^{\mathcal{P}}(X^r Y^s) &:= r!s! P_{a,b}^{r+1, s+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $\bullet \in \{\emptyset, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P}\}$, define $\lambda^\bullet : \tilde{V}_w^{\Gamma_1(N)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{w+2, N}$ by

$$\lambda^\bullet(P) = \sum_{C \in \Gamma_1(N) \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})} \lambda_C^\bullet(P(CS)).$$

Let us rewrite the defining relations of $\mathcal{D}_{w+2, N}$:

$$(2.11) \quad P_{a,b}^{r+1, s+1} = Z_{a,b}^{r+1, s+1} + Z_{b,a}^{s+1, r+1} + Z_{a+b}^{r+s+2} = \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{s+j}{j} Z_{a-b, b}^{r-j+1, s+j+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \binom{r-1+j}{j} Z_{b-a, a}^{s-j+1, r+j+1}$$

by using λ , $\lambda^{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\lambda^{\mathcal{P}}$. Put

$$A(N, w) = \{(r, s, a, b) : r, s \geq 0, r + s = w, (a, b) \in A(N)\}.$$

For $(r, s, a, b) \in A(N, w)$, define $Q_{a,b}^{r,s} \in \tilde{V}_w^{\Gamma}$ by $Q_{a,b}^{r,s}(C) = \delta_{C_{a,b} S, C} \frac{X^r Y^s}{r!s!}$. Note that for $m \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\gamma \in \epsilon^m \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\bullet(Q_{a,b}^{r,s}|_\gamma) &= \sum_C \lambda_C^\bullet(Q_{a,b}^{r,s}|_\gamma(CS)) = \sum_C \lambda_C^\bullet(Q_{a,b}^{r,s}(J^m \epsilon^m CS \gamma^{-1})|_\gamma) = \sum_C \delta_{C_{a,b} S, J^m \epsilon^m CS \gamma^{-1}} \lambda_C^\bullet(X^r Y^s|_\gamma) \\ &= \lambda_{J^m \epsilon^m C_{a,b} S \gamma S^{-1}}(X^r Y^s|_\gamma) = \lambda_{\epsilon^m C_{a,b}(\gamma^t)^{-1}}(X^r Y^s|_\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

where γ^t is the transposed matrix of γ . Then the terms in (2.11) can be described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{a,b}^{r+1, s+1} &= \frac{1}{r!s!} \lambda^{\mathcal{P}}(Q_{a,b}^{r,s}), \\ Z_{a,b}^{r+1, s+1} &= \frac{1}{r!s!} \lambda_{C_{a,b}}(X^r Y^s) = \lambda(Q_{a,b}^{r,s}), \\ Z_{b,a}^{s+1, r+1} &= \frac{1}{r!s!} \lambda_{C_{a,b} \epsilon S}(X^r Y^s|_{\epsilon S}) = \lambda(Q_{a,b}^{r,s}|_{\epsilon S}), \\ Z_{a+b}^{r+s+2} &= \frac{1}{r!s!} \lambda^{\mathcal{S}}(Q_{a,b}^{r,s}), \\ \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{s+j}{j} Z_{a-b, b}^{r-j+1, s+j+1} &= \frac{1}{r!s!} \lambda_{C_{a-b, b}}(X^r Y^s|_T) = \lambda(Q_{a,b}^{r,s}|_T), \\ \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{r+j}{j} Z_{b-a, a}^{s-j+1, r+j+1} &= \frac{1}{r!s!} \lambda_{C_{b-a, a}}(X^r Y^s|_{\epsilon S T}) = \lambda(Q_{a,b}^{r,s}|_{\epsilon S T}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.11) is equivalent to

$$(2.12) \quad \lambda^{\mathcal{P}}(P) = \lambda(P|_{(1+\epsilon S)}) + \lambda^{\mathcal{S}}(P) = \lambda(P|_{(1+\epsilon S)T})$$

for $P = Q_{a,b}^{r,s} \in \tilde{V}_w^{\Gamma}$. Let $\bar{V}_w^{\Gamma, \pm}$ be the \pm -eigenspaces of \bar{V}_w^{Γ} for the action of ϵ .

Let $\delta : \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma} \rightarrow \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma} / \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma, -}$ be a map defined by

$$\delta(P) = (P|_{1+SU^2 S^{-1} SU} \bmod \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma, -}).$$

Then the induced dual map $\delta^* : V_w^{\Gamma, +} \rightarrow V_w^{\Gamma}$ is expressed as

$$\delta^*(P) = P|_{1+(SU^2 S)^{-1} (SU)^{-1}} = P|_{1+SU S^{-1} U^2 S}.$$

Proposition 7. *For an element P of $V_w^{\Gamma,+}$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $\delta^*(P) \in V_w^{\Gamma,-}$,
- (2) $\delta^*(P) = 0$,
- (3) $P \in W_w^{\Gamma,+}$.

Proof. The implication (3) \Rightarrow (2) follows from the direct calculation and the implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial. Thus the remaining is a proof of (1) \Rightarrow (3). Assume that $\delta^*(P) \in V_w^{\Gamma,-}$ and $P \in V_w^{\Gamma,+}$. Then

$$(2.13) \quad 0 = \delta^*(P)|_{1+\epsilon} = P|_{(1+SUS-U^2S)(1+\epsilon)} = P|_{2+SUS-U^2S+U^2-SU}.$$

By acting S to (2.13), we also have

$$(2.14) \quad 0 = P|_{2S+SU-U^2+U^2S-SUS}.$$

By adding (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad P|_{1+S} = 0.$$

By (2.14) and (2.15), we have

$$(2.16) \quad P|_{(1+U+U^2)(S-1)} = 0$$

By (2.15), (2.16) and Proposition 5, we have $P \in W_w^{\Gamma,+}$. Hence (1) \Rightarrow (3) is also proved. \square

Lemma 8. *We have*

$$\lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}}) \subset \ker \delta.$$

Proof. By definition, $\lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}})$ is spanned by

$$\{P|_{1+\epsilon S} : P \in \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma_1(N),-}\} \cup \{P|_{(1+\epsilon S)(1-T)} : P \in \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma_1(N)}\}.$$

Note that $Q \in \ker \delta$ if and only if $Q|_{(1+SU^2S-SU)(1+\epsilon)}$. For $P \in \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma_1(N)}$, we have

$$P|_{(1+\epsilon S)(1+SU^2S-SU)(1+\epsilon)} = P|_{(1+\epsilon S)(1+SU^2S-SU)(1+\epsilon)} = P|_{(1+\epsilon)(1+S)},$$

where the last expression vanishes if $P \in \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma_1(N),-}$. Thus

$$\{P|_{1+\epsilon S} : P \in \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma_1(N),-}\} \subset \ker \delta.$$

Furthermore, for $P \in \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma_1(N)}$, we have

$$P|_{(1+\epsilon S)(1-T)(1+SU^2S-SU)(1+\epsilon)} = P|_{(1+\epsilon S)(1-\epsilon S)(1+SU^2S-SU-US-S+U^2)} = 0.$$

Thus, we also have

$$\{P|_{(1+\epsilon S)(1-T)} : P \in \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma_1(N)}\} \subset \ker \delta.$$

Hence the lemma is proved. \square

Proposition 9. *Let $P \in W_w^{\Gamma,+}$. If $P|_{U(1+\epsilon)} = 0$ then $P = 0$.*

Proof. It is just a special case of injectivity of ι in Proposition 6. \square

Note that

$$\mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}} = \lambda(V_w^{\Gamma_1(N),-\text{sym}}) + \lambda^S(V_w^{\Gamma_1(N)})$$

where

$$V_w^{\Gamma_1(N),-\text{sym}} := \{u \in V_w^{\Gamma_1(N),-} : u|_{\epsilon S} = u\}.$$

The following is a refined version of Theorem 3.

Theorem 10. *Let $P \in \bar{W}_w^{\Gamma,+}$. Put $Q := P|_U$ and $Q^\pm = \frac{1}{2} Q|_{1\pm\epsilon} \in V_w^{\Gamma,\pm}$. Then*

$$Q^- \in V_w^{\Gamma_1(N),-\text{sym}}$$

and

$$3\lambda(Q^+) = -\lambda(Q^-) - \lambda^S(Q) \in \mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}}.$$

Conversely, $\lambda(R)$ with $R \in \bar{V}_w^{\Gamma,+}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}}$ if and only if $R = Q^+$ arising in this way.

Proof. Note that

$$Q|_{\epsilon S-1} = P|_{U(\epsilon S-1)} = P,$$

and thus

$$Q^-|_{\epsilon S-1} = \frac{1}{2} Q|_{(\epsilon S-1)(1-\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{2} P|_{1-\epsilon} = 0,$$

which implies

$$Q^- \in V_w^{\Gamma_1(N), -, \text{sym}}.$$

By (2.12),

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda^S(Q) &= \lambda(Q|_{(1+\epsilon S)(1-T)}) \\ &= \lambda(P|_{U(1+\epsilon S)(1-T)}) \\ &= \lambda(P|_{U(2+\epsilon)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda(P|_{U(2+\epsilon)(1+\epsilon)}) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(P|_{U(2+\epsilon)(1-\epsilon)}). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda(P|_{U(2+\epsilon)(1+\epsilon)}) = \frac{3}{2}\lambda(P|_{U(1+\epsilon)}) = 3\lambda(Q^+)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda(P|_{U(2+\epsilon)(1-\epsilon)}) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(P|_{U(1-\epsilon)}) = \lambda(Q^-).$$

Thus

$$3\lambda(Q^+) = -\lambda(Q^-) - \lambda^S(Q),$$

which completes the proof the theorem except for the converse part. By Lemma 8,

$$(2.17) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}}) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\ker \delta).$$

By Proposition 7,

$$(2.18) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker(\delta) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} W_w^{\Gamma,+}.$$

By Proposition 6, we have

$$(2.19) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} W_w^{\Gamma,+} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{W}_w^{\Gamma,+}.$$

By (2.17), (2.18), and (2.19), we have

$$(2.20) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}}) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{W}_w^{\Gamma,+}.$$

Therefore, the converse part follows from (2.20) since the \mathbb{Q} -linear map $P \mapsto Q^+$ embeds $\bar{W}_w^{\Gamma,+}$ into $\lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{k,N}^{\text{ev}})$ by Proposition 9. \square

If we explicitly write down Theorem 10 by the coefficients of period polynomials, we can show Theorem 3 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 10. By definition,

$$P(C_{a,-a+b})(X+Y, -X) = P|_U(C_{a,b}S),$$

and thus

$$P|_U(C_{a,b}S) = \sum_{r,s} \frac{1}{r!s!} q_{a,b}^{r+1,s+1} X^r Y^s.$$

Thus

$$P|_U = \sum_{(r,s,a,b) \in A(w,N)} q_{a,b}^{r+1,s+1} Q_{a,b}^{r,s}.$$

Let $Q = P|_U$ and $Q^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} Q|_{1 \pm \epsilon}$ as in Theorem 10. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \sum_{(r,s,a,b) \in A(w,N)} q_{a,b}^{r+1,s+1} Q_{a,b}^{r,s}, \\ Q^+ &= \sum_{(r,s,a,b) \in A(w,N)} q_{a,b}^{r+1,s+1, \text{od}} Q_{a,b}^{r,s}, \\ Q^- &= \sum_{(r,s,a,b) \in A(w,N)} q_{a,b}^{r+1,s+1, \text{ev}} Q_{a,b}^{r,s}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus the conditions

$$q_{b,a}^{s,r, \text{od}} = (-1)^{r+1} q_{-a,b}^{r,s, \text{od}} = (-1)^{s+1} q_{a,-b}^{r,s, \text{od}}, \quad q_{a,b}^{r,s, \text{ev}} = (-1)^r q_{-a,b}^{r,s, \text{ev}} = (-1)^s q_{a,-b}^{r,s, \text{ev}} = q_{b,a}^{s,r, \text{ev}}$$

follows from $Q^\pm \in \tilde{V}_w^{\Gamma, \pm}$ and $Q^- \in V_w^{\Gamma_1(N), -, \text{sym}}$. Furthermore, by

$$3\lambda(Q^+) = -\lambda(Q^-) - \lambda^S(Q),$$

we have

$$3 \sum_{r,s,a,b} q_{a,b}^{r,s,\text{od}} Z_{a,b}^{r,s} = - \sum_{r,s,a,b} q_{a,b}^{r,s,\text{ev}} Z_{a,b}^{r,s} - \sum_{r,s,a,b} q_{a,b}^{r,s} Z_{a+b}^{r+s}$$

since $\lambda(Q_{a,b}^{r,s}) = Z_{a,b}^{r+1,s+1}$ and $\lambda^S(Q_{a,b}^{r,s}) = Z_{a,b}^{r+s+2}$. Finally, the converse part follows from the converse part of Theorem 10 since

$$\sum_{r,s,a,b} c_{a,b}^{r,s} Z_{a,b}^{r,s} = \lambda \left(\sum_{r,s,a,b} c_{a,b}^{r,s} Q_{a,b}^{r-1,s-1} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{r,s,a,b} c_{a,b}^{r,s} Q_{a,b}^{r-1,s-1} \in \tilde{V}_w^{\Gamma,+}. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP18K13392 and JP22K03244.

REFERENCES

- [1] Tsuneo Arakawa and Masanobu Kaneko. On multiple L -values. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 56(4):967–991, 2004.
- [2] Herbert Gangl, Masanobu Kaneko, and Don Zagier. Double zeta values and modular forms. In *Automorphic forms and zeta functions*, pages 71–106. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.
- [3] Vicențiu Pașol and Alexandru A. Popa. Modular forms and period polynomials. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 107(4):713–743, 2013.
- [4] Georges Racinet. Doubles mélanges des polylogarithmes multiples aux racines de l’unité. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (95):185–231, 2002.
- [5] Jianqiang Zhao. *Multiple zeta functions, multiple polylogarithms and their special values*, volume 12 of *Series on Number Theory and its Applications*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2016.

(Minoru Hirose) INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FURO-CHO, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN
 Email address: minoru.hirose@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp