

Classical invariants associated with graphical data

Rebecca Bourn, William Q. Erickson, and Jeb F. Willenbring

Abstract

An enumeration of unlabeled finite graphs is clearly out of reach from a combinatorial point of view. In this article we present a very closely related problem. Let G be a group acting on a finite-dimensional vector space. One may then consider the space P of complex-valued polynomial functions on the vector space. The group then acts linearly on P . A very difficult problem is to parametrize the G -orbits in P . At least for the closed G -orbits, one may hope to use G -invariant polynomial functions on P to separate orbits, which sometimes is impossible. For classical groups, however, more can be said.

Our main result is a concrete algorithm for writing down the invariant functions on P . Unlike the typical case in classical invariant theory, the invariant algebra in this case is not Noetherian, and therefore we impose a bigradation which allows a combinatorial approach. Specifically, our algorithm gives a graded correspondence between the set of graphs of a certain type (depending on the classical group G) and a spanning set for the invariant algebra. We provide Mathematica code to implement our algorithm. We also give formulas expressing the dimension of each graded component in terms of branching multiplicities from the general linear group to the symmetric group.

1 Introduction

The motivation for this paper began, in part, with the problem of writing down invariant functions on the space of polynomial-coefficient differential operators (i.e., the n th Weyl algebra), under the action of the general linear group. While the goal seems modest, the combinatorial complexity of the answer is certainly not. The result involves a correspondence between these invariants and unlabeled directed graphs. In retrospect, it became evident that the linear change of variables for the Weyl algebra had an analogue for the other classical groups.

The reader may understandably suspect that very similar work exists in the literature of the last two centuries in classical invariant theory. Gauss, for example, studied the discriminants of binary forms (see Table 5) in his *Disquisitiones* [Gau86]. Beginning in the 1840s, the English school of Boole, Cayley, and Sylvester focused on systematically tabulating the invariants of binary and ternary forms; meanwhile, the German school of Aronhold, Clebsch, and Gordan approached the same problem via the “symbolic” or “umbral” method. (For the first work in English to adopt the symbolic method, see the 1903 volume by Grace and Young [GY10].) This symbolic method is a special case of the methods in this paper; see our remark before Section 3.1. Whereas Hilbert [Hil93] solved the problem of finite generation for the algebra of invariants, we address the problem of writing down a linear spanning set for each graded component.

Following the recent writings of Procesi [Pro07], we symmetrize the fundamental theorems of classical invariant theory, which allows us to introduce graphical data. In this way, we line up the earlier work of Weyl [Wey39] with the modern recapitulations by Howe [How95] and by Goodman and Wallach [GW09]. Of course, graphical methods in invariant theory are not new: Olver [Olv99, Chapter 7] improves upon Sylvester’s “chemico-algebraic” theory (summarized in [GY10, p. 366]), by associating covariants on binary forms with directed graphs obeying certain syzygies. Olver’s graphs turn out to be a special case of those in this paper, when the classical group is $\mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and when the graphs are regular. Our presentation is in the spirit of Sturmfels [Stu08], an expert in the algorithmic and computational approach to invariant theory. Indeed, by representing graphs via (possibly sparse) adjacency matrices, we present concrete algorithms

which output classical invariants, including the case of linear change of coordinates in partial differential equations. The product of this paper, in a practical sense, is the Mathematica code in the appendix, which implements our main result (Algorithm 3.1).

Classically, the object of study was $S^d(S^m(\mathbb{C}^2))$ under the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. It becomes natural to look at $S(S^m(\mathbb{C}^2))$, thereby summing out the dependence on d . (See [How88].) One can go further, however, and sum out the dependence on m as well; this is our perspective in this paper, for arbitrary rank and classical group. Although $S(S(\mathbb{C}^n))$ is no longer Noetherian, we impose a bigradation that allows us to use combinatorial methods.

Throughout the paper, we let $M_{nd} := M_{nd}(\mathbb{C})$ denote the space of complex $n \times d$ matrices, with $M_n := M_{nn}$. By the (complex) **classical groups**, we mean

- the **general linear group** $\mathrm{GL}_n := \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{g \in M_n \mid \det g \neq 0\}$;
- the **orthogonal group** $O_n := \mathrm{O}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n \mid g^T g = I\}$;
- the **symplectic group** $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n} := \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n} \mid g^T J g = J\}$, where $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Said a different way, O_n preserves the symmetric bilinear form B on \mathbb{C}^n given by $B(v, w) = v^T w$; meanwhile, Sp_{2n} preserves the skew-symmetric bilinear form Ω on \mathbb{C}^{2n} given by $\Omega(v, w) = v^T J w$. In the context of each classical group, we will write V to denote its defining representation, on which it acts naturally by matrix multiplication: hence for GL_n and O_n we have $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, while for Sp_{2n} we have $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

For $G = O_n$ or Sp_{2n} (respectively, GL_n), we let Ψ denote the space of polynomial functions on V (respectively, $V \oplus V^*$). Then Ψ is a representation of G in the natural way, via $g \cdot \psi(v) = \psi(g^{-1}v)$, for $g \in G$, $\psi \in \Psi$, and $v \in V$ or $V \oplus V^*$. This, in turn, induces a representation of G on $\mathcal{P}(\Psi)$, the space of polynomial functions on Ψ , again via $g \cdot f(\psi) = f(g^{-1} \cdot \psi)$ for $f \in \mathcal{P}(\Psi)$. Our goal is to write down an explicit spanning set for each graded component of the **invariant subalgebra**

$$\mathcal{P}(\Psi)^G := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{P}(\Psi) \mid g \cdot f = f \text{ for all } g \in G \right\}.$$

Our main result is Algorithm 3.1, which takes as its input a certain type of graph, and outputs a corresponding invariant in $\mathcal{P}(\Psi)^G$. (See Section 3.) When applied to the set of all such graphs with prescribed number of vertices and edges, the invariants produced by the algorithm furnish a spanning set for each graded component of $\mathcal{P}(\Psi)^G$, and inside a certain stable range, this set is in fact a basis. We provide a wide array of examples in Section 4, along with Mathematica code in the appendix. As another main result of this paper, in Section 5 we express the Hilbert series of $\mathcal{P}(\Psi)^G$ in terms of branching multiplicities from GL_d to its subgroup \mathfrak{S}_d of permutation matrices.

2 Notation

Let x_i denote the linear functional on V dual to the i th standard basis vector. We adopt the convention $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. A Greek letter $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ will denote a multi-index corresponding to an exponent vector. We will use these to pick out coefficients; our desired invariants will then be polynomials in these coefficients. We organize the details below, which vary slightly for each of the three classical groups:

- For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$:
 - We have $\Psi = \mathcal{P}(V \oplus V^*) \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n]$. We can regard ∂_i as the i th standard basis element of the double dual V^{**} , although the notation ∂_i is indeed meant as an abbreviation for the differential operator $\partial/\partial x_i$. (See Section 4 for the motivation from the Weyl algebra.)
 - For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we write $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\partial}^\beta := x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \partial_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_n^{\beta_n}$.
 - We choose the monomial basis $\{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\partial}^\beta \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ for Ψ .

- We define the linear functional $c_{\alpha,\beta} : \Psi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which takes the value 1 on the basis vector $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathfrak{d}^\beta$ and 0 on all other basis vectors.
- Hence we have $\mathcal{P}(\Psi) = \mathbb{C}[c_{\alpha,\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n}$.
- For $G = O_n$:
 - We have $\Psi \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.
 - We choose the monomial basis $\{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ for Ψ , where $\mathbf{x}^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$.
 - We define the linear functional $c_\alpha : \Psi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which takes the value 1 on the basis vector \mathbf{x}^α and 0 on all other basis vectors.
 - Hence we have $\mathcal{P}(\Psi) = \mathbb{C}[c_\alpha]_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$.
- For $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$:
 - We have $\Psi \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{2n}]$.
 - We choose the monomial basis $\{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}\}$ for Ψ .
 - We define the linear functional $c_\alpha : \Psi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, just as for O_n above.
 - Hence we have $\mathcal{P}(\Psi) = \mathbb{C}[c_\alpha]_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}}$.

The span of the c 's is the graded dual $\Psi^\circ := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \Psi_i^*$, where Ψ_i is the i th graded component of Ψ with respect to polynomial degree. Note that Ψ° is not the same as the full dual space Ψ^* , which includes infinite linear combinations of the c 's. The “ c ” notation stands for “coefficient,” since the c 's extract the coefficient of the corresponding monomial. Hence our desired invariants will be polynomials in these c 's, i.e., elements of $\mathcal{P}(\Psi) \cong S(\Psi^\circ)$. As is customary in the literature, we define scaled coefficients \widehat{c} as follows, writing $\alpha! := \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!$:

$$\widehat{c}_{\alpha,\beta} := \alpha! \beta! c_{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{c}_\alpha := \alpha! c_\alpha.$$

In order to obtain finite-dimensional graded components, we will impose a bigradation on $\mathcal{P}(\Psi)$. First is the natural gradation by **degree**; hence $\mathcal{P}^d(\Psi)$ is the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree d in the c 's. The second gradation is by **weight**. To make this clear, we write $|\alpha| := \sum_i \alpha_i$; then we define weight for any monomial in the c 's:

- The **weight** of $c_{\alpha,\beta} \cdots c_{\psi,\omega}$ equals $\frac{1}{2}(|\alpha| + |\beta| + \cdots + |\psi| + |\omega|)$.
- The **weight** of $c_\alpha \cdots c_\omega$ equals $\frac{1}{2}(|\alpha| + \cdots + |\omega|)$.

Now we can define $\mathcal{P}_k(\Psi)$ to be the space of polynomials that are homogeneous of weight k . Finally, we set $\mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi) := \mathcal{P}^d(\Psi) \cap \mathcal{P}_k(\Psi)$.

3 Main result: the algorithm for each classical group

Our main result is the following algorithm, which takes the same form for all three classical groups. We present it here, and then afterward list the specifics for each group (reserving the proofs for Section 6). By way of preview, to each classical group G we will associate a type of graph (e.g., directed or undirected), a polynomial ring R , certain quadratics $r_{ij} \in R$, and a G -equivariant linear operator $\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^d(\Psi)$. We follow [Stu08, p. 174] in calling φ the **umbral operator**.

Algorithm 3.1 (for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{O}_n,$ or Sp_{2n}).

Input: Natural numbers n, d, k .

Output: A spanning set for $\mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G$.

1. Let \mathcal{G}_k^d be the set of graphs (of type determined by G) with d vertices and k edges. For each graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d$, choose a vertex labeling and let A^Γ be its adjacency matrix.
2. For each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d$, compute $s(\Gamma) := \prod_{i,j} r_{ij}^{A_{ij}^\Gamma}$, where the definition of r_{ij} depends on G .
3. The set $\left\{ \varphi \circ s(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d \right\}$ is a spanning set for $\mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G$. If $d \leq n$, then it is a basis.

Remark. In Step 2, the expression $s(\Gamma)$ is the **symbolic notation** for a G -invariant function, in the sense of 19th-century classical invariant theory; hence our “ s ” notation. See [Stu08, p. 173] or [Olv99, Chapter 6] for discussions of the symbolic method. In Step 3, the umbral operator φ then translates the symbolic notation for an invariant into an explicit expression in terms of coefficients.

For each of the classical groups, we will now describe the particular set \mathcal{G}_k^d of graphs, polynomial ring R , quadratics r_{ij} , and umbral operator φ . Unless stated otherwise, we allow a **graph** to contain loops and multiple edges.

3.1 Details: the general linear group

For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, we have the following:

1. \mathcal{G}_k^d is the set of *directed* graphs with d vertices and k edges, up to isomorphism.
2. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x_{11}, \dots, x_{nd}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{nd}]$. Define the quadratics

$$r_{ij} := \sum_{\ell=1}^n y_{\ell i} x_{\ell j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d. \quad (3.1)$$

3. Define the **umbral operator** $\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^d(\Psi)$ by

$$\varphi : \prod_{i,j} x_{ij}^{p_{ij}} y_{ij}^{q_{ij}} \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^d \widehat{c}_{p_{\bullet j}, q_{\bullet j}} \quad (3.2)$$

where $p_{\bullet j} = (p_{1j}, \dots, p_{nj})$ and $q_{\bullet j} = (q_{1j}, \dots, q_{nj})$, and extend by linearity.

Remark. If we let ϵ_i denote the n -tuple with 1 in the i th component and 0’s elsewhere, then we have

$$p_{\bullet j} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{ij} \epsilon_i \quad \text{and} \quad q_{\bullet j} = \sum_{i=1}^n q_{ij} \epsilon_i.$$

In this way we could rewrite (3.2) so that the first index i does not seem to disappear in the right-hand side.

3.2 Details: the orthogonal group

For $G = \mathrm{O}_n$, we have the following:

1. \mathcal{G}_k^d is the set of *undirected* graphs with d vertices and k edges, up to isomorphism.
2. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x_{11}, \dots, x_{nd}]$. Define the quadratics

$$r_{ij} := \sum_{\ell=1}^n x_{\ell i} x_{\ell j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq d. \quad (3.3)$$

3. Define the **umbral operator** $\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^d(\Psi)$ by

$$\varphi : \prod_{i,j} x_{ij}^{p_{ij}} \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^d \widehat{c}_{p_{\bullet j}} \quad (3.4)$$

where $p_{\bullet j} = (p_{1j}, \dots, p_{nj})$, and extend by linearity.

3.3 Details: the symplectic group

For $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$, the graphs in \mathcal{G}_k^d are more delicate to define; they form a proper subset of \mathcal{G}_k^d from the O_n case above.

Let Γ be an (undirected) graph with d vertices and k edges, and no loops. Arbitrarily choose vertex labels $1, \dots, d$; then each element σ of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_d determines another vertex labeling, by permuting the original labels. Call this labeled graph $\sigma\Gamma$, and define the skew-symmetric matrix $A^{\sigma\Gamma}$ so that its upper-triangular entries correspond with those in the adjacency matrix of $\sigma\Gamma$. Recall that an **inversion** of a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$ is an ordered pair (i, j) such that $i < j$ and $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$. We now define

$$\mathrm{inv}(\Gamma, \sigma) := \# \left\{ \text{edge } \{i, j\} \text{ of } 1\Gamma \mid (i, j) \text{ is an inversion of } \sigma \right\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Finally, we define the following set of graphs for the case $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$:

$$\mathcal{G}_k^d := \left\{ \Gamma \text{ a loopless graph with } d \text{ vertices and } k \text{ edges} \mid A^{1\Gamma} = A^{\sigma\Gamma} \implies \mathrm{inv}(\Gamma, \sigma) \text{ is even} \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$


This set is independent of the choice of initial vertex labels, since \mathfrak{S}_d acts transitively on vertex labelings.

Example 3.2. For $d = 1$, by definition we have $\mathcal{G}_k^1 = \emptyset$. For $d = 2$, we have

$$\#\mathcal{G}_k^2 = \begin{cases} 0, & k \text{ odd,} \\ 1, & k \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

After all, the only possible graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^2$ consists of two vertices connected by k edges. Upon choosing vertex labels 1 and 2, so that each edge is a copy of $\{1, 2\}$, we have $A^{1\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k \\ -k & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Letting σ be the nontrivial element of \mathfrak{S}_2 , we find that $A^{\sigma\Gamma} = A^{1\Gamma}$. Since the only inversion of σ is $(1, 2)$, we have $\mathrm{inv}(\Gamma, \sigma) = k$. Hence by (3.6), if k is odd, then Γ is not in fact an element of \mathcal{G}_k^2 , which thus must be empty.

Example 3.3. Let $d = 3$. We list the elements of \mathcal{G}_k^3 for the first few values of k :



Having described the set \mathcal{G}_k^d , we now present the details in Algorithm 3.1 for $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}$:

1. \mathcal{G}_k^d is the set of graphs defined in (3.6).
2. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x_{11}, \dots, x_{2n,d}]$. Define the quadratics

$$r_{ij} := \sum_{\ell=1}^n x_{\ell i} x_{\ell+n, j} - x_{\ell+n, i} x_{\ell j}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq d. \quad (3.7)$$

3. Define the **umbral operator** $\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^d(\Psi)$ by

$$\varphi : \prod_{i,j} x_{ij}^{p_{ij}} \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^d \widehat{c}_{p_{\bullet, j}}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $p_{\bullet, j} = (p_{1j}, \dots, p_{2n, j})$, and extend by linearity.

Remark. The condition in (3.6) can also be understood in terms of *directed* graphs (digraphs). If we regard Γ as the underlying graph of a digraph, then $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d$ if and only if it is impossible to reverse an odd number of edges while preserving the isomorphism class of the digraph. This is because the upper-triangular entries in $A^{\sigma\Gamma}$ can be regarded as the adjacency matrix for the unique digraph (1) with underlying graph Γ and (2) *topologically ordered* with respect to the vertex labeling determined by σ (i.e., all edges are of the form (i, j) where $i < j$). Thus $A^{1\Gamma} = A^{\sigma\Gamma}$ if and only if 1Γ and $\sigma\Gamma$ are isomorphic as digraphs. Moreover, $\text{inv}(\Gamma, \sigma)$ is just the number of edges in the digraph for 1Γ which must be reversed to obtain the digraph for $\sigma\Gamma$. Compare this to the graph syzygy that Olver calls “Rule #1” in [Olv99, p. 134]. Effectively, reversing a single edge “negates” a graph, as does reversing an odd number of edges; hence any graph which equals its own negation must be 0. Note that this condition automatically rules out loops.

In the appendix, we include Mathematica code for implementing Algorithm 3.1. We have used this code to compute the examples in the following section.

4 Examples

4.1 The general linear group

For GL_n , we have $\Psi = \mathcal{P}(V \oplus V^*)$, which is isomorphic (as a GL_n -module) to the associated graded algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n]$ of the **Weyl algebra**, i.e., the algebra of polynomial-coefficient differential operators on $\mathcal{P}(V)$. In this context, x_i is the operator that multiplies by x_i , and ∂_i is the differential operator $\partial/\partial x_i$. (Of course, the Weyl algebra itself is not commutative, since $\partial_i x_i = x_i \partial_i + 1$, but here we are interested only in the G -module structure.) Explicitly, $\mathrm{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cong V^*$ and $\mathrm{span}\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\} \cong V$ as GL_n -modules. (See the detailed exposition in [Pro07, p. 39].) Thus Ψ is of interest because the GL_n -orbits are precisely the equivalence classes of linear PDE's under linear change of coordinates. In turn, the invariants $\mathcal{P}(\Psi)^{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ are those functions constant on the orbits. (They do not separate the orbits, however: if the closures of two orbits intersect, then any invariant function is constant on the union of those orbits.)

Example 4.1 ($d = k = 1$). Let Γ be the digraph consisting of a single loop on a single vertex; then we can use Algorithm 3.1 to compute the invariant $\varphi \circ s(\Gamma)$ by hand. Clearly $A^\Gamma = [1]$, and so $s(\Gamma) = r_{11} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n y_{\ell 1} x_{\ell 1}$. We have $\varphi(x_{\ell 1} y_{\ell 1}) = \hat{c}_{\epsilon_\ell, \epsilon_\ell}$, where ϵ_ℓ denotes the n -tuple whose ℓ th component is 1 with 0's elsewhere. Summing these up, we obtain the invariant $\sum_{\ell=1}^n c_{\epsilon_\ell, \epsilon_\ell}$. (Note that in this case, the factorials are all 1! so that \hat{c} is no different than c .) To make this more transparent, we write $[\mathbf{x}^\alpha \partial^\beta]$ in place of $c_{\alpha, \beta}$, and obtain the invariant $[x_1 \partial_1] + \dots + [x_n \partial_n]$.

Example 4.2 ($n = 1$). When $G = \mathrm{GL}_1$, we can suppress subscripts and write the Weyl algebra as $\Psi = \mathbb{C}[x, \partial]$. We will write $[x^a \partial^b]$ in place of $c_{\alpha, \beta}$, where $\alpha = (a)$ and $\beta = (b)$. We can also suppress the first index in R , so that $r_{ij} := y_i x_j$. Supposing $1 \leq i < I \leq d$ and $1 \leq j < J \leq d$, we have the relation

$$r_{ij} r_{IJ} = (y_i x_j)(y_I x_J) = (y_i x_J)(y_I x_j) = r_{iJ} r_{Ij}.$$

(These relations $r_{ij} r_{IJ} - r_{iJ} r_{Ij} = 0$ generate the determinantal ideal defining $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{M}_d^{\leq 1})$; see Section 6 for details.) Consequently, $\varphi \circ s(\Gamma)$ is invariant under the operation of switching the targets of a pair of directed edges. This allows us to easily characterize all linear and quadratic invariants in Table 1, where the labels indicate the number of directed edges.

Γ	A^Γ	$\varphi \circ s(\Gamma)$
$k \text{ } \bullet \text{ } \curvearrowright$	$[k]$	$[x^k \partial^k]$
$a \text{ } \bullet \text{ } \xrightarrow{b} \bullet \text{ } \curvearrowright \text{ } c$	$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$	$[x^a \partial^{a+b}][x^{b+c} \partial^c]$

Table 1: Linear and quadratic GL_1 -invariants on $\mathbb{C}[x, \partial]$, up to a constant multiple.

Example 4.3 ($n = 2$). In this example we will compute a basis for $\mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ in the case that $n = d = k = 2$. Since $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$, we write x and y in place of x_1 and x_2 , so that $\Psi = \mathbb{C}[x, y, \partial_x, \partial_y]$. As in the previous example, we write coefficients as $[x^a y^b \partial_x^c \partial_y^d]$ rather than $c_{(a,b),(c,d)}$.

There are six directed graphs (up to isomorphism) with two vertices and two edges; hence $\#\mathcal{G}_2^2 = 6 = \dim \mathcal{P}_2^2(\Psi)^{\mathrm{GL}_2}$, since we are in the stable range where $d \leq n$. In Table 2 we display each of these graphs, its adjacency matrix (up to permutation of rows and columns), and its corresponding basis element in $\mathcal{P}_2^2(\Psi)^{\mathrm{GL}_2}$.

Remark. We observe a general phenomenon from Tables 1 and 2, which follows directly from the definition of the umbral operator in (3.2). Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d$, and choose an adjacency matrix A^Γ for any vertex labeling. Then in each term

$$c_{\alpha^1, \beta^1} \cdots c_{\alpha^d, \beta^d} \quad (\alpha^i, \beta^i \in \mathbb{N}^n)$$







$\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_2^2$	A^Γ	$\varphi \circ s(\Gamma)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$[x\partial_x]^2 + 2[x\partial_x][y\partial_y] + [y\partial_y]^2$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$[1] \cdot (4[x^2\partial_x^2] + 2[xy\partial_x\partial_y] + 4[y^2\partial_y^2])$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$4[x^2][\partial_x^2] + 2[xy][\partial_x\partial_y] + 4[y^2][\partial_y^2]$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$[x\partial_x]^2 + 2[x\partial_y][y\partial_x] + [y\partial_y]^2$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$2[x\partial_x^2][x] + [x\partial_x\partial_y][y] + [y\partial_x\partial_y][x] + 2[y\partial_y^2][y]$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$2[x^2\partial_x][\partial_x] + [xy\partial_x][\partial_y] + [xy\partial_y][\partial_x] + 2[y^2\partial_y][\partial_y]$

Table 2: A basis for $\mathcal{P}_2^2(\Psi)^{\text{GL}_2}$, computed using Algorithm 3.1.

of the corresponding invariant $\varphi \circ s(\Gamma)$, the d factors c_{α^i, β^i} can be rearranged so that

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha^i| &= i\text{th column sum of } A^\Gamma, \\ |\beta^i| &= i\text{th row sum of } A^\Gamma \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

simultaneously for each $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Now recall that an element $\psi \in \Psi$ is a **vector field** if it takes the form

$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}) \partial_i$$

with each $f_i(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$. In this case, $c_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi) \neq 0$ implies that $|\beta| = 1$. By the observation (4.1), then, $\varphi \circ s(\Gamma)$ vanishes on ψ unless A^Γ contains exactly one 1 in each row, with 0's elsewhere; equivalently, every vertex in Γ has out-degree 1. For fixed d , each such digraph can be regarded naturally as an equivalence class of a **finite dynamical system** on a d -element set.

Example 4.4 (Quadratic invariants on two-dimensional vector fields). Let $G = \text{GL}_2$, and define the vector field

$$\psi = (x^2 + y^2 + 2xy + 2x + 2y + 1) \partial_x + (x^2 + y^2 - 2xy + 4x - 4y + 4) \partial_y,$$

which (since all coefficients are real) we depict in Figure 1a. Let $g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in G$. Then

$$g \cdot \psi = -\left(\frac{1}{2}x^2 + 2y^2 + 2xy + 4x + 8y + 8\right) \partial_x + \left(\frac{1}{4}x^2 + y^2 - xy - x + 2y + 1\right) \partial_y,$$

which we depict in Figure 1b. We will compute the quadratic G -invariant functions on both ψ and $g \cdot \psi$. The only graphs in Table 2 that correspond to nonvanishing invariants on ψ are the finite dynamical systems, presented in rows 1, 4, and 5. We compute these invariants in Table 3.




Figure 1: The vector fields from Example 4.4.




Finite dynamical system Γ ; let $I = \varphi \circ s(\Gamma)$	$I(\psi)$	$I(g \cdot \psi)$
	4	4
	36	36
	16	16

Table 3: Nonvanishing quadratic invariants on the vector fields ψ and $g \cdot \psi$ from Example 4.4.

4.2 The orthogonal group

Example 4.5. We consider the familiar example of conic sections in \mathbb{R}^2 . The general form of a conic section is

$$Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2 + Dx + Ey + F = 0,$$

where $A, \dots, F \in \mathbb{R}$. (We remark that O_n is defined over \mathbb{R} , and its real points constitute the subgroup $O(n, \mathbb{R})$.) In Table 4, we list the well-known invariants on conic sections, under the action of $O(2, \mathbb{R})$; the right-hand column presents the corresponding graphs from Algorithm 3.1, where $G = O_2$ is the complexification of $O(2, \mathbb{R})$.

4.3 The symplectic group

Let $n = 1$. In this case, since $G = \text{Sp}_2 \cong \text{SL}_2$, our Algorithm 3.1 actually finds SL_2 -invariants on $\Psi \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Whereas classical invariant theory treated SL_2 -invariants on binary m -forms, i.e., on the space $S^m(\mathbb{C}^2)$ spanned by $\{x^m, x^{m-1}y, \dots, xy^{m-1}, y^m\}$, our approach allows us to write down the SL_2 -invariants on the direct sum of all these spaces, namely the entire polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x, y] \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} S^m(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

In [OS89], and subsequently in [Olv99, Chapter 7], Olver describes a graphical method for invariants (and more generally, covariants) of binary forms, which can be regarded as a special case of our Algorithm 3.1. Specifically, when $G = \text{Sp}_2$ and Γ is m -regular (i.e., every vertex has degree m), our corresponding invariant $\varphi \circ s(\Gamma)$ restricts to an invariant on the space of binary m -forms, which coincides (up to a constant multiple)










Classical invariant	Corresponding graph
F	
$A + C$	$\frac{1}{2}$ 
$D^2 + E^2$	
$B^2 - 4AC$	$\frac{1}{2}$ [ - ]
$I := \begin{vmatrix} A & B/2 & D/2 \\ B/2 & C & E/2 \\ D/2 & E/2 & F \end{vmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8!}$ [ +  -  - ]
$(\text{eccentricity})^2$	$\frac{2\sqrt{2} \text{ - }}{\text{sgn } I \text{ + \sqrt{2} \text{ - }}}$

Table 4: Invariants of conic sections under the action of $O(2, \mathbb{R})$.





Binary form	Classical invariant	Graph	Scale factor
Quadric ($m = 2$)	$\Delta_2 = B^2 - 4AC$		-2
Cubic ($m = 3$)	$\Delta_3 = B^2C^2 - 4AC^3 - 4B^3D + 18ABCD - 27A^2D^2$		96
Quartic ($m = 4$)	$i = AE - \frac{1}{4}BD + \frac{1}{12}C^2$		1152
	$j = \begin{vmatrix} A & B/4 & C/6 \\ B/4 & C/6 & D/4 \\ C/6 & D/4 & E \end{vmatrix}$		82944

Table 5: Fundamental invariants of the binary quadric, cubic, and quartic.

with the invariant obtained from the same graph in Olver's method. (Although Olver's graphs are directed, reversing an arrow just multiplies the corresponding covariant by -1 ; therefore we lose nothing by our use of undirected graphs in \mathcal{G}_k^d . See the remark at the end of Section 3.3.)


Remark. In the $n = 1$ case, our quadratic $r_{ij} = x_1x_2j - x_2ix_1j$ is just the determinant of the minor corresponding to columns i and j of a $2 \times d$ matrix, i.e., a Plücker coordinate. In classical invariant theory, this determinant is often denoted by $[ij]$, or more commonly by bracketed Greek letters, and historically has been named a **symbolical determinantal factor** [GY10], a **bracket factor** [Wey39, Olv99], or a **homogenized root** [KR84].

Example 4.6 (Invariants of binary forms). In Table 5, we list the well-known fundamental invariants of the binary quadric, cubic, and quartic ($m = 2, 3, 4$), along with their corresponding graphs from Algorithm 3.1, up to a scale factor. In place of our c notation, we write the coefficients as capital letters A, B, \dots in descending order of degree in x . The symbol Δ_m denotes the discriminant of the binary m -form. In the case of the quartic, we follow [Olv99, p. 29] in denoting the two fundamental invariants¹ by i and j ; then the discriminant is $\Delta_4 = i^3 - 27j^2$. Graphically, the product of invariants corresponds to the union of their corresponding graphs. Note that the graphs in Table 5 are indeed m -regular, with the number of vertices giving the degree of the invariant in the coefficients.

Example 4.7. In Olver's method, for fixed m , a graph that is not m -regular corresponds to a *covariant*

¹In [GY10, p. 205], these invariants are denoted by I and J , while their multiples are written as $i := 2I$ and $j := 6J$.

on binary m -forms (i.e., an SL_2 -invariant polynomial in not just the coefficients of the form, but also in the variables x and y). For us, however, *all* graphs correspond to true invariants on $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Consequently, certain syzygies among Olver’s graphs do not carry over into our graphs, specifically Olver’s “Rule #2”; see illustration (7.3) in [Olv99, p. 135]. As a specific example, take the following graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_4^3$, which for Olver in [Olv99, p. 135] corresponds to the zero covariant on a binary form of degree $m \geq 3$:



For us, however, Γ corresponds to a nontrivial degree-3 invariant on $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$:

$$\varphi \circ s(\Gamma) = 16c_{12}^2c_{20} - 8c_{11}c_{12}c_{21} - 48c_{03}c_{20}c_{21} + 16c_{02}c_{21}^2 + 72c_{03}c_{11}c_{30} - 48c_{02}c_{12}c_{30},$$

where we have suppressed the parentheses around the ordered pairs in the subscripts. Observe that the vertices of Γ have degrees 2, 3, and 3; this is reflected in the fact that each term in the corresponding invariant takes the form $c_\alpha c_\beta c_\gamma$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^2$ and

$$|\alpha| = 2, \quad |\beta| = 3, \quad |\gamma| = 3.$$

5 Branching multiplicities

A **polynomial representation** of GL_d is a group homomorphism $\rho : \mathrm{GL}_d \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m$ for some m , such that the matrix coordinates $\rho(g)_{ij}$ are polynomials in the entries of $g \in \mathrm{GL}_d$. (As is typical, we will also use the term “representation” for the complex m -dimensional vector space on which $\rho(\mathrm{GL}_n) \subset \mathrm{GL}_m$ acts.) By the theorem of the highest weight, the irreducible polynomial representations of GL_d are indexed by the partitions λ such that $\ell(\lambda) \leq d$. We write F_d^λ for the irreducible representation of GL_d with highest weight λ .

A **partition** is a finite, weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers (**parts**), typically denoted by a lowercase Greek letter. If d is the sum of the parts of λ , then we write $\lambda \vdash d$. The number of parts of λ is called its **length**, denoted by $\ell(\lambda)$. We write (d) to denote the length-1 partition of size d . We also define the set

$$\mathrm{Par}(k, m) := \left\{ \lambda \mid \lambda \vdash k \text{ and } \ell(\lambda) \leq m \right\}.$$

We will write 2λ to denote the partition whose parts are double those of λ ; for example, if $\lambda = (4, 3, 3, 1)$, then $2\lambda = (8, 6, 6, 2)$. We will also speak of the **columns** of a partition, by which we mean the columns of its associated Young diagram. In particular, when we describe a partition as having even column lengths, we mean that it takes the form $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda_n)$, where each part is duplicated.

Consider the restriction of GL_d to its subgroup \mathfrak{S}_d , realized as permutation matrices. If $\mu \vdash d$, we write Y_d^μ for the irreducible representation of \mathfrak{S}_d which corresponds to F_d^μ via Schur–Weyl duality. Upon restriction to \mathfrak{S}_d , the representation F_d^λ decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible representations Y_d^μ ; we write

$$b_\mu^\lambda := \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_d}(Y_d^\mu, F_d^\lambda) \tag{5.1}$$

to denote the **branching multiplicity** of Y_d^μ in F_d^λ . Hence we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\mathfrak{S}_d}^{\mathrm{GL}_d} F_d^\lambda \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash d} b_\mu^\lambda Y_d^\mu. \tag{5.2}$$

Our main result in this section is an expression for $\dim \mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G$ in terms of these branching multiplicities.

Theorem 5.1. *Let b_μ^λ be as in (5.1). Then we have the following:*

1. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$. Then $\dim \mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} (b_\mu^\lambda)^2$, where $\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}(k, \min\{d, n\})$ and $\mu \vdash d$.
2. Let $G = \mathrm{O}_n$. Then $\dim \mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G = \sum_{\lambda} b_{(d)}^\lambda$, where $\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}(k, \min\{d, n\})$.
3. Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$. Then $\dim \mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G = \sum_{\lambda} b_{(d)}^\lambda$, where $\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}(2k, \min\{d, 2n\})$ with even column lengths.

We reserve the proof for the end of Section 6, since it refers to the proof of Algorithm 3.1.

Remark. The expressions in Theorem 5.1 can be regarded as coefficients of the bivariate Hilbert series of $\mathcal{P}(\Psi)^G$, namely $H_n(q, t) = \sum_{d, k=0}^{\infty} \dim \mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G q^d t^k$.

Remark. In [Sta99, Exercise 7.74], the branching multiplicity b_μ^λ is interpreted as the multiplicity of F_n^λ in $F^\mu(S(V))$, where F^μ is the Schur functor. See also [HSW21] for an elegant proof using seesaw reciprocity. It is possible to prove all three parts of Theorem 5.1 with this approach, by directly decomposing $\mathcal{P}^d(\Psi)$ and then restricting to the G -invariant subspace.

6 Proofs

The proof of Step 3 in Algorithm 3.1 depends upon the FFT (first fundamental theorem) and SFT (second fundamental theorem) of invariant theory for the classical groups. If we view R as the G -module $\mathcal{P}(V^{\oplus d})$ — or as $\mathcal{P}(V^{\oplus d} \oplus (V^*)^{\oplus d})$ in the case where $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ — then the FFT states that the invariant subalgebra R^G is generated by the quadratics r_{ij} , while the SFT identifies the syzygies among the r_{ij} as generators of a certain determinantal ideal. Together, the FFT and SFT furnish an algebra isomorphism μ^\sharp , which we will ultimately connect to the graphs in Algorithm 3.1. We will use the notation $\mathrm{SM}_d \subset \mathrm{M}_d$ for the subspace of symmetric matrices, and $\mathrm{AM}_d \subset \mathrm{M}_d$ for the subspace of skew-symmetric matrices; we let z_{ij} denote the natural coordinate functions on M_d . Furthermore, we define the **determinantal varieties**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{M}_d^{\leq n} &:= \left\{ X \in \mathrm{M}_d \mid \mathrm{rank} X \leq n \right\}, \\ \mathrm{SM}_d^{\leq n} &:= \left\{ X \in \mathrm{SM}_d \mid \mathrm{rank} X \leq n \right\}, \\ \mathrm{AM}_d^{\leq n} &:= \left\{ X \in \mathrm{AM}_d \mid \mathrm{rank} X \leq n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.1 (Consequence of the FFT and SFT for the classical groups).

1. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, with R and r_{ij} as in (3.1). Then we have the following isomorphism of algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\sharp : \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{M}_d^{\leq n}) &\longrightarrow R^G, \\ z_{ij} &\longmapsto r_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

2. Let $G = \mathrm{O}_n$, with R and r_{ij} as in (3.3). Then we have the following isomorphism of algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\sharp : \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{SM}_d^{\leq n}) &\longrightarrow R^G, \\ z_{ij} &\longmapsto r_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

3. Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$, with R and r_{ij} as in (3.7). Then we have the following isomorphism of algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\sharp : \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{AM}_d^{\leq n}) &\longrightarrow R^G, \\ z_{ij} &\longmapsto r_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Remark. For nonexperts, we show the invariance of the quadratics r_{ij} for each classical group:

1. For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, a group element $g \in G$ acts on a column vector $v \in V$ by matrix multiplication: $g \cdot v = gv$. A covector $v^* \in V^*$ can be regarded as a row vector, where the G -action is by multiplication on the right by the inverse: $g \cdot v^* = v^* g^{-1}$. Hence the natural pairing $\langle v^*, v \rangle = v^* v$ is invariant under the G -action, since $\langle g \cdot v^*, g \cdot v \rangle = v^* g^{-1} g v = v^* v = \langle v^*, v \rangle$. Now let $(v_1, \dots, v_d, v_1^*, \dots, v_d^*) \in V^{\oplus d} \oplus (V^*)^{\oplus d}$. Assigning the coordinate function $x_{\ell j}$ to the ℓ th component of v_j , and $y_{\ell i}$ to the ℓ th component of v_i^* , we have $\langle v_i^*, v_j \rangle = \sum_{\ell=1}^n y_{\ell i} x_{\ell j} = r_{ij}$, as defined in (3.1). Hence the r_{ij} are indeed G -invariant.
2. Recall that the group $G = \mathrm{O}_n$ is defined by preserving the usual dot product on V given by $B(v, w) = v^T w$: hence by definition, we have $B(gv, gw) = B(v, w)$. Now let $(v_1, \dots, v_d) \in V^{\oplus d}$. Assigning the coordinate function $x_{\ell i}$ to the ℓ th component of v_i , we have $B(v_i, v_j) = v_i^T v_j = \sum_{\ell=1}^n x_{\ell i} x_{\ell j} = r_{ij}$, as defined in (3.3). Hence the r_{ij} are indeed G -invariant.
3. Likewise, recall that the group $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ is defined by preserving the skew-symmetric bilinear form on V given by $\Omega(v, w) = v^T J w$, where $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Hence by definition, we have $\Omega(gv, gw) = \Omega(v, w)$. Now let $(v_1, \dots, v_d) \in V^{\oplus d}$. Assigning coordinate functions x_{ij} as above, we have $\Omega(v_i, v_j) = v_i^T v_j = \sum_{\ell=1}^n v_{\ell i} v_{\ell+n, j} - v_{\ell+n, i} v_{\ell j} = r_{ij}$, as defined in (3.7).

Our main proof will appeal to the graded isomorphism of G -modules $\Psi \cong \Psi^\circ$ given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\partial}^\beta \mapsto \widehat{c}_{\alpha, \beta}, & G = \mathrm{GL}_n \\ \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mapsto \widehat{c}_\alpha, & G = \mathrm{O}_n \text{ or } \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}. \end{cases} \quad (6.4)$$

(See [Wal17, p. 117] and [Dol03, pp. 7–8].) From a different perspective, it is a standard fact (see [Dol03, Remark 1.2]) that the differential operator $\boldsymbol{\partial}^\alpha$ and the monomial \mathbf{x}^α transform dually under a classical group action; hence in each graded component, the isomorphism in (6.4) can equivalently be written as

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\partial}^\beta \mapsto \boldsymbol{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{x}^\beta, & G = \mathrm{GL}_n \\ \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mapsto \boldsymbol{\partial}^\alpha, & G = \mathrm{O}_n \text{ or } \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}. \end{cases}$$

Here we regard $\boldsymbol{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{x}^\beta$ as the operator acting on Ψ via $\boldsymbol{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{x}^\beta (\mathbf{x}^\gamma \boldsymbol{\partial}^\delta) = \boldsymbol{\partial}^\alpha (\mathbf{x}^\gamma) \cdot \boldsymbol{\partial}^\delta (\mathbf{x}^\beta)$. For example, let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$, and let $\Psi_{4,3} = \mathrm{span}\{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\partial}^\beta \mid |\alpha| = 4, |\beta| = 3\}$: then $\partial_1^3 \partial_2 x_1 x_2^2$ equals the functional $\widehat{c}_{(3,1), (1,2)}$, since it takes the value $3! \cdot 1! \cdot 1! \cdot 2!$ on the monomial $x_1^3 x_2 \partial_1 \partial_2^2 \in \Psi_{4,3}$, and takes the value 0 on all other monomials in $\Psi_{4,3}$.

We now prove the assertion in Step 3 of Algorithm 3.1 for each classical group. We will prove the GL_n case in full detail; then, since the O_n and Sp_{2n} cases admit an identical proof *mutatis mutandis*, we will simply make note of the differences.

6.1 Main proof: general linear group

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, with R and r_{ij} and φ as in (3.1) and (3.2). In this case \mathcal{G}_k^d is the set of directed graphs with d vertices and k edges, up to isomorphism. Recall that $\Psi = \mathcal{P}(V \oplus V^*)$. We need to prove the following:

Theorem 6.2. *The set $\{\varphi \circ s(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d\}$ is a spanning set for $\mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G$. If $d \leq n$, then it is a basis.*

We will break this into two lemmas. To begin, we view the space $R = \mathbb{C}[x_{11}, \dots, x_{nd}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{nd}] \cong \mathcal{P}(M_{nd} \oplus M_{nd}) \cong \mathcal{P}(V^{\oplus d} \oplus (V^*)^{\oplus d})$ as a G -module. Moreover, the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_d acts on $M_{nd} \oplus M_{nd}$ via simultaneous permutation of matrix columns in each summand, and this action extends to an action on R , via the rule $\sigma \cdot f(x_{ij}, y_{ij}) = f(x_{i, \sigma(j)}, y_{i, \sigma(j)})$, for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$ and $f \in R$. Note that $\sigma \cdot r_{ij} = r_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)}$. The symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_d also acts on M_d by simultaneous permutation of rows and columns (i.e., conjugation by permutation matrices). This extends to an \mathfrak{S}_d -action on $\mathcal{P}(M_d^{\leq n})$: explicitly, we have

$$\sigma \cdot z_{ij} = z_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)} \quad (6.5)$$

from which we see that the isomorphism $\mu^\sharp : \mathcal{P}(M_d^{\leq n}) \rightarrow R^G$ from (6.1) intertwines the \mathfrak{S}_d -action. Following [Stu08, p. 174], on any \mathfrak{S}_d -module we denote the **symmetrization** operator (i.e., the Reynolds operator for \mathfrak{S}_d) by the symbol $(\)^*$, defined below:

$$x^* := \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} \sigma \cdot x.$$

Lemma 6.3. *We have the four vector space isomorphisms in the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P}(M_d^{\leq n}) & \supseteq & \mathcal{P}(M_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d} & & \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \\ R^G & \supseteq & R^{G \times \mathfrak{S}_d} & \cong & \mathcal{P}^d(\Psi)^G \\ \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ R & \supseteq & R^{\mathfrak{S}_d} & \cong & \mathcal{P}^d(\Psi) \end{array} \quad (6.6)$$

Proof. The isomorphism in the upper-left corner is just μ^\sharp from (6.1), and by its \mathfrak{S}_d -equivariance it restricts to the isomorphism to its right, between the \mathfrak{S}_d -invariant subspaces. Hence we need only verify the two isomorphisms on the right-hand side of (6.6).

It is clear from its definition in (3.2) that $\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^d(\Psi)$ is \mathfrak{S}_d -invariant. In fact, its restriction to the subspace of \mathfrak{S}_d -invariants defines an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : R^{\mathfrak{S}_d} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^d(\Psi)$, which we can see by exhibiting its inverse: for $\alpha^1, \beta^1, \dots, \alpha^d, \beta^d \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{-1} : \prod_{j=1}^d \hat{c}_{\alpha^j, \beta^j} \mapsto \left(\prod_{j=1}^d \prod_{i=1}^n x_{ij}^{\alpha_i^j} y_{ij}^{\beta_i^j} \right)^*.$$

Hence $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the isomorphism in the lower-right corner of (6.6). In order to prove that it restricts to an isomorphism between G -invariant subspaces (just above it in the diagram), it suffices to show that φ is G -equivariant. As a G -module, we have

$$R \cong \mathcal{P}(V^{\oplus d} \oplus (V^*)^{\oplus d}) \cong \bigotimes^d \mathcal{P}(V \oplus V^*) = \bigotimes^d \Psi.$$

This equivalence of G -modules $R \rightarrow \bigotimes^d \Psi$ is defined on monomials by

$$\prod_{j=1}^d \prod_{i=1}^n x_{ij}^{p_{ij}} y_{ij}^{q_{ij}} \mapsto \bigotimes_{j=1}^d \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{p_{ij}} y_i^{q_{ij}}.$$

Identifying Ψ with Ψ° as in (6.4), we now have an equivalence of G -modules defined by the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} R &\rightarrow \bigotimes^d \Psi^\circ, \\ \prod_{i,j} x_{ij}^{p_{ij}} y_{ij}^{q_{ij}} &\mapsto \bigotimes_{j=1}^d \hat{c}_{p_{\bullet,j}, q_{\bullet,j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, composing this with the canonical projection $\bigotimes^d \Psi^\circ \rightarrow S^d(\Psi^\circ) \cong \mathcal{P}^d(\Psi)$ preserves G -equivariance, and in fact yields the umbral operator φ . \square

For the next lemma, we write $R_{k,k}$ for the graded component of R consisting of bihomogeneous polynomials of degree k in the x_{ij} and degree k in y_{ij} . By definition (3.1), any homogeneous polynomial of degree k in the r_{ij} is an element of $R_{k,k}^G$. Recall $s : \mathfrak{S}_k^d \rightarrow R$ as defined in Step 2 of Algorithm 3.1.

Lemma 6.4. *The set $\{s(\Gamma)^* \mid \Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d\}$ is a spanning set for $R_{k,k}^{G \times \mathfrak{S}_d}$; if $d \leq n$, then it is a basis.*

Proof. The set of monomials

$$\left\{ \prod_{i,j=1}^d z_{ij}^{M_{ij}} \mid M \in M_d(\mathbb{N}) \text{ with } \sum_{i,j} M_{ij} = k \right\} \quad (6.7)$$

furnishes a basis for $\mathcal{P}^k(M_d)$. We will refer to the condition $d \leq n$ as the **stable range**, inside of which $M_d^{\leq n} = M_d$. Hence, in the stable range, (6.7) remains a basis for $\mathcal{P}^k(M_d^{\leq n})$, while outside the stable range, (6.7) is only a spanning set.

Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d$, and arbitrarily label the vertices $1, \dots, d$. The group \mathfrak{S}_d permutes the vertex labels, so we let $\sigma\Gamma$ denote the *labeled* graph with vertex labels determined by $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$. Then $A^{\sigma\Gamma} \in M_d(\mathbb{N})$ denotes the adjacency matrix of $\sigma\Gamma$, whose entries sum to k . In fact, for each $M \in M_d(\mathbb{N})$ with entries summing to k , we have

$$M = A^{\sigma\Gamma} \text{ for some pair } (\sigma, \Gamma) \in \mathfrak{S}_d \times \mathcal{G}_k^d. \quad (6.8)$$

Note also that the \mathfrak{S}_d -action on adjacency matrices, via conjugation by permutation matrices, commutes with its action on the vertex labels:

$$(\tau \cdot A^{\sigma\Gamma})_{ij} = A_{\tau(i), \tau(j)}^{\sigma\Gamma} = A_{ij}^{(\tau\sigma)\Gamma}. \quad (6.9)$$

In order to translate a labeled graph into a function on $M_d^{\leq n}$, we now define

$$z(\sigma\Gamma) := \prod_{i,j=1}^d z_{ij}^{A_{ij}^{\sigma\Gamma}} \in \mathcal{P}^k(M_d^{\leq n}). \quad (6.10)$$

By (6.5) and (6.9), we have $\sigma \cdot z(1\Gamma) = z(\sigma\Gamma)$. Hence the symmetrization $z(1\Gamma)^*$ is independent of our original labeling of Γ , so $z(\Gamma)^*$ is well-defined on \mathcal{G}_k^d . By (6.8), it is clear that $\{z(\sigma\Gamma) \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d, \Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d\}$ is a spanning set (and in the stable range, a basis) for $\mathcal{P}^k(M_d^{\leq n})$. Passing to the \mathfrak{S}_d -invariant subspace, $\{z(\Gamma)^* \mid \Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d\}$ is a spanning set (and in the stable range, a basis) for $\mathcal{P}^k(M_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$.

Recall from Lemma 6.3 that μ^\sharp restricts to the isomorphism $\mathcal{P}(M_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d} \cong R^{G \times \mathfrak{S}_d}$; clearly this restricts further to an isomorphism between graded components $\mathcal{P}^k(M_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ and $R_{k,k}^{G \times \mathfrak{S}_d}$. Therefore $\{\mu^\sharp(z(\Gamma)^*) \mid \Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d\}$ is a spanning set (and in the stable range, a basis) for $R_{k,k}^{G \times \mathfrak{S}_d}$. Finally, since $\mu^\sharp : z_{ij} \mapsto r_{ij}$, we have $\mu^\sharp(z(\Gamma)^*) = s(\Gamma)^*$, which completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.2. In the diagram (6.6), consider the isomorphism $R^{G \times \mathfrak{S}_d} \cong \mathcal{P}^d(\Psi)^G$, which is a restriction of $\tilde{\varphi}$ (and hence of φ). By the definition of φ in (3.2), and by our definition of weight in Section 2, we see that this isomorphism further restricts to an isomorphism $R_{k,k}^{G \times \mathfrak{S}_d} \cong \mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G$. Therefore by Lemma 6.4, the set $\{\varphi(s(\Gamma)^*) \mid \Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d\}$ spans $\mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G$, and is a basis if $d \leq n$. But since φ is \mathfrak{S}_d -invariant, we have $\varphi(s(\Gamma)^*) = \varphi \circ s(\Gamma)$, for any choice of vertex labeling on Γ . \square

6.2 Main proof: orthogonal group

We need to prove Theorem 6.2 again, this time in the setting where $G = \mathbf{O}_n$, with R and r_{ij} and φ as in (3.3) and (3.4). In this case, \mathcal{G}_k^d is the set of undirected graphs with d vertices and k edges, up to isomorphism. Recall that $\Psi = \mathcal{P}(V)$. All arguments are identical to those in the \mathbf{GL}_n case, and so here we simply mention the concrete adjustments to be made.

This time, $R = \mathbb{C}[x_{11}, \dots, x_{nd}] \cong \mathcal{P}(M_{nd}) \cong \mathcal{P}(V^{\oplus d})$ as a G -module, and \mathfrak{S}_d still acts by permuting columns, i.e., by permuting the second index on the variables x_{ij} . By Theorem 6.1, the diagram (6.6) carries over to

the O_n case, as long as we replace $M_d^{\leq n}$ by $SM_d^{\leq n}$. Hence the entire proof of Lemma 6.3 goes through if we simply remove the y_{ij} from all displayed equations.

As for Lemma 6.4, we adjust (6.7) to state that the set

$$\left\{ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq d} z_{ij}^{M_{ij}} \mid M \in SM_d(\mathbb{N}) \text{ with } \sum_{i \leq j} M_{ij} = k \right\}$$

furnishes a basis for $\mathcal{P}(SM_d)$. This time, (6.8) holds for each $M \in SM_d(\mathbb{N})$ with upper-triangular entries summing to k . We modify (6.10) by putting

$$z(\sigma\Gamma) := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq d} z_{ij}^{A_{ij}^{\sigma\Gamma}} \in \mathcal{P}^k(SM^{\leq n}).$$

We still have the same \mathfrak{S}_d -action on $\mathcal{P}(SM_d^{\leq n})$ as in (6.5), with the additional relation $z_{ij} = z_{ji}$; hence $\sigma \cdot z_{ij} = z_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)} = z_{\sigma(j), \sigma(i)}$, and thus again $\sigma \cdot z(1\Gamma) = z(\sigma\Gamma)$. The rest of the proof goes through as before.

6.3 Main proof: symplectic group

Yet again, the arguments are identical to those in the GL_n case, so we simply mention the adjustments to be made. This time $G = Sp_{2n}$, with R and r_{ij} and φ as in (3.7) and (3.8). Again, $\Psi = \mathcal{P}(V)$. By Theorem 6.1, the diagram (6.6) is still valid in the Sp_{2n} case, as long as we replace $M_d^{\leq n}$ by $AM_d^{\leq n}$, and so Lemma 9 is proved just as in the O_n case above.

For Lemma 6.4, we modify (6.7) to state that the set

$$\left\{ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} z_{ij}^{M_{ij}} \mid M \in AM_d(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ with } M_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for } i < j \text{ and } \sum_{i < j} M_{ij} = k \right\}$$

furnishes a basis for $\mathcal{P}^k(AM_d)$. Just as in Section 3.3, let $A^{\sigma\Gamma} \in AM_d(\mathbb{Z})$ be the skew-symmetric matrix whose upper-triangular entries are those of the adjacency matrix of $\sigma\Gamma$. In place of (6.10), we define

$$z(\sigma\Gamma) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} z_{ij}^{A_{ij}^{\sigma\Gamma}} \in \mathcal{P}^k(AM_d^{\leq n}).$$

Due to the relation $z_{ij} = -z_{ji}$ in $\mathcal{P}^k(AM_d^{\leq n})$, it follows that

$$\sigma \cdot z(1\Gamma) = (-1)^{\text{inv}(\Gamma, \sigma)} z(\sigma\Gamma), \quad (6.11)$$

with $\text{inv}(\Gamma, \sigma)$ as defined in (3.5). It is true that a spanning set for $\mathcal{P}^k(AM_d^{\leq n})$ is certainly given by the set of all $z(\sigma\Gamma)$ where Γ ranges over *all* loopless graphs with d vertices and k edges; we now show that our definition of \mathcal{G}_k^d in (3.6) excludes those Γ that are killed by the passage to \mathfrak{S}_d -invariants, i.e., for which $z(1\Gamma)^* = 0$. To this end, suppose there exists some $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_d$ such that $A^{1\Gamma} = A^{\tau\Gamma}$; this implies that $A^{\sigma\Gamma} = A^{(\sigma\tau)\Gamma}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$, and in turn,

$$z(\sigma\Gamma) = z((\sigma\tau)\Gamma). \quad (6.12)$$

Now suppose that $\text{inv}(\Gamma, \tau)$ is odd; then by (6.11) and (6.12) we have

$$\tau \cdot z(1\Gamma) = -z(\tau\Gamma) = -z(1\Gamma).$$

Therefore for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$, we have

$$\sigma\tau \cdot z(1\Gamma) = \sigma \cdot (\tau \cdot z(1\Gamma)) = \sigma \cdot (-z(1\Gamma)) = -(\sigma \cdot z(1\Gamma)),$$

or in other words, $\sigma \cdot z(1\Gamma) = -(\sigma\tau) \cdot z(1\Gamma)$. Therefore

$$z(1\Gamma)^* = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} \sigma \cdot z(1\Gamma) = -\frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} \sigma\tau \cdot z(1\Gamma) = -\frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} \sigma \cdot z(1\Gamma) = -z(1\Gamma)^*,$$

and hence $z(1\Gamma)^* = 0$. This justifies our definition (3.6) of \mathcal{G}_k^d in the symplectic case. We then have that $\{z(\Gamma)^* \mid \Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_k^d\}$ is a spanning set (and in the stable range, a basis) for $\mathcal{P}^k(\text{AM}_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$. The rest of the proof is identical to the previous cases.

6.4 Proof of Theorem 5.1

Proof of Part 1: $G = \text{GL}_n$. Recall from the diagram (6.6) that we have an isomorphism between graded components $\mathcal{P}^k(\text{M}_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d} \cong \mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G$, via the restriction of μ^\sharp composed with the restriction of $\tilde{\varphi}$. Hence it will suffice to show that $\dim \mathcal{P}^k(\text{M}_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ equals the sum in the theorem.

The determinantal variety $\text{M}_d^{\leq n}$ admits an action by $\text{GL}_d \times \text{GL}_d$, via $(g, h) \cdot X = gXh^{-1}$, which extends to $\mathcal{P}(\text{M}_d^{\leq n})$ in the usual way. As another consequence of the SFT [GW09, Theorem 12.2.12.3], we have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{P}^k(\text{M}_d^{\leq n}) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Par}(k, \min\{d, n\})} (F_d^\lambda)^* \otimes F_d^\lambda.$$

Restricting to $\mathfrak{S}_d \times \mathfrak{S}_d$, we use (5.2) to write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^k(\text{M}_d^{\leq n}) &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} \left(\bigoplus_{\mu \vdash d} b_\mu^\lambda Y_d^\mu \right)^* \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{\nu \vdash d} b_\nu^\lambda Y_d^\nu \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} b_\mu^\lambda b_\nu^\lambda (Y_d^\mu \otimes Y_d^\nu), \end{aligned}$$

since the irreducible representations of \mathfrak{S}_d are self-dual. Further restricting to the diagonal subgroup $\Delta(\mathfrak{S}_d) = \{(\sigma, \sigma) \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d\}$, we note that the $\Delta(\mathfrak{S}_d)$ -action is just by conjugation on $\text{M}_d^{\leq n}$. Therefore we are interested in the subspace of $\Delta(\mathfrak{S}_d)$ -invariants:

$$\mathcal{P}^k(\text{M}_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d} \cong \left[\bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} b_\mu^\lambda b_\nu^\lambda (Y_d^\mu \otimes Y_d^\nu) \right]^{\Delta(\mathfrak{S}_d)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} b_\mu^\lambda b_\nu^\lambda (Y_d^\mu \otimes Y_d^\nu)^{\Delta(\mathfrak{S}_d)}.$$

But by Schur's lemma, we have $\dim(Y_d^\mu \otimes Y_d^\nu)^{\Delta(\mathfrak{S}_d)} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_d}(Y_d^\mu, Y_d^\nu) = \delta_{\mu, \nu}$. Therefore we keep only the summands in which $\mu = \nu$, and we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}^k(\text{M}_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu} (b_\mu^\lambda)^2 \underbrace{(Y_d^\mu \otimes Y_d^\mu)^{\Delta(\mathfrak{S}_d)}}_{\text{1-dimensional}}$$

which proves Part 1 of the theorem. \square

Proof of Part 2: $G = \text{O}_n$. By the SFT (Part 2 of Theorem 6.1), in the O_n -analogue of the diagram (6.6), we have an isomorphism between graded components $\mathcal{P}^k(\text{SM}_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d} \cong \mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G$, via the restriction of μ^\sharp composed with the restriction of $\tilde{\varphi}$. Hence it will suffice to show that $\dim \mathcal{P}^k(\text{SM}_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ equals the sum in the theorem.

The determinantal variety $\text{SM}_d^{\leq n}$ admits an action by GL_n , via $g \cdot X = g^{-T} X g^{-1}$, which extends to $\mathcal{P}(\text{SM}_d^{\leq n})$ in the usual way. As another consequence of the SFT [GW09, Theorem 12.2.14.3], we have the following

decomposition, which we decompose under the restriction to \mathfrak{S}_d using (5.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^k(\mathrm{SM}_d^{\leq n}) &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}(k, \min\{d, n\})} F_d^{2\lambda} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash d} b_{\mu}^{2\lambda} Y_d^{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

The partition (d) labels the one-dimensional trivial representation of \mathfrak{S}_d , and therefore the \mathfrak{S}_d -invariant subspace above is the sum of the trivial representations $Y_d^{(d)}$ in the sum:

$$\mathcal{P}^k(\mathrm{SM}_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} b_{(d)}^{2\lambda} \underbrace{Y_d^{(d)}}_{1\text{-dimensional}}$$

This proves Part 2 of the theorem. □

Proof of Part 3: $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$. As in the previous parts, from the Sp_{2n} -analogue of the diagram (6.6), we have an isomorphism between graded components $\mathcal{P}^k(\mathrm{AM}_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d} \cong \mathcal{P}_k^d(\Psi)^G$. Hence we will find the dimension of $\mathcal{P}^k(\mathrm{AM}_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$.

The determinantal variety $\mathrm{AM}_d^{\leq n}$ admits an action by GL_d , just as in the O_n case. As a consequence of the SFT [GW09, Theorem 12.2.15.3], we have the following decomposition, which we decompose under the restriction to \mathfrak{S}_d , using (5.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^k(\mathrm{AM}_d^{\leq n}) &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} F_d^{\lambda} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash d} b_{\mu}^{\lambda} Y_d^{\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum ranges over all $\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}(2k, \min\{d, 2n\})$ with even column lengths. Therefore, as in Part 2, the \mathfrak{S}_d -invariant subspace decomposes as

$$\mathcal{P}^k(\mathrm{AM}_d^{\leq n})^{\mathfrak{S}_d} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} b_{(d)}^{\lambda} \underbrace{Y_d^{(d)}}_{1\text{-dimensional}}$$

which proves Part 3 of the theorem. □

References

- [Dol03] Igor Dolgachev. *Lectures on invariant theory*, volume 296 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [Gau86] Carl Friedrich Gauss. *Disquisitiones arithmeticae*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. Translated and with a preface by Arthur A. Clarke, Revised by William C. Waterhouse, Cornelius Greither and A. W. Grootendorst and with a preface by Waterhouse.
- [GW09] Roe Goodman and Nolan R. Wallach. *Symmetry, representations, and invariants*, volume 255 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [GY10] John Hilton Grace and Alfred Young. *The algebra of invariants*. Cambridge Library Collection. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. Reprint of the 1903 original.
- [Hil93] David Hilbert. *Theory of algebraic invariants*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. Translated from the German and with a preface by Reinhard C. Laubenbacher, Edited and with an introduction by Bernd Sturmfels.

- [How88] Roger Howe. The classical groups and invariants of binary forms. In *The mathematical heritage of Hermann Weyl (Durham, NC, 1987)*, volume 48 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 133–166. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [How95] Roger Howe. Perspectives on invariant theory: Schur duality, multiplicity-free actions and beyond. In *The Schur lectures (1992) (Tel Aviv)*, volume 8 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pages 1–182. Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1995.
- [HSW21] Alexander Heaton, Songpon Sriwongsa, and Jeb F. Willenbring. Branching from the general linear group to the symmetric group and the principal embedding. *Algebr. Comb.*, 4(2):189–200, 2021.
- [KR84] Joseph P. S. Kung and Gian-Carlo Rota. The invariant theory of binary forms. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 10(1):27–85, 1984.
- [Olv99] Peter J. Olver. *Classical invariant theory*, volume 44 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [OS89] Peter J. Olver and Chehrzad Shakiban. Graph theory and classical invariant theory. *Adv. Math.*, 75(2):212–245, 1989.
- [Pro07] Claudio Procesi. *Lie groups*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2007. An approach through invariants and representations.
- [Sta99] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, volume 62 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.
- [Stu08] Bernd Sturmfels. *Algorithms in invariant theory*. Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation. SpringerWienNewYork, Vienna, second edition, 2008.
- [Wal17] Nolan R. Wallach. *Geometric invariant theory*. Universitext. Springer, Cham, 2017. Over the real and complex numbers.
- [Wey39] Hermann Weyl. *The Classical Groups. Their Invariants and Representations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1939.

Appendix: Mathematica code

General linear group

```
(* DEFINITIONS *)

(* Below, "chat" stands for "c_hat." *)

chat[alpha_, beta_] := Times@@Factorial@alpha * Times@@Factorial@beta * c[alpha, beta];

r[i_, j_] := Sum[y[l, i] x[l, j], {l, n}];

(* The function "s" sends a d-by-d adjacency matrix A to a product of the r[i,j]. *)

s[A_] := Product[r[i, j]^A[[i, j]], {i, d}, {j, d}] // Expand;

phi[term_] := (term /. {x[i_, j_] -> 1, y[i_, j_] -> 1}) *
  Product[chat[Table[Exponent[term, x[i, j]], {i, n}],
    Table[Exponent[term, y[i, j]], {i, n}]], {j, d}];

(* The function "invar" sends an adjacency graph A to its corresponding invariant.
When n=1, then s(A) has only one term. *)

invar[A_] := If[n == 1 || Total[A, 2] == 0, phi@s@A, phi/@s@A];

(* The command "compute" computes an invariant on a specific element of Psi.*)

compute[invar_, psi_] :=
  invar /. c[p_, q_] ->
    If[Total[p + q] == 0,
      psi /. {x[a_] -> 0,
        del[b_] -> 0}, (Coefficient[psi,
          Product[x[i]^p[[i]]*del[i]^q[[i]], {i, n}]] /. {x[a_] -> 0, del[b_] -> 0})];

(* The command "transform" accepts an element g of GL(n) and a differential operator psi,
and returns g.psi. *)

xvars := Table[x[i], {i, n}];
delvars := Table[del[i], {i, n}];

transform[g_, psi_] :=
  psi /. Join[
    Table[xvars[[i]] -> (Inverse@Transpose@g)[[All, i]].xvars, {i, n}],
    Table[delvars[[i]] -> (g)[[All, i]].delvars, {i, n}] //
  Expand;

(*BEGIN ALGORITHM HERE.*)

(* Input: n-value.
Input: the d-by-d adjacency matrix A of a directed graph.
```

Output: the graph and its associated invariant .*)

```
n = 2;
A = {{1,0}, {0,1}};
d = Length@A; i = invar@A;
{AdjacencyGraph[A, DirectedEdges -> True],
i /. c[list1_, list2_] := Subscript[c, {list1, list2}]}
```

(* COMPUTE INVARIANT HERE *)

(* Input: "testpsi" can be a generic element of Psi, in terms of x[i] and del[i].

Input: "g" is an invertible n-by-n matrix, i.e., an element of GL(n).

Output: the ordered pair containing the value of the invariant

on testpsi and on g.testpsi. Naturally, these two are always equal. *)

```
testpsi = 3 x[1] del[1] + 5 x[2] del[2] + x[1] del[2];
g = {{1, 2}, {3, 4}};
{compute[i, testpsi], compute[i, transform[g, testpsi]]}
```

{64, 64}

Orthogonal group

For the orthogonal and symplectic groups, we include only the code to produce invariants from graphs; the code for evaluating these invariants on elements of Ψ is analogous to the code for GL_n above.

(* DEFINITIONS *)

```
chat[alpha_] := Times@@Factorial@alpha*c[alpha];
```

```
r[i_, j_] := Sum[x[l, i] x[l, j], {l, n}];
```

```
s[A_] := Product[r[i, j]^A[[i, j]], {i, d}, {j, i, d}] // Expand;
```

```
phi[term_] := (term /. x[i_, j_] -> 1) *
Product[chat@Table[Exponent[term, x[i, j]], {i, n}], {j, d}];
```

```
invar[A_] :=
If[n == 1 || Total[PadLeft@A, 2] == 0, phi@s@PadLeft@A, phi/@s@PadLeft@A];
```

(* "TriToSym" returns a d-by-d symmetric matrix,
given the upper-triangular entries "upper"
as a list of lists of lengths d, d-1, ... , 1. *)

```
TriToSym[upper_] :=
PadLeft[upper] + Transpose[UpperTriangularize[PadLeft[upper], 1]];
```

(* BEGIN ALGORITHM HERE *)

(* Input: n-value.

Input: the upper-triangular entries U of a symmetric d-by-d adjacency matrix.

Output: the graph and its associated invariant .*)

```
n = 2;
U = {{1, 2, 3}, {4, 5}, {6}};
d = Length@U; i = invar@U;
{AdjacencyGraph@TriToSym@U, i /. c[list_] :> Subscript[c, list]}
```

Symplectic group

(* DEFINITIONS *)

```
chat[alpha_] := Times@@Factorial@alpha*c[alpha];

r[i_, j_] := Sum[x[l + n, i] x[l, j] - x[l, i] x[l + n, j], {l, n}];

s[A_] := Product[r[i, j]^A[[i, j]], {i, Length@A - 1}, {j, i + 1, Length@A}] // Expand;

phi[term_] := (term /. x[i_, j_] -> 1) *
  Product[chat@Table[Exponent[term, x[i, j]], {i, 2 n}], {j, d}];
```

(* "StrictTriToSkew" returns a d-by-d skew-symmetric matrix,
given the strictly upper-triangular entries "upper"
as a list of lists of lengths d-1, ..., 1. *)

```
StrictTriToTri[upper_] := PadLeft[Append[Prepend[0] /@ upper, {0}]];
StrictTriToSkew[upper_] := StrictTriToTri@upper - Transpose@StrictTriToTri@upper;

invar[upper_] :=
  If[Total[upper, 2] == 0, phi@s@StrictTriToSkew@upper, phi/@s@StrictTriToSkew@upper];
```

(*BEGIN ALGORITHM HERE *)

(* Input: n-value.

Input: the strictly upper-triangular entries U of a d-by-d adjacency matrix.

Output: the graph and its associated invariant. *)

```
n = 1;
U = {{2}};
d = Length@U + 1; i = invar@U;
{AdjacencyGraph[UpperTriangularize@StrictTriToSkew@U +
  Transpose[UpperTriangularize@StrictTriToSkew@U]],
  i /. c[list_] :> Subscript[c, list]}
```