

Gradient flows of modified Wasserstein distances and porous medium equations with nonlocal pressure

Nhan-Phu Chung* and Quoc-Hung Nguyen†

May 24, 2022

Dedicated to Professor Duong Minh Duc on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

Abstract

We study families of porous medium equation with nonlocal pressure. We construct their weak solutions via JKO schemes for modified Wasserstein distances. We also establish the regularization effect and decay estimates for the L^p norms.

1 Introduction and main results

Discretizing a time dependent PDE as a series of variational problem has been a common practice for a while. For example, consider the diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u(t, x) - \Delta u(t, x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The classical discretization scheme reads as

$$u^k = \operatorname{argmin}_u F(u), \quad \text{with } F(u) := \left\{ \frac{1}{\tau} \|u - u^{k-1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right\}.$$

This comes from the idea that diffusion equation is the gradient flux of the Dirichlet integral $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$. It also can be seen by considering the additive perturbation $u^k + t\varphi$ of the solution u^k , where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, the equation $\frac{d}{dt} F(u + t\varphi)|_{t=0} = 0$ implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [(u^k - u^{k-1})\varphi + \tau \nabla u^k \nabla \varphi] dx = 0.$$

That is, u^k satisfies the backward finite difference scheme for the diffusion equation $u^k = u^{k-1} + \tau \Delta u^k$.

*E-mail address: phuchung@skku.edu, phuchung82@gmail.com, Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, 2066 Seobu-ro, Jangan-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, Korea 16419.

†E-mail address: qhnguyen@amss.ac.cn, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, PR China.

A novel discretization scheme, called JKO scheme, was proposed by Jordan–Kinderlehrer–Otto in the seminal paper [12], for $\tau > 0$,

$$u^k = \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} F_w(u), \text{ with } F_w(u) := \left\{ \frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{W}_2^2(u, u^{k-1}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \log u \, dx \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathbf{W}_2 is the Wasserstein distance and $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of probability Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^d with finite second order moment (see section 3).

The main idea in proving (1.1) is the perturbation by domain deformations [12]. Namely, given $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let $\Phi_t(x) = x + t\eta(x)$ and u_t be the push forward of u^k under Φ_t . That is, for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) u_t(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(\Phi_t(x)) u^k(x) \, dx.$$

Then, one can obtain the a few key formulas

$$\frac{du_t}{dt} = -\nabla(\eta u), \quad (1.2)$$

and, for $\eta = \nabla\phi$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{W}_2^2(u_t, u^k) \right]_{t=0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u^k - u^{k-1}}{\tau} \phi \, dx + \Lambda(\tau), \quad (1.3)$$

where $\Lambda(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, in some proper sense, and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_t \log u_t \, dx|_{t=0} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta u^k \phi \, dx,$$

see [12] for more details. The equation $\frac{dF_w(u_t)}{dt} = 0$ (coming from the variational formulation (1.1)), then heuristically gives the backward finite difference approximation

$$u^k \approx u^{k-1} + \tau \Delta u^k.$$

Moreover, let us define $u_\tau(t) = u^k$ for $t \in [k\tau, (k+1)\tau)$. Then, $u_\tau(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ weakly in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [12]), where u satisfies the variational form of the diffusion equation

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(\phi_t - \Delta\phi) \, dx \, dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(0)\phi(0) \, dx.$$

Therefore, the JKO scheme is a proper discretization for the diffusion equation.

Let us review a few extensions of the JKO scheme. First, we consider the equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(u \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u) = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where $(-\Delta)^{-s} u$ with $0 < s < \min\{1, \frac{d}{2}\}$ denotes the inverse of the fractional Laplacian operator.

Using the following JKO scheme

$$u^k := \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{W}_2^2(u, u^{k-1}) + F(u) \right\},$$

where $F(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$ is the square norm of the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Lisini, Mainini and Segatti solved the equation (1.4) for $0 < s < \min\{1, d/2\}$ [13].

Next, we consider the equation

$$\partial_t u + \operatorname{div}[h(u)|\xi|^{p-2}\xi] = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where $p > 1$, $\xi = -\operatorname{div}[\frac{\delta \mathbb{F}}{\delta u}]$ and $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a nonlinear increasing function. Here $\frac{\delta \mathbb{F}}{\delta u}$ is the first variant of a function $\mathbb{F} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. We define the modified Wasserstein distance [9]

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_q^q(\mu_0, \mu_1) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(\rho_t) |\mathbf{v}_t(x)|^q dx dt : \partial_t \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(h(\rho_t) \mathbf{v}_t) = 0 : \mu_0 = \rho_0 \mathcal{L}^d, \mu_1 = \rho_1 \mathcal{L}^d \right\},$$

where $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ and \mathcal{L}^d is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d .

Then, the corresponding JKO scheme for (1.5) reads as

$$u^k := \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \frac{1}{q\tau^{q-1}} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_q^q(u, u^k) + \mathbb{F}(u) \right\},$$

where $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$, the Hölder conjugate of p . Choosing $h(u) = u^\alpha$ and $\mathbb{F}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^2 dx$, (1.5) becomes the thin-film like equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}[u^\alpha \nabla(-\Delta)u] = 0.$$

Statement of the main results. In this paper, we would like to develop JKO schemes for modified Wasserstein distances for the following fractional equations.

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(u^\alpha \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{1-s} u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.7)$$

for $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < s < \min\{1, \frac{d}{2}\}$.

The first problem (1.6) has been studied by Caffarelli and Vázquez in [5] with $\alpha = 1$, where the existence of solutions was proved for non-negative bounded initial data. Regularity and asymptotic behaviour of these solutions are established in [6, 8, 7]. Later, the existence of solutions for the equation (1.6) has been solved for the case $0 < \alpha < 2$ [18, 19], and for all $\alpha > 0$ [15, 20]. The second problem (1.7) was studied in [11]. The proof there consists of introducing a non-local Wasserstein distance and the entropy functionals.

Our approaches to solve (1.6) and (1.7) are different from [5, 15, 18, 19, 20] and [11] as we use JKO schemes from modified Wasserstein distances and the homogeneous Sobolev norm. With our JKO schemes, we believe that our approaches provide more efficient computational methods for the problems.

Our JKO scheme is defined inductively as follows. Given a step size $\tau > 0$ and a weight function $m : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, we start from some $u_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 < +\infty$ and define

$$u_\tau^0 := u_0, u_\tau^k := \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{W}_m^2(u, u_\tau^{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right\}, \quad (1.8)$$

where \mathbf{W}_m is the modified Wasserstein distance (see section 2) with respect to our chosen weight functions m .

The approximation $\bar{u}_\tau : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by

$$\bar{u}_\tau(t) := u_\tau^k \text{ for } (k-1)\tau < t \leq k\tau. \quad (1.9)$$

Now we state our main results. The first one is Theorem 1.1 containing all the properties of our gradient flow solutions of the equation (1.6).

Theorem 1.1 *Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap (L^2 \cap \dot{H}^{-s})(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d \geq 2$ and $0 < s < \min\{1, \frac{d}{2}\}$. Then for every $\tau > 0$, the scheme (1.8) always has a solution \bar{u}_τ with $m(r) = (r + \tau^{\frac{1}{10}})^\alpha$. In addition to, for every sequence $\tau_n \searrow 0$ there exist a subsequence, still denoted by τ_n , and a function $u : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that*

- i) $\bar{u}_{\tau_n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $\bar{u}_{\tau_n}^\alpha \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n} \rightarrow u^\alpha \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u$ strongly in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T))$, $\bar{u}_{\tau_n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{1-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for every $T > 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1 - s$,
- ii) the function u is a weak solution to the equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(u^\alpha \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u) = 0 \quad (1.10)$$

in the following weak sense

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \psi u dx dt - \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x \psi \cdot u^\alpha \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u dx dt = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in C_c^\infty((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d),$$

- iii) for any $1 \leq p \leq 2^L$

$$\|\bar{u}_\tau(t)\|_{L^p} \leq (\lambda(k_0, L)(t + k_0\tau))^{-\frac{(1-1/p)d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}}, \quad (1.11)$$

where

$$\lambda(k_0, L) = \frac{c}{\sup_{1 \leq n \leq L} \sup_{k \geq k_0} k \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1}\right)^{\frac{(2n-1)d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}} - 1 \right)} \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$(\lambda(k_0, L)\tau)^{-\frac{d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}} \geq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}, \quad (1.13)$$

- iv) for any $t_1 \geq 0$ and for any $\Lambda > 0$, it holds

$$\sup_{t \geq t_1} \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda)_+\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{t_1+\tau}^{\infty} \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda)_+\|_{L^{\frac{(\alpha+2)d}{d-2(1-s)}}}^{\alpha+2} dt \leq C \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t_1) - \Lambda)_+\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (1.14)$$

Moreover, if $\tau < 2^{-4}$ and $u(0) \in L^2$, there exists $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, s, \alpha, d) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 1} \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda_0)_+\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_1^{\infty} \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda_0)_+\|_{L^{\frac{(\alpha+2)d}{d-2(1-s)}}}^{\alpha+2} dt \leq C\tau^{\frac{d(\alpha+1)}{2(1-s)}+2}. \quad (1.15)$$

Let us describe our strategy to prove Theorem 1.1. First, we establish the regularity of our minimizers u_τ^k . With suitable initial data u_0 , our minimizers u_τ^k not only belongs to $\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ but also $\dot{H}^{1-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, we can control $\|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ in terms of our potential functions. This task can be achieved as we have a flow interchange as in Lemma 3.3 for our scheme. In order to get our flow interchange, we need to verify that the associated semigroup S_δ of our auxiliary function V_δ is a λ -flow under our weighted Wasserstein distance. To do this, we establish the Eulerian calculus for our weighted Wasserstein metric in Lemma 3.2. Note that the flow interchange technique was introduced by McCann, Matthes and Savaré [16], and the Eulerian calculus for the usual Wasserstein metric was introduced by Otto and Westdickenberg [17] and was developed later by Daneri and Savaré [10]. These ideas have been applied to study PDEs in [14, 13] as applications of JKO schemes related to the standard Wasserstein metric. Our achieved regularity estimates of $\{\bar{u}_{\tau_n}\}$ are good enough to obtain a weak solution u of the equation (1.6) via a compactness argument as $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$.

The other important features in our Theorem 1.1 are the decay rate at infinity of L^p norms and the almost boundedness of solutions u and u_τ that we stated in iii) and iv), respectively. The decay rate of L^p norms was already proved in [8] and later in [13] for the usual homogeneous porous medium equation with nonlocal pressure with $\alpha = 1$. To get iii) and iv) of Theorem 1.1, first we establish $L^{\frac{2d}{d-2(1-s)}}$ estimates of $\mathcal{G}(u_\tau^k)$ as in Lemma 4.3 for our minimizing movements scheme as follows

$$C(d, s)\tau \|\mathcal{G}(u_\tau^k)\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2(1-s)}}}^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(u_\tau^{k-1}(x))dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(u_\tau^k(x))dx, \quad (1.16)$$

where $\mathcal{G}(r) = \int_0^r \sqrt{m(z)g''(z)}dz$ with $g \in C^2([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+)$ is convex such that $g(0) = g'(0) = g''(0) = 0$. This estimate is obtained by applying the flow interchange technique. Then for the case $p < +\infty$, combining (1.16) for the function $g(z) = z^p$ with interpolation inequalities we get our estimates of the L^p -norms. On the other hand, applying (1.16) for the function $g(z) = (z - \Lambda)_+^p$, we will get v) of Theorem 1.1. Then the almost boundedness of solutions u and u_τ is a consequence of v) by the following argument.

Letting $\tau \rightarrow 0$, from equation (1.15) we obtain

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \geq 1} \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda_0)_+\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_1^\infty \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda_0)_+\|_{L^{\frac{(\alpha+2)d}{d-2(1-s)}}}^{\alpha+2} dt = 0, \quad (1.17)$$

which implies

$$\sup_{t \geq 1} \|(u(t) - \Lambda_0)_+\|_{L^2}^2 = 0. \quad (1.18)$$

That is, $u(t) \leq \Lambda_0$ for all $t \geq 1$.

Let us denote

$$A_\tau = \{x : (\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda_0)_+ \geq 1, \forall t \geq 1\}.$$

Then, also from equation (1.15),

$$|A_\tau| \leq C\tau^{\frac{d(\alpha+1)}{2(1-s)}+2}.$$

So,

$$(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda_0)_+ \leq 1, \text{ i.e. } u_\tau(t) \leq \Lambda_0 + 1 \quad (1.19)$$

for any $t \geq 1$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus A_\tau$.

Our second main result is the existence of weak solutions of equation (1.7)

Theorem 1.2 *Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap (L^2 \cap \dot{H}^{-s})(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 < s < \min\{1, d/2\}$. Let $\{\tau_n\}$ be a positive sequence converging to 0, \bar{u}_τ be the solution of the scheme (1.8)- (1.9) with $m_n(r) = (r+1)^{\frac{1}{10}}$ and $u : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as in Lemma 4.1. Then, there exists a subsequence of u_n still denoted by u_n converging to a solution u of*

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{1-s} u = 0. \quad (1.20)$$

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the definition of the modified Wasserstein distance and provide an its approximation. In section 3, we prove the existence and unique of minimizers for solutions of our scheme and then we establish our flow interchange for the scheme via the Eulerian calculus of the modified Wasserstein distances. Finally, in section 4 we will prove our main results.

Acknowledgements: N-P. Chung is partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grants funded by the Korea government No. NRF- 2016R1A5A1008055 and

No. NRF-2019R1C1C1007107. Q-H. Nguyen is supported by the Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences startup fund, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12050410257 and No. 12288201) and the National Key R&D Program of China under grant 2021YFA1000800. We thank Linh Nguyen for useful discussions.

2 Preliminaries

First, we review fractional Sobolev spaces. The Fourier transform of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by $\hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Schwartz space of smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^d with rapid decay at infinity, and by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ its dual space. For every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the fractional Sobolev space $H^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the homogeneous fractional Sobolev space $\dot{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are defined respectively by

$$H^r(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) : \hat{f} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|f\|_{H^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|^2)^r |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < +\infty \right\},$$

$$\dot{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) : \hat{f} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|f\|_{\dot{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{2r} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < +\infty \right\}.$$

If $r < \frac{d}{2}$ then the space $\dot{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$\langle v, w \rangle_r := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{2r} \hat{v}(\xi) \overline{\hat{w}(\xi)} d\xi.$$

From [2, Proposition 1.37] we can imply that if $r \in (0, 1)$ then there exists a constant $C_{d,r} > 0$ such that

$$\langle v, w \rangle_r = C_{d,r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(v(x) - v(y))(w(x) - w(y))}{|x - y|^{d+2r}} dx dy, \quad \forall v, w \in \dot{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (2.1)$$

If $r \in (0, d/2)$ then by [2, Theorem 1.38] there exists $C_{d,r} > 0$ such that following Sobolev inequality holds

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{d,r} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (2.2)$$

for every $u \in \dot{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $q := \frac{2d}{d-2r}$.

Given $0 < s < \min\{1, \frac{d}{2}\}$, the s -fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ on \mathbb{R}^d is defined by means of Fourier transform as

$$((-\Delta)^s u)(x) = |x|^{2s} \hat{u}(x), \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Now let us review the definition of the modified Wasserstein distances introduced in [9] and studied in [4]. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of probability Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^d and $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with finite second order moment. Let \mathcal{L}^d be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d .

The Wasserstein distance on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{W}_2(\mu^0, \mu^1) = \min_{\pi} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^2 d\pi(x, y) : \pi \in \Gamma(\mu^0, \mu^1) \right\}^{1/2},$$

where

$$\Gamma(\mu^0, \mu^1) = \left\{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) : \pi(A \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \mu^0(A), \pi(\mathbb{R}^d \times A) = \mu^1(A) \forall \text{ Borel } A \subset \mathbb{R}^d \right\}.$$

The Wasserstein distance also admits a dynamical system description as follows [3]:

$$W_2^2(\mu_0, \mu_1) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s(x) |\mathbf{v}_s(x)|^2 dx dt : \partial_s \rho_s + \operatorname{div}(\rho_s \mathbf{v}_s) = 0, \mu_0 = \rho_0 \mathcal{L}^d, \mu_1 = \rho_1 \mathcal{L}^d \right\}.$$

Let X be a metric space, we denote by $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ the space of all finite nonnegative Borel measures on X . We denote by $\mathcal{M}(X, \mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of \mathbb{R}^d -valued Borel measures on X with finite total variation.

For two nonnegative functions f, g , our notation $f \sim g$ means that there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1 f \leq g \leq C_2 f$.

We denote by CE the set of $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]} \subset \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(\nu_s)_{s \in [0,1]} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

1. $t \mapsto \mu_t$ is weakly* continuous in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
2. $t \mapsto \nu_t$ is Borel and $\int_0^1 |\nu_t|(\mathbb{R}^d) dt < \infty$;
3. $(\mu_t, \nu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a distributional solution of the following continuity equation(CE)

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot \nu_t = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, 1),$$

which means

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \varphi(x, t) d\mu_t(x) dt + \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x \varphi(x, t) \cdot d\nu_t(x) dt = 0,$$

for every $\varphi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, 1))$.

Given $\nu^0, \nu^1 \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we denote by $\text{CE}(\nu^0 \rightarrow \nu^1)$ the subset of CE such that $\mu_0 = \nu^0, \mu_1 = \nu^1$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, the action density function $G : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is defined by

$$G(s_1, s_2) := \frac{|s_2|^2}{m(s_1)} \text{ for every } (s_1, s_2) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where

$$m(s) \sim (s + \varepsilon)^\alpha.$$

Given measures $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$, we consider the Lebesgue decompositions $\mu = \rho \mathcal{L}^d + \mu^\perp$ and $\nu = w \mathcal{L}^d + \nu^\perp$. Here, $\rho \in L^1_+(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{L}^d; \mathbb{R})$, $w \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{L}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, and ν^\perp is the singular part of ν with respect to the Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^d of \mathbb{R}^d . We define $\mathcal{G}(\mu, \nu)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}(\mu, \nu) := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(\rho, \omega) dx & \text{if } \nu^\perp = 0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

Now we are ready to define the modified Wasserstein distance. Given $\mu^0, \mu^1 \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_m(\mu^0, \mu^1) &:= \inf \left\{ \left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(\mu_t, \nu_t) dt \right)^{1/2} : (\mu, \nu) \in \text{CE}(\mu^0 \rightarrow \mu^1) \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 (\mathcal{G}(\mu_t, \nu_t))^{1/2} dt : (\mu, \nu) \in \text{CE}(\mu^0 \rightarrow \mu^1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The equality in the above definition follows from [9, Theorem 5.4]. If the set $\text{CE}(\mu^0 \rightarrow \mu^1)$ is empty we put $\mathbf{W}_m(\mu^0, \mu^1) = +\infty$.

The following lemma provides an approximation of the modified Wasserstein distance.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ be such that $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} m(x) > 0$. Let $\mu^0, \mu^1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that $\mathbf{W}_m(\mu^0, \mu^1) < \infty$. Then there exist $\rho_n \in C_c^\infty([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\phi_n \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty([0, 1], H^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that*

- $\rho_n(t) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$, $\|\rho_n(0) - \mu^0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\rho_n(1) - \mu^1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ρ_n, ϕ_n) satisfies $\partial_t \rho_n(t, x) = -\operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n(t, x)) \nabla \phi_n(t, x))$ and

$$\mathbf{W}_m^2(\mu^0, \mu^1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho_n(t, x)) |\nabla_x \phi_n(t, x)|^2 dx dt. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. We can choose $\rho_n(0), \rho_n(1) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\|\rho_n(0) - \mu^0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\rho_n(1) - \mu^1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\mathbf{W}_m^2(\mu^0, \mu^1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{W}_m^2(\rho_n(0), \rho_n(1))$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\rho_n \in C_c^\infty([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\nu_n \in C_c^\infty([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\partial_t \rho_n(t, x) = -\operatorname{div}(\nu_n(t, x))$ and

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nu_n(t, x)|^2}{m(\rho_n(t, x))} dx dt \leq \mathbf{W}_m^2(\rho_n(0), \rho_n(1)) + \frac{1}{n}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $\phi_n(t, x)$ be the smooth solution to $\operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n(t, x)) \nabla \phi_n(t, x)) = \operatorname{div}(\nu_n(t, x))$. Using ϕ_n as test function of this equation to get,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho_n(t, x)) |\nabla \phi_n(t, x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu_n(t, x) \nabla \phi_n(t, x) dx.$$

By Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho_n(t, x)) |\nabla \phi_n(t, x)|^2 dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nu_n(t, x)|^2}{m(\rho_n(t, x))} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho_n(t, x)) |\nabla \phi_n(t, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Combining this with (2.4) and definition of $\mathbf{W}_m^2(\rho_n(0), \rho_n(1))$, one obtains

$$\mathbf{W}_m^2(\rho_n(0), \rho_n(1)) \leq \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho_n(t, x)) |\nabla \phi_n(t, x)|^2 dx dt \leq \mathbf{W}_m^2(\rho_n(0), \rho_n(1)) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the result. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

3 JKO schemes, flow interchange and Eulerian calculus

We begin this section with the following lemma proving the uniqueness solution of the scheme (1.8).

Lemma 3.1 *For every $\tau > 0$, every $u_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the scheme (1.8) has a unique solution u_τ^k .*

Proof. By [9, Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.6], and [13, Proposition 3.1], the function $u \mapsto \frac{1}{2\tau} W_m^2(u, u_\tau^{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$ is nonnegative, lowersemicontinuous with respect to the weak* topology and has weak* compact sublevels. Therefore, the scheme (1.8) has minimizers. As $W_m^2(\cdot, \cdot)$ is convex [9, Theorem 5.11] and the map $u \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$ is strictly convex we get that the map $\frac{1}{2\tau} W_m^2(u, u_\tau^{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$ is strictly convex and hence we obtain the uniqueness of minimizers. \blacksquare

Let $U : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be the function satisfying $U''(s) := \frac{1}{m(s)}$ with $U'(0) = U(0) = 0$. We define

$$\mathbf{U}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U(u(x)) dx \text{ for every } u \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Since $m(s) \sim (s + \varepsilon)^\alpha$, one has $U(s) \sim \min\{\varepsilon^{-\alpha} s^2, s^{2-\alpha}\}$. Thus,

$$\mathbf{U}(u) \sim \int \min\{\varepsilon^{-\alpha} u(x)^2, u(x)^{2-\alpha}\} dx. \quad (3.1)$$

Since U is convex, the function \mathbf{U} is lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak convergence on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a test function and let $\delta > 0$. Let \mathbf{S}_δ be the semigroup defined by $\mathbf{S}_{\delta,t} v_0 = v_t$ for every $t > 0$ with v_t is the unique distribution solution, i.e. $v_t \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, of the following equation with initial data $v_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\partial_t v_t - \operatorname{div}(m(v_t) \nabla \varphi) - \delta \Delta v_t = 0 \text{ in } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\rho \in C_c^\infty([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that $\rho(t) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$. For every $h, t > 0$ we put

$$\rho^h(t) = \mathbf{S}_{\delta,ht} \rho(t) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let ϕ^h be the unique smooth solution to

$$\partial_t \rho^h(t, x) = -\operatorname{div}(m(\rho^h(t, x)) \nabla \phi^h(t, x)) \text{ in } [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.3)$$

We define

$$\mathbf{A}^h(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t, x)) |\nabla \phi^h(t, x)|^2 dx.$$

To prove that S_δ is a λ -flow in our modified Wasserstein distance we need the following lemma which is the Eulerian calculus in our metric. Its proof is an adaptation of the proof of [14, formula 76].

Lemma 3.2 *Let $m(r) = (r + \tau^{1/10})^\alpha$ or $m(r) = (r + 1)^{\tau^{1/10}}$. Let $\mathbf{V}_\delta : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by*

$$\mathbf{V}_\delta(v) := \langle \varphi, v \rangle + \delta \mathbf{U}(v) \text{ for every } v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (3.4)$$

Then for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and $h \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_h \mathbf{A}^h(t) + \partial_t \mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho^h(t)) \leq -\lambda_\delta t \mathbf{A}^h(t), \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\lambda_\delta = -\frac{1}{2\delta} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^\infty}^2 \sup_{a>0} (m(a) |m''(a)|) - \|D^2 \varphi\|_{L^\infty} \sup_{a>0} |m'(a)|.$$

Proof. First, we have

$$\partial_h \rho^h(t) = t(\partial_z \mathbf{S}_{\delta,z})_{z=ht}(\rho(t)) = t \operatorname{div}(m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi) + \delta t \Delta \rho^h(t). \quad (3.6)$$

Hence, thanks to (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \partial_h \rho^h(t) &= \delta \Delta \rho^h(t) + \operatorname{div}(m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi) - t \operatorname{div} \left[\operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n^h(t)) \nabla \phi^h(t)) m'(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi \right] \\ &\quad - \delta t \Delta \left[\operatorname{div}(m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \phi^h(t)) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

For every $t \in [0, 1]$ and $h \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho^h(t)) &= \langle \varphi, \partial_t \rho^h(t) \rangle + \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U'(\rho^h(t)) \partial_t \rho^h(t) \\
&= -\langle \varphi, \operatorname{div}(m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \phi^h(t)) \rangle - \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U'(\rho^h(t)) \operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n^h(t)) \nabla \phi_n^h(t)) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi \nabla \phi^h(t) + \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U''(\rho^h(t)) m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla \rho^h(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $U''(\rho_n^h(t)) m(\rho_n^h(t)) = 1$, we get

$$\partial_t \mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho^h(t)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi \nabla \phi^h(t) - \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^h(t) \Delta \phi^h(t). \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \partial_h \mathbf{A}^h(t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_h m(\rho^h) |\nabla \phi^h|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_h (m(\rho^h) \nabla \phi^h) \nabla \phi^h \\
&\stackrel{(3.3)}{=} -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_h \rho^h m'(\rho^h) |\nabla \phi^h|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t \partial_h \rho^h) \phi^h.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_h \rho^h m'(\rho^h) |\nabla \phi^h|^2 = -\frac{t}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\operatorname{div}(m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi) + \delta \Delta \rho^h(t) \right] m'(\rho^h) |\nabla \phi^h|^2 \\
&= \frac{t}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi + \delta \nabla \rho^h(t) \right] \nabla (m'(\rho^h) |\nabla \phi_n^h|^2) \\
&= \frac{t\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m''(\rho^h) |\nabla \rho^h(t)|^2 |\nabla \phi^h|^2 + \frac{t\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m'(\rho^h) \nabla \rho^h(t) \nabla (|\nabla \phi^h|^2) \\
&+ \frac{t}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) m''(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi \nabla \rho^h |\nabla \phi^h|^2 + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) m'(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi^h|^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{t\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m''(\rho^h) |\nabla \rho^h(t)|^2 |\nabla \phi^h|^2 - t\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h) \Delta \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi^h|^2 \right) \\
&+ \frac{t}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) m''(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi \nabla \rho^h |\nabla \phi^h|^2 + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) m'(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi^h|^2 \right). \quad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t \partial_h \rho^h) \phi^h = \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^h(t) \Delta \phi^h - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi \nabla \phi^h(t) \\
&+ t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}(m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \phi^h(t)) m'(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi \nabla \phi^h - \delta t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}(m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \phi^h(t)) \Delta \phi^h \\
&\stackrel{(3.8)}{=} -\partial_t \mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho^h(t)) - t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla \left[m'(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \varphi \nabla \phi^h \right] + \delta t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla (\Delta \phi^h) \\
&= -\partial_t \mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho^h(t)) - t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) m'(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla (\nabla \varphi \nabla \phi^h) \\
&- t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) m''(\rho^h(t)) (\nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla \rho^h) (\nabla \varphi \nabla \phi^h) + \delta t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) \nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla (\Delta \phi^h).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.10), (3.9) and (3.8), one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \partial_h \mathbf{A}^h(t) + \partial_t \mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho^h(t)) \\
&= \frac{t\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m''(\rho^h) |\nabla \rho^h(t)|^2 |\nabla \phi^h|^2 + t\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h) \left[-\Delta \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi^h|^2 \right) + \nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla (\Delta \phi^h) \right] \\
&+ \frac{t}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) m''(\rho^h(t)) \left(\nabla \varphi \nabla \rho^h |\nabla \phi^h|^2 - 2(\nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla \rho^h) (\nabla \varphi \nabla \phi^h) \right) \\
&+ t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) m'(\rho^h(t)) \left(\nabla \varphi \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi^h|^2 \right) - \nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla (\nabla \varphi \nabla \phi^h) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $m'' \leq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\Delta \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi^h|^2 \right) + \nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla (\Delta \phi^h) = -|\nabla^2 \phi^h|^2 \text{ (the Bochner formula),} \\
& \left| \nabla \varphi \nabla \rho^h |\nabla \phi^h|^2 - 2(\nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla \rho^h) (\nabla \varphi \nabla \phi^h) \right| \leq 2|\nabla \varphi| |\nabla \phi^h|^2 |\nabla \rho^h|, \\
& \left| \nabla \varphi \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi^h|^2 \right) - \nabla \phi^h(t) \nabla (\nabla \varphi \nabla \phi^h) \right| \leq |\nabla^2 \varphi| |\nabla \phi^h|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \partial_h \mathbf{A}^h(t) + \partial_t \mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho^h(t)) \leq -\frac{t\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |m''(\rho^h)| |\nabla \rho^h(t)|^2 |\nabla \phi^h|^2 \\
&+ t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(m(\rho^h(t)) |m''(\rho^h(t))|^{1/2} |\nabla \varphi| |\nabla \phi^h| \right) \left(|m''(\rho^h(t))|^{1/2} |\nabla \phi^h| |\nabla \rho^h| \right) \\
&+ t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) |m'(\rho^h(t))| |D^2 \varphi| |\nabla \phi^h|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{t}{2\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |m(\rho^h(t))|^2 |m''(\rho^h(t))| |\nabla \varphi|^2 |\nabla \phi^h|^2 + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho^h(t)) |m'(\rho^h(t))| |D^2 \varphi| |\nabla \phi^h|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_h \mathbf{A}^h(t) + \partial_t \mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho^h(t)) \leq -\lambda_\delta t \mathbf{A}^h(t)$$

with

$$\lambda_\delta = -\frac{1}{2\delta} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^\infty}^2 \sup_{a \geq 0} (m(a) |m''(a)|) - \|D^2 \varphi\|_{L^\infty} \sup_{a \geq 0} |m'(a)|. \quad (3.11)$$

The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Now in the following lemma we are ready to establish our crucial flow interchange of our scheme via proving that S_δ is a λ -flow in the modified Wasserstein distance.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a test function. Let $m(r) = (r + \tau^{1/10})^\alpha$ or $m(r) = (r + 1)^\tau$. Let $\delta > 0$, we define the function $\mathbf{V}_\delta : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$\mathbf{V}_\delta(v) := \langle \varphi, v \rangle + \delta \mathbf{U}(v) \text{ for every } v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (3.12)$$

Then there holds

$$\mathbf{V}_\delta(u_\tau^k) - \mathbf{V}_\delta(u_\tau^{k-1}) \leq -\tau \delta \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}}^2 + \tau \langle \operatorname{div} \left(m(u_\tau^k) \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u_\tau^k \right), \varphi \rangle - \frac{\lambda_\delta}{2} \mathbf{W}_m^2(u_\tau^k, u_\tau^{k-1}) \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\lambda_\delta = -\frac{1}{2\delta} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^\infty}^2 \sup_{a>0} (m(a)|m''(a)|) - \|D^2\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \sup_{a>0} |m'(a)|.$$

In particular,

$$\mathbf{V}_\delta(u_\tau^k) - \mathbf{V}_\delta(u_\tau^{k-1}) \leq \tau \langle \operatorname{div} \left(m(u_\tau^k) \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u_\tau^k \right), \varphi \rangle - \frac{\tau \lambda_\delta}{2} \left(\|u_\tau^{k-1}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 - \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 \right). \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. First, (3.14) follows from (3.13) and the fact that

$$\frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{W}_m^2(u_\tau^k, u_\tau^{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_\tau^{k-1}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2.$$

We now prove (3.13). We consider the equation

$$\partial_t v_t - \operatorname{div}(m(v_t) \nabla \varphi) - \delta \Delta v_t = 0 \text{ in } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.15)$$

Clearly, the equation (3.15) has the uniqueness solution with initial data $v_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We define the semigroup \mathbf{S}_δ by $\mathbf{S}_{\delta,t} v_0 = v_t$ for every $t > 0$. By the definition of u_τ^k as a minimizer of (1.8):

$$\frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{W}_m^2(u_\tau^k, u_\tau^{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{W}_m^2(\mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}(u_\tau^k), u_\tau^{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}(u_\tau^k)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}(u_\tau^k)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 - \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2}{2h} &= \langle (-\Delta)^{-s} u_\tau^k, (\partial_h \mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}(u_\tau^k))_{h=0} \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(3.15)}{=} \langle (-\Delta)^{-s} u_\tau^k, \operatorname{div}(m(u_\tau^k) \nabla \varphi) + \delta \Delta u_\tau^k \rangle \\ &= -\delta \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}}^2 + \langle \operatorname{div} \left(m(u_\tau^k) \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u_\tau^k \right), \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So, it is enough to show that

$$\frac{1}{2} \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{W}_m^2(\mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}(\xi), \mu)^2 - \mathbf{W}_m^2(\xi, \mu)^2}{h} + \frac{\lambda_\delta}{2} \mathbf{W}_m^2(\mu, \xi)^2 + \mathbf{V}_\delta(\xi) \leq \mathbf{V}_\delta(\mu) \quad (3.16)$$

for any $\mu, \xi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mathbf{V}_\delta(\mu), \mathbf{V}_\delta(\xi), \mathbf{W}_m^2(\mu, \xi) < \infty$.

Let ρ_n be in Lemma 2.1 with $\mu^0 = \mu, \mu^1 = \xi$. Set for $h > 0, t > 0$,

$$\rho_n^h(t) = \mathbf{S}_{\delta,ht} v_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

with $v_0 = \rho_n(t)$.

Let ϕ_n^h be a unique solution to

$$\partial_t \rho_n^h(t, x) = -\operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n^h(t, x)) \nabla \phi_n^h(t, x)) \text{ in } [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Set

$$\mathbf{A}_n^h(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho_n^h(t, x)) |\nabla \phi_n^h(t, x)|^2 dx.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{W}_m^2(\mu, \xi)^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \mathbf{A}_n^0(t) dt. \quad (3.17)$$

From Lemma 3.2 we get that

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_h \mathbf{A}_n^h(t) + \partial_t \mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) \leq -\lambda_\delta t \mathbf{A}_n^h(t) \quad (3.18)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in [0, 1]$ and $h \geq 0$.

Now, we show that (3.18) implies (3.16). Indeed, (3.18) gives for $\mathbf{c} = \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\partial_h \int_0^1 e^{2\lambda_\delta th} \mathbf{A}_n^h(t) dt &\leq - \int_0^1 e^{2\lambda_\delta th} \partial_t (\mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) + \mathbf{c}) dt \\ &= \mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho_n(0)) + \mathbf{c} - e^{2\lambda_\delta h} (\mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho_n^h(1)) + \mathbf{c}) + 2\lambda_\delta h \int_0^1 e^{2\lambda_\delta th} (\mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) + \mathbf{c}) dt \\ &\leq \mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho_n(0)) + \mathbf{c} - e^{2\lambda_\delta h} (\mathbf{V}_\delta(\mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}\rho_n(1)) + \mathbf{c}), \end{aligned}$$

here we have used the fact that $\mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) + \mathbf{c} \geq 0$ and $\lambda_\delta < 0$.

So,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 e^{2\lambda_\delta th} \mathbf{A}_n^h(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathbf{A}_n^0(t) dt + h(\mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho_n(0)) + \mathbf{c}) - \frac{1 - e^{2\lambda_\delta h}}{-2\lambda_\delta} (\mathbf{V}_\delta(\mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}\rho_n(1)) + \mathbf{c}), \quad (3.19)$$

since the map $h \mapsto \mathbf{V}_\delta(\mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}\rho_n(1)) + \mathbf{c}$ is decreasing.

For every positive function $\theta \in C^1([0, 1])$, we have

$$\partial_t \rho_n^h(\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(t), x) = -\operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n^h(\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(t), x)) \nabla((\tilde{\theta}^{-1})'(t) \phi_n^h(\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(t), x))),$$

and

$$\rho_n^h(\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(0), x) = \rho_n^h(0, x), \quad \rho_n^h(\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(1), x) = \rho_n^h(1, x),$$

with

$$\tilde{\theta}(t) = \left[\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\theta(z)} dz \right]^{-1} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\theta(z)} dz, \quad \text{and } \tilde{\theta}^{-1} \text{ is the inverse of } \tilde{\theta}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_m^2(\rho_n(0), \mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}\rho_n(1)) &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ((\tilde{\theta}^{-1})'(z))^2 m(\rho_n^h(\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(z), x)) |\nabla \phi_n^h(\tilde{\theta}^{-1}(z), x)|^2 dx dz \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\tilde{\theta}^{-1})'(\tilde{\theta}(t)) m(\rho_n^h(t, x)) |\nabla \phi_n^h(t, x)|^2 dx dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\theta(r)} dr \int_0^1 \theta(t) \mathbf{A}_n^h(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this to $\theta(t) = e^{2\lambda_\delta th}$ to get

$$\mathbf{W}_m^2(\rho_n(0), \mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}\rho_n(1)) \leq \frac{e^{-2\lambda_\delta h} - 1}{-2\lambda_\delta h} \int_0^1 e^{2\lambda_\delta th} \mathbf{A}_n^h(t) dt. \quad (3.20)$$

Combining (3.19) with (3.20) yield

$$\frac{-\lambda_\delta h}{e^{-2\lambda_\delta h} - 1} \mathbf{W}_m^2(\rho_n(0), \mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}\rho_n(1)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathbf{A}_n^0(t) dt + h(\mathbf{V}_\delta(\rho_n(0)) + \mathbf{c}) - \frac{1 - e^{2\lambda_\delta h}}{-2\lambda_\delta} (\mathbf{V}_\delta(\mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}\rho_n(1)) + \mathbf{c}).$$

From (3.17), lower semicontinuity of \mathbf{W}_m^2 , continuity of $S_{\delta,h}$ and \mathbf{V}_δ under $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\frac{-\lambda_\delta h}{e^{-2\lambda_\delta h} - 1} \mathbf{W}_m^2(\mu, \mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}\xi) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{W}_m^2(\mu, \xi)^2 + h(\mathbf{V}_\delta(\mu) + \mathbf{c}) - \frac{1 - e^{2\lambda_\delta h}}{-2\lambda_\delta} (\mathbf{V}_\delta(\mathbf{S}_{\delta,h}\xi) + \mathbf{c}).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-\lambda_\delta h}{e^{-2\lambda_\delta h} - 1} \frac{\mathbf{W}_m^2(\mu, \mathbf{S}_{\delta, h}\xi) - \mathbf{W}_m^2(\mu, \xi)}{h} + \frac{1}{h} \left[\frac{-\lambda_\delta h}{e^{-2\lambda_\delta h} - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \mathbf{W}_m^2(\mu, \xi) \\ & \leq \mathbf{V}_\delta(\mu) + \mathbf{c} - \frac{1 - e^{2\lambda_\delta h}}{-2\lambda_\delta h} (\mathbf{V}_\delta(\mathbf{S}_{\delta, h}\xi) + \mathbf{c}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $h \rightarrow 0$, we obtain (3.16). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

4 Proofs of the main results

Now we are ready to prove our main results.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap (L^2 \cap \dot{H}^{-s})(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $m(r) = (r + \tau^{1/10})^\alpha$ or $m(r) = (r + 1)\tau^{\frac{1}{10}}$. Let $\tau > 0$ and $\{u_\tau^k\}$ be the sequence and \bar{u}_τ be the solution of the scheme (1.8)- (1.9). Then $u_\tau^k \in \dot{H}^{1-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every $k \geq 1$ and there holds,*

$$\tau \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}}^2 \leq \mathbf{U}(u_\tau^{k-1}) - \mathbf{U}(u_\tau^k). \quad (4.1)$$

In particular, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbf{U}(u_\tau^k) + \int_0^{k\tau} \|\bar{u}_\tau(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}}^2 dt \leq \mathbf{U}(u_\tau^0) = \mathbf{U}(u_0). \quad (4.2)$$

Furthermore, for every sequence $\tau_n \searrow 0$ there exist a subsequence, still denoted by τ_n , and $u : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_{\tau_n} & \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)) \text{ for every } T > 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1 - s, \\ \bar{u}_{\tau_n} & \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{1-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \text{ for every } T > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Let \mathbf{P}_t be the semigroup associated to the heat equation $u_t - \Delta u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d . By the definition of u_τ^k as a minimizer of (1.8):

$$\frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{W}_m^2(u_\tau^k, u_\tau^{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{W}_m^2(\mathbf{P}_h(u_\tau^k), u_\tau^{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{P}_h(u_\tau^k)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2,$$

and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_h(u_\tau^k)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 - \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2}{2h} = \langle (-\Delta)^{-s} u_\tau^k, (\partial_h \mathbf{P}_h(u_\tau^k))_{h=0} \rangle = -\|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}}^2.$$

So, to obtain (4.1) we need to show that

$$\frac{1}{2} \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{W}_m^2(\mathbf{P}_h(\xi), \mu)^2 - \mathbf{W}_m^2(\xi, \mu)^2}{h} + \mathbf{U}(\xi) \leq \mathbf{U}(\mu) \quad (4.4)$$

for any $\mu, \xi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mathbf{V}_\delta(\mu), \mathbf{V}_\delta(\xi) < \infty, \mathbf{W}_m(\mu, \xi) < \infty$.

Let ρ_n be in Lemma 2.1 with $\mu^0 = \mu, \mu^1 = \xi$. For $h > 0$, let $\rho_n^h(t) = \mathbf{P}_{ht}(\rho_n(t)) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and ϕ_n^h be the unique solution to $\partial_t \rho_n^h = -\operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n^h) \nabla \phi_n^h)$ in $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Applying Lemma 3.2 for $\varphi = 0$ we get that

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho_n^h(t, x)) |\nabla \phi_n^h(t, x)|^2 dx + \partial_t \mathbf{U}(\rho_n^h(t)) \leq 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3, (4.4) follows from (4.5).

By definition (1.9),

$$\int_0^{k\tau} \|\bar{u}_\tau(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}}^2 dt = \sum_{j=1}^k \tau \|u_\tau^j\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}}^2.$$

So, (4.2) follows from this and (4.1).

From (4.2), $\{\bar{u}_{\tau_n}\}$ is bounded and hence converges weakly to u in $L^2((0, T), \dot{H}^{1-s}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for $T < \infty$. By compact embeddings of Sobolev spaces and the dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that \bar{u}_{τ_n} converges weakly to u in $L^2((0, T), \dot{H}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for $T < \infty$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1 - s$. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.2 *Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap (L^2 \cap \dot{H}^{-s})(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\{\tau_n\}$ be a positive sequence converging to 0, \bar{u}_τ be the solution of the scheme (1.8)- (1.9) with $m_n(r) = (r + \tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}})^\alpha$. Then u is a weak solution to*

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(u^\alpha \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u) = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

with $u(t) \rightarrow u_0$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap (L^2 \cap \dot{H}^{-s})(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As the set of all finite sums of functions of the type $\psi(t, x) = \eta(t)\varphi(x)$ with $\eta \in C_c^\infty(0, +\infty)$ and $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $C_c^\infty((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, to prove (4.6) we only need to prove that

$$-\int_0^{+\infty} \eta'(t) \langle u(t), \varphi \rangle dt = \int_0^{+\infty} \eta(t) \langle \operatorname{div}(u^\alpha(t) \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u(t)), \varphi \rangle dt,$$

for every $\eta \in C_c^\infty(0, +\infty)$ and $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

First, we recall $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2, \mathbf{U}(u_0) < \infty$. Given a test function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\delta, \tau > 0, m(r) = (r + \tau^{\frac{1}{10}})^\alpha$. Applying Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\lambda_\delta \sim -\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\delta \tau^{\frac{1-\alpha}{5}}} + \alpha \tau^{\frac{\alpha-1}{10}} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

From Lemma 4.1 we know that $\bar{u}_{\tau_n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^2(0, T, \dot{H}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for every $T > 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1 - s$. Let $\delta_n = \tau_n^{1/2}$ and $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$. Let $\bar{\eta}_n : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be the simple function defined by

$$\bar{\eta}_n(t) := \eta((k-1)\tau_n) \text{ for every } (k-1)\tau < t \leq k\tau, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (1.9),

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_0^{+\infty} \eta'(t) \mathbf{V}_{\delta_n}(\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)) dt &= -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{(k-1)\tau}^{k\tau} \eta'(t) \mathbf{V}_{\delta_n}(u_{\tau_n}^k) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\eta((k-1)\tau_n) - \eta(k\tau_n)) \mathbf{V}_{\delta_n}(u_{\tau_n}^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta((k-1)\tau_n) (\mathbf{V}_{\delta_n}(u_{\tau_n}^k) - \mathbf{V}_{\delta_n}(u_{\tau_n}^{k-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (3.14) in Lemma 3.3 and $\|u_{\tau_n}^{k-1}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 - \|u_{\tau_n}^k\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 \geq 0$, there exists $C(\varphi) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^{+\infty} \eta'(t) \mathbf{V}_{\delta_n}(\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)) dt \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta((k-1)\tau_n) \tau_n \langle \operatorname{div} \left((\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) + \tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}})^{\alpha} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u_{\tau_n}^k \right), \varphi \rangle \\
& + C(\varphi) \tau_n \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\delta_n \tau_n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{5}}} + \tau_n^{\frac{\alpha-1}{10}} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta((k-1)\tau_n) \left(\|u_{\tau_n}^{k-1}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 - \|u_{\tau_n}^k\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 \right) \\
& \leq \int_0^{\infty} \eta_n(t) \langle \operatorname{div} \left((\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) + \tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}})^{\alpha} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) \right), \varphi \rangle dt + C(\varphi) \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}} \left(\tau_n^{\frac{2\alpha+3}{10}} + \tau_n^{\frac{9+\alpha}{10}} \right) \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exist $C, C(\eta, u_0) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^{+\infty} \eta'(t) \langle \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t), \varphi \rangle dt \stackrel{(3.12), (4.2)}{\leq} \int_0^{\infty} \eta_n(t) \langle \operatorname{div} (\bar{u}_{\tau_n}^{\alpha}(t) \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)), \varphi \rangle dt \\
& + C(\varphi) \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}} \left(\tau_n^{\frac{2\alpha+3}{10}} + \tau_n^{\frac{9+\alpha}{10}} \right) \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 + \delta_n \int_0^{+\infty} |\eta'(t)| \mathbf{U}(u_0) dt \\
& + \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_n(t) |(\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) + \tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}})^{\alpha} - \bar{u}_{\tau_n}^{\alpha}(t)| |\nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)| |\nabla \varphi| dx dt \\
& \leq \int_0^{\infty} \eta_n(t) \langle \operatorname{div} (\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)^{\alpha} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)), \varphi \rangle dt + C(\varphi) \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}} \left(\tau_n^{\frac{2\alpha+3}{10}} + \tau_n^{\frac{9+\alpha}{10}} \right) \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 \\
& + C(\eta, u_0) \tau_n^{1/2} + C \tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_n(t) |\nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)| |\nabla \varphi| dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.3) and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$- \int_0^{+\infty} \eta'(t) \langle u(t), \varphi \rangle dt \leq \int_0^{\infty} \eta(t) \langle \operatorname{div} (u^{\alpha}(t) \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u(t)), \varphi \rangle dt$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\eta \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$, which gives

$$- \int_0^{+\infty} \eta'(t) \langle u(t), \varphi \rangle dt = \int_0^{\infty} \eta(t) \langle \operatorname{div} (u^{\alpha}(t) \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u(t)), \varphi \rangle dt,$$

for every $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\eta \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Thus, we obtain (4.6). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First, by interpolation inequality, $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2, \mathbf{U}(u_0) < \infty$. Given a test function $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\delta, \tau > 0, m(r) = (r+1)\tau^{\frac{1}{10}}$. Applying Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\lambda_{\delta} \sim -\tau^{\frac{1}{10}} \left(\frac{1}{\delta} + 1 \right). \tag{4.8}$$

Let $\delta_n = \tau_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. As the proof of Lemma 4.2, there exists $C(\varphi) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^{+\infty} \eta'(t) \mathbf{V}_{\delta_n}(\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)) dt \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta((k-1)\tau_n) \tau_n \langle \operatorname{div} \left((u_{\tau_n}^k + 1)^{\tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}}} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u_{\tau_n}^k \right), \varphi \rangle \\
& + C(\varphi) \tau_n^{\frac{6}{10}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta((k-1)\tau_n) \left(\|u_{\tau_n}^{k-1}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 - \|u_{\tau_n}^k\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 \right) \\
& \leq \int_0^{\infty} \eta_n(t) \langle \operatorname{div} \left((\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) + 1)^{\tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}}} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) \right), \varphi \rangle dt + C(\varphi) \|\eta\|_{L^\infty} \tau_n^{6/10} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
- \int_0^{+\infty} \eta'(t) \langle \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t), \varphi \rangle dt & \stackrel{(3.12), (4.2)}{\leq} - \int_0^{\infty} \eta_n(t) \langle (-\Delta)^{1-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t), \varphi \rangle dt \\
& + C(\varphi) \|\eta\|_{L^\infty} \tau_n^{6/10} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 + \tau_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{+\infty} |\eta'(t)| \mathbf{U}(u_0) dt \\
& + \tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_n(t) (\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) + 1)^{\tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}}} \log(\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) + 1) |\nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)| |\nabla \varphi| dx dt,
\end{aligned}$$

here we have used the fact that

$$0 \leq (a+1)^{\tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}}} - 1 \leq \tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}} (a+1)^{\tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}}} \log(a+1). \quad (4.9)$$

Moreover, as $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ there exists $T > 0, C(\eta) > 0$ such that for $n \gg 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_n(t) (\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) + 1)^{\tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}}} \log(\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) + 1) |\nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)| |\nabla \varphi| dx dt \\
& \leq C(\eta) \int_0^T \int_{\operatorname{supp} \varphi} (\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) + 1)^{\tau_n^{\frac{1}{10}}} \log(\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) + 1) |\nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)| dx dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\operatorname{supp} \varphi} (\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t) + 1) dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)|^2 dx dt \\
& \stackrel{\text{Holder}}{\leq} C(\eta, \varphi) + C(\eta, \varphi) \int_0^T \|\bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}} dt \leq C(\eta, \varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$- \int_0^{+\infty} \eta'(t) \langle \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t), \varphi \rangle dt \leq - \int_0^{\infty} \eta_n(t) \langle (-\Delta)^{1-s} \bar{u}_{\tau_n}(t), \varphi \rangle dt + C(\varphi, \eta, u_0) \tau_n^{1/10}.$$

By (4.3) and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$- \int_0^{+\infty} \eta'(t) \langle u(t), \varphi \rangle dt \leq - \int_0^{\infty} \eta(t) \langle (-\Delta)^{1-s} u(t), \varphi \rangle dt$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$, which gives

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \eta'(t) \langle u(t), \varphi \rangle dt = \int_0^{\infty} \eta(t) \langle (-\Delta)^{1-s} u(t), \varphi \rangle dt,$$

for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Thus, we obtain (1.20). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and let $f_p : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by $f_p(r) := \frac{r^p}{p-1}$. Let $\tau > 0$ and $m(r) = (r + \tau^{\frac{1}{10}})^\alpha$, we consider the function $P_p : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$P_p(r) := \int_0^r m(z) f_p''(z) dz.$$

Let $\mathcal{U} : P_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be the map defined by

$$\mathcal{U}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_p(u(x)) dx.$$

Lemma 4.3 *Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap (L^2 \cap \dot{H}^{-s})(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 < +\infty$. Let $\tau > 0$ and $\{u_\tau^k\}$ be the sequence and \bar{u}_τ be the solution of the scheme (1.8) - (1.9) with $m(r) = (r + \tau^{\frac{1}{10}})^\alpha$. Let $g \in C^2([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+)$ be convex such that $g(0) = g'(0) = g''(0) = 0$. Then,*

$$0 \leq \tau \|\mathcal{G}(u_\tau^k)\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(u_\tau^{k-1}(x)) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(u_\tau^k(x)) dx, \text{ for every } k \geq 1. \quad (4.10)$$

with $\mathcal{G}(r) = \int_0^r \sqrt{m(z)g''(z)} dz$. In particular,

$$C(d, s)\tau \|\mathcal{G}(u_\tau^k)\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2(1-s)}}}^2 \leq \|u_\tau^{k-1}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p - \|u_\tau^k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p. \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. For $\delta > 0$, we consider the map $\mathbf{U}_\delta : P_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ defined by

$$\mathbf{U}_\delta(u) := \int g(u(x)) dx + \delta \mathbf{U}(u).$$

We consider

$$\partial_t v_t - \Delta \mathbf{G}(v_t) - \delta \Delta v_t = 0 \text{ in } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\mathbf{G}(r) = \int_0^r m(z) g''(z) dz.$$

Clearly, (4.12) has the uniqueness solution with initial data $v_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We define the semigroup \mathbf{K}_δ by $\mathbf{K}_{\delta, t} v_0 = v_t$ for every $t > 0$.

By the definition of u_τ^k as a minimizer of (1.8):

$$\frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{W}_m^2(u_\tau^k, u_\tau^{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{W}_m^2(\mathbf{K}_{\delta, h}(u_\tau^k), u_\tau^{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{K}_{\delta, h}(u_\tau^k)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\mathbf{K}_{\delta, h}(u_\tau^k)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 - \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2}{2h} &= \langle (-\Delta)^{-s} u_\tau^k, (\partial_h \mathbf{K}_{\delta, h}(u_\tau^k))_{h=0} \rangle \\ &= \langle (-\Delta)^{-s} u_\tau^k, \Delta \mathbf{G}(u_\tau^k) + \delta \Delta u_\tau^k \rangle \\ &= -\delta \|u_\tau^k\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}}^2 - \langle u_\tau^k, (-\Delta)^{1-s} \mathbf{G}(u_\tau^k) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So, we get that

$$0 \leq \tau \langle u_\tau^k, (-\Delta)^{1-s} \mathbf{G}(u_\tau^k) \rangle \leq \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{W}_m^2(\mathbf{K}_{\delta, h}(u_\tau^k), u_\tau^{k-1}) - \mathbf{W}_m^2(u_\tau^k, u_\tau^{k-1})}{2h}. \quad (4.13)$$

We prove that

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{W}_m^2(\mathbf{K}_{\delta,h}(\xi), \mu) - \mathbf{W}_m^2(\xi, \mu)}{2h} + \mathbf{U}_\delta(\xi) \leq \mathbf{U}_\delta(\mu) \quad (4.14)$$

for any $\mu, \xi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mathbf{U}_\delta(\mu), \mathbf{U}_\delta(\xi) < \infty, \mathbf{W}_m(\mu, \xi) < \infty$.

Indeed, let ρ_n be in Lemma 2.1 with $\mu^0 = \mu, \mu^1 = \xi$. Set for $h > 0, t > 0, \rho_n^h(t) = \mathbf{K}_{\delta,ht}\rho_n(t) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let ϕ_n^h be a unique solution to $\partial_t \rho_n^h(t, x) = -\operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n^h(t, x))\nabla \phi_n^h(t, x))$ in $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

We prove that

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho_n^h(t, x)) |\nabla \phi_n^h(t, x)|^2 dx + \partial_t \mathbf{U}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) \leq 0 \quad (4.15)$$

for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and $h \geq 0$.

Indeed,

Step 1: one has

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{U}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) &= \langle g'(\rho_n^h(t)), \partial_t \rho_n^h(t) \rangle + \delta \langle \mathbf{U}'(\rho_n^h(t)), \partial_t \rho_n^h(t) \rangle \\ &= -\langle g'(\rho_n^h(t)), \operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n^h(t))\nabla \phi_n^h(t)) \rangle - \delta \langle \mathbf{U}'(\rho_n^h(t)), \operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n^h(t))\nabla \phi_n^h(t)) \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho_n^h(t)) g''(\rho_n^h(t)) \nabla \rho_n^h(t) \nabla \phi_n^h(t) + \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{U}''(\rho_n^h(t)) m(\rho_n^h(t)) \nabla \phi_n^h(t) \nabla \rho_n^h(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{G}'(\rho_n^h(t)) = m(\rho_n^h(t))g''(\rho_n^h(t)), \mathbf{U}''(\rho_n^h(t))m(\rho_n^h(t)) = 1$,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{U}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{G}(\rho_n^h(t)) \Delta \phi_n^h(t) - \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_n^h(t) \Delta \phi_n^h(t). \quad (4.16)$$

Step 2: By (3.9),

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho_n^h(t, x)) |\nabla \phi_n^h(t, x)|^2 dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_h \rho_n^h m'(\rho_n^h) |\nabla \phi_n^h|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t \partial_h \rho_n^h) \phi_n^h.$$

Note that

$$\partial_h \rho_n^h(t) = t \Delta \mathbf{G}(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta t \Delta \rho_n^h(t). \quad (4.17)$$

Hence

$$\partial_t \partial_h \rho_n^h(t) = \delta \Delta \rho_n^h(t) + \Delta \mathbf{G}(\rho_n^h(t)) - t \Delta \left[\operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n^h(t))\nabla \phi_n^h(t)) \left(\mathbf{G}'(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta \right) \right]. \quad (4.18)$$

By (4.17) and $m'' \leq 0, \mathbf{G}' \geq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_h \rho_n^h m'(\rho_n^h) |\nabla \phi_n^h|^2 = -\frac{t}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta \left(\mathbf{G}(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta \rho_n^h(t) \right) m'(\rho_n^h) |\nabla \phi_n^h|^2 \\ &= \frac{t}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \left(\mathbf{G}(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta \rho_n^h(t) \right) \nabla \left(m'(\rho_n^h) |\nabla \phi_n^h|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{t}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\mathbf{G}'(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta \right) m''(\rho_n^h) |\nabla \rho_n^h(t)|^2 |\nabla \phi_n^h|^2 + \frac{t}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\mathbf{G}'(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta \right) m'(\rho_n^h) \nabla \rho_n^h(t) \nabla (|\nabla \phi_n^h|^2) \\ &\leq -t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\rho_n^h) + \delta m(\rho_n^h) \right) \Delta \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_n^h|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(r) = \int_0^r \mathbf{G}'(a) m'(a) da$.

By (4.18),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t \partial_h \rho_n^h) \phi_n^h &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\mathbf{G}(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta \rho_n^h(t) \right) \Delta \phi_n^h - t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}(m(\rho_n^h(t)) \nabla \phi_n^h(t)) \left(\mathbf{G}'(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta \right) \Delta \phi_n^h \\
&\stackrel{(4.16)}{=} -\partial_t \mathbf{U}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\mathbf{G}'(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta \right) m(\rho_n^h(t)) \nabla \phi_n^h(t) \nabla (\Delta \phi_n^h) \\
&+ t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{G}''(\rho_n^h(t)) m(\rho_n^h(t)) \left(\nabla \phi_n^h(t) \nabla \rho_n^h(t) \right) \Delta \phi_n^h \\
&= -\partial_t \mathbf{U}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\mathbf{G}'(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta \right) m(\rho_n^h(t)) \nabla \phi_n^h(t) \nabla (\Delta \phi_n^h) + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\nabla \phi_n^h(t) \nabla \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\rho_n^h(t)) \right) \Delta \phi_n^h,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{G}}(r) = \int_0^r \mathbf{G}''(a) m(a) da \geq 0$.

Since $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(r) = \mathbf{G}'(r) m(r) - \hat{\mathbf{G}}(r)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t \partial_h \rho_n^h) \phi_n^h &= -\partial_t \mathbf{U}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\mathbf{G}'(\rho_n^h(t)) m(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta m(\rho_n^h(t)) \right) \nabla \phi_n^h(t) \nabla (\Delta \phi_n^h) \\
&- t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\rho_n^h(t)) (\Delta \phi_n^h)^2 - t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\rho_n^h(t)) \nabla \phi_n^h(t) \nabla (\Delta \phi_n^h) \\
&\leq -\partial_t \mathbf{U}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta m(\rho_n^h(t)) \right) \nabla \phi_n^h(t) \nabla (\Delta \phi_n^h).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \partial_h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\rho_n^h(t, x)) |\nabla \phi_n^h(t, x)|^2 dx + \partial_t \mathbf{U}_\delta(\rho_n^h(t)) \\
&\leq t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\rho_n^h(t)) + \delta m(\rho_n^h(t)) \right) \left(\nabla \phi_n^h(t) \nabla \Delta \phi_n^h - \Delta \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_n^h|^2 \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$-\Delta \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_n^h|^2 \right) + \nabla \phi_n^h(t) \nabla \Delta \phi_n^h = -|D^2 \phi_n^h|^2 \leq 0,$$

we get (4.15).

As the proof of step 2 of Lemma 3.3, (4.14) follows from (4.15).

Combining (4.13) and (4.14) we obtain

$$0 \leq \tau \left\langle u_\tau^k, (-\Delta)^{1-s} (\mathbf{G}(u_\tau^k)) \right\rangle \leq \mathbf{U}_\delta(u_\tau^{k-1}) - \mathbf{U}_\delta(u_\tau^k).$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$0 \leq \tau \left\langle u_\tau^k, (-\Delta)^{1-s} (\mathbf{G}(u_\tau^k)) \right\rangle \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(u_\tau^{k-1}(x)) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(u_\tau^k(x)) dx. \quad (4.19)$$

Since $\mathcal{G}'(t) = \sqrt{\mathbf{G}'(t)}$, one has

$$(\mathcal{G}(a) - \mathcal{G}(b))^2 = \left(\int_a^b \mathcal{G}'(t) dt \right)^2 \leq \left(\int_a^b 1 dt \right) \left(\int_a^b (\mathcal{G}'(t))^2 dt \right) = (b-a)(\mathcal{G}(b) - \mathcal{G}(a)).$$

for any $b \geq a$. So,

$$\|\mathcal{G}(u_\tau^k)\|_{\dot{H}^{1-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \left\langle \mathcal{G}(u_\tau^k), (-\Delta)^{1-s} (\mathcal{G}(u_\tau^k)) \right\rangle \leq \left\langle u_\tau^k, (-\Delta)^{1-s} (\mathbf{G}(u_\tau^k)) \right\rangle. \quad (4.20)$$

Thus, (4.10) follows from (4.19) and (4.20). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.4 Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $\tau > 0$, $\{u_\tau^k\}$ be the sequence and \bar{u}_τ be the solution of the scheme (1.8)-(1.9) with $m(r) = (r + \tau \frac{1}{10})^\alpha$. Let $d \geq 2$, $0 < s < 1$ and $C(d, s)$ as in Lemma 4.3. Then for any $L \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p \leq 2^L$, we have

$$\|\bar{u}_\tau(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq (\lambda(t + k_0\tau))^{-\frac{(1-1/p)d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}}, \quad (4.21)$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{C(d, s)}{\sup_{1 \leq n \leq L} \sup_{k \geq k_0} k \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1}\right)^{\frac{(2^n-1)d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}} - 1 \right)} \quad (4.22)$$

and

$$(\lambda\tau)^{-\frac{d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}} \geq \|u_0\|_\infty. \quad (4.23)$$

Proof. Applying (4.11) to $g(z) = z^p$ for $p > 2$ to get

$$C(d, s)\tau \|\mathcal{G}(u_\tau^k)\|_{\frac{2d}{d-2(1-s)}}^2 \leq \|u_\tau^{k-1}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p - \|u_\tau^k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p.$$

From now on, for simplicity we write $\|f\|_q$ for $\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.

Since $\mathcal{G}(r) = \int_0^r \sqrt{m(z)g''(z)}dz \geq \frac{2\sqrt{p(p-1)}}{\alpha+p} r^{\frac{\alpha+p}{2}}$, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$c\tau \|u_\tau^k\|_{\frac{(\alpha+p)d}{d-2(1-s)}}^{\alpha+p} \leq \|u_\tau^{k-1}\|_p^p - \|u_\tau^k\|_p^p. \quad (4.24)$$

By interpolation inequality, one has for $1 \leq q < p$

$$c\tau \|u_\tau^k\|_p^{\frac{\alpha+p-1+\frac{2(1-s)}{d}}{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}} \|u_\tau^k\|_q^{-\frac{\alpha}{p}+\frac{2(1-s)}{d}} \leq \|u_\tau^{k-1}\|_p^p - \|u_\tau^k\|_p^p.$$

Now we apply this to $p = 2^n$ and $q = 2^{n-1}$ for $n = 1, \dots$,

$$c\tau \|u_\tau^k\|_{2^n}^{2\alpha+2^n+\frac{2^{n+1}(1-s)}{d}} \|u_\tau^k\|_{2^{n-1}}^{-\alpha-\frac{2^{n+1}(1-s)}{d}} \leq \|u_\tau^{k-1}\|_{2^n}^{2^n} - \|u_\tau^k\|_{2^n}^{2^n}.$$

For every $\lambda > 0, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider

$$\mathfrak{g}_{2^n, k} = (\lambda(k + k_0)\tau)^{-\frac{(1-2^{-n})d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}} \text{ for } k_0 \geq 1. \quad (4.25)$$

One has for $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathfrak{g}_{2^n, k-1}^{2^n} - \mathfrak{g}_{2^n, k}^{2^n}}{\mathfrak{g}_{2^n, k}^{2\alpha+2^n+\frac{2^{n+1}(1-s)}{d}} \mathfrak{g}_{2^{n-1}, k}^{-\alpha-\frac{2^{n+1}(1-s)}{d}}} &= \lambda\tau \frac{(k-1+k_0)^{-\frac{(2^n-1)d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}} - (k+k_0)^{-\frac{(2^n-1)d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}}}{(k+k_0)^{-1-\frac{(2^n-1)d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}}} \\ &= \lambda\tau(k+k_0) \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1+k_0}\right)^{\frac{(2^n-1)d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\lambda = \lambda(k_0, L) = \frac{c}{\sup_{1 \leq n \leq L} \sup_{k \geq k_0} k \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1}\right)^{\frac{(2^n-1)d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}} - 1 \right)} \quad (4.26)$$

and

$$(\lambda k_0 \tau)^{-\frac{d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}} \geq \|u_0\|_\infty, \quad (4.27)$$

then, for any $n = 1, \dots, L$

$$c\tau \mathbf{g}_{2^n, k}^{2\alpha+2n+\frac{2^{n+1}(1-s)}{d}} \mathbf{g}_{2^{n-1}, k}^{-\alpha-\frac{2^{n+1}(1-s)}{d}} \geq \mathbf{g}_{2^n, k-1}^{2^n} - \mathbf{g}_{2^n, k}^{2^n}, \quad (4.28)$$

and $\mathbf{g}_{2^n, 0} \geq \|\bar{u}_\tau(0)\|_{2^n}$, $\mathbf{g}_{1, k} = 1$.

Therefore, using induction it is easy to check that for any $n = 1, \dots, L$

$$\|u_\tau^k\|_{2^n} \leq \mathbf{g}_{2^n, k} = (\lambda(k + k_0)\tau)^{-\frac{(1-2^{-n})d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}}. \quad (4.29)$$

This gives

$$\|\bar{u}_\tau(t)\|_{2^n} \leq (\lambda(t + k_0\tau))^{-\frac{(1-2^{-n})d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}} \quad (4.30)$$

for any $n = 1, \dots, L$.

By interpolation inequality, we obtain

$$\|\bar{u}_\tau(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq (\lambda(t + k_0\tau))^{-\frac{(1-1/p)d}{d\alpha+2(1-s)}} \quad (4.31)$$

for any $1 \leq p \leq 2^L$. So, we finish to prove (4.21). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.5 *Let $d \geq 2$, $0 < s < 1$ and $C(d, s)$ as in Lemma 4.3. Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $\tau > 0$, $\{u_\tau^k\}$ be the sequence and \bar{u}_τ be the solution of the scheme (1.8)-(1.9) with $m(r) = (r + \tau^{\frac{1}{10}})^\alpha$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $t_1 > 0$ and $\Lambda > 0$, we have*

$$\sup_{t \geq t_1} \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda)_+\|_2^2 + \int_{t_1+\tau}^\infty \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda)_+\|_{\frac{(\alpha+2)d}{d-2(1-s)}}^{\alpha+2} dt \leq C \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t_1) - \Lambda)_+\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (4.32)$$

Moreover, if $\tau < 2^{-4}$ and $u_0 \in L^2$, there exists $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, s, \alpha, d) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 1} \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda_0)_+\|_2^2 + \int_1^\infty \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda_0)_+\|_{\frac{(\alpha+2)d}{d-2(1-s)}}^{\alpha+2} dt \leq C \tau^{\frac{d(\alpha+1)}{2(1-s)}+2}. \quad (4.33)$$

Proof. Let $\Lambda > 0$. Applying (4.11) to $g(z) = (z - \Lambda)_+^p$ for $p > 2$ to get

$$C(d, s)\tau \|\mathcal{G}(u_\tau^k)\|_{\frac{2d}{d-2(1-s)}}^2 \leq \| (u_\tau^{k-1} - \Lambda)_+ \|_p^p - \| (u_\tau^k - \Lambda)_+ \|_p^p.$$

Letting $p \rightarrow 2$, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$c\tau \| (u_\tau^k - \Lambda)_+ \|_{\frac{(\alpha+2)d}{d-2(1-s)}}^{\alpha+2} \leq \| (u_\tau^{k-1} - \Lambda)_+ \|_{L^2}^2 - \| (u_\tau^k - \Lambda)_+ \|_2^2. \quad (4.34)$$

for any $\Lambda > 0$. So,

$$\sup_{t \geq t_1} \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda)_+\|_2^2 + c \int_{t_1+\tau}^\infty \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda)_+\|_{\frac{(\alpha+2)d}{d-2(1-s)}}^{\alpha+2} dt \leq 3 \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t_1) - \Lambda)_+\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (4.35)$$

for any $t_1 \geq 0$ and $\Lambda > 0$. This implies (4.32).

Next, let us set $T_n = 1 - 2^{-n}$, $\Lambda_n = \Lambda(1 - 2^{-n})$, and $u_{\tau, n}(t) = (\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda_n)_+$, for every $1 \leq n \leq \left\lceil \frac{|\log(\tau)|}{\log(2)} \right\rceil - 3$.

And, we denote a level set of energy by

$$U_n = \sup_{t \geq T_n} \|u_{\tau, n}(t)\|_2^2 + \int_{T_n}^\infty \|u_{\tau, n}(t)\|_{\frac{(\alpha+2)d}{d-2(1-s)}}^{\alpha+2} dt$$

We have

$$U_1 \leq C \|u(0)\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (4.36)$$

and

$$U_n \leq C \inf_{t \in [T_{n-1}, T_n]} \|u_{\tau, n}(t)\|_2^2 \leq C 2^n \|u_{\tau, n}(t)\|_{L^2([T_{n-1}, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^2$$

Since

$$\begin{cases} u_{\tau, n} \leq u_{\tau, n-1}, \\ \mathbf{1}_{u_{\tau, n} > 0} \leq \mathbf{1}_{u_{\tau, n-1} > \Lambda 2^{-n}}, \\ \|u_{\tau, n}\|_{L^{\frac{d(\alpha+2)+4(1-s)}{d}}([T_n, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C U_n^{\frac{d+2(1-s)}{d}}, \end{cases}$$

one has for any $n \geq 1$

$$U_n \leq C 2^n \|u_{\tau, n-1}(t)\|_{L^2([T_{n-1}, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq C 2^n \left(\frac{2^n}{\Lambda}\right)^{\frac{d\alpha+4(1-s)}{d}} U_{n-1}^{\frac{d+2(1-s)}{d}}$$

Combining this with (4.36), we can find $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda(\|u_0\|_{L^2}, d, s, \alpha) > 0$ such that

$$U_n \leq 2^{-c_0 n}, \quad c_0 = \frac{d(\alpha+1)}{2(1-s)} + 2 \quad (4.37)$$

for any $n = 2, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{|\log(\tau)|}{\log(2)} \right\rceil - 3$.

Therefore,

$$\sup_{t \geq 1} \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda_0)_+\|_2^2 + \int_1^\infty \|(\bar{u}_\tau(t) - \Lambda_0)_+\|_{\frac{(\alpha+2)d}{d-2(1-s)}}^{\alpha+2} dt \leq 2^{-c_0 \left(\left\lceil \frac{|\log(\tau)|}{\log(2)} \right\rceil - 3\right)} \leq C \tau^{c_0}$$

which implies (4.33). The proof is complete. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.1. i), ii), iii) and iv) follow from Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4, 4.5. ■

References

- [1] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savare, *Gradient Flows in Metric Spaces and in the Space of Probability Measures*, second ed., Lectures in Math. ETH Zuurich, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2008.
- [2] H. Bahouri, J-Y. Chemin, R. Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 343. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [3] J.D. Benamou, J. Brenier. *A computational fluid mechanics solution to the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem*. Numerische Mathematik 84.3 (2000): 375-393.
- [4] J. Carrillo, S. Lisini, G. Savaré, D. Slepčev, *Nonlinear mobility continuity equations and generalized displacement convexity*, J. Funct. Anal. , **258** (2010), 1273–1309.
- [5] L. A. Caffarelli, J. L. Vázquez. *Nonlinear porous medium flow with fractional potential pressure*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **202** (2011), 537–565.
- [6] L. A. Caffarelli, J. L. Vázquez. *Asymptotic behaviour of a porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Systems - A **29**, no. 4 (2011), 1393–1404.

- [7] L. Caffarelli, J. L. Vázquez. *Regularity of solutions of the fractional porous medium flow with exponent 1/2*. Algebra Analiz **27** (2015), no. 3, 125–156 [St. Petersburg Math. Journal **27** (2016), no 3, 437–460].
- [8] L. Caffarelli, F. Soria, J.L. Vázquez, *Regularity of solutions of the fractional porous medium flow*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **15** (2013), no. 5, 1701–1746.
- [9] J. Dolbeault, Jean, B. Nazaret, G. Savaré, *A new class of transport distances between measures*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations., **34** (2009), 193–231.
- [10] S. Daneri, G. Savaré, *Eulerian calculus for the displacement convexity in the Wasserstein distance*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **40** (2008), no. 3, 1104–1122.
- [11] M. Erbar, *Gradient flows of the entropy for jump processes*. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **50** (2014), no. 3, 920–945.
- [12] R. Jordan, D. Kinderlehrer, F. Otto, *The variational formulation of the Fokker–Planck equation*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **29**, 1–17 (1998).
- [13] S. Lisini, E. Mainini, A. Segatti, *A gradient flow approach to the porous medium equation with fractional pressure*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **227** (2018), 567–606.
- [14] S. Lisini, D. Matthes, G. Savaré, *Cahn-Hilliard and thin film equations with nonlinear mobility as gradient flows in weighted-Wasserstein metric*, J. Differential Equations., **253** (2012), 814–850.
- [15] Q.-H. Nguyen, J. L. Vázquez; *Porous medium equation with nonlocal pressure in a bounded domain*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations , (2017), **43** 1502-1539.
- [16] D. Matthes, R. McCann, G. Savaré, *A family of nonlinear fourth order equations of gradient flow type*. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. **34**, 1352–1397 (2009).
- [17] F. Otto, M. Westdickenberg, *Eulerian calculus for the contraction in the Wasserstein distance*, SIAM J. Math Anal. **37** (2005) 1227-1255.
- [18] D. Stan, F. del Teso, J. L. Vázquez. *Finite and infinite speed of propagation for porous medium equations with fractional pressure*. Comptes Rendus Mathématique (Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris) **352**, 2 (2014), 123–128. arXiv:1311.7007.
- [19] D. Stan, F. del Teso, J. L. Vázquez. *Finite and infinite speed of propagation for porous medium equations with fractional pressure*. Journal Diff. Eqns. **260** (2016), no 2, 1154–1199.
- [20] D. Stan, F. del Teso, J. L. Vázquez. *Existence of Weak solutions for a general porous medium equation with nonlocal pressure*. Arch Rational Mech Anal **233**, 451–496 (2019).